



CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF
EDUCATION

TOM TORLAKSON

STATE SUPERINTENDENT OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION

September 1, 2011

Rincon Valley Union Elementary
1000 Yulupa Ave.
Santa Rosa, CA 95405

Project Tracking No: 70896-13
Re: **Whited Elementary Charter**
County: Sonoma
Square Feet: 29,676
Grade Level: K - 6

Dear Superintendent:

Subject: Final Plan Approval - Modernization

The California Department of Education approves the plans with the title sheet date of January 4, 2004, for the above referenced project. The plans were received on August 30, 2011. The plans meet the California Department of Education's standards for educational adequacy (California Code of Regulations, Title 5, et seq. and Education Code 17251(c) and (d)).

It is the responsibility of the school district to meet all requirements concerning toilet facilities, drinking water supply, sewage disposal, food service facilities and other plan elements having primary health and safety implications. The plans should be reviewed by the local health agency having jurisdiction and a written approval should be secured and filed in the school district's records. If the approved project involves work on an existing school building, it is the responsibility of the school district to meet all Federal, State and local requirements relating to the identification, remediation and/or removal of hazardous levels of lead and asbestos containing materials before or during construction. It is the responsibility of the district to complete all of the mitigation measures identified in the documents submitted to the California Department of Education for review.

The school site for this project is **9.4 usable acres**. This represents 98.95% of the California Department of Education's recommended site size of **9.5 acres**, as contained in the California Department of Education's "Guide to School Site Analysis and Development(2000)," for the current CBEDS enrollment of the site and the student capacity added by this project as calculated pursuant to SAB Regulation 1859.83(d).

The project as approved consists of:

<u>TEACHING STATIONS</u>	<u>GRADE LEVEL</u>	<u>ROOMS</u>	<u>STUDENTS</u>
Classrooms	1 - 6	16	400
Kindergartens	Kindergarten	3	75

Based on the standards specified in Education Code 17071.25 and the number of teaching stations in the project, the student capacity of this project is 475.

CORE FACILITIES:


Administration	Library	Multi-Purpose
Resource Specialist Prog	Speech	Storage
Teacher Work Room	Toilets	

The district has certified that this project is either exempt from, or has completed, the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) process.

For projects to be funded under the Leroy F. Greene School Facility Act of 1998, funding requests to the State Allocation Board must be submitted within two (2) years of the date of this letter. If the district is not seeking financial assistance from the State Allocation Board, the project must commence construction within two (2) years of the date of this letter. Regardless of the funding source, if, prior to construction, changes are made to the plans that would affect or alter the California Department of Education's original approval (including but not limited to changes in surrounding land uses, the master plan capacity of the project, changes in code and/or regulation, or a subsequent CEQA determination), the plan may be subject to reevaluation using the most recent standards.

Please contact the consultant identified below if you have questions regarding this letter.

Sincerely,



Fred A. Yeager, Assistant Director
School Facilities Planning Division



Fred A. Yeager, Consultant
School Facilities Planning Division
(916)327-7148

DP7082/P6274
cc: Architect



State of California • Arnold Schwarzenegger, Governor
State and Consumer Services Agency

DEPARTMENT OF GENERAL SERVICES
Division of the State Architect – Bay Area Office

November 22, 2004

APPROVAL OF PLAN(S)

MR. TIM JUSTUS, SUPERINTENDENT
RINCON VALLEY UNION ELEMENTARY SCHOOL DISTRICT
1000 YULUPA AVENUE
SANTA ROSA CA 95405

Project: WHITED ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
Scope: Alterations to Four Classroom Buildings (C, D, E, F), Administration Building (A) & Multi-Purpose Building (B).
Application #: 01-106333
File #: 49-101

Drawings and specifications for the subject project have been examined and stamped by the Division of the State Architect (DSA) for identification. A set of said drawings and specifications has been filed on 11/12/04.

This letter constitutes the "written approval of the plans as to safety of design and construction" required before letting any contract for construction, and applies only to the work shown on these drawings and specifications.

Approval is limited to the particular location shown on the drawings and is conditioned on construction starting within one year from the date of this letter. The inspector must be approved and the contract information, including the construction start date, must be given to DSA prior to start of construction.

DSA does not review drawings and specifications for compliance with Parts 3 (California Electrical Code), 4 (California Mechanical Code), and 5 (California Plumbing Code) of Title 24. It is the responsibility of the professional consultants named on the application to verify this compliance.

