BEFORE THE
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE MATTER OF:

PARENTS ON BEHALF OF STUDENT,

v.

ONTARIO-MONTCLAIR SCHOOL DISTRICT.

OAH CASE NUMBER 2026030348

# ORDER FOLLOWING PREHEARING CONFERENCE FOR HEARING BY VIDEOCONFERENCE, GRANTING CONTINUANCE OF PREHEARING CONFERENCE AND DUE PROCESS HEARING

MAY 8, 2026

On May 8, 2026, Administrative Law Judge Laurie Gorsline, Office of Administrative Hearings, held a prehearing conference by videoconference. The Administrative Law Judge is called an ALJ. The Office of Administrative Hearings is called OAH. The prehearing conference is called a PHC.

Parent appeared on behalf of Student. Austin Jones, Attorney at Law, appeared on behalf of Ontario-Montclair School District. The PHC was recorded. Based upon discussion with the parties, the ALJ issues the following order:

## HEARING DATES, TIMES, AND LOCATION

## MOTION TO CONTINUE THE DUE PROCESS HEARING

Student's Case was filed by Student's attorney on March 4, 2026. The hearing originally scheduled to begin April 21, 2026, was continued to May 19, through 21, 2026 so the parties could participate in mediation on May 4, 2026. On May 5, 2026, Student's attorney filed with OAH notice of withdrawal as counsel for Student, which was served on Parent by email.

At the PHC, Parent requested a continuance based on her attorney withdrawing from the case, to give her time to find other counsel or someone to assist her with the prosecution of this case, and to prepare for the hearing. District did not oppose the continuance. The parties agreed on new dates in August 2026.

OAH must conduct a due process hearing and issue a decision within 45 days of receiving the due process complaint, unless an extension is granted. (34 C.F.R. § 300.515(a); Ed. Code, §§ 56502, subd. (f), 56505, subd. (f)(3).) Resolving the due process hearing quickly is legally required. Hearings may only be continued if "good cause" is established. (Ed. Code, § 56505, subd. (f)(3).) OAH is guided by the Administrative Procedure Act and the California Rules of Court when ruling on continuance motions. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 1, § 1020; Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.1332.) Generally, continuances are disfavored. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.1332(c).)

The request was granted at the PHC. There was good cause for the late request given that Student's counsel did not withdraw until May 5, 2026. It was apparent to the ALJ from the discussion at the PHC that Parent would not be ready to proceed on the scheduled dates and needed additional time to obtain assistance to enable her to prosecute this case. Although this case was previously continued, the continuance was for only 30 days. Accordingly, based on all the circumstances, the ALJ found good cause for an additional continuance to August 2025. All previously scheduled dates are vacated. The new dates are:

PREHEARING CONFERENCE will be held on August 7, 2026, at 10:00 AM.

DUE PROCESS HEARING will be held on August 18 through 20, 2026.

The prehearing conference, and due process hearing will be held by videoconference or telephone, unless otherwise ordered. The due process hearing will begin at 9:30 AM on all days, and generally end at 3:30 PM, unless otherwise ordered. The hearing will continue day to day, as needed, Mondays and Fridays included, at the discretion of the Administrative Law Judge.

Prehearing conference statements and motions are due to OAH no later than three business days before the next prehearing conference. Late motions will only be considered if good cause is established for the late filing.

At the next PHC, the ALJ will again review the issues for hearing and the other items set forth in this written order, which by the time of the next PHC will have been translated into Parent's native language. If the parties have any questions about any of the items in this written order, they can ask those questions at the next PHC.

## HEARING LOCATION

OAH is authorized to conduct due process hearings by videoconference or telephone. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 5, § 3082, subd. (g).) OAH will conduct the due process hearing by videoconference using the Zoom application. Unless otherwise ordered, participants are required to appear by videoconference using computer or device with video capability and Zoom.

The parties shall immediately notify all potential witnesses of the hearing dates and shall subpoena witnesses, if necessary, to ensure that the witnesses will appear to testify. A party's failure to notify or subpoena a witness to testify will not be considered good cause to continue the hearing. Instructions for issuing and responding to subpoenas and optional forms for subpoenas can be found on the website at https://www.dgs.ca.gov/OAH/Case-Types/Special-Education/Forms/Subpoenas?search=special%20education%20subpoena.

