State Allocation Board Meeting Notice and Agenda

❖ ❖ ❖

Rebecca Kirk, Executive Officer
Office of Public School Construction
707 3rd Street, 3rd Floor
West Sacramento, CA 95605

Hybrid Public Meeting
Wednesday, August 5, 2026
State Treasurer's Office
915 Capitol Mall, 1st Floor Board Room
Sacramento, CA 95814
4:00 p.m.*

The upcoming meeting of the State Allocation Board will be in person and also conducted by way of Zoom meeting. This is consistent with Government Code Section 11123.2. The physical, publicly accessible in person location from which members of the public may observe and offer public comment is reflected above.

Interested persons may observe the meeting by going to Zoom Meeting at:

Join from a PC, Mac, iPad, iPhone or Android device:
https://us06web.zoom.us/j/83375525712?pwd=N1MJKxmDKAS32bmsvl3xJjQlSSSwtB.1
Passcode: 278568

Or Telephone:
Dial:
USA 213 787 0529 US Toll
USA 888 808 6929 US Toll-free
Conference code: 991990

The Board will meet in Closed Session pursuant to Government Code Sections 11126(e)(2)(A) and 11126(e)(2)(B).

General Information
(916) 376-1771

For further information, please contact your Project Manager.

*Meeting location and time subject to change.

Individuals who need auxiliary aids for effective participation are invited to make their requests and preferences known to Ms. Lisa Jones at (916) 376-1771 or OPSCCommunications@dgs.ca.gov five days prior to the meeting.

A copy of the 10-Day Meeting Notice can be found on OPSC's website.

August 5, 2026
Agenda

CLOSED SESSION

Pursuant to Government Code Sections 11126(e)(2)(B) and 11126(e)(2)(A), respectively, the State Allocation Board will meet in Closed Session:

1. to evaluate the risk of litigation based on potential further actions by the Board and OPSC related to rescinded apportionments; and
2. regarding pending litigation in the case entitled *Miliani Rodriguez, et al. v. State of California, et al.,* Alameda County Superior Court, Case No. 25CV150626.

SUBJECT	PRESENTER	PAGE
Call Meeting to Order	Chair	
Roll Call	Secretary	
Table of Contents		i – iii
Alphabetical Listing		iv – v
Minutes of June 24, 2026		1 – 8
Executive Officer Statement		9 – 11
CONSENT AGENDA		
SCHOOL FACILITY PROGRAM		
Resolution		12
Eligibility Approvals		13 – 32
Unfunded Approvals		33 – 91
Facility Hardship/Rehabilitation Program – Conceptual Approval		92 – 94
Amended Unfunded Approvals		95 – 98
CALIFORNIA PRESCHOOL, TRANSITIONAL KINDERGARTEN AND FULL-DAY KINDERGARTEN FACILITIES GRANT PROGRAM		
Resolution		99
Requests for Extension to Fund Release Deadline		100 – 103

NEXT MONTHLY STATE ALLOCATION BOARD MEETING – SEPTEMBER 23, 2026

* Unfunded Approval

August 5, 2026
Agenda

SUBJECT	PAGE
FINANCIAL REPORTS	104 – 121
ACTION ITEMS	
CHARTER SCHOOL FACILITIES PROGRAM UNFUNDED PRELIMINARY APPORTIONMENTS To provide unfunded Preliminary Charter School Apportionments for the Charter School Facilities Program for the projects listed on Attachment A.	122 – 182
PROPOSED REGULATORY AMENDMENTS TO THE SCHOOL FACILITY PROGRAM To present the State Allocation Board (Board) with clarifying language changes related to factors the Board may consider in determining whether to approve a single one-year extension of the time limit for a Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment, and minor proposed amendments to the *Application for Funding* (Form SAB 50-04) and the *Application for Small School District Program Preliminary Apportionment* (Form SAB 50-12) related to the Small School District Program.	183 – 271
WEED UNION ELEMENTARY SD/SISKIYOU 70/70482-00-001 To recommend a California Preschool, Transitional Kindergarten and Full-Day Kindergarten Facilities Grant Program project for rescission.	272 – 349
REPORTS, DISCUSSION ITEMS, AND INFORMATION ITEMS	
2026 Office of State Audits and Evaluations Report and Greater Program Accountability To provide information to the State Allocation Board about the recent report completed by the Office of State Audits and Evaluations, and to recommend actions that may be taken to enhance accountability in the School Facility Program.	350 – 421
State Allocation Board Three-Month Projected Workload	422 – 423
Dates for 2026 Calendar Year State Allocation Board Meetings	424
School Facility Program Unfunded List (Regulation Section 1859.95)	425 – 429
School Facility Program Workload List, includes the Charter School Facilities Program Workload List	430 – 451
Charter School Facilities Program Preliminary Apportionment Applications Submitted List	452 – 454

* Unfunded Approval

August 5, 2026
Agenda

SUBJECT	PAGE
Career Technical Education Facilities Program Applications Submitted List	455 – 458
California Preschool, Transitional Kindergarten and Full-Day Kindergarten Facilities Grant Program Applications Submitted List	459 – 461
Emergency Time Extension Request Log	462 – 463
School Facility Program Applications Received Beyond Bond Authority List (Regulation Section 1859.95.1)	464 – 478
Facility Hardship/Rehabilitation Approvals Without Funding	479 – 480

* Unfunded Approval

August 5, 2026
Agenda

School District	County	Category	Page No.
ANDERSON VALLEY UNIFIED	MENDOCINO	SFP - Mod.	Consent 92
ARCADIA UNIFIED	LOS ANGELES	SFP - Mod.	Consent* 33
ARCADIA UNIFIED	LOS ANGELES	SFP - Mod.	Consent 13
ARCADIA UNIFIED	LOS ANGELES	SFP - Mod.	Consent 15
BENICIA UNIFIED	SOLANO	SFP - Mod.	Consent* 33
BENICIA UNIFIED	SOLANO	SFP - Mod.	Consent 15
COLTON JOINT UNIFIED	SAN BERNARDINO	SFP - Mod.	Consent* 33
EDISON ELEMENTARY	KERN	SFP - Mod.	Consent 15
EL TEJON UNIFIED	KERN	FDK - New Constr.	Consent 100
ENCINITAS UNION ELEMENTARY	SAN DIEGO	SFP - Mod.	Consent* 33
ENCINITAS UNION ELEMENTARY	SAN DIEGO	SFP - Mod.	Consent 13
ENCINITAS UNION ELEMENTARY	SAN DIEGO	SFP - Mod.	Consent 15
FALLBROOK UNION HIGH	SAN DIEGO	SFP - Mod.	Consent 15
FRANKLIN-MCKINLEY ELEMENTARY	SANTA CLARA	SFP - Mod.	Consent 15
FRANKLIN-MCKINLEY ELEMENTARY	SANTA CLARA	SFP - Mod.	Consent* 33
FRESNO UNIFIED	FRESNO	SFP - Mod.	Consent* 33
FRESNO UNIFIED	FRESNO	SFP - New Constr.	Consent 15
FRESNO UNIFIED	FRESNO	SFP - Mod.	Consent 15
GREENFIELD UNION	KERN	SFP - New Constr.	Consent* 98
HEMET UNIFIED	RIVERSIDE	SFP - New Constr.	Consent* 33
HEMET UNIFIED	RIVERSIDE	SFP - Mod.	Consent* 33
HEMET UNIFIED	RIVERSIDE	SFP - New Constr.	Consent 15
HEMET UNIFIED	RIVERSIDE	SFP - Mod.	Consent 13
HEMET UNIFIED	RIVERSIDE	SFP - Mod.	Consent 15
HUENEME ELEMENTARY	VENTURA	SFP - Mod.	Consent 15
HUENEME ELEMENTARY	VENTURA	SFP - Mod.	Consent* 33
JURUPA UNIFIED	RIVERSIDE	SFP - Mod.	Consent* 33
JURUPA UNIFIED	RIVERSIDE	SFP - New Constr.	Consent* 33
JURUPA UNIFIED	RIVERSIDE	SFP - Mod.	Consent 15
LOMPOC UNIFIED	SANTA BARBARA	SFP - Mod.	Consent 15
LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	LOS ANGELES	SFP - Mod.	Consent 15
LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	LOS ANGELES	SFP - Mod.	Consent* 33
LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	LOS ANGELES	SFP - New Constr.	Consent 15
LOS GATOS-SARATOGA JOINT UNION HIGH	SANTA CLARA	SFP - New Constr.	Consent 15
LOS GATOS-SARATOGA JOINT UNION HIGH	SANTA CLARA	SFP - Mod.	Consent* 33

* Unfunded Approval

August 5, 2026
Agenda

School District	County	Category	Page No.
LOS GATOS-SARATOGA JOINT UNION HIGH	SANTA CLARA	SFP - Mod.	Consent 15
LUCERNE VALLEY UNIFIED	SAN BERNARDINO	SFP - New Constr.	Consent 15
LUCIA MAR UNIFIED	SAN LUIS OBISPO	SFP - Mod.	Consent* 33
LUCIA MAR UNIFIED	SAN LUIS OBISPO	SFP - Mod.	Consent 15
MORENO VALLEY UNIFIED	RIVERSIDE	SFP - Mod.	Consent 15
MORENO VALLEY UNIFIED	RIVERSIDE	SFP - New Constr.	Consent 15
MORENO VALLEY UNIFIED	RIVERSIDE	SFP - Mod.	Consent* 33
MOUNTAIN EMPIRE UNIFIED	SAN DIEGO	SFP - Mod.	Consent* 33
MOUNTAIN EMPIRE UNIFIED	SAN DIEGO	SFP - New Constr.	Consent* 95
PAJARO VALLEY UNIFIED	SANTA CRUZ	SFP - Mod.	Consent* 33
PAJARO VALLEY UNIFIED	SANTA CRUZ	SFP - Mod.	Consent 15
PERRIS ELEMENTARY	RIVERSIDE	SFP - Mod.	Consent 13
PERRIS ELEMENTARY	RIVERSIDE	SFP - Mod.	Consent 15
PERRIS ELEMENTARY	RIVERSIDE	SFP - Mod.	Consent* 33
POMONA UNIFIED	LOS ANGELES	SFP - Mod.	Consent* 33
POMONA UNIFIED	LOS ANGELES	SFP - Mod.	Consent 15
SANGER UNIFIED	FRESNO	SFP - New Constr.	Consent 15
SANGER UNIFIED	FRESNO	SFP - New Constr.	Consent* 33
SANGER UNIFIED	FRESNO	SFP - Mod.	Consent* 33
SANGER UNIFIED	FRESNO	SFP - Mod.	Consent 15
SAUGUS UNION ELEMENTARY	LOS ANGELES	SFP - Mod.	Consent* 33
SAUGUS UNION ELEMENTARY	LOS ANGELES	SFP - Mod.	Consent 15
SWEETWATER UNION HIGH	SAN DIEGO	SFP - Mod.	Consent 15
WALNUT CREEK ELEMENTARY	CONTRA COSTA	SFP - Mod.	Consent 15
WALNUT CREEK ELEMENTARY	CONTRA COSTA	SFP - Mod.	Consent* 33

* Unfunded Approval

OPSC PROGRAM CODES

SCHOOL FACILITY PROGRAM (SFP)

50 – NEW CONSTRUCTION
51 – FACILITY HARDSHIP NEW CONSTRUCTION
52 – SFP JOINT-USE
53 – CRITICALLY OVERCROWDED SCHOOLS
54 – CHARTER SCHOOL FACILITIES PROGRAM
55 – CAREER TECH NEW CONSTRUCTION
56 – OVERCROWDING RELIEF GRANT PROGRAM
57 – MODERNIZATION
58 – FACILITY HARDSHIP MODERNIZATION
59 – CAREER TECH MODERNIZATION

OTHER PROGRAMS

25 – STATE RELOCATABLE CLASSROOM PROGRAM
34 – AIR CONDITIONING AND/OR INSULATION PROGRAM
40 – DEFERRED MAINTENANCE PROGRAM
61 – EMERGENCY REPAIR PROGRAM
62 – INTERIM HOUSING NATURAL DISASTER ASSISTANCE
63 – NATURAL DISASTER ASSISTANCE (OTHER ASSISTANCE)
64 – MISCELLANEOUS LEGISLATION
70 – CALIFORNIA PRESCHOOL, TRANSITIONAL KINDERGARTEN AND FULL-DAY KINDERGARTEN FACILITIES GRANT PROGRAM NEW CONSTRUCTION
71 – CALIFORNIA PRESCHOOL, TRANSITIONAL KINDERGARTEN AND FULL-DAY KINDERGARTEN FACILITIES GRANT PROGRAM RETROFIT
92 – UNUSED SITE PROGRAM

Minutes

MINUTES

State Allocation Board
June 24, 2026

Upon notice duly given, the meeting of the State Allocation Board (SAB) was held at the State Treasurer's Office, located at 915 Capitol Mall, 1st Floor Board Room, in Sacramento, on June 24, 2026 at 4:00 p.m.

Ms. Michele Perrault, the SAB Chair, announced that this meeting was being held in person for anyone who would like to provide public comment in person. This meeting was also conducted by way of Zoom meeting for members of the public participating remotely. The meeting was physically webcast from the State Treasurer's Office, located at 915 Capitol Mall, 1st Floor Board Room, in Sacramento.

Members of the SAB were present in order to establish a quorum for the meeting as follows:

- Michele Perrault, Chief Deputy Director, Policy, Department of Finance (DOF), designated representative for Joe Stephenshaw, Director, DOF
- Ana Lasso, Director, Department of General Services (DGS)
- Abel Guillen, Deputy Superintendent of Public Instruction, Operations and Administration Branch, California Department of Education (CDE), designated representative for Tony Thurmond, Superintendent of Public Instruction
- Jeremy Smith, Governor's appointee
- Assembly Member Laurie Davies
- Assembly Member Darshana Patel (teleconference/remote participation)

Members of the SAB absent were as follows:

- Senator Sasha Renee Perez
- Senator Roger Niello
- Senator Christopher Cabaldon
- Assembly Member Sharon Quirk-Silva

Representative of the SAB/Office of Public School Construction (OPSC), was as follows:

- Rebecca Kirk, Executive Officer

Representatives of the DGS, OPSC, were as follows:

- Rebecca Kirk, Executive Officer
- Michael Watanabe, Deputy Executive Officer

Representative of the DGS, Office of Legal Services, was as follows:

- Henry Nanjo, Assistant Chief Counsel

With a quorum present, Ms. Perrault, Chair, called the meeting to order at 4:05 p.m.

The Chair recognized and welcomed Mr. Henry Nanjo as the SAB's Legal Counsel. Mr. Nanjo will temporarily represent the SAB until a permanent replacement for the SAB's former Legal Counsel has been assigned.

PRIOR MINUTES

A motion was made, and seconded, to approve the May 20, 2026 meeting Minutes. The Chair called for a roll-call vote and the motion carried per the following votes:

MEMBER	AYE	NAY	ABSTAIN	ABSENT
Senator Perez				X
Senator Niello				X
Senator Cabaldon				X
Assembly Member Davies	X			
Assembly Member Quirk-Silva				X
Assembly Member Patel	X			
Abel Guillen	X			
Jeremy Smith	X			
Ana Lasso	X			
Michele Perrault	X			
Total	6			4

Motion:

Carried _X__

Failed _____

EXECUTIVE OFFICER'S STATEMENT

The Executive Officer informed the SAB of the following:

Career Technical Education Facilities Program (CTEFP) Cycle 7

The seventh CTEFP funding cycle is currently underway. In March 2026, CDE sent Grant Application scores to applicants who submitted Grant Applications by the December 1, 2025, deadline. As previously announced, those applicants with a passing score from CDE for the seventh funding cycle must submit the *Application for Career Technical Education Facilities Funding* (Form SAB 50-10) to OPSC by **11:59 p.m. on June 30, 2026**. OPSC will present the seventh funding cycle applications to the SAB for funding consideration by December 2026.

Priority Funding Filing Round 31 Closed

The most recent Priority Funding filing period began on May 13, 2026, and closed on June 11, 2026. This filing period marked the third opportunity for applicants who received an unfunded approval under Proposition 2 bond authority to request apportionments from an anticipated Fall 2026 statewide general obligation bond sale. During this filing period, OPSC received valid requests for 159 unique projects, totaling $651.5 million in State funding. These requests are valid from July 1, 2026 through December 31, 2026. Requests were not submitted for three School Facility Program (SFP) projects and three Charter School Facilities Program (CSFP) projects. The SFP projects that chose not to participate will receive occurrences for non-participation. However, the CSFP projects are not subject to rescission after two occurrences of non-participation in the priority funding process.

Stakeholder Meetings

At the January 22, 2025 meeting, the SAB approved the set aside of $115 million from Modernization bond authority for a new Lead in Water Testing and Remediation Program established by Proposition 2. On June 11, 2026, OPSC held the first stakeholder meeting on this

EXECUTIVE OFFICER'S STATEMENT (cont.)

topic to discuss considerations and receive stakeholder feedback on implementation of this new program. At this meeting, 41 unique stakeholders joined on Zoom and in person. Stakeholders may submit feedback on this topic to OPSCCommunications@dgs.ca.gov until close of business on Friday, June 26, 2026. Staff will address those suggestions at the next public meeting on this topic.

Additionally, OPSC will hold another stakeholder meeting on June 25, 2026 to discuss the following subjects unrelated to Proposition 2:

- General Updates to SFP Regulations
- Potential Revisions to the Facility Inspection Tool

Details of upcoming stakeholder meetings will continue to be announced in email blasts to school districts and stakeholders.

OPSC Online Workshops

At its December 2025 meeting, the SAB approved regulations to require use of OPSC Online for all application submittals beginning on January 1, 2027. As previously announced, OPSC staff is conducting a series of open forum events to walk through various workflows and functionality in OPSC Online to assist school districts in preparing for this change. School district personnel and interested parties are encouraged to attend. Meeting details are on OPSC's Meetings webpage: https://www.dgs.ca.gov/OPSC/Meetings. Additional workshops will be announced in email blasts.

Regulatory Amendments to the School Facility Program/Proposition 2 Implementation

The SAB approved emergency regulatory amendments at its February 25, 2026 meeting to implement provisions of Proposition 2, related to the implementation of the new Small School District Program. The emergency regulatory amendments were approved by the Office of Administrative Law and became effective on June 15, 2026. As a reminder, the approved regulations specify that OPSC will begin accepting applications for Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionments for Modernization on November 2, 2026, and OPSC will begin accepting applications for Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionments for New Construction on January 15, 2027.

Next Meeting

The next meeting is scheduled for Wednesday, August 5, 2026. The time and location of the meeting will be determined and announced at a later date.

CONSENT ITEMS

A motion was made, and seconded, to approve the Consent calendar as presented. The Chair called for a roll-call vote and the motion carried per the following votes:

MEMBER	AYE	NAY	ABSTAIN	ABSENT
Senator Perez				X
Senator Niello				X
Senator Cabaldon				X
Assembly Member Davies	X			
Assembly Member Quirk-Silva				X
Assembly Member Patel	X			
Abel Guillen	X			
Jeremy Smith	X			
Ana Lasso	X			
Michele Perrault	X			
Total	6			4

Motion:

Carried _X__
Failed ____

FINANCIAL REPORTS

The SAB accepted the Financial Reports as presented.

ACTION ITEMS

Annual Adjustment to Maximum Level of Total Bonding Capacity for Financial Hardship Assistance

A motion was made, and seconded, to approve the staff recommendation by adopting the increase of 2.87 percent to the maximum level of total bonding capacity allowable for a school district to be deemed eligible for financial hardship assistance for the 2026-27 fiscal year using the 2026-27 Local Control Funding Formula Cost of Living Adjustment to be effective July 1, 2026. The Chair called for a roll-call vote and the motion carried per the following votes:

MEMBER	AYE	NAY	ABSTAIN	ABSENT
Senator Perez				X
Senator Niello				X
Senator Cabaldon				X
Assembly Member Davies	X			
Assembly Member Quirk-Silva				X
Assembly Member Patel	X			
Abel Guillen	X			
Jeremy Smith	X			
Ana Lasso	X			
Michele Perrault	X			
Total	6			4

Motion:

Carried _X__
Failed ____

REPORTS, DISCUSSION ITEMS, AND INFORMATION ITEMS

The SAB acknowledged the following reports:

- ***State Allocation Board Three-Month Projected Workload***
- ***State Allocation Board Meeting Dates for the 2026 Calendar Year***
- ***School Facility Program New Construction and Modernization Unfunded List as of May 20, 2026***
- ***School Facility Program Workload List of Applications Received Through May 31, 2026***
- ***School Facility Program Charter School Facilities Program Submitted Applications for Preliminary Apportionment (Form SAB 50-09) as of October 31, 2025***
- ***California Preschool, Transitional Kindergarten and Full-Day Kindergarten Facilities Grant Program as of April 30, 2026***
- ***School District Extension Requests***
- ***School Facility Program Applications Received Beyond Bond Authority List as of May 31, 2026***
- ***Facility Hardship/Rehabilitation Approvals Without Funding as of May 20, 2026***

ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business to come before the SAB, the Chair adjourned the meeting at 4:17 p.m.



ATTACHMENT A

Approved Consent Items on 06/24/2026

Program	Application No.	School District	County Name
SFP - Mod.	57/66431-00-034	ANAHEIM UNION HIGH	ORANGE
SFP - Mod.	57/66431-00-035	ANAHEIM UNION HIGH	ORANGE
SFP - New Constr.	50/69138-00-003	BUELLTON UNION ELEMENTARY	SANTA BARBARA
SFP - Mod.	57/69914-00-006	CASCADE UNION ELEMENTARY	SHASTA
SFP - Mod.	57/69914-00-000	CASCADE UNION ELEMENTARY	SHASTA
SFP - Mod.	57/61150-00-000	CASTRO VALLEY UNIFIED	ALAMEDA
SFP - New Constr.	50/67314-00-047	ELK GROVE UNIFIED	SACRAMENTO
SFP - Mod.	57/64022-00-000	KONOCTI UNIFIED	LAKE
SFP - Mod.	57/71993-00-006	LINDSAY UNIFIED	TULARE
SFP - Mod.	57/71993-00-008	LINDSAY UNIFIED	TULARE
SFP - Mod.	57/64733-14-006	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	LOS ANGELES
SFP - Mod.	57/64733-00-772	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	LOS ANGELES
SFP - Mod.	57/64733-20-025	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	LOS ANGELES
SFP - Mod.	57/64733-00-773	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	LOS ANGELES
SFP - Mod.	57/64733-40-006	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	LOS ANGELES
SFP - Mod.	57/64733-00-774	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	LOS ANGELES
SFP - Mod.	57/64733-00-775	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	LOS ANGELES
SFP - Mod.	57/64733-30-004	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	LOS ANGELES

ATTACHMENT A

Approved Consent Items on 06/24/2026

Program	Application No.	School District	County Name
SFP - Mod.	57/64733-61-011	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	LOS ANGELES
SFP - Mod.	57/64733-42-019	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	LOS ANGELES
SFP - Mod.	57/64733-00-776	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	LOS ANGELES
SFP - Mod.	57/64733-00-777	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	LOS ANGELES
SFP - Mod.	57/64733-32-027	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	LOS ANGELES
SFP - Mod.	57/64733-00-000	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	LOS ANGELES
SFP - Mod.	57/64733-12-000	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	LOS ANGELES
SFP - Mod.	57/64733-14-000	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	LOS ANGELES
SFP - Mod.	57/64733-20-000	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	LOS ANGELES
SFP - Mod.	57/64733-28-000	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	LOS ANGELES
SFP - Mod.	57/64733-30-000	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	LOS ANGELES
SFP - Mod.	57/64733-32-000	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	LOS ANGELES
SFP - Mod.	57/64733-40-000	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	LOS ANGELES
SFP - Mod.	57/64733-42-000	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	LOS ANGELES
SFP - Mod.	57/64733-61-000	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	LOS ANGELES
SFP - Mod.	57/68213-00-000	MOUNTAIN EMPIRE UNIFIED	SAN DIEGO
SFP - Mod.	57/65417-00-030	NOVATO UNIFIED	MARIN
SFP - Mod.	57/65417-00-000	NOVATO UNIFIED	MARIN

ATTACHMENT A

Approved Consent Items on 06/24/2026

Program	Application No.	School District	County Name
SFP - Mod.	57/67447-00-086	SAN JUAN UNIFIED	SACRAMENTO
SFP - Mod.	57/67447-00-000	SAN JUAN UNIFIED	SACRAMENTO
SFP - Mod.	57/69815-00-011	SANTA CRUZ CITY ELEMENTARY	SANTA CRUZ
SFP - Mod.	57/73957-00-007	SNOWLINE JOINT UNIFIED	SAN BERNARDINO
SFP - Mod.	57/68411-00-050	SWEETWATER UNION HIGH	SAN DIEGO
SFP - Mod.	57/68411-00-051	SWEETWATER UNION HIGH	SAN DIEGO
SFP - Mod.	57/68411-00-052	SWEETWATER UNION HIGH	SAN DIEGO
SFP - Mod.	57/68411-00-000	SWEETWATER UNION HIGH	SAN DIEGO
FDK - New Constr.	70/65615-00-003	UKIAH UNIFIED	MENDOCINO
Continued Use	91/75069-00-000	UPLAND UNIFIED	SAN BERNARDINO
SFP - New Constr.	50/75242-00-026	VAL VERDE UNIFIED	RIVERSIDE

Executive Officer Statement

2026-27 BUDGET ACT UPDATES

On June 29, 2026, Governor Newsom signed the Budget Act of 2026. The budget includes the anticipated expenditure of $1.5 billion in Proposition 2 proceeds in 2026-27. Additionally, on July 9, 2026, the Governor signed Assembly Bill (AB) 126, the education omnibus budget trailer bill, and AB 181. The bills include changes related to the State Allocation Board (Board) as follows:

AB 126 (Committee on Budget, Chapter 65, Statutes of 2026), Education finance: education omnibus budget trailer bill

- Sections 35 and 36 authorize the Board to withhold new approvals from applicants that default, or are projected to default, with the requirements of any program administered by the Board.
- Section 38 clarifies that the State Board of Education (SBE) does not have authority to waive statutes relating to the California Preschool, Transitional Kindergarten and Full-Day Kindergarten Facilities Program administered by the State Allocation Board.

AB 181 (Alvarez, Chapter 66, Statutes of 2026), Education governance: State Board of Education: Superintendent of Public Instruction: Education Commissioner: State Department of Education: transfer of duties

- Reorganizes the structure and duties of the SBE, California Department of Education (CDE) and Office of the Superintendent of Public Instruction (SPI). In part, the bill moves management of CDE from the SPI to a new, Governor-appointed Education Commissioner. The bill transfers many duties from the SPI to the new Education Commissioner, including membership on the Board.

OFFICE OF STATE AUDITS AND EVALUATIONS AUDIT REPORT

The Office of State Audits and Evaluations (OSAE) has completed its audit of Board programs funded by Proposition 51, which authorized $7 billion in general obligation bonds for K-12 school facilities in 2016, and General Fund appropriations for the School Facility Program (SFP) in the Budget Acts of 2021, 2022, and 2023. OSAE's primary audit objective was to determine whether OPSC's implementation and administration of these fund sources for the SFP complied with requirements under Assembly Bill (AB) 99 (Chapter 15, Statutes of 2017). OSAE's findings acknowledge that OPSC has implemented bond oversight and accountability measures to comply with certain aspects of AB 99, including the implementation and use of grant agreements prior to fund release. However, OSAE concluded that additional actions should be taken to strengthen the operating effectiveness and efficiency of the SFP.

OPSC is firmly committed to ensuring that SFP oversight is effective and occurs in accordance with applicable laws and regulations. As part of its continuing efforts to improve operations, OPSC will take appropriate actions to address the issues presented in the report. OSAE's report and OPSC's response are included as part of today's Agenda.

