

December 6, 2017

Application No.: 59/63529-00-020
School: Foothill High
County of Kern

Ms. Jenny Hannah
District Representative
Kern High School District
5801 Sundale Avenue
Bakersfield, CA 93309

Dear Ms. Hannah:

The Office of Public School Construction (OPSC) has completed its review of Foothill High School, OPSC application number 59/63529-00-020. Review of the District's project financing, expenditures, and certifications to ensure compliance pursuant to Education Code Sections 17072.35, 17074.25, and 17076.10 and School Facility Program (SFP) Regulation Section 1859.106 was conducted. In addition, the review examined the District's compliance with applicable SFP Regulations in effect when the funding application was received by OPSC, dated March 24, 2010.

The project is a non-financial hardship Career Technical Education Facilities Program project that was funded on a 50 percent State and 50 percent financial hardship apportionment basis. The project has expended funds beyond the SFP grant and is considered overspent. OPSC has received the signed *Summary of Project Financing and Expenditures* dated November 30, 2017 from the District. As the District has concurred with the review findings in the *Summary of Project Financing and Expenditures*, the project is considered closed. Enclosed is the final report.

This final report includes the:

1. Summary of Project Financing and Expenditures and Supporting Schedules
2. State Allocation Board Apportionment Approval
3. Division of the State Architect Plan Approval
4. California Department of Education Final Plan Approval

In order to promote School Facility Program transparency, this report will be posted to our website.

Thank you for your assistance and cooperation during the review process. Should you have any questions concerning this report, you may call the Fiscal Services Unit at (916) 376-1771.

Sincerely,



RICK ASBELL, Chief, Fiscal Services
Office of Public School Construction

Enclosure

cc: Dr. Bryon Schaefer, District Superintendent
Kern County Office of Education
Project file

Summary of Project Financing and Expenditures

District Name: Kern High School District
 School: Foothill High
 County: Kern
 SFP Application Number: 59/63529-00-020
 LPP Application Number: _____
 Date: 11/8/2017

Line

1	LPP State Apportionment	1	\$	-
2	SFP State Apportionment	2	\$	827,753
3	Financial Hardship Apportionment	3	\$	-
4	District Contribution	4	\$	827,753
5	Interest	5	\$	-
6	Total Project Funding	6	\$	1,655,506
7	Reported Expenditures	7	\$	1,919,680
8	Reported Overspent	8	\$	264,174
9	Grant Adjustments	9	\$	-
10	Total Review Adjustments	10	\$	-
11	Revised Expenditures	11	\$	1,919,680
12	Net Overspent	12	\$	264,174
13	Interest Due	13	\$	-
14	Total to be Returned to the State			

Explanations:

These are the final authorized amounts needed to bring the District's accounting records into compliance. Amounts shown supersede all authorized amounts shown on the Office of Public School Construction Fund Release documents.

ON BEHALF OF THE DISTRICT I CONCUR WITH THIS ANALYSIS AND REQUEST THAT THE OFFICE OF PUBLIC SCHOOL CONSTRUCTION CLOSE ITS BOOKS FOR THIS PROJECT.


 District Representative

11.30.17

Date

State of California
State Allocation Board
School Facility Program

This Resolution of the State Allocation Board (hereafter referred to as the "Board") is applicable to the appropriate sections of the Education Code and is described and filed in the office of the Executive Officer and will be made available to all interested parties as the Resolution pertains to the documents attached hereto. Said documents were acted upon by the Board at its meeting on April 07, 2014.