Please refer only to the boxes checked below which indicate applicable conditions specific to this project:

- ☒ Buildings constructed in accordance with approved drawings and specifications will meet minimum required standard given in Title 24, California Code of Regulations, for structural, and fire and life safety.
- ☐ Due to the nature of the building(s), certain precautions considered necessary to assure long service have not been required. In the condition as built, the building(s) will meet minimum required standards for structural, and fire and life safety. The owner must observe and correct deterioration in the building in order to maintain it in a safe condition.
- ☐ Your attention is drawn to the fact that this application was submitted under the provisions of Sections 39140/81130 of the Education Code which permit repairs or replacement of a fire damaged building to be made in accordance with the drawings and specifications previously approved by this office. The drawings and specifications approved for the reconstruction of this building conform to the drawings and specifications approved under application # ____-____.
- ☐ These drawings and specifications meet the rules, regulations, and building standards in effect at the time of the original approval and do not necessarily comply with rules, regulations, or building standards currently in effect.


Application #: 01-106333
File #: 49-101

- ☐ Due to the nature of the poles, certain precautions considered necessary to assure long service have not been insisted upon. In their condition as built, they will meet minimum required safety standards; however, your attention is directed to the comparatively short life of wood poles. It will be the responsibility of the owner to maintain them in a safe condition.
- ☐ Bleachers or grandstands constructed in accordance with approved drawings and specifications will meet minimum required standards for structural, and fire and life safety. The owner should provide for and require periodic safety inspections throughout the period of use to ensure framing and other parts have not been damaged or removed. On bleachers or grandstands having bolts, locking or safety devices, the owner shall require that all such components be properly tightened or locked prior to each use.
- ☐ This approval is for the part shown only since the drawings and specifications for the proposed work include only the portion of the building to be partially constructed on the subject site. It is understood that a separate application will be subsequently filed, together with drawings and specifications showing a plot plan and details of work necessary for completion. A contract for completion shall not be let before the written approval of such drawings has been obtained from the Department of General Services.
- ☐ The building(s) was designed to support a snow load of _____ pounds per square foot of roof area. Snow removal must be considered if the amount of snow exceeds that for which the building(s) was designed.
- ☒ This constitutes the written approval certifying that the drawings and specifications are in compliance with State regulations for the accommodation of the disabled which are required before letting any contract for construction. (See Section 4454, Government Code.)
- ☐ Your application for the construction of a relocatable building submitted under the provisions of Section 17293 of the Education Code is hereby approved. This approval certifies that the drawings and specifications are in compliance with state regulations for accommodation of the disabled, structural safety, and fire and life safety. This approval applies only to the drawings and specifications for the foundation system, anchorage of the overhead nonstructural elements, and site work related to this project. Documentation has been received indicating that the building was constructed after December 19, 1979, and bears a commercial coach insignia of approval from the Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD). Confirmation that the construction of the HCD building conforms to the appropriate state regulations is done by others. (See Section 17307, Education Code and Section 4454, Government Code.)
- ☐ Deferred Approval(s) Items:

This Project has been classified as **Class 2**. An Inspector who is certified by DSA to inspect this class of project must be approved by DSA prior to start of construction.

Please refer to the above application number in all correspondence, reports, etc., in connection with this project.

Sincerely,


Richard T. Conrad, FAIA
Acting State Architect

RTC: NC:rvp

Attachments

cc:
County Supt. of Schools
Architect/Engineer
File

The school district named below applies to the State Allocation Board via the Office of Public School Construction for a grant under the provisions of Chapter 12.5, Part 10, Division 1, commencing with Section 17070.10, et seq., of the Education Code and the Regulations thereto.

SCHOOL DISTRICT		APPLICATION NUMBER
Rincon Valley Union Elementary		57/70896-00-008
SCHOOL NAME		PROJECT TRACKING NUMBER
Whited Elementary		70896-013
COUNTY	DISTRICT REPRESENTATIVE'S E-MAIL ADDRESS	HIGH SCHOOL ATTENDANCE AREA (HSAA) OR SUPER HSAA (IF APPLICABLE)
Sonoma	jpandolfo@rvusd.org	

1. Type of Application—Check Only One

- ☐ New Construction
- ☐ New Construction (Final Apportionment)
- ☐ New Construction (Final Charter School Apportionment)
- ☐ New Construction (Overcrowding Relief Grant)
- ☐ Rehabilitation (Final Charter School Apportionment)
- ☒ Modernization
- ☐ Modernization of California Schools for Deaf/Blind

