

October 15, 2018

Application No.: 50/72736-00-027 School: Ella Elementary

County of Yuba

Dr. Gay S. Todd District Representative Marysville Joint Unified School District 1919 B Street Marysville, CA 95901

Dear Dr. Todd:

The Office of Public School Construction (OPSC) has completed its review of Ella Elementary, OPSC application number 50/72736-00-027. Review of the District's project financing, expenditures, and certifications to ensure compliance pursuant to Education Code Sections 17072.35, 17074.25, and 17076.10 and School Facility Program (SFP) Regulation Section 1859.106 was conducted. In addition, the review examined the District's compliance with applicable SFP Regulations in effect when the funding application was received by OPSC, dated August 25, 2010.

The project is a non-financial hardship new construction project that was funded on a 50 percent State and 50 percent District basis. The project has expended funds beyond the SFP grant and is considered overspent.

OPSC has identified \$13,196.23 in review adjustments for ineligible furniture and equipment expenditures. The project overspent amount has been adjusted to reflect this finding.

The District DTSC fees, and hazardous waste/removal costs are less than the total grant amounts. SFP Regulation Section 1859.106 states in part that "An adjustment in the SFP grant will be made for the following: (a) The difference in the value of the site, relocation costs, DTSC fees, and hazardous waste/materials removal costs that were used to determine the New Construction Additional Grant and the actual amount paid by the district for the site, relocation costs, DTSC fees, and hazardous waste/materials removal costs." The district has DTSC fees, and hazardous waste/materials removal grants of \$96,432.00 and must return the State share of \$45,444.06. Pending final approval by the State Allocation Board at its next available monthly meeting, the District will be invoiced \$45,444.06 for the reduction in the site related grant apportionment.

OPSC has received the signed Summary of Project Financing and Expenditures dated September 26, 2018 from the District. As the District has concurred with the review findings in the Summary of Project Financing and Expenditures, the project is considered closed. Enclosed is the final report.

This final report includes the:

- 1. Summary of Project Financing and Expenditures and Supporting Schedules
- 2. State Allocation Board Apportionment Approval
- 3. Division of the State Architect Plan Approval
- 4. California Department of Education Final Plan Approval

In order to promote School Facility Program transparency, this report will be posted to our website.

Thank you for your assistance and cooperation during the review process. Should you have any questions concerning this report, you may call the Fiscal Services Unit at (916) 376-1771.

Sincerely,

RICK ASBELL, Chief, Fiscal Services Office of Public School Construction

Enclosure

cc: Yuba County Office of Education

Project file

age	1	of	5	

## Summary of Project Financing and Expenditures

Marysville Joint Unified
Ella Elementary
Yuba
50/72736-00-027
None
August 16, 2018

Line				A Adjusted Grant		B Site Balant Country	
1	LPP State Apportionment	T 1	18	Adjusted Grant	S	Site Related Grant(s)	18
2	SFP State Apportionment	2	S	3,188,939.00		40.040.00	
3	Financial Hardship Apportionment	3	S	0,100,503.00	\$	48,216.00	
4	District Contribution	4	8	3,188,939.00	8	40.040.00	
5	Interest	5	s	6,817.00	3	48,216.00	
6	Total Project Funding	6	S	6,384,695.00	s	00 100 0	51
		HARDY CA	***************************************	0,004,000.00	3	96,432.00	
7	Reported Expenditures	7	\$	9,423,648.27	S	£ £42.00	
8	Reported Overspent	8	S		-	5,543,88	
9	Reported Adjustment Site Related Grants	-		0,000,900,21	S		
					9	90,888.12	
10	Grant Adjustments (State & District Share)	10	s				
11	Total Review Adjustments		S	(12 102 20)	\$	(90,888.12)	
	Total Nov. or Augustinomis		3	(13,196.23)	\$	-	
12	Revised Expenditures	12	5	0 440 452 04	-		
13	Net Overspent	13		9,410,452.04 3,025,757.04	\$	5,543.88	
		NO.	-	3,023,737.04	\$	•	
14	Interest Due	14			_		
			4		\$	-	
	Adinated Count to be D. L.						
15	Adjusted Grant to be Returned to						
13	the State (State share)	15	<b>\$3,000</b>				
	Site Adjustment to be Returned to	機能					
16	the State (State share)	16			G	45,444,06	
17	Total to be Returned to the State	17		· ·	A 42.4.1		第 4 mm *********************************
		部局					\$ 45,444.06

