MEMORANDUM

Date: July 1, 2014

To: Mark S. Ghilarducci, Director
Governor's Office of Emergency Services
3650 Schriever Avenue
Mather, CA 95655

From: Department of General Services
Office of Audit Services

Subject: AUDIT REPORT: DELEGATED PURCHASING PROGRAM

This report presents the results of our compliance audit of the Governor's Office of Emergency Services' (Cal OES)\(^1\) delegated purchasing program. As required by Public Contract Code Section 10333, the Department of General Services (DGS) conducts an audit at least once in each three-year period of each state agency to which purchasing authority has been delegated by the department. At the time of our audit, Cal OES had two purchasing authority delegations: No. 9G-1213-EMA-HQ1 governing non-information technology purchases and No. 91-1213-EMA-HQ1 governing information technology (IT) purchases. Our audit was conducted in accordance with U.S. generally accepted auditing standards.

The objective of our audit was to determine that procurement transactions are being conducted in accordance with the terms and conditions of Cal OES' purchasing authority delegation agreements with DGS, which include dollar threshold limits for various categories of procurements. The state's delegated purchasing requirements are primarily contained in State Contracting Manual (SCM) Volumes 2 (non-IT) and 3 (IT). As applicable, the scope of our audits of state agencies includes, but is not limited to, compliance with policies governing the conduct of competitive solicitations, use of leveraged procurement agreements, solicitation of certified small businesses and disabled veteran business enterprises (DVBE), establishment of fair and reasonable pricing for acquisitions of less than $5,000, use of CAL-Cards to pay for goods and services, and prompt payment of suppliers.

Overall, we concluded that Cal OES is conducting its delegated purchasing program in compliance with the terms and conditions of its delegation agreements. Cal OES' delegated purchasing policies and procedures are sufficient to provide reasonable assurance of compliance with the state's procurement statutes, policies, and procedures.

During our review we identified a number of areas for improvement within Cal OES' delegated purchasing program. These areas included our concern that policies and procedures were not always ensuring full compliance with SCM provisions governing the: (1) referencing of the State’s Bidder Instructions and General Provisions in all competitive solicitations (SCM 2, 4.B6.0 and 4.B6.1 and SCM 3, 4.B8.0 and 4.B8.1); (2) obtaining of bidder declaration forms from small businesses and DVBEs which assist in verifying the performance of a commercially useful function (SCM 2 and 3, 3.2.6); (3) maintenance of information on the waiver of the DVBE requirement within the bidder solicitation (SCM 2 and 3, 3.3.2); (4) obtaining of a copy of the supplier's sellers permit (SCM 2, 4.B6.3 and SCM 3, 4.B8.6); (5) implementing of processes to

\(^1\) Formerly the California Emergency Management Agency (Cal EMA). On July 1, 2013, Cal EMA and the Public Safety Communication Office were merged to form Cal OES.
ensure compliance with the Darfur Contracting Act (SCM 2, 2.B3.12); and, (6) maintenance in the procurement transaction files of a copy of the contract pricing page(s) for leveraged procurement agreement transactions (SCM 2 and 3, 6.A4.1).

Prior to the completion of our audit, we verified that appropriate actions had been or were being taken to address the above issues. Therefore, they are not further discussed in this report.

We are pleased with the prompt actions taken by Cal OES to address findings identified during our audit fieldwork. However, we did not perform effectiveness tests to determine whether the corrective actions were functioning as intended. Cal OES' management has the ongoing responsibility for ensuring that its business management policies and procedures are functioning as prescribed and are modified, as appropriate, for changes in conditions.

To determine compliance, we reviewed policies and procedures, interviewed parties involved, tested records and transactions and performed other tests as deemed necessary. The period covered by our testing varied depending upon the area of review and the type of transactions involved; however, the emphasis of our review and testing was with current procedures and transactions completed during the 2012/13 fiscal year. Our transaction tests included the review of 33 delegated procurements.

We greatly appreciated the cooperation and assistance provided by Cal OES' personnel.

If you need further information or assistance on this report, please contact me at (916) 376-5058, or Andy Won, Audit Supervisor, at (916) 376-5052.

RICK GILLAM, CPA, CIA
Chief, Office of Audit Services

Staff: Andy Won, Audit Supervisor
       Michael Rossow

cc: Mitchell Medigovich, Deputy Director, Logistics Management Directorate
    Ron Williams, Manager, Procurement and Logistical Services Branch
    Betty Allen, Manager, Procurement and Property Control Section
    Anne Marie Nielsen, Chief, Office of Audits and Evaluations