WHEREAS, the Board has previously approved or determined to be approvable a number of projects for construction or modernization eligibility of facilities for school districts and is making apportionments and/or unfunded approvals for the grant amounts for projects that meet the Board's criteria for the apportionment of grants pursuant to Education Code Sections 17072.10, 17078.52, 17078.70, 17079 or 17074.10 or Board Regulations 1859.81.1 or 1859.83;

WHEREAS, Treasury Regulations Section 1.150-2 require the State (on whose behalf the Board is acting) to declare its reasonable intent to provide grant funding to school districts, in accordance with Board policy and law, for costs of the projects with proceeds of State bonds;

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED:

1. This Resolution is adopted by the Board for the purposes of establishing compliance with Treasury Regulations Section 1.150-2, and this Resolution does not bind the Board to make any additional apportionment, or bind the State to incur any indebtedness.
2. The Board anticipates that some or all of the school districts listed on the list of "Projects" referred to in this Resolution will pay certain capital expenditures in connection with some or all of the project costs prior to the issuance of bonds by the State to pay for the grants for the projects. The reimbursement of such costs is consistent with the State's budgetary and financial circumstances, and in accordance with Board policy, as no other funds or accounts of the State have been budgeted or are available to pay the costs of the projects on either a short-term or a long-term basis.
3. The Board, acting on behalf of the State, hereby declares that it is the State's official intent to use proceeds of general obligation bonds that may become available for such purpose, consistent with the requirements of law that are in effect at the time the funds are available, to provide grants in accordance with applicable laws and regulations.
4. This Resolution shall be continuously available for inspection by the general public during normal business hours at the offices of the Board at 707 3rd Street, West Sacramento, California, commencing within one week after the date of enactment of this Resolution.
5. Any eligibility determination does not constitute a commitment of future funding by the Board.

I, the undersigned, duly authorized as the Executive Officer of the State Allocation Board, do hereby certify the foregoing to be true and correct, and that this Resolution was adopted at a meeting of said Board on April 07, 2014 at Sacramento, California.



Lisa Silverman
Executive Officer
State Allocation Board

REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER
State Allocation Board Meeting, April 7, 2014

PRIORITY FUNDING SCHOOL CONSTRUCTION APPORTIONMENTS

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To provide priority funding apportionments for the School Facility Program (SFP) in the amount of \$372.2 million.

DESCRIPTION

At the May 2011 State Allocation Board (Board) meeting, the Board approved proposed regulations establishing two annual filing periods for prioritizing school construction funding as cash proceeds became available to provide apportionments. The proposed regulations created two 30-day periods in which school districts could request to receive an apportionment upon acknowledgement that a *Fund Release Authorization* (Form SAB 50-05) could be submitted within 90-days of receiving an apportionment.

The Office of Administrative Law (OAL) approved the regulations on July 27, 2011. Based on the current regulations, the current 30-day filing period began on November 13, 2013 and ended on December 12, 2013. Requests must have been physically received by the Office of Public School Construction (OPSC) on or before December 12, 2013 and are valid from January 1, 2014 through June 30, 2014.

By approving this item, the Board will provide approximately \$372.2 million in apportionments for 105 projects that represents 43 school districts.

AUTHORITY

See Attachment A.

BACKGROUND

Communication

Since the Board approved the establishment of two priority funding request periods per year, the OPSC continues to actively communicate the information to all school districts as follows:

- Staff sends electronic notifications of upcoming/current filing periods to all school districts in the state.
- Staff created a page on the OPSC website dedicated to comprehensive information on the priority funding process.
- Articles are included in the monthly OPSC publication, *Building Blocks*, which is displayed on the OPSC website. Email notifications with a link to new issues of *Buildings Blocks* are sent to all school districts.
- Staff provides outreach at monthly county office of education meetings held throughout the state.

Requests/Acknowledgements

Pursuant to SFP Regulations, districts choosing to participate in the priority funding process must submit a request to convert an Unfunded Approval to an apportionment. As part of the request, districts are required to make the following acknowledgements:

- The district understands that the time limit on fund release shall be no more than 90 days from the date of Apportionment.

(Continued on Page Two)

BACKGROUND (cont.)

Requests/Acknowledgements (cont.)

- The District acknowledges that failure to submit a valid *Fund Release Authorization* (Form SAB 50-05) within the 90-day period will result in the project being rescinded without further Board action. A rescinded application will revert back to an Unfunded Approval at the bottom of the unfunded list and cannot be guaranteed bond authority. The application will receive a new Unfunded Approval date of Monday, July 7, 2014.
- In the case that multiple rescissions are made by the SAB, each separate application will be placed at the bottom of the unfunded approvals list in the order of the original unfunded approval date. The district will not be required to resubmit the application and no further application review will be required.