Separate Apportionment

- ☐ Site Only—New Construction [Section 1859.81.1]
- ☐ Site Only (District owned)—New Construction [Section 1859.81.2]
- ☐ Site Only—Environmental Hardship [Section 1859.75.1]
- ☐ Design Only—New Construction [Section 1859.81.1]
- ☐ Design Only—New Construction with High Performance
- ☐ Design Only—Modernization
- ☐ Design Only—Modernization with High Performance
- ☐ Design Only—Modernization of California Schools for Deaf/Blind
- ☐ Facility Hardship [Section 1859.82(a)]
 - ☐ Seismic Replacement
 - ☐ Seismic Rehabilitation
- ☐ Facility Hardship [Section 1859.82(b)]
- ☐ Rehabilitation [Section 1859.83(e)]
- ☐ Advance Funding for Evaluation and RA

2. Type of Project

- a. ☒ Elementary School Total Pupils Assigned:
☐ Middle School K-6: 375
☐ High School 7-8: _____
9-12: _____
Non-Severe: _____
Severe: _____
- b. ☐ 50 Years or Older Building Funding (Modernization Only)
Total Eligible Classrooms/Square Footage: _____
Classroom/Square Footage at Least 50 Years Old: _____
Ratio of 50 Years Old Classrooms/Square Footage: _____ %
From 2a above, how many are 50 Year or Older Pupil Grants?
K-6: _____
7-8: _____ Non-Severe: _____
9-12: _____ Severe: _____
- c. Included in 2a above, how many pupils are generated by the Alternative Enrollment Projection? (New Construction Only)
K-6: _____
7-8: _____ Non-Severe: _____
9-12: _____ Severe: _____

- d. Is this a 6-8 school? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- If you answered yes, how many K-6 pupils reported above are sixth graders? _____
- Is this an Alternative Education School? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- e. Is this a use of grant request pursuant to Section 1859.77.2? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- Is this request pursuant to Section 1859.77.2(c)? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- If yes, enter date of successful bond election: _____
- Is this a use of grant request pursuant to Section 1859.77.3? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- Is this request pursuant to Section 1859.77.3(c)? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- If yes, enter date of successful bond election: _____
- f. ☐ Facility Hardship (no pupils assigned)
- g. Charter School Facility Program Rehabilitation Request:
- Toilets (sq. ft.) _____
- Other (sq. ft.) _____

- h. Project to be located on:
- ☐ Leased Site
- ☐ New Site
- ☐ Existing Site with Additional Acreage Acquired
- ☒ Existing Site with No Additional Acreage Acquired

i. ORG Projects Only

NAME OF ELIGIBLE SCHOOL SITE(S)	NUMBER OF PORTABLES BEING REPLACED	NUMBER OF SITE SPECIFIC ELIGIBLE PUPILS BEING REQUESTED
Total		

3. Number of Classrooms:

Master Plan Acreage Site Size (Useable):	
Recommended Site Size (Useable):	9.50
Existing Acres (Useable):	9.40
Proposed Acres (Useable):	

4. ☐ **Financial Hardship Request—Must Have Pre-Approval by OPSC**

**APPLICATION FOR FUNDING
SCHOOL FACILITY PROGRAM**

SAB 50-04 (REV 06/12)

5. New Construction Additional Grant Request—New Construction Only

- a. Therapy: Toilets (sq. ft.) _____
Other (sq. ft.) _____
- b. Multilevel Construction (CRS): _____
- c. ☐ Project Assistance
- d. Site Acquisition: _____
- (1) 50 percent Actual Cost: \$ _____
- (2) 50 percent Appraised Value: \$ _____
- (3) 50 percent Relocation Cost: \$ _____
- (4) 2 percent (min. \$25,000): \$ _____
- (5) 50 percent DTSC Fee: \$ _____
- e. 50 percent hazardous waste removal: \$ _____
☐ Response Action (RA)
- f. Site Development _____
- ☐ 50 percent Service-Site: \$ _____
- ☐ 50 percent Off-Site: \$ _____
- ☐ 50 percent Utilities: \$ _____
- ☐ General Site
- g. Facility Hardship Section 1859.82(a) or (b)
- ☐ Toilet (sq. ft.): _____
- ☐ Other (sq. ft.): _____
- h. ☐ Seismic Rehabilitation [Section 1859.82(a)] \$ _____
- i. Replacement area _____
- ☐ Toilet (sq. ft.): _____
- ☐ Other (sq. ft.): _____
- j. ☐ Energy Efficiency: _____ %
- k. ☐ Automatic Fire Detection/Alarm System
- ☐ Automatic Sprinkler System
- l. ☐ High Performance Incentive (Indicate Points): _____

