BEFORE THE
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE CONSOLIDATED MATTERS INVOLVING:

PARENT ON BEHALF OF STUDENT,

and

MT. DIABLO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT,

OAH CASE NUMBER 2023010234

OAH CASE NUMBER 2022120477

ORDER DENYING REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

MARCH 8, 2023

On March 6, 2023, the undersigned Administrative Law Judge issued an order Denying Student's motion to compel documents and granting Mount Diablo Unified School District's motions to quash subpoenas issued in January and February of 2023. On March 8, 2023, Student filed a motion for reconsideration of the order. As of the date of this order, the Office of Administrative Hearings had not received a response from Mount Diablo.

APPLICABLE LAW

The Office of Administrative Hearings will generally reconsider a ruling upon a showing of new or different facts, circumstances, or law justifying reconsideration, when

the party seeks reconsideration within a reasonable period of time, generally within ten days. (See, e.g., Gov. Code, § 11521; Code Civ. Proc., § 1008.) The party seeking reconsideration may also be required to provide an explanation for its failure to previously provide the different facts, circumstances or law. (See *Baldwin v. Home Savings of America* (1997) 59 Cal.App.4th 1192, 1199-1200.)

DISCUSSION

Student has not established the March 6, 2023 order should be reconsidered. Student did not offer new law or facts related to the subpoenas issued in January and February 2023. Parent attached another proposed subpoena to the motion. However, this is not relevant to the order issued March 6, 2023. The motion for reconsideration is denied. All dates set in this matter are confirmed.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Penelope S. Pahl

Penelope S. Pahl
Administrative Law Judge
Office of Administrative Hearings