<u>Index of Closeout Documents – K-12 Audit Resources</u> (As of February 13, 2019)

Purpose: To provide local CPA with a list of the documents that follow which are needed to conduct a K-12 audit on School Facility Program projects.

District: Washington Unified Application Number: 57/76778-00-001 School Name: Washington High
☐Executive Summary of Substantial Progress
□Final Escrow Statement (If Applicable)
□ Appraisal (If Applicable)
☐Site Acquisition Review Sheet (If Applicable)
☐ Court Order for site purchase related costs (If Applicable)
⊠CDE Approval Letter
⊠DSA Approval Letter
☐Grant Agreement(s) (If Applicable)
⊠Application for Funding (Form SAB 50-04)
⊠Project Transaction Detail and Summary
⊠State Allocation Board (SAB) approval item(s)
⊠Final Form SAB 50-06 Expenditure Report and Detailed Listing of Project Expenditures (DLOPE)
☐ Schedule of School Facility Program (SFP) – Determination of Savings (If Applicable)
☐ Schedule of School Facility Program (SFP) –Unspent Funds (If Applicable)
☐ Schedule of School Facility Program (SFP) – Use of Savings (If Applicable)



TOM TORLAKSON

STATE SUPERINTENDENT OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION

March 16, 2012

Washington Unified 2888 South Ivy Ave. Fresno, CA 93706

Project Tracking No: 76778-1

Re: Washington High

County: Fresno Square Feet: 94,399

Grade Level: 9 - 12

Dear Superintendent:

Subject: Final Plan Approval - Modernization

The California Department of Education approves the plans with the title sheet date of January 31, 2012, for the above referenced project. The plans were received on March 9, 2012. The plans meet the California Department of Education's standards for educational adequacy (California Code of Regulations, Title 5, et seq. and Education Code 17251(c) and (d)).

It is the responsibility of the school district to meet all requirements concerning toilet facilities, drinking water supply, sewage disposal, food service facilities and other plan elements having primary health and safety implications. The plans should be reviewed by the local health agency having jurisdiction and a written approval should be secured and filled in the school district's records. If the approved project involves work on an existing school building, it is the responsibility of the school district to meet all Federal, State and local requirements relating to the identification, remediation and/or removal of hazardous levels of lead and asbestos containing materials before or during construction. It is the responsibility of the district to complete all of the mitigation measures identified in the documents submitted to the California Department of Education for review.

The school site for this project is 45.8 usable acres. This represents 138.79% of the California Department of Education's recommended site size of 33 acres, as contained in the California Department of Education's "Guide to School Site Analysis and Development (2000)," for the current CBEDS enrollment of the site and the student capacity added by this project as calculated pursuant to SAB Regulation 1859.83(d).

Based on the standards specified in Education Code 17071.25 and the number of teaching stations in the project, the student capacity of this project is 0.

The project as approved consists of:

consists of campus wide fire, life, safety and technology upgrades.

Washington Unified March 16, 2012 Page 2 PTN: 76778-1 Washington High

The district has certified that this project is either exempt from, or has completed, the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) process.

For projects to be funded under the Leroy F. Greene School Facility Act of 1998, funding requests to the State Allocation Board must be submitted within two (2) years of the date of this letter. If the district is not seeking financial assistance from the State Allocation Board, the project must commence construction within two (2) years of the date of this letter. Regardless of the funding source, if, prior to construction, changes are made to the plans that would affect or alter the California Department of Education's original approval (including but not limited to changes in surrounding land uses, the master plan capacity of the project, changes in code and/or regulation, or a subsequent CEQA determination), the plan may be subject to reevaluation using the most recent standards.

Please contact the consultant identified below if you have questions regarding this letter.

Sincerely,

Fred A. Yeager Assistant Director

School Facilities and Transportation Services

Division

John Gordon, Consultant,

School Facilities and Transportation Services

Division

(916)323-0575

DP7394/P6584 cc: Architect



State of California • Edmund G. Brown Jr., Governor State and Consumer Services Agency

DEPARTMENT OF GENERAL SERVICES

Division of the State Architect - Sacramento Office

6/8/2012 - APPROVAL OF PLAN(S)

John Pestorich Washington Unified School District 2888 South Ivy Avenue Fresno, 93706

Project:	Washington Union High School (Washington Unified School District)
Total Scope of Project:	Alterations to 3-Shop Buildings, 1-Multi-purpose Building, 1-Gymnasium Building / Locker Building wrestling Building, 5-Classroom Buildings, 1-Classroom Building / Library Building
Increment #:	1
Portion of total scope	Alterations to 3-Shop Buildings, 1-Multi-purpose Building, 1-Gymnasium Building / Locker Building wrestling Building, 5-Classroom Buildings, 1-Classroom Building / Library Building

Application #:

02-112370

File #:

10-H19

Drawings and specifications for the subject project have been examined and stamped by the Division of the State Architect (DSA) for identification on <u>6/8/2012</u>. This letter constitutes the "written approval of the plans as to safety of design and construction" required before letting any contract for construction, and applies only to the work shown on these drawings and specifications. The date of this letter is the DSA approval date.

Approval is limited to the particular location shown on the drawings and is conditioned on construction starting within one year from the stamped date. The inspector must be approved and the contract information, including the construction start date, must be given to DSA prior to start of construction.

DSA does not review drawings and specifications for compliance with Parts 3 (California Electrical Code), 4 (California Mechanical Code), and 5 (California Plumbing Code) of Title 24. It is the responsibility of the professional consultants named on the application to verify this compliance.

Please refer only to the boxes checked below which indicate applicable conditions specific to this project:

\boxtimes	Buildings constructed in accordance with approved drawings and specifications will meet minimum required standard given in Title 24, California Code of Regulations, for structural, and fire and life safety.
	Due to the nature of the building(s), certain precautions considered necessary to assure long service have not been required. In the condition as built, the building(s) will meet minimum required standards for structural, and fire and life safety. The owner must observe and correct deterioration in the building in order to maintain it in a safe condition.
	Your attention is drawn to the fact that this application was submitted under the provisions of Sections 39140/81130 of the Education Code which permit repairs or replacement of a fire damaged building to be made in accordance with the drawings and specifications previously approved by this office. The drawings and specifications approved for the reconstruction of this building conform to the drawings and specifications approved under application #
	These drawings and specifications meet the rules, regulations, and building standards in effect at the time of the original approval and do not necessarily comply with rules, regulations, or building standards currently in effect.
	Due to the nature of the poles, certain precautions considered necessary to assure long service have not been insisted upon. In their condition as built, they will meet minimum required safety standards; however, your attention is directed to the comparatively short life of wood poles. It will be the responsibility of the owner to maintain them in a safe condition.