### Explanations:

Line 10

See Schedules 1 and 2

Line 1

See Schedules 3 and 3A

Line 17:

The District spent partial of the DTSC fees grant and did not report hazardous waste/removal costs. SFP Regulation Section 1859.106 states in part that "An adjustment in the SFP grant will be made for the following: (a) The difference in the value of the site, relocation costs, DTSC fees, and hazardous waste/materials removal costs that were used to determine the New Construction Additional Grant and the actual amount paid by the district for the site, relocation costs, DTSC fees, and hazardous waste/materials removal costs." The District has unused DTSC fees, and hazardous waste/materials removal grants total of \$90,888.12 and must return the State share of \$45,444.06.

These are the final authorized amounts needed to bring the District's accounting records into compliance. Amounts shown supersede all authorized amounts shown on the Office of Public School Construction Fund Release documents.

ON BEHALF OF THE DISTRICT I CONCUR WITH THIS ANALYSIS AND REQUEST THAT THE OPERAL OF PUBLIC SCHOOL CONSTRUCTION CLOSE ITS BOOKS FOR THIS PROJECT.

District Representative (printed name)

Signature

Signature

### Schedule 1 Refund/Reimbursement Summary

District Name:

Marysville Joint Unified

School:

Ella Elementary

County:

Yuba

SFP Application Number:

50/72736-00-027

LPP Application Number:

None

Date:

August 16, 2018

-	Line
	1
	2
	3

		SITE RELATED G	RAN	NTS ADJUSTMENT	S
Α		В		C	D
		Adjustments to	Ad	justments to State	
Total Adjustment		District Share		Share	Comments
\$ (11,256.12)	S	(5,628.06)	\$	(5,628.06)	DTSC Fees
\$ (79,632.00)	\$	(39,816.00)	\$	(39,816.00)	Hazardous Waste Removal
	-	TOTAL	\$	(45,444.06)	

### Explanations:

### Line 1

This project has DTSC Fees grant in the amount of \$16,800. However, the District reported \$5,543.88 DTSC Fees costs; therefore, the District needs to return the DTSC Fees grant (State share) in the amount of \$5,628.06 to the State.

#### Line 2

This project has Hazardous Waste Removal grant in the amount of \$79,632. However, the District did not report any Hazardous Waste Removal costs; therefore, the District needs to return the DTSC Fees grant (State share) in the amount of \$39,816 to the State.

Page	3	of	5
age	0	OI	0

### Schedule 2 Grant Adjustments

District Name:

Marysville Joint Unified

School:

Ella Elementary

County:

Yuba

SFP Application Number:

50/72736-00-027

LPP Application Number:

None

Date:

August 16, 2018

Line
1
2
3

	SITE RELATED G	RAN	T ADJUSTMENTS	
A	В		С	D
Total Grant Amount			Difference	
(State & District Share)	Eligible Amount		(A-B)	Comments
\$ 16,800.00	\$ 5,543.88	\$	(11,256.12)	DTSC Fees
\$ 79,632.00	\$	\$		Hazardous Waste Removal
	TOTAL	\$	(90,888.12)	To Page 1, Column B Line 10

### Explanations:

### Line 1

This project has DTSC Fees grant in the amount of \$16,800. However, the District only reported \$5,543.88 for DTSC Fees costs; therefore, the State needs to reduce the DTSC Fees grant in the amount of \$11,256.12. And the District needs to return the DTSC Fees grant (State share) in the amount of \$5,628.06 to the State.

### Line 2

This project has Hazardous Waste Removal grant in the amount of \$79,632. However, the District did not report any Hazardous Waste Removal costs; therefore, the State needs to reduce the Hazardous Waste Removal grant in the amount of \$79,632. And the District needs to return the Hazardous Waste Removal grant (State share) in the amount of \$39,816 to the State.