**6. Modernization or Charter School Facilities Program Rehabilitation
Additional Grant Request**

- a. ☐ Project Assistance
- b. ☐ Energy Efficiency: _____ %
- c. ☐ Site Development—60 percent utilities: \$ _____
- d. ☒ Automatic Fire Detection/Alarm System
- e. ☐ High Performance Incentive (Indicate Points): _____

7. Excessive Cost Hardship Request**New Construction Only**

- ☐ Geographic Percent Factor: _____ %
- ☐ New School Project [Section 1859.83(c)(1)]
- ☐ New School Project [Section 1859.83(c)(2)]
- ☐ Small Size Project
- ☐ Urban/Security/Impacted Site;
- If a new site, \$ _____ per Useable Acre [Section 1859.83(d)(2)(C)]

Modernization or Charter School Facility Program Rehabilitation Only

- ☐ Rehabilitation/Mitigation [Section 1859.83(e)]: \$ _____
- ☐ Geographic Percent Factor: _____ %
- ☒ Accessibility/Fire Code
- ☒ 3 percent of base grant; or,
- ☐ 60 percent of minimum work \$ _____
- ☐ Number of 2-Stop Elevators: _____
- ☐ Number of Additional Stops: _____
- ☐ Small Size Project
- ☐ Urban/Security/Impacted site

8. Project Priority Funding Order—New Construction Only

Priority order of this application in relation to other new construction applications submitted by the district at the same time: # _____

Project meets:

- ☐ Density requirement pursuant to Section 1859.92(c)(3).
- ☐ Stock plans requirement pursuant to Section 1859.92(c)(4).
- ☐ Energy efficiency requirement pursuant to Section 1859.92(c)(6).

9. Prior Approval Under the LPP

New Construction: 22/ _____

Modernization: 77/ _____

10. Prior Apportionment Under the SFP

Site/Design—New Construction: 50/ _____

Design—Modernization: 57/ _____

11. Preliminary Apportionment to Final Apportionment

Preliminary Apportionment Application Number: # _____

12. Alternative Developer Fee—New Construction Only

Alternative developer fee collected and reportable pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.77: \$ _____

13. Adjustment to New Construction Baseline Eligibility**a. Classroom(s) provided:**

Additional	Replacement
K-6: _____	K-6 _____
7-8: _____	7-8 _____
9-12: _____	9-12 _____
Non-Severe: _____	Non-Severe _____
Severe: _____	Severe _____

Construction Contract(s) for the project signed on: _____

14. Pending Reorganization Election—New Construction Only ☐ Yes ☐ No**15. Joint-Use Facility/Leased Property**

- a. ☐ Joint-Use Facility
- b. ☐ Leased Property

**APPLICATION FOR FUNDING
SCHOOL FACILITY PROGRAM**

SAB 50-04 (REV 06/12)

16. Project Progress Dates

- a. Construction Contract(s) awarded on: 12/16/2004
(If the space provided is not sufficient for all applicable contract dates, please list all dates on a separate attachment to this form.)
- b. Notice(s) to Proceed issued on: 12/20/2004
- c. If the Construction Contract(s) was awarded prior to January 1, 2012, have you initiated and enforced an LCP approved by the DIR pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.7 for this project? ☐ Yes ☐ No

17. Prevailing Wage Monitoring and Enforcement Costs

If the Construction Contract(s) was or will be awarded on or after January 1, 2012, please indicate which monitoring requirements was or will be used, pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.3:

- ☐ DIR CMU Administered
☐ DIR approved District LCP
☐ Collective bargaining agreement, pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.3(b)(3)

18. Construction Delivery Method

- ☐ Design-Bid-Build
☐ Design-Build
☐ Developer Built
☐ Lease Lease-Back
☐ Energy Performance Contract
☐ This project includes or will include piggyback contract(s) as defined in Section 1859.2
☐ Other: _____

19. Career Technical Education Funds Request

Will CTE Funds be requested for classroom(s) included in the plans and specifications for this project? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Number of CTE classroom(s): _____

20. Overcrowding Relief Grant Narrative

21. Architect of Record or Licensed Architect Certification

I certify as the architect of record for the project or as a licensed architect that:

- The P&S for this project were submitted to the OPSC by electronic medium (i.e., CD-ROM, zip disk or diskette) or as an alternative, if the request is for a modernization Grant, the P&S were submitted in hard copy to the OPSC.
- Any portion of the P&S requiring review and approval by the Division of the State Architect (DSA) were approved by the DSA on November 22, 2004 (enter DSA approval date).
- Any portion of the P&S not requiring review and approval by the DSA meets the requirements of the California Code of Regulations, Title 24, including any handicapped access and fire code requirements.
- If the request is for a Modernization or Charter School Facility Program Rehabilitation Grant, the P&S include the demolition of more classrooms than those to be constructed in the project, the difference is N/A classroom(s). (Indicate N/A if there are none.)