Application File #:	10-H19
	Bleachers or grandstands constructed in accordance with approved drawings and specifications will meet minimum required standards for structural, and fire and life safety. The owner should provide for and require periodic safety inspections throughout the period of use to ensure framing and other parts have not been damaged or removed. On bleachers or grandstands having bolts, locking or safety devices, the owner shall require that all such components be properly tightened or locked prior to each use.
	This approval is for the part shown only since the drawings and specifications for the proposed work include only the portion of the building to be partially constructed on the subject site. It is understood that a separate application will be subsequently filed, together with drawings and specifications showing a plot plan and details of work necessary for completion. A contract for completion shall not be let before the written approval of such drawings has been obtained from the Department of General Services.
	The building(s) was designed to support a snow load of pounds per square foot of roof area. Snow removal must be considered if the amount of snow exceeds that for which the building(s) was designed.
\boxtimes	This constitutes the written approval certifying that the drawings and specifications are in compliance with State regulations for the accommodation of the disabled which are required before letting any contract for construction. (See Section 4454, Government Code.)
	Your application for the construction of a relocatable building submitted under the provisions of Section 17293 of the Education Code is hereby approved. This approval certifies that the drawings and specifications are in compliance with state regulations for accommodation of the disabled, structural safety, and fire and life safety. This approval applies only to the drawings and specifications for the foundation system, anchorage of the overhead nonstructural elements, and site work related to this project. Documentation has been received indicating that the building was constructed after December 19,1979, and bears a commercial coach insignia of approval from the Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD). Confirmation that the construction of the HCD building conforms to the appropriate state regulations is done by others. (See Section 17307, Education Code and Section 4454, Government Code.)
	Deferred Approval(s) Items:
must b	oject has been classified as Class 1 . An Inspector who is certified by DSA to inspect this class of project approved by DSA prior to start of construction.
	refer to the above application number in all correspondence, reports, etc., in connection with this project.
Sincere	Digitally signed by DAN LEVERNIER DN: st=CA, I=SACRAMENTO, o=California Department of General Services, ou=Division of the State Architect, ou=sww. verisign.com/ repository/CPS Incorp. by Ref., LIAB.LTD(c)99, title=REGIONAL MANAGER, cn=DAN LEVERNIER, email=dan.levernier@dgs.ca.gov Date: 2012.06.08 15:06:34 -0700°
	ester "Chet" Widom, FAIA architect
cc: Archite	ct

APPLICATION FOR FUNDING

SCHOOL FACILITY PROGRAM

SAB 50-04 (REV 09/12)

Page 6 of 10

Chapter 12.5, Part 10, Division	1, commencing w	ith Section 17070.10, et seq.,	of the	Education Code and the Regular	dons thereto.		
SCHOOL DISTRICT	ad Diatriat				APPLICATION NUMBER	004	
Washington Unified Scho	טו טופנווכנ				57/76778-00-	-001	
Washington Union High Sc	chool				PROJECT TRACKING NUMBER 76778-001		
COUNTY		DISTRICT REPRESENTATIVE'S E-MAIL ADDRESS			HIGH SCHOOL ATTENDANCE AREA (HS	AA) OR SUPER HSAA (II	APPLICABLE)
Fresno		jpestorich@wusd.w	S				
1. Type of Application—Check Only Or	ne		c	I. Is this a 6–8 school?		☐ Yes	✓ No
☐ New Construction				If you answered yes, how man	ny K–6 pupils reported		
☐ New Construction (Final Apportion	ment)			above are sixth graders?	_		
■ New Construction (Final Charter Sch	hool Apportionm	ent)		Is this an Alternative Education	on School?	☐ Yes	✓ No
☐ New Construction (Overcrowding R	elief Grant)		6	. Is this a use of grant request p	oursuant to Section 1859.	77.2? Yes	✓ No
☐ Rehabilitation (Final Charter School	Apportionment)			Is this request pursuant to Se			✓ No
✓ Modernization				If yes, enter date of successfu			_
☐ Modernization of California Schools	for Deaf/Blind			Is this a use of grant request p	500 W 100 000 000	77.3? T Yes	☑ No
				Is this request pursuant to Se		Yes	
Separate Apportionment				If yes, enter date of successfu		☐ 1C3	<u> </u>
☐ Site Only—New Construction [Sect	ion 1859.81.1]		f	Facility Hardship (no pupil			741
☐ Site Only (District owned)—New Co	onstruction [Secti	on 1859.81.2]	,	el el le 111 e			
☐ Site Only—Environmental Hardship	Section 1859.75	5.1]	ć	j. Charter School Facility Progra			
☐ Design Only—New Construction [S	ection 1859.81.1]				Toilets (sq. ft.)		
☐ Design Only—New Construction w	ith High Performa	ince		D :	Other (sq. ft.)		
☐ Design Only—Modernization			1	n. Project to be located on:			
☐ Design Only—Modernization with	High Performance	2		Leased Site			
☐ Design Only—Modernization of Cal	lifornia Schools fo	r Deaf/Blind		New Site			
☐ Facility Hardship [Section 1859.82(a	a)]			Existing Site with Addition			
☐ Seismic Replacement				Existing Site with No Addi	tional Acreage Acquired		
☐ Seismic Rehabilitation			i	ORG Projects Only			
☐ Facility Hardship [Section 1859.82(k	0)]			(T	NUMBER OF SIT	E CDECIEIO
Rehabilitation [Section 1859.83(e)]	-71			NAME OF ELIGIBLE	NUMBER OF PORTABLES	ELIGIBLE PUP	LS BEING
☐ Advance Funding for Evaluation and	d RA			SCHOOL SITE(S)	BEING REPLACED	REQUES	IED
2. Type of Project				3			
a. Elementary School	Total Pupils	Assigned:					
☐ Middle School	K-6:						
☐ High School	7–8:						
	9–12:	874		19	Total		
	Non-Severe:		3. 1	Number of Classrooms:	_		36
	Severe:		i	Master Plan Acreage Site Size (Us	eable):		33.00
 b.	ling (Modernization	on Only)	1	Recommended Site Size (Useable	e);		33.00
Total Eligible Classrooms/Squar	e Footage:	114,592	1	existing Acres (Useable):			45.80
Classroom/Square Footage at L	east 50 Years Old:	65,077		Proposed Acres (Useable):	×-		0.00
Ratio of 50 Years Old Classroom	s/Square Footage	56.80 %			N=		
From 2a above, how many are 5	0 Year or Older P	upil Grants?	4.	Type of Financial Hardship Rec	quest		
V 6.			[☐ Submittal with OPSC "pre-app	oroval" letter		
K-6:			T	Submittal with school board r		tion 1859.95.	I
7–8:	Non-S	evere:	-		esolution, pursuant to Sec		
		evere:		Insufficient Bond Authority)	esolution, pursuant to Sec		
7–8: 9–12:405	Severe	:		Insufficient Bond Authority)	esolution, pursuant to Sec		
7–8:	Severe upils are generate	ed by the		Insufficient Bond Authority)	esolution, pursuant to Sec		
7–8:	Severe upils are generate	ed by the		Insufficient Bond Authority)	esolution, pursuant to Sec		
7–8:	Severe upils are generate ' (New Construction	ed by the on Only)		Insufficient Bond Authority)	esolution, pursuant to Sec		
7–8:	Severe upils are generate (New Construction	ed by the		Insufficient Bond Authority)	esolution, pursuant to Sec		

