1	TRANSCRIPTI	ON OF RECORDED MEETING
2		OF
3	SPECIAL EDUCA	ATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE
4		
5	JUNE 19, 2020	
6		
7		
8	Board Members Present:	MARGARET GIBSON, Chair
9		ZACKERY P. MORAZZINI, Director
10		ROCHELLE HOOKS
11		DANIELLE CHRISTY
12		ELIZABETH SCHWARTZ
13		MEGHAN MAGEE
14		PAULA SENIGAR
15		RAMAAH SADASIVAM
16		AILEEN HERLINDA SANDOVAL
17		ELAINE KOOIMA
18		ERIC MENYUK
19		LINDSEY STEINHOLT
20		MARIANNE GROSNER
21	OAH Staff Present:	ALJ PETER PAUL CASTILLO
22		ALJ JOYANN REDMON
23		ALJ MARIAN TULLY
24		ALJ CARAREA LUCIER
25		ALJ JENNIFER KELLY

1		ALJ MARLO NISPEROS
2		ALJ CLAIRE YAZIGI
3		JENNIFER SAFFOLD, Staff Support
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22	Transcribed by:	Cheryl Odom,
23		eScribers, LLC
24		Phoenix, Arizona
25		000

-2-

## TRANSCRIBED RECORDED MEETING OF

2.0

## SPECIAL EDUCATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE

## June 19, 2020

CHAIRMAN GIBSON: All right. Good morning,
everyone. Thank you so much for being here at our
Advisory Committee meeting, our first all-virtual
Advisory Committee meeting. We're going to take care of
some preliminary matters first.

First of all, I want to really welcome all of you to the spring and summer Advisory Committee meetings for the Office of Administrative Hearings. Thanks to those attending the meeting as both part of the committee, employees of the Office of Administrative Hearings, and members of the public.

My name is Margaret Gibson. I'm the Division Chief Presiding Administrative Law Judge of the Office of Administrative Hearings for the Special Education Division.

With us this morning, we have Zack Morazzini. He's the Director and Chief ALJ of the Office of Administrative Hearings.

And Zack -- there we go. I want to give you a minute to say hello to everyone.

DIRECTOR MORAZZINI: Good morning, everyone.

Welcome. Thank you.

```
1
         CHAIRMAN GIBSON: This is a combined meeting of both
    the Advisory Committee for the Southern California and
    the Northern California. The first thing I want to do is
 3
 4
    determine whether we have a quorum for each committee.
 5
         Will all the members of the Southern California
    Advisory Committee meeting -- oh, looks like we've got
 6
 7
    one more person coming in. Hold on just a second.
         Will all the members of the Southern California
 8
 9
   Advisory Committee -- not OAH employees, just members of
10
    the committee -- please raise their hand by doing the
11
    raise-the-hand button on their screen?
12
         Oh, Elaine? Okay. We'll count Elaine.
13
    Sandoval, Elaine.
14
         Do we have Eric here yet?
15
              (No audible response)
16
         CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Lindsey Steinholt?
17
         BOARD MEMBER STEINHOLT: That's me. I'm here.
18
         CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Great. Thank you.
19
         Marianne Grosner here yet? Great. There you are.
20
    I see you.
21
         So we have a quorum in Southern California.
22
         In Northern California, I see Ramaah.
         Is -- let's see -- Danielle?
2.3
24
         Elizabeth, you're here.
```

Danielle Schwartz -- or sorry, Elizabeth Schwartz.

25

1 Danielle Christy?

Meghan Magee?

2.0

2.3

And we have Dr. Senigar.

So we've got a quorum in each, so we will go forward, and both committees will be able to make recommendations today, should they so choose.

Each member will have the opportunity to speak on every issue. If a recommendation is made, each committee member -- each committee -- sorry -- Northern and Southern California will vote whether they would like OAH to consider a recommendation. A majority yes vote from either committee or both committees will result in OAH considering the recommendation or recommendations.

I want to remind everyone of the mission of the Advisory Committee. It's a committee composed of parents, attorneys, advocates, school employees, and other stakeholders, the majority of whom are parents and advocates or attorneys for parents. The Advisory Committee provides nonbinding recommendations regarding revisions to OAH's website, forms, documents, procedures, and policies, as provided within the regulatory mandate establishing the Advisory Committee.

For ease of understanding this morning, I'm moving Agenda Item 3, the choice of a chair, to Agenda Item 7.

This is to ensure that all introductions are completed

prior to choosing a chair. Does anyone object to this move?

(No audible response)

2.0

CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Not hearing any objections, I am going to move that to Agenda Item 7.

So now I'm going to explain the meeting format to everyone today. This meeting is taking place over Microsoft Teams. The members of the committee, who I'll introduce in a moment, will all be visible on your screens when they are speaking.

As a member of the committee, I would like you to keep your microphone muted unless you're called on to speak. And if you would like to be recognized to speak, I'd like you to raise your hand, using the button available for this purpose.

I'd like all the committee members to take a moment and move their mouse a bit. Notice that you've activated a band along the lower middle of your screen. Just to the right of center, you should see an outline of a hand. This is what you want to press when you make a comment. After you finish making a comment, Microsoft Teams doesn't know you've done it, so you need to unraise your hand by pressing the same button again.

If you have trouble with this during the meeting or it's not working for you, just raise your actual hand,

and I will go ahead and call on you that way. But unmute your microphone if you're going to do that, because we can only see the last few people that have spoken. And so if you haven't spoken in a while, I can't see you raising your hand.

2.3

If a recommendation is made for OAH to consider by a committee member, I will ask for someone to second it.

Please raise your hand electronically to second something. And again, if your electronic hand isn't working, raise your actual hand, but take off your microphone in order to -- stop muting in order to do that.

For each recommendation that is seconded, I will ask for a voice vote. I will call each of your names out loud. You will then need to take yourself off mute and state your vote, yes if you want OAH to consider the recommendation and no if you do not want OAH to consider the recommendation. We will tally up the votes.

This meeting is being recorded by Microsoft Teams, and a transcript will be posted on OAH's website when completed.

For public comment, there is a delay of about thirty seconds for the video feed of this meeting for nonmembers of the committee. The delay is not intentional and is just a function of the technology. Members of the public

watching via the delay can pause and return. However, the actual meeting will have moved on. So if you want to make public comment and you're watching this via the video feed, you shouldn't pause and come back because we may have moved on from that agenda item.

I will wait and ensure we have the public comment on each item before I move on. Members of the public who are watching on the video feed will have the opportunity to comment on every agenda item, using the question-and-answer function of the video feed you are watching.

There should be directions you can see on the screen in front of you if you are watching by that feed.

I will not be able to see you, so please send any comments in to the Q&A section, and your comments will be read by our moderator before a vote for each related agenda item.

If you have public comment you wish to make regarding items not on the agenda, there will be a time at the end of the meeting for this to occur. Public comment will be limited to three minutes per person per agenda item and three minutes for non-agenda item.

Before I move on, is there anyone who has anything they wish to talk about or comment on the agenda items we've gone through so far? Anyone from the committee?

(No audible response)

2.0

1 CHAIRMAN GIBSON: I'm going to pause for a moment and just see if we have any public comment yet, at this 3 point. This will be part of the awkward part of the 4 meeting. We're going to have a few of these every time, 5 because of the thirty-second delay. So we'll hold on a minute and just make sure we don't have any public 6 7 comments so far. Thirty seconds is a long time. All right. I am not hearing any public comment for 8 9 this section, so I'm going to go ahead and move on to the 10 introduction of the committee members. We have a lot of new members this period, and I am 11 12 so glad we had so many wonderful volunteers. Thank you 13 very much for taking your time to be a part of this 14 important committee. I'll be calling upon each of you to 15 introduce yourselves. Please tell us a bit about 16 yourself, as you are comfortable, and a bit about what 17 interested you in being on this committee. I'm going to 18 start in Northern California. 19 Rochelle Hooks, are you here? 2.0 (No audible response) 21 CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Not seeing Rochelle, at this 22 point, I'll move on. 2.3 Danielle Christy?

(No audible response)

CHAIRMAN GIBSON:

24

25

Okay. Not hearing from Danielle.

Elizabeth Schwartz? 1 BOARD MEMBER SCHWARTZ: Yes, hello. I'm Elizabeth 3 Schwartz. I'm an attorney at Fagen Friedman & Fulfrost, 4 representing school districts. Prior to becoming an 5 attorney, I -- sorry, there's a delay there. Prior to becoming an attorney, I was a teacher for a couple of 6 7 years and worked collaboratively with parents. And so I 8 was excited by the OAH Advisory Committee and the 9 collaboration that occurs. 10 CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Thank you very much. 11 Danielle Christy? Are you on mute? Danielle? 12 Danielle, can you unmute yourself? 13 (No audible response) 14 CHAIRMAN GIBSON: I'm going to skip Danielle for 15 now. I will come back to Danielle in a minute. 16 Danielle, if you're having trouble, maybe sign out, 17 sign back in again, or try calling in on the phone 18 number. 19 Meghan Magee? 2.0 (No audible response) 21 CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Okay. I'm not seeing Meghan. 22 Dr. Senigar? 2.3 BOARD MEMBER SENIGAR: Hi. It's -- actually, it's

**CHAIRMAN GIBSON:** Okay.

just Paula Senigar. I'm not --

24

25

BOARD MEMBER SENIGAR: -- a doctor. I am a special 1 needs parent and an advocate, and I have done a lot of 3 work with families and school districts, related --4 professional related service providers. I'm very excited 5 to be a part of this committee, and I look forward to 6 collaborating with the committee. Thank you. 7 CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Thank you. Ramaah? 8 9 BOARD MEMBER SADASIVAM: Hi. My name is Ramaah 10 Sadasivam. I'm a supervising attorney at Disability 11 Rights California, and I work primarily on special 12 education issues. I'm also the parent of a child with a 13 disability, and I'm really excited about working with all 14 of you. And I welcome the collaboration as well. 15 CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Wonderful. Danielle? 16 17 (No audible response) 18 CHAIRMAN GIBSON: I will round back to Danielle. 19 I'm going to move down to Southern California right now. 2.0 And Danielle, when you're back in and if you're 21 ready to talk, raise your hand again, and I'll go back to 22 you. 2.3 FEMALE SPEAKER: Okay. CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Is Aileen Sandoval here? 24

(No audible response)

25

CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Okay. Elaine?

2.0

BOARD MEMBER KOOIMA: Hi. I'm Elaine Kooima. I'm a -- I'm from San Diego. I am a registered nurse and presently work as a school nurse. I'm also a parent of a student who had an IEP from second grade through high school, and I'm also a California licensed attorney.

