

March 12, 2018

Application No.: 56/67850-00-001
School: Rialto Middle School
County of San Bernardino

Mr. Mohammad Z. Islam
District Representative
Rialto Unified
625 W. Rialto Avenue
Rialto, CA 92376

Dear Mr. Islam:

The Office of Public School Construction (OPSC) has completed its review of Rialto Middle School, OPSC application number 56/67850-00-001. Review of the District's project financing, expenditures, and certifications to ensure compliance pursuant to Education Code Sections 17072.35, 17074.25, and 17076.10 and School Facility Program (SFP) Regulation Section 1859.106 was conducted. In addition, the review examined the District's compliance with applicable SFP Regulations in effect when the funding application was received by OPSC, dated November 3, 2010.

The project is a non-financial hardship new construction Overcrowding Relief Grant (ORG) project that was funded on a 50 percent State and 50 percent District basis. As permitted in SFP Regulation, a district may expend the savings not needed for the project on other high priority capital facility needs of the District.

The District has incurred savings of \$1,371,097.19 on this project. Please be advised that once the project savings have been expended on other high priority capital facility needs of the District, they must be reported to the OPSC on the *Detailed Listing of Project Expenditures–Savings*, pursuant to School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.103. Education Code Section 17076.10(a) requires the District to continue to submit expenditure reports annually, until all project funds, State share plus the District's required matching share, have been expended.

OPSC has received the signed *Summary of Project Financing and Expenditures* dated March 7, 2018 from the District. As the District has concurred with the review findings in the *Summary of Project Financing and Expenditures*, the project is considered closed. Enclosed is the final report.

This final report includes the:

1. Summary of Project Financing and Expenditures
2. State Allocation Board Apportionment Approval
3. Division of the State Architect Plan Approval
4. California Department of Education Final Plan Approval

In order to promote School Facility Program transparency, this report will be posted to our website.

Thank you for your assistance and cooperation during the review process. Should you have any questions concerning this report, you may call the Fiscal Services Unit at (916) 376-1771.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Rick Asbell".

RICK ASBELL, Chief, Fiscal Services
Office of Public School Construction

Enclosure

cc: Dr. Avila Cuauhtemoc, District Superintendent
Project file

Summary of Project Financing and Expenditures

District Name: Rialto Unified SD
 School: Rialto Middle School
 County: San Bernardino
 SFP Application Number: 56/67850-00-001
 LPP Application Number: N/A
 Auditor Name: Jesse Mendez
 Date: 2/21/2018


Line		
1	LPP State Apportionment	1 \$ -
2	SFP State Apportionment	2 \$ 1,555,809.00
3	Financial Hardship Apportionment	3 \$ -
4	District Contribution	4 \$ 1,555,809.00
5	Interest	5 \$ 12,163.34
6	Total Project Funding	6 \$ 3,123,781.34
7	Reported Expenditures	7 \$ 1,752,684.15
8	Reported Savings	8 \$ 1,371,097.19
9	Grant Adjustments	9 \$ -
10	Total Review Adjustments	10 \$ -
11	Revised Expenditures	11 \$ 1,752,684.15
12	Net Savings	12 \$ 1,371,097.19
13	Interest Due	13 \$ -
14	Total to be Returned to the State	14 \$ -

Explanations:**Line 12:**

The District has incurred savings of \$1,371,097.19 on this project. Please be advised that once the project savings have been expended on other high priority capital facility needs of the District, they must be reported to the OPSC on the *Detailed Listing of Project Expenditures-Savings*, pursuant to School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.103. Education Code Section 17076.10(a) requires the District to continue to submit expenditure reports annually, until all project funds, State share plus the District's required matching share, have been

These are the final authorized amounts needed to bring the District's accounting records into compliance. Amounts shown supersede all authorized amounts shown on the Office of Public School Construction Fund Release documents.

ON BEHALF OF THE DISTRICT I CONCUR WITH THIS ANALYSIS AND REQUEST THAT THE OFFICE OF PUBLIC SCHOOL CONSTRUCTION CLOSE ITS BOOKS FOR THIS PROJECT.