STATE ALLOCATION BOARD OFFICE OF PUBLIC SCHOOL CONSTRUCTION

APPLICATION FOR FUNDING

SCHOOL FACILITY PROGRAM

SAB 50-04 (REV 09/12)

Page 7 of 10

5.	Ne	w Construction Additional Grant Request—New	Construction Only	Modernization or Charter School Facility Program Rehabilitation Only	
	a.	Therapy: Toilets (sq. fr	:.)	Rehabilitation/Mitigation [Section 1859.83(e)]: \$	
		Other (sq. ft.)	Geographic Percent Factor:	9
	b.	Multilevel Construction (CRS):	V	✓ Accessibility/Fire Code	
	c.	☐ Project Assistance		✓ 3 percent of base grant; or,	
	d.	Site Acquisition:		60 percent of minimum work \$	
		(1) 50 percent Actual Cost:	\$	✓ Number of 2-Stop Elevators:	1
		(2) 50 percent Appraised Value:	\$	✓ Number of Additional Stops:	2
		(3) 50 percent Relocation Cost:	\$	☐ Small Size Project	
		(4) 2 percent (min. \$25,000):	\$	Urban/Security/Impacted site	
		(5) 50 percent DTSC Fee:	\$		
	0	50 percent hazardous waste removal:	\$	8. Project Priority Funding Order—New Construction Only	
	c.	Response Action (RA)		Priority order of this application in relation to other new construction applicati	ons
	r	10 E 10 E		submitted by the district at the same time: #	
	1.	Site Development	*	Project meets:	
		50 percent Service-Site:	\$	Density requirement pursuant to Section 1859.92(c)(3).	
		50 percent Off-Site:	\$	Stock plans requirement pursuant to Section 1859.92(c)(4).	
		50 percent Utilities:	\$	Energy efficiency requirement pursuant to Section 1859.92(c)(6).	
		General Site		9. Prior Approval Under the LPP	
	g.	Facility Hardship Section 1859.82(a) or (b)		New Construction: 22/	
		Toilet (sq. ft.):		Modernization: 77/	
		Other (sq. ft.):			
	h.	Seismic Rehabilitation [Section 1859.82(a)]	\$	10. Prior Apportionment Under the SFP	
	i.	Replacement area		Site/Design—New Construction: 50/	
		Toilet (sq. ft.):	**************************************	Design—Modernization: 57/	-
		Other (sq. ft.):		11. Preliminary Apportionment to Final Apportionment	
	j.	Energy Efficiency:	%	Preliminary Apportionment Application Number: #	
	k.	☐ Automatic Fire Detection/Alarm System		Telliman, Apportunitient Application Humber.	11111
		Automatic Sprinkler System		12. Alternative Developer Fee—New Construction Only	
	l.	☐ High Performance Incentive (Indicate Points):		Alternative developer fee collected and reportable pursuant to	
6.	M	odernization or Charter School Facilities Progran	n Rehabilitation	Regulation Section 1859.77: \$	-
-		Iditional Grant Request	The transfer of the transfer o	13. Adjustment to New Construction Baseline Eligibility	
	a.	Project Assistance	7 . 0	a. Classroom(s) provided:	
	b.	☐ Energy Efficiency:	%	Additional Replacement	
	с.	Site Development—60 percent utilities:	\$ 195,595	K-6: K-6	
	d.	Automatic Fire Detection/Alarm System	7	7-8: 7-8	
				9–12: 9–12	
	e.	High Performance Incentive (Indicate Points):	-	Non-Severe: Non-Severe	
7.	Ex	cessive Cost Hardship Request		Severe: Severe	_
	Ne	ew Construction Only		Severe Severe	_
		Geographic Percent Factor:	%	Construction Contract(s) for the project signed on:	
		New School Project [Section 1859.83(c)(1)]			
	7	New School Project [Section 1859.83(c)(2)]		14 Panding Passassination Floation No. Co., 12 Co. C.	١.
		Small Size Project		14. Pending Reorganization Election—New Construction Only Yes N	10
		Urban/Security/Impacted Site;		15. Joint-Use Facility/Leased Property	
			re [Section 1859.83(d)(2)(C)]	a. Doint-Use Facility	
		per oscubie ne		b. Leased Property	

APPLICATION FOR FUNDING

• Any portion of the P&S not requiring review and approval by the DSA meets the

capped access and fire code requirements.

if there are none.)

requirements of the California Code of Regulations, Title 24, including any handi-

· If the request is for a Modernization or Charter School Facility Program Rehabilita-

tion Grant, the P&S include the demolition of more classrooms than those to be

constructed in the project, the difference is $\underline{}$ classroom(s). (Indicate N/A