And I was so interested in this that I did take a certificate class through the University of San Diego in advocacy. So I'm just all around very interested in special education. And I am one of the people on the front line implementing the IEPs in the school setting, so I'm very excited to be part of this group.

CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Thank you very much.

Dr. Sandoval?

BOARD MEMBER SANDOVAL: Hi. I didn't -- I wasn't able to unmute myself quickly enough when you called me just now. So I'm -- I specialize in brain function and neurodevelopmental neurocognitive disorders. I've been an advocate for quite a few years. I also run a clinic, and so I sit in with both ends of the educational system, as well as the medical system.

I've been in and out of the OHA (sic) court system myself, advocating for parents, also serving as expert witness and that sort of thing. So I'm really excited to sort of be working on this end of it.

CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Thank you.

Eric?

BOARD MEMBER MENYUK: I am unmuting myself.

Everybody, by the way, I apologize for being late. I was actually signing in, I guess, on the viewer link, not the participant link.

CHAIRMAN GIBSON: No problem.

BOARD MEMBER MENYUK: I'm really excited to be here.

I've tried multiple years to get on this committee. I'm

a big proponent of the administrative law process. I am

an attorney with Newman Aaronson Vanaman. We represent

families, children with disabilities. I'm also a parent

of a child or was a parent of a child with a disability,

who's now gone on to college and much better things. And

I also come from a family of educators.

So education has been part of my life forever and ever and ever, and I'm a big believer in public education.

CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Thank you.

Lindsey?

BOARD MEMBER STEINHOLT: Good morning. I'm Lindsey
Steinholt. I am an attorney with Atkinson, Andelson,
Loya, Ruud & Romo. I represent public school districts,
and my sole practice is special education. So the goingons of OAH has a significant impact on my clients, and

1 I'm very excited to have been selected to be on the committee and hear the input from all of you folks. 3 CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Thank you. Marianne? 4 BOARD MEMBER GROSNER: Hello. Hi. Can you hear me? 5 CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Yes. 6 7 BOARD MEMBER GROSNER: Hi. I'm Marianne Grosner. 8 Thank you so much for having me on the committee. I have 9 two special needs children, so I've been advocating for 10 them for many years. But I'm also interested in 11 advocating for others, having taken some courses. 12 this came along as opportunity, so I wanted to, you know, 13 really learn from everybody. And -- and I'm excited to 14 be a part of this. 15 CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Thank you. 16 Victor? 17 (No audible response) 18 CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Okay. It looks like Meghan Magee 19 has joined us. 2.0 Meghan, would you like to introduce yourself? 21 Meghan? Give her a second. 22 (No audible response) 2.3 CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Danielle Christy? Can you unmute 24 yourself or -- and introduce yourself? 25 (No audible response)

1 CHAIRMAN GIBSON: All right. Well, I still can't hear either Danielle or Meghan. 3 So Danielle or Meghan, if you are speaking, we'll 4 need you to try and call back in again. If you have 5 gotten some emails from Anna Brown, if you can email Anna Brown if you're still struggling, she'll do what she can 6 7 to get you back into our meeting. 8 All right. Now I want to introduce our OAH staff 9 that's in attendance today. So in attendance today, we 10 also have our presiding judges. I'll have them each 11 introduce myself (sic). We'll start with Marian. 12 ALJ TULLY: Yes. I'm Marian Tully. I'm the -- one 13 of the presiding judges in Southern California. I've 14 been with OAH since 2011. 15 CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Thank you. 16 Peter Paul? ALJ CASTILLO: I'm Peter Paul Castillo. I'm the 17 18 other presiding administrative law judge at our new 19 Angels Flight office in downtown Los Angeles. And I've 2.0 been with OAH since October of 2005. 21 CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Thank you. 22 Joy? 2.3 ALJ REDMON: Good morning. I'm Joy Redmon. I'm the 24 presiding administrative law judge in Northern 25 California, and I have been with OAH since 2014.

was previously a hearing officer at SEHO, so I've been in and around the system for a really long time. So welcome.

CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Thank you.

2.0

I don't know if Jennifer Saffold is going to be able to pop on and let you all see what she looks like. She's also running the behind-the-scenes video feed, as is Anna Brown. So if Anna or Jennifer want to pop on and say hello, they are the life blood of the Special Education Division. They make everything happen. Without them, we are nothing.

MS. SAFFOLD: Hi. Good morning, everyone.

CHAIRMAN GIBSON: All right. We also have some new OAH staff that I want to introduce. But it looks like we've just been joined by a few of our other Northern California members. So I want to take a second and pop back with that.

Rochelle Hooks, are you there?

BOARD MEMBER HOOKS: Yes, I'm here.

CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Wonderful. Do you want to take a moment and introduce yourself?

BOARD MEMBER HOOKS: Sure. I am Rochelle Hooks, currently the coordinator for Castro Valley, secondary side of special education. And this is my second year on the committee.

1 CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Thank you very much. 2 Danielle, have we fixed your audio problems yet? (No audible response) 3 4 CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Can't hear her yet. 5 And Meghan? 6 BOARD MEMBER MAGEE: Hi. Sorry for the technical 7 delay. I'm Meghan Magee. I am a program coordinator 8 with Folsom-Cordova Unified School District. And this is my (indiscernible). I'm excited to be part of it. 10 I usually represent Folsom Cordova in our special 11 education due process hearings, and I have a lot of 12 background and training in mediation from the Straus 13 Institute at Pepperdine and Harvard University's 14 negotiations training, the week-long training. So I'm 15 very excited to be here. 16 CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Wonderful. Thank you so much, 17 Meghan. 18 All right. And I want to make a few introductions. 19 Since our last Advisory Committee meeting, we have some 20 new OAH staff I wanted you all to meet. And I'll have 21 them briefly introduce themselves. 22 Let's start with ALJ Lucier. 2.3 ALJ LUCIER: Hi. Good morning. My name is Cararea 24 Lucier. I joined OAH in September of 2019. Thank you. 25 CHAIRMAN GIBSON:

Thank you.

ALJ Kelly?

2.3

ALJ KELLY: Good morning, everyone. I'm Jennifer Kelly. I joined OAH in September of 2019. I'm very happy to be here. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Thank you.

ALJ Yazigi?

ALJ YAZIGI: Good morning. My name is Claire Yazigi. I believe I'm the most recent addition to Special Ed Division. It's a pleasure to be here.

CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Thank you.

And ALJ Nisperos?

ALJ NISPEROS: Good morning, everybody. I am Marlo Nisperos. I joined OAH in September of 2019, and I am also happy to be here.

CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Thank you.

We also have two new staff members, our case managers. We have Vanessa and Dana.

And Vanessa started in January, and we were so happy to have her join us. She helps with some of our Spanish-speaking people that call.

And we have -- are welcoming back Dana Dill. She had been in the Special Education Division for a while, went over to work in our other division, and has recently rejoined us in Special Education.

All right. I'm moving on to Agenda Number 7 right

now, which is a selection of the chair at each location.

OAH partially accepted a recommendation from the last

Advisory Committee meeting regarding the agenda

collection. So all proposed agenda items from other

committee members will be sent to these members. We are

going to choose a member from Northern California and

Southern California.

2.0

2.3

The identified members will collect the agenda items from other committee members prior to the next meeting and send OAH a list of agenda items no later than October 1st for the fall meeting. OAH will add any additional items to the agenda, as necessary, and remove any agenda items that aren't within the scope of the committee and will timely publish the agenda.

Is anyone from Southern California interested in being the chair of the committee for today's meeting, which really means, for purposes of this, that you're agreeing to do the -- collect the agenda items for the next meeting? And then I'll ask the same for Northern California.

Is anyone from Southern California willing to be the collector of agenda items? First one to volunteer wins.

Come on. Come on.

Thank you, Eric. Appreciate it.

Eric will be the collector for Southern California.

Eric, we'll get with you and talk to you and tell you what to do with stuff.

And we'll make sure that everybody has Eric's information on the committee, so they can send him proposed agenda items.

If you are a member of the public and listening to this and you want agenda items and you'd like to suggest them, those still go to OAH. We won't inundate Eric with those. Eric will be in charge of collecting them from members of the committee.

Is there anyone from Northern California on our committee interested in volunteering for this wonderful task and assisting Eric? First one to volunteer wins.

Come on.

**BOARD MEMBER HOOKS:** It's Rochelle Hooks. I volunteer.

CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Wonderful. Thank you so much, Rochelle. We'll get with you, again, as well, after the meeting and kind of make sure that everybody's got the information they need to do it. I really appreciate it from both of you.

An application for -- the application period for new members, we only have one member whose term is up in October 2020. Everybody else is here for the long haul. We'll open the application process for that position

later this summer. The current holder of this position
is also eligible to reapply. You can serve up to two
terms consecutively. So we will get that information out
to the community. Please watch the Advisory Committee

Is there anyone that would like to have any comment regarding this, on the committee?

section of the OAH website and your email for updates.

(No audible response)

2.0

2.3

CHAIRMAN GIBSON: I don't see any. I am going to start the awkward wait for public comment on this section, so we're going to hold on a few minutes and just make sure that there isn't any public comment up to this point.

(No audible response)

CHAIRMAN GIBSON: All right. I'm not seeing that there's any public comment. I'm going to go ahead and move forward. If I have somehow missed a little public comment, then I will go ahead and add it back in.

All right. Expectations of members. All the members are expected to attend every meeting. The meetings are held the third Friday of June and the third Friday of October every year. If a member's not able to attend a meeting, you should notify OAH as soon as you're aware that you can't attend the meeting. And if a member misses two meetings, they may be removed from the

committee and OAH may choose a replacement.

2.3

I encourage you to participate fully in this process. Your opinions and recommendations are very important, and OAH really values community participation.

I want to give a little overview of the Advisory

Committee process. When we start with the more

substantive agenda items, the person who proposed the

agenda item will have some time to talk. I may also

start the discussion on behalf of OAH and may be able to

answer some questions as they come up.

All committee members wishing to be heard will have the opportunity to speak on the topic at least once. If, at some point, a recommendation is made that OAH consider something formally, any committee member may make a recommendation. If you are going to make a recommendation, I suggest you take a minute to write down your recommendation. Most are one or two sentences, at most.

I'll give you a quick example. If we were talking about people filing paper documents with OAH, you might want to suggest that everyone files it on green paper. So you might want to make a recommendation that all materials filed with OAH be filed on green paper.

I'll find out if there's a second. If someone seconds it, we'll make sure everyone has a chance to

comment. We'll make sure we take public comment on it.

Then we'll do a voice vote to see if the recommendation

passes. If it does, OAH will consider that and get back

to you prior to the next meeting.

