BEFORE THE
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of: PARENTS ON BEHALF OF STUDENT, v. SAN FRANCISCO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT.	OAH CASE NO. 2013050092 ORDER DENYING REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

On July 11, 2013, the Office of Administrative Hearings (OAH) issued an Order that denied Student's request to provide computer-assisted real-time transcription (CART) services for Karma M. Quick, during proceedings in this case. The Order determined that attorney Betsy Brazy is Student's legal representative in the case; that Ms. Quick, as a special education advocate, serves as an assistant to Ms. Brazy; and that the applicable law does not require OAH to provide CART services for a person in Ms. Quick's position. On July 15, 2013, Student filed with OAH a request to reconsider the Order.

The Office of Administrative Hearings will generally reconsider a ruling upon a showing of new or different facts, circumstances, or law justifying reconsideration, when the party seeks reconsideration within a reasonable period of time. (See, e.g., Gov. Code, § 11521; Code Civ. Proc., § 1008.) The party seeking reconsideration may also be required to provide an explanation for its failure to previously provide the different facts, circumstances or law. (See *Baldwin v. Home Savings of America* (1997) 59 Cal.App.4th 1192, 1199-1200.)

Here, Student's request for reconsideration notes that Ms. Quick is an important part of Student's legal team. However, the request does not change Ms. Quick's position as an assistant to Student's legal representative. Accordingly, the request does not meet the standard of showing a new or different set of facts, circumstances or law, and is thereby denied.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 15, 2013

/s/

TIMOTHY L. NEWLOVE
Presiding Administrative Law Judge
Office of Administrative Hearings