

July 19, 2018

Application No.: 59/63529-00-028
School: Golden Valley High
County of Kern

Ms. Jenny Hannah
District Representative
Kern High School District
5801 Sundale Avenue
Bakersfield, CA 93309

Dear Ms. Hannah:

The Office of Public School Construction (OPSC) has completed its review of Golden Valley High, OPSC application number 59/63529-00-028. Review of the District's project financing, expenditures, and certifications to ensure compliance pursuant to Education Code Sections 17072.35, 17074.25, and 17076.10 and School Facility Program (SFP) Regulation Section 1859.106 was conducted. In addition, the review examined the District's compliance with applicable SFP Regulations in effect when the funding application was received by OPSC, dated March 24, 2010.

The project is a non-financial hardship Career Technical Education Facilities Program modernization project that was funded on a 50 percent State and 50 percent District basis. The project has expended funds beyond the SFP grant and is considered overspent.

OPSC has identified \$28,682.95 in review adjustments for ineligible main construction expenditures. The project overspent amount has been adjusted to reflect this finding.

OPSC has received the signed *Summary of Project Financing and Expenditures* dated June 21, 2018 from the District. As the District has concurred with the review findings in the *Summary of Project Financing and Expenditures*, the project is considered closed. Enclosed is the final report.

This final report includes the:

1. Summary of Project Financing and Expenditures and Supporting Schedules
2. State Allocation Board Apportionment Approval
3. Division of the State Architect Plan Approval
4. California Department of Education Final Plan Approval

Ms. Jenny Hannah

July 19, 2018

In order to promote School Facility Program transparency, this report will be posted to our website.

Thank you for your assistance and cooperation during the review process. Should you have any questions concerning this report, you may call the Fiscal Services Unit at (916) 376-1771.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Rick Asbell".

RICK ASBELL, Chief, Fiscal Services
Office of Public School Construction

Enclosure

cc: Dr. Byron Schaefer, District Superintendent
Kern County Office of Education
Project file

Summary of Project Financing and Expenditures

District Name: Kern High School District
 School: Golden Valley High
 County: Kern High School District
 SFP Application Number: 59/63529-00-028
 LPP Application Number: N/A
 Date: 6/19/2018

Line

1	LPP State Apportionment	1	\$	-
2	SFP State Apportionment	2	\$	149,901.00
3	Financial Hardship Apportionment	3		
4	District Contribution	4	\$	149,901.00
5	Interest	5	\$	-
6	Total Project Funding	6	\$	299,802.00
7	Reported Expenditures	7	\$	440,012.50
8	Reported Overspent	8	\$	140,210.50
9	Grant Adjustments	9	\$	-
10	Total Review Adjustments	10	\$	28,682.95
11	Revised Expenditures	11	\$	411,329.55
12	Net Overspent	12	\$	111,527.55
13	Interest Due	13	\$	-
14	Total to be Returned to the State	14	\$	-

Explanations:

Line 10:

See Schedule 3

These are the final authorized amounts needed to bring the District's accounting records into compliance. Amounts shown supersede all authorized amounts shown on the Office of Public School Construction Fund Release documents.

ON BEHALF OF THE DISTRICT I CONCUR WITH THIS ANALYSIS AND REQUEST THAT THE OFFICE OF PUBLIC SCHOOL CONSTRUCTION CLOSE ITS BOOKS FOR THIS PROJECT.

Jenny Hansen
 District Representative (printed name)

[Signature]
 Signature

6.21.18
 Date

Schedule 3
Review Adjustments

District Name: Kern High School District
 School: Golden Valley High
 County: Kern High School District
 SFP Application Number: 59/63529-00-028
 LPP Application Number: N/A
 Date: 6/19/2018

	A	B	C	D
Line	Reported Amount	Verified Amount	Difference (A-B)	Comments
1	\$ 10,907.07	\$ -	\$ 10,907.07	Admin Costs
2	\$ 17,775.88	\$ -	\$ 17,775.88	
3	Total Review Adjustments: \$			28,682.95

Explanations:**Line 1**

The District reported \$10,907.07 paid to Mobile Mini Inc. under main construction; however, the review determined the expenditure was paid for lease on a storage container. The expenditures are deemed administrative overhead expense; therefore, the expenditures are ineligible for the project.