 Mohammed Z. Islam
 District Representative Associate Superintendent

3-7-18
 Date

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No: 56/67850-00-001
 School District: Rialto Unified

County: San Bernardino
 School Name: Rialto Middle

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project: Middle School
 K-6:
 7-8: 155
 9-12:
 Non-Severe:
 Severe:
 Application Filing Basis: District Wide
 Number of Classrooms: 6
 Master Acres: 27.7
 Existing Acres: 17.4
 Proposed Acres:
 Recommended Acres: 24.7
 Financial Hardship Requested: No
 Alternative Education School: No
 Addition to Existing Site: Yes

ADJUSTED GRANT DATA

Overcrowding Relief Grant	\$	1,432,355.00
Fire Detection Alarm		2,170.00
Small Size Project		57,294.00
Total State Share (50%)		1,491,819.00
District Share (50%)		1,491,819.00
Total Project Cost	\$	<u>2,983,638.00</u>

PROJECT FINANCING

State Share

This Project \$ 1,491,819.00

District Share

Cash Contribution 1,491,819.00

Financial Hardship

Total Project Cost \$ 2,983,638.00

HISTORY OF PROJECT COST AND APPORTIONMENT

	Fund Code	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
<u>State Share</u>			
Overcrowding/Add. Grant	957-531	\$ 1,491,819.00	\$ 1,491,819.00
<u>District Share</u>			
Cash Contribution		1,491,819.00	
Total		\$ <u>2,983,638.00</u>	\$ <u>1,491,819.00</u>

Pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009, this application has been approved and placed on the Unfunded List. This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future State funding.

Pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.183, the District is required to remove from the eligible site and from classroom use all portables replaced in this project.

The District shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.



State of California • Arnold Schwarzenegger, Governor
State and Consumer Services Agency

DGS

DEPARTMENT OF GENERAL SERVICES

Division of the State Architect - San Diego Office

7/21/2009 - APPROVAL OF PLAN(S)

MS. Edna Herring
RIALTO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
182 E WALNUT AVE
RIALTO 92376

Project: RIALTO MIDDLE SCHOOL
Total Scope of Project: Construction of 1-Classroom Building L

Increment #: 0
Application #: 04-110103
File #: 36-54

Drawings and specifications for the subject project have been examined and stamped by the Division of the State Architect (DSA) for identification on 7/21/2009. This letter constitutes the "written approval of the plans as to safety of design and construction" required before letting any contract for construction, and applies only to the work shown on these drawings and specifications. The date of this letter is the DSA approval date.

Approval is limited to the particular location shown on the drawings and is conditioned on construction starting within one year from the stamped date. The inspector must be approved and the contract information, including the construction start date, must be given to DSA prior to start of construction.

DSA does not review drawings and specifications for compliance with Parts 3 (California Electrical Code), 4 (California Mechanical Code), and 5 (California Plumbing Code) of Title 24. It is the responsibility of the professional consultants named on the application to verify this compliance.

Please refer only to the boxes checked below which indicate applicable conditions specific to this project:

- ☒ Buildings constructed in accordance with approved drawings and specifications will meet minimum required standard given in Title 24, California Code of Regulations, for structural, and fire and life safety.
- ☐ Due to the nature of the building(s), certain precautions considered necessary to assure long service have not been required. In the condition as built, the building(s) will meet minimum required standards for structural, and fire and life safety. The owner must observe and correct deterioration in the building in order to maintain it in a safe condition.
- ☐ Your attention is drawn to the fact that this application was submitted under the provisions of Sections 39140/81130 of the Education Code which permit repairs or replacement of a fire damaged building to be made in accordance with the drawings and specifications previously approved by this office. The drawings and specifications approved for the reconstruction of this building conform to the drawings and specifications approved under application # _____.
- ☐ These drawings and specifications meet the rules, regulations, and building standards in effect at the time of the original approval and do not necessarily comply with rules, regulations, or building standards currently in effect.
- ☐ Due to the nature of the poles, certain precautions considered necessary to assure long service have not been insisted upon. In their condition as built, they will meet minimum required safety standards; however, your attention is directed to the comparatively short life of wood poles. It will be the responsibility of the owner to maintain them in a safe condition.

Application #: 04-110108

File #: 36-54

- ☐ Bleachers or grandstands constructed in accordance with approved drawings and specifications will meet minimum required standards for structural, and fire and life safety. The owner should provide for and require periodic safety inspections throughout the period of use to ensure framing and other parts have not been damaged or removed. On bleachers or grandstands having bolts, locking or safety devices, the owner shall require that all such components be properly tightened or locked prior to each use.
- ☐ This approval is for the part shown only since the drawings and specifications for the proposed work include only the portion of the building to be partially constructed on the subject site. It is understood that a separate application will be subsequently filed, together with drawings and specifications showing a plot plan and details of work necessary for completion. A contract for completion shall not be let before the written approval of such drawings has been obtained from the Department of General Services.
- ☐ The building(s) was designed to support a snow load of _____ pounds per square foot of roof area. Snow removal must be considered if the amount of snow exceeds that for which the building(s) was designed.
- ☒ This constitutes the written approval certifying that the drawings and specifications are in compliance with State regulations for the accommodation of the disabled which are required before letting any contract for construction. (See Section 4454, Government Code.)
- ☐ Your application for the construction of a relocatable building submitted under the provisions of Section 17293 of the Education Code is hereby approved. This approval certifies that the drawings and specifications are in compliance with state regulations for accommodation of the disabled, structural safety, and fire and life safety. This approval applies only to the drawings and specifications for the foundation system, anchorage of the overhead nonstructural elements, and site work related to this project. Documentation has been received indicating that the building was constructed after December 19, 1979, and bears a commercial coach insignia of approval from the Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD). Confirmation that the construction of the HCD building conforms to the appropriate state regulations is done by others. (See Section 17307, Education Code and Section 4454, Government Code.)
- ☐ Deferred Approval(s) Items:

This Project has been classified as **CLASS 2**. An Inspector who is certified by DSA to inspect this class of project must be approved by DSA prior to start of construction.