(Example: Two applications are rescinded with unfunded approval dates of January 27, 2010 and February 24, 2010. The application that received an unfunded approval on January 27 would be placed on the unfunded list first, followed by the application that received an unfunded approval on February 24).

- The district acknowledges that by participating in the priority funding process, the district is waiving its right to the 18-month timeline for fund release submittal.

There is currently \$382.9 million in available cash in Propositions 1A, 47, 55, and 1D. By approving this item, the Board will provide approximately \$372.2 million in apportionments for 105 projects on the Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans) that have submitted priority funding requests and are eligible for an apportionment. Any requests by districts to participate in the priority funding process that are not converted to apportionments shall retain their date order position on the Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 loans).

This priority funding round generated \$372.2 million in apportionment requests from 43 school districts for 105 out of 170 unique projects, which represent 62 percent of the projects on the Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans) that could have submitted requests. In this round, the Board is able to provide apportionments for all \$372.2 million in apportionment requests. The attachments provide detailed lists of the apportionment requests received during this filing period that remain on the Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans). The applications receiving an apportionment are highlighted in Attachments B, C D, E, F and G.

Available Cash Proceeds

As mentioned above, there is \$382.9 million available for the current priority funding apportionments. The chart below details the funding authority available by bond source:

Proposition	Cash Available as of March 24, 2014	+	March 2014 Cash Proceeds	-	1st Half of SFP Administrative Cost - Fiscal Year 2014-2015	=	Available Cash Proceeds as of March 24, 2014
1A	\$2,396,588	+	\$0	-	\$0	=	\$2,396,588
47	\$19,993,852	+	\$4,030,000	-	\$92,500	=	\$23,931,352
55	\$22,657,588	+	\$40,890,000	-	\$2,629,500	=	\$60,918,088
1D	\$4,862,699	+	\$296,669,000	-	\$5,882,500	=	\$295,649,199
	<u>\$49,910,727</u>	+	<u>\$341,589,000</u>	-	<u>\$8,604,500</u>	=	<u>\$382,895,227</u>

Continued on Page Three)

STAFF ANALYSIS/STATEMENTS

The following table contains a detailed breakdown of the requests receiving an apportionment at the April 7, 2014 Board meeting.

Actual State Apportionments for the Priority Funding Round

Type of Application	Number of Applications	Total Dollar Amount	Number of Districts
New Construction (NC)	16	\$ 66,846,442	14
Modernization	50	186,967,911	11
New Construction – Design	2	1,385,262	2
Career Tech – NC	4	8,729,566	3
Career Tech - Rehabilitation	5	2,860,083	4
Overcrowding Relief Grant	10	46,651,637	6
Charter – Advance Site/Design	1	7,907,372	1
Fund Releases			
Charter – Final Apportionment	6	38,792,232	4
Facility Hardship - Rehabilitation	11	12,068,493	9
Total	105*	\$ 372,208,998	*

*105 unique application numbers from 43 unique districts are recommended for apportionment. Districts and applications that have unfunded approvals in multiple program categories may be counted more than once in the above table.

The following table contains a breakdown of the apportionments within each proposition:

Proposition	Available Cash Proceeds as of March 24, 2014	-	April 7, 2014 PIF Projects	=	Remaining Cash Proceeds
1A	\$2,396,588	-	\$2,204,503	=	\$192,084
47	\$23,931,352	-	\$22,983,155	=	\$948,196
55	\$60,918,088	-	\$51,372,216	=	\$9,545,872
1D	\$295,649,199	-	\$295,649,123	=	\$76
	<u>\$382,895,227</u>	-	<u>\$372,208,998</u>	=	<u>\$10,686,228</u>

Unallocated Proceeds

Unallocated proceeds will be available for future apportionments. Requests submitted during the 30-day period that ended on December 12, 2013 will expire on June 30, 2014. A new 30-day priority funding request filing period will begin on May 14, 2014 and will end on June 12, 2014. Requests submitted during this period will be valid from July 1, 2014 through December 31, 2014.