We'll handle recommendations one at a time. There very well may be multiple recommendations for each agenda item. Just because someone else made a recommendation doesn't mean that you won't be able to make your recommendation as well.

Jennifer, we have some public comment?

MS. SAFFOLD: I wanted (indiscernible). We have not had public comment yet, but I did want to say that Ms. Christy was able to submit her introduction via writing, although she's having audio issues. So I can read that now, if you'd like.

CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Wonderful.

2.3

MS. SAFFOLD: Okay. So she said,

"Good morning. I'm sorry I'm having sound issues. I thought I would do a written introduction. I'm honored to join this committee, as I'm committed to doing all I can to help improve the system of special education. I am a proud parent of a six-year-old boy with autism and a licensed educational psychologist. I recently started a private

2.3

practice and was a school psychologist in
 multiple settings for the past fifteen years."
Thank you, Ms. Christy.

CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Thank you very much.

Each member will be able to vote when we hold votes, and OAH will consider each recommendation and respond in writing prior to the next meeting.

Before I move forward, do any members of the committee have any comments or questions?

(No audible response)

CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Okay. Going to go ahead and move forward.

This meeting is governed by the Open Meeting Act.

The Advisory Committee meetings follow the Open Meeting

Act. Each member of the committee has been sent a copy

of the act and is expected to follow all the

requirements. A copy can also be found in the Advisory

Committee section of the OAH website.

The next thing I wanted to do was review the responses to the spring 2019 meeting. And let me go and do that really quick. We had several recommendations.

The first recommendation was regarding the setting of dates for future Advisory Committee meetings. The recommendation was to schedule Advisory Committee meetings the third Friday of June and the third Friday of

October. OAH accepted the recommendation and has set this meeting in accordance with the recommendation and will set future meetings in accordance with the recommendation.

2.0

2.3

The second recommendation was that -- was regarding requests for agenda items for future Advisory Committee meetings. The recommendation was that the Advisory Committee was to identify two chairs in both Northern and Southern California with a district- and student-side recommendation in each area, these four chairs to take the agenda items and determine which will be included in the next Advisory Committee meetings. Agenda items initially go to OAH. Then the chairs have a two-week period or some time frame to put the agenda to OAH.

OAH partially accepted this recommendation. During each meeting, OAH will identify one member from Northern California and one member from Southern California to collect proposed agenda items for the next meeting. All proposed agenda items from other committee members will be sent to these members.

The identified members will send OAH a list of agenda items no later than October 1st for the fall meeting and June 1st for the spring meeting. OAH will add additional items to the agenda, as necessary, and remove any agenda items that are not within the scope of

the committee and timely publish the agenda.

2.0

2.3

The next recommendation was that OAH host stakeholder meetings regarding calendaring issues from last year. OAH accepted this recommendation and held stakeholder meetings. They were open to any member of the public who wished to attend.

The next agenda item was -- or the recommendation was OAH will determine if the mediation-only regulations authorize participation of an advocate or educational consultant to assist parties in the process.

The OAH response, OAH accepted the recommendation. An attorney or independent contractor used to provide legal advocacy services may not accompany a parent or district in a mediation-only, based on California Education Code Section 56500.3(a).

However, the same Education Code Section (b) does not preclude the parent or public agency from being accompanied or advised by nonattorney representatives in mediation, and the parties may consult with an attorney before or after the mediation.

If a question arises at the beginning of a mediation regarding the inclusion or exclusion of a participant, under the statute, the OAH mediator will make a determination as to who can be present. Despite the foregoing, OAH will permit the parties to proceed with

attorneys or legal advocates if both sides agree.

And the final recommendation, OAH hold stakeholder meetings to discuss the issue of the scope of claims being part of OAH's special education mediation processes. OAH did accept this recommendation and held stakeholder meetings, and they were open to any member of the public who wished to attend.

I hope that concludes the Peggy-speaking-a-lot portion of this meeting. That was the recommendations and responses.

Is there anyone from the committee that would like to make a comment on this agenda item?

(No audible response)

CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Not seeing any hands physically
or --

BOARD MEMBER SADASIVAM: Oh, I do have a question.

CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Sure. Can you identify yourself?

BOARD MEMBER SADASIVAM: Yes. So this is Ramaah Sadasivam. I have a question regarding Agenda Item 15 and 17, regarding the stakeholder meetings. When do those meetings occur, and how was the public notified?

CHAIRMAN GIBSON: We notified the public through our ListServe and to and through the website. They were held in -- I think it was mid-to-late September. I can find out the dates, if you would like. And they were held in

1 the -- one meeting was held in Northern California and Southern California. That was regarding the -- both meetings were held -- both stakeholder meetings were held 3 in Northern California. 4 5 For the Southern California meetings, we had only a few people respond. I think we might have had three 6 7 people respond. And it was the day that the PG&E did 8 their -- turned off all the power for everyone last year. 9 And so we ended up not holding the Southern California 10 one. We contacted the people who were going to come, and 11 we didn't get interest in doing a follow-up meeting after 12 that. 13 The Northern California meeting, I think, was 14 attended by two people. It was rousing. It was 15 informative. We got some good information, but we didn't 16 have a huge turnout for either of those stakeholder 17 meetings. 18 Anything else from members of the committee? 19 BOARD MEMBER SENIGAR: I have a question. 20

 ${\tt MS.}$  SAFFOLD: There's no public comment at this time.

CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Sure. Is that --

BOARD MEMBER SENIGAR: Paula Senigar.

CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Oh, okay.

21

22

2.3

24

25

BOARD MEMBER SENIGAR: Will there be additional

1 stakeholder meetings on those topics? CHAIRMAN GIBSON: At this point, there's been no 3 request for additional meetings, but nothing is stopping 4 anyone from wanting them --5 **BOARD MEMBER SENIGAR:** Okay. CHAIRMAN GIBSON: -- and making a recommendation 6 7 therefor. You said there was public comment? 8 9 MS. SAFFOLD: There is no public comment. 10 CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Okay. Anything else before I move 11 forward? 12 (No audible response) 13 CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Okay. The first, I guess, real 14 substantive item we're going to talk about today is --15 was raised by Eric. It was regarding the precedents of 16 OAH decisions. And so I will let Member Menyuk talk 17 about -- speak to this first. 18 BOARD MEMBER MENYUK: Okay. Thank you very much, 19 Your Honor. 20 You know, this came up, actually, as a colleague of 21 mine, because I put it out for other people, you know, in 22 the parent bar to make comments. And the real issue that 23 they have -- and this is -- I don't even know, 24 necessarily, that this is an appropriate agenda item.

It's more -- was more of a question than anything else,

25

because it appeared that there are times when there are OAH decisions that are in conflict with each other.

2.0

2.3

And the question becomes, what -- you know, is there a process by which, you know, that stare decisis, you know, controls these decisions and that -- you know, that judges are aware of past precedents that OAH has set with regard to specific issues? And that was the question.

CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Sure. I'd like to speak to that for a few minutes, and then I'll take comments from other -- and questions from other members of the committee and then the public.

Title 5 of California Code of Regulations, Section 3085, specifically states that our special education decisions that we issue can be per cited as persuasive, but they're not binding by parties or hearing officers. So that's where we start, right? And so we start with the fact that they're not meant to be binding. They're administrative decisions.

If it is an area of law where there is not binding precedent above us -- so that would mean a state or a federal court has not made a decision on this specific area -- our judges are free to interpret the law with the facts of the case they've got, based on the way they see it, using the cases that are there. But it usually means that there hasn't been a controlling decision that has

been created yet by a higher court.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

25

Also, special education cases are very, very, very fact-specific.

And I know I'm not telling you anything, Eric, you don't know.

And so it may be that it seems like it comes to a different conclusion, but there was some little fact that changed it in that case. And quite frankly, sometimes we have judges that see things differently. We encourage our judges to be independent, to -- we hire wonderful people with wonderful backgrounds with great legal opinions. And we encourage them to have judicial independence. We don't regulate how their decisions come out.

Of course, we make sure that we have quality assurance and that people are following precedent, where it is, but our job is to make decisions based on the current law. And we usually know as much as the public does when we get a couple decisions that seem to come to different conclusions. And they can both be right and well-reasoned, up and unless -- up until and unless a higher court makes a determination to the contrary.

I would like to open this up. Does any --

MS. SAFFOLD: (Indiscernible).

CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Sure.

1 MS. SAFFOLD: Before we proceed, can we pause for just a moment? It appears our live broadcast has paused 3 video, but the audio is continuing. So maybe if we could 4 take just a couple seconds and see if we can get that 5 resolved real quick? CHAIRMAN GIBSON: 6 Sure. 7 BOARD MEMBER MENYUK: Thank you, by the way. CHAIRMAN GIBSON: 8 Sure. 9 I wish I had some nice, like, hold music and a cute 10 little, like, scene with bunnies we could put on right 11 now, while we are waiting. I appreciate all of you being 12 very patient with our first attempt at an all-live video 13 broadcast feed. We've never done the feed ourselves at 14 the same time. 15 Thank you. It's back up. MS. SAFFOLD: 16 CHAIRMAN GIBSON: All right. Wonderful. So is there any other member of the committee who 17 18 would like to be heard on Agenda Item 13, which is the 19 precedents of OAH decisions? 2.0 (No audible response) 21 CHAIRMAN GIBSON: I'm not seeing anyone. 22 BOARD MEMBER GROSNER: Hello? Can I say something? 2.3 CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Sure.

You mentioned about quality assurance, and I

This is Marianne

BOARD MEMBER GROSNER: Hi.

24

25

Grosner.

just wondered what does that look like for -- on decisions? You were saying it kind of -- there was a quality assurance for decisions, and I --

2.3

CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Sure. I probably meant it not quite the way that it came out, according to your question. But we -- our judges go through extensive training when they start with us. We spend, usually, more than 2- or 300 hours of training with our judges when they first start. Our judges work closely with their PJs and with their fellow judges.

And we make sure that our judges have access to all the current case law that they would need. We do training throughout the year, as well, and all of our judges are required to do forty hours of training on an ongoing basis every year. And we ensure that the decisions are written in a format to make sure that there's factual findings and legal conclusions and they have all the required parts from the law.

Anybody else have any comments, questions, recommendations, any more members of the committee?

(No audible response)

CHAIRMAN GIBSON: All right. We're going to stall for a second and see if we have any public comment coming in on this. All right.

MS. SAFFOLD: We have no public comment for this

agenda item.

2.3

CHAIRMAN GIBSON: All right. Thank you.

I'm going to move forward. Number 14, probably the biggest topic that we will discuss today, although I don't want to minimize any other topic, the videoconference hearings and mediations.