CHARTER SCHOOL FACILITIES PROGRAM PRELIMINARY APPORTIONMENTS

Proposition 2 authorized $600 million for the Charter School Facilities Program (CSFP). In the CSFP filing round held from July 3, 2025 to October 30, 2025, OPSC received 116 applications requesting approximately $2.78 billion in state funding.

Presented in today's agenda for the Board's consideration are the Preliminary Apportionments from the Proposition 2 funding round for 44 projects, totaling approximately $580.8 million. OPSC will present additional projects at a future meeting if additional CSFP bond authority becomes available from Proposition 2 and/or prior bond sources.

CAREER TECHNICAL EDUCATION FACILITIES PROGRAM CYCLE 7

The seventh Career Technical Education Facilities Program (CTEFP) funding cycle closed on June 30, 2026. OPSC received 178 applications from 80 applicants requesting a total of $370,169,452.00 in state funding, including seven applications with a cumulative total of $19,695,279.00 in requested loans. A list of the applications received during the seventh funding cycle is included as part of the Information Items in today's Agenda. Staff are currently processing received applications and will present successful applications to the Board for consideration by December 2026.

Additionally, OPSC recently distributed an email blast to remind prospective applicants that the eighth funding cycle will open later this year, as previously approved by the Board on January 28, 2026. The California Department of Education will accept Grant Applications between October 15 and December 1, 2026.

STAKEHOLDER MEETINGS

On June 25, 2026, OPSC held a stakeholder meeting with CDE to discuss the following subjects:

- General Updates to the SFP Regulations
- Potential Revisions to the Facility Inspection Tool
- CDE's Proposed Regulations for the Supporting Priority School Districts Program
- CDE's Proposed Regulations for the Certified Private Construction Consultant List

On July 30, 2026, OPSC held a stakeholder meeting to discuss the following subjects unrelated to Proposition 2:

- Site Development Regulation Updates
- Modernization Grant Funding for Facilities Previously Modernized with State Funds

Details of upcoming stakeholder meetings will continue to be announced in email blasts to school districts and stakeholders.

OPSC ONLINE WORKSHOPS

At its December 2025 meeting, the Board approved regulations to require use of OPSC Online for all application submittals beginning on January 1, 2027. As previously announced, OPSC staff is conducting a series of open forum events to walk through various workflows and functionality in OPSC Online to assist school districts in preparing for this change. School district personnel and interested parties are encouraged to attend. Additional workshops scheduled through December 2026 were announced in a recent email blast. Meeting details are on OPSC's Meetings webpage: https://www.dgs.ca.gov/OPSC/Meetings.

NEXT MEETING

The next meeting is scheduled for Wednesday, September 23, 2026. The time and location of the meeting will be determined and announced at a later date.

Consent

State of California
State Allocation Board

SCHOOL FACILITY PROGRAM

This Resolution of the State Allocation Board (hereafter referred to as the "Board") is applicable to the appropriate sections of the Education Code and is described and filed in the office of the Executive Officer and will be made available to all interested parties as the Resolution pertains to the documents attached hereto. Said documents were acted upon by the Board at its meeting on August 5, 2026.

WHEREAS, the Board has previously approved or determined to be approvable a number of projects for construction or modernization eligibility of facilities for school districts and is making apportionments and/or unfunded approvals for the grant amounts for projects that meet the Board's criteria for the apportionment of grants pursuant to Education Code Sections 17072.10, 17078.52, 17078.70, 17079 or 17074.10 or Board Regulations 1859.81.1 or 1859.83;

WHEREAS, Treasury Regulations Section 1.150-2 require the State (on whose behalf the Board is acting) to declare its reasonable intent to provide grant funding to school districts, in accordance with Board policy and law, for costs of the projects with proceeds of State bonds.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED:

1. This Resolution is adopted by the Board for the purposes of establishing compliance with Treasury Regulations Section 1.150-2 and this Resolution does not bind the Board to make any additional apportionment or bind the State to incur any indebtedness.

2. The Board anticipates that some or all of the school districts listed on the list of "Projects" referred to in this Resolution will pay certain capital expenditures in connection with some or all of the project's cost prior to the issuance of bonds by the State to pay for the grants for the projects. The reimbursement of such costs is consistent with the State's budgetary and financial circumstances, and in accordance with Board policy, as no other funds or accounts of the State have been budgeted or are available to pay the costs of the projects on either a short-term or a long-term basis.

3. The Board, acting on behalf of the State, hereby declares that it is the State's official intent to use proceeds of general obligation bonds and/or General Fund proceeds that may become available for such purpose, consistent with the requirements of law that are in effect at the time the funds are available, to provide grants, in accordance with applicable, laws and regulations.

4. This Resolution shall be continuously available for inspection by the general public during normal business hours at the offices of the Board at 707 3rd Street, 3rd Floor, West Sacramento, California, commencing within one week after the date of enactment of this Resolution.

5. Any eligibility determination does not constitute a commitment of future funding by the Board.

I, the undersigned, duly authorized as the Executive Officer of the State Allocation Board, do hereby certify the foregoing to be true and correct, and that this Resolution was adopted at a meeting of said Board on August 5, 2026 at Sacramento, California.

Rebecca Kirk
Executive Officer
State Allocation Board

SCHOOL FACILITY PROGRAM ELIGIBILITY ESTABLISHMENTS

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To present the Districts' requests for School Facility Program (SFP) eligibility approval.

DESCRIPTION

The school districts shown in the following sections have complied with State Allocation Board (Board) regulations regarding new construction or modernization eligibility determinations for the projects listed.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve the eligibility determinations for the districts/projects shown on the Attachment.
2. Provide that this approval of eligibility determination for the districts/projects listed does not constitute a commitment for future funding by the Board.
3. For purposes of any application for funding, provide that the district must comply with all requirements of law and regulations pertaining to the SFP.

ELIGIBILITY APPROVALS
MODERNIZATION

District	School Name	County	Application Number 57/	Justification Document Date	Site Baseline Eligibility K-6	Site Baseline Eligibility 7-8	Site Baseline Eligibility 9-12	Site Baseline Eligibility Non Severe	Site Baseline Eligibility Severe
Arcadia Unified	Arcadia High	Los Angeles	57/64261-00-000	05/20/2026	0	0	2,673	20	39
Encinitas Union Elementary	Olivenhain Pioneer Elementary	San Diego	57/68080-00-000	05/21/2026	552	0	0	18	0
Hemet Unified	West Valley High	Riverside	57/67082-00-000	05/05/2026	0	0	1,617	104	27
Perris Elementary	Enchanted Hills Elementary (AKA Enchanted Valley)	Riverside	57/67199-00-000	07/01/2026	105	0	0	2	0
Perris Elementary	Palms Elementary	Riverside	57/67199-00-000	06/05/2026	759	0	0	11	0

SCHOOL FACILITY PROGRAM ELIGIBILITY ADJUSTMENTS

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To adjust the baseline eligibility for new construction and modernization projects under the Leroy F. Greene School Facilities Act of 1998.

DESCRIPTION

The districts listed on Attachment A have received eligibility approval for new construction. There has been a change in their baseline in accordance with the California Code of Regulations relating to the Leroy F. Greene School Facilities Act of 1998, Section 1859.51.

The districts listed on Attachment B have received eligibility approval for modernization. There has been a change in their baseline in accordance with the California Code of Regulations relating to the Leroy F. Greene School Facilities Act of 1998, Section 1859.61.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve an adjustment to the new construction eligibility baseline determination as shown on Attachment A.
2. Approve an adjustment to the modernization eligibility baseline determination as shown on Attachment B.
3. Provide that this approval of adjusted eligibility determination does not constitute a commitment for future funding by the State Allocation Board.

KEY TO ADJUSTMENTS

NEW CONSTRUCTION ONLY

(a) Reduced by the number of pupils provided grants in a new construction School Facility Program (SFP) project and by the number of pupils that received a Preliminary Apportionment pursuant to Section 1859.140 or a Preliminary Charter School Apportionment pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.162.2.

(b) Reduced by the number of pupils housed, based on the loading standards pursuant to Ed. Code Section 17071.25(a)(2)(A), in a new construction LPP project funded under the provisions of the LPP pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.12 or 1859.13.

(c) Reduced by the number of pupils housed in additional classrooms constructed or purchased based on the loading standards, pursuant to Ed. Code Section 17071.25(a)(2)(A), in a modernization SFP project.

(d) Adjusted as a result of audit findings made pursuant to Regulation Sections 1859.90 and 1859.105.

(e) Increased/decreased by changes in projected enrollment in subsequent enrollment reporting years, except as provided in (j) below.

(f) Adjusted as a result of errors or omissions by the district or by the Office of Public School Construction (OPSC).

(g) Adjusted as result of amendments to the SFP Regulations that affect the eligibility.

(h) Increased by the number of pupils eligible for grants pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.82.1(b)(4)(A) or 1859.82.2(b)(4)(A).

(i) Reduced by the number of pupils housed in classrooms provided after the baseline eligibility was determined by the State Allocation Board, with 12 exceptions at Regulation Section 1859.51(i).

(j) For Small School Districts, following a 3-year period after the district's eligibility was approved by the Board, decreased by any reduction in projected enrollment, and by any increase in pupils in the latest CDE operational grant report per Ed. Code Sec. 42268.

(k) Adjusted for any changes in classroom inventory as a result of a reorganization election.

(l) For classroom loading standards adopted by the Board for non-severely and severely disabled individuals with exceptional needs.

(m) As directed by the SAB due to finding a Material Inaccuracy pursuant to Section 1859.104.1.

(n) Increased by the number of pupils that received a Preliminary Apportionment that was rescinded pursuant to Section 1859.148 or a Preliminary Charter School Apportionment that was rescinded pursuant to Section 1859.166.

(o) Adjusted for operational grant changes as determined/provided by the California Department of Education.

(p) For High School Attendance Area (HSAA) districts with Preliminary Apportionments within the 2002 or 2004 Critically Overcrowded School Facilities Account, adjusted in accordance with Regulation Section 1859.51(p)(1), (2), or (3).

(q) Adjusted by the difference between the Alternative Enrollment Projection for the current enrollment reporting year and the projected enrollment determined pursuant to Section 1859.42 for the current enrollment reporting year, or by the eligibility remaining from this calculation that can no longer be utilized if the funds made available pursuant to EC Section 17071.75(a)(1)(A) have been exhausted.

(r) Adjusted per Ed. Code Sec. 17071.75(b)(2) by the number of pupils housed, based on the loading standards per Ed. Code Sec. 17071.25(a)(2)(A), in any classroom(s) where title was relinquished to the School District receiving the transferred classrooms.

(s) Increased by the capacity of classrooms included in an approved application, with three qualifiers that must be met in Regulation Section 1859.51(s).

(t) Reduced by the number of pupils housed in additional Transitional Kindergarten Classrooms constructed, pursuant to Education Code Section 17073.16(c) in an SFP modernization project.

(u)(1) Reduced by the number of pupils housed in Portable Classrooms purchased for purposes of Interim Housing pursuant to Education Code Section 17075.20.

(u)(2) Increased by the number of pupils housed in Portable Classrooms purchased pursuant to Section 1859.51(u)(1) that are permanently removed from the School District within eight years of occupancy.

(v) Increased by the capacity of Classrooms that were destroyed or rendered unsafe to occupy due to a natural disaster for which the Governor declared a state of emergency on or after July 3, 2024, that the School District elected not to replace and, for those school districts whose classrooms were destroyed or rendered unsafe to occupy due to a natural disaster that occurred prior to July 3, 2024, that the School District elected not to replace.

KEY TO ADJUSTMENTS

MODERNIZATION ONLY

(a) Reduced by the number of pupils provided grants in a modernization SFP project or CSFP Rehabilitation project at the specific site.

(b) Reduced by the number of pupils housed, based on the loading standard pursuant to EC Section 17071.25(a)(2), in a modernization LPP project funded under the LPP pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.14 and 1859.15.

(c) Increased by changes in projected enrollment in subsequent enrollment reporting years.

(d) (1) Increased for additional facilities not previously modernized with State funds, that become 25 years old, if permanent, or 20 years old, if portable or, (2) as a result of audit findings made pursuant to Regulation Sections 1859.90 and 1859.105.

(e) Adjusted as a result of errors or omissions by the district or by the OPSC.

(f) Adjusted as result of amendments to the Subgroup 5.5 Regulations that affect the eligibility.

(g) For classroom loading standards adopted by the Board for non-severely and severely disabled individuals with exceptional needs.

(h) As directed by the SAB due to finding a Material Inaccuracy pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.104.1.

(i) Increased for facilities previously modernized with State funds, which qualify for an additional modernization apportionment pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.78.8.

(j) Adjusted as a result of the Reconfiguration of an existing high school under the Small High School Program.

(k) Decreased for facilities that were deemed eligible for modernization pursuant to Regulation Sections 1859.60 and 1859.61(d) and subsequently replaced, or will be replaced under a signed contract for construction or acquisition of facilities, in a project funded by the district without participation from the State.

(l) Adjusted as a result of replaced eligible portables funded with the Overcrowding Relief Grant, per Ed. Code Section 17079 et seq.

(m) Adjusted upon Board approval of the local school board resolution acknowledging that the buildings have been removed from K-12 use.

(n) (1) Adjusted for a Facility Hardship or Seismic Mitigation replacement project pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.61(l)(1) or, (2) Adjusted for a Facility Hardship or Seismic Mitigation replacement project pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.61(l)(2).

District: Fresno Unified
Attendance Area: Super HSAA - Edison, Bullard, Fresno
County: Fresno
Application Number: 50/62166-08-000

	Type of Adj.	K-6	7-8	9-12	Non-Severe	Severe
Current Baseline Eligibility		1,288	752	2,311	-1,019	36
Adjustment Per This Item	c	-25	0	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility		1,263	752	2,311	-1,019	36

District: Hemet Unified
Attendance Area: District-wide
County: Riverside
Application Number: 50/67082-00-000

	Type of Adj.	K-6	7-8	9-12	Non-Severe	Severe
Current Baseline Eligibility		2,550	-687	126	142	416
Adjustment Per This Item	e	2,299	269	-88	163	323
Adjustment Per This Item	i	-50	0	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility		4,799	-418	38	305	739

District: Los Angeles Unified
Attendance Area: District-Wide
County: Los Angeles
Application Number: 50/64733-00-000

	Type of Adj.	K-6	7-8	9-12	Non-Severe	Severe
Current Baseline Eligibility		-54,645	7,420	-30,185	5,775	-7,846
Adjustment Per This Item	c	-50	0	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility		-54,695	7,420	-30,185	5,775	-7,846

District: Los Gatos-Saratoga Joint Union High
Attendance Area: District-Wide
County: Santa Clara
Application Number: 50/69534-00-000

	Type of Adj.	K-6	7-8	9-12	Non-Severe	Severe
Current Baseline Eligibility		0	0	-41	-3	17
Adjustment Per This Item	c	0	0	-27	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility		0	0	-68	-3	17

District: Lucerne Valley Unified
Attendance Area: District-wide
County: San Bernardino
Application Number: 50/75051-00-000

	Type of Adj.	K-6	7-8	9-12	Non-Severe	Severe
Current Baseline Eligibility		-19	53	-202	-2	0
Adjustment Per This Item	e	328	124	240	-24	12
Net Baseline Eligibility		309	177	38	-26	12

District: Moreno Valley Unified
Attendance Area: District-Wide
County: Riverside
Application Number: 50/67124-00-000

	Type of Adj.	K-6	7-8	9-12	Non-Severe	Severe
Current Baseline Eligibility		1,714	-2,344	1,570	89	168
Adjustment Per This Item	c	0	-54	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility		1,714	-2,398	1,570	89	168

District: Sanger Unified
Attendance Area: District-Wide
County: Fresno
Application Number: 50/62414-00-000

	Type of Adj.	K-6	7-8	9-12	Non-Severe	Severe
Current Baseline Eligibility		706	343	-302	92	0
Adjustment Per This Item	e	1,393	1	-328	120	0
Net Baseline Eligibility		2,099	344	-630	212	0

District: Arcadia Unified
School Name: Arcadia High
County: Los Angeles
Application Number: 57/64261-00-000

	Type of Adj.	K-6	7-8	9-12	Non-Severe	Severe
Current Baseline Eligibility		0	0	2,673	20	39
Adjustment Per This Item	d1	0	0	216	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility		0	0	2,889	20	39

District: Benicia Unified
School Name: Farmar (Mary) Elementary
County: Solano
Application Number: 57/70524-00-000

	Type of Adj.	K-6	7-8	9-12	Non-Severe	Severe
Current Baseline Eligibility		50	0	0	-12	0
Adjustment Per This Item	d1	80	0	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility		130	0	0	-12	0

District: Benicia Unified
School Name: Semple (Robert) Elementary
County: Solano
Application Number: 57/70524-00-000

	Type of Adj.	K-6	7-8	9-12	Non-Severe	Severe
Current Baseline Eligibility		0	0	0	-11	0
Adjustment Per This Item	d1	181	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item	c	-52	0	0	11	0
Net Baseline Eligibility		129	0	0	0	0

District: Edison Elementary
School Name: Orangewood Elementary
County: Kern
Application Number: 57/63438-00-000

	Type of Adj.	K-6	7-8	9-12	Non-Severe	Severe
Current Baseline Eligibility		470	0	0	10	0
Adjustment Per This Item	c	47	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item	c	-3	0	0	9	0
Adjustment Per This Item	c	21	0	0	10	0
Net Baseline Eligibility		535	0	0	29	0

District: Encinitas Union Elementary
School Name: Capri Elementary
County: San Diego
Application Number: 57/68080-00-000

	Type of Adj.	K-6	7-8	9-12	Non-Severe	Severe
Current Baseline Eligibility		28	0	0	-28	0
Adjustment Per This Item	d1	17	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item	c	79	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item	g	-28	0	0	28	0
Net Baseline Eligibility		96	0	0	0	0

District: Encinitas Union Elementary
School Name: Park Dale Lane Elementary
County: San Diego
Application Number: 57/68080-00-000

	Type of Adj.	K-6	7-8	9-12	Non-Severe	Severe
Current Baseline Eligibility		8	0	0	-8	0
Adjustment Per This Item	f	-8	0	0	8	0
Adjustment Per This Item	d1	83	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item	e	-9	0	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility		74	0	0	0	0

District: Encinitas Union Elementary
School Name: Paul Ecke-Central Elementary
County: San Diego
Application Number: 57/68080-00-000

	Type of Adj.	K-6	7-8	9-12	Non-Severe	Severe
Current Baseline Eligibility		0	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item	e	-38	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item	d1	73	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item	c	180	0	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility		215	0	0	0	0

District: Fallbrook Union High
School Name: Ivy High
County: San Diego
Application Number: 57/68122-00-000

	Type of Adj.	K-6	7-8	9-12	Non-Severe	Severe
Current Baseline Eligibility		0	0	54	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item	d1	0	0	67	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility		0	0	121	0	0

District: Franklin-McKinley Elementary
School Name: Sylvandale Junior High
County: Santa Clara
Application Number: 57/69450-00-000

	Type of Adj.	K-6	7-8	9-12	Non-Severe	Severe
Current Baseline Eligibility		0	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item	e	0	-27	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item	e	0	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item	d1	0	161	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility		0	134	0	0	0

District: Fresno Unified
School Name: Columbia Elementary
County: Fresno
Application Number: 57/62166-00-000

	Type of Adj.	K-6	7-8	9-12	Non-Severe	Severe
Current Baseline Eligibility		0	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item	d1	-23	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item	c	14	0	0	3	4
Adjustment Per This Item	d1	46	0	0	-3	-4
Adjustment Per This Item	c	12	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item	c	1	0	0	6	1
Adjustment Per This Item	d1	24	0	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility		74	0	0	6	1

District: Hemet Unified
School Name: Ramona Elementary
County: Riverside
Application Number: 57/67082-00-000

	Type of Adj.	K-6	7-8	9-12	Non-Severe	Severe
Current Baseline Eligibility		0	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item	e	30	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item	e	1	0	0	1	0
Adjustment Per This Item	d1	223	0	0	7	0
Net Baseline Eligibility		254	0	0	8	0

District: Hemet Unified
School Name: West Valley High
County: Riverside
Application Number: 57/67082-00-000

	Type of Adj.	K-6	7-8	9-12	Non-Severe	Severe
Current Baseline Eligibility		0	0	1,617	104	27
Adjustment Per This Item	d1	0	0	0	0	27
Adjustment Per This Item	c	0	0	305	-104	-54
Net Baseline Eligibility		0	0	1,922	0	0

District: Hueneme Elementary
School Name: Blackstock Junior High
County: Ventura
Application Number: 57/72462-00-000

	Type of Adj.	K-6	7-8	9-12	Non-Severe	Severe
Current Baseline Eligibility		0	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item	c	0	22	0	4	10
Adjustment Per This Item	d1	0	231	0	5	2
Adjustment Per This Item	c	0	13	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility		0	266	0	9	12

District: Hueneme Elementary
School Name: Williams (Fred) Elementary
County: Ventura
Application Number: 57/72462-00-000

	Type of Adj.	K-6	7-8	9-12	Non-Severe	Severe
Current Baseline Eligibility		698	0	0	8	0
Adjustment Per This Item	d1	0	0	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility		698	0	0	8	0

District: Jurupa Unified
School Name: Troth Street Elementary
County: Riverside
Application Number: 57/67090-00-000

	Type of Adj.	K-6	7-8	9-12	Non-Severe	Severe
Current Baseline Eligibility		0	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item	d1	565	0	0	15	0
Net Baseline Eligibility		565	0	0	15	0

District: Lompoc Unified
School Name: Crestview Elementary
County: Santa Barbara
Application Number: 57/69229-00-000

	Type of Adj.	K-6	7-8	9-12	Non-Severe	Severe
Current Baseline Eligibility		0	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item	i	440	0	0	30	1
Net Baseline Eligibility		440	0	0	30	1

District: Los Angeles Unified
School Name: Castle Heights Elementary
County: Los Angeles
Application Number: 57/64733-00-000

	Type of Adj.	K-6	7-8	9-12	Non-Severe	Severe
Current Baseline Eligibility		13	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item	e	51	0	0	1	0
Net Baseline Eligibility		64	0	0	1	0

District: Los Angeles Unified
School Name: Chapman Elementary
County: Los Angeles
Application Number: 57/64733-00-000

	Type of Adj.	K-6	7-8	9-12	Non-Severe	Severe
Current Baseline Eligibility		-5	0	0	-7	0
Adjustment Per This Item	i	42	0	0	1	0
Adjustment Per This Item	i	29	0	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility		66	0	0	-6	0

District: Los Angeles Unified
School Name: Chatsworth Charter High (AKA Chatsworth Senior High)
County: Los Angeles
Application Number: 57/64733-00-000

	Type of Adj.	K-6	7-8	9-12	Non-Severe	Severe
Current Baseline Eligibility		0	0	190	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item	i	0	0	89	4	4
Net Baseline Eligibility		0	0	279	4	4

District: Los Angeles Unified
School Name: Grant (Ulysses S.) Senior High
County: Los Angeles
Application Number: 57/64733-00-000

	Type of Adj.	K-6	7-8	9-12	Non-Severe	Severe
Current Baseline Eligibility		0	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item	n1	0	0	-324	-13	-2
Adjustment Per This Item	e	0	0	-83	-3	0
Adjustment Per This Item	d1	0	0	83	3	0
Net Baseline Eligibility		0	0	-324	-13	-2

District: Los Angeles Unified
School Name: Locke (Alain Leroy) Senior High
County: Los Angeles
Application Number: 57/64733-00-000

	Type of Adj.	K-6	7-8	9-12	Non-Severe	Severe
Current Baseline Eligibility		0	0	1,411	-3	0
Adjustment Per This Item	d1	0	0	185	15	0
Net Baseline Eligibility		0	0	1,596	12	0

District: Los Angeles Unified
School Name: Dahlia Heights Elementary
County: Los Angeles
Application Number: 57/64733-26-000

	Type of Adj.	K-6	7-8	9-12	Non-Severe	Severe
Current Baseline Eligibility		212	0	0	24	0
Adjustment Per This Item	c	19	0	0	-1	14
Adjustment Per This Item	d1	48	0	0	3	0
Net Baseline Eligibility		279	0	0	26	14

District: Los Angeles Unified
School Name: Shenandoah Street Elementary
County: Los Angeles
Application Number: 57/64733-30-000

	Type of Adj.	K-6	7-8	9-12	Non-Severe	Severe
Current Baseline Eligibility		0	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item	i	115	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item	i	17	0	0	13	6
Adjustment Per This Item	i	146	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item	i	233	0	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility		511	0	0	13	6

District: Los Angeles Unified
School Name: Banning (Phineas) Senior High
County: Los Angeles
Application Number: 57/64733-32-000

	Type of Adj.	K-6	7-8	9-12	Non-Severe	Severe
Current Baseline Eligibility		0	0	22	-109	2
Adjustment Per This Item	i	0	0	400	79	27
Net Baseline Eligibility		0	0	422	-30	29

District: Los Angeles Unified
School Name: Wright (Orville) Middle
County: Los Angeles
Application Number: 57/64733-61-000

	Type of Adj.	K-6	7-8	9-12	Non-Severe	Severe
Current Baseline Eligibility		0	137	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item	i	0	18	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility		0	155	0	0	0

District: Los Gatos-Saratoga Joint Union High
School Name: Los Gatos High
County: Santa Clara
Application Number: 57/69534-00-000

	Type of Adj.	K-6	7-8	9-12	Non-Severe	Severe
Current Baseline Eligibility		0	0	25	-25	0
Adjustment Per This Item	g	0	0	-25	13	0
Adjustment Per This Item	f	0	0	0	12	0
Adjustment Per This Item	d1	0	0	0	12	0
Adjustment Per This Item	c	0	0	581	-12	0
Net Baseline Eligibility		0	0	581	0	0

District: Los Gatos-Saratoga Joint Union High
School Name: Saratoga High
County: Santa Clara
Application Number: 57/69534-00-000

	Type of Adj.	K-6	7-8	9-12	Non-Severe	Severe
Current Baseline Eligibility		0	0	19	-4	-15
Adjustment Per This Item	g	0	0	-19	0	9
Adjustment Per This Item	f	0	0	0	4	6
Adjustment Per This Item	i	0	0	106	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item	e	0	0	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility		0	0	106	0	0

District: Lucia Mar Unified
School Name: Judkins Intermediate
County: San Luis Obispo
Application Number: 57/68759-00-000

	Type of Adj.	K-6	7-8	9-12	Non-Severe	Severe
Current Baseline Eligibility		0	19	0	-18	-1
Adjustment Per This Item	e	0	7	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item	g	0	-2	0	3	0
Adjustment Per This Item	f	0	-16	0	15	1
Adjustment Per This Item	d1	0	527	0	18	0
Net Baseline Eligibility		0	535	0	18	0

District: Moreno Valley Unified
School Name: Sunnymead Middle
County: Riverside
Application Number: 57/67124-00-000

	Type of Adj.	K-6	7-8	9-12	Non-Severe	Severe
Current Baseline Eligibility		0	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item	d1	0	262	0	30	4
Net Baseline Eligibility		0	262	0	30	4

District: Pajaro Valley Unified
School Name: Aptos High
County: Santa Cruz
Application Number: 57/69799-00-000

	Type of Adj.	K-6	7-8	9-12	Non-Severe	Severe
Current Baseline Eligibility		0	0	419	-39	0
Adjustment Per This Item	d1	0	0	382	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item	f	0	0	-39	39	0
Net Baseline Eligibility		0	0	762	0	0

District: Pajaro Valley Unified
School Name: Rio Del Mar Elementary
County: Santa Cruz
Application Number: 57/69799-00-000

	Type of Adj.	K-6	7-8	9-12	Non-Severe	Severe
Current Baseline Eligibility		155	0	0	-1	0
Adjustment Per This Item	d1	64	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item	c	-36	0	0	4	11
Adjustment Per This Item	e	-69	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item	f	-1	0	0	1	0
Adjustment Per This Item	e	21	0	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility		134	0	0	4	11

District: Perris Elementary
School Name: Good Hope Elementary
County: Riverside
Application Number: 57/67199-00-000

	Type of Adj.	K-6	7-8	9-12	Non-Severe	Severe
Current Baseline Eligibility		0	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item	d1	350	0	0	24	0
Adjustment Per This Item	d1	394	0	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility		744	0	0	24	0

District: Pomona Unified
School Name: Ganesha Senior High
County: Los Angeles
Application Number: 57/64907-00-000

	Type of Adj.	K-6	7-8	9-12	Non-Severe	Severe
Current Baseline Eligibility		0	0	284	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item	d1	0	0	966	111	11
Net Baseline Eligibility		0	0	1,250	111	11

District: Pomona Unified
School Name: Pomona Senior High
County: Los Angeles
Application Number: 57/64907-00-000

	Type of Adj.	K-6	7-8	9-12	Non-Severe	Severe
Current Baseline Eligibility		0	0	297	13	9
Adjustment Per This Item	d1	0	0	647	110	40
Net Baseline Eligibility		0	0	944	123	49

District: Sanger Unified
School Name: Lone Star Elementary
County: Fresno
Application Number: 57/62414-00-000

	Type of Adj.	K-6	7-8	9-12	Non-Severe	Severe
Current Baseline Eligibility		264	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item	c	60	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item	c	93	0	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility		417	0	0	0	0

District: Sanger Unified
School Name: Washington Academic Middle
County: Fresno
Application Number: 57/62414-00-000

	Type of Adj.	K-6	7-8	9-12	Non-Severe	Severe
Current Baseline Eligibility		0	159	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item	d1	0	760	0	10	0
Net Baseline Eligibility		0	919	0	10	0

District: Saugus Union Elementary
School Name: Charles Helmers Elementary
County: Los Angeles
Application Number: 57/64998-00-000

	Type of Adj.	K-6	7-8	9-12	Non-Severe	Severe
Current Baseline Eligibility		25	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item	d1	50	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item	c	0	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item	d1	53	0	0	28	0
Net Baseline Eligibility		128	0	0	28	0

District: Saugus Union Elementary
School Name: Foster (James) Elementary
County: Los Angeles
Application Number: 57/64998-00-000

	Type of Adj.	K-6	7-8	9-12	Non-Severe	Severe
Current Baseline Eligibility		485	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item	d1	0	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item	c	17	0	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility		502	0	0	0	0

District: Sweetwater Union High
School Name: Bonita Vista High
County: San Diego
Application Number: 57/68411-00-000

	Type of Adj.	K-6	7-8	9-12	Non-Severe	Severe
Current Baseline Eligibility		0	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item	i	0	0	46	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility		0	0	46	0	0

District: Walnut Creek Elementary
School Name: Parkmead Elementary
County: Contra Costa
Application Number: 57/61812-00-000

	Type of Adj.	K-6	7-8	9-12	Non-Severe	Severe
Current Baseline Eligibility		0	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item	g	0	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item	d1	87	0	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility		87	0	0	0	0

SCHOOL FACILITY PROGRAM UNFUNDED APPROVALS

(Lack of AB 55 Loans)

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To present to the State Allocation Board (Board) a list of School Facility Program (SFP) applications for unfunded approval.