(Continued on Page Four)

SCHOOL FACILITY PROGRAM

[illegible]



State of California • Edmund G. Brown Jr., Governor
State and Consumer Services Agency

DGS

DEPARTMENT OF GENERAL SERVICES

Division of the State Architect - Los Angeles Office

9/4/2013 - APPROVAL OF PLAN(S)

Donald Carter
Kern High School District
5801 Sundale Avenue
Bakersfield, 93309

Project: **FOOTHILL HIGH SCHOOL**

Total Scope of Project: Alterations to 1-Building Trades modernization (CTEFP)

Increment #: 0

Application #: 03-114884

File #: 15-H3

Drawings and specifications for the subject project have been examined and stamped by the Division of the State Architect (DSA) for identification on 9/4/2013. This letter constitutes the "written approval of the plans as to safety of design and construction" required before letting any contract for construction, and applies only to the work shown on these drawings and specifications. The date of this letter is the DSA approval date.

Approval is limited to the particular location shown on the drawings and is conditioned on construction starting within one year from the stamped date. The inspector must be approved and the contract information, including the construction start date, must be given to DSA prior to start of construction.

DSA does not review drawings and specifications for compliance with Parts 3 (California Electrical Code), 4 (California Mechanical Code), and 5 (California Plumbing Code) of Title 24. It is the responsibility of the professional consultants named on the application to verify this compliance.

Please refer only to the boxes checked below which indicate applicable conditions specific to this project:

- ☒ Buildings constructed in accordance with approved drawings and specifications will meet minimum required standard given in Title 24, California Code of Regulations, for structural, and fire and life safety.
- ☐ Due to the nature of the building(s), certain precautions considered necessary to assure long service have not been required. In the condition as built, the building(s) will meet minimum required standards for structural, and fire and life safety. The owner must observe and correct deterioration in the building in order to maintain it in a safe condition.
- ☐ Your attention is drawn to the fact that this application was submitted under the provisions of Sections 39140/81130 of the Education Code which permit repairs or replacement of a fire damaged building to be made in accordance with the drawings and specifications previously approved by this office. The drawings and specifications approved for the reconstruction of this building conform to the drawings and specifications approved under application # _____.
- ☐ These drawings and specifications meet the rules, regulations, and building standards in effect at the time of the original approval and do not necessarily comply with rules, regulations, or building standards currently in effect.
- ☐ Due to the nature of the poles, certain precautions considered necessary to assure long service have not been insisted upon. In their condition as built, they will meet minimum required safety standards; however, your attention is directed to the comparatively short life of wood poles. It will be the responsibility of the owner to maintain them in a safe condition.

Application #: 03-114884

File #: 15-H3

- ☐ Bleachers or grandstands constructed in accordance with approved drawings and specifications will meet minimum required standards for structural, and fire and life safety. The owner should provide for and require periodic safety inspections throughout the period of use to ensure framing and other parts have not been damaged or removed. On bleachers or grandstands having bolts, locking or safety devices, the owner shall require that all such components be properly tightened or locked prior to each use.
- ☐ This approval is for the part shown only since the drawings and specifications for the proposed work include only the portion of the building to be partially constructed on the subject site. It is understood that a separate application will be subsequently filed, together with drawings and specifications showing a plot plan and details of work necessary for completion. A contract for completion shall not be let before the written approval of such drawings has been obtained from the Department of General Services.
- ☐ The building(s) was designed to support a snow load of 0 pounds per square foot of roof area. Snow removal must be considered if the amount of snow exceeds that for which the building(s) was designed.
- ☒ This constitutes the written approval certifying that the drawings and specifications are in compliance with State regulations for the accommodation of the disabled which are required before letting any contract for construction. (See Section 4454, Government Code.)
- ☐ Your application for the construction of a relocatable building submitted under the provisions of Section 17293 of the Education Code is hereby approved. This approval certifies that the drawings and specifications are in compliance with state regulations for accommodation of the disabled, structural safety, and fire and life safety. This approval applies only to the drawings and specifications for the foundation system, anchorage of the overhead nonstructural elements, and site work related to this project. Documentation has been received indicating that the building was constructed after December 19, 1979, and bears a commercial coach insignia of approval from the Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD). Confirmation that the construction of the HCD building conforms to the appropriate state regulations is done by others. (See Section 17307, Education Code and Section 4454, Government Code.)
- ☐ Deferred Approval(s) Items:

This Project has been classified as **Class 2**. An Inspector who is certified by DSA to inspect this class of project must be approved by DSA prior to start of construction.