Page	4	of	5	
Page	4	of	5	

### Schedule 3 Review Adjustments

District Name:

Marysville Joint Unified

School:

Ella Elementary

County:

Yuba

SFP Application Number:

50/72736-00-027

LPP Application Number:

None

Date:

August 16, 2018

	Α	В		C	D
Re	ported Amount	Verified Amount		Difference (A-B)	Comments
\$	9,111.55	\$ -	\$	9,111.55	Ineligible Expenditures
\$	119.41	\$	\$	119.41	Ineligible Expenditures
\$	1,316.13	\$ -	\$	1,316,13	Ineligible Expenditures
\$	2,095.78	\$ -	\$	2.095.78	Ineligible Expenditures
\$	158.04	\$ -	\$	158.04	Ineligible Expenditures
\$	320.40	\$ -	\$	320.40	Ineligible Expenditures
\$	74.92	\$ -	\$		Ineligible Expenditures
Tot	al Adjusted Gran	Paviou Adjustments	0		Thongible Experialitales

Total Adjusted Grant Review Adjustments: \$

13,196.23 To Page 1, Column A, Line 11

### Explanations:

### Line 1

The District reported \$9,111.55 for warrant #168469 on the *Expenditure Report*; however, the review determined this expenditure was for operational costs and therefore ineligible.

### Line 2

The District reported \$119.41 for warrant #169278 on the *Expenditure Report*; however, the review determined this expenditure was for supplies and therefore ineligible.

### Line 3

The District reported \$1,316.13 for warrant #169578 on the *Expenditure Report*; however, the review determined this expenditure was for supplies and therefore ineligible.

### Line 4

The District reported \$2,095.78 for warrant #168612 on the *Expenditure Report*; however, the review determined this expenditure was for operational costs and therefore ineligible.

#### Line 5

The District reported \$158.04 and no warrant number on the *Expenditure Report*; however, the review determined this expenditure was for supplies and therefore ineligible.

### Line 6

The District reported \$320.40 and no warrant number on the *Expenditure Report*; however, the review determined this expenditure was for operational costs and therefore ineligible.

#### Line 7

The District reported \$74.92 and no warrant number on the Expenditure Report; however, the review determined this expenditure was for operational costs and therefore ineligible.

Please see attached Schedule 3A for an individual listing of each ineligible expenditure.

Page	5	of	5	
------	---	----	---	--

### Schedule 3A Review Adjustments Details

District Name:

Marysville Joint Unified

School:

Ella Elementary

County:

Yuba

SFP Application Number:

50/72736-00-027

LPP Application Number:

None

Date:

August 16, 2018

Line	9
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	

Α	B	C	D		
Category	Warrant Date	Vendor	Warrant Number		Reported Amount
F&E	6/30/2014	Royal Wholesale Electric	168469	S	9,111.55
F&E	9/9/2014	Office Depot	169278	\$	119.41
F&E	9/23/2014	Sav Val Janitorial	169578	\$	1,316.13
F&E	7/30/2014	Signs of Our Times	168612	S	2,095,78
F&E	7/22/2014	Stores Req.	No warrant stated	\$	158.04
F&E	8/12/2014	Stores Req.	No warrant stated	S	320.40
F&E	9/10/2014	Stores Req.	No warrant stated	S	74.92
			Total:	\$	13,196.23

### Explanations:

#### Line 1

The District reported \$9,111.55 paid to Royal Wholesale Electric for Dyson hand dryers under Furniture and Equipment (F&E) on the Detailed Listing of Project Expenditures (DLOPE) which the review determined were ineligible operational expenditure.

### Line 2

The District reported \$119.41 paid to Office Depot for lateral file dividers under Furniture and Equipment (F&E) on the DLOPE which the review determined were ineligible supplies.

### Line 3

The District reported \$1,316.13 paid to Sav Val Janitorial for floor mats under Furniture and Equipment (F&E) on the DLOPE which the review determined were ineligible supplies.

### Line 4

The District reported \$2,095.78 paid to Signs of Our Times for cast bronze plaque under Furniture and Equipment (F&E) on the DLOPE which the review determined were ineligible operational expenditure.

### Line 5

The District reported \$158.04 paid to Stores Req. for wastebaskets under Furniture and Equipment (F&E) on the DLOPE which the review determined were ineligible supplies.

