

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24

CALIFORNIA STATE ALLOCATION BOARD

PUBLIC MEETING

EAST END COMPLEX AUDITORIUM

1500 CAPITOL AVENUE

SACRAMENTO, CA 95814

DATE: WEDNESDAY, MAY 28, 2025

1 APPEARANCES: MEMBERS OF THE BOARD PRESENT:

2

3 MICHELE PERRAULT, Chief Deputy Director, Policy,  
4 designated representative for Joe Stephenshaw, Director,  
5 Department of Finance

6 JENNIFER OSBORN, Chief Deputy Director, designated  
7 representative for Ana Lasso, Director, Department of  
8 General Services

9 JUAN MIRELES, Director, School Facilities and  
10 Transportation Services Division, California Department of  
11 Education, designated representative for Tony Thurmond,  
12 Superintendent of Public Instruction.

13 ASSEMBLY MEMBER MURATSUCHI (teleconference location)

14 ASSEMBLY MEMBER QUIRK-SILVA (teleconference location)

15 JEREMY SMITH, Governor's Appointee

16

17 REPRESENTATIVES OF THE STATE ALLOCATION BOARD/OFFICE

18 OF PUBLIC SCHOOL CONSTRUCTION PRESENT:

19

20 REBECCA KIRK, Executive Officer

21 MICHAEL WATANABE, Deputy Executive Officer

22

23 REPRESENTATIVE OF THE DEPARTMENT OF GENERAL SERVICES,

24 OFFICE OF LEGAL SERVICES:

1

2

EPHRAIM EGAN

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

Transcribed by:

Antha A. Ward

QuickCaption, Inc.

County of Riverside

State of California

--o0o--

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24

TRANSCRIBED RECORDED PUBLIC MEETING

May 28, 2025

[GAVEL]

>> **CHAIR PERRAULT:** Okay. We're going to go ahead and call the meeting of the State Allocation Board to order. The time is 4:06. At this time, this meeting is being held in person at the East End Complex Auditorium, located at 1500 Capitol Avenue in Sacramento, California, 95814, as well as from several additional locations noted in the meeting agenda and noticed for anyone that would like to provide public comment in person.

This meeting is also being conducted by way of Zoom meeting for members of the public that would like to participate remotely. In order for this meeting to run efficiently for those participating remotely, please note the following guidelines. All attendees will be muted during the meeting. If any member of the public would like to make a comment, please use the raised hand feature in the Zoom meeting interface. I will call your name at the appropriate time and OPSC staff will request to unmute you. If you're calling into the meeting and not using a computer or the Zoom application on a mobile device, you will be unable to provide public comment.

1 Ms. Jones, will you please call the roll noting any  
2 members joining us from a remote location?

3 >> **LISA JONES:** Certainly.

4 Senator Laird.

5 Senator Perez.

6 Senator Choi.

7 Assembly Member Muratsuchi.

8 >> **ASSEMBLY MEMBER MURATSUCHI:** Here.

9 >> **LISA JONES:** And that is a teleconference location.

10 Assembly Member Davies.

11 >> **LISA JONES:** Assembly Member Quirk-Silva.

12 >> **ASSEMBLY MEMBER QUIRK-SILVA:** Here.

13 >> **LISA JONES:** And that is a teleconference location.

14 Juan Mireles.

15 >> **JUAN MIRELES:** Here.

16 >> **LISA JONES:** Jeremy Smith.

17 >> **JEREMY SMITH:** Here.

18 >> **LISA JONES:** Jennifer Osborn.

19 >> **JENNIFER OSBORN:** Here.

20 >> **LISA JONES:** Michele Perrault.

21 >> **CHAIR PERRAULT:** Here.

22 >> **LISA JONES:** And we have a quorum.

23 >> **CHAIR PERRAULT:** Thank you so very much.

24 Just to note, there will not be a closed session at

1 today's meeting. Therefore, we will go ahead and move on to  
2 the agenda.

3 Ms. Kirk, please proceed.

4 >> **MS. KIRK:** Thank you, Madam Chair.

5 The minutes from the April 23<sup>rd</sup>, 2025, State Allocation  
6 Board meeting are on stamped pages 1-11 of today's agenda.

7 I'm happy to address any questions, and request that the  
8 Board approve the meeting minutes.

9 >> **CHAIR PERRAULT:** Thank you so much.

10 Are there any comments or questions from the Board on  
11 the meeting minutes? Okay, seeing none. Are there any  
12 comments or questions from the public on the meeting  
13 minutes? Okay, seeing none. Is there a motion to approve  
14 the minutes?