Please refer to the above application number in all correspondence, reports, etc., in connection with this project.

Sincerely,



Digitally signed by Leslie Cho
DN: cn=Leslie Cho, o=Division of the
State Architect, ou=Structural Safety,
email=Leslie.Cho@dgs.ca.gov, c=US
Date: 2013.09.06 07:42:54 -07'00'

for Chester "Chet" Widom, FAIA
State Architect

cc:
Architect



CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF
EDUCATION

1430 N STREET
SACRAMENTO, CA
95814-5901

February 2, 2010

JACK O'CONNELL

State Superintendent of
Public Instruction

PHONE: 916-319-0800

School Site: Foothill High School
Sector: Building Trades and Construction
Score of Project: 132
Passed

Brenda Lewis, Principal
Kern Union High School District
501 Park Drive
Bakersfield, CA 93306

Dear Principal Lewis:

Subject: Career Technical Education (CTE) Bond Application Results for
Cabinetmaking/Wood Shop.

Congratulations to the school staff, students, teachers, parents, business partners, key stakeholders and community, for preparing a CTE plan that can be considered for funding under the CTE Facilities Program (Assembly Bill 127, Article 13).

Your application received a score of 132 out of 170.

The California Department of Education has determined that the following items are not eligible for funding: Cabinet Vision software, continuing education, and tooling for all machines. Please revise the Form C based on the noted ineligible equipment and submit it to the Office of Public School Construction as part of your CTE Facilities Program application. If the district feels that certain items within the "tooling for all machines" are not supplies and would meet a ten year life span, please submit a detail list of all the items within the category. This list must be received no later than Friday, February 26, 2010. You may fax this list to the attention of John Gordon at 916-323-5726.

This letter does not constitute funding approval. The next step is to submit an Application for CTE Facilities Funding (Form SAB 50-10) to the Office of Public School Construction (OPSC) for funding consideration no later than Wednesday, March 31st, 2010. Be sure to include a copy of this approval letter as part of your application to the OPSC. The scope and budget of the project submitted to

OPSC must be consistent with the application approved by the California Department of Education (CDE). For more information, you may contact your OPSC Project Manager at 916-445-3160, or go to the OPSC Web site at <http://www.opsc.dgs.ca.gov>.

If your application is selected to be funded, you will need to submit the appropriate applications and architectural plans to the School Facilities Planning Division and the Division of the State Architect for approval before obtaining the CTE funds from the OPSC. More information on CDE's plan review process is available on our website at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ls/fa/st/planreview.asp>.

You may appeal the score results. A letter from the district superintendent shall be required indicating the nature of the appeal. Appeals must be specific to the Element in question and may only address documentation that the applicant felt was overlooked. No new or additional information may be submitted as a basis of the appeal. All appeals must be received (not postmarked) by 5:00 p.m., Friday, February 19, 2010.

If you wish to file an appeal, submit a letter to:

Career Technical Education Application Review Team
School Facilities Planning Division
California Department of Education
1430 N Street, Suite 1430
Sacramento, CA 95814

If you have questions or need further assistance regarding the application process, please call Fred Yeager, School Facilities Planning, at 916-322-2470. If you have questions specific to the CTE portion of the process, please call Dennis Guido, SPALD/ROCP-WD, at 916-322-5050.

Sincerely,



Patrick Ainsworth, Ed.D.
Assistant Superintendent and Director
Secondary, Postsecondary,
Division
and Adult Leadership Division



Kathleen J. Moore
Director
School Facilities Planning

PA/KJM:jg

Application Log No. 739