- If the request is for a Modernization or Charter School Facility Program Rehabilitation Grant, the P&S include the construction of more classrooms than those to be demolished in the project, the difference is N/A classroom(s). (Indicate N/A if there are none.)

ARCHITECT OF RECORD OR LICENSED ARCHITECT (PRINT NAME)

Donald C. Tomasi

SIGNATURE

DATE

12/12/2012

22. Architect of Record or Design Professional Certification

I certify as the architect of record for the project or the appropriate design professional, that:

- If the request is for a New Construction Grant, not including the ORG, I have developed a cost estimate of the proposed project which indicates that the estimated construction cost of the work in the P&S including deferred items (if any) relating to the proposed project, is at least 60 percent of the total grant amount provided by the State and the district's matching share, less site acquisition costs and the High Performance Base Incentive Grant. This cost estimate does not include site acquisition, planning, tests, inspection, or furniture and equipment and is available at the district for review by the OPSC.
- If the request is for a Modernization or Charter School Facility Program Rehabilitation Grant, I have developed a cost estimate of the proposed project which indicates that the estimated construction cost of the work in the P&S, including deferred items and interim housing (if any) relating to the proposed project, is at least 60 percent of the total grant amount provided by the State and the district's matching share, less the High Performance Base Incentive Grant. This cost estimate does not include planning, tests, inspection or furniture and equipment and is available at the district for review by the OPSC.

ARCHITECT OF RECORD OR DESIGN PROFESSIONAL (PRINT NAME)

Donald C. Tomasi

SIGNATURE

DATE

12/12/2012

23. Certification

I certify, as the District Representative, that the information reported on this form, with the exception of items 21 and 22, is true and correct and that:

- I am an authorized representative of the district as authorized by the governing board of the district; and,
- A resolution or other appropriate documentation supporting this application under Chapter 12.5, Part 10, Division 1, commencing with Section 17070.10, et. seq., of the Education Code was adopted by the school district's governing board or the designee of the Superintendent of Public Instruction on, May 3, 2011; and,
- The district has established a "Restricted Maintenance Account" for exclusive purpose of providing ongoing and major maintenance of school buildings and has developed an ongoing and major maintenance plan that complies with and is implemented under the provisions of Education Code Section 17070.75 and 17070.77 (refer to Sections 1859.100 through 1859.102); and,
- Pursuant to Education Code Section 17070.755, the district has made a priority of the funds in the restricted maintenance account, established pursuant to Education Code Section 17070.75, to ensure that facilities are functional and meet local hygiene standards; and,

APPLICATION FOR FUNDING SCHOOL FACILITY PROGRAM

SAB 50-04 (REV 06/12)