There were several points to this brought up by several members. I was going to start and talk, and then I will make sure that Members Schwartz, Menyuk, and Grosner have some time first. They brought up certain pieces of this. And then we'll make sure everybody gets a chance. I thought that I would start with this agenda item.

Let me start with, holy cow, what a time of change for all of us this has been. We had actually planned to design a videoconference pilot program. We were going to pilot very smally, in a very small way, maybe a year from now. So we had started talking about what that might look like in January and February of this year. We had given some preliminary thought to the moving parts, and then March happened. And we realized that we had to make some real big changes to how we did things.

I want to start by thanking every member of the community and all of our employees for their patience, their kindness, and their can-do attitudes during this

time period. It has been a very stressful time for people, personally. It has been a very stressful time for people whose kids have been home, people whose workplaces have been disrupted. And the special education community, in particular, has just really come together in a way, around this, that has been very, very gratifying for me to see.

2.0

When things first shut down in March, we needed a week to figure out how we could continue to provide due process to California special education matters. At that point, we didn't know how long things were going to be closed down, and we were unsure of the technical capabilities we had. And we really had no processes in place. So we took a week to figure out how we could do mediations.

We had to use what technology we had. We're State employees. State procurement isn't something that happens at the -- well, it does not happen at the speed of a covered wagon, let alone the speed we needed it to work at. So we had to use what technology we had.

We hadn't even used Microsoft Teams at the time we closed down for a week. None of us had. And I joked with our employees that it was like we had to make dinner from just what was in the pantry. So we had to figure out how we could continue to provide the services that we

provide, using just what we had.

2.0

2.3

As we got more and more into it, I actually started joking it was more like Apollo 13 and we were kind of stuck in space, and we had to land the spacecraft using, like, four pieces of string and an oxygen tank. And so we did the best we could, at the beginning. We certainly made some changes.

When the CARES Act was first passed by the federal government, it allowed the U.S. Education Secretary,

DeVos, to modify parts of the IDEA due to COVID. There was a thirty-day period for the education secretary to recommend any changes or waivers to the time lines. And no waivers were proposed. Therefore, all of the time lines attached to the special ed cases continued.

In California, special education hearings are allowed to be conducted electronically and telephonically. The legislature and the California Department of Education have determined that both of these types of hearings are permissible. OAH did not need to seek any additional authorization to move to virtual hearings and mediations.

In one week, we figured out how to conduct mediations. Granted, it was the 1.0 version. We've made several improvements to that process since it started. And a month later, we started back up with our video

hearings.

2.0

2.3

There have been so many changes we've had to make: evidence, appearances, and using videoconference applications that we'd never used before and members of the public never used before, and many other things we had just taken for granted.

By the end of May, we had completed more than 360 video mediations, and 15 video hearings were convened.

We're still refining the process, as you can imagine. At this time, I have no answer as to how long we'll be only holding video hearings. I expect, as the State continues to open up and we have proper safeguards in place, we may begin to be able to have hearings in our local offices.

But for the foreseeable future, we plan on continuing both mediations and hearings by video conference.

We are looking to set up self-help centers in our offices, where parents or guardians without access to reliable internet or videoconferencing can come into our local offices and participate in video mediations and hearings. These are not open yet, but we're working hard to make this happen soon after the State would allow it. When this is available, we will make sure to notify everyone.

I know there have been concerns raised by parties and attorneys wanting to be in the same room for

mediations and hearings. OAH has made sure that parties can consult privately with their attorneys, both during mediations and hearings. If parties would like to meet somewhere and be in the same place for mediations and hearings with their attorneys, the parties and attorneys are encouraged to follow current CDC and California safety protocols.

2.3

At this time, OAH is providing a virtual space for this to happen. The parties may choose to provide their own physical space.

There have also been some concerns regarding witnesses. Witnesses have regularly appeared by telephone for OAH hearings. We now have the added value of video. As I stated earlier, the legislation and CDE expressly allow electronic hearings, and the ALJs are able to both observe and hear the witnesses and judge their credibility.

We have recently introduced a software program called CaseLines for evidence. And I'll talk more about that in Agenda Item 15.

This has been new for everyone. We appreciate the response from the community and are committed to continuous improvement of our processes. I look forward to our discussions today.

Please remember that the issue of whether we can

hold video mediations and hearings is not part of a determination for this committee. That's been decided by the legislature and CDE. I am looking forward to discussing our processes and procedures, however, and seeing where we can better meet the needs of the community and OAH.

2.0

2.3

As to open hearings, we have very few open hearings each year. In the short term, we're making audio recordings available after the hearing to whoever asks. For those who would like an open hearing, we are currently looking for a platform that will allow contemporaneous streaming of audio of the hearing and are hopeful to have that available very soon.

I have divided the issues raised for the agenda into five areas. I will go through each area, and we will have a discussion, public comment, and vote on any recommendations. I am going to move the general questions and concerns to be the final area for discussion.

So I want to start with our procedures. This was initially put in by -- I want to make sure I have the members right. And our members were Schwartz, Menyuk, and Grosner, so I will start with Member Schwartz.

Would you like to make some comments or ask some questions regarding our procedures?

BOARD MEMBER SCHWARTZ: Yes, Your Honor.

2.3

I understand that it's an evolving process. And even since the question was submitted, I believe after that, CaseLines came in to be. And I guess the question -- I know it's hard to sort of make decisions at this point, but is OAH planning on having the process at least continue as is now, with CaseLines and Teams, or are -- is there still, I guess, research or consideration into using a different platform?

to see if there's a platform that can better serve our needs. We have several core requirements that we're looking to do, including being able to see more people. We're working on some issues regarding interpreters and some other things with that. So we're actively looking to see if there's another platform that may better suit the needs of the community and OAH. So I don't know yet whether we'll be hanging on to Teams or not.

For CaseLines, we've currently entered into an emergency short-term contract with CaseLines. And I expect that we will continue using a digital evidence platform. We will be using CaseLines for the foreseeable future.

We are also -- at some point, we'll likely be looking and will go out to bid for an online evidence

program. And I'm certainly -- you know, I'm pretty sure CaseLines will be part of that. But the bidding process is kind of outside my purview. It's not done -- I'm not involved in that piece of it.

Anything else, Member Schwartz?

BOARD MEMBER SCHWARTZ: Thank you. That's all I have at this time.

CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Okay. Member Grosner?

BOARD MEMBER GROSNER: Hi. Actually, I don't have a comment for procedures. I do for some other ones, so thank you.

CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Okay. Thank you.

Member Menyuk?

BOARD MEMBER MENYUK: Yeah. Really quickly, now that we have CaseLines in place, is it still the intent that we are going to have, also -- that OAH is going to want us to send binders out to witnesses and things like that?

CHAIRMAN GIBSON: So the current plan is we wanted to give everybody at least one hearing where they still had paper documents and CaseLines, while people were still learning it, particularly for our judges. So I have promised our judges that they could all have one hearing with paper and with the online software, just because it's so critical that we make a record and we

make an accurate record. Wanted everybody to get used to it.

So I expect that we will phase out the paper requirement to send to OAH sometime around the end of summer, but no guarantees at this point. You know, and I wanted to give the opportunity -- if, for some reason, it wasn't working or there were glitches we didn't know about, I didn't want to have to stop and restart the paper. So at this point, I would say the direction we're moving in is probably to eliminate the paper being delivered to OAH sometime around late summer, but not for sure.

BOARD MEMBER MENYUK: Thank you. And then a follow-up on that one is -- and I believe this was in the last order I received, but in terms of exchanging documents, the five-day requirement, that is -- if it's posted to CaseLines, that satisfies that requirement?

CHAIRMAN GIBSON: That's our position, yes.

BOARD MEMBER MENYUK: Okay, thank you.

CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Now, if, for some reason, the other side or a different -- one of the parties is like, that's not going to work for me; I am a self-represented parent; I don't have access to a computer; I can't possibly do this, they may absolutely ask the judge, and the judge may make a separate order for that, based on

the specific circumstances of the case.

BOARD MEMBER MENYUK: Absolutely understood.

CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Okay. Any other members like to talk about the procedures part of our videoconferences for hearings and mediations?

Member Schwartz, will you unraise your hand unless you want to talk again? Thanks.

Is there anyone else on the committee that would like --

Ramaah?

2.0

2.3

BOARD MEMBER SADASIVAM: Yes, thank you. Thank you, Your Honor.

I had a question. I think, you know, in talking to people who have gone to hearing, one concern has been that since the rollout to video hearings has been new for everyone, there has been a lot of delays with getting the hearing started because there have been technical difficulties with logging on to Microsoft Teams, and was wondering if there's any possibility for there to be kind of like a practice or a test run for, you know, families and attorneys who are new to using Microsoft Teams, so that the first day of hearing is not the first time that they're using Microsoft Teams. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Sure. So from 9 to 9:30 the first day is supposed to be kind of the technical half an hour.

And it may be that once our judges got through a few of those, I may need to send a gentle reminder out and remind everybody to make sure everybody's popped on and is using it.

2.3

The other thing that we could do is maybe we could schedule the meeting -- even though it wasn't due to start until a certain time, you know, you could pop into Teams. I think about an hour before, there's access for you to join a meeting, although I think you have to be brought in from the waiting room because you're outside. Actually, I should probably just not talk out loud. I should think for a minute. So I don't think that'll work. Never mind.

We should be taking about the first half an hour to solve any technical issues for any hearing. I will be happy to remind our judges to make sure that everybody's popped on and that everybody's ready to go for that.

Anybody else have comments or questions on the committee?

(No audible response)

CHAIRMAN GIBSON: I don't see any hands raised.

Any public comment?

MS. SAFFOLD: Yes. We do have public comment. Let
me -- we have public comment.

It states, "How will opportunities be provided to

parents who are not close to OAH offices, for example, in the entire Central Valley and all areas to west of the valley, to come into the office to participate in video mediations and hearings when they don't have sufficient videoconferencing capabilities in home?"

CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Thank you very much for that comment. That is one area OAH is still working on, and I don't have an answer to that question yet.

MS. SAFFOLD: That was the only public comment for this agenda item.

CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Any more comments or any recommendations for this agenda item from the committee?

(No audible response)

CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Not seeing anything -- the people I can see are shaking their heads no, and I don't see any hands raised from anyone else.

All right. I'm going to move forward to the next section, which was motions to continue. Instead of going through the three members that asked things, I'll just open it up to any member. If any member would like to comment or ask questions regarding motions to continue?

(No audible response)

CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Not seeing anything. I think that was a much bigger issue about the time we took the agenda items. And I think that a lot of that -- a lot of the

concerns people had have kind of been resolved as we've gone a little farther into this piece. All right. I'm going to move forward from that, then.