#### Line 6

The District reported \$320.40 paid to Stores Req. for paper towel dispensers under Furniture and Equipment (F&E) on the DLOPE which the review determined were ineligible operational expenditure.

### Line 7

The District reported \$74.92 paid to Stores Req. for surge suppressors under Furniture and Equipment (F&E) on the DLOPE which the review determined were ineligible operational expenditure.

6,474,310.00

SAB Meeting:

May 22, 2013

### SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No: 50/72736-00-027 School District:

Marysville Joint Unified

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project:

Elementary School K-6: 250 7-8:

9-12: Non-Severe:

Severe:

Application Filing Basis: Number of Classrooms:

10 Master Acres: 13.8 Existing Acres: 8

Proposed Acres:

Recommended Acres: 10.1 Facility Hardship (a): No Financial Hardship Requested: No Alternative Education School:

Addition to Existing Site:

No Yes

District Wide

PROJECT FINANCING

State Share This Project

5 3,237,155.00

**District Share** 

Cash Contribution Financial Hardship

3,237,155.00

**Total Project Cost** 

6,474,310.00

County: Yuba

**Total Project Cost** 

Ella Elementary School Name:

ADJUSTED GRANT DATA New Construction Grant 2,278,000.00 Fire Detection Alarm 2,750.00 Labor Compliance 21,867.00 High Performance (2.325%) 52,964.00 Site Acquisition 48,216.00 Service Site 589.737.00 Off-Site 171,978.00 Utilities 71,643.00 Total State Share (50%) 3,237,155.00 District Share (50%) 3,237,155.00

	HISTORY	OF PROJECT COST	ANI	APPORTIONN	IENT			
State Share	Fund Code	Proposition		Previously Authorized		Authorized This Action		State Apportionment This Action
New Construction/Add, Grant	955-500	55	\$	3,184,191,00	S	(3,184,191.00)		
New Construction/Add. Grant	055-500	55		0,704,101.00	*	3.184,191.00		3,184,191.00
High Performance	957-700	1D		52,964.00		(52,964.00)	1100	3,164,191.00
High Performance District Share	057-700	1D				52,964.00		52,964.00
Cash Contribution				3,237,155.00				
Total Funding Sources: Proposition 55 t			\$	6,474,310.00	\$	0.00	\$	3,237,155.00

Funding Sources: Proposition 55 Bonds/2004-Mar.; Proposition 1D Bonds/2006-Nov.

Pursuant to the Board's action on May 22, 2013, the District is required to submit a complete Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05) on or before August 20, 2013; otherwise, the apportionment will be rescinded without further Board action and will receive a new Unfunded Approval date of August 20, 2013.

The Form SAB 50-05 submittal must contain an original signature from a designated District Representative and must be physically received by the Office of Public School Construction at 707 Third Street. West Sacramento, CA 95605 prior to the close of business on August 20, 2013.

The District has certified it is enforcing a Labor Compliance Program (LCP). Please be advised this project has been apportioned with funds that require a LCP pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.7.

Assembly Bill 436 (Solorio), Chapter 378, Statutes of 2011, requires school districts to notify the Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) of School Facility Program projects with a public works contract awarded on or after January 1, 2012. Except for projects exempt pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.3(b)(3), the DIR is required to provide prevailing wage monitoring services for the projects.

The District shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

State of California • Arnold Schwarzenegger, Governor State and Consumer Services Agency

# DEPARTMENT OF GENERAL SERVICES

Division of the State Architect - Sacramento Office

## 7/29/2009 - APPROVAL OF PLAN(S)

MS. Gay Todd MARYSVILLE JOINT UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT 1919 B STREET MARYSVILLE 95901-3798

_			- 19	
ы	ro	0	~	•

ELLA ELEMENTARY SCHOOL (MARYSVILLE JOINT UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT)

Total Scope of Project: Construction of 1-Sitework (inc#1), 1-Classroom Building (inc#2).

Increment #:

1

Portion of total scope covered by this letter:

Construction of 1-Sitework (inc#1)

Application #:

02-110751

File #:

58-17

Drawings and specifications for the subject project have been examined and stamped by the Division of the State Architect (DSA) for identification on <a href="https://dx.ncbitect.org/regular.com/reg

Approval is limited to the particular location shown on the drawings and is conditioned on construction starting within one year from the stamped date. The inspector must be approved and the contract information, including the construction start date, must be given to DSA prior to start of construction.