DESCRIPTION

The projects listed on the Attachment represent SFP New Construction, Modernization, Charter School and/or Overcrowding Relief Grant projects in date order of a complete application being received by the Office of Public School Construction (OPSC).

AUTHORITY

SFP Regulation Section 1859.2 defines an Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans) as an information list of unfunded projects that was created due to the State's inability to provide interim financing from the Pooled Money Investment Account (AB 55 loans) to fund school construction projects as declared in the Department of Finance Budget Letter #33 issued on December 18, 2008.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.95 states:

"When the Board has no funds to apportion …, the Board will also accept and process applications for apportionment for purposes of developing an Unfunded List based on the date the application is Ready for Apportionment, with the exception of New Construction funding applications that utilize eligibility generated by the Alternative Enrollment Projection.

. . .

An application for funding included on an Unfunded List is eligible for reimbursement subject to adjustments in the New Construction Grants amount pursuant to Section 1859.77."

BACKGROUND

At the March 11, 2009 SAB meeting, the Board requested that Staff continue to process applications to the Board for unfunded approval, up to the bonding authority. The Attachment reflects applications being presented for unfunded approval, including SFP modernization and new construction projects in date order of a complete application being received by the OPSC. The list also includes health and safety facility hardship applications for funding that have been processed and are ready for approval regardless of received date. The unfunded approval of the applications as reflected on the Attachment does not constitute a guarantee of future funding.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve the SFP applications as presented on the Attachment.
2. Provide that these unfunded approvals do not constitute a guarantee of future funding.

ATTACHMENT
Unfunded Approvals
(Lack of AB 55 Loans)
State Allocation Board Meeting, August 5, 2026

County	District	School Site	Application Number	Received Date	Grant Amount
RIVERSIDE	JURUPA UNIFIED	TROTH STREET ELEMENTARY	50/67090-00-020	5/3/2023	$ 6,262,171.00
RIVERSIDE	JURUPA UNIFIED	TROTH STREET ELEMENTARY	57/67090-00-018	5/3/2023	$ 4,410,292.00
SANTA CLARA	LOS GATOS-SARATOGA JOINT UNION HIGH	SARATOGA HIGH	57/69534-00-003	5/18/2023	$ 1,384,713.00
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	FRANKLIN (BENJAMIN) SENIOR HIGH	57/64733-00-778	6/6/2023	$ 10,278,859.00
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	BONITA STREET ELEMENTARY	57/64733-54-029	6/6/2023	$ 2,056,529.00
LOS ANGELES	POMONA UNIFIED	POMONA SENIOR HIGH	57/64907-00-052	6/7/2023	$ 9,662,437.00
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	DAHLIA HEIGHTS ELEMENTARY	57/64733-26-002	6/8/2023	$ 4,461,893.00
SANTA CRUZ	PAJARO VALLEY UNIFIED	RIO DEL MAR ELEMENTARY	57/69799-00-028	6/8/2023	$ 1,402,049.00
SANTA CRUZ	PAJARO VALLEY UNIFIED	APTOS HIGH	57/69799-00-029	6/8/2023	$ 1,774,726.00
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	CASTLE HEIGHTS ELEMENTARY	57/64733-00-779	6/9/2023	$ 787,054.00
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	SEVENTY-FOURTH STREET ELEMENTARY	57/64733-00-780	6/14/2023	$ 807,896.00
RIVERSIDE	HEMET UNIFIED	RAMONA ELEMENTARY	50/67082-00-019	6/23/2023	$ 5,137,329.00
RIVERSIDE	HEMET UNIFIED	RAMONA ELEMENTARY	57/67082-00-013	6/23/2023	$ 2,478,142.00
LOS ANGELES	POMONA UNIFIED	GANESHA SENIOR HIGH	57/64907-00-053	6/27/2023	$ 7,013,572.00
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	SOUTH GATE JUNIOR HIGH	57/64733-16-013	6/28/2023	$ 1,654,577.00
SAN LUIS OBISPO	LUCIA MAR UNIFIED	JUDKINS INTERMEDIATE	57/68759-00-026	7/3/2023	$ 2,925,650.00
RIVERSIDE	MORENO VALLEY UNIFIED	SUNNYMEAD MIDDLE	57/67124-00-029	7/5/2023	$ 2,343,707.00
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	HADDON AVENUE ELEMENTARY	57/64733-28-018	7/6/2023	$ 2,475,582.00
LOS ANGELES	SAUGUS UNION ELEMENTARY	CHARLES HELMERS ELEMENTARY	57/64998-00-012	7/7/2023	$ 1,458,518.00
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	WONDERLAND AVENUE ELEMENTARY	57/64733-00-781	7/10/2023	$ 2,980,579.00
SANTA CLARA	FRANKLIN-MCKINLEY ELEMENTARY	SYLVANDALE JUNIOR HIGH	57/69450-00-015	7/13/2023	$ 1,316,516.00
SAN DIEGO	ENCINITAS UNION ELEMENTARY	CAPRI ELEMENTARY	57/68080-00-006	7/14/2023	$ 958,382.00
SOLANO	BENICIA UNIFIED	FARMAR (MARY) ELEMENTARY	57/70524-00-021	7/14/2023	$ 769,010.00
SOLANO	BENICIA UNIFIED	SEMPLE (ROBERT) ELEMENTARY	57/70524-00-022	7/14/2023	$ 675,329.00
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	LOWMAN (CHARLES LEROY) SPECIAL EDUCATION CTR.	57/64733-00-782	7/17/2023	$ 1,223,210.00
SANTA CLARA	LOS GATOS-SARATOGA JOINT UNION HIGH	LOS GATOS HIGH	57/69534-00-004	7/17/2023	$ 1,301,710.00
FRESNO	SANGER UNIFIED	CENTERVILLE ELEMENTARY	50/62414-00-036	7/18/2023	$ 657,011.00
FRESNO	SANGER UNIFIED	LONE STAR ELEMENTARY	57/62414-00-020	7/18/2023	$ 1,352,742.00
FRESNO	SANGER UNIFIED	WASHINGTON ACADEMIC MIDDLE	57/62414-00-021	7/18/2023	$ 2,083,348.00
FRESNO	SANGER UNIFIED	JACKSON ELEMENTARY	57/62414-00-022	7/18/2023	$ 1,640,919.00
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	LOCKE (ALAIN LEROY) SENIOR HIGH	57/64733-00-783	7/18/2023	$ 6,519,953.00
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	FIFTY-FOURTH STREET ELEMENTARY	57/64733-00-784	7/18/2023	$ 2,744,989.00
LOS ANGELES	ARCADIA UNIFIED	ARCADIA HIGH	57/64261-00-011	7/20/2023	$ 26,001,019.00
CONTRA COSTA	WALNUT CREEK ELEMENTARY	PARKMEAD ELEMENTARY	57/61812-00-008	7/24/2023	$ 818,192.00
RIVERSIDE	PERRIS ELEMENTARY	PALMS ELEMENTARY	57/67199-00-003	7/24/2023	$ 885,656.00
RIVERSIDE	PERRIS ELEMENTARY	GOOD HOPE ELEMENTARY	57/67199-00-004	7/25/2023	$ 855,763.00
RIVERSIDE	PERRIS ELEMENTARY	ENCHANTED VALLEY ELEMENTARY (AKA ENCHANTED VALLEY)	57/67199-00-005	7/25/2023	$ 730,591.00
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	JAMES J. MCBRIDE SPECIAL EDUC. CENTER (AKA MCBRIDE (JAMES J.) ELEM.)	57/64733-42-020	7/27/2023	$ 851,226.00
SAN DIEGO	ENCINITAS UNION ELEMENTARY	PAUL ECKE-CENTRAL	57/68080-00-007	7/31/2023	$ 1,966,052.00
VENTURA	HUENEME ELEMENTARY	WILLIAMS (FRED) ELEMENTARY	57/72462-00-009	7/31/2023	$ 2,613,556.00
VENTURA	HUENEME ELEMENTARY	BLACKSTOCK JUNIOR HIGH	57/72462-00-010	7/31/2023	$ 3,077,319.00
RIVERSIDE	HEMET UNIFIED	WEST VALLEY HIGH	57/67082-00-014	8/2/2023	$ 1,583,240.00
SAN DIEGO	ENCINITAS UNION ELEMENTARY	OLIVENHAIN PIONEER ELEMENTARY	57/68080-00-008	8/2/2023	$ 3,444,694.00
SAN DIEGO	ENCINITAS UNION ELEMENTARY	PARK DALE LANE ELEMENTARY	57/68080-00-009	8/2/2023	$ 531,790.00
FRESNO	FRESNO UNIFIED	COLUMBIA ELEMENTARY	57/62166-00-149	8/3/2023	$ 904,163.00
LOS ANGELES	SAUGUS UNION ELEMENTARY	FOSTER (JAMES) ELEMENTARY	57/64998-00-013	8/3/2023	$ 3,353,900.00
SAN BERNARDINO	COLTON JOINT UNIFIED	GRAND TERRACE	58/67686-00-004	10/8/2024	$ 1,034,001.00
SAN DIEGO	MOUNTAIN EMPIRE UNIFIED	HILLSIDE JR-SR AND SUNRISE CONT. (AT PINE VALLEY)	58/68213-00-004	11/25/2025	$ 13,888.33

Program	Amount (in Dollars)
New Construction	$ 12,056,511.00
Modernization	$ 128,560,044.00
Facility Hardship - Seismic Mitigation	$ 1,047,889.33
Total	**$ 141,664,444.33**

This page has intentionally been left blank.

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	Jurupa Unified	**PTN:**	67090 - 84
Application No:	50/67090-00-020	**County:**	Riverside
School Name:	Troth Street Elementary	**Filing Basis:**	District-wide

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
NC/Add. Grant	**902-500**	**2**	$	$ 5,962,347.77	$ 5,962,347.77
NC/Add. Grant	**951-500**	**51**		284,367.23	284,367.23
NC/Add. Grant	**955-500**	**55**		15,456.00	15,456.00
Applicant Share					
District Contribution				6,262,171.00	
Total			$ 0.00	$ 12,524,342.00	$ 6,262,171.00

Funding Sources: **Prop. 55 Bonds/2004-Mar.; Prop. 51 Bonds/2016-Nov.; Prop. 2 Bonds/2024-Nov.**

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:		Elementary School
Pupils Assigned:	**K-6:**	250
	Severe:	9
Number of Classrooms:		11
Addition to Existing Site:		No
Master Plan Acres:		10.6
Recommended Acres:		10.5
Existing Acres:		10.0
Proposed Acres:		0.0
Local Funding Adjustment Points		N/A

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

Automatic Sprinkler System	$	76,321.00
Base Grant	$	4,517,794.00
Fire Detection	$	5,738.00
Service Site Development	$	1,661,293.00
Utilities	$	1,025.00
Subtotal/State Share (50%)		6,262,171.00
Subtotal/Applicant Share (50%)		6,262,171.00
Subtotal - Total Project Cost	$	**12,524,342.00**
Local Funding Adjustment	$	0.00
Total State Share (50%)		6,262,171.00
Total Applicant Share (50%)		6,262,171.00
Total Project Cost	$	**12,524,342.00**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement for the project prior to or concurrent with a valid Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05). Failure to submit an executed Grant Agreement and valid Form SAB 50-05 prior to the deadline shall result in the Apportionment being rescinded without further board action.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

This application is placed on the Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans) pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009. This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future state funding.

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	Jurupa Unified	**PTN:**	67090 - 84
Application No:	57/67090-00-018	**County:**	Riverside
School Name:	Troth Street Elementary		

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
Mod/Add. Grant	**902-570**	**2**	**$**	$ 4,307,220.22	**$** 4,307,220.22
Mod/Add. Grant	**951-570**	**51**		103,071.78	103,071.78
Applicant Share					
District Contribution				2,940,194.67	
Total			**$ 0.00**	**$ 7,350,486.67**	**$ 4,410,292.00**

Funding Sources: **Proposition 51 Bonds/2016-Nov.; Propostion 2 Bonds/2024-Nov.**

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:		Elementary School
Pupils Assigned Under 50 Yrs:	**K-6:**	397
	Non-Severe:	10
Pupils Assigned Over 50 Yrs:	**K-6:**	168
	Non-Severe:	5
Recommended Acres:		10.5
Existing Acres:		10.0
Local Funding Adjustment Points		N/A

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

Accessibility Fire Grant	**$**	124,951.00
Base Grant - Over 50Yr	**$**	1,550,918.00
Base Grant - Under 50Yr	**$**	2,614,103.00
Fire Detection	**$**	120,320.00
Subtotal/State Share (60%)		4,410,292.00
Subtotal/Applicant Share (40%)		2,940,194.67
Subtotal - Total Project Cost	**$**	**7,350,486.67**
Local Funding Adjustment	**$**	**0.00**
Total State Share (60%)		4,410,292.00
Total Applicant Share (40%)		2,940,194.67
Total Project Cost	**$**	**7,350,486.67**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement for the project prior to or concurrent with a valid Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05). Failure to submit an executed Grant Agreement and valid Form SAB 50-05 prior to the deadline shall result in the Apportionment being rescinded without further board action.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

This application is placed on the Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans) pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009. This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future state funding.

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	Los Gatos-Saratoga Joint Union High	**PTN:**	69534 - 45, 60
Application No:	57/69534-00-003	**County:**	Santa Clara
School Name:	Saratoga High		

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
Mod/Add. Grant	**902-570**	**2**	$	$ 1,384,713.00	$ 1,384,713.00
Applicant Share					
District Contribution				923,142.00	
Total			$ **0.00**	$ **2,307,855.00**	$ **1,384,713.00**
Funding Source:	**Proposition 2 Bonds/2024-Nov.**				

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:		High School
Pupils Assigned Under 50 Yrs:		
	9-12	0
Pupils Assigned Over 50 Yrs:		
	9-12	106
Recommended Acres:		36.1
Existing Acres:		32.0
Local Funding Adjustment Points		N/A

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

Accessibility Fire Grant	$	38,220.00
Base Grant - Over 50Yr	$	1,274,014.00
Fire Detection	$	21,518.00
Small Size Project	$	50,961.00
Subtotal/State Share (60%)		1,384,713.00
Subtotal/Applicant Share (40%)		923,142.00
Subtotal - Total Project Cost	$	**2,307,855.00**
Local Funding Adjustment	$	**0.00**
Total State Share (60%)		1,384,713.00
Total Applicant Share (40%)		923,142.00
Total Project Cost	$	**2,307,855.00**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

This application is placed on the Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans) pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009. This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future state funding.

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement for the project prior to or concurrent with a valid Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05). Failure to submit an executed Grant Agreement and valid Form SAB 50-05 prior to the deadline shall result in the Apportionment being rescinded without further board action.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	Los Angeles Unified	**PTN:**	64733 - 5370, 5425, 5793
Application No:	57/64733-00-778	**County:**	Los Angeles
School Name:	Franklin (Benjamin) Senior High		

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
Mod/Add. Grant	**902-570**	**2**	$	$ 10,278,859.00	$ 10,278,859.00
Applicant Share					
District Contribution				6,852,572.67	
Total			$ **0.00**	$ **17,131,431.67**	$ **10,278,859.00**
Funding Source:	**Proposition 2 Bonds/2024-Nov.**				

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:		High School
Pupils Assigned Under 50 Yrs:		
	9-12	2
	Non-Severe:	0
Pupils Assigned Over 50 Yrs:		
	9-12	614
	Non-Severe:	40
Recommended Acres:		44.8
Existing Acres:		18.0
Local Funding Adjustment Points		N/A

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

Accessibility Fire Grant	$	244,112.00
Base Grant - Over 50Yr	$	8,119,746.00
Base Grant - Under 50Yr	$	17,306.00
Fire Detection	$	140,048.00
Urban / Security / Impacted Site	$	1,757,647.00
Subtotal/State Share (60%)		10,278,859.00
Subtotal/Applicant Share (40%)		6,852,572.67
Subtotal - Total Project Cost	$	**17,131,431.67**
Local Funding Adjustment	$	**0.00**
Total State Share (60%)		10,278,859.00
Total Applicant Share (40%)		6,852,572.67
Total Project Cost	$	**17,131,431.67**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

This application is placed on the Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans) pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009. This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future state funding.

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement for the project prior to or concurrent with a valid Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05). Failure to submit an executed Grant Agreement and valid Form SAB 50-05 prior to the deadline shall result in the Apportionment being rescinded without further board action.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	Los Angeles Unified	**PTN:**	64733 - 5635
Application No:	57/64733-54-029	**County:**	Los Angeles
School Name:	Bonita Street Elementary		

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
Mod/Add. Grant	**902-570**	**2**	$	$ 2,056,529.00	$ 2,056,529.00
Applicant Share					
District Contribution				1,371,019.33	
Total			$ **0.00**	$ **3,427,548.33**	$ **2,056,529.00**
Funding Source:	**Proposition 2 Bonds/2024-Nov.**				

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:		Elementary School
Pupils Assigned Under 50 Yrs:	**K-6:**	0
Pupils Assigned Over 50 Yrs:	**K-6:**	230
Recommended Acres:		9.7
Existing Acres:		6.26
Local Funding Adjustment Points		N/A

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

Accessibility Fire Grant	$	59,899.00
Base Grant - Over 50Yr	$	1,996,630.00
Subtotal/State Share (60%)		2,056,529.00
Subtotal/Applicant Share (40%)		1,371,019.33
Subtotal - Total Project Cost	$	**3,427,548.33**
Local Funding Adjustment	$	**0.00**
Total State Share (60%)		2,056,529.00
Total Applicant Share (40%)		1,371,019.33
Total Project Cost	$	**3,427,548.33**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement for the project prior to or concurrent with a valid Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05). Failure to submit an executed Grant Agreement and valid Form SAB 50-05 prior to the deadline shall result in the Apportionment being rescinded without further board action.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

This application is placed on the Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans) pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009. This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future state funding.

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	Pomona Unified	**PTN:**	64907 - 298
Application No:	57/64907-00-052	**County:**	Los Angeles
School Name:	Pomona Senior High		

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
Mod/Add. Grant	**902-570**	**2**	$	$ 9,662,437.00	$ 9,662,437.00
Applicant Share					
District Contribution				6,441,624.67	
Total			$ **0.00**	$ **16,104,061.67**	$ **9,662,437.00**
Funding Source:	**Proposition 2 Bonds/2024-Nov.**				

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:		High School
Pupils Assigned Under 50 Yrs:		
	9-12	944
	Non-Severe:	91
Recommended Acres:		35.5
Existing Acres:		37.28
Local Funding Adjustment Points		N/A

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

Accessibility Fire Grant	$	281,430.00
Base Grant - Under 50Yr	$	9,381,007.00
Subtotal/State Share (60%)		9,662,437.00
Subtotal/Applicant Share (40%)		6,441,624.67
Subtotal - Total Project Cost	$	**16,104,061.67**
Local Funding Adjustment	$	**0.00**
Total State Share (60%)		9,662,437.00
Total Applicant Share (40%)		6,441,624.67
Total Project Cost	$	**16,104,061.67**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement for the project prior to or concurrent with a valid Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05). Failure to submit an executed Grant Agreement and valid Form SAB 50-05 prior to the deadline shall result in the Apportionment being rescinded without further board action.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

This application is placed on the Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans) pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009. This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future state funding.

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	Los Angeles Unified	**PTN:**	64733 - 5951
Application No:	57/64733-26-002	**County:**	Los Angeles
School Name:	Dahlia Heights Elementary		

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
Mod/Add. Grant	**902-570**	**2**	$	$ 4,461,893.00	$ 4,461,893.00
Applicant Share					
District Contribution				2,974,595.33	
Total			$ **0.00**	$ **7,436,488.33**	$ **4,461,893.00**
Funding Source:	**Proposition 2 Bonds/2024-Nov.**				

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:		Elementary School
Pupils Assigned Under 50 Yrs:	**K-6:**	0
	Non-Severe:	2
	Severe:	1
Pupils Assigned Over 50 Yrs:	**K-6:**	279
	Non-Severe:	24
	Severe:	13
Recommended Acres:		9.5
Existing Acres:		1.92
Local Funding Adjustment Points		N/A

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

2 Stop Elevator	$	166,954.00
Accessibility Fire Grant	$	98,170.00
Base Grant - Over 50Yr	$	3,225,770.00
Base Grant - Under 50Yr	$	46,567.00
Urban / Security / Impacted Site	$	924,432.00
Subtotal/State Share (60%)		4,461,893.00
Subtotal/Applicant Share (40%)		2,974,595.33
Subtotal - Total Project Cost	$	**7,436,488.33**
Local Funding Adjustment	$	**0.00**
Total State Share (60%)		4,461,893.00
Total Applicant Share (40%)		2,974,595.33
Total Project Cost	$	**7,436,488.33**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

This application is placed on the Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans) pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009. This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future state funding.

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement for the project prior to or concurrent with a valid Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05). Failure to submit an executed Grant Agreement and valid Form SAB 50-05 prior to the deadline shall result in the Apportionment being rescinded without further board action.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	Pajaro Valley Unified	**PTN:**	69799 - 100
Application No:	57/69799-00-028	**County:**	Santa Cruz
School Name:	Rio Del Mar Elementary		

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
Mod/Add. Grant	**902-570**	**2**	$	$ 1,402,049.00	$ 1,402,049.00
Applicant Share					
District Contribution				934,699.33	
Total			$ **0.00**	$ **2,336,748.33**	$ **1,402,049.00**
Funding Source:	**Proposition 2 Bonds/2024-Nov.**				

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:		Elementary School
Pupils Assigned Under 50 Yrs:	**K-6:**	0
	Non-Severe:	0
	Severe:	2
Pupils Assigned Over 50 Yrs:	**K-6:**	106
	Non-Severe:	4
	Severe:	9
Recommended Acres:		9.2
Existing Acres:		10.0
Local Funding Adjustment Points		N/A

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

Accessibility Fire Grant	$	38,492.00
Base Grant - Over 50Yr	$	1,243,233.00
Base Grant - Under 50Yr	$	39,834.00
Fire Detection	$	29,167.00
Small Size Project	$	51,323.00
Subtotal/State Share (60%)		1,402,049.00
Subtotal/Applicant Share (40%)		934,699.33
Subtotal - Total Project Cost	$	**2,336,748.33**
Local Funding Adjustment	$	**0.00**
Total State Share (60%)		1,402,049.00
Total Applicant Share (40%)		934,699.33
Total Project Cost	$	**2,336,748.33**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

This application is placed on the Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans) pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009. This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future state funding.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement for the project prior to or concurrent with a valid Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05). Failure to submit an executed Grant Agreement and valid Form SAB 50-05 prior to the deadline shall result in the Apportionment being rescinded without further board action.

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	Pajaro Valley Unified	**PTN:**	69799 - 130, 99
Application No:	57/69799-00-029	**County:**	Santa Cruz
School Name:	Aptos High		

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
Mod/Add. Grant	**902-570**	**2**	**$**	$ 1,774,726.00	**$** 1,774,726.00
Applicant Share					
District Contribution				1,183,150.67	
Total			**$ 0.00**	**$ 2,957,876.67**	**$ 1,774,726.00**
Funding Source:	**Proposition 2 Bonds/2024-Nov.**				

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:		High School
Pupils Assigned Under 50 Yrs:		
	9-12	0
Pupils Assigned Over 50 Yrs:		
	9-12	138
Recommended Acres:		41.2
Existing Acres:		65.0
Local Funding Adjustment Points		N/A

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

Accessibility Fire Grant	**$**	49,759.00
Base Grant - Over 50Yr	**$**	1,658,622.00
Small Size Project	**$**	66,345.00
Subtotal/State Share (60%)		1,774,726.00
Subtotal/Applicant Share (40%)		1,183,150.67
Subtotal - Total Project Cost	**$**	**2,957,876.67**
Local Funding Adjustment	**$**	**0.00**
Total State Share (60%)		1,774,726.00
Total Applicant Share (40%)		1,183,150.67
Total Project Cost	**$**	**2,957,876.67**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement for the project prior to or concurrent with a valid Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05). Failure to submit an executed Grant Agreement and valid Form SAB 50-05 prior to the deadline shall result in the Apportionment being rescinded without further board action.

This application is placed on the Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans) pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009. This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future state funding.