Jenn, was there any public comment on motions to continue?

MS. SAFFOLD: No public comment.

CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Okay. Open hearings. Would any member like to discuss open hearings? Comments, questions?

BOARD MEMBER GROSNER: Hi.

CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Hi.

BOARD MEMBER GROSNER: Hi. This is Marianne. Yeah, I think I brought that up, but then I heard you talking about it, and you were saying that yes, you guys are, in fact, you know, looking for platforms, but you don't have anything, as of yet, to do the actual live audio recording during the hearings, right?

CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Yes.

**BOARD MEMBER GROSNER:** Okay.

CHAIRMAN GIBSON: So right now, we -- here's some of the concern. So we've had open hearings in California for a long time, right? But open hearings are really by invitation only, right? So you -- word got out it was an open hearing, and we had a calendar, of course, and people could go look at it.

Some of our concern about just putting a YouTube list on our website of all of our hearings were the amount of personal information. And when people thought of open hearings before, they thought of whether or not they could bring their sister or whether or not some members of the public from maybe a disability rights group or members of a district could come into a hearing.

2.0

2.3

I didn't feel that members of the public had really ever considered that someone in a foreign country or in a -- sitting somewhere in another state could be listening to the name of the school their child went to, their child's name, the name of their teacher, the name of their friends, their doctor pieces.

So in an effort to make sure that we weren't violating kind of what people thought about when they thought about an open hearing, we're trying to make sure that we've got a system set up. Because open does mean open, but we wanted to make sure people truly understood what open was going to mean nowadays. You know, somebody would have had to show up at a school district office and show up at a hearing before, which is a very different situation.

So we're working on the ability to have a audio stream, right, because if you are at an open hearing, you don't see the evidence, normally, right? But evidence

during our video hearings is actually shown. So we're
working on an audio-only stream to mimic what someone
would be available to experience if they were in an open
hearing. And that is going to be 2.0, and we're hoping
to have that implemented sometime this summer.

BOARD MEMBER GROSNER: Okay, thank you.

CHAIRMAN GIBSON: And if anyone has recommendations

CHAIRMAN GIBSON: And if anyone has recommendations about this and you know something and you've got some -- we have our ETS people working on it. I'm pretty confident they're going to come up with something, and they're going to come up with something shortly.

Any more comments on open hearings?

(No audible response)

2.0

CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Any public comment?

MS. SAFFOLD: Yes, we do have a public comment.

Comment is, "I would like to have hearings be open. Why? I agree there is a need for transparency. There is a need to learn how issues are being brought up. Thank you."

CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Thank you very much.

Under California law, it is the student or the parent for the student who gets to decide, in every case, whether that particular case will be open. So it's not something that OAH determines, overall, just to get that piece of information out there.

1 All right. General --2 MS. SAFFOLD: We have another public comment. 3 CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Oh. Thank you. 4 MS. SAFFOLD: "It is the parent's right to have an 5 open hearing. It is not OAH's right to decide for the parent what is best for the parent and the family. Court 6 7 hearings in state court and federal court are open to the 8 public." 9 CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Okay. Any more? MS. SAFFOLD: That is all at this time. 10 11 CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Okay. 12 If we could maybe give a little bit of MS. SAFFOLD: 13 time to catch up, maybe ten seconds, we should be fine. 14 CHAIRMAN GIBSON: We're going to do general 15 questions and concerns next, so any public comment, I 16 think, would still fall under the general questions or 17 concerns. 18 So is there anything else about video hearings that 19 anyone from the team would like to ask about, talk about, 2.0 make a recommendation about? 21 BOARD MEMBER SADASIVAM: Your Honor, I was wondering 22 if we're going to talk about the witness subpoena forms 2.3 that was on the agenda? 24 CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Sure. I think that ended up

and -- oh, somehow that didn't end up -- thank you -- on

my piece of paper. Thank you so much.

2.0

2.3

Yeah, the witness subpoena forms, we are currently -- we put out edition 1.0 of the subpoena forms. We are working on 2.0, and it should be out pretty shortly. We've also -- regarding CaseLines, for witnesses, we are fixing it so the attorneys or the parties can invite the witnesses to put their documents into CaseLines. We're going to have a whole new set of instructions that come out shortly. They can also be sent to OAH, and we'll upload them.

The judge on a subpoena duces tecum, which is the subpoena that's used for documents for our hearings, the judge will likely look at them first and make some determinations regarding their relevance and other things. But we should have a more solid system in place within about the next two weeks, so I would say watch the ListServe; watch our website. We'll have some new subpoena forms. We'll have some new instructions, and we'll have some new instructions about what the witnesses should do with those documents out within the next few weeks.

Member Schwartz?

BOARD MEMBER SCHWARTZ: Thank you. Just a question on the new subpoena forms. Will there be any sort of notification when those are up on the website, or should

1 | we just be checking to see if those are released?

CHAIRMAN GIBSON: I would recommend checking, but we'll try and do a note out to the ListServe when we do it so people will know to look there, because you can't be looking every day. So I'll try and get something out to all of you when that happens.

BOARD MEMBER SCHWARTZ: Thank you.

2.0

2.3

CHAIRMAN GIBSON: If you're not on our ListServe, please go to our website. There's a place where you can register, and you'll get -- we send out notices, periodically. We send out some information if there's something big going on. And you can get on our ListServe, for any member of the public who is not currently on our ListServe.

Any more comments or questions or concerns?

Go ahead, Ramaah.

BOARD MEMBER SADASIVAM: This is Ramaah again. I have a question about the subpoena form that's currently on OAH's website. So does an ALJ have to issue it?

Because at the bottom, it seems like the ALJ does need to issue the subpoena. Can you provide some more information about that?

And can parties use the older subpoena form, or this is the form that they need to be using, going forward?

CHAIRMAN GIBSON: They need to be using that form,

going forward, because the old form is not consistent with video hearings.

Attorneys can issue the subpoenas themselves, but nonattorneys need to have an ALJ from OAH sign it. So they just need to send it in. We'll have a judge sign it, and we'll get it back out.

Any other comments, questions, concerns from members of the committee?

(No audible response)

CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Any public comment?

MS. SAFFOLD: Yes, we have one public comment regarding the subpoena. However, it appears to have been answered in the discussion.

It was, "In the meantime, can we use the old form?"

CHAIRMAN GIBSON: So we'd prefer you didn't. If

you've already sent them out and you did, you can -- the

ALJ in your case can deal with it for you.

All right. If there's no more comments -- no more public comments or committee comments, I'm going to move on to Item 15, which is use of CaseLines for evidence.

We've been very lucky. And when I say "lucky", I've worked for the State for seven years. I have never seen procurement happen at the speed of light that it happened so we could get CaseLines. I'm sure it felt to all of you like it was a longer period of time, but we were very

lucky to be able to obtain this program for evidence.

2.3

For those of you that haven't experienced it yet, if you have a case, right about the time of the pre-hearing conference in your case, you should be invited by email into the CaseLines program. You'll need to sign up, which is just your name and your email address. Pick a password. And that is where the parties will upload their evidence. The judge is able to see all of your evidence. The other party is able to see your evidence. There's some specific instructions that we give you about how to upload your evidence.

And during the hearing, you may choose to use

CaseLines as your evidence while you're doing the

hearing. It is a wonderful program. You can make notes

on it. You can highlight things. It does wonderful

searches, including searches in handwriting. It's a

pretty spectacular program. It's pretty intuitive to

use.

If you're an attorney and someone else in your law office is going to do your uploading, we have now fixed it so every attorney can invite someone else into the program. You can also invite witnesses into the program. So instead of having to send evidence out to different witnesses in your case, the party calling the witness can simply add that witness into CaseLines, send them an

invitation, and they'll have access to the evidence in the case.

2.3

The instructions are sent out, in every case, about how to use CaseLines. And as I alluded earlier, we expect to discontinue the paper evidence requirement for most cases in the fall. That does not mean that there may not be some people in the State of California that wish to proceed with paper evidence. And they will, of course, be able to make a motion, and a judge will make a ruling based on that.

All right. So if people want to talk about

CaseLines or electronic evidence, this would be a good

time. Does any member of the committee have questions,

concerns, recommendations regarding CaseLines? See any

hands?

BOARD MEMBER MENYUK: Really quick question -- CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Sure.

BOARD MEMBER MENYUK: -- Your Honor. Thank you. Do you know -- as I sit here today, do you know if CaseLines, you can upload audio or video files?

CHAIRMAN GIBSON: You sure can.

BOARD MEMBER MENYUK: Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GIBSON: So IEP meetings, if that's evidence in your hearing, pictures, video for your hearings, all of that can be uploaded. The weird part is

1 it gets uploaded in the section called Documents. 2 BOARD MEMBER MENYUK: Right. Thank you. CHAIRMAN GIBSON: 3 Sure. 4 Any other questions or concerns or comments or 5 recommendations? (No audible response) 6 7 CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Any public comment? BOARD MEMBER MENYUK: Yeah --8 9 CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Rochelle, were you talking? 10 BOARD MEMBER MENYUK: Sorry. I was talking. I just 11 had another comment. When you invite someone in, can you 12 limit the evidence that they see, or once they're in, 13 they see everything? 14 CHAIRMAN GIBSON: So when you invite them into the 15 section, you will be able to -- you'll check what they 16 can do. So we wouldn't want a witness to upload 17 anything, right --18 BOARD MEMBER MENYUK: Right. 19 CHAIRMAN GIBSON: -- unless it was somebody 20 responding to a subpoena or something. So they can read. 21 They can download. 22 All right. Any public comment on CaseLines? 2.3 MS. SAFFOLD: Yes, we do have a few. 24 The first one is, "With uploading documents to

CaseLines, is there an expectation that the parties will

continue to Bates stamp each exhibit? It appears
CaseLines automatically Bates numbers exhibits."

CHAIRMAN GIBSON: That is correct. So CaseLines stamps it for you. Where it gets tricky is because we still have the paper pieces to it. So because the paper still needs to be Bates stamped, what some people are doing is they're uploading it first to CaseLines, having CaseLines Bates stamp it, basically, for them.

Bates stamping is numerically numbering every page of an evidence binder, for those people who don't know what that means.

And so if you actually upload your evidence first, then you download it and print it, it will have the same numbers, both on your paper evidence that's in the CaseLines evidence, so you could choose to do it in that order. Instead of stamping your evidence on paper then uploading it, you could do it upload it first, then download it and print it, and then all the numbers will match.