Line 2

The District reported \$17,775.88 paid to Saleh WM B Co. Inc. under main construction; however, the expenditures cannot be traced to the supporting documents. Per *SFP Regulation 1859.106*, District shall be required to maintain all appropriate records that support all district certifications and expenditures for all costs associated with SFP for a project for a period of not less than four years from the date the notice of completion is filed for the project. Therefore the expenditures are ineligible for the project.

Please see attached Schedule 3A for an individual listing of each ineligible expenditure.

Schedule 3A
Review Adjustments Details

District Name: Kern High School District
 School: Golden Valley High
 County: Kern High School District
 SFP Application Number: 59/63529-00-028
 LPP Application Number: N/A
 Date: 6/19/2018

	A	B	C	D	
Line	Category	Warrant Date	Vendor	Warrant Number	Warrant Amount
1	Main Const.	All	Mobile Mini Inc.	All	\$ 10,907.07
2	Main Const.	2/29/2016	Saleh WM B Co. Inc.	83029196	\$ 17,775.88
				Total:	\$ 28,682.95

Explanations:**Line 1**

The District reported \$10,907.07 under Main Construction on the Detailed Listing of Project Expenditures (DLOPE) which the review determined were administrative overhead costs. These expenditures are considered ineligible.

Line 2

The District reported \$17,775.88 under Main Construction on the DLOPE which the review determined were ineligible as the expenditures could not be traced to the project with the supporting document.

APPLICANT DATA

Application No: 59/63529-00-028
 County: Kern
 Applicant: Kern High

Site Name: Golden Valley High
 Industry Sector: Agriculture and Natural Resources
 Pathway: Agriscience

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project: Modernization
 Reservation of Funds: Y
 Loan Requested: N
 Qualifying SFP Project: n/a
 Number of CTE Classrooms: 1
 SFP Classroom Allowance Deduction: n/a

GRANT DATA

Eligible Project Costs (50%)
 Construction: \$ 53,492.00
 Equipment: 96,222.00
 Site Development: 0.00
 Prevailing Wage Monitoring: 187.00
 SFP Deduction: 0.00
 Total State Share (50%): 149,901.00
 District Share (50%): 149,901.00
 Total Project Costs: \$ 299,802.00

PROJECT FINANCING

Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorize This Action	State Apportionment This Action
<u>State Share (50%)</u>				
This Project 957-600	1D	\$ 149,901.00	\$ (149,901.00)	
This Project 057-600	1D		149,901.00	\$ 149,901.00
<u>District Share (50%)</u>		149,901.00		
Cash Contribution				
Total Project Cost		\$ 299,802.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 149,901.00

Funding Source: Proposition 1D Bonds/2006-Nov.

The District has requested a Reservation of Funds. Pursuant to Article 16, Section 1859.90.3 and Section 1859.197, the District is required to submit the California Department of Education (CDE) Plan Approval and the Division of the State Architect (DSA) approved plans and specifications within 12 months of April 15, 2015; otherwise, the apportionment will be rescinded without further Board action.

Pursuant to Section 1859.90.2, the District is required to submit a complete Form SAB 50-05 with in 90 days of receipt of CDE and DSA approved plans and specifications.

The Form SAB 50-05 submittal must contain an original signature from a designated District Representative and must be physically received by the Office of Public School Construction at 707 Third Street, West Sacramento, CA 95605 prior to the close of business on July 14, 2015.

Assembly Bill 436 (Solorio), Chapter 378, Statutes of 2011, requires school districts and charter schools to notify the Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) of School Facility Program projects with a public works contract awarded on or after January 1, 2012. Except for projects exempt pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.3(b)(3), DIR is required to provide prevailing wage monitoring services for the projects.