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	Los Angeles Unified	**PTN:**	64733 - 5795
Application No:	57/64733-00-779	**County:**	Los Angeles
School Name:	Castle Heights Elementary		

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
Mod/Add. Grant	**902-570**	**2**	$	$ 787,054.00	$ 787,054.00
Applicant Share					
District Contribution				524,702.67	
Total			$ **0.00**	$ **1,311,756.67**	$ **787,054.00**
Funding Source:	**Proposition 2 Bonds/2024-Nov.**				

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:		Elementary School
Pupils Assigned Under 50 Yrs:	**K-6:**	0
	Non-Severe:	0
Pupils Assigned Over 50 Yrs:	**K-6:**	64
	Non-Severe:	1
Recommended Acres:		9.8
Existing Acres:		5.1
Local Funding Adjustment Points		N/A

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

Accessibility Fire Grant	$	17,223.00
Base Grant - Over 50Yr	$	574,086.00
Fire Detection	$	13,367.00
Small Size Project	$	68,890.00
Urban / Security / Impacted Site	$	113,488.00
Subtotal/State Share (60%)		787,054.00
Subtotal/Applicant Share (40%)		524,702.67
Subtotal - Total Project Cost	$	**1,311,756.67**
Local Funding Adjustment	$	**0.00**
Total State Share (60%)		787,054.00
Total Applicant Share (40%)		524,702.67
Total Project Cost	$	**1,311,756.67**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

This application is placed on the Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans) pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009. This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future state funding.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement for the project prior to or concurrent with a valid Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05). Failure to submit an executed Grant Agreement and valid Form SAB 50-05 prior to the deadline shall result in the Apportionment being rescinded without further board action.

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	Los Angeles Unified	**PTN:**	64733 - 2535, 5012, 6333
Application No:	57/64733-00-780	**County:**	Los Angeles
School Name:	Seventy-Fourth Street Elementary		

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
Mod/Add. Grant	**902-570**	**2**	$	$ 807,896.00	$ 807,896.00
Applicant Share					
District Contribution				538,597.33	
Total			$ **0.00**	$ **1,346,493.33**	$ **807,896.00**
Funding Source:	**Proposition 2 Bonds/2024-Nov.**				

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:		Elementary School
Pupils Assigned Under 50 Yrs:	**K-6:**	38
Pupils Assigned Over 50 Yrs:	**K-6:**	63
Recommended Acres:		7.1
Existing Acres:		4.31
Local Funding Adjustment Points		N/A

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

Accessibility Fire Grant	$	23,531.00
Base Grant - Over 50Yr	$	546,903.00
Base Grant - Under 50Yr	$	237,462.00
Subtotal/State Share (60%)		807,896.00
Subtotal/Applicant Share (40%)		538,597.33
Subtotal - Total Project Cost	$	**1,346,493.33**
Local Funding Adjustment	$	**0.00**
Total State Share (60%)		807,896.00
Total Applicant Share (40%)		538,597.33
Total Project Cost	$	**1,346,493.33**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

This application is placed on the Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans) pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009. This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future state funding.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement for the project prior to or concurrent with a valid Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05). Failure to submit an executed Grant Agreement and valid Form SAB 50-05 prior to the deadline shall result in the Apportionment being rescinded without further board action.

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	Hemet Unified	**PTN:**	67082 - 211
Application No:	50/67082-00-019	**County:**	Riverside
School Name:	Ramona Elementary	**Filing Basis:**	District-wide

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
NC/Add. Grant	**902-500**	**2**	$	$ 5,137,329.00	$ 5,137,329.00
Applicant Share					
District Contribution				5,137,329.00	
Total			$ **0.00**	$ **10,274,658.00**	$ **5,137,329.00**
Funding Source:	**Proposition 2 Bonds/2024-Nov.**				

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:	Elementary School
Pupils Assigned:	**K-6:** 225
Number of Classrooms:	9
Addition to Existing Site:	No
Master Plan Acres:	12.7
Recommended Acres:	11.8
Existing Acres:	9.0
Proposed Acres:	0.0
Local Funding Adjustment Points	N/A

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

Base Grant	$	3,692,475.00
Fire Detection	$	4,500.00
Off Site	$	79,854.00
Service Site Development	$	1,161,914.00
Utilities	$	198,586.00
Subtotal/State Share (50%)		5,137,329.00
Subtotal/Applicant Share (50%)		5,137,329.00
Subtotal - Total Project Cost	$	**10,274,658.00**
Local Funding Adjustment	$	0.00
Total State Share (50%)		5,137,329.00
Total Applicant Share (50%)		5,137,329.00
Total Project Cost	$	**10,274,658.00**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

This application is placed on the Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans) pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009. This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future state funding.

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement for the project prior to or concurrent with a valid Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05). Failure to submit an executed Grant Agreement and valid Form SAB 50-05 prior to the deadline shall result in the Apportionment being rescinded without further board action.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	Hemet Unified	**PTN:**	67082 - 211
Application No:	57/67082-00-013	**County:**	Riverside
School Name:	Ramona Elementary		

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
Mod/Add. Grant	**902-570**	**2**	$	$ 2,478,142.00	$ 2,478,142.00
Applicant Share					
District Contribution				1,652,094.67	
Total			$ **0.00**	$ **4,130,236.67**	$ **2,478,142.00**
Funding Source:	**Proposition 2 Bonds/2024-Nov.**				

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:		Elementary School
Pupils Assigned Under 50 Yrs:	**K-6:**	0
	Non-Severe:	0
Pupils Assigned Over 50 Yrs:	**K-6:**	254
	Non-Severe:	8
Recommended Acres:		12.1
Existing Acres:		9.0
Local Funding Adjustment Points		N/A

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

Accessibility Fire Grant	$	70,590.00
Base Grant - Over 50Yr	$	2,352,990.00
Fire Detection	$	54,562.00
Subtotal/State Share (60%)		2,478,142.00
Subtotal/Applicant Share (40%)		1,652,094.67
Subtotal - Total Project Cost	$	**4,130,236.67**
Local Funding Adjustment	$	**0.00**
Total State Share (60%)		2,478,142.00
Total Applicant Share (40%)		1,652,094.67
Total Project Cost	$	**4,130,236.67**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

This application is placed on the Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans) pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009. This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future state funding.

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement for the project prior to or concurrent with a valid Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05). Failure to submit an executed Grant Agreement and valid Form SAB 50-05 prior to the deadline shall result in the Apportionment being rescinded without further board action.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	Pomona Unified	**PTN:**	64907 - 299
Application No:	57/64907-00-053	**County:**	Los Angeles
School Name:	Ganesha Senior High		

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
Mod/Add. Grant	**902-570**	**2**	$	$ 7,013,572.00	$ 7,013,572.00
Applicant Share					
District Contribution				4,675,714.67	
Total			$ **0.00**	$ **11,689,286.67**	$ **7,013,572.00**
Funding Source:	**Proposition 2 Bonds/2024-Nov.**				

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:	High School
Pupils Assigned Under 50 Yrs:	
9-12	523
Non-Severe:	90
Severe:	0
Pupils Assigned Over 50 Yrs:	
9-12	51
Non-Severe:	21
Severe:	3
Recommended Acres:	33.0
Existing Acres:	39.4
Local Funding Adjustment Points	N/A

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

Accessibility Fire Grant	$	204,279.00
Base Grant - Over 50Yr	$	1,084,524.00
Base Grant - Under 50Yr	$	5,724,769.00
Subtotal/State Share (60%)		7,013,572.00
Subtotal/Applicant Share (40%)		4,675,714.67
Subtotal - Total Project Cost	$	**11,689,286.67**
Local Funding Adjustment	$	**0.00**
Total State Share (60%)		7,013,572.00
Total Applicant Share (40%)		4,675,714.67
Total Project Cost	$	**11,689,286.67**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

This application is placed on the Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans) pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009. This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future state funding.

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement for the project prior to or concurrent with a valid Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05). Failure to submit an executed Grant Agreement and valid Form SAB 50-05 prior to the deadline shall result in the Apportionment being rescinded without further board action.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	Los Angeles Unified	**PTN:**	64733 - 6015
Application No:	57/64733-16-013	**County:**	Los Angeles
School Name:	South Gate Junior High		

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
Mod/Add. Grant	**902-570**	**2**	$	$ 1,654,577.00	$ 1,654,577.00
Applicant Share					
District Contribution				1,103,051.33	
Total			$ **0.00**	$ **2,757,628.33**	$ **1,654,577.00**
Funding Source:	**Proposition 2 Bonds/2024-Nov.**				

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:		Middle School
Pupils Assigned Under 50 Yrs:		
	7-8:	0
Pupils Assigned Over 50 Yrs:		
	7-8:	165
Recommended Acres:		19.9
Existing Acres:		16.66
Local Funding Adjustment Points		N/A

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

Accessibility Fire Grant	$	45,451.00
Base Grant - Over 50Yr	$	1,515,030.00
Fire Detection	$	33,495.00
Small Size Project	$	60,601.00
Subtotal/State Share (60%)		1,654,577.00
Subtotal/Applicant Share (40%)		1,103,051.33
Subtotal - Total Project Cost	$	**2,757,628.33**
Local Funding Adjustment	$	**0.00**
Total State Share (60%)		1,654,577.00
Total Applicant Share (40%)		1,103,051.33
Total Project Cost	$	**2,757,628.33**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement for the project prior to or concurrent with a valid Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05). Failure to submit an executed Grant Agreement and valid Form SAB 50-05 prior to the deadline shall result in the Apportionment being rescinded without further board action.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

This application is placed on the Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans) pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009. This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future state funding.

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	Lucia Mar Unified	**PTN:**	68759 - 109
Application No:	57/68759-00-026	**County:**	San Luis Obispo
School Name:	Judkins Intermediate		

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
Mod/Add. Grant	**902-570**	**2**	$	$ 2,925,650.00	$ 2,925,650.00
Applicant Share					
District Contribution				1,950,433.33	
Total			$ **0.00**	$ **4,876,083.33**	$ **2,925,650.00**
Funding Source:	**Proposition 2 Bonds/2024-Nov.**				

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:		Middle School
Pupils Assigned Under 50 Yrs:		
	7-8:	308
	Non-Severe:	16
Pupils Assigned Over 50 Yrs:		
	7-8:	52
	Non-Severe:	2
Recommended Acres:		8.1
Existing Acres:		18.24
Local Funding Adjustment Points		**N/A**

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

Accessibility Fire Grant	$	82,888.00
Base Grant - Over 50Yr	$	514,468.00
Base Grant - Under 50Yr	$	2,248,464.00
Fire Detection	$	79,830.00
Subtotal/State Share (60%)		2,925,650.00
Subtotal/Applicant Share (40%)		1,950,433.33
Subtotal - Total Project Cost	$	**4,876,083.33**
Local Funding Adjustment	$	**0.00**
Total State Share (60%)		2,925,650.00
Total Applicant Share (40%)		1,950,433.33
Total Project Cost	$	**4,876,083.33**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement for the project prior to or concurrent with a valid Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05). Failure to submit an executed Grant Agreement and valid Form SAB 50-05 prior to the deadline shall result in the Apportionment being rescinded without further board action.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

This application is placed on the Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans) pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009. This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future state funding.

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	Moreno Valley Unified	**PTN:**	67124 - 303
Application No:	57/67124-00-029	**County:**	Riverside
School Name:	Sunnymead Middle		

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
Mod/Add. Grant	**902-570**	**2**	$	$ 2,343,707.00	$ 2,343,707.00
Applicant Share					
District Contribution				1,562,471.33	
Total			$ **0.00**	$ **3,906,178.33**	$ **2,343,707.00**
Funding Source:	**Proposition 2 Bonds/2024-Nov.**				

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:		Middle School
Pupils Assigned Under 50 Yrs:		
	7-8:	262
	Non-Severe:	30
	Severe:	4
Recommended Acres:		19.9
Existing Acres:		22.0
Local Funding Adjustment Points		N/A

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

Accessibility Fire Grant	$	66,321.00
Base Grant - Under 50Yr	$	2,210,714.00
Fire Detection	$	66,672.00
Subtotal/State Share (60%)		2,343,707.00
Subtotal/Applicant Share (40%)		1,562,471.33
Subtotal - Total Project Cost	$	**3,906,178.33**
Local Funding Adjustment	$	**0.00**
Total State Share (60%)		2,343,707.00
Total Applicant Share (40%)		1,562,471.33
Total Project Cost	$	**3,906,178.33**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement for the project prior to or concurrent with a valid Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05). Failure to submit an executed Grant Agreement and valid Form SAB 50-05 prior to the deadline shall result in the Apportionment being rescinded without further board action.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

This application is placed on the Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans) pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009. This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future state funding.

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	Los Angeles Unified	**PTN:**	64733 - 5328, 5631, 6335, 6336
Application No:	57/64733-28-018	**County:**	Los Angeles
School Name:	Haddon Avenue Elementary		

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
Mod/Add. Grant	**902-570**	**2**	$	$ 2,475,582.00	$ 2,475,582.00
Applicant Share					
District Contribution				1,650,388.00	
Total			$ **0.00**	$ **4,125,970.00**	$ **2,475,582.00**
Funding Source:	**Proposition 2 Bonds/2024-Nov.**				

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:		Elementary School
Pupils Assigned Under 50 Yrs:	**K-6:**	2
	Non-Severe:	0
	Severe:	0
Pupils Assigned Over 50 Yrs:	**K-6:**	228
	Non-Severe:	1
	Severe:	1
Recommended Acres:		11.5
Existing Acres:		5.7
Local Funding Adjustment Points		N/A

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

Accessibility Fire Grant	$	61,138.00
Base Grant - Over 50Yr	$	2,025,441.00
Base Grant - Under 50Yr	$	12,498.00
Urban / Security / Impacted Site	$	376,505.00
Subtotal/State Share (60%)		2,475,582.00
Subtotal/Applicant Share (40%)		1,650,388.00
Subtotal - Total Project Cost	$	**4,125,970.00**
Local Funding Adjustment	$	**0.00**
Total State Share (60%)		2,475,582.00
Total Applicant Share (40%)		1,650,388.00
Total Project Cost	$	**4,125,970.00**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

This application is placed on the Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans) pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009. This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future state funding.

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement for the project prior to or concurrent with a valid Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05). Failure to submit an executed Grant Agreement and valid Form SAB 50-05 prior to the deadline shall result in the Apportionment being rescinded without further board action.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	Saugus Union Elementary	**PTN:**	64998 - 104
Application No:	57/64998-00-012	**County:**	Los Angeles
School Name:	Charles Helmers Elementary		

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
Mod/Add. Grant	**902-570**	**2**	**$**	$ 1,458,518.00	**$** 1,458,518.00
Applicant Share					
District Contribution				972,345.33	
Total			**$ 0.00**	**$ 2,430,863.33**	**$ 1,458,518.00**
Funding Source:	**Proposition 2 Bonds/2024-Nov.**				

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:		Elementary School
Pupils Assigned Under 50 Yrs:	**K-6:**	128
	Non-Severe:	28
Recommended Acres:		7.5
Existing Acres:		4.5
Local Funding Adjustment Points		N/A

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

2 Stop Elevator	**$**	166,954.00
Accessibility Fire Grant	**$**	35,189.00
Base Grant - Under 50Yr	**$**	1,172,972.00
Fire Detection	**$**	36,484.00
Small Size Project	**$**	46,919.00
Subtotal/State Share (60%)		1,458,518.00
Subtotal/Applicant Share (40%)		972,345.33
Subtotal - Total Project Cost	**$**	**2,430,863.33**
Local Funding Adjustment	**$**	**0.00**
Total State Share (60%)		1,458,518.00
Total Applicant Share (40%)		972,345.33
Total Project Cost	**$**	**2,430,863.33**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement for the project prior to or concurrent with a valid Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05). Failure to submit an executed Grant Agreement and valid Form SAB 50-05 prior to the deadline shall result in the Apportionment being rescinded without further board action.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

This application is placed on the Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans) pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009. This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future state funding.

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	Los Angeles Unified	**PTN:**	64733 - 5881
Application No:	57/64733-00-781	**County:**	Los Angeles
School Name:	Wonderland Avenue Elementary		

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
Mod/Add. Grant	**902-570**	**2**	$	$ 2,980,579.00	$ 2,980,579.00
Applicant Share					
District Contribution				1,987,052.67	
Total			$ **0.00**	$ **4,967,631.67**	$ **2,980,579.00**
Funding Source:	**Proposition 2 Bonds/2024-Nov.**				

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:		Elementary School
Pupils Assigned Under 50 Yrs:	**K-6:**	56
	Non-Severe:	10
	Severe:	3
Pupils Assigned Over 50 Yrs:	**K-6:**	183
	Non-Severe:	6
	Severe:	2
Recommended Acres:		9.5
Existing Acres:		2.36
Local Funding Adjustment Points		N/A

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

Accessibility Fire Grant	$	68,938.00
Base Grant - Over 50Yr	$	1,754,977.00
Base Grant - Under 50Yr	$	542,945.00
Urban / Security / Impacted Site	$	613,719.00
Subtotal/State Share (60%)		2,980,579.00
Subtotal/Applicant Share (40%)		1,987,052.67
Subtotal - Total Project Cost	$	**4,967,631.67**
Local Funding Adjustment	$	**0.00**
Total State Share (60%)		2,980,579.00
Total Applicant Share (40%)		1,987,052.67
Total Project Cost	$	**4,967,631.67**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement for the project prior to or concurrent with a valid Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05). Failure to submit an executed Grant Agreement and valid Form SAB 50-05 prior to the deadline shall result in the Apportionment being rescinded without further board action.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

This application is placed on the Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans) pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009. This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future state funding.

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	Franklin-Mckinley Elementary	**PTN:**	69450 - 139
Application No:	57/69450-00-015	**County:**	Santa Clara
School Name:	Sylvandale Junior High		

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
Mod/Add. Grant	**902-570**	**2**	$	$ 1,316,516.00	$ 1,316,516.00
Applicant Share					
District Contribution				877,677.33	
Total			$ **0.00**	$ **2,194,193.33**	$ **1,316,516.00**
Funding Source:	**Proposition 2 Bonds/2024-Nov.**				

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:		Middle School
Pupils Assigned Under 50 Yrs:		
	7-8:	0
Pupils Assigned Over 50 Yrs:		
	7-8:	134
Recommended Acres:		8.1
Existing Acres:		17.0
Local Funding Adjustment Points		N/A

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

Accessibility Fire Grant	$	36,912.00
Base Grant - Over 50Yr	$	1,230,388.00
Small Size Project	$	49,216.00
Subtotal/State Share (60%)		1,316,516.00
Subtotal/Applicant Share (40%)		877,677.33
Subtotal - Total Project Cost	$	**2,194,193.33**
Local Funding Adjustment	$	**0.00**
Total State Share (60%)		1,316,516.00
Total Applicant Share (40%)		877,677.33
Total Project Cost	$	**2,194,193.33**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

This application is placed on the Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans) pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009. This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future state funding.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement for the project prior to or concurrent with a valid Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05). Failure to submit an executed Grant Agreement and valid Form SAB 50-05 prior to the deadline shall result in the Apportionment being rescinded without further board action.

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	Encinitas Union Elementary	**PTN:**	68080 - 57
Application No:	57/68080-00-006	**County:**	San Diego
School Name:	Capri Elementary		

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
Mod/Add. Grant	**902-570**	**2**	**$**	$ 958,382.00	**$** 958,382.00
Applicant Share					
District Contribution				638,921.33	
Total			**$ 0.00**	**$ 1,597,303.33**	**$ 958,382.00**
Funding Source:	**Proposition 2 Bonds/2024-Nov.**				

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:		Elementary School
Pupils Assigned Under 50 Yrs:	**K-6:**	0
Pupils Assigned Over 50 Yrs:	**K-6:**	96
Recommended Acres:		9.7
Existing Acres:		9.1
Local Funding Adjustment Points		N/A

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

Accessibility Fire Grant	**$**	25,001.00
Base Grant - Over 50Yr	**$**	833,376.00
Small Size Project	**$**	100,005.00
Subtotal/State Share (60%)		958,382.00
Subtotal/Applicant Share (40%)		638,921.33
Subtotal - Total Project Cost	**$**	**1,597,303.33**
Local Funding Adjustment	**$**	**0.00**
Total State Share (60%)		958,382.00
Total Applicant Share (40%)		638,921.33
Total Project Cost	**$**	**1,597,303.33**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

This application is placed on the Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans) pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009. This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future state funding.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement for the project prior to or concurrent with a valid Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05). Failure to submit an executed Grant Agreement and valid Form SAB 50-05 prior to the deadline shall result in the Apportionment being rescinded without further board action.

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	Benicia Unified	**PTN:**	70524 - 42
Application No:	57/70524-00-021	**County:**	Solano
School Name:	Farmar (Mary) Elementary		

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
Mod/Add. Grant	**902-570**	**2**	$	$ 769,010.00	$ 769,010.00
Applicant Share					
District Contribution				512,673.33	
Total			$ **0.00**	$ **1,281,683.33**	$ **769,010.00**
Funding Source:	**Proposition 2 Bonds/2024-Nov.**				

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:		Elementary School
Pupils Assigned Under 50 Yrs:	**K-6:**	65
Pupils Assigned Over 50 Yrs:	**K-6:**	36
Recommended Acres:		7.3
Existing Acres:		6.8
Local Funding Adjustment Points		N/A

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

Accessibility Fire Grant	$	21,561.00
Base Grant - Over 50Yr	$	312,516.00
Base Grant - Under 50Yr	$	406,185.00
Small Size Project	$	28,748.00
Subtotal/State Share (60%)		769,010.00
Subtotal/Applicant Share (40%)		512,673.33
Subtotal - Total Project Cost	$	**1,281,683.33**
Local Funding Adjustment	$	**0.00**
Total State Share (60%)		769,010.00
Total Applicant Share (40%)		512,673.33
Total Project Cost	$	**1,281,683.33**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement for the project prior to or concurrent with a valid Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05). Failure to submit an executed Grant Agreement and valid Form SAB 50-05 prior to the deadline shall result in the Apportionment being rescinded without further board action.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

This application is placed on the Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans) pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009. This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future state funding.

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	Benicia Unified	**PTN:**	70524 - 48
Application No:	57/70524-00-022	**County:**	Solano
School Name:	Semple (Robert) Elementary		

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
Mod/Add. Grant	**902-570**	**2**	$	$ 675,329.00	$ 675,329.00
Applicant Share					
District Contribution				450,219.33	
Total			$ **0.00**	$ **1,125,548.33**	$ **675,329.00**
Funding Source:	**Proposition 2 Bonds/2024-Nov.**				

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:		Elementary School
Pupils Assigned Under 50 Yrs:	**K-6:**	101
Recommended Acres:		7.6
Existing Acres:		8.67
Local Funding Adjustment Points		N/A

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

Accessibility Fire Grant	$	18,934.00
Base Grant - Under 50Yr	$	631,149.00
Small Size Project	$	25,246.00
Subtotal/State Share (60%)		675,329.00
Subtotal/Applicant Share (40%)		450,219.33
Subtotal - Total Project Cost	$	**1,125,548.33**
Local Funding Adjustment	$	**0.00**
Total State Share (60%)		675,329.00
Total Applicant Share (40%)		450,219.33
Total Project Cost	$	**1,125,548.33**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement for the project prior to or concurrent with a valid Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05). Failure to submit an executed Grant Agreement and valid Form SAB 50-05 prior to the deadline shall result in the Apportionment being rescinded without further board action.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

This application is placed on the Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans) pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009. This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future state funding.

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	Los Angeles Unified	**PTN:**	64733 - 5508, 6353
Application No:	57/64733-00-782	**County:**	Los Angeles
School Name:	Lowman (Charles Leroy) Special Education Ctr.		

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
Mod/Add. Grant	**902-570**	**2**	$	$ 1,223,210.00	$ 1,223,210.00
Applicant Share					
District Contribution				815,473.33	
Total			$ **0.00**	$ **2,038,683.33**	$ **1,223,210.00**
Funding Source:	**Proposition 2 Bonds/2024-Nov.**				

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:		High School
Pupils Assigned Under 50 Yrs:		
	Severe:	2
Pupils Assigned Over 50 Yrs:		
	Severe:	37
Recommended Acres:		3.6
Existing Acres:		5.77
Local Funding Adjustment Points		N/A

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

Accessibility Fire Grant	$	31,910.00
Base Grant - Over 50Yr	$	1,023,827.00
Base Grant - Under 50Yr	$	39,834.00
Small Size Project	$	127,639.00
Subtotal/State Share (60%)		1,223,210.00
Subtotal/Applicant Share (40%)		815,473.33
Subtotal - Total Project Cost	$	**2,038,683.33**
Local Funding Adjustment	$	**0.00**
Total State Share (60%)		1,223,210.00
Total Applicant Share (40%)		815,473.33
Total Project Cost	$	**2,038,683.33**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement for the project prior to or concurrent with a valid Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05). Failure to submit an executed Grant Agreement and valid Form SAB 50-05 prior to the deadline shall result in the Apportionment being rescinded without further board action.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

This application is placed on the Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans) pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009. This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future state funding.

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	Los Gatos-Saratoga Joint Union High	**PTN:**	69534 - 58,61
Application No:	57/69534-00-004	**County:**	Santa Clara
School Name:	Los Gatos High		

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
Mod/Add. Grant	**902-570**	**2**	$	$ 1,301,710.00	$ 1,301,710.00
Applicant Share					
District Contribution				867,806.67	
Total			$ **0.00**	$ **2,169,516.67**	$ **1,301,710.00**
Funding Source:	**Proposition 2 Bonds/2024-Nov.**				

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:		High School
Pupils Assigned Under 50 Yrs:		
	9-12	66
Pupils Assigned Over 50 Yrs:		
	9-12	35
Recommended Acres:		52.7
Existing Acres:		21.6
Local Funding Adjustment Points		N/A

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

Accessibility Fire Grant	$	29,753.00
Base Grant - Over 50Yr	$	420,665.00
Base Grant - Under 50Yr	$	571,098.00
Fire Detection	$	20,503.00
Small Size Project	$	39,671.00
Urban / Security / Impacted Site	$	220,020.00
Subtotal/State Share (60%)		1,301,710.00
Subtotal/Applicant Share (40%)		867,806.67
Subtotal - Total Project Cost	$	**2,169,516.67**
Local Funding Adjustment	$	**0.00**
Total State Share (60%)		1,301,710.00
Total Applicant Share (40%)		867,806.67
Total Project Cost	$	**2,169,516.67**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

This application is placed on the Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans) pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009. This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future state funding.

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement for the project prior to or concurrent with a valid Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05). Failure to submit an executed Grant Agreement and valid Form SAB 50-05 prior to the deadline shall result in the Apportionment being rescinded without further board action.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	Sanger Unified	**PTN:**	62414 - 199
Application No:	50/62414-00-036	**County:**	Fresno
School Name:	Centerville Elementary	**Filing Basis:**	District-wide

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
NC/Add. Grant	**902-500**	**2**	$	$ 657,011.00	$ 657,011.00
Applicant Share					
District Contribution				657,011.00	
Total			$ **0.00**	$ **1,314,022.00**	$ **657,011.00**
Funding Source:	**Proposition 2 Bonds/2024-Nov.**				

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:	Elementary School
Pupils Assigned:	**K-6:** 23
Number of Classrooms:	1
Addition to Existing Site:	No
Master Plan Acres:	13.9
Recommended Acres:	10.1
Existing Acres:	10.0
Local Funding Adjustment Points	N/A

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

Base Grant	$	377,453.00
Fire Detection	$	460.00
Service Site Development	$	210,045.00
Small Size Project	$	45,294.00
Utilities	$	23,759.00
Subtotal/State Share (50%)		657,011.00
Subtotal/Applicant Share (50%)		657,011.00
Subtotal - Total Project Cost	$	**1,314,022.00**
Local Funding Adjustment	$	0.00
Total State Share (50%)		657,011.00
Total Applicant Share (50%)		657,011.00
Total Project Cost	$	**1,314,022.00**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

This application is placed on the Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans) pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009. This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future state funding.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement for the project prior to or concurrent with a valid Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05). Failure to submit an executed Grant Agreement and valid Form SAB 50-05 prior to the deadline shall result in the Apportionment being rescinded without further board action.

