

**FINAL STATEMENT OF REASONS
FOR PROPOSED BUILDING STANDARDS
OF THE STATE HISTORICAL BUILDING SAFETY BOARD (SHBSB)
REGARDING THE 2022 CALIFORNIA HISTORICAL BUILDING CODE (CHBC),
CALIFORNIA CODE OF REGULATIONS, TITLE 24, PART 8
(SHBSB 01/21)**

The Administrative Procedure Act requires that every agency shall maintain a file of each rulemaking that shall be deemed to be the record for that rulemaking proceeding. The rulemaking file shall include a Final Statement of Reasons. The Final Statement of Reasons shall be available to the public upon request when rulemaking action is being undertaken. The following are the reasons for proposing this particular rulemaking action:

UPDATES TO THE INITIAL STATEMENT OF REASONS:

Government Code Section 11346.9(a)(1) requires an update of the information contained in the Initial Statement of Reasons. If the update identifies any data or any technical, theoretical or empirical study, report, or similar document on which the state agency is relying that was not identified in the Initial Statement of Reasons, the state agency shall comply with Government Code Section 11347.1.

The State Historical Building Safety Board has not added any data (including technical, theoretical, or empirical studies, reports, or similar documents relied upon) that would necessitate an update of the information contained in the Initial Statement of Reasons.

MANDATE ON LOCAL AGENCIES OR SCHOOL DISTRICTS

Pursuant to Government Code Section 11346.9(a)(2), if the determination as to whether the proposed action would impose a mandate, the agency shall state whether the mandate is reimbursable pursuant to Part 7 of Division 4. If the agency finds that the mandate is not reimbursable, it shall state the reasons for the finding(s).

The State Historical Building Safety Board has determined that the proposed regulatory action **WOULD NOT** impose a mandate on local agencies or school districts.

This rulemaking carries forward existing provisions from the 2019 California Historical Building Code without further amendment.

OBJECTIONS OR RECOMMENDATIONS MADE REGARDING THE PROPOSED REGULATION(S).

Government Code Section 11346.9(a)(3) requires a summary of EACH objection or recommendation regarding the specific adoption, amendment, or repeal proposed, and an explanation of how the proposed action was changed to accommodate each objection or recommendation, or the reasons for making no change. This requirement applies only to objections or recommendations specifically directed at the agency's proposed action or to the procedures followed by the agency in proposing or adopting the action, or reasons for making no change. Irrelevant or repetitive comments may be aggregated and summarized as a group.

Item 1

Chapter 8-1 Administration, Chapter 8-2 Definitions, Chapter 8-3 Use and Occupancy, Chapter 8-4 Fire Protection, Chapter 8-5 Means of Egress, Chapter 8-6 Accessibility, Chapter 8-7 Structural Regulations, Chapter 8-8 Archaic Materials and Methods of Construction, Chapter 8-9 Mechanical, Plumbing and Electrical Requirements, Chapter 8-10 Qualified Historical Districts, Sites and Open Spaces, and Appendix A.

The State Historical Building Safety Board proposes to adopt the 2022 California Historical Building Code, carrying forward existing provisions from the 2019 California Historical Building Code, without further amendment.

Commenter(s) and Recommendation (if applicable):

No public comments were received regarding this action.

Agency Response:

Not applicable.

DETERMINATION OF ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED AND EFFECT ON PRIVATE PERSONS

Government Code Section 11346.9(a)(4) requires a determination with supporting information that no alternative considered would be more effective in carrying out the purpose for which the regulation is proposed, or would be as effective and less burdensome to affected private persons than the adopted regulation, or would be more cost-effective to affected private persons and equally effective in implementing the statutory policy or other provisions of law.

The State Historical Building Safety Board has not identified any reasonable alternative to the proposed action and no adverse impact to affected private persons or small business due to these proposed changes is expected.

REJECTED PROPOSED ALTERNATIVE THAT WOULD LESSEN THE ADVERSE ECONOMIC IMPACT ON SMALL BUSINESSES:

Government Code Section 11346.9(a)(5) requires an explanation setting forth the reasons for rejecting any proposed alternatives that would lessen the adverse economic impact on small businesses, including the benefits of the proposed regulation per 11346.5(a)(3).

Not applicable.