DSA does not review drawings and specifications for compliance with Parts 3 (California Electrical Code), 4 (California Mechanical Code), and 5 (California Plumbing Code) of Title 24. It is the responsibility of the professional consultants named on the application to verify this compliance.

Please refer only to the boxes checked below which indicate applicable conditions specific to this project:

	1
$\boxtimes$	Buildings constructed in accordance with approved drawings and specifications will meet minimum required standard given in Title 24, California Code of Regulations, for structural, and fire and life safety.
	Due to the nature of the building s) certain precautions considered necessary to assure long service have not been required. In the condition as building(s) will meet minimum required standards for structural, and fire and life safety. The owner must observe and correct deterioration in the building in order to maintain it in a safe condition.
	Your attention is drawn to the fact that this application was submitted under the provisions of Sections 39140/81130 of the Education Code which permit repairs or replacement of a fire damaged building to be made in accordance with the drawings and specifications previously approved by this office. The drawings and specifications approved for the reconstruction of this building conform to the drawings and specifications approved under application #
	These drawings and specifications meet the rules, regulations, and building standards in effect at the time of the original approval and do not necessarily comply with rules, regulations, or building standards currently in effect.
	Due to the nature of the poles, certain precautions considered necessary to assure long service have not been insisted upon. In their condition as built, they will meet minimum required safety standards; however, your attention is directed to the comparatively short life of wood poles. It will be the responsibility of the owner to maintain them in a safe condition.

Application #:	ation #: 02-110751 58-17		
	minimum required standards for periodic safety inspections throu	structural, and fire and life sa ghout the period of use to en- thers or grandstands having b	proved drawings and specifications will meet fety. The owner should provide for and require sure framing and other parts have not been polts, locking or safety devices, the owner shall seed prior to each use.
	the portion of the building to be papplication will be subsequently	partially constructed on the su filed, together with drawings a A contract for completion shal	nd specifications for the proposed work include only object site. It is understood that a separate and specifications showing a plot plan and details of I not be let before the written approval of such Services.
			_ pounds per square foot of roof area. Snow nat for which the building(s) was designed.
$\boxtimes$		on of the disabled which are	gs and specifications are in compliance with State required before letting any contract for construction.
	the Education Code is hereby and compliance with state regulation. This approval applies only to the overhead nonstructural elements indicating that the building was approval from the Department of	oproved. This approval certification of the design accommodation of the design and specifications of the work related to this constructed after December 1 of Housing and Community Decembers to the appropriate	submitted under the provisions of Section 17293 of es that the drawings and specifications are in isabled, structural safety, and fire and life safety, for the foundation system, anchorage of the project. Documentation has been received 9,1979, and bears a commercial coach insignia of evelopment (HCD). Confirmation that the state regulations is done by others. (See Section etc.)
	Deferred Approval(s) Items:		
	roject has been classified as be approved by DSA prior to start		who is certified by DSA to inspect this class of project
Please	e refer to the above application nu	imber in all correspondence,	reports, etc., in connection with this project.
Since	ely,		
Q <sub>n</sub>	the State Architect, our repository/CPS Incorp. Inte=REGIONAL MAN.	MENTO, o≃Catifornia 1 Services, ourDivision of ***www.verlsip.com/ by Ref, LIABLTD(c)99, AGER, cn=DAN an,tevernier@dgs.ca.gov	EXTENSION GRANTED UNTIL 7/29/2011
	vid F. Thorman, AIA Architect		DAVID F. THORMAN, AIA
			STATE ARCHITECT

cc: Architect State of California • Arnold Schwarzenegger, Governor State and Consumer Services Agency

# DEPARTMENT OF GENERAL SERVICES

Division of the State Architect - Sacramento Office

### 8/5/2010 - APPROVAL OF PLAN(S)

GAY TODD

MARYSVILLE JOINT UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
1919 B STREET

MARYSVILLE, 95901-3798

-	
Pro	ect:
1 10	CCL.