15 >> **ASSEMBLY MEMBER QUIRK-SILVA:** Motion to approve.

16 >> **CHAIR PERRAULT:** We'll go ahead and move by Assembly  
17 Member Quirk-Silva. Seconded by Mr. Smith.

18 If you could please go ahead and call the roll.

19 >> **LISA JONES:** Assembly Member Muratsuchi.

20 >> **ASSEMBLY MEMBER MURATSUCHI:** Aye.

21 >> **LISA JONES:** Muratsuchi, aye.

22 Assembly Member Quirk-Silva.

23 >> **ASSEMBLY MEMBER QUIRK-SILVA:** Aye.

24 >> **LISA JONES:** Quirk-Silva, aye.

1 Juan Mireles.

2 >> **JUAN MIRELES:** Aye.

3 >> **LISA JONES:** Mireles, aye.

4 Jeremy Smith.

5 >> **JEREMY SMITH:** Aye.

6 >> **LISA JONES:** Smith, aye.

7 Jennifer Osborn.

8 >> **JENNIFER OSBORN:** Aye.

9 >> **LISA JONES:** Osborn, aye.

10 Michele Perrault.

11 >> **CHAIR PERRAULT:** Aye.

12 >> **LISA JONES:** Perrault, aye.

13 And that motion carries.

14 >> **CHAIR PERRAULT:** Okay. Thank you so much.

15 We're going to go ahead now and move on to the

16 Executive Officer's Statement.

17 Ms. Kirk.

18 >> **MS. KIRK:** Thank you, Madam Chair.

19 Today's Executive Officer's Statement is on stamped

20 pages 12 and 13 of today's agenda. I have several updates

21 at this time for the Board. Firstly, on May 14<sup>th</sup>, 2025,

22 Governor Newsom introduced the May Revision to his January

23 2025 Governor's Budget Proposal. There are several

24 proposals in the May Revision that are of interest to the

1 Board and the Office of Public School Construction.  
2 Firstly, the May Revision proposes to redirect \$177.5  
3 million in remaining general fund money that was previously  
4 appropriated in the 2023 Budget Act for School Facility  
5 Program projects back to the State's general fund due to a  
6 projected state budget shortfall in the upcoming fiscal  
7 year.

8       At the February 2025 State Allocation Board meeting,  
9 the Board had taken action to reserve these funds to  
10 support the ability to consider providing direct  
11 apportionments to school districts that were directly  
12 impacted by the January 2025 wildfires, if they submitted  
13 request for disaster assistance funding by August 31, 2025.  
14 The Board had also specified any amount remaining after  
15 that time would be available for other School Facility  
16 Program projects through the end of the calendar year. To  
17 date OPSC has not yet received applications for disaster  
18 assistance funding from those school districts that were  
19 directly impacted by the January 2025 wildfires. If the May  
20 Revision proposal is ultimately included in the enacted  
21 state budget these funds would no longer be available for  
22 School Facility Program projects. However, we do note that  
23 Proposition 2 Bond Authority is available to support  
24 requests both for disaster assistance funding as well as

1 additional School Facility Program projects.

2       The May Revision also includes proposed trailer bill  
3 language that would restore the Board's authority to  
4 provide existing excessive cost hardship grants that are in  
5 School Facility Program regulations. That proposed trailer  
6 bill language does include a retroactive provision that  
7 would make it applicable to applications submitted on or  
8 after October 31, 2024. Additionally, the May Revision  
9 includes a proposal for \$50,000, one time general fund for  
10 administrative costs for the Office of Public School  
11 Construction to implement recommendations from the  
12 California State Auditor's Office to engage in the  
13 stakeholder process and update the facility inspection  
14 tool.

15       Notably, the May Revision does not modify the January  
16 Governor's budget proposals that were of interest to the  
17 Board and previously highlighted. In part, the Governor's  
18 budget did include a proposal for funding and position  
19 authority for the Department of General Services, including  
20 the Office of Public School Construction to implement and  
21 administer Proposition 2. The May Revision maintains this  
22 proposal and also maintains the existing OPSC application  
23 processing rate of approximately \$1.5 billion in School  
24 Facility Program projects annually. All of these proposals

1 are still subject to change during the remainder of the  
2 budget development process, and I look forward to providing  
3 an update once there is an enacted budget.