- The district has considered the feasibility of the joint use of land and facilities with other governmental agencies in order to minimize school facility costs; and,
- If this funding request is for the modernization of portable classrooms eligible for an additional apportionment pursuant to Education Code Section 17073.15, the district certifies that (check the applicable box below):
 - ☐ 1. The state modernization funds will be used to replace the portable classrooms and permanently remove the displaced portables from the classroom use within six months of the filing of the Notice of Completion for the project; or,
 - ☐ 2. It has provided documentation to the Office of Public School Construction which indicates that modernizing the portable classrooms eligible for an additional apportionment is better use of public resources than the replacement of these facilities.
- Facilities to be modernized have not been previously modernized with Lease-Purchase Program, Proposition 1A Funds or School Facility Program state funds; and,
- Facilities to be rehabilitated under the Charter School Facility Program previously funded with School Facility Program State funds meet the requirements of Section 1859.163.6; and,
- All contracts entered on or after November 4, 1998 for the service of any architect structural engineer or other design professional for any work under the project have been obtained pursuant to a competitive process that is consistent with the requirements of Chapter 10 (commencing with Section 4525) of Division 5, of Title 1, of the Government Code; and,
- If this request is for new construction funding, the district has received approval of the site and the plans from the CDE. Plan approval is not required if request is for separate design apportionment; and,
- If this request is for modernization or Charter School Facility Program Rehabilitation funding, the district has received approval of the plans for the project from the CDE. Plan approval is not required if request is for separate design apportionment; and,
- The district has or will comply with the Public Contract Code regarding all laws governing the use of force account labor; and,
- This district has or will comply with Education Code Section 17076.11 regarding at least a 3 percent expenditure goal for disabled veteran business enterprises; and,
- The district matching funds required pursuant to Sections 1859.77.1 or 1859.79 has either been expended by the district, deposited in the County School Facility Fund or will be expended by the district prior to the notice of completion for the project; and,
- The district has received the necessary approval of the plans and specifications from the Division of the State Architect unless the request is for a separate site and/or design apportionment; and,
- If the district is requesting site acquisition funds as part of this application, the district has complied with Sections 1859.74 through 1859.75.1 as appropriate; and,
- With the exception of an apportionment made pursuant to Section 1859.75.1, the district understands that the lack of substantial progress toward increasing the pupil capacity or renovation of its facilities within 18 months of receipt of any funding shall be cause for the rescission of the unexpended funds (refer to Section 1859.105); and,
- If the apportionment for this project was made pursuant to Section 1859.75.1, the district understands that the lack of substantial progress toward increasing the pupil capacity or renovation of its facilities within 12 months of receipt of any funding shall be cause for the rescission of the unexpended funds (refer to Section 1859.105.1); and,
- The district understands that funds not released within 18 months of apportionment shall be rescinded and the application shall be denied (refer to Section 1859.90); and,
- The statements set forth in this application and supporting documents are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief; and,
- All school facilities purchased or newly constructed under the project for use by pupils who are individuals with exceptional needs, as defined in Education Code Section 56026, shall be designed and located on the school site so as to maximize interaction between those individuals with exceptional needs and other pupils as appropriate to the needs of both; and,
- This form is an exact duplicate (verbatim) of the form provided by the OPSC. In the event a conflict should exist, the language in the OPSC form will prevail; and,
- The district understands that some or all of the State funding for the project must be returned to the State as a result of an audit pursuant to Sections 1859.105, 1859.105.1, 1859.106; and,
- The district has complied with the provisions of Sections 1859.76 and 1859.79.2 and that the portion of the project funded by the State does not contain work specifically prohibited in those Sections; and,
- If the SFP grants will be used for the construction or modernization of school facilities on leased land, the district has entered into a lease agreement for the leased property that meets the requirements of Section 1859.22; and,
- If the application contains a "Use of New Construction Grant" request, the district has adopted a school board resolution and housing plan at a public hearing at a regularly scheduled meeting of the governing board on _____ as specified in Sections 1859.77.2, or 1859.77.3, as appropriate. The district's approved housing plan is as indicated (check all that apply):
 - ☐ 1. The district will construct or acquire facilities for housing the pupils with funding not otherwise available to the SFP as a district match within five years of project approval by the SAB and the district must identify the source of the funds. [Applicable for Sections 1859.77.2(a) and (b) and 1859.77.3(a) and (b)]
 - ☐ 2. The district will utilize higher district loading standards providing the loading standards are within the approved district's teacher contract and do not exceed 33:1 per classroom. [Applicable for Sections 1859.77.2(a) and (b) and 1859.77.3(a) and (b)]
 - ☐ 3. The pupils requested from a different grade level will be housed in classrooms at an existing school in the district which will have its grade level changed, to the grade level requested, at the completion of the proposed SFP project. [Applicable for Sections 1859.77.2(b) and 1859.77.3(b)]
- If the district requested additional funding for fire code requirements pursuant to Sections 1859.71.2 or 1859.78.4, the district will include the automatic fire detection/alarm system and/or automatic sprinkler system in the project prior to completion of the project; and
- The district has consulted with the career technical advisory committee established pursuant to Education Code Section 8070 and the need for vocational and career technical facilities is being adequately met in accordance with Education Code Sections 51224, 51225.3(b), and 51228(b), and 52336.1; and,
- If the district is requesting an Additional Grant for Energy Efficiency pursuant to Sections 1859.71.3 or 1859.78.5, the increased costs for the energy efficiency components in the project exceeds the amount of funding otherwise available to the district; and,

**APPLICATION FOR FUNDING
SCHOOL FACILITY PROGRAM**

SAB 50-04 (REV 06/12)