Ella Elementary School (Marysville Joint Unified School District)

Total Scope of Project: Construction of 1-Sitework (Incr #1), 1-Classroom Building (Incr #2).

Increment #:

2

Portion of total scope covered by this letter:

Portion of total scope Construction of 1-Classroom Building (Incr #2).

Application #:

02-110751

File #:

58-17

Drawings and specifications for the subject project have been examined and stamped by the Division of the State Architect (DSA) for identification on <u>8/5/2010</u>. This letter constitutes the "written approval of the plans as to safety of design and construction" required before letting any contract for construction, and applies only to the work shown on these drawings and specifications. The date of this letter is the DSA approval date.

Approval is limited to the particular location shown on the drawings and is conditioned on construction starting within one year from the stamped date. The inspector must be approved and the contract information, including the construction start date, must be given to DSA prior to start of construction.

DSA does not review drawings and specifications for compliance with Parts 3 (California Electrical Code), 4 (California Mechanical Code), and 5 (California Plumbing Code) of Title 24. It is the responsibility of the professional consultants named on the application to verify this compliance.

Please refer only to the boxes checked below which indicate applicable conditions specific to this project:

$\boxtimes$	Buildings constructed in accordance with approved drawings and specifications will meet minimum required standard given in Title 24, California Code of Regulations, for structural, and fire and life safety.
	Due to the nature of the building(s), certain precautions considered necessary to assure long service have not been required. In the condition as built, the building(s) will meet minimum required standards for structural, and fire and life safety. The owner must observe and correct deterioration in the building in order to maintain it in a safe condition.
	Your attention is drawn to the fact that this application was submitted under the provisions of Sections 39140/81130 of the Education Code which permit repairs or replacement of a fire damaged building to be made in accordance with the drawings and specifications previously approved by this office. The drawings and specifications approved for the reconstruction of this building conform to the drawings and specifications approved under application #
	These drawings and specifications meet the rules, regulations, and building standards in effect at the time of the original approval and do not necessarily comply with rules, regulations, or building standards currently in effect.
	Due to the nature of the poles, certain precautions considered necessary to assure long service have not been insisted upon. In their condition as built, they will meet minimum required safety standards; however, your attention is directed to the comparatively short life of wood poles. It will be the responsibility of the owner to maintain them in a safe condition.

Applica File #:	ation #: 02-110751 58-17
	Bleachers or grandstands constructed in accordance with approved drawings and specifications will meet minimum required standards for structural, and fire and life safety. The owner should provide for and require periodic safety inspections throughout the period of use to ensure framing and other parts have not been damaged or removed. On bleachers or grandstands having bolts, locking or safety devices, the owner shall require that all such components be properly tightened or locked prior to each use.
	This approval is for the part shown only since the drawings and specifications for the proposed work include only the portion of the building to be partially constructed on the subject site. It is understood that a separate application will be subsequently filed, together with drawings and specifications showing a plot plan and details of work necessary for completion. A contract for completion shall not be let before the written approval of such drawings has been obtained from the Department of General Services.
	The building(s) was designed to support a snow load of pounds per square foot of roof area. Snow removal must be considered if the amount of snow exceeds that for which the building(s) was designed.
$\boxtimes$	This constitutes the written approval certifying that the drawings and specifications are in compliance with State regulations for the accommodation of the disabled which are required before letting any contract for construction. (See Section 4454, Government Code.)
	Your application for the construction of a relocatable building submitted under the provisions of Section 17293 of the Education Code is hereby approved. This approval certifies that the drawings and specifications are in compliance with state regulations for accommodation of the disabled, structural safety, and fire and life safety. This approval applies only to the drawings and specifications for the foundation system, anchorage of the overhead nonstructural elements, and site work related to this project. Documentation has been received indicating that the building was constructed after December 19,1979, and bears a commercial coach insignia of approval from the Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD). Confirmation that the construction of the HCD building conforms to the appropriate state regulations is done by others. (See Section 17307, Education Code and Section 4454, Government Code.)
$\boxtimes$	Deferred Approval(s) Items: Elevator Guide Rails and Support Bracket Anchorage, Window Wall Systems or Storefronts with spans greater than 10 feet
	roject has been classified as Class 1. An Inspector who is certified by DSA to inspect this class of project be approved by DSA prior to start of construction.
Please	e refer to the above application number in all correspondence, reports, etc., in connection with this project.
Sincer	Digitally signed by DAN LEVERNIER DN: o-California Department of General Services, out-Division of the State Architect, out-www.verisign.com/repository/CPS Incorp. by Ref.,LJBA.T.DIG-199, titlo-REGIONAL MANAGER, on-DAN LEVERNIER, email-dan levernier@dgs.ca.gov Date: 2010.06 09:56:58 -0700
	vid F. Thorman, AIA Architect
cc: Archit	ect