Your judge in your hearing will make a determination as to what the official record is and the official record numbers are. So, you know, this might be a time to not number your paper evidence, like, 1 through 1,000, and just keep your paper evidence internally paginated for each section. You know, there's an assessment that's

pages 1 to 24 and then an IEP that's pages 1 to 50, and not give them big numbers because CaseLines will do that.

And again, that'll disappear once we don't have the paper and the CaseLines going on at the same time.

More public comment?

2.3

MS. SAFFOLD: Yes. We have a couple more.

"For CaseLines, if I make notes, is it public to everyone with access? Also, will it have to be uploaded five days prior to hearing?"

CHAIRMAN GIBSON: So we require that it is uploaded five business days prior to the hearing. And your notes are only visible by you. However, there's another weird note section, if you're not careful, where it asks you who you want to see the note. So as long as you don't share your notes with anyone else, no one can see your notes.

MS. SAFFOLD: Okay. That's it for public comment at this time.

CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Okay. One quick thing that I just recalled, as well, that people have asked us recently is issues regarding evidence that doesn't have to be disclosed prior to a hearing but that might be used to impeach a witness or to refresh someone's recollection during hearing.

You should speak to the ALJ about that, but that can

be uploaded, or it could be saved in your computer. You could share your screen on Teams. We could see it.

There's a few ways we can handle that evidence that comes up at the last minute or that you find later. You should bring that up with the judge and ask the judge to assist you and make some rulings with that.

Any more questions or concerns from any member of our committee?

(No audible response)

2.0

CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Okay. Next is Item 17, which is district standing to participate in due process hearings.

And this was Member Grosner.

BOARD MEMBER GROSNER: Hi, Your Honor. Thank you.

So I'm just wondering is there a way that we can propose that OAH requests, like, verification from districts to assure they actually have standing to participate in due process and also that the case has actually been approved by the school board, as the Brown Act requires?

CHAIRMAN GIBSON: That sounds like a recommendation you are making. Is that a -- so let's -- I want to give everybody a chance to kind of chat about that for a minute, and then I'll ask you to remake it as a recommendation. And then we could see if there's a second.

So let's talk about that for a few minutes. Does 1 any other member of the committee want to talk about that 3 or be heard on that point? If I can't see --4 MS. SAFFOLD: I'm sorry to interrupt. 5 CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Yes. MS. SAFFOLD: Will we be circling back to Number 16, 6 7 the peremptory challenge? CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Did I miss it? Oh, we certainly 8 9 will. I apologize. I skipped right over 16. Yes. 10 are. We're now doing 17. I will go back to 16. 11 My apologies, everyone. 12 MS. SAFFOLD: Thank you. 13 CHAIRMAN GIBSON: So I don't see any more member 14 comments on that issue of standing. So would you like to 15 make a recommendation, Member Grosner? 16 BOARD MEMBER GROSNER: Yes, I would, Your Honor. 17 CHAIRMAN GIBSON: What is your recommendation? 18 BOARD MEMBER GROSNER: I would like to recommend 19 that OAH request verification from districts to ensure 20 that they actually have standing to participate in due 21 process and that the case has been approved by the school 22 board, as the Brown Act requires. 2.3 CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Does anyone second that recommendation? 24

I second.

BOARD MEMBER SENIGAR: Paula Senigar.

1 CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Okay. Thank you. All right. Now we're going to have a voice vote. And I'm going to start with the Northern California team. 3 4 So I'm going to call each name, and then I need you to 5 say yes or no. 6 If we cannot hear you, Danielle Christy, if you 7 could type it in for Jennifer Saffold, she will record 8 your vote for you. Let's start with Rochelle Hooks. Yes or no? 9 BOARD MEMBER HOOKS: No. 10 11 CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Danielle Christy? 12 Going to hang tight because she probably has a 13 delay. 14 MS. SAFFOLD: Ms. Christy votes yes. 15 CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Thank you. Elizabeth Schwartz? 16 17 BOARD MEMBER SCHWARTZ: No. 18 CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Meghan Magee? 19 BOARD MEMBER MAGEE: 2.0 CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Paula Senigar? 21 BOARD MEMBER SENIGAR: Yes. 22 CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Okay. I have gotten this far, 23 Ramaah, and I never asked you how to pronounce your last 24 name. My apologies. Will you please pronounce your last

25

name for me?

1	BOARD MEMBER SADASIVAM: Sure. No problem. It's
2	[Suh-dosh'-ee-vom].
3	CHAIRMAN GIBSON: [Suh-dosh'-ee-vom]?
4	BOARD MEMBER SADASIVAM: Um-hum.
5	CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Member Sadasivam, what is your
6	vote?
7	BOARD MEMBER SADASIVAM: Yes.
8	CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Thank you.
9	For our votes today, ALJ Yazigi is doing our votes.
10	Ms. Yazigi, how many yes and how many no?
11	ALJ YAZIGI: We received two yeses three yeses
12	and three nos.
13	CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Okay. So that failed to get a
14	majority in Northern California. Now for Southern
15	California.
16	Let's start with Dr. Sandoval?
17	BOARD MEMBER SANDOVAL: Yes.
18	CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Elaine Kooima? I didn't hear you.
19	She is giving a thumbs up, so your voice there we go.
20	BOARD MEMBER KOOIMA: Yes.
21	CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Thank you.
22	BOARD MEMBER KOOIMA: Do you hear me?
23	CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Yes.
24	Eric Menyuk?
25	BOARD MEMBER MENYUK: Yes.

1 CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Lindsey Steinholt? 2 BOARD MEMBER STEINHOLT: No. CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Marianne Grosner? 3 BOARD MEMBER GROSNER: Yes. 4 5 CHAIRMAN GIBSON: And Victor Duran, I don't think 6 he's here. 7 All right. ALJ Yazigi, what was our totals for 8 Southern California? 9 ALJ YAZIGI: Four yeses, one no. 10 CHAIRMAN GIBSON: So it passed in Southern 11 California. OAH will consider the recommendation. 12 Is there any further public comment on this section? 13 MS. SAFFOLD: Yes. There is quite a few lengthy 14 contributions to the public comment for this topic. So 15 the first one. 16 "Dear OAH Advisory Committee, I am a parent and 17 also a member of the San Bernardino County 18 Board of Education. I want to urge OAH to 19 enact policies to verify that district 20 representatives who are either filing or 21 defending due process case are indeed 22 authorized by the school district to litigate 2.3 the matter. 24 "As a parent of an adult student, when I

file for due process, I have to show OAH proof

that I have educational rights. But attorneys for the district simply have to file a notice of representation. In many cases, the attorneys are being paid by the SELPA, and the school district boards have never approved the matter.

"I wanted to comment and share how our family has been victimized by some of the law firms districts contract with. I was involved in a litigation in the West End SELPA which lasted seven years. SELPA litigated all the way to the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals to deny my child special education services. They lost, but the lawyers billed the district for every single minute throughout the seven years.

"Now, amid the coronavirus outbreak, another vendor, the law firm of Fagen Friedman & Fulfrost is suing children with disabilities. Fagen Friedman & Fulfrost has filed a myriad of legal actions to deny services to children with disabilities during the coronavirus outbreak.

"While most of the instances I am aware are in San Bernardino County, the issue remains the same for other counties. County funds are being utilized to pay for litigation to deny

special education services to students with disabilities, rather than to fund teachers or paraprofessionals to help the kids. These funds to pay lawyers to deny services are being labeled as instruction-related expense, thereby hiding what they are actually being used for.

"On March 18th, while our state was under stay-home orders, Fagen Friedman & Fulfrost sued our family for 12,000 dollars, arguing that they are due the money they needlessly spent on hiring private investigators to conduct surveillance of our family's attorney and private tutor.

"In March 2018, the Upland Unified School District school board voted unanimously to settle the case and give my daughter needed services, but yet Fagen Friedman & Fulfrost continued to pursue litigation without any board approval.

"Public records show that the firm spent over 124,000 dollars in an eight-month period to litigate but zero dollars to actually provide the services to the student, which is what the school board had approved.

"The law firm hired private investigators

2.3

to do surveillance on the student's attorney and private tutor. The surveillance the district paid for with education tax dollars included surveillance at home, comprehensive background, establishing whereabouts, locating picture of subject, research of social media, verifying property ownership, and DMV vehicle registration check/verification, all this to fight a request for reading instruction and related services.

"Please request that district attorneys offer proof to OAH that the school districts they are representing have indeed approved the due process case before you."

We do have two more additional comments for this agenda item. Would you like me to go ahead and read those now?

CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Yes, please.

MS. SAFFOLD: Okay. The next comment.

"Dear OAH Advisory Committee, I am the parent of two children with autism. In a forty-eight-hour period, the law firm Fagen Friedman & Fulfrost sued both my children. I was forced to hire attorneys to defend my children's rights and spent over two weeks in January and

February in special education due process hearings.

"One of my children was not attending public schools, as our family was paying for private education. Yet Fagen Friedman & Fulfrost sued my child in the event that he should ever consider coming back to the district.

"From April 14th, while in shutdown due to the coronavirus outbreak, Fagen Friedman & Fulfrost filed a third new legal action to deny my son assessments. The due process cases against my children have never been approved by the school board. It appears that Fagen Friedman & Fulfrost has figured out a loophole to the education system, where they essentially get a blank check for their billing.

"I want to urge OAH to enact policies to verify that district representatives who are either filing or defending a due process case are indeed authorized by the school district to litigate the matter. Please request that district attorneys offer proof to OAH that the school districts they are representing have indeed approved the due process case before

2.3

vou."

2.3

The last public comment on this topic is:

"Dear OAH Special Education Advisory Committee Members, thank you for the opportunity to provide public comment.

"In today's world, there is a lot of inequality and discrimination in special education. I feel our special needs children are being discriminated terribly. We won't stay silent any longer. We can't breathe. Our children deserve quality in education. For that reason, we have created the You Too Movement / Every Student Successful More Education / Less Litigation (ph.).

"My name's Mrs. Arias (ph.). I am a parent of three special needs children. All of them require an IEP and special education. My local SELPA is in Fontana, California. For several years, I have been forced to fight to receive assessments, eligibility, and even services. I have done Public Records Act requests for years, and I have come to learn that my local SELPA department uses thousands of dollars to pay high-priced legal firms to defend themselves and to litigate against

1 special needs families.

"My SELPA has paid almost \$469,953.23 for the three years alone. I'm providing you the list of invoices and payment information for your review. Many times, my SELPA has filed against my family to exit my children, to deny IEEs, and to remove services. I believe it is necessary to ask for local audits to learn how much of our hard-earned tax dollars are being spent to litigate.

"Once again, we cannot breathe. Our special education children deserve quality in education. Please send out a message that children with disabilities are worth your time and attention. Please support audits for our local SELPAs. I also request transparency on settlements through ADR mediation and DP. I believe settlement information should also be part of your website. Thank you for your consideration and time."