## ISSUES AND PROPOSED RESOLUTIONS

The issues at the due process hearing, and proposed resolutions, as alleged in the complaint and clarified by the parties and the ALJ during the PHC are set forth below. A free appropriate public education is called a FAPE. An individualized education program is called an IEP.

The parties shall promptly file a written objection if the issues stated in this Order do not accurately reflect Student's claims or any issue is missing, which will be addressed at the next PHC. The ALJ may also request further clarification of the issues at the next PHC.

## ISSUES

1. Did District deny Student a FAPE in the October 12, 2023 IEP by:
    A. Failing to offer goals to appropriately address Student's needs in:
        i. Reading, including decoding, fluency and comprehension?
        ii. Listening comprehension?
        iii. Auditory processing?
        iv. Writing, including spelling, written language, sentence and essay composition?
        v. Mathematics?
        vi. Executive functioning, including attention, task initiation, working memory, task completion, organization/planning and problem solving?
        vii. Expressive language?
        viii. Using assistive technology?
    B. Failing to offer services to appropriately address Student's needs in:
        i. Reading, including decoding, fluency and comprehension?
        ii. Listening comprehension?
        iii. Auditory processing?
        iv. Writing, including spelling, written language, sentence and essay composition?
        v. Mathematics?
        vi. Executive functioning, including attention, task initiation, working memory, task completion, organization/planning and problem solving?
        vii. Expressive language?
        viii. Using assistive technology?

C. Failing to offer an appropriate placement?

2. Did District deny Student a FAPE in the March 7, 2024 IEP by:

A. Failing to offer goals to appropriately address Student's needs in:

i. Reading, including decoding, fluency and comprehension?

ii. Listening comprehension?

iii. Auditory processing?

iv. Writing, including spelling, written language, sentence and essay composition?

v. Mathematics?

vi. Executive functioning, including attention, task initiation, working memory, task completion, organization/planning and problem solving?

vii. Expressive language?

viii. Using assistive technology?

B. Failing to offer services to appropriately address Student's needs in:

i. Reading, including decoding, fluency and comprehension?

ii. Listening comprehension?

iii. Auditory processing?

iv. Writing, including spelling, written language, sentence and essay composition?

v. Mathematics?

vi. Executive functioning, including attention, task initiation, working memory, task completion, organization/planning and problem solving?

vii. Expressive language?

viii. Using assistive technology?

C. Failing to offer an appropriate placement?

3. Did District deny Student a FAPE by failing to assess Student in all areas of suspected disability during the 2023-2024 school year, specifically, failing to propose an audiology assessment to rule out a central auditory processing disorder and determine whether Student would benefit from audiological support?

## PROPOSED RESOLUTIONS

The resolutions requested by Student include a finding that District denied Student a FAPE based on the alleged violations in the issues and an order for compensatory education and reimbursement according to proof.

District filed its response to Student's complaint on March 18, 2026. (*M.C. v. Antelope Valley Union High School Dist.* (9th Cir. 2017) 858 F.3d 1189, 1199-1200.)

## EXHIBITS

Each exhibit must be identified by a letter and number. Student must use the letter S before the number to identify Student's exhibits. District must use the letter D before the number to identify its exhibits. For example, S-1 means Student's exhibit 1, and D-1 means District's exhibit 1. A cover sheet with this identifying letter and number should be the first page of each exhibit. The electronic evidence program will automatically number the exhibits and additional page numbering or bates stamping should not be added.

## CONTENT

Each exhibit must consist of one document. Separate documents may not be combined into one exhibit. Emails may be consolidated into one exhibit if they are part

of a single chain of messages, unless the email has attachments in which case each email with attachments shall be a separate exhibit. Any document which had an attachment or enclosure may also be consolidated into one exhibit even if the attachment or enclosure is also a separate exhibit. Exhibits should be in chronological order with the oldest document first.

Parties should include resumes or curriculum vitae for each witness expected to testify as an expert.

District's exhibits must include a copy of the school calendar for each school year in question, including, if they exist, calendars for all extended school years.

For any assessment plans, the parties shall include all signatures pages, if any, demonstrating when the assessment plan was sent, and if, and when Parent signed the assessment plan.