SCHOOL FACILITY PROGRAM

SAB 50-04 (REV 09/12)

Page 8 of 10

4.2.1.12		
66. Project Progress Dates a. Construction Contract(s) awarded on: TBD	If the request is for a Modernization or Charter School	3
	tion Grant, the P&S include the construction of more of	
(If the space provided is not sufficient for all applicable contract dates, please	demolished in the project, the difference is0	_ classroom(s). (Indicate N/
list all dates on a separate attachment to this form.) b. Notice(s) to Proceed issued on: TBD	if there are none.)	
	ARCHITECT OF RECORD OR LICENSED ARCHITECT (PRINT NAME)	
c. If the Construction Contract(s) was awarded prior to January 1, 2012, have you	Curtis Flynn	
initiated and enforced an LCP approved by the DIR pursuant to Labor Code	SIGNATURE	DATE
Section 1771.7 for this project?		11/29/2012
7. Prevailing Wage Monitoring and Enforcement Costs		
If the Construction Contract(s) was or will be awarded on or after January 1, 2012,	22. Architect of Record or Design Professional Certific	
	I certify as the architect of record for the project or the approp	riate design professional, that
please indicate which monitoring requirements was or will be used, pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.3:	If the request is for a New Construction Grant, not incl	luding the ORG, I have
	developed a cost estimate of the proposed project wh	
☑ DIR CMU Administered	mated construction cost of the work in the P&S include	ding deferred items (if any)
DIR approved District LCP	relating to the proposed project, is at least 60 percent	
Collective bargaining agreement, pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.3(b)(3)	provided by the State and the district's matching shar	e, less site acquisition costs
8. Construction Delivery Method	and the High Performance Base Incentive Grant. This	cost estimate does not
☐ Design-Bid-Build	include site acquisition, planning, tests, inspection, or	furniture and equipment
☐ Design-Build	and is available at the district for review by the OPSC.	
Developer Built	 If the request is for a Modernization or Charter School 	Facility Program Rehabilita
✓ Lease Lease-Back	tion Grant, I have developed a cost estimate of the pro-	oposed project which
☐ Energy Performance Contract	indicates that the estimated construction cost of the	work in the P&S, including
This project includes or will include piggyback contract(s) as defined in Section 1859.2	deferred items and interim housing (if any) relating to	the proposed project, is at
Other:	least 60 percent of the total grant amount provided b	
	matching share, less the High Performance Base Ince	ntive Grant. This cost esti-
9. Career Technical Education Funds Request	mate does not include planning, tests, inspection or for	urniture and equipment an
Will CTE Funds be requested for classroom(s) included in the plans and	is available at the district for review by the OPSC.	
specifications for this project? Yes V No		
Number of CTE classroom(s):	ARCHITECT OF RECORD OR DESIGN PROFESSIONAL (PRINT NAME)	
20. Overcrowding Relief Grant Narrative	Curtis Flynn	T
	MONATURE	DATE 11/29/2012
	23. Certification	97 (MI) 860(MI) 860(MI)
	I certify, as the District Representative, that the informa-	The second secon
21. Architect of Record or Licensed Architect Certification	with the exception of items 21 and 22, is true and correct	at and that:
I certify as the architect of record for the project or as a licensed architect that:	I am an authorized representative of the district as authorized of the district; and	ithorized by the governing
• The P&S for this project were submitted to the OPSC by electronic medium (i.e.,	board of the district; and,	anarting this anniestics
CD-ROM, zip disk or diskette) or as an alternative, if the request is for a modern-	A resolution or other appropriate documentation sup- under Chapter 12 5 Part 10 Division 1 common singly	
ization Grant, the P&S were submitted in hard copy to the OPSC.	under Chapter 12.5, Part 10, Division 1, commencing v	
 Any portion of the P&S requiring review and approval by the Division of the State 	et. seq., of the Education Code was adopted by the so	er overer er
Architect (DSA) were approved by the DSA on06/08/2012	ing board or the designee of the Superintendent of P 12/14/2011 and	ublic instruction on,
(enter DSA approval date).	; and,	

purpose of providing ongoing and major maintenance of school buildings and has developed an ongoing and major maintenance plan that complies with and is implemented under the provisions of Education Code Section 17070.75 and 17070.77 (refer to Sections 1859.100 through 1859.102); and,

• The district has established a "Restricted Maintenance Account" for exclusive

Pursuant to Education Code Section 17070.755, the district has made a priority of
the funds in the restricted maintenance account, established pursuant to Education Code Section 17070.75, to ensure that facilities are functional and meet local
hygiene standards; and,

APPLICATION FOR FUNDING

SCHOOL FACILITY PROGRAM

SAB 50-04 (REV 09/12)

- The district has considered the feasibility of the joint use of land and facilities with other governmental agencies in order to minimize school facility costs; and,
- If this funding request is for the modernization of portable classrooms eligible for an additional apportionment pursuant to Education Code Section 17073.15, the district certifies that (check the applicable box below):
- The state modernization funds will be used to replace the portable classrooms and permanently remove the displaced portables from the classroom use within six months of the filing of the Notice of Completion for the project; or.
- 2. It has provided documentation to the Office of Public School Construction which indicates that modernizing the portable classrooms eligible for an additional apportionment is better use of public resources than the replacement of these facilities.
- Facilities to be modernized have not been previously modernized with Lease-Purchase Program, Proposition 1A Funds or School Facility Program state funds; and,
- Facilities to be rehabilitated under the Charter School Facility Program previously funded with School Facility Program State funds meet the requirements of Section 1859,163,6; and.
- All contracts entered on or after November 4, 1998 for the service of any
 architect structural engineer or other design professional for any work under the
 project have been obtained pursuant to a competitive process that is consistent
 with the requirements of Chapter 10 (commencing with Section 4525) of Division 5, of Title 1, of the Government Code; and,
- If this request is for new construction funding, the district has received approval
 of the site and the plans from the CDE. Plan approval is not required if request is
 for separate design apportionment; and,
- If this request is for modernization or Charter School Facility Program Rehabilitation funding, the district has received approval of the plans for the project from the CDE.
 Plan approval is not required if request is for separate design apportionment; and,
- The district has or will comply with the Public Contract Code regarding all laws governing the use of force account labor; and,
- This district has or will comply with Education Code Section 17076.11 regarding at least a 3 percent expenditure goal for disabled veteran business enterprises; and,
- The district matching funds required pursuant to Sections 1859.77.1 or 1859.79 has
 either been expended by the district, deposited in the County School Facility Fund or
 will be expended by the district prior to the notice of completion for the project; and,
- The district has received the necessary approval of the plans and specifications from the Division of the State Architect unless the request is for a separate site and/or design apportionment; and,
- If the district is requesting site acquisition funds as part of this application, the district has complied with Sections 1859.74 through 1859.75.1 as appropriate; and,
- With the exception of an apportionment made pursuant to Section 1859.75.1, the district understands that the lack of substantial progress toward increasing the pupil capacity or renovation of its facilities within 18 months of receipt of any funding shall be cause for the rescission of the unexpended funds (refer to Section 1859.105); and,
- If the apportionment for this project was made pursuant to Section 1859.75.1,
 the district understands that the lack of substantial progress toward increasing
 the pupil capacity or renovation of its facilities within 12 months of receipt of
 any funding shall be cause for the rescission of the unexpended funds (refer to
 Section 1859.105.1); and,