- If this application is submitted after January 1, 2004 for modernization funding, the district has considered the potential for the presence of lead-containing materials in the modernization project and will follow all relevant federal, state, and local standards for the management of any identified lead; and
- The district has initiated and enforced an LCP that has been approved by the DIR, pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.7, if the project is funded from Propositions 47 or 55 and the Notice to Proceed for the construction phase of the project is issued on or after April 1, 2003 and before January 1, 2012; and,
- The district will contract or has contracted with the DIR for prevailing wage monitoring and enforcement pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.3(a), if the construction contract is awarded on or after January 1, 2012 and the district has not obtained a waiver for the requirement, pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.3(b). The district understands that if it fails to meet this requirement, it will be required to repay all state bond funds received including interest; and,
- Beginning with the 2005/2006 fiscal year, the district has complied with Education Code Section 17070.75(e) by establishing a facilities inspection system to ensure that each of its schools is maintained in good repair; and
- If this application is submitted pursuant to Section 1859.180, the district certifies that within six months of occupancy of the permanent classrooms, it will remove the replaced portables from the eligible school site and K-12 grade classroom use with the exception of schools described in Education Code Section 17079.30(c), and
- The district has considered the feasibility of using designs and materials for the new construction or modernization project that promote the efficient use of energy and water, maximum use of natural light and indoor air quality, the use of recycled materials and materials that emit a minimum of toxic substances, the use of acoustics conducive to teaching and learning, and the other characteristics of high performance schools.; and
- If the district is requesting an additional grant for high performance incentive funding, the school district governing board must have a resolution on file that demonstrates support for the high performance incentive grant request and the intent to incorporate high performance features in future facilities projects.

SIGNATURE OF DISTRICT REPRESENTATIVE



DATE

12/12/2012

Application: 57/70896-00-008

County: SONOMA District: RINCON VALLEY UNION ELEMENTARY
 Site: WHITED (DOUGLAS) ELEMENTARY

-- HOLD STATUS -- -- MISC. STATUS --
 ART: N Fin Hrdship: N State Share: 60
 PM: N Appeals: N
 PVT: N Accounting: N
 Real Estate: N Audit: N

Category	Apportionment	Expenditure	Balance
New Construction	0.00	0.00	0.00
Modernization	1,392,375.00	1,392,375.00	0.00
SDC Non Severe	0.00	0.00	0.00
SDC Severe	0.00	0.00	0.00
SDC Therapy	0.00	0.00	0.00
Secondary SDC Non Severe	0.00	0.00	0.00
Secondary SDC Severe	0.00	0.00	0.00
Secondary SDC Therapy	0.00	0.00	0.00
Multi Level Const.	0.00	0.00	0.00
Site Acquisition	0.00	0.00	0.00
Site Relocation	0.00	0.00	0.00
Toxic	0.00	0.00	0.00
Site Other	0.00	0.00	0.00
Service Site	0.00	0.00	0.00
General Site	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	0.00	0.00	0.00
Utilities	0.00	0.00	0.00
Facility Hardship Toilet	0.00	0.00	0.00
Facility Hardship Other	0.00	0.00	0.00
Geographic Index	0.00	0.00	0.00
Small School	0.00	0.00	0.00
New School	0.00	0.00	0.00
Rehabilitation	0.00	0.00	0.00
Urban Security	0.00	0.00	0.00
Fire Code Compliance	41,771.00	41,771.00	0.00
Elevator 2 Stop	0.00	0.00	0.00
Elevator Add. Stop	0.00	0.00	0.00
Financial Hardship	0.00	0.00	0.00
Alternate Dev. Fee	0.00	0.00	0.00
SDC Therapy Toilet	0.00	0.00	0.00
Project Assistance	0.00	0.00	0.00
Site DTSC Fee	0.00	0.00	0.00
Site Hazardous Removal	0.00	0.00	0.00
Replacement Area Toilet	0.00	0.00	0.00
Replacement Area Other	0.00	0.00	0.00
Fire Detection/Alarm	44,625.00	44,625.00	0.00
Fire Sprinklers	0.00	0.00	0.00
Energy	0.00	0.00	0.00
High Performance	0.00	0.00	0.00
50 Year Old Modernization	0.00	0.00	0.00
Labor Compliance Program	0.00	0.00	0.00
Prevailing Wage Monitoring	0.00	0.00	0.00
Inflation Adjustment	0.00	0.00	0.00
Excessive Hazmat Removal	0.00	0.00	0.00
Joint Use Extra Cost	0.00	0.00	0.00
CSFA Lease Amount	0.00	0.00	0.00
CTE Equipment	0.00	0.00	0.00
CTE Loan	0.00	0.00	0.00
STATE TOTAL	1,478,771.00	1,478,771.00	0.00
DISTRICT CONTRIBUTION	985,847.00		
PROJECT TOTAL	2,464,618.00		
ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE		0.00	
INTEREST		0.00	