For any IEPs, the parties shall include all signatures pages, if any, demonstrating who attended each IEP team meeting, and if, and when, Parent consented to or refused to consent to each IEP or part thereof.

Electronic copies of exhibits filed with OAH shall be filed by 5:00 PM at least five business days before the first day of hearing. Please refer to the General Order OAH issued with the Scheduling Order that contains the Order Setting Procedures for Filing Exhibits Electronically. It includes instructions for how to file exhibits electronically. Beginning three business days before the hearing, the electronic evidence system will not allow parties to upload exhibits unless permitted by the ALJ during the hearing at the ALJ's discretion.

## WITNESSES

The parties shall serve their list of witnesses on each other in compliance with Education Code section 56505. No party shall be permitted to call any witnesses not timely disclosed except for good cause shown, supported by written declaration under penalty of perjury, and at the discretion of the ALJ.

Parties are responsible for ensuring that all participants are familiar with and follow the Instructions for Joining the Online Due Process Hearing provided with this Order. Participants who have not previously used the Zoom application, may find informative instructions by visiting, Getting Started with Zoom at https://support.zoom.us/hc/en-us/categories/200101697.

The parties are responsible for ensuring that witnesses join the virtual hearing room at the designated date and time to testify. Witnesses are required to join the due process hearing by computer and appear by videoconference unless they do not have the necessary computer equipment. If a witness does not have the necessary equipment, the ALJ may permit the witnesses to appear by audio only or by telephone. "Audio only" means using a computer without video. A witness's inability to attend the hearing by videoconference will be discussed at the start of the hearing.

The parties are responsible for producing their own witnesses unless otherwise ordered by the ALJ. Parties shall make witnesses under their control reasonably available. The parties must schedule their witnesses to avoid delays in the hearing and to minimize or eliminate the need for calling witnesses out of order.

The ALJ has discretion to limit the number of witnesses and the time allowed for testimony. Education Code, section 56505.1, subdivision (h), empowers the ALJ to set a reasonable limit on the length of the hearing after consideration of four factors. The

first two factors are the issues to be heard and the complexity of facts to be proven. The second two factors are the ability of the parties or their representatives to present their respective cases and the parties' estimates of the time needed to present their cases.

## MEET AND CONFER

The parties are encouraged to review and shorten their witness and exhibit lists before the hearing. Evidence may be excluded if it is repetitive, cumulative, or insufficiently probative to justify the time it would take to present such evidence.

The parties are ordered to meet and confer no later than August 12, 2026, at 4:00 PM to discuss scheduling witnesses and how much time each witness will take to testify. The parties shall discuss any issues related to counsel and witnesses appearing by videoconference for hearing, electronically viewing exhibits during the hearing, and subpoenas, if any. The parties shall identify duplicate exhibits in the parties' hearing exhibits and decide which one of the duplicates both parties will use at hearing. The parties shall discuss whether they can produce a written stipulation as to pertinent facts, contentions, resolutions and the authenticity and admissibility of documents to shorten the length of time needed for the hearing.

As part of the meet and confer process, District's attorney shall inform Student's representative which proposed witnesses are District employees. District shall also identify the witnesses on Student's list that require a subpoena. District may agree to accept service for a witness under its control or provide Student with the witness's most recent contact information. These obligations are reciprocal. To the extent applicable, Student is required to do the same regarding the witnesses on District's list and provide the witness's most recent contact information.

Any contact information provided to the opposing party shall be used for the limited purpose of issuing subpoenas or for contacting a witness to attend the hearing. Any contact information provided to the opposing party shall not be disclosed to any other person.

## PARTICIPANT INFORMATION FORM

Both parties have an ongoing obligation to ensure that OAH has current information on the Participant Information Form filed with OAH and shall file an updated Form as necessary. The Participant Information Form is not served upon the opposing party. The ongoing obligation to ensure that the Participant Information Form filed with OAH is current does not change the parties' statutory obligation to timely disclose their witnesses to the other party(s) at least five business days prior to hearing. The parties must file an updated Participant Information Form to inform OAH of any changes, additions, or deletions, including the required information no later than 5:00 PM at least two business days before the first day of hearing.

