BEFORE THE
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE MATTER OF:

PARENT ON BEHALF OF STUDENT,

v.

SOUTH PASADENA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT.

OAH CASE NUMBER 2026020971

ORDER DENYING STUDENT'S REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE MAY 22, 2026 ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO DISMISS COMPLAINT FOR STUDENT'S FAILURE TO PARTICIPATE IN A RESOLUTION PERIOD

JUNE 12, 2026

On May 22, 2026, the undersigned Administrative Law Judge issued an order granting South Pasadena Unified School District's motion to dismiss Student's due process hearing request, called a complaint, because Student failed to participate in a resolution session, referred to as the May 22, 2026 Order. On June 8, 2026, Parent on behalf of Student filed with the Office of Administrative Hearings, called OAH, a Request

for Due Process Hearing Only, called the Request. The Request seeks to reopen this matter. The Request referenced an "attached letter" to the Request. However, OAH did not receive a letter attached to the Request. Student's request to reopen this case is deemed a motion for reconsideration of the May 22, 2026 Order. On June 9, 2026, South Pasadena Unified filed an opposition to motion for reconsideration.

OAH will generally reconsider a ruling upon a showing of new or different facts, circumstances, or law justifying reconsideration, when the party seeks reconsideration within a reasonable period of time, generally within ten days. (See, e.g., Gov. Code, § 11521; Code Civ. Proc., § 1008.) The party seeking reconsideration may also be required to provide an explanation for its failure to previously provide the different facts, circumstances or law. (See *Baldwin v. Home Savings of America* (1997) 59 Cal.App.4th 1192, 1199-1200.)

Student's motion for reconsideration of the May 22, 2026 Order offers no new facts, circumstances or law to support reconsideration. Student fails to establish that Parent participated in a resolution for this matter prior to the dismissal of the complaint, and fails to demonstrate that Parent is willing to participate in a resolution. Accordingly, Student's motion for reconsideration of the May 22, 2026 Order is denied. This matter remains closed.

If Student elects to file a new complaint, OAH will assign that complaint a new case number. The filing of the new complaint by Student will require the parties to participate in a resolution session before a due process hearing on the complaint is held, unless the session is waived by both parties or if they agree to use mediation. (34 C.F.R. § 300.510(a)(3) and (b)(3).)

ORDER

Student did not establish the May 22, 2026 Order should be reconsidered. The motion for reconsideration is denied. This matter remains closed.

Rommel P. Cruz

Rommel P. Cruz

Administrative Law Judge

Office of Administrative Hearings