And that concludes the public comment at this time.

CHAIRMAN GIBSON: All right. Any more comments from any members of our committee before I move on or move back to Item 16, which I inadvertently skipped?

BOARD MEMBER SENIGAR: This is Paula Senigar. I

1 have a question. 2 CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Yes. BOARD MEMBER SENIGAR: Does OAH currently have a 3 4 policy to evaluate the district standings to participate 5 in due process? CHAIRMAN GIBSON: 6 No. 7 BOARD MEMBER SENIGAR: Thank you. CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Anything else? 8 9 Looks like, Jennifer, one more public comment? 10 MS. SAFFOLD: Yes, a couple more have come in. 11 "There is a lot of inequity and inequality. 12 became a nonrelated legal guardian to a sixteen-year-old African American student, 13 14 received foster care benefits for him, and used 15 money to hire special." 16 I am a parent of a special ed children --17 "I'm a parent of special ed children for 18 several years. I have been forced to litigate 19 with district. My district filed due process 20 on our family approximately four times. 21 is without school district authorization. 22 Please ask OAH proof of all litigation. 2.3 you." 24

And that is all that we have received at this time.

CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Okay. All right. I'm going to

move on to the Agenda Item 16, which is a peremptory challenge change beginning July 2020.

For those of you that don't know, a peremptory challenge is a challenge that can be made without cause for any ALJ. There's two types of challenges when a judge is assigned to your case. A peremptory challenge is kind of a free challenge. You don't have to state a reason. You just kind of -- you just get the ability to say, I don't want Judge X to hear my case.

Challenge for cause is different. That would mean that there was some reason that this judge shouldn't hear your case. It's a different kind of motion. I am not going to be discussing challenge for cause today. Those will remain as they always have. You could make a motion that this judge has a bias or something. Those are different.

Peremptory challenges is what I'm talking about. In cases filed July 1st, 2020 and later, the parties will be sent a list of all special ed ALJs with the scheduling order they get when the case is filed. It will have a list of all our special ed ALJs. The parties will have fifteen calendar days to return that form if they would like to make a peremptory challenge in their case.

So you'll mark if there's a certain judge you don't want to hear your case. You'll mark it. You'll file it

with OAH. It's due fifteen days after you get the scheduling order.

2.3

For any existing case filed prior to July 1st, 2020, the parties, during the month of July, will receive the same list of all ALJs. If the party has not yet made a peremptory challenge in their case, that party will have fifteen calendar days to return the form to OAH to make a peremptory challenge or three days before the scheduled PHC in their case, whichever is earlier.

It is a change from the way that peremptory challenges have been handled at OAH. When the Special Education Hearing Office had the contract, prior to 2005, there were no peremptory challenges for administrative law judges doing special education hearings. When they came to OAH, there is a section of the APA that we had been using for peremptory challenges. But that section of the APA has to be opted into by the agency, by regulation, saying that they want it to be used.

CDE never opted in to that regulation by their own regulation, so they never opted in to the peremptory challenge. So in our new contract with CDE, effective July 1st, we had only been doing it through contract with CDE. Those contract terms have been changed, and this is the way that OAH is going to be handling our peremptory challenges, effective July 1st, 2020.

1 So I'll now open it up for questions, concerns, 2 comments. 3 Eric? 4 BOARD MEMBER MENYUK: Yeah, a couple things. 5 that list, still only one, or do we get, like, a smorgasbord, where you just, like, check off --6 7 CHAIRMAN GIBSON: No, no, it's one. Okay, thank you. Yeah, but on 8 BOARD MEMBER MENYUK: 9 a more serious note, so what happens when, not for any 10 challenge but for scheduling reasons, a judge is changed 11 and you haven't done a peremptory challenge? 12 CHAIRMAN GIBSON: You have fifteen days from the 13 beginning to tell us what judge you never want assigned 14 to that case. So that judge will never be assigned. 15 you won't --16 BOARD MEMBER MENYUK: Got it. 17 CHAIRMAN GIBSON: -- have an assignment prior to 18 making that peremptory. 19 BOARD MEMBER MENYUK: Got it. So then it will be 20 one of the other judges if it got switched? 21 CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Yeah. 22 BOARD MEMBER MENYUK: Okay, thank you. 23 CHAIRMAN GIBSON: And there's still one per party 24 per case. 25 Any other questions, concerns, comments? Oh, come

-72-

1 I thought this was going to be way more raucous than this is. 3 (No audible response) 4 CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Any public comment? 5 MS. SAFFOLD: There is no public comment on this 6 agenda item. If we could just wait one second, I'm 7 making sure that there's no technical difficulties, 8 though. 9 CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Sure. 10 MS. SAFFOLD: Okay. Looks like we're good to 11 proceed. Thank you. 12 CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Okay. I'm going to move, now, to 13 Agenda Item 18, which is updates to OAH decision and 14 orders database. Those were Members Schwartz and Steinholt. 15 16 I guess I'll just speak a little bit before you 17 start. 18 All of our decisions have -- are available on our 19 website. They are now all in an accessible format. 2.0 are available with the search function, available on the 21 website. So I'll go to Member Steinholt first, and then 22 I'll go to Member Schwartz, and then I'll open it up to 23 everybody. Member Steinholt? 24

-73-

I was just wondering

**BOARD MEMBER STEINHOLT:** Yeah.

if there's any update on OAH plans to put orders on the website so that we can search for orders or what the status of that is, and then if there's any intent to refine the decision search to make it easier to locate certain decisions.

CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Sure. My hope is that by second quarter of the new fiscal year, so sometime before the end of this calendar year, we have our -- we start putting our orders back up again. Our orders, because they were so old, in many cases, and they were just sitting in the database, policies had changed; procedures had changed; law had changed. And there is no way for people to know, especially with older orders, whether or not that was still the standard OAH was using. And it was really causing some confusion.

So we are going to re-put the orders that are newer on the website, and we are going to put in place something to where we can have a manageable number on there, so they'll be helpful for the members of the public.

For instance, once there's a hundred continuance orders up there, I don't know that the 101st continuance order's really going to help. But, like, the hundredth stay-put order would be really helpful, right, because those are so fact-dependent and very different. So our

hope is to have those available by second quarter on the website.

As to making the website search function more functional, we had hoped that that was something we may be able to do, financially, this upcoming year. And I have a feeling that it is not going to be in the cards with the State of California, given the current budget issues, to be able to upgrade that. It was something we were looking to do. I suspect that it will be something that happens not this fiscal year.

The requirement in the law is, technically, that we make them available. It's not that we offer a search. I think the search is very important. Our judges like the search. I mean, it isn't something that we -- we know it needs some work, but realistically, I just don't financially see that, probably, on the table this year.

What some people have done is if you would like to send us a request, we can send you the bank on a CD or a thumb drive, and you can, you know, search it yourself. I don't know that that gets you any better results than, currently, it does on our website, and it won't be updated or anything. It would just be the previous ones. And if there's a specific case you're looking for, you can, of course, contact us, and we'll get you whatever specific case you're looking for.

1 BOARD MEMBER STEINHOLT: Thank --2 CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Go ahead. 3 BOARD MEMBER MENYUK: Yeah, quick question. BOARD MEMBER STEINHOLT: 4 I --5 BOARD MEMBER MENYUK: I'm sorry. CHAIRMAN GIBSON: I'm going to go back to -- that's 6 7 okay. I'm going to stick with Member Steinholt. Then 8 I'll go to Schwartz. Then I'll go to you, Member Menyuk. 9 BOARD MEMBER MENYUK: Thank you. 10 BOARD MEMBER STEINHOLT: I was just saying thank 11 you, Your Honor. 12 CHAIRMAN GIBSON: You're welcome. 13 Member Schwartz? 14 BOARD MEMBER SCHWARTZ: Yes. I do have a comment, 15 but I wanted to follow up on something. Did you say that 16 some of the orders -- that all orders will not be put up 17 but that it will be selective, that some will be? Did I 18 understand that correctly? 19 CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Yes. That's what the regulation 20 calls for. The regulation doesn't require that all 21 orders are posted. 22 BOARD MEMBER SCHWARTZ: And then I just wanted to 23 clarify. I do see that the decision section of the 24 website has been updated. I see there are 177 cases,

currently. Does that include all of the OAH decisions?

1 That seems --CHAIRMAN GIBSON: There's 2,000 decisions or so up 3 there --4 BOARD MEMBER SCHWARTZ: Right. 5 CHAIRMAN GIBSON: -- that are in there. It's all of our decisions back to -- and I'm going to ask Jenn to 6 7 help me here -- I think it's 2005. MS. SAFFOLD: Yes. It's all available decisions 8 9 from that time period. 10 BOARD MEMBER SCHWARTZ: Okay. Perhaps my browser is 11 not showing everything right now. As I went to the 12 website, for some reason, only 177 are appearing. 13 CHAIRMAN GIBSON: It may only pull that amount if 14 you're not do -- I mean, it may be relative to the search 15 or something, and it may only pull -- but they're all 16 there. As a matter of fact, we've recently put them all 17 back in and --18 BOARD MEMBER SCHWARTZ: Okay. 19 CHAIRMAN GIBSON: -- or put them all in a different 20 place, and they're all -- they've all been made 21 accessible. So I feel very confident they're there.

BOARD MEMBER SCHWARTZ: Okay. Yeah, just if you go directly to the website and search decisions without putting anything in the search bar, it says, out of 177 results. But I trust that they're all there and it's

22

23

24

1 just a --2 CHAIRMAN GIBSON: I promise. BOARD MEMBER SCHWARTZ: -- glitch. Okay, thank you. 3 4 CHAIRMAN GIBSON: All right. Member Menyuk? 5 BOARD MEMBER MENYUK: Really quickly, again, Your Honor, you had mentioned you can order a bank of -- is 6 7 that just the decisions, or is that the orders as well? CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Just the decisions. 8 9 BOARD MEMBER MENYUK: And who does that request go 10 to? CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Send it in to --11 12 Jenn, who do we send it to? Probably -- who do you 13 think? 14 MS. SAFFOLD: They can be sent to me, and we'll --15 we should process them. 16 BOARD MEMBER MENYUK: Thank you. 17 CHAIRMAN GIBSON: And again, we are a State agency. 18 We do our very best. We happen to have a few people out, 19 so we will do our best to process it as soon as we can. 20 I can't guarantee super-fast turnarounds, but we will 21 absolutely do our best. And again, we don't update them, 22 so you're only going to get the pile that exists. 2.3 Anybody have any other comments or questions? 24 BOARD MEMBER SENIGAR: Hi. This is Paula Senigar.