Please refer to the above application number in all correspondence, reports, etc., in connection with this project.

Sincerely,



Digitally signed by CRAIG RUSH
DN: cn=CRAIG RUSH, o=SAN DIEGO, st=CALIFORNIA,
ou=California Department of General Services, ou=Division
of the State Architect, www.verisign.com/repository/CPS
Incorp. by Ref. L1AB L1D1C199, Registered Engineer
License Number: 5 3714, title=PRINCIPAL STRUCTURAL
ENGINEER, email=craig.rush@state.ca.gov
Reason: I am approving this document
Location: San Diego
Date: 2009.07.23 09:36:28 -0700

for David F. Thorman, AIA
State Architect



cc:
Architect



CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF
EDUCATION

JACK O'CONNELL

STATE SUPERINTENDENT OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION

June 28, 2010

Rialto Unified
182 East Walnut Ave.
Rialto, CA 92376

Project Tracking No: 67850-41
Re: Rialto Middle
County: San Bernardino
Square Feet: 6,642
Grade Level: 9

Dear Superintendent:

Subject: Final Plan Approval - New Buildings

The California Department of Education approves the plans with the title sheet date of September 15, 2008, for the above referenced project. The plans were received on June 7, 2010. The plans meet the California Department of Education's standards for educational adequacy (California Code of Regulations, Title 5, et seq. and Education Code 17251(c) and (d)).

It is the responsibility of the school district to meet all requirements concerning toilet facilities, drinking water supply, sewage disposal, food service facilities and other plan elements having primary health and safety implications. The plans should be reviewed by the local health agency having jurisdiction and a written approval should be secured and filed in the school district's records. If the approved project involves work on an existing school building, it is the responsibility of the school district to meet all Federal, State and local requirements relating to the identification, remediation and/or removal of hazardous levels of lead and asbestos containing materials before or during construction. It is the responsibility of the district to complete all of the mitigation measures identified in the documents submitted to the California Department of Education for review.

The school site for this project is 17.4 usable acres. This represents 70.45% of the California Department of Education's recommended site size of 24.7 acres, as contained in the California Department of Education's "Guide to School Site Analysis and Development(2000)," for the current CBEDS enrollment of the site and the student capacity added by this project as calculated pursuant to SAB Regulation 1859.83(d).

The California Department of Education's recommended acres for the master plan capacity of the site is 27.7 acres, to be used for purposes of requesting funding from the State Allocation Board pursuant to SAB Regulations 1859.73, related to multi-story construction, and 1859.76(a)11, related to parking structures. This site is 62.82% of the California Department of Education's recommended master plan site size.

The project as approved consists of:

<u>TEACHING STATIONS</u>	<u>GRADE LEVEL</u>	<u>ROOMS</u>	<u>STUDENTS</u>
Classrooms	9 - 12	4	108
Science Lab	9 - 12	2	54

Based on the standards specified in Education Code 17071.25 and the number of teaching stations in the project, the student capacity of this project is 162.

The district has certified that this project is either exempt from, or has completed, the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) process.

The district has met the requirements of Education Code 17213.1 regarding the preparation of a Phase I environmental assessment or a Preliminary Endangerment Assessment (PEA), and/or the completion of a Response Action, and the Department of Toxic Substances Control has issued a determination letter dated December 15, 2005 indicating that no action or no further action is required for this site.

For projects to be funded under the Leroy F. Greene School Facility Act of 1998, funding requests to the State Allocation Board must be submitted within two (2) years of the date of this letter. If the district is not seeking financial assistance from the State Allocation Board, the project must commence construction within two (2) years of the date of this letter. Regardless of the funding source, if, prior to construction, changes are made to the plans that would affect or alter the California Department of Education's original approval (including but not limited to changes in surrounding land uses, the master plan capacity of the project, changes in code and/or regulation, or a subsequent CEQA determination), the plan may be subject to reevaluation using the most recent standards.

Please contact the consultant identified below if you have questions regarding this letter.

Sincerely,



Fred A. Yeager, Assistant Director
School Facilities Planning Division



Lisa Constancio, Consultant
School Facilities Planning Division
(916)445-4889