

**From:** codeguy411@aol.com  
**To:** [Fabian\\_Gary@DGS](mailto:Fabian_Gary@DGS); [Nearman\\_Michael@DGS](mailto:Nearman_Michael@DGS); [CBSC@DGS](mailto:CBSC@DGS); [Marvelli\\_Mia@DGS](mailto:Marvelli_Mia@DGS)  
**Subject:** 45 Day Comments: DSA-SS DSA-SS/CC Emergency Certification  
**Date:** Friday, October 06, 2017 1:09:51 AM

---

DSA-SS  
DSA-SS/CC

Since the regulatory effect and "meaning" is so incredibly unclear as described in the express terms: **it shall be, or it shall not be concealed?** From the express terms, what could **shall be not be** mean?

Since the public cannot discern what the requirement actually is, any clarification, one way or the other, will be substantial.

Any change to this language will require a 15 Day comment period.

110.3.8.1 Weather exposed balcony and walking surface waterproofing. [DSA-SS, DSA-SS/CC] Where balcony or other elevated walking surfaces are exposed to water from direct or blowing rain, snow, or irrigation, and the structural framing is protected by an impervious moisture barrier, all elements of the impervious moisture barrier system **shall be not be** concealed until inspected and approved. Exception: Where special inspections are provided in accordance with Section 1705.1.1, Item 3.

It shall be?

Or it shall not be?

It shall be not be?

Which choice is not substantial?

Substantial changes alter the meaning of the regulatory provisions and require further notice to the public. Substantial changes that are sufficiently related (i.e., reasonably foreseeable based on the NOPA) must be made available for public comment for at least 15 days before adopting such a change.

Daniel Cooper