- The district understands that funds not released within 18 months of apportionment shall be rescinded and the application shall be denied (refer to Section 1859.90); and.
- The statements set forth in this application and supporting documents are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief; and,
- All school facilities purchased or newly constructed under the project for use by pupils who are individuals with exceptional needs, as defined in Education Code Section 56026, shall be designed and located on the school site so as to maximize interaction between those individuals with exceptional needs and other pupils as appropriate to the needs of both; and,
- This form is an exact duplicate (verbatim) of the form provided by the OPSC. In the event a conflict should exist, the language in the OPSC form will prevail; and,
- The district understands that some or all of the State funding for the project must be returned to the State as a result of an audit pursuant to Sections 1859.105, 1859.105.1, 1859.106; and.
- The district has complied with the provisions of Sections 1859.76 and 1859.79.2
 and that the portion of the project funded by the State does not contain work
 specifically prohibited in those Sections; and,
- If the SFP grants will be used for the construction or modernization of school
 facilities on leased land, the district has entered into a lease agreement for the
 leased property that meets the requirements of Section 1859.22; and,
- If the application contains a "Use of New Construction Grant" request, the district
 has adopted a school board resolution and housing plan at a public hearing at a
 regularly scheduled meeting of the governing board on
 as specified in Sections 1859.77.2, or 1859.77.3, as appropriate. The district's approved housing plan is as indicated (check all that apply):
- □ 1. The district will construct or acquire facilities for housing the pupils with funding not otherwise available to the SFP as a district match within five years of project approval by the SAB and the district must identify the source of the funds. [Applicable for Sections 1859.77.2(a) and (b) and 1859.77.3(a) and (b)]
- 2. The district will utilize higher district loading standards providing the loading standards are within the approved district's teacher contract and do not exceed 33:1 per classroom. [Applicable for Sections 1859.77.2(a) and (b) and 1859.77.3(a) and (b)]
- 3. The pupils requested from a different grade level will be housed in class-rooms at an existing school in the district which will have its grade level changed, to the grade level requested, at the completion of the proposed SFP project. [Applicable for Sections 1859.77.2(b) and 1859.77.3(b)]
- If the district requested additional funding for fire code requirements pursuant
 to Sections 1859.71.2 or 1859.78.4, the district will include the automatic fire
 detection/alarm system and/or automatic sprinkler system in the project prior to
 completion of the project; and,
- The district has consulted with the career technical advisory committee established pursuant to Education Code Section 8070 and the need for vocational and career technical facilities is being adequately met in accordance with Education Code Sections 51224, 51225.3(b), and 51228(b), and 52336.1; and,
- If the district is requesting an Additional Grant for Energy Efficiency pursuant to Sections 1859.71.3 or 1859.78.5, the increased costs for the energy efficiency components in the project exceeds the amount of funding otherwise available to the district; and,

Page 9 of 10

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

APPLICATION FOR FUNDING

SCHOOL FACILITY PROGRAM

SAB 50-04 (REV 09/12)

STATE ALLOCATION BOARD OFFICE OF PUBLIC SCHOOL CONSTRUCTION

Page 10 of 10

- If this application is submitted after January 1, 2004 for modernization funding, the district has considered the potential for the presence of lead-containing materials in the modernization project and will follow all relevant federal, state, and local standards for the management of any identified lead; and,
- The district has initiated and enforced an LCP that has been approved by the DIR, pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.7, if the project is funded from Propositions 47 or 55 and the Notice to Proceed for the construction phase of the project is issued on or after April 1, 2003 and before January 1, 2012; and,
- The district will contract or has contracted with the DIR for prevailing wage monitoring
 and enforcement pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.3(a), if the construction contract
 is awarded on or after January 1, 2012 and the district has not obtained a waiver for the
 requirement, pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.3(b). The district understands that if it
 fails to meet this requirement, it will be required to repay all state bond funds received
 including interest; and,
- Beginning with the 2005/2006 fiscal year, the district has complied with Education Code Section 17070.75(e) by establishing a facilities inspection system to ensure that each of its schools is maintained in good repair; and,
- If this application is submitted pursuant to Section 1859.180, the district certifies that within six months of occupancy of the permanent classrooms, it will remove the replaced portables from the eligible school site and K–12 grade classroom use with the exception of schools described in Education Code Section 17079.30(c); and,
- The district has considered the feasibility of using designs and materials for the new construction or modernization project that promote the efficient use of energy and water, maximum use of natural light and indoor air quality, the use of recycled materials and materials that emit a minimum of toxic substances, the use of acoustics conducive to teaching and learning, and the other characteristics of high performance schools; and,
- If the district is requesting an additional grant for high performance incentive funding, the school district governing board must have a resolution on file that demonstrates support for the high performance incentive grant request and the intent to incorporate high performance features in future facilities projects; and,
- If this application is submitted when there is Insufficient Bond Authority, the district has adopted a school board resolution pursuant to Section 1859.95.1; and,
- The district will comply with all laws pertaining to the construction or modernization of its school building.

