

December 9, 2016

Application No.: 57/68130-00-012
School: Mt. Miguel High
County of San Diego

Mr. Scott Patterson
District Representative
Grossmont Union High School District
PO Box 1043
La Mesa, CA 91944

Dear Mr. Patterson:

The Office of Public School Construction (OPSC) has received concurrence from the district regarding review findings for Mt. Miguel High, OPSC application number 57/68130-00-012. The project is considered closed. OPSC relied upon the fieldwork conducted by Office of State Audits and Evaluations (OSAE) of the district's project financing, expenditures, and certifications to ensure compliance pursuant to Education Code Sections 17072.35, 17074.25, and 17076.10 and School Facility Program (SFP) Regulation Section 1859.106. In addition, the OSAE review examined the district's compliance with applicable SFP Regulations in effect when the Funding Application was received by OPSC, dated August 4, 2010.

This is a modernization project that was funded on a 60 percent state share and 40 percent district share basis. The project incurred savings in the amount of \$357,288. As required by SFP Regulation, the district must submit expenditure reports annually until all project savings have been expended.

This final report includes the:

1. Signed Summary of Project Financing and Expenditures
2. State Allocation Board Apportionment Approval
3. Division of the State Architect Plan Approval
4. California Department of Education Final Plan Approval

In order to promote School Facility Program transparency, this report will be posted to our website.

Thank you for your assistance and cooperation during the review process. Should you have any questions concerning this report, you may call the Fiscal Services Unit at (916) 376-1771.

Sincerely,



RICK ASBELL, Chief, Fiscal Services
Office of Public School Construction

Enclosures

cc: San Diego County Office of Education
Ms. Katy Wright, Executive Director of Facilities Management
Project file

Summary of Project Financing and Expenditures

District Name: Grossmont Union High School
 School: Mt. Miguel High
 County: San Diego
 SFP Application Number: 57/68130-00-012
 LPP Application Number: N/A
 Date: 11/21/2016

Line			
1	LPP State Apportionment	1	\$ -
2	SFP State Apportionment	2	\$ 14,864,719
3	Financial Hardship Apportionment	3	\$ -
4	District Contribution	4	\$ 9,909,813
5	Interest	5	\$ -
6	Total Project Funding	6	\$ 24,774,532
7	Reported Expenditures	7	\$ 24,792,645
8	Reported Overspent	8	18,113
9	Grant Adjustments	9	\$ -
10	Total Review Adjustments	10	\$ 375,401
11	Revised Expenditures	11	\$ 24,417,244
12	Net Savings	12	\$ 357,288
13	Interest Due	13	\$ -
14	Total to be Returned to the State	14	\$ -

Explanations:

Line 10:
See Schedule 3

Line 12:
 The District has incurred savings of \$357,288 on this project. Please be advised that once the project savings have been expended on other high priority capital facility needs of the District, they must be reported to the OPSC on the *Detailed Listing of Project Expenditures-Savings*, pursuant to School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.103. Education Code Section 17076.10(a) requires the District to continue to submit expenditure reports annually, until all project funds, State share plus the District's required matching share, have been expended.

These are the final authorized amounts needed to bring the District's accounting records into compliance. Amounts shown supersede all authorized amounts shown on the Office of Public School Construction Fund Release documents.

ON BEHALF OF THE DISTRICT I CONCUR WITH THIS ANALYSIS AND REQUEST THAT THE OFFICE OF PUBLIC SCHOOL CONSTRUCTION CLOSE ITS BOOKS FOR THIS PROJECT.

Kathy W. J.
 District Representative

12.5.16
 Date

Schedule 3
Review Adjustments

District Name:	Grossmont Union High School
School:	Mt. Miguel High
County:	San Diego
SFP Application Number:	57/68130-00-012
LPP Application Number:	N/A
Date:	11/21/2016

	A	B	C	D
Line	Reported Amount	Verified Amount	Difference (A-B)	Comments
1	\$ 366,759	\$ -	\$ 366,759	Ineligible Construction
2	\$ 8,642	\$ -	\$ 8,642	Ineligible Furniture & Equipment
3				
4				
5				
6				
7	Total Audit Adjustments: \$		375,401	

Explanations:

OSAE disallowed a total of \$375,401 in expenditures for the following reasons:

Line 1

Expenditures of \$366,759 for construction costs incurred by the Maintenance Department were unsupported. The District was unable to provide copies of invoices and proof of payment due to the records being destroyed.

