BEFORE THE
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Consolidated Matters of: PARENTS ON BEHALF OF STUDENT, v. DEHESA ELEMENTARY SCHOOL DISTRICT AND COMMUNITY MONTESSORI CHARTER SCHOOL,	OAH Case No. 2016030188
DEHESA ELEMENTARY SCHOOL DISTRICT AND COMMUNITY MONTESSORI CHARTER SCHOOL, v. PARENTS ON BEHALF OF STUDENT.	OAH Case No. 2016050107 ORDER FOLLOWING PREHEARING CONFERENCE OF JUNE 6, 2016

On June 6, 2016, a telephonic prehearing conference was held before Administrative Law Judge Darrell Lepkowsky, Office of Administrative Hearings. Meagan M. Nuñez and Jennifer Varga, Attorneys at Law, appeared on behalf of Student. Deborah R.G. Cesario, Attorney at Law, appeared on behalf of the Dehesa Elementary School District and the Community Montessori Charter School (collectively referred to below as Districts). The ALJ recorded the PHC.

Based upon discussion with the parties, the ALJ issues the following order:

1. Hearing Dates, Times, and Location. The hearing shall take place on **June 14, 15, and 16, 2016,** and will continue day to day, Monday through Thursday, at the discretion of the ALJ. The hearing shall take place at the **Carlsbad Community Resource Center, 6797 Embarcadero Lane, Carlsbad, California, 92011. The hearing shall begin at 9:30 a.m. on June 14, 2016, and at 9:00 a.m. all other days, unless otherwise ordered.**

As ordered in the scheduling order, the named local educational agency shall provide a facility for the hearing that fully complies with the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (42 U.S.C. § 12101 et seq.), the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (29 U.S.C. § 794 et seq.), the Unruh Civil Rights Act (Civ. Code, § 51 et seq.), and all laws governing accessibility of government

facilities to persons with disabilities. The named local educational agencies certified that the facilities used for this case comply with the law. The local educational agencies shall inform OAH within two days of the date of this order if they are unable to comply with this order.

The hearing room shall be available to the ALJ one hour before the scheduled hearing, and shall be secured and locked at the end of each hearing day. **The same hearing room shall be used for each day of hearing**, unless otherwise ordered. Prior to the beginning of the hearing, Districts shall assist the ALJ to ensure that the hearing room is configured into a courtroom setting, including (1) a table for Student and his representatives; (2) a table for Districts' legal representative and special education representative; (3) a table for the witness; and (4) a table for the ALJ near an electrical outlet. Districts shall ensure that drinking water and tissue are available to all parties, witnesses and the ALJ. **Districts shall also ensure that one parking space is available on each hearing day for each of the following: the ALJ, Parents, Student's attorney, and Districts' attorney.**

Districts shall also provide a room at the hearing location where Student's parents and representatives can meet during breaks, including lunch.

The parties shall immediately notify all potential witnesses of the hearing dates, and shall subpoena witnesses if necessary, to ensure that the witnesses will be available to testify. A witness will not be regarded as unavailable for purposes of showing "good cause" to continue the hearing if the witness is not properly notified of the hearing date or properly subpoenaed, as applicable.

3. Issues and Proposed Resolutions. The issues at the due process hearing, and the proposed resolutions, are listed below.

Student's Issues:[1]

A. Did Districts deny Student a free appropriate public education by unilaterally changing his placement on or about February 3, 2016?

B. Have Districts denied Student a FAPE from February 3, 2016, until on or about June 6, 2016, by failing to provide him a placement in the least restrictive environment?

C. Did Districts deny Student a FAPE because Districts prevented Parents from meaningfully participating in his education by:

[1] Student has withdrawn his issues alleging that 1) Districts denied him a FAPE by using Mother as Student's classroom aide and, 2) Districts' proposed change of placement denies Student a FAPE because it is not reasonably calculated to provide him with an educational benefit.

i. Failing to provide them with prior written notice of Districts' decision to deny Parents' request for a one-on-one aide on February 3, 2016 and failing to provide Parents with prior written notice of their intent to unilaterally change Student's placement on or about February 3, 2016;

ii. Changing Student's placement without Parents' consent; and,

iii. Failing to consider Parents' request that Districts provide Student with a one-on-one aide?

D. Have Districts denied Student a FAPE for the 2015-2016 school year by failing to provide him with behavioral services and supports tailored to his unique needs or reasonably calculated to provide him with educational benefit?