NAME OF DISTRICT REPRESENTATIVE (PRINT)

FHONE NUMBER

(559) 495-5627

DATE

11/29/17

SCHOOL FACILITY PROGRAM APPLICATION TRANSACTIONDETAIL
Application: 57/76778-00-001

County: FRESNO Site: WASHINGTON HIGH FRESNO District: WASHINGTON UNIFIED

	HC	OLD STATUS				MISC.	STATUS	777
ART:	N	Fin Hrdship:	N	State Share:	60			
PM ·	N	Appeals:	N					

PM: N Appeals: N PVT: N Accounting: N Real Estate: N Audit: N

Trans Type	Trans Sequence	Document Date	Bond Fund		Expenditure Amount	Comment	Keyed Date	Keyed By
TRUE UNF TRUE UNF TRUE UNF UNFUNDED RESO CLAIM SC	03/20/2 G G G	01/23/2013 2013 112-01 06/05/2017 06/05/2017 09/06/2017 10/31/2017	2 Not 112-012	applicable Not applicable Not applicable 5,906,065.00		01/25/2 CCI 03/21/ 06/06/3 951-570 09/11/3	/2013 pfels 2017 cchan 06/06/2017 2017 rmoy	egh

5,906,065.00 5,906,065.00

LEASE-PURCHASE PROJECT NUMBER(S): NONE

SCHOOL FACILITY PROGRAM

Application: 57/76778-00-001

County: FRESNO Site: WASHINGTON HIGH FRESNO District: WASHINGTON UNIFIED

-- HOLD STATUS ---- MISC. STATUS --

ART: N Fin Hrdship: N State Share: 60 PM: N Appeals: N PVT: N Accounting: N Real Estate: N Audit: N

Real Escace. N Addic.	14		
Category	Apportionment	Expenditure	Balance
New Construction	0.00	0.00	0.00
	2,411,129.00	2,411,129.00	0.00
SDC Non Severe	0.00	0.00	0.00
SDC Severe	0.00	0.00	0.00
SDC Therapy	0.00	0.00	0.00
Secondary SDC Non Severe	0.00	0.00	0.00
Secondary SDC Severe	0.00	0.00	0.00
Secondary SDC Therapy	0.00	0.00	0.00
Multi Level Const.	0.00	0.00	0.00
Site Acquisition	0.00	0.00	0.00
Site Relocation	0.00	0.00	0.00
Toxic	0.00	0.00	0.00
Site Other	0.00	0.00	0.00
Service Site	0.00	0.00	0.00
General Site	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	0.00	0.00	0.00
Utilities	195,595.00	195,595.00	0.00
Facility Hardship Toilet	0.00	0.00	0.00
Facility Hardship Other		0.00	0.00
Geographic Index	0.00	0.00	0.00
Small School	0.00	0.00	0.00
New School	0.00	0.00	0.00
Rehabilitation	0.00	0.00	0.00
Rehabilitation Urban Security Fire Code Compliance	0.00	0.00	0.00
	159,109.00	159,109.00	0.00
Elevator 2 Stop	99,172.00	99,172.00	0.00
Elevator Add. Stop	35,698.00	35,698.00	0.00
Financial Hardship	0.00	0.00	0.00
Alternate Dev. Fee	0.00	0.00	0.00
SDC Therapy Toilet	0.00	0.00	0.00
Project Assistance	0.00	0.00	0.00
Site DTSC Fee	0.00	0.00	0.00
Site Hazardous Removal	0.00	0.00	0.00
Replacement Area Toilet	0.00	0.00	0.00
Replacement Area Other Fire Detection/Alarm	0.00 0.00 104,006.00	104,006.00	0.00
Fire Chrinklers	0.00	0.00	0.00
Fire Sprinklers Energy	0.00	0.00	0.00
High Performance	0.00	0.00	0.00
50 Year Old Modernization		2,892,510.00	0.00
Labor Compliance Program	0.00	0.00	0.00
Prevailing Wage Monitoring	8 846 00	8,846.00	0.00
Inflation Adjustment	0.00	0.00	0.00
Excessive Hazmat Removal		0.00	0.00
Joint Use Extra Cost	0.00	0.00	0.00
CSFA Lease Amount	0.00	0.00	0.00
CTE Equipment	0.00	0.00	0.00
CTE Loan	0.00	0.00	0.00
STATE TOTAL	5,906,065.00	5,906,065.00	0.00
DISTRICT CONTRIBUTION	3,937,377.00		
PROJECT TOTAL	9,843,442.00		
	000 · 300 00000 · 30000 10000 100000	0.00	
ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE INTEREST		0.00	

SCHOOL FACILITY PROGRAM

Application: 57/76778-00-001

County: FRESNO Site: WASHINGTON HIGH FRESNO District: WASHINGTON UNIFIED

-- MISC. STATUS ---- HOLD STATUS --

N Fin Hrdship: N State Share: 60

PM: N Appeals: N
PVT: N Accounting: N
Real Estate: N Audit: N

Category Apportionment Expenditure Balance

Fund	Apportionment	Expenditure	Balance
Fund 051-570	5,906,065.00	5,906,065.00	0.00
TOTAL	5,906,065.00	5,906,065.00	0.00

Severe:

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No: 57/76778-00-001

School District: Washington Unified

County: Fresno

School Name: Washington High

PROJECT DATA	Ά	DAT	ECT	PROJ
--------------	---	-----	-----	------

Type of Project:		High School	Application Filing Basis:		Site Specific
Pupils Assigned Under 50 Yrs:	K-6:		Pupils Assigned Over 50 Yrs:	K-6:	
	7-8:			7-8:	
	9-12:	469		9-12:	405
Non-	-Severe:			Non-Severe:	

Severe:

Financial Hardship Requested: No Recommended Acres: 33
Alternative Education School: No Existing Acres: 45.8

ADJUSTED GRANT DATA								
Modernization Grant - Under 50 Yrs	\$	2,411,129.00						
Modernization Grant - Over 50 Yrs		2,892,510.00						
Fire Detection Alarm		104,006.00						
Prevailing Wage Monitoring		8,846.00						
Site Development		195,595.00						
Accessibility/Fire Code Req.		159,109.00						
Two Stop Elevators		99,172.00						
Additional Elev. Stops	_	35,698.00						
Total State Share (60%)		5,906,065.00						
District Share (40%)		3,937,377.00						
Total Project Cost	\$	9,843,442.00						

	PROJECT FINANCING	
State Share		
This Project	\$	5,906,065.00
District Share		
Cash Contribution		3,937,377.00
Financial Hardship	_	
Total Project Cost	\$	9,843,442.00

HISTORY OF PROJECT COST AND APPORTIONMENT								
Fund Code	Proposition		Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	State Apportionment This Action			
951-570	51	\$	5,906,065.00 \$	(5,906,065.00)				
051-570	51			5,906,065.00 \$	5,906,065.00			
			3,937,377.00					
		\$	9,843,442.00 \$	0.00 \$	5,906,065.00			
	Fund Code 951-570	Fund Proposition Code 951-570 51	Fund Proposition Code 951-570 51 \$	Fund Proposition Previously Authorized 951-570 51 \$ 5,906,065.00 \$ 051-570 51	Fund Proposition Previously Authorized This Action 951-570 51 \$ 5,906,065.00 \$ (5,906,065.00)			

Funding Source: Proposition 51 Bonds/2016-Nov.