25

I have another question.

CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Sure.

2.0

**BOARD MEMBER SENIGAR:** How will OAH filter and select the orders that will be posted?

CHAIRMAN GIBSON: So technically, the regulation says I get to decide, based on their importance to the community. So we are going to give a variety of all kinds of orders that we do, right? So every kind of order will be represented: orders on stay put, orders on continuances, orders on sanctions, orders -- pre-hearing conference orders.

But at some point, filtering through 4,000 continuance orders versus 10 stay-put orders doesn't make a lot of sense. So we are going to make sure that every kind of order is well represented up there, but once there's, for example, ten continuance orders that were granted because someone was about to give birth to a baby, then I don't know that the twelfth or thirteenth order on that same exact issue offers any more insight for a person deciding whether or not they should file a continuance and how they should write it to write it.

But a continuance order that might be about a trip or a witness being unavailable or other things, we'll try and get as wide a variety out there. The purpose of having the orders available online, as I see it, is both transparency but also so if you're thinking of filing a

motion, you can see what the orders are on that same issue by different judges and get a feel for what you should be arguing, what you should be pleading, what kind of information is looked for, how likely it is it might be granted.

So I want to make sure we've got a wide enough variety of orders on there so people can see what they're doing. Orders simply granting a mediation -- both parties agree they want to mediate on June 20th; we issue an order and grant it -- I don't know that those are particularly helpful. They have no writing on them.

There's no thought process that goes into them. I don't mean there's no thought process, but I mean you can't look at that later and figure out what happened. The two parties stipulated it was their first mediation. They got to pick the date.

So we want to make sure that the orders that are up are informative to people and they help people decide how to proceed in their own case, to the extent that they can get some help from that.

Did that answer your question?

BOARD MEMBER SENIGAR: Yeah. I think for me, my concern as a parent and also an advocate is that the orders provide transparency and they can show systemic issues. So I would just be very concerned about what

1 orders were posted, what orders are not posted, because something that's important to a parent may be -- may not 3 be important to OAH. So I just wanted to say that. 4 That's a concern of mine. 5 CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Sure. Would anybody else like to comment, concerns? 6 7 BOARD MEMBER GROSNER: I had a comment. CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Member Grosner? 8 9 BOARD MEMBER GROSNER: Thank you, Your Honor. 10 You guys were talking about the search function. 11 don't know if it was just me. I actually have a hard 12 time with the search function. I don't -- I can't seem 13 to search on very simple things. And I'm wondering is 14 this -- is anybody else having that problem as well? 15 CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Oh, it's not just you. BOARD MEMBER GROSNER: 16 Okay. 17 CHAIRMAN GIBSON: It's a very rudimentary search 18 function -- very, very rudimentary. 19 BOARD MEMBER GROSNER: Okay. All right. 2.0 CHAIRMAN GIBSON: It's not you. 21 **BOARD MEMBER GROSNER:** It's not me? Thank you. 22 Because I'm a IT person. I'm like, I'm not able to 23 figure this out.

CHAIRMAN GIBSON: You know -- yeah.

24

25

BOARD MEMBER GROSNER: And the other thing I just

wondered, how long does it take from when a case closes?

How long does it take to get into the database?

2.0

CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Pretty quickly. So when an order is issued, at the end of every month -- a decision issued -- the end of every month a decision is issued, they are uploaded into our database.

You've seen some differences, over the last six months to a year, because we needed to reformat our decisions that we had previously issued to make sure they met the legal accessibility requirements that have come into play in the last few years. So we reformatted some, so there was some in and out, kind of, for the decisions. But they are now all completely done, completely up there, and that's where they sit.

BOARD MEMBER GROSNER: Okay. All right. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GIBSON: So you may have had more weirdness
than usual the last few months in trying --

**BOARD MEMBER GROSNER:** Okay.

CHAIRMAN GIBSON: -- to find.

**BOARD MEMBER GROSNER:** All right. Thank you very much.

BOARD MEMBER MENYUK: Just one comment, which is I would agree with Member Schwartz that it looks like the website currently only has 177 decisions. Hopefully, that'll be updated.

1 But also, it says it's from July 1, 2012. And I know you said 2005, but it used to say 2005. And now 3 it's saying July 1, 2012. CHAIRMAN GIBSON: It's 2005. We'll fix that. 4 5 BOARD MEMBER MENYUK: Okay. CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Anybody else? 6 7 (No audible response) CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Any public comment? 8 9 MS. SAFFOLD: Yes. We have quite a few public 10 comments on this topic. 11 The first is, "Where can we, the public" -- and most 12 of these have been addressed through the discussion of 13 this agenda item. 14 The first is, "Where can we, the public, access 15 special education decisions issued by OAH, and how do we 16 access the previous decisions, since they do not seem to 17 be working on the website?" 18 CHAIRMAN GIBSON: They're on the website, and it 19 works. It's just not a great search function. 2.0 MS. SAFFOLD: Next comment. 21 "Will the OAH database include orders? Again, 22 when OAH changed its online database, it lost 2.3 the orders online. We are requesting that the 24 orders also be posted. Thank you."

25

CHAIRMAN GIBSON:

2.3

MS. SAFFOLD: Next comment, "The website indicates only 177 results for OAH decisions without an initial search. Sounds like we should be able to request all of the decisions from a specific school district and get a bank of decisions."

CHAIRMAN GIBSON: So it looks -- I mean, you could put the name of the school district in and do a search. The other thing I will tell you that I will do, because it's -- we're getting that weird 177 thing back, is we'll put in a ticket with our ETS people and see if we can figure out why it's returning that weird number at the end and see if we can figure that out.

MS. SAFFOLD: Another comment, "I only see 177 decisions available. What is the name of the person we are to direct requests for a thumb drive of OAH decisions to date?"

Another related comment, "Can you provide contact information to receive the bank of decisions?"

And the last --

CHAIRMAN GIBSON: So --

MS. SAFFOLD: -- comment -- oh, go ahead.

CHAIRMAN GIBSON: I was going to say, so it can go to Jennifer Saffold. Poor Jenn's email is about to get blown up. It's Jennifer, J-E-N-N-I-F-E-R, dot S-A-F-F-O-L-D at dgs.ca.gov. But you can also pop onto

our website, and there's a couple areas where you can ask a question or hit a link. You're welcome to do it there.

There's a feedback place. We can help you with it there.

And again, we'll get it to you as quickly as we can, but it's not something that's updated. You're probably better off, long term, on the website, because it will have the updated ones. But you're welcome -- we'll give you what we have. And we will also see if we can figure out why it says 177. We know they're all there because we've recently looked at them in the giant file that the search pulls from. So we will -- we'll see if we can figure out why it says 177. But I promise you they're there.

MS. SAFFOLD: (Indiscernible).

2.3

CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Sometimes different browsers work.
Sorry, Jenn.

Sometimes different browsers work differently. If you're struggling with, like, Internet Explorer, try Chrome. Try a couple different explorers. Some people actually get different results based on different browsers.

I'm sorry. Go ahead.

MS. SAFFOLD: One moment.

"Another question, again, reiterating one of the members' statements. Currently, there are only 177

1 decisions before filtering the decisions, so where should we be searching to find the 2,000-plus orders?" CHAIRMAN GIBSON: They're there. 3 4 MS. SAFFOLD: "I just did a search based on my last 5 name as a participating attorney. Only three case decisions show up, but I have been involved in over ten 6 7 case decisions where I know my name is listed. 8 tells me that not all recent case decisions are 9 accessible." 10 And the last public comment on this topic is, "This 11 is Ms. Arias. The website is very difficult to search. 12 Can OAH have an agency look into it and fix it?" 13 And that is it for this -- the public comment on 14 this agenda item. 15 CHAIRMAN GIBSON: All right. Anything else from the 16 committee on this agenda item? 17 (No audible response) 18 CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Don't see any hands. All right. 19 I am going to move on, next, to public comments. 2.0 time is set aside for individuals desiring to speak on 21 any topic not otherwise on the agenda. In all cases, I 22 reserve the right to impose time limits. And we said

Are there any general public comments?

MS. SAFFOLD: Yes, we have a few.

earlier, it'd be three minutes a person.

2.3

24

The first is, "Will" -- this is a late comment in regards to peremptory challenges. "Will OAH post a written explanation of the new procedure for peremptory challenges?"

2.3

CHAIRMAN GIBSON: Yes. We'll also send it out to everybody.

MS. SAFFOLD: Another public comment, "Dear Judge, please allow public comments before the committee votes on the item. Otherwise, it is sending out the message that the public comments are meaningless."

CHAIRMAN GIBSON: I apologize if I missed that earlier. That was not my intent. There's a lot to shuffle.

MS. SAFFOLD: Okay. And then the last public comment at this time is:

"I became a nonrelated legal guardian for a African American student when he was sixteen years old. His mom was homeless and mentally ill. Dad was illiterate and abusive. I wanted to break the cycle of poverty for him. I hired a special ed attorney to get him reading remediation. At twenty-seven, he was tested at second-grade reading. I spent one and a half years with attorney for him at IEP for him.

"District used Dannis Woliver Kelley

1 2

> 3 4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

attorney, who has been at many trials against special ed students. Because he was on free lunch, district received additional money from CDE to close the achievement gap from Local Control Funding Formula LCAP.

"Fall of 2018, student attempted suicide, saying he wishes he didn't have dyslexia. had severe reading disability.

"Four months later, attorney and assistant principal denied him reading remediation. We received letter saying he is college-career ready. I would have gone to due process, but he left home. I have noticed that the law firms hired by district are primarily white, using taxpayer dollars, denying reading remediation.

"So many African Americans are in prison, can't read, and have reading disabilities. can these individuals afford attorney to read? To me, there is white privilege in special ed. Hashtag Black Lives Matter, hashtag Until Everyone Can Read."

And additional comment is, "Dear OAH, please allow public to make public themselves by calling in. Thanks. Mrs. Arias."

And that concludes all the public comment that has been received thus far.

CHAIRMAN GIBSON: All right. Then I'm going to go ahead and adjourn our meeting. Thank you all very, very much for participating, both members of our committee, OAH employees, and members of the public for participating online.

And I look forward to the agenda for the next meeting and to see you all again the third Friday in October. Thank you all very much. Have a great day.

(End of recording)

## TRANSCRIBER'S CERTIFICATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

This is to certify that I transcribed the foregoing pages 1 to 89 to the best of my ability from an audio recording provided to me.

I have subscribed this certificate at Phoenix, Arizona, this 29th day of July, 2020.

Clean Odom

Cheryl Odom

eScribers, LLC

--000--