Student's Proposed Resolutions:[2]

1) An order that Districts provide Student with a one-on-one aide; An order that Districts fund 50 hours of compensatory education for Student; Any further relief the ALJ deems appropriate.

Districts' Issue:[3]

Whether the January 2016 individualized education program, as amended, offers Student a FAPE in the least restrictive environment such that Districts may implement this IEP without Parents' consent?

Districts' Proposed Remedy:

An order that Districts may implement the January 2016 IEP, as amended, without Parents' consent.

4. Exhibits. Exhibits shall be pre-marked and placed in three-ring exhibit binders prior to the hearing. The parties shall use numbers to identify exhibits, but shall place the letter "S" or "D" in front of the exhibit to designate if it is a Student or District exhibit (for example, "S-5, S-6," or "D-1, D-2"). Each exhibit shall be internally paginated by exhibit, or all of a party's exhibits shall be Bates-stamped. Each exhibit binder shall contain a detailed

[2] Student's request for attorney's fees is beyond the jurisdiction of OAH and is sua sponte dismissed for purposes of any decision OAH may issue in this matter.

[3] Districts' complaint, filed April 26, 2016, contained three issues. On May 18 2016, OAH granted Student's motion to dismiss Districts' third issue since the issue was moot. On May 31, 2016, Districts' withdrew their second issue, without prejudice. The only remaining issue in Districts' complaint is the issue stated above in paragraph 3.

table of contents. The parties shall serve their evidence binders on each other in compliance with Education Code section 56505, subdivision (e)(7). At the hearing, each party shall supply an exhibit binder containing its exhibits for use by the ALJ, and a second exhibit binder for use by witnesses. The parties may not serve exhibits on OAH prior to the hearing.

Each exhibit shall consist of one document; separate documents may not be combined into one exhibit. To the extent practicable, exhibits in the exhibit binders shall be in chronological order.

In the event of duplicate exhibits, the most legible version will be used. The parties shall meet and confer **by 5:00 p.m. on June 9, 2016,** to delete duplicate exhibits from the exhibit binders. The parties are not required to renumber exhibits if they delete exhibits after the exchange of documents.

The parties shall exchange resumes for each witness who is expected to testify as to their professional credentials. Notwithstanding the requirements of Education Code section 56505, subdivision (e)(7), the parties shall exchange resumes and curriculum vitae by **5:00 p.m. on June 9, 2016.**

If the parties intend to rely on IEP's, the parties shall attach all pages of the IEP(s), including copies of all actual signatures on the IEP(s) and any typewritten or handwritten notes or comments, if any, written on the IEP(s). Excerpts of an audio record of an IEP team meeting, if any, should, in addition to the audio record offered, be transcribed and offered as documentary evidence; that portion of the audio record transcribed shall be provided to the opposing party along with the transcript to allow an opportunity to verify the accuracy of the transcription. Transcriptions need not be prepared by a certified court reporter.

Except for good cause shown, or unless used solely for rebuttal or impeachment, any exhibit not timely exchanged shall not be admitted into evidence at the hearing unless it is supported by written declaration under penalty of perjury, and the ALJ rules that it is admissible. Education Code section 56505, subdivision (e)(8), affords each party the right to prohibit the introduction of any evidence at the hearing that has not been timely exchanged.

5. Witnesses. Each party is responsible for procuring the attendance at hearing of its own witnesses, and shall subpoena witnesses if necessary, to ensure that the witnesses will be available to testify. Each party shall make witnesses under its control reasonably available. The parties shall schedule their witnesses to avoid delays in the hearing and to minimize or eliminate the need for calling witnesses out of order.

The parties shall comply with Education Code section 56505, subdivision (e)(7). No party shall be permitted to call any witnesses not timely disclosed except for good cause shown, supported by written declaration under penalty of perjury, and at the discretion of the ALJ. Education Code section 56505, subdivision (e)(8), affords each party the right to prohibit the introduction of any evidence at the hearing that has not been timely disclosed.