## EVIDENCE PRESENTATION

In an administrative proceeding, the burden of proof is ordinarily on the party requesting the hearing. (*Schaffer v. Weast* (2005) 546 U.S. 49, 62.) In this case, Student requested the hearing and bears the burden of proof. Student's case-in-chief shall be presented first, followed by District, unless otherwise ordered or agreed upon. However, if Parent remains self-represented at the hearing, for all witnesses which both parties are calling to testify, District will examine each of these witnesses first.

## WITNESS SCHEDULE

On the first day of hearing, the parties must provide a joint proposed witness schedule for the entire hearing to the ALJ after meeting and conferring with each other. The schedule should include the first and last name of each witness, which party is calling each witness to testify, and include dates and time estimates of each witness's expected testimony on an hour-by-hour basis, with breaks in the testimony factored into the schedule. In the event an agreement cannot be reached regarding the schedule, each party shall prepare a separate written schedule. Both parties must have witnesses available in case there is no agreement on the schedule.

At the beginning of the hearing, the ALJ and the parties will discuss the length of time anticipated for each witness and scheduling issues for individual witnesses. The ALJ will finalize the witness schedule. Parties must be prepared at the end of each day to discuss the witnesses to be presented the next day and the estimated time for the testimony of each witness.

## SCOPE OF WITNESS EXAMINATION

Each witness will be called to testify only once, except for rebuttal purposes, unless otherwise ordered. All parties must examine a witness on all issues when the witness is first called to testify. Requiring a witness to appear only once takes priority over the order in which parties present their case-in-chief.

## AUDIO ONLY OR TELEPHONIC TESTIMONY

Whether a witness may appear by audio only or telephone instead of videoconference is a matter within the discretion of the ALJ. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 5, § 3082, subd. (g).) Any party seeking to present a witness by audio only or telephone

shall request permission to do so before the hearing. Any party seeking to present a witness who cannot access exhibits electronically must inform the ALJ at the beginning of the hearing.

## ELECTRONIC RECORDINGS

### AUDIO RECORDING

A party may request permission to make an audio recording of the hearing. Permission to make an audio recording is subject to the ALJ's discretion and based upon the following conditions:

1. the OAH recording is the only official recording;
2. the parties shall turn their recording device on and off at the same time that the ALJ is on and off the record to avoid recording conversations while off the record;
3. operation of the party's recording mechanism will not be allowed to delay the hearing;
4. a party's recording may not be given, provided or played to a witness, or potential witness;
5. the recording is subject to the same confidentiality as the due process hearing; and
6. participants shall not take screenshots of the videoconference nor share or publish any portion of the videoconference or audio recording.

At the next PHC, the parties will advise the ALJ if they want to audio record the hearing. No party shall use artificial intelligence to record or transcribe the hearing.

## VIDEO RECORDING

No party, witness or anyone else may videorecord any part of the proceedings or stream live through any means.

## RECORDINGS AS EXHIBITS

Recordings may not be uploaded electronically without the ALJ's permission. The ALJ has discretion to admit or exclude evidence in the form of a recording.

The ALJ may grant or deny the request or grant the request subject to certain conditions. The party offering the recording must establish that all or a part of the recording is relevant. The ALJ may request a transcript of the portion of the recording requested or have the party offering the recording to provide an exact identifiable portion of the recording the party seeks to admit. The identities of any person speaking on the portion of the recording or transcript introduced must be established. An ALJ may allow the opposing party to offer additional portions of a recording to establish the context, or to rebut, the recording.

At the next PHC, the ALJ make may further orders regarding any exhibits which are recordings.

## COMPENSATORY EDUCATION OR REIMBURSEMENT

A party seeking compensatory education should provide evidence regarding the type, amount, duration, and need for any requested compensatory education.

Any party seeking reimbursement for expenditures shall present admissible evidence of these expenditures, or a stipulation to the amount of the expenditures.

Documents offered as evidence to support a request for reimbursement must be separated by vendor.

## MOTIONS

Any motion that is not filed three business days before the next PHC, shall be supported by a declaration under penalty of perjury establishing good cause why the motion was not timely filed.