4 Stakeholder meetings, we have held one additional  
5 stakeholder meeting focused on gathering input toward  
6 implementation of various provisions in Proposition 2 since  
7 we last met in April. On May 10th we held a stakeholder  
8 meeting focused on two aspects from Proposition 2. The 75-  
9 year-old Building Supplemental Grant and the Career  
10 Technical Education Supplemental Grant. Additionally, we  
11 presented information on Global Regulations Clean Up as  
12 well as the Second Round of Modernization funding, all of  
13 which are proposed regulations that we're in the process of  
14 developing for future presentation to the Board for  
15 consideration.

16 Last week we also held a joint workshop on the  
17 upcoming Career Technical Education Facilities Program  
18 filing round that the Board authorized at last month's  
19 meeting. This workshop was hosted jointly with the  
20 Department of Education and the Division of the State  
21 Architect. I'm pleased to announce we had more than 160  
22 external participants participate in that workshop live. So  
23 we do have quite a bit of interest in that upcoming filing  
24 round.

1           Finally, we currently have a priority funding filing  
2 round underway that opened on May 14<sup>th</sup> and will close at the  
3 end of the day on June 12<sup>th</sup>. This filing period is the first  
4 opportunity for applicants that received an unfunded  
5 approval from Proposition 2 Bond Authority, including any  
6 unfunded approvals that the Board may approve today as part  
7 of the consent agenda to request apportionments from an  
8 upcoming statewide general obligation bond sale anticipated  
9 in the fall. School districts wishing to participate in  
10 this process have the opportunity through June 12<sup>th</sup> to  
11 submit a request to convert their unfunded approval to an  
12 apportionment and certify that they can meet fund release  
13 requirements within 90 days from the date of an  
14 apportionment. We encourage applicants to submit those  
15 requests through our electronic OPSC online system. Our  
16 next meeting is scheduled for Wednesday, June 25<sup>th</sup>. I'm  
17 pleased to address any questions. Thank you.

18           **>> CHAIR PERRAULT:** Thank you. Any questions?  
19           Assembly Member Quirk-Silva?

20           **>> ASSEMBLY MEMBER QUIRK-SILVA:** I'm looking at page 12  
21 and it says the May Revision proposes to redirect \$177.5  
22 million. I'm actually one of the budget chairs but not  
23 under education, so this is a big surprise. I'm just  
24 wanting to get some context because obviously the short

1 time I've been on this Board we've heard about some of the  
2 fires that have happened, I think it was Paradise or others  
3 and how long it's taken to actually get these facilities  
4 rebuilt. So if you can comment on that and what kind of  
5 impact and is there anything that happened in the Budget  
6 Subcommittee that might try to retain it or any efforts on  
7 trying to get legislative members to understand this?  
8 Because I think this would be quite an impact especially  
9 obviously with the fires that we've just had.

10 >> **MS. KIRK:** Thank you, Assembly Member, for the  
11 question. You are correct, the May Revision does propose to  
12 redirect the remaining general fund that was previously  
13 appropriated for the School Facility Program back due to  
14 the anticipated state budget shortfall. We do have  
15 Proposition 2 Bond Authority that is available and can  
16 support any funding applications that we may receive from  
17 the school districts that were impacted by the January 2025  
18 wildfires if they do come in with requests for assistance  
19 under disaster or requesting assistance under Proposition  
20 2's new authority for disaster assistance. The main  
21 difference would be really one of timing. We will  
22 prioritize any applications for disaster assistance that we  
23 receive. So OPSC remains committed to ensuring that we  
24 prioritize those requests for assistance in bringing them

1 forward to the State Allocation Board as expeditiously as  
2 possible for consideration. And then also as we receive  
3 potentially in the future applications from impacted  
4 districts that are looking perhaps in the longer term  
5 toward rebuilding and repair of school facilities that were  
6 damaged or destroyed in the fires, those are also  
7 prioritized under our existing regulations and practices.  
8 The difference really is that without general fund if we  
9 don't end up having that in the enacted state budget, the  
10 Board's ability would be to provide unfunded approvals, and  
11 the districts would participate in the existing priority  
12 funding process in order to have the unfunded approval  
13 converted to an apportionment as funds become available  
14 from state bond sales. So it ends up being a little bit  
15 more time in terms of the access to the actual fund release  
16 and cash proceeds, but the actual processing and bringing  
17 forward consideration of a request remains expedited and  
18 takes first priority among our workload.

19 >> **ASSEMBLY MEMBER QUIRK-SILVA:** All right. I  
20 understand. It just seems like it's a huge amount of money  
21 to take out of the budget. And then is this a loan to the  
22 general fund or is it just removed from this budget?