Pursuant to the Board's action on September 6, 2017, the District is required to submit a complete Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05) on or before December 5, 2017; otherwise, the apportionment will be rescinded without further Board action and will receive a new Unfunded Approval date of December 5, 2017.

The Form SAB 50-05 submittal must contain an original signature from a designated District Representative and must be physically received by the Office of Public School Construction at 707 Third Street, West Sacramento, CA 95605 prior to the close of business on December 5, 2017.

The school district is responsible for ensuring the project is compliant with all Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts were executed and/or construction commenced.

The District shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

EXPENDITURE REPORT

SCHOOL FACILITY PROGRAM

SAB 50-06 (REV 12/10)

AF 11-5-18

STATE ALLOCATION BOARD OFFICE OF PUBLIC SCHOOL CONSTRUCTION

age 2 of

TITLE (TYPED)	DSANUMBER 02-112370 ECHNICIAN	COS NUMBER TELEPHONE NUMBER/E-MAIL ADDRESS 559-495-5618	REPORT NUMBER FINAL PERIOD ENDING		
TITLE (TYPED) COUNTING T PRESENTATIVE TITLE (TYPED)	02-112370 ECHNICIAN	TELEPHONE NUMBER/E-MAIL ADDRESS 559-495-5618			
PRESENTATIVE TITLE (TYPED)		559-495-5618			
PRESENTATIVE TITLE (TYPED)					
ERINTENDE	TAIT	TELEPHONE NUMBER/E-MAIL ADDRESS			
	IN I	559-495-5600			
. Previous Report	5. Report Period	6. Total to Date			
	\$12,336,391	\$12,336,391			
Amount of Savings Amount of Savings on this form is true ar erning board of the di he foregoing stateme	\$ \$ and correct and that: strict; and, onts are true and correct, and	t that the Public Contract Co	was adhered to in that		
-	Amount of Savings Amount of Savings on this form is true ar erning board of the di he foregoing stateme	\$6,430,326 \$5,906,065 \$0 \$12,336,391 ardship project that will be used to reduce the written declaration of savings pursuant to SAMOUNT of Savings Amount of Savings Amount of Savings on this form is true and correct and that: erning board of the district; and, the foregoing statements are true and correct, and	\$6,430,326 \$6,430,326 \$5,906,065 \$5,906,065 \$0 \$0 \$12,336,391 \$12,336,391 ardship project that will be used to reduce the grant of a future School Fah written declaration of savings pursuant to Section 1859.103. Amount of Savings \$		

EXPENDITURE WURKSHEET

DETAILED LISTING OF PROJECT EXPENDITURES

PAGE 3 - PLANNING

SCHOOL DISTRICT: COUNTY: PROJECT NUMBER REPORT NUMBER WASHINGTON UNIFIED FRESNO 57/76778-00-001 FINAL DATE PAYEE WARRANT ARCHITECT/ DSA FEES CDE FEES **ENERGY ANALYSIS** PRELIMINARY TESTS OTHER COSTS DESCRIPTION/PURPOSE NUMBER **ENGINEERING FEES** 02/01/12 DSA 511542038 36,240.00 04/13/12 DSA 511559486 37,800.00 12/18/13 INTEGRATED DESIGNS 511705946 \$ 57,441.28 03/12/14 INTEGRATED DESIGNS 511723613 \$ 16,063.72 03/12/14 INTEGRATED DESIGNS 511723613 S 55,098.20 05/21/14 INTEGRATED DESIGNS 511741693 S 39,678.39 05/21/14 INTEGRATED DESIGNS 511741693 6,667.33 REIMB 05/21/14 INTEGRATED DESIGNS 511741693 05/21/14 INTEGRATED DESIGNS 5 640.85 REIMB 511741693 13,174.73 07/09/14 INTEGRATED DESIGNS 511753723 112,873.68 07/09/14 INTEGRATED DESIGNS 511753723 919.38 REIMB 08/22/14 INTEGRATED DESIGNS 511763885 S 129,694.65 08/22/14 INTEGRATED DESIGNS 511763885 S 2,341.54 REIMB 08/22/14 INTEGRATED DESIGNS 511763885 08/22/14 INTEGRATED DESIGNS 5 822.42 REIMB 511763885 5 93,855.17 10/24/14 INTEGRATED DESIGNS 511780268 5 34,304.08 10/24/14 INTEGRATED DESIGNS S 465.75 REIMB 511780268 5 232.92 REIMB 11/19/14 INTEGRATED DESIGNS 511785940 S 87,478.15 11/04/15 INTEGRATED DESIGNS 511874847 19,123.53 01/28/15 INTEGRATED DESIGNS 511802262 S 85,741.15 01/28/15 INTEGRATED DESIGNS 511802262 65.12 REIMB 01/28/15 INTEGRATED DESIGNS 511802262 75,627.90 2.486.88 REIMB 01/28/15 INTEGRATED DESIGNS 511802262 73,356.13 03/04/15 INTEGRATED DESIGNS 511811299 S 36,003.75 03/04/15 INTEGRATED DESIGNS 511811299 S 39.84 REIMB 03/06/15 INTEGRATED DESIGNS 511812143 S 21,968.79 04/08/15 INTEGRATED DESIGNS 511820294 S 13,180.61 05/01/15 INTEGRATED DESIGNS 511827443 S 10,774.04 06/05/15 INTEGRATED DESIGNS 511837359 S 11,734.59 08/05/15 INTEGRATED DESIGNS 511851483 S 26,996.42 01/27/16 INTEGRATED DESIGNS 511895063 \$ 11,921.76 01/27/16 INTEGRATED DESIGNS 511895063 2,255.78 01/27/16 INTEGRATED DESIGNS 511895063 01/27/16 INTEGRATED DESIGNS 304.30 REIMB 511895063 4,460.00 Fund Source Identification: TOTAL PLANNING COSTS FUND NO. _____ FUND NO. _ 1,032,806.50 \$ 74,040.00 S 14,986.33 \$