Application: 57/70896-00-008

County: SONOMA District: RINCON VALLEY UNION ELEMENTARY
Site: WHITED (DOUGLAS) ELEMENTARY

-- HOLD STATUS -- -- MISC. STATUS --
ART: N Fin Hrdship: N State Share: 60
PM: N Appeals: N
PVT: N Accounting: N
Real Estate: N Audit: N

Category	Apportionment	Expenditure	Balance
Fund	Apportionment	Expenditure	Balance
Fund 051-570	1,478,771.00	1,478,771.00	0.00
TOTAL	1,478,771.00	1,478,771.00	0.00

SCHOOL FACILITY PROGRAM
APPLICATION TRANSACTIONDETAIL
Application: 57/70896-00-008

County: SONOMA District: RINCON VALLEY UNION ELEMENTARY
Site: WHITED (DOUGLAS) ELEMENTARY

-- HOLD STATUS --
ART: N Fin Hrdship: N State Share: 60
PM: N Appeals: N
PVT: N Accounting: N
Real Estate: N Audit: N

-- MISC. STATUS --

Trans Type	Trans Sequence	Document Date	Bond Fund	Apportionment Amount	Expenditure Amount	Comment	Keyed Date	Keyed By
TRUE UNF	G	01/23/2013	112-012	Not applicable		01/25/2013	fstathop	
TRUE UNF	G	03/20/2013	112-012	Not applicable		CCI 03/21/2013	pfelsegh	
TRUE UNF	G	06/05/2017	112-012	Not applicable		06/06/2017	cchan	
UNFUNDED	G	06/05/2017	123-123	Not applicable		951-570 06/06/2017	cchan	
RESO	G	09/06/2017	051-570	1,478,771.00		09/11/2017	rmoy	
CLAIM SC	0000095	10/25/2017	051-570		1,478,771.00	10/20/2017	suxu	
				1,478,771.00	1,478,771.00			

LEASE-PURCHASE PROJECT NUMBER(S): NONE

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No:	57/70896-00-008	County:	Sonoma
School District:	Rincon Valley Union Elementary	School Name:	Whited (Douglas) Elementary

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project:	Elementary School	Application Filing Basis:	Site Specific
Pupils Assigned Under 50 Yrs:	K-6: 375		
	7-8:		
	9-12:		
	Non-Severe:		
	Severe:		
Financial Hardship Requested:	No	Recommended Acres:	9.5
Alternative Education School:	No	Existing Acres:	9.4

ADJUSTED GRANT DATA

Modernization Grant - Under 50 Yrs	\$ 1,392,375.00
Fire Detection Alarm	44,625.00
Accessibility/Fire Code Req.	41,771.00
Total State Share (60%)	1,478,771.00
District Share (40%)	985,847.00
Total Project Cost	\$ 2,464,618.00

PROJECT FINANCING

<u>State Share</u>	
This Project	\$ 1,478,771.00
<u>District Share</u>	
Cash Contribution	985,847.00
Financial Hardship	
Total Project Cost	\$ 2,464,618.00

HISTORY OF PROJECT COST AND APPORTIONMENT

	Fund Code	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
<u>State Share</u>				
Modernization/Additional Grant	112-012	\$ 1,433,625.00	\$ 45,146.00	\$ 45,146.00
<u>District Share</u>				
Cash Contribution		955,750.00	30,097.00	
Total		\$ 2,389,375.00	\$ 75,243.00	\$ 45,146.00

Pursuant to School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.95 this application has been approved and placed on the Unfunded List. This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future State funding and should not be relied on in any manner as any kind of representation or indication of future State funding. The State cautions any kind of reliance on the fact that an application is placed on this list.

Assembly Bill 436 (Solorio), Chapter 378, Statutes of 2011, requires school districts to notify the Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) of School Facility Program projects with a public works contract awarded on or after January 1, 2012. Except for projects exempt pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.3(b)(3), the DIR is required to provide prevailing wage monitoring services for the projects.

The District shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

This project is being adjusted for the current year Construction Cost Index adjustment.

This project shall maintain the SAB approval date of January 23, 2013.