SUPT. OFFICE
AUG 1 6 2010
RECEIVEDIPI

### JACK O'CONNELL

STATE SUPERINTENDENT OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION

August 10, 2010

Marysville Joint Unified 1919 B St. Marysville, CA 95901

Project Tracking No: 72736-115 Re: Ella Elementary School

County: Yuba

Square Feet: 19,000 Grade Level: K - 5

Dear Superintendent:

Subject: Final Plan Approval - New Buildings

The California Department of Education approves the plans with the title sheet date of June 28, 2010, for the above referenced project. The plans were received on August 4, 2010. The plans meet the California Department of Education's standards for educational adequacy (California Code of Regulations, Title 5, et seq. and Education Code 17251(c) and (d)).

It is the responsibility of the school district to meet all requirements concerning toilet facilities, drinking water supply, sewage disposal, food service facilities and other plan elements having primary health and safety implications. The plans should be reviewed by the local health agency having jurisdiction and a written approval should be secured and filed in the school district's records. If the approved project involves work on an existing school building, it is the responsibility of the school district to meet all Federal, State and local requirements relating to the identification, remediation and/or removal of hazardous levels of lead and asbestos containing materials before or during construction. It is the responsibility of the district to complete all of the mitigation measures identified in the documents submitted to the California Department of Education for review.

The school site for this project is 8 usable acres. This represents 79.21% of the California Department of Education's recommended site size of 10.1 acres, as contained in the California Department of Education's "Guide to School Site Analysis and Development (2000)," for the current CBEDS enrollment of the site and the student capacity added by this project as calculated pursuant to SAB Regulation 1859.83(d).

The California Department of Education's recommended acres for the master plan capacity of the site is 13.8 acres, to be used for purposes of requesting funding from the State Allocation Board pursuant to SAB Regulations 1859.73, related to multi-story construction, and 1859.76(a)11, related to parking structures. This site is 57.97% of the California Department of Education's recommended master plan site size.

The project as approved consists of:

Marysville Joint Unified August 10, 2010 Page 2 PTN: 72736-115 Ella Elementary School

TEACHING STATIONS GRADE LEVEL ROOMS STUDENTS
Classrooms 1 - 6 10 250

Based on the standards specified in Education Code 17071.25 and the number of teaching stations in the project, the student capacity of this project is 250.

### CORE FACILITIES:

Teacher Work Room

Toilets

The district has certified that this project is either exempt from, or has completed, the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) process.

The district has met the requirements of Education Code 17213.1 regarding the preparation of a Phase I environmental assessment or a Preliminary Endangerment Assessment (PEA), and/or the completion of a Response Action, and the Department of Toxic Substances Control has issued a determination letter dated July 16, 2010 indicating that no action or no further action is required for this site.

For projects to be funded under the Leroy F. Greene School Facility Act of 1998, funding requests to the State Allocation Board must be submitted within two (2) years of the date of this letter. If the district is not seeking financial assistance from the State Allocation Board, the project must commence construction within two (2) years of the date of this letter. Regardless of the funding source, if, prior to construction, changes are made to the plans that would affect or alter the California Department of Education's original approval (including but not limited to changes in surrounding land uses, the master plan capacity of the project, changes in code and/or regulation, or a subsequent CEQA determination), the plan may be subject to reevaluation using the most recent standards.

Please contact the consultant identified below if you have questions regarding this letter.

Sincerely,

Fred A. Yeager, Assistant Director School Facilities Planning Division Patricia Jones Penn Ed.D., Consultant School Facilities Planning Division

(916)324-8989

DP6479/P5699 cc: Architect