During the Prehearing Conference, the parties were ordered to meet and confer by **no later than 5:00 p.m. on June 9, 2016, at 5:00 p.m.,** and identify the witnesses the witness lists who cannot be produced at hearing without a subpoena and provide the witnesses contact information to opposing counsel so that subpoenas can be issued. As part of the meet and confer process, Districts' counsel shall inform Student's counsel as to whether the witnesses on Student's witness list are employed by or otherwise under the control of District and will be produced at hearing, and if not, shall provide Student's counsel with the most recent contact information for that witness no later than **5:00 p.m. on June 9, 2016, at 5:00 p.m.**

On the first day of hearing before the first witness testifies, the parties shall provide the ALJ with **one joint detailed hour-by-hour schedule** of all witnesses expected to testify at hearing, which list shall also include an estimate of time for each party's direct and cross-examination. The parties shall meet and confer each day of hearing to revise the witness schedule as needed.

Each witness will only be called once to testify, except for rebuttal purposes, and all parties shall examine the witness when the witness is first called. District shall have witnesses available in case agreement on a witness list is not reached. The parties shall be prepared at the end of each day of hearing to discuss the witnesses to be presented the next day and the time the testimony each witness is expected to take.

The parties are encouraged to review and shorten their witness lists prior to the hearing, bearing in mind that evidence will be excluded if it is repetitive, cumulative, or insufficiently probative to justify the time it would take to hear such evidence. The ALJ has discretion to limit the number of witnesses who testify and the time allowed for witnesses' testimony. Education Code, section 56505.1, subdivision (h), empowers the ALJ presiding at a due process hearing to set a reasonable limit on the length of the hearing after consideration of all of the following: (i) issues to be heard, (ii) complexity of facts to be proven, (iii) ability of the parties or their representatives to present their respective cases, and (iv) estimates of the parties as to the time needed to present their respective cases.

6. Scope of Witness Examination. Each witness shall be called to testify only once, except for rebuttal purposes, and all parties shall examine the witness on all issues when the witness is first called. After the first direct and cross-examinations, each party shall be limited in examining the witness to only those matters raised in the immediately preceding examination. The order in which the parties present their cases in chief shall be subordinate to the need for each witness to appear only once. Unless otherwise ordered, only one round of redirect and/or re-cross examination shall be permitted.

7. Telephonic Testimony. Neither party requested telephonic testimony. Whether a witness may appear by telephone is a matter within the discretion of the ALJ. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 5, § 3082, subd. (g).) Any party seeking to present a witness by telephone shall move in advance for leave to do so, unless the opposing party has stipulated that the witness may appear by telephone. Prior to the hearing, the proponent of the witness

shall provide the proposed witness with a complete set of duplicate copies of the exhibit binders from all parties, containing all of each party's exhibits and shall instruct the witness not to remove any documents from the exhibit binders. **Physical copies in binders must be provided. Faxed or electronic copies are unacceptable**. The hearing room shall have sound equipment that allows everyone in the room to hear the witness, and the witness to hear objections and rulings. Unless otherwise ordered, **the witness shall testify on a land-line telephone while alone in a private room**. No witness will be heard by telephone unless all these requirements have been fulfilled. At present, neither party has made a motion to present any witness's testimony telephonically.

8. Electronic Recording of Hearing.

a. Audio Recording. The ALJ shall record the hearing and the ALJ's recording is the only official record of the hearing. No person shall record or photograph the proceedings unless otherwise permitted by the ALJ.

Student's motion to make an audio recording of the hearing was granted. Student's counsel and Districts' counsel shall be permitted to make an audio recording subject to the following conditions: 1) OAH's recording is the only official recording; 2) the recording will be turned on and off at the same time as the ALJ's recording, in order to avoid recording conversations while off the record; 3) operation of the party's recording mechanism will not be allowed to delay the hearing; 4) such recording shall be for counsel's personal use only and only for review and preparation of the hearing; 5) the recording device shall be turned on only when the ALJ states that the matter is on the record, and shall be turned off when the ALJ states that the matter is off the record; 6) counsel shall not play any part of the recording for any prospective witness and the recording shall not be disseminated to anyone other than counsel; 7) the parties shall not use the recording in any subsequent due process hearing or other proceeding; 8) No more than one person for each party may record the hearing; and 9) the parties shall destroy the unofficial recording when the decision in this case becomes final and/or all appeals are exhausted.

Any recording made by counsel is not the official record and is permitted as a courtesy, unless otherwise ordered by the hearing ALJ.

b. Video Recording/Photography. No party, witness or anyone else present may make any video recording or take any photographs of any part of the proceedings. Any person doing so shall be subject to sanctions.