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	Sanger Unified	**PTN:**	62414 - 185
Application No:	57/62414-00-020	**County:**	Fresno
School Name:	Lone Star Elementary		

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
Mod/Add. Grant	**902-570**	**2**	$	$ 1,352,742.00	$ 1,352,742.00
Applicant Share					
District Contribution				901,828.00	
Total			$ **0.00**	$ **2,254,570.00**	$ **1,352,742.00**
Funding Source:	**Proposition 2 Bonds/2024-Nov.**				

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:		Elementary School
Pupils Assigned Under 50 Yrs:	**K-6:**	82
Pupils Assigned Over 50 Yrs:	**K-6:**	83
Recommended Acres:		10.2
Existing Acres:		13.41
Local Funding Adjustment Points		N/A

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

Accessibility Fire Grant	$	36,988.00
Base Grant - Over 50Yr	$	720,523.00
Base Grant - Under 50Yr	$	512,418.00
Fire Detection	$	33,495.00
Small Size Project	$	49,318.00
Subtotal/State Share (60%)		1,352,742.00
Subtotal/Applicant Share (40%)		901,828.00
Subtotal - Total Project Cost	$	**2,254,570.00**
Local Funding Adjustment	$	**0.00**
Total State Share (60%)		1,352,742.00
Total Applicant Share (40%)		901,828.00
Total Project Cost	$	**2,254,570.00**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement for the project prior to or concurrent with a valid Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05). Failure to submit an executed Grant Agreement and valid Form SAB 50-05 prior to the deadline shall result in the Apportionment being rescinded without further board action.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

This application is placed on the Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans) pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009. This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future state funding.

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	Sanger Unified	**PTN:**	62414 - 189
Application No:	57/62414-00-021	**County:**	Fresno
School Name:	Washington Academic Middle		

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
Mod/Add. Grant	**902-570**	**2**	$	$ 2,083,348.00	$ 2,083,348.00
Applicant Share					
District Contribution				1,388,898.67	
Total			$ **0.00**	$ **3,472,246.67**	$ **2,083,348.00**
Funding Source:	**Proposition 2 Bonds/2024-Nov.**				

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:		Middle School
Pupils Assigned Under 50 Yrs:		
	7-8:	147
Pupils Assigned Over 50 Yrs:		
	7-8:	109
Recommended Acres:		27.7
Existing Acres:		42.6
Local Funding Adjustment Points		N/A

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

Accessibility Fire Grant	$	59,166.00
Base Grant - Over 50Yr	$	1,000,838.00
Base Grant - Under 50Yr	$	971,376.00
Fire Detection	$	51,968.00
Subtotal/State Share (60%)		2,083,348.00
Subtotal/Applicant Share (40%)		1,388,898.67
Subtotal - Total Project Cost	$	**3,472,246.67**
Local Funding Adjustment	$	**0.00**
Total State Share (60%)		2,083,348.00
Total Applicant Share (40%)		1,388,898.67
Total Project Cost	$	**3,472,246.67**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement for the project prior to or concurrent with a valid Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05). Failure to submit an executed Grant Agreement and valid Form SAB 50-05 prior to the deadline shall result in the Apportionment being rescinded without further board action.

This application is placed on the Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans) pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009. This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future state funding.

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	Sanger Unified	**PTN:**	62414 - 186
Application No:	57/62414-00-022	**County:**	Fresno
School Name:	Jackson Elementary		

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
Mod/Add. Grant	**902-570**	**2**	**$**	**$** 1,640,919.00	**$** 1,640,919.00
Applicant Share					
District Contribution				**$** 1,093,946.00	
Total			**$ 0.00**	**$ 2,734,865.00**	**$ 1,640,919.00**
Funding Source:	**Proposition 2 Bonds/2024-Nov.**				

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:		Elementary School
Pupils Assigned Under 50 Yrs:	**K-6:**	159
Pupils Assigned Over 50 Yrs:	**K-6:**	64
Recommended Acres:		8.3
Existing Acres:		9.0
Local Funding Adjustment Points		N/A

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

Accessibility Fire Grant	**$**	46,475.00
Base Grant - Over 50Yr	**$**	555,584.00
Base Grant - Under 50Yr	**$**	993,591.00
Fire Detection	**$**	45,269.00
Subtotal/State Share (60%)		1,640,919.00
Subtotal/Applicant Share (40%)		1,093,946.00
Subtotal - Total Project Cost	**$**	**2,734,865.00**
Local Funding Adjustment	**$**	**0.00**
Total State Share (60%)		1,640,919.00
Total Applicant Share (40%)		1,093,946.00
Total Project Cost	**$**	**2,734,865.00**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

This application is placed on the Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans) pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009. This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future state funding.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement for the project prior to or concurrent with a valid Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05). Failure to submit an executed Grant Agreement and valid Form SAB 50-05 prior to the deadline shall result in the Apportionment being rescinded without further board action.

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	Los Angeles Unified	**PTN:**	64733 - 5472
Application No:	57/64733-00-783	**County:**	Los Angeles
School Name:	Locke (Alain Leroy) Senior High		

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
Mod/Add. Grant	**902-570**	**2**	$	$ 6,519,953.00	$ 6,519,953.00
Applicant Share					
District Contribution				4,346,635.33	
Total			$ **0.00**	$ **10,866,588.33**	$ **6,519,953.00**
Funding Source:	**Proposition 2 Bonds/2024-Nov.**				

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:		High School
Pupils Assigned Under 50 Yrs:		
	9-12	3
Pupils Assigned Over 50 Yrs:		
	9-12	516
Recommended Acres:		38.4
Existing Acres:		25.31
Local Funding Adjustment Points		N/A

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

Accessibility Fire Grant	$	186,833.00
Base Grant - Over 50Yr	$	6,201,804.00
Base Grant - Under 50Yr	$	25,959.00
Fire Detection	$	105,357.00
Subtotal/State Share (60%)		6,519,953.00
Subtotal/Applicant Share (40%)		4,346,635.33
Subtotal - Total Project Cost	$	**10,866,588.33**
Local Funding Adjustment	$	**0.00**
Total State Share (60%)		6,519,953.00
Total Applicant Share (40%)		4,346,635.33
Total Project Cost	$	**10,866,588.33**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement for the project prior to or concurrent with a valid Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05). Failure to submit an executed Grant Agreement and valid Form SAB 50-05 prior to the deadline shall result in the Apportionment being rescinded without further board action.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

This application is placed on the Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans) pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009. This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future state funding.

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	Los Angeles Unified	**PTN:**	64733 - 2589, 5832, 6331
Application No:	57/64733-00-784	**County:**	Los Angeles
School Name:	Fifty-Fourth Street Elementary		

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
Mod/Add. Grant	**902-570**	**2**	$	$ 2,744,989.00	$ 2,744,989.00
Applicant Share					
District Contribution				1,829,992.67	
Total			$ **0.00**	$ **4,574,981.67**	$ **2,744,989.00**
Funding Source:	**Proposition 2 Bonds/2024-Nov.**				

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:		Elementary School
Pupils Assigned Under 50 Yrs:	**K-6:**	357
	Non-Severe:	1
	Severe:	1
Recommended Acres:		7.3
Existing Acres:		3.71
Local Funding Adjustment Points		N/A

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

Accessibility Fire Grant	$	67,924.00
Base Grant - Under 50Yr	$	2,264,135.00
Prevailing Wage Monitoring	$	4,111.00
Urban / Security / Impacted Site	$	408,819.00
Subtotal/State Share (60%)		2,744,989.00
Subtotal/Applicant Share (40%)		1,829,992.67
Subtotal - Total Project Cost	$	**4,574,981.67**
Local Funding Adjustment	$	**0.00**
Total State Share (60%)		2,744,989.00
Total Applicant Share (40%)		1,829,992.67
Total Project Cost	$	**4,574,981.67**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

This application is placed on the Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans) pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009. This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future state funding.

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement for the project prior to or concurrent with a valid Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05). Failure to submit an executed Grant Agreement and valid Form SAB 50-05 prior to the deadline shall result in the Apportionment being rescinded without further board action.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	Arcadia Unified	**PTN:**	64261 - 32
Application No:	57/64261-00-011	**County:**	Los Angeles
School Name:	Arcadia High		

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
Mod/Add. Grant	**902-570**	**2**	$	$ 26,001,019.00	$ 26,001,019.00
Applicant Share					
District Contribution				17,334,012.67	
Total			$ **0.00**	$ **43,335,031.67**	$ **26,001,019.00**
Funding Source:	**Proposition 2 Bonds/2024-Nov.**				

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:	High School
Pupils Assigned Under 50 Yrs:	
9-12	2727
Non-Severe:	20
Severe:	39
Recommended Acres:	68.3
Existing Acres:	42.32
Local Funding Adjustment Points	N/A

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

Accessibility Fire Grant	$	739,200.00
Base Grant - Under 50Yr	$	24,639,994.00
Fire Detection	$	582,882.00
Prevailing Wage Monitoring	$	38,943.00
Subtotal/State Share (60%)		26,001,019.00
Subtotal/Applicant Share (40%)		17,334,012.67
Subtotal - Total Project Cost	$	**43,335,031.67**
Local Funding Adjustment	$	**0.00**
Total State Share (60%)		26,001,019.00
Total Applicant Share (40%)		17,334,012.67
Total Project Cost	$	**43,335,031.67**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

This application is placed on the Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans) pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009. This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future state funding.

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement for the project prior to or concurrent with a valid Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05). Failure to submit an executed Grant Agreement and valid Form SAB 50-05 prior to the deadline shall result in the Apportionment being rescinded without further board action.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	Walnut Creek Elementary	**PTN:**	61812 - 49
Application No:	57/61812-00-008	**County:**	Contra Costa
School Name:	Parkmead Elementary		

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
Mod/Add. Grant	**902-570**	**2**	**$**	$ 818,192.00	**$** 818,192.00
Applicant Share					
District Contribution				545,461.33	
Total			**$ 0.00**	**$ 1,363,653.33**	**$ 818,192.00**
Funding Source:	**Proposition 2 Bonds/2024-Nov.**				

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:		Elementary School
Pupils Assigned Under 50 Yrs:	**K-6:**	18
Pupils Assigned Over 50 Yrs:	**K-6:**	69
Recommended Acres:		7.5
Existing Acres:		9.4
Local Funding Adjustment Points		N/A

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

Accessibility Fire Grant	**$**	21,344.00
Base Grant - Over 50Yr	**$**	598,989.00
Base Grant - Under 50Yr	**$**	112,482.00
Small Size Project	**$**	85,377.00
Subtotal/State Share (60%)		818,192.00
Subtotal/Applicant Share (40%)		545,461.33
Subtotal - Total Project Cost	**$**	**1,363,653.33**
Local Funding Adjustment	**$**	**0.00**
Total State Share (60%)		818,192.00
Total Applicant Share (40%)		545,461.33
Total Project Cost	**$**	**1,363,653.33**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement for the project prior to or concurrent with a valid Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05). Failure to submit an executed Grant Agreement and valid Form SAB 50-05 prior to the deadline shall result in the Apportionment being rescinded without further board action.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

This application is placed on the Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans) pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009. This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future state funding.

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	Perris Elementary	**PTN:**	67199 - 38,48
Application No:	57/67199-00-003	**County:**	Riverside
School Name:	Palms Elementary		

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
Mod/Add. Grant	**902-570**	**2**	$	$ 885,656.00	$ 885,656.00
Applicant Share					
District Contribution				590,437.33	
Total			$ **0.00**	$ **1,476,093.33**	$ **885,656.00**
Funding Source:	**Proposition 2 Bonds/2024-Nov.**				

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:		Elementary School
Pupils Assigned Under 50 Yrs:	**K-6:**	109
	Non-Severe:	11
Recommended Acres:		12.7
Existing Acres:		9.1
Local Funding Adjustment Points		N/A

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

Accessibility Fire Grant	$	24,831.00
Base Grant - Under 50Yr	$	827,716.00
Small Size Project	$	33,109.00
Subtotal/State Share (60%)		885,656.00
Subtotal/Applicant Share (40%)		590,437.33
Subtotal - Total Project Cost	$	**1,476,093.33**
Local Funding Adjustment	$	**0.00**
Total State Share (60%)		885,656.00
Total Applicant Share (40%)		590,437.33
Total Project Cost	$	**1,476,093.33**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement for the project prior to or concurrent with a valid Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05). Failure to submit an executed Grant Agreement and valid Form SAB 50-05 prior to the deadline shall result in the Apportionment being rescinded without further board action.

This application is placed on the Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans) pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009. This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future state funding.

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	Perris Elementary	**PTN:**	67199 - 39,45
Application No:	57/67199-00-004	**County:**	Riverside
School Name:	Good Hope Elementary		

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
Mod/Add. Grant	**902-570**	**2**	$	$ 855,763.00	$ 855,763.00
Applicant Share					
District Contribution				570,508.67	
Total			$ **0.00**	$ **1,426,271.67**	$ **855,763.00**
Funding Source:	**Proposition 2 Bonds/2024-Nov.**				

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:		Elementary School
Pupils Assigned Under 50 Yrs:	**K-6:**	96
	Non-Severe:	15
Recommended Acres:		10.1
Existing Acres:		9.7
Local Funding Adjustment Points		N/A

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

Accessibility Fire Grant	$	23,993.00
Base Grant - Under 50Yr	$	799,779.00
Small Size Project	$	31,991.00
Subtotal/State Share (60%)		855,763.00
Subtotal/Applicant Share (40%)		570,508.67
Subtotal - Total Project Cost	$	**1,426,271.67**
Local Funding Adjustment	$	**0.00**
Total State Share (60%)		855,763.00
Total Applicant Share (40%)		570,508.67
Total Project Cost	$	**1,426,271.67**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement for the project prior to or concurrent with a valid Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05). Failure to submit an executed Grant Agreement and valid Form SAB 50-05 prior to the deadline shall result in the Apportionment being rescinded without further board action.

This application is placed on the Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans) pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009. This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future state funding.

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	Perris Elementary	**PTN:**	67199 - 40,46
Application No:	57/67199-00-005	**County:**	Riverside
School Name:	Enchanted Hills Elementary (Aka Enchanted Valley)		

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
Mod/Add. Grant	**902-570**	**2**	$	$ 730,591.00	$ 730,591.00
Applicant Share					
District Contribution				487,060.67	
Total			$ **0.00**	$ **1,217,651.67**	$ **730,591.00**
Funding Source:	**Proposition 2 Bonds/2024-Nov.**				

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:		Elementary School
Pupils Assigned Under 50 Yrs:	**K-6:**	105
	Non-Severe:	2
Recommended Acres:		9.9
Existing Acres:		10.9
Local Funding Adjustment Points		**N/A**

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

Accessibility Fire Grant	$	20,484.00
Base Grant - Under 50Yr	$	682,795.00
Small Size Project	$	27,312.00
Subtotal/State Share (60%)		730,591.00
Subtotal/Applicant Share (40%)		487,060.67
Subtotal - Total Project Cost	$	**1,217,651.67**
Local Funding Adjustment	$	**0.00**
Total State Share (60%)		730,591.00
Total Applicant Share (40%)		487,060.67
Total Project Cost	$	**1,217,651.67**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

This application is placed on the Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans) pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009. This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future state funding.

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement for the project prior to or concurrent with a valid Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05). Failure to submit an executed Grant Agreement and valid Form SAB 50-05 prior to the deadline shall result in the Apportionment being rescinded without further board action.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	Los Angeles Unified	**PTN:**	64733 - 6038
Application No:	57/64733-42-020	**County:**	Los Angeles
School Name:	James J. Mcbride Special Education Center (Aka Mcbride (James J.) Elementary)		

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
Mod/Add. Grant	**902-570**	**2**	$	$ 851,226.00	$ 851,226.00
Applicant Share					
District Contribution				567,484.00	
Total			$ **0.00**	$ **1,418,710.00**	$ **851,226.00**
Funding Source:	**Proposition 2 Bonds/2024-Nov.**				

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:		Elementary School
Pupils Assigned Under 50 Yrs:		
	Severe:	0
Pupils Assigned Over 50 Yrs:		
	Severe:	21
Recommended Acres:		21.0
Existing Acres:		4.33
Local Funding Adjustment Points		N/A

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

Accessibility Fire Grant	$	17,433.00
Base Grant - Over 50Yr	$	581,091.00
Small Size Project	$	69,731.00
Urban / Security / Impacted Site	$	182,971.00
Subtotal/State Share (60%)		851,226.00
Subtotal/Applicant Share (40%)		567,484.00
Subtotal - Total Project Cost	$	**1,418,710.00**
Local Funding Adjustment	$	**0.00**
Total State Share (60%)		851,226.00
Total Applicant Share (40%)		567,484.00
Total Project Cost	$	**1,418,710.00**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement for the project prior to or concurrent with a valid Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05). Failure to submit an executed Grant Agreement and valid Form SAB 50-05 prior to the deadline shall result in the Apportionment being rescinded without further board action.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

This application is placed on the Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans) pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009. This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of futrure state funding.

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	Encinitas Union Elementary	**PTN:**	68080 - 49
Application No:	57/68080-00-007	**County:**	San Diego
School Name:	Paul Ecke-Central		

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
Mod/Add. Grant	**902-570**	**2**	$	$ 1,966,052.00	$ 1,966,052.00
Applicant Share					
District Contribution				1,310,701.33	
Total			$ **0.00**	$ **3,276,753.33**	$ **1,966,052.00**
Funding Source:	**Proposition 2 Bonds/2024-Nov.**				

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:		Elementary School
Pupils Assigned Under 50 Yrs:	**K-6:**	0
Pupils Assigned Over 50 Yrs:	**K-6:**	215
Recommended Acres:		9.7
Existing Acres:		7.2
Local Funding Adjustment Points		N/A

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

Accessibility Fire Grant	$	55,992.00
Base Grant - Over 50Yr	$	1,866,415.00
Fire Detection	$	43,645.00
Subtotal/State Share (60%)		1,966,052.00
Subtotal/Applicant Share (40%)		1,310,701.33
Subtotal - Total Project Cost	$	**3,276,753.33**
Local Funding Adjustment	$	**0.00**
Total State Share (60%)		1,966,052.00
Total Applicant Share (40%)		1,310,701.33
Total Project Cost	$	**3,276,753.33**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

This application is placed on the Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans) pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009. This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future state funding.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement for the project prior to or concurrent with a valid Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05). Failure to submit an executed Grant Agreement and valid Form SAB 50-05 prior to the deadline shall result in the Apportionment being rescinded without further board action.

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	Hueneme Elementary	**PTN:**	72462 - 19, 40, 44
Application No:	57/72462-00-009	**County:**	Ventura
School Name:	Williams (Fred) Elementary		

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
Mod/Add. Grant	**902-570**	**2**	$	$ 2,613,556.00	$ 2,613,556.00
Applicant Share					
District Contribution				1,742,370.67	
Total			$ **0.00**	$ **4,355,926.67**	$ **2,613,556.00**
Funding Source:	**Proposition 2 Bonds/2024-Nov.**				

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:		Elementary School
Pupils Assigned Under 50 Yrs:	**K-6:**	8
Pupils Assigned Over 50 Yrs:	**K-6:**	280
Recommended Acres:		10.6
Existing Acres:		9.8
Local Funding Adjustment Points		N/A

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

Accessibility Fire Grant	$	74,420.00
Base Grant - Over 50Yr	$	2,430,680.00
Base Grant - Under 50Yr	$	49,992.00
Fire Detection	$	58,464.00
Subtotal/State Share (60%)		2,613,556.00
Subtotal/Applicant Share (40%)		1,742,370.67
Subtotal - Total Project Cost	$	**4,355,926.67**
Local Funding Adjustment	$	**0.00**
Total State Share (60%)		2,613,556.00
Total Applicant Share (40%)		1,742,370.67
Total Project Cost	$	**4,355,926.67**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

This application is placed on the Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans) pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009. This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future state funding.

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement for the project prior to or concurrent with a valid Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05). Failure to submit an executed Grant Agreement and valid Form SAB 50-05 prior to the deadline shall result in the Apportionment being rescinded without further board action.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	Hueneme Elementary	**PTN:**	72462 - 50
Application No:	57/72462-00-010	**County:**	Ventura
School Name:	Blackstock Junior High		

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
Mod/Add. Grant	**902-570**	**2**	$	$ 3,077,319.00	$ 3,077,319.00
Applicant Share					
District Contribution				2,051,546.00	
Total			$ **0.00**	$ **5,128,865.00**	$ **3,077,319.00**
Funding Source:	**Proposition 2 Bonds/2024-Nov.**				

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:	Middle School
Pupils Assigned Under 50 Yrs:	
7-8:	0
Non-Severe:	0
Severe:	2
Pupils Assigned Over 50 Yrs:	
7-8:	266
Non-Severe:	9
Severe:	10
Recommended Acres:	24.9
Existing Acres:	20.76
Local Funding Adjustment Points	N/A

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

Accessibility Fire Grant	$	87,764.00
Base Grant - Over 50Yr	$	2,885,640.00
Base Grant - Under 50Yr	$	39,834.00
Fire Detection	$	64,081.00
Subtotal/State Share (60%)		3,077,319.00
Subtotal/Applicant Share (40%)		2,051,546.00
Subtotal - Total Project Cost	$	**5,128,865.00**
Local Funding Adjustment	$	**0.00**
Total State Share (60%)		3,077,319.00
Total Applicant Share (40%)		2,051,546.00
Total Project Cost	$	**5,128,865.00**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

This application is placed on the Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans) pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009. This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future state funding.

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement for the project prior to or concurrent with a valid Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05). Failure to submit an executed Grant Agreement and valid Form SAB 50-05 prior to the deadline shall result in the Apportionment being rescinded without further board action.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	Hemet Unified	**PTN:**	67082 - 219
Application No:	57/67082-00-014	**County:**	Riverside
School Name:	West Valley High		

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
Mod/Add. Grant	**902-570**	**2**	**$**	$ 1,583,240.00	**$** 1,583,240.00
Applicant Share					
District Contribution				1,055,493.33	
Total			**$** **0.00**	**$** **2,638,733.33**	**$** **1,583,240.00**
Funding Source:	**Proposition 2 Bonds/2024-Nov.**				

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:		High School
Pupils Assigned Under 50 Yrs:		
	9-12	171
Recommended Acres:		49.6
Existing Acres:		79.66
Local Funding Adjustment Points		N/A

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

Accessibility Fire Grant	**$**	44,390.00
Base Grant - Under 50Yr	**$**	1,479,663.00
Small Size Project	**$**	59,187.00
Subtotal/State Share (60%)		1,583,240.00
Subtotal/Applicant Share (40%)		1,055,493.33
Subtotal - Total Project Cost	**$**	**2,638,733.33**
Local Funding Adjustment	**$**	**0.00**
Total State Share (60%)		1,583,240.00
Total Applicant Share (40%)		1,055,493.33
Total Project Cost	**$**	**2,638,733.33**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

This application is placed on the Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans) pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009. This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future state funding.

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement for the project prior to or concurrent with a valid Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05). Failure to submit an executed Grant Agreement and valid Form SAB 50-05 prior to the deadline shall result in the Apportionment being rescinded without further board action.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	Encinitas Union Elementary	**PTN:**	68080 - 47
Application No:	57/68080-00-008	**County:**	San Diego
School Name:	Olivenhain Pioneer Elementary		

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
Mod/Add. Grant	**902-570**	**2**	$	$ 3,444,694.00	$ 3,444,694.00
Applicant Share					
District Contribution				2,296,462.67	
Total			$ **0.00**	$ **5,741,156.67**	$ **3,444,694.00**
Funding Source:	**Proposition 2 Bonds/2024-Nov.**				

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:		Elementary School
Pupils Assigned Under 50 Yrs:	**K-6:**	496
	Non-Severe:	18
Recommended Acres:		9.5
Existing Acres:		10.01
Local Funding Adjustment Points		N/A

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

Accessibility Fire Grant	$	100,181.00
Base Grant - Under 50Yr	$	3,339,354.00
Prevailing Wage Monitoring	$	5,159.00
Subtotal/State Share (60%)		3,444,694.00
Subtotal/Applicant Share (40%)		2,296,462.67
Subtotal - Total Project Cost	$	**5,741,156.67**
Local Funding Adjustment	$	**0.00**
Total State Share (60%)		3,444,694.00
Total Applicant Share (40%)		2,296,462.67
Total Project Cost	$	**5,741,156.67**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

This application is placed on the Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans) pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009. This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future state funding.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement for the project prior to or concurrent with a valid Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05). Failure to submit an executed Grant Agreement and valid Form SAB 50-05 prior to the deadline shall result in the Apportionment being rescinded without further board action.

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	Encinitas Union Elementary	**PTN:**	68080 - 61
Application No:	57/68080-00-009	**County:**	San Diego
School Name:	Park Dale Lane Elementary		

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
Mod/Add. Grant	**902-570**	**2**	**$**	$ 531,790.00	**$** 531,790.00
Applicant Share					
District Contribution				354,526.67	
Total			**$ 0.00**	**$ 886,316.67**	**$ 531,790.00**
Funding Source:	**Proposition 2 Bonds/2024-Nov.**				

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:	Elementary School	
Pupils Assigned Under 50 Yrs:	**K-6:**	74
Recommended Acres:		9.5
Existing Acres:		11.49
Local Funding Adjustment Points		N/A

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

Accessibility Fire Grant	**$**	13,873.00
Base Grant - Under 50Yr	**$**	462,426.00
Small Size Project	**$**	55,491.00
Subtotal/State Share (60%)		531,790.00
Subtotal/Applicant Share (40%)		354,526.67
Subtotal - Total Project Cost	**$**	**886,316.67**
Local Funding Adjustment	**$**	**0.00**
Total State Share (60%)		531,790.00
Total Applicant Share (40%)		354,526.67
Total Project Cost	**$**	**886,316.67**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement for the project prior to or concurrent with a valid Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05). Failure to submit an executed Grant Agreement and valid Form SAB 50-05 prior to the deadline shall result in the Apportionment being rescinded without further board action.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

This application is placed on the Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans) pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009. This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future state funding.

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	Fresno Unified	**PTN:**	62166 - 371
Application No:	57/62166-00-149	**County:**	Fresno
School Name:	Columbia Elementary		

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
Mod/Add. Grant	**902-570**	**2**	$	$ 904,163.00	$ 904,163.00
Applicant Share					
District Contribution				602,775.33	
Total			$ **0.00**	$ **1,506,938.33**	$ **904,163.00**
Funding Source:	**Proposition 2 Bonds/2024-Nov.**				

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:		Elementary School
Pupils Assigned Under 50 Yrs:	**K-6:**	0
	Non-Severe:	2
	Severe:	0
Pupils Assigned Over 50 Yrs:	**K-6:**	74
	Non-Severe:	4
	Severe:	1
Recommended Acres:		9.9
Existing Acres:		7.57
Local Funding Adjustment Points		N/A

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

Accessibility Fire Grant	$	23,122.00
Base Grant - Over 50Yr	$	744,073.00
Base Grant - Under 50Yr	$	26,650.00
Fire Detection	$	17,831.00
Small Size Project	$	92,487.00
Subtotal/State Share (60%)		904,163.00
Subtotal/Applicant Share (40%)		602,775.33
Subtotal - Total Project Cost	$	**1,506,938.33**
Local Funding Adjustment	$	**0.00**
Total State Share (60%)		904,163.00
Total Applicant Share (40%)		602,775.33
Total Project Cost	$	**1,506,938.33**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

This application is placed on the Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans) pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009. This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future state funding.