Line 2

Claimed expenditures of \$8,642 classified under furniture and equipment is unallowable since the District was unable to provide copies of invoices and/or proof of payment for the expenditures.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No: 57/68130-00-012
 School District: Grossmont Union High

County: San Diego
 School Name: Mt. Miguel High

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project: High School Pupils Assigned Under 50 Yrs K-6: 7-8: 9-12: 415 Non-Severe: 12 Severe: Financial Hardship Requested: No Alternative Education School: No	Application Filing Basis: Site Specific Pupils Assigned Over 50 Yrs K-6: 7-8: 9-12: 1714 Non-Severe: 52 Severe: 3 Recommended Acres: 49.7 Existing Acres: 44
--	--

ADJUSTED GRANT DATA

Modernization Grant - Under 50 Yrs	\$ 1,997,009.00
Modernization Grant - Over 50 Yrs	11,525,990.00
Fire Detection Alarm	239,301.00
Site Development	89,230.00
Accessibility/Fire Code Req.	405,690.00
Total State Share (60%)	14,257,220.00
District Share (40%)	9,504,813.00
Total Project Cost	<u>\$ 23,762,033.00</u>

PROJECT FINANCING

<u>State Share</u>	
This Project	\$ 14,257,220.00
<u>District Share</u>	
Cash Contribution	9,504,813.00
Financial Hardship	
Total Project Cost	<u>\$ 23,762,033.00</u>

HISTORY OF PROJECT COST AND APPORTIONMENT
--

	Fund Code	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
<u>State Share</u>				
Modernization/Additional Grant	957-570	\$	\$ 14,257,220.00	\$ 14,257,220.00
<u>District Share</u>				
Cash Contribution			9,504,813.00	
Total		<u>\$</u>	<u>\$ 23,762,033.00</u>	<u>\$ 14,257,220.00</u>

Pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009, this application has been approved and placed on the Unfunded List.
 This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future State funding.

The District shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.



State of California • Arnold Schwarzenegger, Governor
State and Consumer Services Agency

DGS

DEPARTMENT OF GENERAL SERVICES

Division of the State Architect – San Diego Office

11/14/2008 - APPROVAL OF PLAN(S)

MR. BOB COLLINS
GROSSMONT UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT
PO BOX 1043
LA MESA CA 92044-1043

Project: MT MIGUEL HIGH SCHOOL

Total Scope of Project: Construction of 1-Lunch Shelter per PC 107572 Alteration to 14-Classroom Buildings-Fire Alarm upgrade to 14 Classroom Buildings:100N, 100S, 200N, 200S, 300N, 300S, 400N, 400S, 500, 600, 700E, 700W, 800 & 900. Modernization to 7-Classroom Buildings : 100N, 200S, 200N, 300N, 700E, 800 & 900.

Increment #: 0
Application #: 04-109633
File #: 37-H4

Drawings and specifications for the subject project have been examined and stamped by the Division of the State Architect (DSA) for identification on **11/14/2008**. This letter constitutes the "written approval of the plans as to safety of design and construction" required before letting any contract for construction, and applies only to the work shown on these drawings and specifications. The date of this letter is the DSA approval date.

Approval is limited to the particular location shown on the drawings and is conditioned on construction starting within one year from the stamped date. The inspector must be approved and the contract information, including the construction start date, must be given to DSA prior to start of construction.

DSA does not review drawings and specifications for compliance with Parts 3 (California Electrical Code), 4 (California Mechanical Code), and 5 (California Plumbing Code) of Title 24. It is the responsibility of the professional consultants named on the application to verify this compliance.

Please refer only to the boxes checked below which indicate applicable conditions specific to this project:

- ☒ Buildings constructed in accordance with approved drawings and specifications will meet minimum required standard given in Title 24, California Code of Regulations, for structural, and fire and life safety.
- ☒ Due to the nature of the building(s), certain precautions considered necessary to assure long service have not been required. In the condition as built, the building(s) will meet minimum required standards for structural, and fire and life safety. The owner must observe and correct deterioration in the building in order to maintain it in a safe condition.
- ☐ Your attention is drawn to the fact that this application was submitted under the provisions of Sections 39140/81130 of the Education Code which permit repairs or replacement of a fire damaged building to be made in accordance with the drawings and specifications previously approved by this office. The drawings and specifications approved for the reconstruction of this building conform to the drawings and specifications approved under application # _____.
- ☐ These drawings and specifications meet the rules, regulations, and building standards in effect at the time of the original approval and do not necessarily comply with rules, regulations, or building standards currently in effect.
- ☐ Due to the nature of the poles, certain precautions considered necessary to assure long service have not been insisted upon. In their condition as built, they will meet minimum required safety standards; however, your attention is directed to the comparatively short life of wood poles. It will be the responsibility of the owner to maintain them in a safe condition.