Senate Bill 854, Chapter 28, Statutes of 2014 repealed Labor Code (LC) Section 1771.3 which required school districts to provide payment to Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) for prevailing wage monitoring. As a result, school districts awarding a construction contract on or after June 20, 2014 are not mandated to provide payment for DIR monitoring and enforcement of prevailing wage requirements. Projects with an initial public works contract awarded on or after June 20, 2014 are not eligible to receive an additional grant for prevailing wage monitoring; however, school districts are still required to notify DIR within five days of initial contract award pursuant to LC Section 1773.3(a)(1).

Pursuant to Section 1859.199, provide that the State portion of any and all project savings realized from the funding of a Career Technical Education Facilities Program project must be returned to the State.

The District shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.



DGS DEPARTMENT OF GENERAL SERVICES

Division of the State Architect - Los Angeles Office

9/10/2013 - APPROVAL OF PLAN(S)

Donald Carter
Kern High School District
5801 Sundale Avenue
Bakersfield, 93309

Project: GOLDEN VALLEY AG.- SCIENCE CLASSROOM CTE

Total Scope of Project: Alterations to 1-Modern. of an (E) Technology Shop Classroom into an Agricultural Classroom.

Increment #: 0
Application #: 03-114841
File #: 15-H3

Drawings and specifications for the subject project have been examined and stamped by the Division of the State Architect (DSA) for identification on 9/10/2013. This letter constitutes the "written approval of the plans as to safety of design and construction" required before letting any contract for construction, and applies only to the work shown on these drawings and specifications. The date of this letter is the DSA approval date.

Approval is limited to the particular location shown on the drawings and is conditioned on construction starting within one year from the stamped date. The inspector must be approved and the contract information, including the construction start date, must be given to DSA prior to start of construction.

DSA does not review drawings and specifications for compliance with Parts 3 (California Electrical Code), 4 (California Mechanical Code), and 5 (California Plumbing Code) of Title 24. It is the responsibility of the professional consultants named on the application to verify this compliance.

Please refer only to the boxes checked below which indicate applicable conditions specific to this project:


- ☒ Buildings constructed in accordance with approved drawings and specifications will meet minimum required standard given in Title 24, California Code of Regulations, for structural, and fire and life safety.
- ☐ Due to the nature of the building(s), certain precautions considered necessary to assure long service have not been required. In the condition as built, the building(s) will meet minimum required standards for structural, and fire and life safety. The owner must observe and correct deterioration in the building in order to maintain it in a safe condition.
- ☐ Your attention is drawn to the fact that this application was submitted under the provisions of Sections 39140/81130 of the Education Code which permit repairs or replacement of a fire damaged building to be made in accordance with the drawings and specifications previously approved by this office. The drawings and specifications approved for the reconstruction of this building conform to the drawings and specifications approved under application # _____.
- ☐ These drawings and specifications meet the rules, regulations, and building standards in effect at the time of the original approval and do not necessarily comply with rules, regulations, or building standards currently in effect.
- ☐ Due to the nature of the poles, certain precautions considered necessary to assure long service have not been insisted upon. In their condition as built, they will meet minimum required safety standards; however, your attention is directed to the comparatively short life of wood poles. It will be the responsibility of the owner to maintain them in a safe condition.

