

**FINAL STATEMENT OF REASONS  
FOR PROPOSED BUILDING STANDARDS  
OF THE DIVISION OF THE STATE ARCHITECT-STRUCTURAL SAFETY  
(DSA-SS and DSA-SS/CC)  
REGARDING THE 2022 CALIFORNIA ELECTRICAL CODE,  
CALIFORNIA CODE OF REGULATIONS, TITLE 24, PART 3  
(DSA SS/CC 01/20)**

The Administrative Procedure Act requires that every agency shall maintain a file of each rulemaking that shall be deemed to be the record for that rulemaking proceeding. The rulemaking file shall include a Final Statement of Reasons. The Final Statement of Reasons shall be available to the public upon request when rulemaking action is being undertaken. The following are the reasons for proposing this particular rulemaking action:

**UPDATES TO THE INITIAL STATEMENT OF REASONS:**

Government Code Section 11346.9(a)(1) requires an update of the information contained in the Initial Statement of Reasons. If the update identifies any data or any technical, theoretical or empirical study, report, or similar document on which the state agency is relying that was not identified in the Initial Statement of Reasons, the state agency shall comply with Government Code Section 11347.1.

DSA has not added any data (including technical, theoretical, or empirical studies, reports, or similar documents relied upon) that would necessitate an update of the information contained in the Initial Statement of Reasons.

**MANDATE ON LOCAL AGENCIES OR SCHOOL DISTRICTS**

Pursuant to Government Code Section 11346.9(a)(2), if the determination as to whether the proposed action would impose a mandate, the agency shall state whether the mandate is reimbursable pursuant to Part 7 of Division 4. If the agency finds that the mandate is not reimbursable, it shall state the reasons for the finding(s).

The DSA has determined that the proposed regulatory action WOULD NOT impose a mandate on local agencies or school districts.

DSA does have the authority to adopt regulations applicable to school districts. While the proposed building standard regulations are applicable to and required to be enforced by local agencies (i.e., city and county building departments,), the regulations within this proposal make clarifying changes to existing standards with no intended change in regulatory effect.

**OBJECTIONS OR RECOMMENDATIONS MADE REGARDING THE PROPOSED REGULATION(S).**

Government Code Section 11346.9(a)(3) requires a summary of EACH objection or recommendation regarding the specific adoption, amendment, or repeal proposed, and an explanation of how the proposed action was changed to accommodate each objection or recommendation, or the reasons for making no change. This requirement applies only to objections or recommendations specifically directed at the agency's proposed action or to the procedures followed by the agency in proposing or adopting the action, or reasons for

making no change. Irrelevant or repetitive comments may be aggregated and summarized as a group.

The following is a summary of the comments received during the 45-day public comment period, and the explanation of the reasons for making not changes as specified:

**Item 1: Not proposed for change: Article 210.8(F)  
Chapter 6, Section (F)**

(F) was newly added to the 2020 NEC.

**Commenter(s) and Recommendation (if applicable):**

Robert Glass, Goodman Manufacturing Company, L.P. proposed to delete or modify this article concerning new requirements for outdoor outlet ground fault circuit interrupter (GFCI) devices.

**Agency Response:**

DSA acknowledges the commenter's concerns and appreciates their support and participation in the code development process. After further review, no changes to the Final Express terms were made as a result of these comments, which are technical in nature. As DSA and other state agencies are not proposing changes to Article 210.8(F), which is model code language published by the 2020 National Electrical Code (NEC), these suggested code changes could be more appropriately considered in the next or future code cycle pre-cycle workshops and by the Plumbing, Electrical, Mechanical and Energy (PEME) Code Advisory Committee. DSA thanks the commenter for his input and recommends also contacting the National Fire Protection Association (NFPA70-National Fire Code) for additional information.

**DETERMINATION OF ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED AND EFFECT ON PRIVATE PERSONS**

Government Code Section 11346.9(a)(4) requires a determination with supporting information that no alternative considered would be more effective in carrying out the purpose for which the regulation is proposed, or would be as effective and less burdensome to affected private persons than the adopted regulation, or would be more cost-effective to affected private persons and equally effective in implementing the statutory policy or other provisions of law.

DSA has determined that no reasonable alternative considered by DSA or that has otherwise been identified and brought to the attention of DSA would be more effective in carrying out the purpose for which the regulation is proposed or be as effective as and less burdensome to affected private persons than the adopted regulation or be more cost-effective to affected private persons and be equally as effective in implementing the statutory policy or other provisions of law.

**REJECTED PROPOSED ALTERNATIVE THAT WOULD LESSEN THE ADVERSE ECONOMIC IMPACT ON SMALL BUSINESSES:**

Government Code Section 11346.9(a)(5) requires an explanation setting forth the reasons for rejecting any proposed alternatives that would lessen the adverse economic impact on small businesses, including the benefits of the proposed regulation per 11346.5(a)(3).

There were no proposed alternatives. DSA has determined that the proposed regulation will have no adverse impact on small businesses.