23 >> **MS. KIRK:** Our understanding from the May Revision  
24 proposal is that it is removed, that it does propose to

1 remove and permanently redirect that funding back to the  
2 State's general fund. And I apologize, I realized in your  
3 first question you also asked about any budget subcommittee  
4 actions. We have had Budget Subcommittee hearings last week  
5 in which the Education Subcommittees heard this proposal.  
6 No actions have been taken yet to date on the proposal.

7 >> **ASSEMBLY MEMBER QUIRK-SILVA:** Thank you.

8 >> **CHAIR PERRAULT:** Thank you.

9 Any other questions from members? Okay, seeing none.  
10 Are there any questions from the public on this item? Okay.  
11 We have no comments from the public. This is not an action  
12 item, so we'll go ahead and move on now to our consent  
13 agenda.

14 Ms. Kirk?

15 >> **MS. KIRK:** Thank you, Madam Chair.

16 Today's consent agenda is on stamped pages 15 through  
17 217 of the agenda. I'm pleased to address any questions the  
18 Board may have. And I request that the Board approve the  
19 consent agenda.

20 >> **CHAIR PERRAULT:** Thank you so much.

21 Are there any comments or questions from the Board on  
22 the consent agenda? Okay, seeing none. Are there any public  
23 comments on the consent agenda? All right. Seeing none. Is  
24 there a motion to approve the consent agenda?

1 >> **ASSEMBLY MEMBER MURATSUCHI:** So moved.

2 >> **CHAIR PERRAULT:** Moved by Assembly Member  
3 Muratsuchi.

4 Is there a second?

5 >> **JUAN MIRELES:** Second.

6 >> **CHAIR PERRAULT:** Seconded by Juan Mireles.  
7 If we could please have the roll call.

8 >> **LISA JONES:** Certainly.  
9 Assembly Member Muratsuchi.

10 >> **ASSEMBLY MEMBER MURATSUCHI:** Aye.

11 >> **LISA JONES:** Muratsuchi, aye.  
12 Assembly Member Quirk-Silva.

13 >> **ASSEMBLY MEMBER QUIRK-SILVA:** Aye.

14 >> **LISA JONES:** Quirk-Silva, aye.  
15 Juan Mireles.

16 >> **JUAN MIRELES:** Aye.

17 >> **LISA JONES:** Mireles, aye.  
18 Jeremy Smith.

19 >> **JEREMY SMITH:** Aye.

20 >> **LISA JONES:** Smith, aye.  
21 Jennifer Osborn.

22 >> **JENNIFER OSBORN:** Aye.

23 >> **LISA JONES:** Osborn, aye.  
24 Michele Perrault.

1 >> **CHAIR PERRAULT:** Aye.

2 >> **LISA JONES:** Perrault, aye.

3 And that motion carries.

4 >> **CHAIR PERRAULT:** Thank you so very much.

5 We'll go ahead now and move on to our financial  
6 reports.

7 Ms. Kirk.

8 >> **MS. KIRK:** Thank you, Madam Chair.

9 The financial reports are on pages 218 through 234 of  
10 today's agenda. I'm pleased to address any questions.

11 >> **CHAIR PERRAULT:** Thank you so much.

12 Are there any comments or questions from members of  
13 the Board on the financial reports? Seeing none. Are there  
14 any public comments? Okay, seeing none. This is not an  
15 action item, so we'll go ahead and move onto our last item,  
16 which is reports, discussion and information item.

17 Ms. Kirk, anything?

18 >> **MS. KIRK:** Thank you, Madam Chair.

19 The reports, discussion and information items are on  
20 stamped pages 235 through 280 of today's agenda. I'm  
21 pleased to address any questions.

22 >> **CHAIR PERRAULT:** Great.

23 Are there any comments or questions on the reports,  
24 discussion or information items presented in the agenda?

1 Seeing none from the Board, are there public comments?  
2 Okay, seeing none. This is not an action item, so we'll go  
3 ahead and just check if there are any other last public  
4 comments on items not agendized today. No public comments.  
5 Okay. With that, we will go ahead and adjourn at 4:20.

6 [GAVEL]

7 >> **CHAIR PERRAULT:** Thank you all for joining,  
8 appreciate it.

9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24

TRANSCRIBER'S CERTIFICATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA        )  
  ) ss.  
COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE        )

I, (Antha A. Ward), a person who has 23 years of demonstrated experience, do hereby certify:

That the proceedings herein of the California State Allocation Board, Public Meeting, were duly transcribed by me;

That the foregoing transcript is a true record of the proceedings as transcribed;

That I am a disinterested person to said action.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have subscribed my name on May 28, 2025,

\_\_\_\_\_

Antha A. Ward  
QuickCaption, Inc.