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement for the project prior to or concurrent with a valid Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05). Failure to submit an executed Grant Agreement and valid Form SAB 50-05 prior to the deadline shall result in the Apportionment being rescinded without further board action.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	Saugus Union Elementary	**PTN:**	64998 - 152
Application No:	57/64998-00-013	**County:**	Los Angeles
School Name:	Foster (James) Elementary		

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
Mod/Add. Grant	**902-570**	**2**	**$**	$ 3,353,900.00	**$** 3,353,900.00
Applicant Share					
District Contribution				2,235,933.33	
Total			**$** **0.00**	**$** **5,589,833.33**	**$** **3,353,900.00**
Funding Source:	**Proposition 2 Bonds/2024-Nov.**				

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:		Elementary School
Pupils Assigned Under 50 Yrs:	**K-6:**	480
Recommended Acres:		10.2
Existing Acres:		7.7
Local Funding Adjustment Points		N/A

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

2 Stop Elevator	**$**	166,954.00
Accessibility Fire Grant	**$**	89,986.00
Base Grant - Under 50Yr	**$**	2,999,520.00
Fire Detection	**$**	97,440.00
Subtotal/State Share (60%)		3,353,900.00
Subtotal/Applicant Share (40%)		2,235,933.33
Subtotal - Total Project Cost	**$**	**5,589,833.33**
Local Funding Adjustment	**$**	**0.00**
Total State Share (60%)		3,353,900.00
Total Applicant Share (40%)		2,235,933.33
Total Project Cost	**$**	**5,589,833.33**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

This application is placed on the Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans) pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009. This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future state funding.

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement for the project prior to or concurrent with a valid Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05). Failure to submit an executed Grant Agreement and valid Form SAB 50-05 prior to the deadline shall result in the Apportionment being rescinded without further board action.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

FACILITY HARDSHIP / REHABILITATION PROGRAM

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

School District: Colton Joint Unified
Application Number: 58/67686-00-004
Total District Enrollment: 17,830
County: San Bernardino

School Name: Grand Terrace High
Project Grade Level: 9-12
Financial Hardship: No

TYPE OF REQUEST

State Allocation Board (Board) Apportionment for a School Facility Program (SFP) Facility Hardship Program rehabilitation project.

Total Project Cost: $1,723,335.00
Cost to the State: $1,034,001.00

DESCRIPTION

DESCRIPTION OF HEALTH AND SAFETY THREAT

A report by KNA Structural Engineers dated April 17, 2023, found there was serious deterioration of the concrete edge of the cantilever deck above the gutter of the pool at the Grand Terrace High site. The report explained that this deterioration and rusting was the result of water intrusion infiltration around the edge of the pool and states that “the rusting and deterioration have significantly reduced the capacity of the deck to the point where it poses a significant health and safety to students and staff.”

SCOPE OF PROJECT

The minimum work necessary to mitigate the health and safety threat included the demolition and replacement of the pool deck, the slot drain, and the gutter drain around the entire perimeter of the pool, as well as the replastering of the entire pool.

STATUS OF SCHOOL SITE

The rehabilitation of the pool was complete as of August 21, 2025.

QUALIFYING CRITERIA

GOVERNMENT/STATE LEVEL CONCURRENCE

In two letters dated June 22, 2023, and October 23, 2024, DSA has provided written concurrence that supports the industry specialist's findings and recommended work to mitigate the health and safety threat.

Staff Supports the District's Request: Yes

SITE VISIT COMPLETED BY STAFF

Staff accepted a detailed report from an industry specialist in lieu of a site visit.

AUTHORITY

See Attachment A

RECOMMENDATION

Approve the District's request for an unfunded approval for the rehabilitation of the pool on the Grand Terrace High School site in accordance with SFP Regulation Section 1859.82.1.

ATTACHMENT A

AUTHORITY

Facility Hardship Program – Rehabilitation Projects

(as of August 31, 2020)

Education Code (EC) Section 17075.10(a) states:

> A school district may apply for hardship assistance in cases of extraordinary circumstances. Extraordinary circumstances may include, but are not limited to, the need to repair, reconstruct, or replace the most vulnerable school facilities that are identified as a Category 2 building, as defined in the report submitted pursuant to Section 17317, determined by the department to pose an unacceptable risk of injury to its occupants in the event of a seismic event.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82 states, "A school district may apply for Facility Hardship, including Seismic Mitigation, Program assistance in cases of extraordinary circumstances that have caused an imminent health and safety threat."

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82.1 states that "a district is eligible for Facility Hardship funding to repair, replace, or construct School Buildings or related required components that are currently causing a health and safety threat to the students and/or staff. Projects solely to replace components that have reached the end of their useful life, perform routine maintenance or repair, issues resulting from the deferment of routine maintenance or repair, lack of current code compliance, or the addition of components that were not previously existing, do not meet the qualifying criteria of the program. However, this work may be incorporated into a qualifying Facility Hardship application if it is required to be completed to gain DSA approval."

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82.1(c) states,

> Districts may qualify for funding to rehabilitate their School Buildings, components of School Buildings, or school site conditions. Factors to be considered by the Board may include (1) or (2) below:
>
> (1) School Buildings where the minimum cost to mitigate the health and safety threat and remain in the School Building is 50 percent or less of the Current Replacement Cost.
>
> (2) Components of the School Buildings or school sites conditions are causing a health and safety threat to students and/or staff.

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	Colton Joint Unified	**PTN:**	67686 - 282
Application No:	58/67686-00-004	**County:**	San Bernardino
School Name:	Grand Terrace High		

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
Facility Hardship Grant	**902-570**	**2**	$	$ 1,034,001.00	$ 1,034,001.00
Applicant Share					
District Contribution				689,334.00	
Total			$ **0.00**	$ **1,723,335.00**	$ **1,034,001.00**
Funding Source:	**Proposition 2 Bonds/2024-Nov.**				

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:	High School
Recommended Acres:	47.0
Existing Acres:	65.0
Local Funding Adjustment Points	N/A

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

Rehabilitation	$ 1,034,001.00
Subtotal/State Share (60%)	1,034,001.00
Subtotal/Applicant Share (40%)	689,334.00
Subtotal - Total Project Cost	$ **1,723,335.00**
Local Funding Adjustment	$ **0.00**
Total State Share (60%)	**1,034,001.00**
Total Applicant Share (40%)	**689,334.00**
Total Project Cost	$ **1,723,335.00**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

This application is placed on the Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans) pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009. This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future state funding.

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement for the project prior to or concurrent with a valid Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05). Failure to submit an executed Grant Agreement and valid Form SAB 50-05 prior to the deadline shall result in the Apportionment being rescinded without further board action.

FACILITY HARDSHIP / REHABILITATION PROGRAM

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

School District: Mountain Empire Unified
Application Number: 58/68213-00-004
Total District Enrollment: 4,284
County: San Diego
School Name: Hillside Jr-Sr And Sunrise Cont. (At Pine Valley)
Project Grade Level: 7-12
Financial Hardship: Yes

The District qualifies for Financial Hardship pursuant to School Facility Program (SFP) Regulation Section 1859.81(c)(5), based on other evidence of reasonable effort as approved by the State Allocation Board on February 26, 2025.

TYPE OF REQUEST

State Allocation Board (Board) design funding for placement on the Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans) for a School Facility Program (SFP) Facility Hardship rehabilitation project.

Estimated Total Project Cost: $92,594.00
Estimated Total Cost to the State: $92,594.00
Total Design Cost to the State: $13,888.33

DESCRIPTION

DESCRIPTION OF HEALTH AND SAFETY THREAT

A Facility Condition Assessment Report compiled by OBR Architecture dated October 9, 2025, and incorporating a structural assessment conducted by AARK Engineering, Inc., dated October 8, 2025, identifies severe structural paneling deterioration to permanent Building E, which houses a preschool program, a childcare program, and kitchen facilities, on the Hillside Jr-Sr And Sunrise Cont. (At Pine Valley) site. The AARK Engineering, Inc., report notes visible dry rot damage to Building E overhang framing elements as well as significant damage to the wood sheathing/side panels which compose the lateral force resisting system, which may result in structural failure of the building during a seismic event.

SCOPE OF PROJECT

Mitigation work includes the further exploration of the damage to the framing, wood siding, and roof sheathing and the removal and replacement of damaged wall panels and roof sheathing.

STATUS OF SCHOOL SITE

The District is currently in the process of designing the plans for this project.

QUALIFYING CRITERIA

GOVERNMENT/STATE LEVEL CONCURRENCE

The Division of the State Architect has provided written concurrence to the industry specialist's findings and proposed recommended work to mitigate the health and safety threat.

Staff Supports the District's Request: Yes

SITE VISIT COMPLETED BY STAFF

Staff accepted a detailed report from an industry specialist in lieu of a site visit.

AUTHORITY

See Attachment A

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve the District's request for an unfunded approval for design-only funding for the rehabilitation of Building E on the Hillside Jr-Sr And Sunrise Cont. (At Pine Valley) site, pursuant to SFP Regulation Section 1859.82.1(c).
2. Provide that the District must file an Approved Application for funding within 18 months of Apportionment, pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.82.1(f).
3. Provide that any future funding will be subject to all governing laws and regulations, and the availability of funds.

AUTHORITY

Facility Hardship Program – Rehabilitation Projects

(as of November 6, 2024)

Education Code (EC) Section 17075.10(a) states:

> (1) For health and safety projects for school facilities that are determined by the department to pose an unacceptable risk of injury to occupants in the event of a seismic event, a school district shall demonstrate both of the following to the satisfaction of the board:
> (A) That due to unusual circumstances that are beyond the control of the school district, excessive costs need to be incurred in the construction of school facilities.
> (B) That the facilities are needed to ensure the health and safety of pupils if the health and safety of pupils is at risk.
> (2) For purposes of paragraph (1), health and safety projects include projects to replace the most vulnerable school facilities that are identified as a Category 2 building, as defined in the report submitted pursuant to Section 17317.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.18.1(a) states:

> (a) For Approved Applications for funding submitted on or after October 31, 2024, applicants to the Facility Hardship and Seismic Mitigation Programs in Sections 1859.82.1 and 1859.82.2 must submit a Master Plan as follows:
> (1) A complete and valid Master Plan must be submitted within 18 months of fund release, or concurrently with submittal of the 100 percent complete Form SAB 50-06 if it is submitted less than 18 months following fund release.
> (2) A local governing board resolution acknowledging the Apportionment may be rescinded for failure to submit a complete and valid Master Plan within the timeline specified in (a)(1) must be submitted with the Approved Application.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82 states, “A school district may apply for Facility Hardship, including Seismic Mitigation, Program assistance in cases of extraordinary circumstances that have caused an imminent health and safety threat.”

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82.1 states in part:

> A district is eligible for Facility Hardship funding to repair, replace, or construct School Buildings or related required components that are currently causing a health and safety threat to the students and/or staff. Projects solely to replace components that have reached the end of their useful life, perform routine maintenance or repair, issues resulting from the deferment of routine maintenance or repair, lack of current code compliance, or the addition of components that were not previously existing, do not meet the qualifying criteria of the program. However, this work may be incorporated into a qualifying Facility Hardship application if it is required to be completed to gain DSA approval.”

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82.1(c) states in part:

> Districts may qualify for funding to rehabilitate their School Buildings, components of School Buildings, or school site conditions. Factors to be considered by the Board may include (1) or (2) below:
>
> (1) School Buildings where the minimum cost to mitigate the health and safety threat and remain in the School Building is 50 percent or less of the Current Replacement Cost.
>
> (2) Components of the School Buildings or school sites conditions are causing a health and safety threat to students and/or staff.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82.1(f) states that “Districts that qualify for Financial Hardship assistance may file an application for design funding, site funding, and determination of program eligibility in advance of construction funding.”

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82.1(f) further states:

> (5) The district is required to submit an Approved Application for funding and an updated Form SAB 58-01 for projects funded in accordance to 1859.82.1(c) or site development worksheet for projects funded in accordance to 1859.82.1(b), that reflects the final approved drawings for the project within:
>
> (A) 18 months from the date of Apportionment if the project scope will be for repair or replacement on the same site.
>
> (B) 24 months from the date of Apportionment if the project scope is for the replacement of School Building(s) which will be located on a replacement site.
>
> (6) If the district does not submit the Approved Application for funding within the timelines required by Section (2) above, the application will be reduced to eligible costs incurred.
>
> (7) Upon receipt of the Approved Application, the application will be reviewed for conformance with all program laws and regulations.”

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	Mountain Empire Unified	**PTN:**	68213 - 42
Application No:	58/68213-00-004	**County:**	San Diego
School Name:	Hillside Jr-Sr and Sunrise Cont. (at Pine Valley)		

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
Facility Hardship Design	**902-570**	**2**	**$**	**$** 8,333.00	**$** 8,333.00
Applicant Share					
District Contribution					
Financial Hardship	**902-570**	**2**		5,555.33	5,555.33
Total			**$** **0.00**	**$** **13,888.33**	**$** **13,888.33**
Funding Source:	**Proposition 2 Bonds/2024-Nov.**				

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:	High School

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

Separate Design Grant	
Total Design (25% of Grant)	**$** **13,888.33**
Total State Share (60%)	8,333.00
Applicant Share (40%)	5,555.33
Total Project Cost	**$** **13,888.33**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

This application is placed on the Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans) pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009. This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future state funding.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement pursuant to School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.90.4 for the project prior to the release of funds.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant qualifies for financial hardship pursuant to School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.81(c)(5). The Applicant qualifies for financial hardship using other evidence of reasonable effort as approved by the Board on February 26, 2025.

The Applicant is required to submit a complete and valid Master Plan pursuant to SFP Regulation Section 1859.18 within the time period stated in Section 1859.18.1.

FACILITY HARDSHIP / REHABILITATION PROGRAM

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

School District: Anderson Valley Unified
Application Number: 58/65540-00-004
Total District Enrollment: 377
County: Mendocino
School Name: Anderson Valley Junior-Senior High
Project Grade Level: 7-12
Financial Hardship: No

TYPE OF REQUEST

State Allocation Board (Board) Conceptual Approval for a School Facility Program (SFP) Seismic Mitigation Program (SMP) Rehabilitation Project.

Estimated Total Project Cost: $3,875,815.00
Estimated Cost to the State: $2,325,489.00

DESCRIPTION

DESCRIPTION OF HEALTH AND SAFETY THREAT

A report by Hohbach-Lewin, Inc., dated November 10, 2023, identifies several structural deficiencies in the Gymnasium Building on the Anderson Valley Junior-Senior High site. The report references multiple material insufficiencies, including torsion in the precast concrete arch diaphragm at the entry cantilever, deficient wall anchorage at the flexible diaphragms, and insufficient deflection compatibility in concrete frames, as well as insufficiencies in the straight sheathing, wood ledgers, spans, and the stiffness of the wall anchors. The material insufficiencies identified in the report render the building at high risk of collapse in the event of seismic activity. The building qualifies for the SMP based on the qualifying criteria in Regulation Section 1859.82.3(b)(1) for conceptual approval.

SCOPE OF PROJECT

The scope of this project is the seismic mitigation of the existing gymnasium building, as well as the minimum DSA-required access compliance and fire and life safety upgrades.

STATUS OF SCHOOL SITE

The District is seeking a conceptual approval for SMP funding in order to develop a budget before proceeding with plans for the project.

QUALIFYING CRITERIA

GOVERNMENT/STATE LEVEL CONCURRENCE

The Gymnasium Building on the Anderson Valley Junior-Senior High site was classified as a Most Vulnerable Category 2 Building type as defined in SFP Regulations and has met the qualifying criteria of the SMP. DSA approved the Phase One (Eligibility Evaluation) and the Phase Two (Replacement Option Analysis and Design Criteria) reports. These approvals enable the District to apply for conceptual approval for the SMP.

Staff Supports the District's Request: Yes

SITE VISIT COMPLETED BY STAFF

Staff completed two site visits in 2023.

AUTHORITY

See Attachment

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve the District's request for conceptual approval for rehabilitation funding for the Gymnasium Building on the Anderson Valley Junior-Senior High, pursuant to SFP Regulation Section 1859.82.3(b)(2).
2. Provide that this conceptual approval does not guarantee a commitment to funding by the Board. Any potential future funding will be subject to the applicable governing laws and regulations, as well as the availability of program funds.

ATTACHMENT

AUTHORITY

Facility Hardship Program – Rehabilitation Projects
(as of November 6, 2024)

Education Code (EC) Section 17075.10(a) states:

> (1) For health and safety projects for school facilities that are determined by the department to pose an unacceptable risk of injury to occupants in the event of a seismic event, a school district shall demonstrate both of the following to the satisfaction of the board:
> (A) That due to unusual circumstances that are beyond the control of the school district, excessive costs need to be incurred in the construction of school facilities.
> (B) That the facilities are needed to ensure the health and safety of pupils if the health and safety of pupils is at risk.
> (2) For purposes of paragraph (1), health and safety projects include projects to replace the most vulnerable school facilities that are identified as a Category 2 building, as defined in the report submitted pursuant to Section 17317.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82 states, "A school district may apply for Facility Hardship, including Seismic Mitigation, Program assistance in cases of extraordinary circumstances that have caused an imminent health and safety threat."

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82.2 states that "A school district is eligible for funding to repair, reconstruct, or replace the Most Vulnerable Category 2 Buildings which were originally constructed to be used as School Facilities and pose an unacceptable risk of injury to its occupants in the event of seismic activity."

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82.2(c) states in part:

> Districts may qualify for seismic mitigation funding to rehabilitate their School Buildings including lunch shelters and covered walkways, exterior square footage of School Buildings, or site conditions. Factors to be considered by the Board may include (1) or (2) below:
> (1) School Buildings where the minimum cost to rehabilitate and remain in the School Building is 50 percent or less of the Current Replacement Cost.
> (2) Square footage of the Most Vulnerable Category 2 School Buildings, covered walkways, and lunch shelters that need repair or replacement to mitigate a collapse potential in the event of seismic activity as confirmed by the DSA.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82.3. states, in part:

> A district may request approval from the Board to determine eligibility for facility hardship or seismic mitigation programs in advance of project funding. Board approval does not constitute a reservation of bond authority. Board approval only confirms the district meets the criteria in Section 1859.82.
>
> ….
>
> (b) To request conceptual approval for seismic mitigation projects, the district must submit an Application For Funding (Form SAB 50-04) indicating the request for conceptual approval and all documents listed below:
>
> ….
>
> (2) For rehabilitation projects, all of the documents listed in Section 1859.82.2(c)(3)(A) through Section 1859.82.2(c)(3)(E).

FACILITY HARDSHIP PROGRAM / REPLACEMENT

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

School District: Mountain Empire Unified
Application Number: 51/68213-00-005
Total District Enrollment: 4,284
County: San Diego

School Name: Hillside Jr-Sr And Sunrise Cont. (At Pine Valley)
Project Grade Level: 7-12
Financial Hardship: Yes

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To present an adjustment to the previously approved funding amount for application number 51/68213-00-005 and to update the name of the school site.

DESCRIPTION

On February 25, 2026, the Board approved application number 51/68213-00-005 for placement on the Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans) for JCS Pine Valley school site. The application was for design funding for the demolition and replacement of six portable classroom buildings, one portable toilet building, and one modular building. After the Board's approval, the District and Office of Public School Construction (OPSC) staff determined that there was an error in the site name identification due to multiple sites in the District having similar names. Due to this misidentification, staff determined that the incorrect site enrollment was used to calculate the eligible grant amount for the project.

Therefore, OPSC staff worked with the District to update the site name and the enrollment. The correct site name is Hillside Junior-Senior and Sunrise Continuation High (at Pine Valley). Using the updated enrollment, staff determined that the District qualified for less square footage to be replaced in the project and recalculated the eligible grant amount. The result is a reduction to the total eligible grant amount for the project.

In order to provide the District with the appropriate grants for the project, staff recommends that the combined State Share and Financial Hardship assistance of the design funds be reduced from $230,187.30 to $169,068.90 and change the site name to Hillside Junior-Senior and Sunrise Continuation High (at Pine Valley) as shown in the Attachment.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $61,118.40 in the Unfunded Approval [Proposition 2, 902-500] from $230,187.30 to $169,068.90.
2. Approve the site name change from JCS Pine Valley to Hillside Junior-Senior and Sunrise Continuation High (at Pine Valley)
3. Approve the application number 51/682-00-005 to maintain its previously approved unfunded approval date of February 25, 2026.

ATTACHMENT

SAB Meeting: **August 5, 2026** **Facility Hardship Replacement - Amended Separate Design**

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	Mountain Empire Unified	**PTN:**	68213 - 42
Application No:	51/68213-00-005	**County:**	San Diego
School Name:	Hillside Jr-Sr And Sunrise Cont. (At Pine Valley)	**Filing Basis:**	District-wide

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
Facility Hardship Design	**902-500**	**2**	$ 126,387.20	$ (30,559.20)	$ (30,559.20)
Applicant Share					
District Contribution			22,587.10		
Financial Hardship	**902-500**	**2**	103,800.10	(30,559.20)	(30,559.20)
Total			**$ 252,774.40**	**$ (61,118.40)**	**$ (61,118.40)**

Funding Source: **Proposition 2 Bonds/2024-Nov.**

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:	High School
Addition to Existing Site:	No
Fac. Hardship Replacement Toilet (sq. ft.):	0.00
Fac. Hardship Replacement Other (sq.ft.):	800.00
Fac. Hardship Replacement Portable Toilet:	215.00
Fac. Hardship Replacement	3,840.00

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

Separate Design Grant

Total Design (40% of New Const. Grant)	**$ 191,656.00**
Total State Share (50%)	95,828.00
Applicant Share (50%)	95,828.00
Total Project Cost	**$ 191,656.00**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

This project is being amended as a result of a correction for the site name and for the square footage that is eligible for Facility Hardship Replacement funding.

This application is placed on the Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans) pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009. This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future state funding.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement pursuant to School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.90.4 for the project prior to the release of funds.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant qualifies for financial hardship pursuant to School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.81(c)(5). The Applicant qualifies for financial hardship using other evidence of reasonable effort as approved by the Board on February 26, 2025.

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	Greenfield Union	**PTN:**	63503 - 29
Application No:	50/63503-00-021	**County:**	Kern
School Name:	Crescent Elementary #10	**Filing Basis:**	District-wide

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
NC/Add. Grant	**902-500**	**2**	$ 4,486,793.00	$ (47,981.00)	$ (47,981.00)
Applicant Share					
District Contribution			4,486,793.00	(47,981.00)	
Total			**$ 8,973,586.00**	**$ (95,962.00)**	**$ (47,981.00)**

Funding Source: **Proposition 2 Bonds/2024-Nov.**

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:	Elementary School
Pupils Assigned:	
Non-Severe:	1
Number of Classrooms:	29
Addition to Existing Site:	No
Master Plan Acres:	17.0
Recommended Acres:	11.1
Proposed Acres:	18.86
Local Funding Adjustment Points	N/A

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

Automatic Sprinkler System	$	562.00
Base Grant	$	29,782.00
Fire Detection	$	56.00
General Site	$	440,356.00
Off Site	$	1,151,935.00
Service Site Development	$	2,615,368.00
Utilities	$	200,753.00
Subtotal/State Share (50%)		4,438,812.00
Subtotal/Applicant Share (50%)		4,438,812.00
Subtotal - Total Project Cost	$	**8,877,624.00**
Local Funding Adjustment	$	0.00
Total State Share (50%)		4,438,812.00
Total Applicant Share (50%)		4,438,812.00
Total Project Cost	$	**8,877,624.00**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

This item is being amended to revise the General Site Development grant amount which the Applicant received at the May 20, 2026, SAB meeting, in the amount of $488,337. Pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.76, a proration to the General Site Development grant must be applied to the project, therefore the grant amount is being revised to $440,356. This project shall maintain its Unfunded Approval date of May 20, 2026.

This application is placed on the Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans) pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009. This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future state funding.

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement for the project prior to or concurrent with a valid Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05). Failure to submit an executed Grant Agreement and valid Form SAB 50-05 prior to the deadline shall result in the Apportionment being rescinded without further board action.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

The Unfunded approval of this application is contingent upon the confirmation of the eligible expenditures by the OPSC audit team.

State of California
State Allocation Board

CALIFORNIA PRESCHOOL, TRANSITIONAL KINDERGARTEN AND FULL-DAY KINDERGARTEN FACILITIES GRANT PROGRAM

This Resolution of the State Allocation Board (hereafter referred to as the "Board") is applicable to the appropriate sections of the Education Code and is described and filed in the office of the Executive Officer and will be made available to all interested parties as the Resolution pertains to the documents attached hereto. Said documents were acted upon by the Board at its meeting on August 5, 2026.

WHEREAS, the Board has determined to be approvable a number of projects for new construction or retrofit eligibility of California preschool, transitional kindergarten and/or kindergarten facilities for school districts, it is making apportionments and/or adjustments for the grant amounts for projects that meet the Board's criteria for the apportionment of grants pursuant to Education Code Section 17375.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED:

1. The Board anticipates that the school district(s) will pay certain capital expenditures in connection with some or all of the project(s) cost.

2. The Board, acting on behalf of the State, hereby declares that it is the State's official intent to use General Fund proceeds that are available for such purpose, consistent with the requirements of law that are in effect at the time the funds are available, to provide grants, in accordance with applicable laws and regulations.

3. This Resolution shall be continuously available for inspection by the general public during normal business hours at the office of the Board at 707 3rd Street, 3rd Floor, West Sacramento, California, commencing within one week after the date of enactment of this Resolution.

I, the undersigned, duly authorized as the Executive Officer of the State Allocation Board, do hereby certify the foregoing to be true and correct, and that this Resolution was adopted at a meeting of said Board on August 5, 2026 at Sacramento, California.

Rebecca Kirk
Executive Officer
State Allocation Board

CALIFORNIA PRESCHOOL, TRANSITIONAL KINDERGARTEN AND FULL-DAY KINDERGARTEN FACILITIES GRANT PROGRAM FUND RELEASE TIME EXTENSIONS

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To present school districts' requests for an extension to the deadline to submit a Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 70-02) for previously approved California Preschool, Transitional Kindergarten and Full-Day Kindergarten Facilities Grant Program (Program) funding applications.

DESCRIPTION

Program regulations require that school districts participating in the Program must submit a complete Form SAB 70-02 within 18 months of receiving an apportionment. In addition to the complete Form, applicants must also enter into a binding contract for at least 90 percent of the building construction activities of the project. If the school district is unable to meet this requirement, the school district may submit in writing other evidence satisfactory to the State Allocation Board (Board) of circumstances beyond the control of the school district that precludes the school district from submitting a complete Form SAB 70-02 within 18 months. A complete form is inclusive of a California Department of Education (CDE) plan approval and the Division of the State Architect (DSA)-approved plans and specifications for the project. The school districts listed on Attachment A have submitted written requests for an extension to the Office of Public School Construction (OPSC). Therefore, OPSC is presenting these requests to the Board for consideration.

AUTHORITY

Regulation Section 1860.16(f) states, in part:

> For an Apportionment received pursuant to Section 1860.7(b) and 1860.7(d), where submittal of the necessary CDE plan approval and/or DSA-approved plans and specifications were not required at the time the Board granted an Apportionment, the school district has up to 18 months to submit the required approvals and a complete *Fund Release Request* (Form SAB 70-02) from the date of the first Apportionment. The school district may submit in writing other evidence satisfactory to the Board of circumstances beyond the control of the school district that precludes the school district from submitting the required CDE plan approval, DSA-approved plans and specifications, and a complete Form SAB 70-02 within 18 months from the date of the first Apportionment. If the required submittals or other evidence in writing are not received within 18 months of the first Apportionment, the Apportionment shall be rescinded, and the Full-Day Kindergarten Facilities Grant will return to the Program for reallocation without further Board action.
>
> (1) School districts submitting other evidence shall have up to three years from the date of the first Apportionment to submit the required approvals and a complete *Fund Release Authorization* (Form SAB 70-02). This shall not extend the deadline in Section 1860.18.

STAFF COMMENTS

OPSC received a letter from one school district requesting an extension to the 18-month Program deadline to submit a complete Form SAB 70-02 (Attachment B). OPSC reviewed the school district's letter and determined that they appear to demonstrate other evidence of circumstances beyond their control that preclude them from submitting a complete Form SAB 70-02 within the required time period. Attachment A includes the application number, school district name, a summary of the circumstances, the current due date, and the proposed new due date for each application. Staff recommends approval of the school districts' requests as shown on Attachment A.

The school districts are advised that approval of the extension does not extend the three-year deadline to complete the project and submit a complete Expenditure Report (Form SAB 70-03) with final expenditures.

RECOMMENDATION

Approve the school districts' request for a time extension to the fund release deadline as indicated for each application listed on Attachment A under the column heading "Staff Recommendations."