9. Order of Presentation of Evidence. In an administrative proceeding, the burden of proof is ordinarily on the party requesting the hearing. (*Schaffer v. Weast* (2005) 546 U.S. 49, 56-62 [126 S.Ct. 528, 163 L.Ed.2d 387].) Here, the matter has been consolidated, and both parties are the petitioning party in their respective cases. Student's case has been designated the primary case, so Student shall present his case first, followed by Districts, subject to the order in Item 6 above.

10. Motions. Student made an oral motion to change the location of the hearing. Student is directed to file a written motion no later than close of business on June 7, 2016.

The parties indicated that they may be making a motion to schedule a mediation date and continue the due process hearing. Any motion concerning the hearing dates should be filed forthwith.

No other pretrial motions are pending or contemplated. Any motion filed after this date other than those addressed in this Order shall be supported by a declaration under penalty of perjury establishing good cause as to why the motion was not made prior to or during the prehearing conference of June 6, 2016.

11. Compensatory Education/Reimbursement. Any party seeking reimbursement of expenditures shall present admissible evidence of these expenditures, or a stipulation to the amount of expenditures, as part of its case in chief. A party seeking compensatory education should provide evidence regarding the type, amount, duration, and need for any requested compensatory education.

12. Stipulations. Stipulations to pertinent facts, contentions or resolutions are encouraged. Any proposed stipulation shall be submitted to the assigned ALJ in written form.

The parties shall meet and confer **by 5:00 p.m. on June 9, 2016,** to determine legal and factual stipulations, if any, to be submitted at hearing.

13. Conduct of Counsel and Hearing Room Decorum. Counsel, all parties, and all witnesses shall conduct themselves in a professional and courteous manner at all times. Cellular phones, pagers, recorders, and other noisemaking electronic devices shall be shut off during the hearing unless permission to the contrary is obtained from the ALJ. There shall be no texting permitted while the hearing is in session, unless otherwise permitted by the hearing ALJ. No eating is permitted in the hearing room while the ALJ is on the record.

14. Special Needs and Accommodations. Student has requested a Spanish language interpreter. Neither party requested any additional accommodations. A party or participant to this case, such as a witness, requiring reasonable accommodation to participate in the mediation or hearing may contact the assigned calendar staff, identified in the scheduling order, at (916) 263-0880, as soon as the need is known. Additional information concerning requests for reasonable accommodation is available on OAH's website at: http://www.dgs.ca.gov/oah/Home/Accessibility.aspx.

15. The Hearing Will Be Closed To the Public.

16. Settlement. The parties are encouraged to continue working together to reach an agreement before the due process hearing. The parties shall inform OAH in writing immediately should they reach a settlement or otherwise resolve the dispute before

the scheduled hearing. In addition, if a settlement is reached within five days of the scheduled start of the due process hearing, the parties shall also inform OAH of the settlement by telephone at (916) 263-0880.

IF A FULL AND FINAL WRITTEN SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT IS REACHED AFTER 5:00 P.M. THE DAY PRIOR TO HEARING, THE PARTIES SHALL LEAVE A VOICEMAIL MESSAGE REGARDING THE SETTLEMENT AT (916) 274-6035. THE PARTIES SHOULD ALSO LEAVE CONTACT INFORMATION SUCH AS CELLULAR PHONE NUMBERS OF EACH PARTY OR COUNSEL FOR EACH PARTY. THE PARTIES SHOULD SIMULTANEOUSLY **FAX THE SIGNATURE PAGE OF THE SIGNED AGREEMENT OR A LETTER WITHDRAWING THE CASE TO THE OAH AT THE FAXINATION LINE at 916-376-6319.**

Dates for hearing will not be cancelled until the letter of withdrawal or signature page of the signed agreement has been received by OAH. If an agreement in principle is reached, the parties should plan to attend the scheduled hearing unless different arrangements have been agreed upon by the assigned ALJ. The assigned ALJ will check for messages the evening prior to the hearing or the morning of the hearing.

If the parties settle the matter subject to board approval, in addition to a signed copy of the signature page of the settlement agreement as noted above, the parties shall submit a request for a status conference and provide the date of the next board meeting. OAH will not cancel the hearing dates without this information.

17. Failure to Comply. The failure to comply with this order may result in the exclusion of evidence or other sanctions.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATE: June 7, 2016

DocuSigned by:
Darrell Lepkowsky
A228F8201132499...

DARRELL LEPKOWSKY
Administrative Law Judge
Office of Administrative Hearings