BEFORE THE
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE MATTER OF:

PARENTS ON BEHALF OF STUDENT,

V.

LOS ALAMITOS UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT.

OAH CASE NUMBER 2025030050

ORDER REVISING ISSUES FOR HEARING

OCTOBER 22, 2025

On October 14, 2025, OAH issued an Order Following Prehearing Conference that set forth the issues to be heard in the upcoming due process hearing.

On October 17, 2025, Student filed a Notice of Incorrect Issues.

On October 21, 2025, Los Alamitos filed a Notice of Incorrect Issues and Opposition to Student's Notice of Incorrect Issues.

Some of the requests for revision of the issues have merit. The issues for hearing are revised below, with modifications indicated in italics and strikeouts.

1. Did Los Alamitos deny Student a FAPE from March 3, 2023, through the 2022-2023 and 2023-2024 ~~and 2024-2025,~~ school years because it failed to identify, locate and assess Student in the areas of functional behavior, educationally related mental health, speech and language, transition, executive functioning, and academics?
2. Did Los Alamitos deny Student a FAPE from *March 3, 2023, through the 2022-2023 and* 2023-2024 ~~and 2024-2025~~ school years by offering legally insufficient services*,* ~~and~~ supports *and goals* in the areas of specialized academic instruction, mental health, speech and language, and transition?
3. Did Los Alamitos deny Student a FAPE ~~in the school years 2022-2023,~~*from March 3, 2023, through the 2022-2023 and* 2023-2024 school years, ~~and 2024-2025~~ by materially failing to implement parts of every governing IEP during those years?
4. Did Los Alamitos deny Student a FAPE ~~in the school years 2022-2023~~ *(from March 3, 2023 through the 2022-2023 and* 2023-2024 ~~and 2024-2025~~ *school years* by failing to r~~etain~~ *collect and provide* data on Student's goal progress services and supports?
5. Did Los Alamitos deny Student a FAPE ~~in the school years 2022-2023~~ *(from March 3, 2023 through the 2022-2023 and* 2023-2024 school years, ~~and 2024-2025~~, by denying Parents meaningful participation in the IEP process, specifically by disregarding or denying their requests for programming and for data on Student's goals and services?

6. Did Los Alamitos deny Student a FAPE by excluding her from *meaningful participation in* her *May 14, 2024* IEP team meeting? ~~once she turned 18?~~

The hearing will proceed on the issues as stated above.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Charles Marson

Charles Marson

Administrative Law Judge

Office of Administrative Hearings