Application #: 04-109633

File #: 37-H4

- ☐ Bleachers or grandstands constructed in accordance with approved drawings and specifications will meet minimum required standards for structural, and fire and life safety. The owner should provide for and require periodic safety inspections throughout the period of use to ensure framing and other parts have not been damaged or removed. On bleachers or grandstands having bolts, locking or safety devices, the owner shall require that all such components be properly tightened or locked prior to each use.
- ☐ This approval is for the part shown only since the drawings and specifications for the proposed work include only the portion of the building to be partially constructed on the subject site. It is understood that a separate application will be subsequently filed, together with drawings and specifications showing a plot plan and details of work necessary for completion. A contract for completion shall not be let before the written approval of such drawings has been obtained from the Department of General Services.
- ☐ The building(s) was designed to support a snow load of _____ pounds per square foot of roof area. Snow removal must be considered if the amount of snow exceeds that for which the building(s) was designed.
- ☒ This constitutes the written approval certifying that the drawings and specifications are in compliance with State regulations for the accommodation of the disabled which are required before letting any contract for construction. (See Section 4454, Government Code.)
- ☐ Your application for the construction of a relocatable building submitted under the provisions of Section 17293 of the Education Code is hereby approved. This approval certifies that the drawings and specifications are in compliance with state regulations for accommodation of the disabled, structural safety, and fire and life safety. This approval applies only to the drawings and specifications for the foundation system, anchorage of the overhead nonstructural elements, and site work related to this project. Documentation has been received indicating that the building was constructed after December 19, 1979, and bears a commercial coach insignia of approval from the Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD). Confirmation that the construction of the HCD building conforms to the appropriate state regulations is done by others. (See Section 17307, Education Code and Section 4454, Government Code.)
- ☐ Deferred Approval(s) Items:

This Project has been classified as **CLASS 3**. An Inspector who is certified by DSA to inspect this class of project must be approved by DSA prior to start of construction.

Please refer to the above application number in all correspondence, reports, etc., in connection with this project.

Sincerely,

for David F. Thorman, AIA
State Architect

cc:
Architect
Director of Facilities



JACK O'CONNELL
STATE SUPERINTENDENT OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION

October 26, 2009

CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF
EDUCATION

Grossmont Union High
PO Box 1043
La Mesa, CA 91944

Project Tracking No: 68130-89
Re: Mount Miguel High School
County: San Diego
Square Feet: 317,387
Grade Level: 9 - 12

Dear Superintendent:

Subject: Final Plan Approval - Modernization

The California Department of Education approves the plans with the title sheet date of April 15, 2008, for the above referenced project. The plans were received on October 14, 2009. The plans meet the California Department of Education's standards for educational adequacy (California Code of Regulations, Title 5, et seq. and Education Code 17251(c) and (d)).

It is the responsibility of the school district to meet all requirements concerning toilet facilities, drinking water supply, sewage disposal, food service facilities and other plan elements having primary health and safety implications. The plans should be reviewed by the local health agency having jurisdiction and a written approval should be secured and filed in the school district's records. If the approved project involves work on an existing school building, it is the responsibility of the school district to meet all Federal, State and local requirements relating to the identification, remediation and/or removal of hazardous levels of lead and asbestos containing materials before or during construction. It is the responsibility of the district to complete all of the mitigation measures identified in the documents submitted to the California Department of Education for review.

The school site for this project is 44 usable acres. This represents 88.53% of the California Department of Education's recommended site size of 49.7 acres, as contained in the California Department of Education's "Guide to School Site Analysis and Development(2000)," for the current CBEDS enrollment of the site and the student capacity added by this project as calculated pursuant to SAB Regulation 1859.83(d).

Based on the standards specified in Education Code 17071.25 and the number of teaching stations in the project, the student capacity of this project is 0.

The project as approved consists of:

Grossmont Union High
October 26, 2009
Page 2

PTN: 68130-89
Mount Miguel High School


Comments: This project consists of infrastructure sitework upgrades only, including technology, HVAC, plumbing, lighting and accessibility that affect the entire campus. Building and interior upgrades are included in a separate project modernization approval with a different project tracking number.

The district has certified that this project is either exempt from, or has completed, the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) process.

For projects to be funded under the Leroy F. Greene School Facility Act of 1998, funding requests to the State Allocation Board must be submitted within two (2) years of the date of this letter. If the district is not seeking financial assistance from the State Allocation Board, the project must commence construction within two (2) years of the date of this letter. Regardless of the funding source, if, prior to construction, changes are made to the plans that would affect or alter the California Department of Education's original approval (including but not limited to changes in surrounding land uses, the master plan capacity of the project, changes in code and/or regulation, or a subsequent CEQA determination), the plan may be subject to reevaluation using the most recent standards.

Please contact the consultant identified below if you have questions regarding this letter.

Sincerely,


Fred A. Yeager, Assistant Director
School Facilities Planning Division


Michael J. O'Neill, Consultant
School Facilities Planning Division
(916)322-1463

DP6257/P6473
cc: Architect