- ☐ Bleachers or grandstands constructed in accordance with approved drawings and specifications will meet minimum required standards for structural, and fire and life safety. The owner should provide for and require periodic safety inspections throughout the period of use to ensure framing and other parts have not been damaged or removed. On bleachers or grandstands having bolts, locking or safety devices, the owner shall require that all such components be properly tightened or locked prior to each use.
- ☐ This approval is for the part shown only since the drawings and specifications for the proposed work include only the portion of the building to be partially constructed on the subject site. It is understood that a separate application will be subsequently filed, together with drawings and specifications showing a plot plan and details of work necessary for completion. A contract for completion shall not be let before the written approval of such drawings has been obtained from the Department of General Services.
- ☐ The building(s) was designed to support a snow load of 0 pounds per square foot of roof area. Snow removal must be considered if the amount of snow exceeds that for which the building(s) was designed.
- ☒ This constitutes the written approval certifying that the drawings and specifications are in compliance with State regulations for the accommodation of the disabled which are required before letting any contract for construction. (See Section 4454, Government Code.)
- ☐ Your application for the construction of a relocatable building submitted under the provisions of Section 17293 of the Education Code is hereby approved. This approval certifies that the drawings and specifications are in compliance with state regulations for accommodation of the disabled, structural safety, and fire and life safety. This approval applies only to the drawings and specifications for the foundation system, anchorage of the overhead nonstructural elements, and site work related to this project. Documentation has been received indicating that the building was constructed after December 19, 1979, and bears a commercial coach insignia of approval from the Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD). Confirmation that the construction of the HCD building conforms to the appropriate state regulations is done by others. (See Section 17307, Education Code and Section 4454, Government Code.)
- ☐ Deferred Approval(s) Items:

This Project has been classified as **Class 3**. An Inspector who is certified by DSA to inspect this class of project must be approved by DSA prior to start of construction.

Please refer to the above application number in all correspondence, reports, etc., in connection with this project.

Sincerely,



Digitally signed by Leslie Cho
DN: cn=Leslie Cho, o=Division of the
State Architect, ou=Structural Safety,
email=Leslie.Cho@dgs.ca.gov, c=US
Date: 2013.09.12 08:33:43 -07'00'

for Chester "Chet" Widom, FAIA
State Architect

cc:
Architect



CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF
EDUCATION

TOM TORLAKSON

STATE SUPERINTENDENT OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION

March 25, 2013

Kern Union High
5801 Sundale Avenue
Bakersfield, CA 93309

Project Tracking No: 63529-142
Re: Golden Valley High School
County: Kern
Square Feet: 1,117
Industry Sector: Agriculture &
Natural Resources

Dear Superintendent:

Subject: Final Plan Approval – Modernization – Career Technical Education

The California Department of Education approves the plans with the title sheet date of February 12, 2013, for the above referenced project. The plans were received on March 18, 2013. The plans meet the California Department of Education's standards for educational adequacy (California Code of Regulations, Title 5, 14001 et seq. and Education Code 17251(c) and (d)).

It is the responsibility of the school district to meet all requirements concerning toilet facilities, drinking water supply, sewage disposal, food service facilities and other plan elements having primary health and safety implications. The plans should be reviewed by the local health agency having jurisdiction and a written approval should be secured and filed in the school district's records. If the approved project involves work on an existing school building, it is the responsibility of the school district to meet all Federal, State and local requirements relating to the identification, remediation and/or removal of hazardous levels of lead and asbestos containing materials before or during construction. It is the responsibility of the district to complete all of the mitigation measures identified in the documents submitted to the California Department of Education for review.

The project as approved consists of: agriculture mechanics classroom.

The California Department of Education has reviewed the plans to ensure the construction project is consistent with the Career Technical Education Facilities Program application that received a score of 123.

The district has certified that this project is either exempt from, or has completed, the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) process.


Kern Union High
March 25, 2013
Page 2

PTN: 63529-142
Golden Valley High School


For projects to be funded under the Leroy F. Greene School Facility Act of 1998, funding requests to the State Allocation Board must be submitted within two (2) years of the date of this letter. If the district is not seeking financial assistance from the State Allocation Board, the project must commence construction within two (2) years of the date of this letter. Regardless of the funding source, if, prior to construction, changes are made to the plans that would affect or alter the California Department of Education's original approval (including but not limited to changes in surrounding land uses, the master plan capacity of the project, changes in code and/or regulation, or a subsequent CEQA determination), the plan may be subject to reevaluation using the most recent standards.

Please contact the consultant identified below if you have questions regarding this letter.

Sincerely,



Fred A. Yeager, Assistant Director
School Facilities and Transportation Services Division



Rob Corley, Consultant
School Facilities and Transportation Services Division

DP7767/P6943/CTEAP
cc: Architect