EXPENDITURE WORKSHEET

DETAILED LISTING OF PROJECT EXPENDITURES

PAGE 4 - CONSTRUCTION

SCHOOL DISTRI	WASHINGTON UNIFIED		COU		SNO	PROJECT NUMBER: 57/76778-00-001			REPORT NUMBER:	FINAL				
DATE	PAYEE	WARRANT NUMBER	MAIN	CONSTRUCTION	CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT	DEMOLITION	CC	OTHER ONSTRUCTION	INTERIM HOUSING	LABOR COMPLIANCE PROGRAM	INSPE	CTIONS	CONSTRUCTION TESTS	FURNITUI EQUIPME
	LEON ENVIROMENTAL SERVICES						\$	15,000.00					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	ORAL E MICHAM	511754434					S	18,153.79			li .			
	ORAL E MICHAM	511763888	S	1,766,765.74			1			1	W			
	VALLEY BUSINESS	511769444	S	92,987.73		E.					111		8	
	ORAL E MICHAM	511765881	S	1,677,376.98		48								
	TECHNICON ENGINEERING	511767780				I				1			\$ 6,562.88	
	TECHNICON ENGINEERING	511767780	i am	sole version and it		1							\$ 6,974.24	
09/17/14	VALLEY BUSINESS	511769444	S	88,283.01		1							cas established	
	TECHNICON ENGINEERING	511774279		113000-00									\$ 2,080.16	
	ORAL E MICHAM	511780271	S	2,069,323.69		4							NAME CONTRACTOR	
10/29/14	TECHNICON ENGINEERING	511781263				1							\$ 1,359.28	
11/14/14	LEON ENVIROMENTAL SERVICES	511784918					S	8,250.00					Vertical Control of the Control of t	
11/14/14	ORAL E MICHAM	511784924	S	1,000,000.00			1							}
12/10/14	TECHNICON ENGINEERING	511791163				1	B						\$ 213.20	1
12/17/14	ORAL E MICHAM	511794333	S	435,195.26			1							
1/14/15	LEON ENVIROMENTAL SERVICES	511797888					S	1,550.00						R
J1/14/15	TECHNICON ENGINEERING	511797909	1			I.	9						\$ 718.90	
01/23/15	ORAL E MICHAM	511801132	s	720,171.58		1								12
01/23/15	ORAL E MICHAM	511801132	S	552,513.67			li .							75
01/23/15	ORAL E MICHAM	511801132	s	393,415.47			18							
01/28/15	PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC	511802270	100	8. 2.		l .	s	48,672.89						
01/28/15	VALLEY BUSINESS	511802282	s	108,912.03				10101						
01/28/15	VALLEY BUSINESS	511802282	S	75,536.61			1							
01/28/15	VALLEY BUSINESS	511802282	s	37,903.84										
01/28/15	VALLEY BUSINESS	511802282	s	29,079.71			1							
01/28/15	VALLEY BUSINESS	511802282	s	20,706.12			L							
02/11/15	TECHNICON ENGINEERING	511805422					1						\$ 328.64	
	ORAL E MICHAM	511805420	s	521,603.43									3 320.04	
	VALLEY BUSINESS	511805424	S	27,452.82										
	TWB INSPECTIONS	511804693	-	27,402.02			1				s	10,000.00		
	TWB INSPECTIONS	511808699								1	S			
	TECHNICON ENGINEERING	511813345	1				1				3	10,000.00	S 547.04	
	TWB INSPECTIONS	511814061									s	10 000 00	3 547.04	
	TECHNICON ENGINEERING	511820314									3	10,000.00	4 070 00	
	TWB INSPECTIONS	511822469	1								s	10 000 00	\$ 1,870.96	
	ORAL E MICHAM	511827447	s	343,093.44							3	10,000.00		
	VALLEY BUSINESS	511827457	s	18,057.56			1							
	ORAL E MICHAM	511828690	s	226,053.61										
	TWB INSPECTIONS	511831506	3	220,033.01			1					0.000.00		
	VALLEY BUSINESS	511831507	s	11,897.55			1				S	3,000.00		
	TWB INSPECTIONS	511841616	3	11,097.55			1					4.050.00		
		511848613	1	1				050.00			\$	1,650.00		
	ORAL E MICHAM	P1361 (12.22) 2017 (2017)	s	62 00E 47			S	850.00						
	VALLEY BUSINESS	511853621 511853624	S	53,025.47										
	ORAL E MICHAM	511863624	S	2,790.83			1							
	ORAL E MICHAM		S	48,683.70			1							
	ORAL E MICHAM	511861244	100	16,350.22			1							
	TWB INSPECTIONS	511869786	S	159,715.15			1							
		511777670	-				1			1	5	10,000.00		
	TWB INSPECTIONS	511784936		455			1				S	10,000.00		
		511881501	S	150,237.39			1					100000000000000000000000000000000000000		
		511794338					1				\$	10,000.00		
		511896896	S	7,907.24			1							
		511896896	S	3,422.83			1			1				
		511896896	S	8,406.11			1							
	33.00 (10.00 (20	511896872	S	76,633.57			1							
		511896896	S	4,033.35			1							
		511896872	S	51,966.00			1							
70.000 (17.50)		511903458	S	43,532.00			1							
		511903458	S	1,061.52		1	1							
0.000.000.000.000.000		511904547	s	127,367.43			1			1				
04/06/16	ORAL E MICHAM	511913962	s	55,313.20			1							
	j il			9.8			1							
nd Source Ide	SUMMODOURS LINCORNER		98											
IND NO	FUND NO		S	11,026,775.86	S -	S -	S	92,476.68	S -	s -	S	74,650.00	\$ 20,655.30	S