ATTACHMENT A

Program Fund Release Time Extensions
CALIFORNIA PRESCHOOL, TRANSITIONAL KINDERGARTEN AND FULL-DAY KINDERGARTEN FACILITIES GRANT PROGRAM (PROGRAM)
State Allocation Board Meeting, August 05, 2026

Application Number & Applicant	***Original Fund Release Deadline***	***Requested Extension Deadline***	***Summary of School District Narrative***	***Staff Recommendations***
70/75168-00-002 El Tejon Unified/ Kern County Frazier Park Elementary	March 27, 2025	August 27, 2026	The District received an apportionment for New Construction Design Advance on September 27, 2023. The District received approval from the Board for an extension on two prior occasions listed below due to conditions beyond the District's control: 1) May 28, 2025 2) February 25, 2026 On June 15, 2026, the District requested a third extension until August 27, 2026, due to delays in obtaining DSA and CDE Final Plan Approval. The District had finalized plans for the modular construction; however, due to the site's location in an earthquake zone, the DSA required the project to undergo a full review process. In response, the District hired a consultant to conduct a geohazard report and resubmitted the project to DSA on May 21, 2026 and to CDE on May 18, 2026. The project is in the final phases of DSA and CDE approval. The district's consultant conducting the geohazard report has been actively collaborating with DSA to expedite the approval process, which is anticipated to be finalized by mid-July. Additionally, the CDE Final Plan Approval is anticipated to be granted by June 22nd. Therefore, the District is requesting an extension to August 27, 2026.	1. Approve the District's request for an extension to August 27, 2026. 2. Require the District to submit a complete *Fund Release Authorization* (Form SAB 70-02) by August 27, 2026, or the apportionment will be rescinded without further Board action.

ATTACHMENT B

El Tejon Unified School District

Sara Haflich
Superintendent

Board Members: Stephanie Pope, Deborah Turner, Cindy McNatt, Patrice Barnes, & Tommy Hastings

Date: June 15, 2026

Lindsey Walden | Program Supervisor, Program Services
California Department of General Services
Interagency Services Division - Office of Public-School Construction

The district is providing an update regarding project 70/75168-00-002.

This project is for a single TK classroom and was approved by the SAB on 9/27/2023.

The district requested an extension for the design portion of the project on December 16, 2025. That approval required submission of the SAB 70-02 by June 27, 2026.

The plans were submitted to CDE on May 18, 2026 and DSA on May 21, 2026.

The project is in the final phases of CDE and DSA approval. The CDE Final Approval letter is anticipated to be sent by June 22nd. The district's consultant for the geohazard report has diligently worked with DSA to get approvals as soon as possible. The approval is anticipated to be sent out by mid-July.

Therefore, the submittal of the SAB 70-02 is now anticipated to occur, at the latest, in August of 2026.

The classroom building has already been bid as it is a pre-approved DSA building, and therefore, only the sitework will need to be bid once the DSA approval is complete. Therefore, it is still feasible and anticipated that the overall project costs will be encumbered and spent by September 27, 2026, the deadline to file the SAB 70-03 expenditure report.

Due to these unforeseen additional challenges outside the control of the school district, we are asking that the deadline to submit the 70-02 be extended from June 27, 2026 to August 27, 2026.

If you would like to schedule a meeting with the District and its consultants on this project, we would be happy to schedule that.

Thank you for your ongoing assistance with this project.


Thank you,

Misty Johnston
Chief Business Official

P.O. Box 876 Lebec, California 93243 (661) 248-6247 Fax: (661) 248-6714
district@el-tejon.kl2.ca.us

Financial Reports

SCHOOL FACILITY PROGRAM
STATUS OF FUND RELEASES



SCHOOL FACILITY PROGRAM

Available Funds (in Millions) As of August 5, 2026

Prop. 2 - $8.5 Billion - November 2024										
Program	Original Bond Allocation	Remaining Bond Authority as of June 24, 2026	Estimated Approvals for August 5, 2026	Special Items/PIF	Administrative Costs Adjustment	Remaining Bond Authority as of August 5, 2026 (excludes Unfunded Approvals)	Accumulated Unfunded Approvals as of June 24, 2026	Estimated Unfunded Approvals for August 5, 2026	Special Items/PIF	Remaining Bond Authority as of August 5, 2026 (includes Unfunded Approvals)
New Construction	$2,970.0	$2,447.8				$2,447.8	-$231.9	-$11.6		$2,204.3
Small School District	330.0	330.0				330.0				330.0
Modernization	3,485.0	2,605.2				2,605.2	-471.1	-129.5		2,004.6
Small School District	400.0	400.0				400.0				400.0
Lead in Water Remediation	115.0	115.0				115.0				115.0
Career Technical Education	600.0	594.3				594.3				594.3
Charter School	600.0	594.3				594.3		-538.4		55.9
SUBTOTAL	**$8,500.0**	**$7,086.6**	**$0.0**	**$0.0**	**$0.0**	**$7,086.6** [A]	**-$703.0**	**-$679.5**	**$0.0**	**$5,704.1**

AB 181 - Leroy F. Greene School Facilities Act of 1998										
Program	Original Bond Allocation	Remaining Bond Authority as of June 24, 2026	Estimated Approvals for August 5, 2026	Special Items/PIF	Administrative Costs Adjustment	Remaining Bond Authority as of August 5, 2026 (excludes Unfunded Approvals)	Accumulated Unfunded Approvals as of June 24, 2026	Estimated Unfunded Approvals for August 5, 2026	Special Items/PIF	Remaining Bond Authority as of August 5, 2026 (includes Unfunded Approvals)
General Fund FY 22/23	$1,300.0	$0.8				$0.8				$0.8
General Fund FY 23/24	1,960.5	0.0				0.0				0.0
SUBTOTAL	**$3,260.5**	**$0.8**	**$0.0**	**$0.0**	**$0.0**	**$0.8** [A]	**$0.0**	**$0.0**	**$0.0**	**$0.8**

Prop. 51 - $7 Billion - November 2016; General Fund - $250 million - 2021/2022										
Program	Original Bond Allocation	Remaining Bond Authority as of June 24, 2026	Estimated Approvals for August 5, 2026	Special Items/PIF	Administrative Costs Adjustment [C]	Remaining Bond Authority as of August 5, 2026 (excludes Unfunded Approvals)	Accumulated Unfunded Approvals as of June 24, 2026	Estimated Unfunded Approvals for August 5, 2026	Special Items/PIF	Remaining Bond Authority as of August 5, 2026 (includes Unfunded Approvals)
New Construction	$3,000.0	$2.9			$0.6	$3.5	-$2.6	-$0.3		$0.6 [B]
Modernization	3,000.0	5.5			0.6	6.1	-5.1	-0.1		0.9 [B]
Career Technical Education	500.0	12.0			0.1	12.1				12.1
Charter School	500.0	75.8			0.1	75.9	-60.2	-15.7		0.0
General Fund FY 21/22	250.0	1.0				1.0				1.0 [B]
SUBTOTAL	**$7,250.0**	**$97.2**	**$0.0**	**$0.0**	**$1.4**	**$98.6** [A]	**-$67.9**	**-$16.1**	**$0.0**	**$14.6**
TOTAL PAGE 1	**19,010.5**	**7,184.6**	**0.0**	**0.0**	**1.4**	**7,186.0** [A]	**-$770.9**	**-695.6**	**0.0**	**5,719.5**

A. Balance of bonding authority excludes unfunded approvals.

B. Total authority is not available at this time due to outstanding accounts receivable. Proposition 51 $750 for New Construction, $281,028 for Modernization, and $1,022,824 for General Fund FY 21/22.

C. FY 23/24 Reverted Administrative costs returned to bond authority. Prop 51 returned $638,745 for New Construction, $638,745 for Modernization, $107,382 for Career Technical Education and $107,382 for Charter.

SCHOOL FACILITY PROGRAM

Available Funds (in Millions) As of August 5, 2026

Prop. 1D - $7.3 Billion - November 2006										
Program	**Original Bond Allocation**	**Remaining Bond Authority as of June 24, 2026**	**Estimated Approvals for August 5, 2026**	**Special Items/PIF**	**Administrative Costs Adjustment**	**Remaining Bond Authority as of August 5, 2026 (excludes Unfunded Approvals)**	**Accumulated Unfunded Approvals as of June 24, 2026**	**Estimated Unfunded Approvals for August 5, 2026**	**Special Items/PIF**	**Remaining Bond Authority as of August 5, 2026 (includes Unfunded Approvals)**
New Construction	$1,900.0	$0.0				$0.0				$0.0
Seismic Repair		0.1				0.1				0.1
Modernization	3,300.0	0.0				0.0				0.0
Career Technical Education	500.0	0.1				0.1				0.1
High Performance Schools	100.0	0.0				0.0				0.0
Overcrowding Relief	1,000.0	6.4				6.4				6.4
Charter School	500.0	60.4				60.4	-$43.0	-$17.3		0.1 [F]
Joint Use	57.5 [B]	0.0				0.0				0.0
SUBTOTAL	**$7,357.5**	**$67.0**	**$0.0**	**$0.0**	**$0.0**	**$67.0** [A]	**-$43.0**	**-$17.3**	**$0.0**	**$6.7**

Prop. 55 - $10 Billion - March 2004										
Program	**Original Bond Allocation**	**Remaining Bond Authority as of June 24, 2026**	**Estimated Approvals for August 5, 2026**	**Special Items/PIF**	**Administrative Costs Adjustment**	**Remaining Bond Authority as of August 5, 2026 (excludes Unfunded Approvals)**	**Accumulated Unfunded Approvals as of June 24, 2026**	**Estimated Unfunded Approvals for August 5, 2026**	**Special Items/PIF**	**Remaining Bond Authority as of August 5, 2026 (includes Unfunded Approvals)**
New Construction	$4,965.8 [C, D]	$0.2				$0.2	-$0.2			$0.0
Modernization	2,250.0	0.0				0.0				0.0
Critically Overcrowded Schools	2,440.0	0.0				0.0				0.0
Charter School	300.0	21.3				21.3	-15.2	-$6.1		0.0
Joint Use	66.7 [E]	0.0				0.0				0.0
SUBTOTAL	**$10,022.5**	**$21.5**	**$0.0**	**$0.0**	**$0.0**	**$21.5** [A]	**-$15.4**	**-$6.1**	**$0.0**	**$0.0**

Prop. 47 - $11.4 Billion - November 2002										
Program	**Original Bond Allocation**	**Remaining Bond Authority as of June 24, 2026**	**Estimated Approvals for August 5, 2026**	**Special Items/PIF**	**Administrative Costs Adjustment**	**Remaining Bond Authority as of August 5, 2026 (excludes Unfunded Approvals)**	**Accumulated Unfunded Approvals as of June 24, 2026**	**Estimated Unfunded Approvals for August 5, 2026**	**Special Items/PIF**	**Remaining Bond Authority as of August 5, 2026 (includes Unfunded Approvals)**
New Construction	$6,250.0	$0.0				$0.0				$0.0
Modernization	3,300.0	0.0				0.0				0.0
Critically Overcrowded Schools	1,700.0	0.0				0.0				0.0
Charter School	100.0	4.3				4.3	-$1.0	-$3.3		0.0
Joint Use	50.0	0.0				0.0				0.0
SUBTOTAL	**$11,400.0**	**$4.3**	**$0.0**	**$0.0**	**$0.0**	**$4.3** [A]	**-$1.0**	**-$3.3**	**$0.0**	**$0.0**
TOTAL PAGE 2	**28,780.0**	**92.8**	**0.0**	**0.0**	**0.0**	**92.8** [A]	**-59.4**	**-26.7**	**0.0**	**6.7**

A. Balance of Bonding Authority Excludes Unfunded Approvals.

B. The original bond allocation of $29 million augmented by $21 million from Prior Bond Funds to Joint Use at the 06/27/07 SAB meeting and $7.5 million at the 7/23/08 SAB meeting pursuant to Assembly Bill 127, Chapter 35, Statutes of 2006 (Perata/Nunez).

C. The original bond allocation of $4,960,000,000 augmented by $5,831,911 from Prior Bonds at the 10/6/2010 SAB meeting.

D. It includes the transfer of Critically Overcrowded School Facilities Program Funds to New Construction (transfers in Prop. 55 includes: $268.8 million approved at the 1/25/2006 SAB meeting, $318.3 million approved at the 9/23/2009 SAB meeting, $225 million approved at the 8/4/2010 SAB meeting, $211.7 million approved at the 12/15/2010 SAB meeting, $145 million at the 4/25/2012 SAB meeting, $30.4 million after the 3/20/2013 SAB meeting, and $32,297 after the 3/26/2014 SAB meeting per SFP Regulation Sections 1859.154 (c)).

E. Original bond allocation of $50,000,000 augmented by $15,547,233 from the State School Building Aid Fund at the 2/28/2007 SAB meeting and by $1,232,224 from Prior Bonds at the 10/6/2010 SAB meeting.

F. Total authority is not available at this time due to outstanding accounts receivable. Proposition 1D $90,603 for Charter School.

SCHOOL FACILITY PROGRAM

Available Funds (in Millions) As of August 5, 2026

Prop. 1A - $6.7 Billion - November 1998										
Program	Original Bond Allocation	Remaining Bond Authority as of June 24, 2026	Estimated Approvals for August 5, 2026	Special Items/PIF	Administrative Costs Adjustment	Remaining Bond Authority as of August 5, 2026 (excludes Unfunded Approvals)	Accumulated Unfunded Approvals as of June 24, 2026	Estimated Unfunded Approvals for August 5, 2026	Special Items/PIF	Remaining Bond Authority as of August 5, 2026 (includes Unfunded Approvals)
New Construction	$2,900.0	$0.0				$0.0				$0.0
Modernization	2,100.0	0.0				0.0				0.0
Hardship	1,000.0	0.0				0.0				0.0
Class Size Reduction	700.0	0.0				0.0				0.0
SUBTOTAL	**$6,700.0**	**$0.0**	**$0.0**	**$0.0**	**$0.0**	**$0.0** [A]	**$0.0**	**$0.0**	**$0.0**	**$0.0**
TOTAL PAGE 3	**$6,700.0**	**$0.0**	**$0.0**	**$0.0**	**$0.0**	**$0.0**	**$0.0**	**$0.0**	**$0.0**	**$0.0**
TOTAL PAGE 2	**$28,780.0**	**$92.8**	**$0.0**	**$0.0**	**$0.0**	**$92.8**	**-$59.4**	**-$26.7**	**$0.0**	**$6.7**
TOTAL PAGE 1	**$19,010.5**	**$7,184.6**	**$0.0**	**$0.0**	**$1.4**	**$7,186.0**	**-$770.9**	**-$695.6**	**$0.0**	**$5,719.5**
GRAND TOTAL	**$54,490.5**	**$7,277.4**	**$0.0**	**$0.0**	**$1.4**	**$7,278.8**	**-$830.3**	**-$722.3**	**$0.0**	**$5,726.2**


California Preschool, Transitional and Full-Day Kindergarten Facilities Grant Program

Program	Appropriation	Remaining Authority as of June 24, 2026	Estimated Approvals for August 5, 2026	Miscellaneous Adjustments for August 5, 2026	Administrative Costs Adjustment	Remaining Authority as of August 5, 2026
Chapter 32, Statutes of 2018 (AB 1808) - Full-Day Kindergarten FY 18/19	$97.2 [B]	$0.0				$0.0
Chapter 44, Statutes of 2021 (AB 130) California Preschool, Transitional and Full-Day Kindergarten FY 21-22	490.0	31.8				31.8
Chapter 52, Statutes of 2022 (AB 181) California Preschool, Transitional and Full-Day Kindergarten FY 22-23	100.0	3.4				3.4
TOTAL	**$687.2**	**$35.2**	**$0.0**	**$0.0**	**$0.0**	**$35.2**

A. Balance of Bonding Authority Excludes Unfunded Approvals.

B. Full-Day Kindergarten FY 18/19 Appropriation reduced by $2.8 million for unallocated funds that were reverted back to the General Fund.

AB 181, Proposition 2, 51,1D, 55, 47, and 1A Bond Authority - $54.491 billion (in millions of dollars)




- Apportioned** $47,211.7
- Unfunded Approvals $1,552.6
- Remaining Bond Authority $5,726.2

AB 181, Proposition 2, 51, 1D, 55, 47, and 1A Totals			
New Construction*	$	21,271.7	
Seismic Repair	$	199.4	
NC Small School District	$	-	
Modernization	$	14,823.7	
Mod Small School District	$	-	
Lead in Water Remediation	$	-	
Hardship	$	1,000.0	
Class Size Reduction	$	700.0	
COS	$	2,203.1	
CTE	$	993.5	
HPI	$	100.0	
ORG	$	993.6	
Charter	$	1,243.8	
Joint Use	$	174.2	
General Fund FY 21/22	$	249.0	
General Fund FY 22/23	$	1,299.2	
General Fund FY 23/24	$	1,960.5	
Apportioned**	**$**	**47,211.7**	**86.6%**
New Construction	$	246.6	
Seismic Repair	$	-	
NC Small School District	$	-	
Modernization	$	605.8	
Mod Small School District	$	-	
Lead in Water Remediation	$	-	
Hardship	$	-	
Class Size Reduction	$	-	
COS	$	-	
CTE	$	-	
HPI	$	-	
ORG	$	-	
Charter	$	700.2	
Joint Use	$	-	
General Fund FY 21/22	$	-	
General Fund FY 22/23	$	-	
General Fund FY 23/24	$	-	
Unfunded Approvals	**$**	**1,552.6**	**2.8%**
New Construction	$	2,204.9	
Seismic Repair	$	*0.1*	
NC Small School District	$	*330.0*	
Modernization	$	2,005.5	
Mod Small School District	$	*400.0*	
Lead in Water Remediation	$	*115.0*	
Hardship	$	-	
Class Size Reduction	$	-	
COS	$	-	
CTE	$	606.5	
HPI	$	-	
ORG	$	6.4	
Charter	$	56.0	
Joint Use	$	-	
General Fund FY 21/22	$	1.0	
General Fund FY 22/23	$	0.8	
General Fund FY 23/24	$	-	
Remaining Bond Authority	**$**	**5,726.2**	**10.5%**
Grand Total	**$**	**54,491**	**100.0%**

*Includes Energy Efficiency, Small High Schools, Seismic Repair, and the transfer of Critically Overcrowded School Facilities Program Funds to New Construction ($700 million and $68.1 million from Prop. 47; $268.8 million, $318.3 million, $225 million, $211.7 million, $145 million, and $30.4 million from Prop. 55). Also, Prop 55 includes $5.8 million from the Lease Purchase Program on October 6, 2010.

** Apportioned amount includes funding allocated for administrative costs of the program.

Proposition 2
Bond Authority - $8.500 billion
(in millions of dollars)




- Apportioned* $1,413.4
- Unfunded Approvals $1,382.5
- Remaining Bond Authority $5,704.1

* Apportioned amount includes funding allocated for administrative costs of the program.

Proposition 2 Totals			
New Construction	$	522.2	
Small School District	*$*	*-*	
Modernization	$	879.8	
Small School District	*$*	*-*	
Lead in Water Remediation	*$*	*-*	
CTE	$	5.7	
Charter	$	5.7	
Apportioned*	**$**	**1,413.4**	**16.6%**
New Construction	$	243.5	
Small School District	*$*	*-*	
Modernization	$	600.6	
Small School District	*$*	*-*	
Lead in Water Remediation	*$*	*-*	
CTE	$	-	
Charter	$	538.4	
Unfunded Approvals	**$**	**1,382.5**	**16.3%**
New Construction	$	2,204.3	
Small School District	*$*	*330.0*	
Modernization	$	2,004.6	
Small School District	*$*	*400.0*	
Lead in Water Remediation	*$*	*115.0*	
CTE	$	594.3	
Charter	$	55.9	
Remaining Bond Authority	**$**	**5,704.1**	**67.1%**
Grand Total	**$**	**8,500**	**100.0%**

Proposition 51
Bond Authority - $7.250 billion
(in millions of dollars)




- Apportioned* $7,151.4
- Unfunded Approvals $84.0
- Remaining Bond Authority $14.6

Proposition 51 Totals			
New Construction	$	2,996.5	
Modernization	$	2,993.9	
CTE	$	487.9	
Charter	$	424.1	
General Fund FY 21/22	$	249.0	
Apportioned*	**$**	**7,151.4**	**98.6%**
New Construction	$	2.9	
Modernization	$	5.2	
CTE	$	-	
Charter	$	75.9	
General Fund FY 21/22	$	-	
Unfunded Approvals	**$**	**84.0**	**1.2%**
New Construction	$	0.6	
Modernization	$	0.9	
CTE	$	12.1	
Charter	$	-	
General Fund FY 21/22	$	1.0	
Remaining Bond Authority	**$**	**14.6**	**0.2%**
Grand Total	**$**	**7,250**	**100.0%**

* Apportioned amount includes funding allocated for administrative costs of the program.

Proposition 1D
Bond Authority - $7.358 billion
(in millions of dollars)




- Apportioned* $7,290.5
- Unfunded Approvals $60.3
- Remaining Bond Authority $6.7

* Apportioned amount includes funding allocated for administrative costs of the program.

Proposition 1D Totals			
New Construction	$	1,700.5	
Seismic Repair	*$*	*199.4*	
Modernization	$	3,300.0	
CTE	$	499.9	
HPI	$	100.0	
ORG	$	993.6	
Charter	$	439.6	
Joint Use	$	57.5	
Apportioned*	**$**	**7,290.5**	**99.1%**
New Construction	$	-	
Seismic Repair	*$*	*-*	
Modernization	$	-	
CTE	$	-	
HPI	$	-	
ORG	$	-	
Charter	$	60.3	
Joint Use	$	-	
Unfunded Approvals	**$**	**60.3**	**0.8%**
New Construction	$	-	
Seismic Repair	*$*	*0.1*	
Modernization	$	-	
CTE	$	0.1	
HPI	$	-	
ORG	$	6.4	
Charter	$	0.1	
Joint Use	$	-	
Remaining Bond Authority	**$**	**6.7**	**0.1%**
Grand Total	**$**	**7,358**	**100.0%**

Proposition 55
Bond Authority - $10.023 billion
(in millions of dollars)




- Apportioned* $10,001.0
- Unfunded Approvals $21.5
- Remaining Bond Authority $-

* Apportioned amount includes funding allocated for administrative costs of the program.

Proposition 55 Totals			
New Construction	$	6,134.4	
Modernization	$	2,250.0	
COS	$	1,271.2	
Charter	$	278.7	
Joint Use	$	66.7	
Apportioned*	**$**	**10,001.0**	**99.8%**
New Construction	$	0.2	
Modernization	$	-	
COS	$	-	
Charter	$	21.3	
Joint Use	$	-	
Unfunded Approvals	**$**	**21.5**	**0.2%**
New Construction	$	-	
Modernization	$	-	
COS	$	-	
Charter	$	-	
Joint Use	$	-	
Remaining Bond Authority	**$**	**-**	**0.0%**
Grand Total	**$**	**10,023**	**100.0%**

Proposition 47
Bond Authority - $11.400 billion
(in millions of dollars)




- Apportioned* $11,395.7
- Unfunded Approvals $4.3
- Remaining Bond Authority $-

* Apportioned amount includes funding allocated for administrative costs of the program.

Proposition 47 Totals			
New Construction	$	7,018.1	
Modernization	$	3,300.0	
COS	$	931.9	
Charter	$	95.7	
Joint Use	$	50.0	
Apportioned*	**$**	**11,395.7**	**100.0%**
New Construction	$	-	
Modernization	$	-	
COS	$	-	
Charter	$	4.3	
Joint Use	$	-	
Unfunded Approvals	**$**	**4.3**	**0.0%**
New Construction	$	-	
Modernization	$	-	
COS	$	-	
Charter	$	-	
Joint Use	$	-	
Remaining Bond Authority	**$**	**-**	**0.0%**
Grand Total	**$**	**11,400**	**100.0%**


Remaining Bond Authority - $5.726.2 million

(by program, in millions)
As of August 5, 2026 SAB



Remaining Bond Authority (in millions)	
Modernization	$ 2,005.5
Mod Small School District	$ 400.0
Lead in Water Remediation	$ 115.0
Overcrowding Relief	$ 6.4
Seismic Repair	$ 0.1
New Construction	$ 2,204.9
NC Small School District	$ 330.0
Charter School	$ 56.0
High Performance Schools	$ -
Critically Overcrowded Schools	$ -
Hardship	$ -
Career Technical Education	$ 606.5
General Fund FY 21/22	$ 1.0
General Fund FY 22/23	$ 0.8
General Fund FY 23/24	$ -
Grand Total	**$ 5,726.2**

California Preschool, Transitional and Full-Day Kindergarten Facilities Grant Program - $687.2 million (in millions of dollars)




- Apportioned $645.7
- Administrative Costs $6.3
- Remaining Authority $35.2

California Preschool, Transitional and Full-Day Kindergarten Facilities Grant Program Totals			
FY 2018/2019	$	96.7	
FY 2021/2022	$	454.9	
FY 2022/2023	$	94.1	
Apportioned	**$**	**645.7**	**94.0%**
FY 2018/2019	$	0.5	
FY 2021/2022	$	3.3	
FY 2022/2023	$	2.5	
Administrative Costs	**$**	**6.3**	**0.9%**
FY 2018/2019	$	-	
FY 2021/2022	$	31.8	
FY 2022/2023	$	3.4	
Remaining Authority	**$**	**35.2**	**5.1%**
Grand Total	**$**	**687.2**	**100.0%**

School Facility Program Fund Recoveries* – 2025

(Totals represented in millions of dollars)




	2025 Totals**
NC	$1,562,379
Seismic	$91,607
Modernization	$1,082,110
Charter	$9,390,107
CTE	$1,712,589
General Fund	$4,177
Total	$13,842,969

***Includes bond proceeds returned (authority may not be available) to the program through reductions to cost incurred, close-outs, loan repayments, rescissions, and special education local plan area transfers.**

**** 2025 Totals do not reflect any reallocation of authority. For current availability of bond authority, see Status of Funds.**

School Facility Program Fund Recoveries*
As of June 24, 2026

(Chart totals represented in millions of dollars)



(Amounts below are whole dollars)


June 2026	1A	47	55	1D	51	General Fund	June Totals	2026 Totals**
NC	$0	$0	$0	$0	$3,593	$0	**$3,593**	**$286,124**
Modernization	$0	$0	$0	$0	$406	$0	**$406**	**$384,100**
Charter	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0	**$0**	**$6,470,848**
CTE	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0	**$0**	**$0**
General Fund	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0	**$0**	**$1,873,159**
Total							**$3,999**	**$9,014,231**

***Includes bond proceeds returned (authority may not be available) to the program through reductions to cost incurred, close-outs, loan repayments, rescissions, and special education local plan area transfers.**

****** 2026 Totals do not reflect any reallocation of authority. For current availability of bond authority, see Status of Funds.**


School Facility Program
Proposition 2 Apportionment by County

The graphic below displays the amount Apportioned ($1.33 billion) for 325 School Facility Program projects from 135 school districts as of June 30, 2026. The data includes bond authority provided by Proposition 2.




School Facility Program
Proposition 2 Unfunded Approvals by County

The graphic below displays the amount of Unfunded Approvals ($703 million) for 185 School Facility Program projects from 65 school districts as of June 30, 2026. The data includes bond authority provided by Proposition 2.



School Facility Program
Proposition 2 Current Workload by County

The graphic below displays the Office of Public School Construction's Workload List for New Construction and Modernization ($3.58 billion) for 891 School Facility Program projects from 272 school districts as of June 30, 2026. Point-in-time, these projects were estimated to be awarded bond authority provided by Proposition 2.