## STIPULATIONS

Stipulations to pertinent facts, contentions, resolutions and authenticity and admissibility of documents are encouraged. Any proposed stipulation shall be submitted to the assigned ALJ in writing prior to the start of the hearing.

## CONDUCT OF PARTICIPANTS AND HEARING ROOM DECORUM

All participants to the videoconference should observe the same decorum as in an in-person due process hearing. Participants must conduct themselves in a professional and courteous manner at all times. Cellular phones, telephones and computer notifications must be shut off or set to silent during the hearing unless the ALJ grants permission otherwise. Participants should appear from a private location, unless otherwise ordered. Participants should take reasonable efforts to minimize background noise and maintain adequate room lighting. Use of virtual background images are at the discretion of the ALJ. Witnesses are prohibited from reading exhibits, documents, notes, text messages, telephones or computers while testifying, unless permitted to do so by the ALJ. The parties, their representative and witnesses are prohibited from passing notes to, exchanging electronic messages with, or signaling the witness in any way while the witness is testifying. Smart glasses are prohibited.

## LANGUAGE INTERPRETERS AND REASONABLE ACCOMMODATIONS

A Spanish language interpreter is needed. OAH will provide the interpreter. The parties shall advise the ALJ at the next PHC, if they are requesting any accommodations.

A party or participant in this case, such as a witness, requiring reasonable accommodation to participate in a mediation or hearing may contact OAH at (916) 263-0880, or send an email to OAHADA@dgs.ca.gov as soon as the need is known. The e-mail should have "Request for Accommodation" in the Subject Line. Additional information concerning requests for reasonable accommodation is available on OAH's website at: https://www.dgs.ca.gov/OAH/Services/Page-Content/Office-of-Administrative-Hearings-Services-List-Folder/Request-Reasonable-Accommodations-for-OAH-Legal-Proceedings.

## HEARING OPEN OR CLOSED TO THE PUBLIC

Parent shall advise the ALJ at the next PHC if Student is requesting an open or closed hearing.

Special education hearings are closed to the public unless Student requests the hearing to be open to the public. An ALJ may restrict attendance because of the physical limitations of the hearing facility or take other action to promote due process or the orderly conduct of the hearing. (Cal. Code Regs., Tit. 1, § 1030.) Government Code, section 11425.20 empowers the ALJ to order an open hearing to be closed or make other protective orders to the extent necessary or proper to ensure a fair hearing in the circumstances of the case.

## SETTLEMENT

The parties are encouraged to continue working together to reach an agreement before the due process hearing. The parties shall inform OAH in writing immediately if they reach a settlement or otherwise resolve the dispute before the scheduled hearing. In addition, if a settlement is reached within five days of the scheduled start of the due process hearing, the parties shall also inform OAH of the settlement by telephone at (916) 263-0880. At the same time, the parties should send the signature page of the signed agreement or a letter withdrawing the case to OAH by visiting OAH Secure e-file at https://www.applications.dgs.ca.gov/oah/oahsftweb.

If a full and final written settlement agreement is reached after 4:00 PM the day prior to hearing, the parties shall leave a voicemail message regarding the settlement at (916) 274-6035. The parties should also leave contact information such as cellular phone numbers of each party or counsel for each party.

Dates for hearing will not be cancelled until the letter of withdrawal or signature page of the signed agreement has been received and processed by OAH. If an agreement in principle is reached, the parties should plan to attend the scheduled hearing unless different arrangements have been agreed upon by the assigned ALJ. The assigned ALJ will check for messages before the hearing.

If the matter settles subject to board approval, in addition to a signed copy of the signature page of the settlement agreement, the parties shall provide the date of the next board meeting. The hearing dates will not be cancelled without this information.

## FAILURE TO COMPLY

The failure to comply with this Order may result in the exclusion of evidence or other sanctions.

## ORDER INCLUDES ALL RULINGS

This Order includes all rulings made at the May 8, 2026 prehearing conference. Different or other orders may be made at the next PHC. Any discussion and conversation not referenced in a PHC Order is not binding on the ALJ conducting the hearing. The parties should be aware that, at hearing, the ALJ conducting the hearing has discretion to reconsider any part of the PHC Order.

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

*Laurie Gorsline*

Laurie Gorsline
Administrative Law Judge
Office of Administrative Hearings