BEFORE THE
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

| | |
|---|---|
| In the Matter of:<br><br>PARENT ON BEHALF OF STUDENT,<br><br>v.<br><br>SAN RAMON VALLEY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT. | OAH Case No. 2014110093<br><br>ORDER DENYING REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION |

On November 14, 2014, the undersigned Presiding Administrative Law Judge granted Student's peremptory challenge as to ALJ Charles Marson. On November 14, 2014, Robert Closson, advocate for Student, filed a request for reconsideration of the order on the peremptory challenge.

## APPLICABLE LAW

The Office of Administrative Hearings will generally reconsider a ruling upon a showing of new or different facts, circumstances, or law justifying reconsideration, when the party seeks reconsideration within a reasonable period of time. (See, e.g., Gov. Code, § 11521; Code Civ. Proc., § 1008.) The party seeking reconsideration may also be required to provide an explanation for its failure to previously provide the different facts, circumstances or law. (See *Baldwin v. Home Savings of America* (1997) 59 Cal.App.4th 1192, 1199-1200.)

## DISCUSSION AND ORDER

Student alleges no new facts, circumstances, or law in support of the request for reconsideration. In fact, Student does not want the order to be vacated, but rather seeks a separate order by ALJ Marson be vacated. Since there is no challenge to the order on Student's peremptory challenge of ALJ Marson, Student request for reconsideration is denied.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATE: November 17, 2014

**/s/**

BOB N. VARMA
Presiding Administrative Law Judge
Office of Administrative Hearings