Proposition 2 Distribution
As of 6/30/2026

	Apportioned		Unfunded		Workload List	
County	**Project Count**	**Dollar Amount**	**Project Count**	**Dollar Amount**	**Project Count**	**Dollar Amount**
Alameda	13	$ 31,432,489.00	5	$ 74,029,548.44	46	$ 276,920,918.59
Alpine	0	$ -	0	$ -	0	$ -
Amador	0	$ -	0	$ -	0	$ -
Butte	6	$ 17,824,587.89	1	$ 1,105,052.00	18	$ 54,421,063.30
Calaveras	0	$ -	0	$ -	0	$ -
Colusa	0	$ -	0	$ -	1	$ 1,844,997.00
Contra Costa	5	$ 28,896,516.00	3	$ 9,598,426.00	22	$ 120,423,021.08
Del Norte	1	$ 5,185,218.00	2	$ 1,543,769.00	0	$ -
El Dorado	0	$ -	0	$ -	3	$ 1,127,151.00
Fresno	13	$ 56,702,885.00	2	$ 21,630,696.56	28	$ 172,921,988.28
Glenn	0	$ -	0	$ -	4	$ 6,843,865.00
Humboldt	1	$ 677,060.00	0	$ -	4	$ 6,520,407.20
Imperial	5	$ 2,522,479.24	2	$ 5,393,694.00	6	$ 111,355,328.06
Inyo	0	$ -	0	$ -	0	$ -
Kern	4	$ 8,769,625.00	1	$ 4,486,793.00	33	$ 92,075,382.66
Kings	3	$ 19,043,348.00	1	$ 2,948,739.00	11	$ 4,654,705.00
Lake	2	$ 3,312,988.61	0	$ -	8	$ 13,987,147.00
Lassen	0	$ -	0	$ -	3	$ 1,586,084.00
Los Angeles	74	$ 363,386,032.67	72	$ 266,217,685.00	181	$ 625,825,079.00
Madera	0	$ -	0	$ -	3	$ 29,083,371.00
Marin	3	$ 1,881,785.00	2	$ 1,488,027.00	14	$ 21,062,611.00
Mariposa	0	$ -	0	$ -	0	$ -
Mendocino	3	$ 6,466,916.00	0	$ -	11	$ 15,545,991.00
Merced	1	$ 13,467,600.66	1	$ 3,655,493.00	17	$ 31,388,896.78
Modoc	0	$ -	0	$ -	0	$ -
Mono	0	$ -	0	$ -	1	$ 321,989.00
Monterey	2	$ 1,603,577.00	1	$ 512,543.00	16	$ 54,292,961.34
Napa	12	$ 13,962,512.00	0	$ -	9	$ 24,405,429.00
Nevada	1	$ 4,584,435.00	0	$ -	1	$ 1,380,148.00
Orange	19	$ 48,957,568.00	7	$ 79,851,137.48	36	$ 128,505,589.00
Placer	0	$ -	0	$ -	8	$ 38,428,985.00
Plumas	0	$ -	0	$ -	0	$ -
Riverside	14	$ 70,632,809.00	12	$ 39,642,803.45	74	$ 413,746,820.23
Sacramento	23	$ 115,711,542.05	4	$ 10,364,261.00	24	$ 231,389,825.28
San Benito	4	$ 7,650,083.00	1	$ 2,742,629.00	2	$ 20,611,937.00
San Bernardino	15	$ 64,043,249.30	23	$ 48,485,256.79	41	$ 126,655,938.99
San Diego	12	$ 75,545,532.73	22	$ 45,767,878.72	52	$ 262,323,263.66
San Francisco	1	$ 5,668,600.00	0	$ -	0	$ -
San Joaquin	10	$ 134,397,488.00	0	$ -	18	$ 176,903,351.00
San Luis Obispo	10	$ 19,685,966.00	1	$ 242,207.00	10	$ 27,425,806.00
San Mateo	16	$ 37,251,107.00	0	$ -	6	$ 23,135,998.00
Santa Barbara	4	$ 3,213,850.88	2	$ 25,741,753.00	3	$ 4,924,132.00
Santa Clara	13	$ 74,686,720.88	0	$ -	20	$ 47,980,892.00
Santa Cruz	0	$ -	3	$ 5,955,250.00	5	$ 8,224,207.87
Shasta	3	$ 4,897,675.00	1	$ 424,576.00	10	$ 7,368,852.73
Sierra	0	$ -	0	$ -	0	$ -
Siskiyou	3	$ 5,853,619.63	0	$ -	9	$ 9,562,720.33
Solano	7	$ 17,345,193.00	2	$ 4,201,487.00	20	$ 41,071,205.00
Sonoma	4	$ 8,896,595.00	2	$ 2,044,088.00	12	$ 25,991,956.08
Stanislaus	6	$ 17,237,413.00	4	$ 15,447,966.92	25	$ 58,046,151.99
Sutter	0	$ -	1	$ 115,897.17	5	$ 7,978,548.00
Tehama	0	$ -	0	$ -	19	$ 26,337,384.00
Trinity	0	$ -	0	$ -	1	$ 2,527,093.33
Tulare	4	$ 16,529,007.25	5	$ 18,884,138.00	14	$ 47,193,961.00
Tuolumne	0	$ -	0	$ -	2	$ 497,958.00
Ventura	2	$ 7,009,482.00	2	$ 10,462,658.00	20	$ 126,330,957.00
Yolo	1	$ 1,532,969.00	0	$ -	10	$ 15,220,334.00
Yuba	5	$ 11,023,491.00	0	$ -	5	$ 35,675,556.66
Total	**325**	**$ 1,327,490,016.79**	**185**	**$ 702,984,453.53**	**891***	**$ 3,582,047,958.44***

*Project count and dollar amount include duplicate submittals

Action Items

REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER
State Allocation Board Meeting, August 5, 2026

CHARTER SCHOOL FACILITIES PROGRAM PRELIMINARY CHARTER SCHOOL APPORTIONMENTS

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To provide 44 Preliminary Charter School Apportionments for the Charter School Facilities Program (CSFP) totaling approximately $580.8 million.

DESCRIPTION

The Kindergarten through Grade 12 Schools and Local Community College Public Education Facilities Modernization, Repair, and Safety Bond Act of 2024 (Proposition 2) provides $600 million for the CSFP. The CSFP provides qualified applicants with a preliminary apportionment for the construction of new Charter School facilities or the rehabilitation of existing district-owned facilities that are at least 15 years old for Charter School use. The applications that are included in Attachment B underwent a thorough review process by both the California School Finance Authority (CSFA) and the Office of Public School Construction (OPSC). The applicants have been determined to be financially sound, and all program requirements have been met. This item seeks approval from the State Allocation Board (Board) for the Preliminary Charter School Apportionments shown on Attachment B, and to keep the remaining applications shown on Attachment C active for 12 months from the date of this meeting to allow the Board to continue awarding Preliminary Charter School Apportionments in the event additional bond authority becomes available from Proposition 2 and/or prior bond sources.

AUTHORITY

See Attachment A

BACKGROUND

In 2002, Assembly Bill 14 created the CSFP. With the passage of the Kindergarten-University Public Education Facilities Bond Acts of 2002 (Proposition 47), 2004 (Proposition 55) and 2006 (Proposition 1D), and the Kindergarten Through Community College Public Education Facilities Bond Act of 2016 (Proposition 51), $1.4 billion was made available for Charter School facilities through the CSFP. With the passage of Proposition 2, an additional $600 million has been made available for the CSFP. The CSFP allows Charter Schools that provide Classroom-Based Instruction to access State facility funding directly or through the School District where the project will be physically located and that serves the same grade level that will be housed by the charter project. To qualify for funding, a financial soundness determination must be granted by CSFA.

BACKGROUND (cont.)

A Preliminary Charter School Apportionment is a reservation of CSFP bond authority for the project. Successful applicants must obtain the necessary plan and site approvals and convert from a Preliminary to a Final Charter School Apportionment meeting all the School Facility Program (SFP) criteria within a four-year period, unless a one-time, one-year extension is granted by the Board. Any Preliminary Charter School Apportionments not converted within the specified time will be rescinded and the authority reserved for the project will be returned to the CSFP.

All applicants that receive a Preliminary Charter School Apportionment may request an advance fund release for design costs. Applicants that reserved costs for site acquisition as part of their preliminary apportionment may also request an advance fund release to purchase a site. The amounts for site acquisition and design are calculated pursuant to SFP Regulation Section 1859.164.2 and are placed on the Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans) as of the date the Preliminary Charter School Apportionment is awarded. Applicants must participate in the Priority Funding process to request these funds, as outlined in SFP Regulation Section 1859.90.2. Preliminary Charter School Apportionments are not subject to receiving "non-participation occurrences" pursuant to SFP Regulation Section 1859.90.3.

Applicants may apply for a Charter School Preliminary Apportionment during specified filing rounds. SFP Regulation Section 1859.161 requires applicants seeking a preliminary CSFP apportionment to submit an application during a 120-calendar-day filing round beginning 180 calendar days after an election authorizing additional CSFP funding. Accordingly, at the December 2024 Board meeting following November 2024 voter approval of Proposition 2, the Executive Officer announced that a new CSFP filing round would be held from May 4, 2025, through August 31, 2025.

On January 7, 2025, Governor Newsom proclaimed a state of emergency in Los Angeles and Ventura Counties due to severe windstorm conditions and multiple wildfires. Due to the displacement of several charter schools from school sites that were damaged or destroyed during the wildfires, the Executive Officer announced at the February 2025 Board meeting that the CSFP filing round would be postponed. California Code of Regulations Section 1580 directs the Executive Officer of the Board and OPSC to take appropriate actions to provide relief to School Districts and maintain equitable participation in Board-administered programs during periods of emergency proclaimed by the Governor. Such actions may include the extension of any deadline set forth in regulations adopted by the Board or in other rules and procedures set by the Board and/or OPSC. The postponed Proposition 2 CSFP filing round occurred on July 3, 2025, through October 30, 2025.

If the estimated total preliminary apportionments of all financially sound applicants exceed the funds available, the Board will provide Preliminary Charter School Apportionments based on both preference points and funding categories using the following criteria per Education Code (EC) 17078.56 and SFP Regulation Sections 1859.164 and 1859.164.1, to ensure that a variety of project types are funded.

Preference points for applicants are calculated based on the following criteria pursuant to SFP Regulation Section 1859.164.1:

BACKGROUND (cont.)

- Percentage of low-income pupils in the School District where the project will be located, any public school within the Charter School General Location, or the existing Charter School;

- Percentage of overcrowding for the School District where the project will be located and the project's effort to relieve overcrowding;

- Whether or not the entity operating the Charter School is a Non-Profit Entity as defined in SFP Regulation Section 1859.2, and

- Whether or not the Charter School is rehabilitating existing district-owned facilities.

Once the preference points have been established, a Preliminary Charter School Apportionment will be awarded to the application with the highest number of points in each of the following categories pursuant to SFP Regulation Section 1859.164:

(a) Geographical Region One, Two, Three, or Four
(b) Urban, Rural or Suburban areas
(c) Large, Medium, or Small Charter Schools
(d) K-6, 7-8, or 9-12 grade levels.

STAFF ANALYSIS/STATEMENTS

OPSC accepted 116 applications for 91 Charter Schools requesting $2.78 billion in state funding by the filing round deadline of 11:59 p.m. on October 30, 2025. After a preliminary review of all submitted applications, 13 applications were either deemed ineligible for funding because they did not meet program requirements or withdrawn at the request of the applicant.

Available Funding for Preliminary Charter School Apportionments

As of June 24, 2026, approximately $636.7 million is available for Preliminary Charter School Apportionments. This amount includes $600.0 million in Proposition 2 bond authority, reduced by the administrative costs of the program for OPSC, the California Department of Education, CSFA, and the State Controller's Office, and bond authority from Propositions 47, 55, 1D, and 51 that returned to the CSFP through project recessions, preliminary appointments converting to final appointments below reservation amounts, and payments on projects with loans. The following chart summarizes the estimated bond authority available for Preliminary Charter School Apportionments as of June 24, 2026.

STAFF ANALYSIS/STATEMENTS (cont.)

CSFP Available Bond Authority (in Millions) as of June 24, 2026	
Account	**Bond Authority**
Proposition 2	$600.0
Proposition 2 Administrative Costs for CSFA	$(5.7)
Total Proposition 2 Available	**$594.3**
Proposition 47	$3.3
Proposition 55	$6.1
Proposition 1D	$17.3
Proposition 51	$15.7
Total Bond Authority Available (47, 55, 1D, 51, 2)	**$636.7**

On Attachment B of this item, 44 applications eligible for funding with the available bond authority are presented for Board approval. The applications have been fully processed and determined to be financially sound by CSFA. They are shown in the rank order required by SFP Regulation Section 1859.164 and are recommended for a Preliminary Charter School Apportionment. The remaining applications are listed in alphabetical order by county on Attachment C. Attachment C will remain valid for 12 months from the date of this meeting to allow the Board to continue awarding Preliminary Charter School Apportionments if additional bond authority returns to the program from Proposition 2 and/or prior bond sources and the authority is not needed for final apportionments.

The next application eligible for a preliminary apportionment will be the application listed on Attachment C with the highest points in region four. Currently, there is not enough bond authority to fund this application.

Supplemental Grants

As a result of the passage of Proposition 2, the following additional supplemental grants are available for new Preliminary Charter School Apportionments:

- The Energy Efficiency supplemental grant is available to new Preliminary Charter School Apportionments for New Construction and Rehabilitation applications, under SFP Regulation Sections 1859.163.1(a)(8) and 1859.163.5(a)(7). Of the 44 applications on Attachment B, 36 projects are receiving the new Energy Efficiency supplemental grant, representing a cumulative state grant amount of $9.5 million.

- The Career Technical Education supplemental grant is available to new Preliminary Charter School Apportionments for Rehabilitation applications, under SFP Regulation Section 1859.163.5(a)(8). Of the 44 applications on Attachment B, one application is receiving the new Career Technical Education supplemental grant in the state grant amount of $0.2 million.

The following additional supplemental grant is available for new Preliminary Charter School Apportionments:

STAFF ANALYSIS/STATEMENTS (cont.)

The Accessibility and Fire Code grant is available to new Preliminary Charter School Apportionments for Rehabilitation applications under SFP Regulation 1859.163.5(a)(6). This grant is provided for Final Charter School Apportionments. This grant is now provided for Preliminary Charter School Apportionments to align with the grants available at final apportionment.

Revoked CSFP Applications due to Lack of School District Participation

EC Section 17078.53 and SFP Regulation Section 1859.162 require Charter Schools applying independently to notify the School District superintendent and governing board of education of the School District, in which the Charter School project will be physically located and that serves the same grade level as the charter project will house, at least (30) days prior to submitting an application for a Preliminary Charter School Apportionment of its intent to apply. SFP Regulation Section 1859.162.1 requires the notification to include a request that the School District update its current year enrollment on file with OPSC and provide a certification to the number of the School District's unhoused pupils that the project will serve. Of the 116 applications OPSC received by the final filing deadline, five School Districts did not submit the documentation required by SFP Regulation Section 1859.162.1. The new construction applications submitted by Charter Schools in these School Districts were revoked. The applications are listed on Attachment D.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve Preliminary Charter School Apportionments for the projects shown on Attachment B in the amount of approximately $580.8 million. Applicants receiving a Preliminary Charter School Apportionment must convert the project to a Final Charter School Apportionment within four years from the date of this approval pursuant to SFP Regulation Section 1859.166, unless a one-time, one-year extension is granted by the Board.

2. Place the advance fund release amounts for design and site shown on Attachment E, as applicable, for each Preliminary Charter School Apportionment on the Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans).

3. Declare Attachment C active for 12 months from the date of this meeting to allow the Board to continue awarding Preliminary Charter School Apportionments if additional bond authority returns to the program from Proposition 2 and/or prior bond sources.

This Item was approved by the State Allocation Board on August 5, 2026.

ATTACHMENT A

AUTHORITY

Education Code (EC) Section 17078.52(a) There is hereby established the Charter School Facilities Program to provide funding to qualifying entities for the purpose of establishing school facilities for charter school pupils.

EC Section 17078.52(c)(3) “Preliminary apportionment” means an apportionment made for eligible applicants under this article in advance of full compliance with all of the application requirements otherwise required for an apportionment pursuant to this chapter. The process for making preliminary apportionments under this article shall be substantially identical to the process established for critically overcrowded schools pursuant to Sections 17078.22 to 17078.30, inclusive.

California Code of Regulations 1580. Executive Officer’s Emergency Powers.

The Executive Officer of the State Allocation Board and the Office of Public School Construction is directed to take all appropriate actions to provide relief to school districts and maintain equitable participation in the programs administered by the Board during the periods of emergency proclaimed by the Governor of the State of California. Such actions may include the extension, for an appropriate period of time to be determined by the Executive Officer, of any deadline set forth in regulations adopted by the Board or in other rules and procedures set by the Board and/or the Office of Public School Construction. Upon exercising the powers granted pursuant to this section, the Executive Officer shall inform the Board and members of the action taken. In no case shall a deadline extension alter approved project funding amounts or shall the Executive Officer of the State Allocation Board and the Office of Public School Construction use the authority granted by this section solely to alter prospective grant amounts.

School Facility Program (SFP) Regulation Section 1859.2 Definitions

…

“Final Charter School Apportionment” shall mean a Preliminary Charter School Apportionment that has been converted to a Final Charter School Apportionment in accordance with Section 1859.165.

…

“Preliminary Charter School Apportionment” means an apportionment made pursuant to Education Code Section 17078.52(c)(3).

…

SFP Regulation Section 1859.161. Preliminary Charter School Application Submittals.

An applicant seeking a Preliminary Charter School Apportionment shall complete and submit a Form SAB 50-09 during a period of 120 calendar days beginning 180 calendar days after an election authorizing additional funding.

The Board may establish additional application filing periods as needed.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.162. General Preliminary Charter School Apportionment Eligibility Criteria.

An applicant may apply for a Preliminary Charter School Apportionment by submittal of Form SAB 50-09 if all of the following requirements are met:

(a) Prior to submission of the Preliminary Charter School Application the requirements of EC Sections 17078.53(c) and (e) are met.
(b) Prior to submission of the Preliminary Charter School Application, a Charter School applying on its own behalf must notify the school district where the project will be physically located of its intent to apply. This notification must occur at least 30 days prior to the application submittal. As part of the Preliminary Charter School Application, the Charter School must submit evidence of the date the notification was received by the school district.
(c) The Preliminary Charter School Application shall include a description of the proposed project including, but not limited to, the identification of the number of classrooms, the grade level of the pupils to be served, the intended opening date of the project, the Charter School General Location, and whether the project will be permanent or portable construction.

If a Charter School project has already received a Preliminary Charter School Apportionment under the CSFP but would like to re-apply under a future funding cycle, the original Preliminary Charter School Apportionment must be withdrawn by the applicant and rescinded by the Board prior to the submittal of a subsequent application.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.162.1. Preliminary Charter School New Construction Apportionment Eligibility Criteria.

For Charter Schools applying on their own behalf, the notification to the school district of the Charter School's intent to apply pursuant to Section 1859.162(b) must include the following:

(a) A request for the school district to provide a certification to the number of the district's unhoused pupils, pursuant to Section 1859.50, that the project will serve. Prior to submitting the certification as part of the Preliminary Charter School Application, the school board must have discussed the issue as an action item at a regularly scheduled, publicly held board meeting. The certification, in the form of a board resolution, and supporting documentation must be submitted to the OPSC within 90 days from the date the Charter School notified the school district of its intent to apply to the CSFP.
(b) A request that the school district update its current year enrollment on file with the OPSC.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.164. Application Funding Criteria.

If the estimated total apportionments of all Financially Sound Preliminary Charter School Applications received in either filing period specified in Section 1859.161 exceed the funds available, the applications shall be identified in each of the following four categories:
(a) Geographical Region One, Two, Three, or Four.

(b) Urban, Rural, or Suburban areas.
(c) Large, Medium, or Small Charter Schools.
(d) K-6, 7-8, or 9-12 grade levels.

The Board shall first apportion one project of each possible type, a maximum of four in category (a) and a maximum of three in categories (b) through (d), starting with (a) and continuing through (d). If more than one application is received of the same type within a category, the Board will apportion based on which project has the highest preference points, calculated in Section 1859.164.1. If a project has the highest preference points but was previously apportioned, the project with the next highest preference points will be apportioned. The same process will continue for the remaining categories until the Board has apportioned a project within each type in categories (a) through (d), or until no funding remains. If after funding one project in each category (a) through (d), funding remains available, the process shall be repeated until no funding remains.

All funds approved as a Preliminary Charter School Apportionment shall be transferred to the Restricted Charter School Fund within the appropriate Charter School Facility Account. Any funds not approved as a Preliminary Charter School Apportionment shall be transferred to the Unrestricted Charter School Fund within the appropriate Charter School Facility Account.

All Preliminary Charter School Applications received from an applicant will be processed in the date order received by the OPSC. If more than one Preliminary Charter School Application is received on the same day from the same entity for a Charter School project located within the geographical jurisdiction of same district, those applications will be processed by the OPSC based on the priority order assigned to those applications by the applicant on Form SAB 50-09.

If two or more Preliminary Charter School Applications have the same preference points, the Board shall first apportion that Preliminary Charter School Application that was received first by the OPSC. In the event that the applications were received on the same day, applications will be funded in the following order:
(a) Projects submitted by entities that have not previously received funding under the SFP, the CSFP or the State Charter School Facilities Grants Incentives Program will be funded before those that have received said funding;
(b) Projects proposing to utilize existing facilities will be funded before projects that propose to build new facilities;
(c) As applicable, projects that provide more seats to relieve overcrowding will be funded over those that provide fewer seats (as determined by dividing the number of unhoused pupils pursuant to Section 1859.50 that the project will house by the remaining new construction eligibility in the district);
In the event that a tie remains after the previous criteria have been applied, a lottery system will be used to select the project that is funded.
Any applications the SAB is unable to provide a Preliminary Charter School Apportionment to will be returned to the applicant.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.164.1. Calculation of Preference Points.

Preference points will be calculated for all Preliminary Charter School Applications. An application shall receive preference points based on the total of (a), (b), (c) and (d), up to a maximum of 160 points, as follows:

(a) Low Income: Up to 40 points based on the percentage of pupils at either the Charter School or school district where the Charter School is or will be located, or any public school within the Charter School General Location meeting the definition of Low-income. The applicant may report the highest percentage of the three. Use the following sliding scale to determine the number of preference points:

Percentage Receiving Free/Reduced Lunch	Preference Points Assigned
5-15%	4
16-30%	8
31-39%	12
40-47%	16
48-55%	20
56-64%	24
65-73%	28
74-82%	32
83-92%	36
93%	36.5
94%	37
95%	37.5
96%	38
97%	38.5
98%	39
99%	39.5
100%	40

(b) Overcrowded School District: Up to 40 points if the school district where the Charter School is physically located is determined to be overcrowded by dividing the remaining New Construction Eligibility (prior to the reduction from this application) by the district's current enrollment and multiplying the product by 100. The following sliding scale determines the maximum number of preference points available for the degree of overcrowding:

Percentage Overcrowded	Preference Points Assigned
2-9%	4
10-13%	8
14-16%	12
17-19%	16
20-22%	20
23-25%	24
26-33%	28
34-41%	32
42-49%	36
50% and above	40

The method of allocating preference points to applicants will utilize the percentage overcrowded chart for a maximum point distribution. Next, each application will be assigned an “effort” percentage which further allocates points within each overcrowding point category. The percentage generated by the number of unhoused pupils that the project will house, divided by the project capacity will represent the effort made to relieve overcrowding in the district. The “effort” percentage will be assigned the following point distribution:

% Effort to Relieve Overcrowding	Ratio of Overcrowded Points Awarded
40% or more	100% of the points for the overcrowded category
30-39%	90% of the points for the overcrowded category
20-29%	80% of the points for the overcrowded category
10-19%	70% of the points for the overcrowded category
1-9%	60% of the points for the overcrowded category
0	No points for the overcrowded category

The total points assigned for overcrowding will be calculated by applying the appropriate “effort” percentage and its corresponding ratio of points to the percentage overcrowded category for the district in which the project will be physically located.
(c) Non-Profit Entity: If the entity operating the Charter School meets the definition of a Non-Profit Entity, the project will receive 40 preference points.
(d) Existing Facilities: If the project proposes to rehabilitate existing facilities, the project will receive 40 preference points.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.164.2. Preliminary Charter School Apportionment Fund Release.

An applicant receiving a Preliminary Charter School Apportionment from the Board after July 2, 2003 may request an advance release of funds for either of the following:
(a) A separate advance release of funds for design equal to 20 percent of the amount determined in Section 1859.163.1(a)(1) through (9) and Section 1859.163.1(c)(1) and (2) or Section 1859.163.5(a)(1) through (9) and Section 1859.163.5(b)(1) and (2).
(b) A separate advance release of funds for site acquisition once the requirements in Section 1859.81.1(a)(2) and (3) are met for an amount not to exceed the Preliminary Charter School Apportionment for site acquisition. The Board shall release to the applicant an amount up to the Preliminary Charter School Apportionment determined in Section 1859.163.1(b).

Qualified applicants may request a separate advance release of funds for the design and for the site acquisition for the same project. An applicant seeking an advance release of funds pursuant to (a) and/or (b) must have been deemed and maintained financial soundness status from the Authority. Subject to the availability of financing provided by the Pooled Money Investment Board for bond-funded projects, the OPSC will release State funds included in a Preliminary Charter School Apportionment

pursuant to (a) or (b) to the applicant after submittal of the Form SAB 50-05. The OPSC shall not release funds in excess of the Preliminary Charter School Apportionment. State funds released from a Preliminary Charter School Apportionment pursuant to this Section shall be subject to the provisions in Section 1859.166. Once the Preliminary Charter School Apportionment is converted to a Final Charter School Apportionment pursuant to Section 1859.167, the applicant may request a release of the remaining funds as prescribed in Section 1859.90 or 1859.90.2. Prior to the release of any funds, the Charter School Agreements must be executed.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.165. Conversion of Preliminary Charter School Apportionment.

When a Preliminary Charter School Apportionment is converted to a Final Charter School Apportionment, all the following criteria must be met:
(a) The Final Charter School Apportionment request must meet all criteria on the Form SAB 50-04.
(b) An applicant seeking to convert a Preliminary Charter School Apportionment to a Final Charter School Apportionment shall complete and file Form SAB 50-04. The number of pupils being requested cannot exceed the amount the applicant originally requested and received at the Preliminary Charter School Apportionment.
If the applicant is unable to meet the criteria in this Section, the Preliminary Charter School Apportionment shall be rescinded pursuant to the provisions of Section 1859.166.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.166. Time Limit on Preliminary Charter School Apportionment.

(a) A complete request to convert a Preliminary Charter School Apportionment to a Final Charter School Apportionment pursuant to Section 1859.165 shall be made within four years of the date of the Preliminary Charter School Apportionment unless the applicant received approval of an extension pursuant to Section 1859.166.1.
(b) If (a) is not met, and the applicant has not received an advance release of funds as provided in Section 1859.164.2, the following will occur:
(1) the Preliminary Charter School Apportionment shall be rescinded and any remaining Preliminary Charter School Apportionment, not released to the applicant, shall be transferred to the Unrestricted Charter School Fund within the appropriate Charter School Facility Account, and;
(2) the SFP New Construction Eligibility will be increased by the number of unhoused pupils pursuant to Section 1859.162.2 for the school district that physically contains the Charter School within its geographical boundaries.
(c) If (a) is not met, and the applicant has received an advance release of funds as provided in Section 1859.164.2, the following will occur:
(1) The Preliminary Charter School Apportionment shall be rescinded and any remaining Preliminary Charter School Apportionment, not released to the applicant, shall be transferred to the Unrestricted Charter School Fund within the appropriate Charter School Facility Account, and;
(2) Funds released pursuant to Section 1859.164.2(a) shall be reduced to cost incurred and closed-out pursuant to Section 1859.106 with a corresponding SFP new construction baseline eligibility adjustment for the pupils assigned to the Preliminary

Charter School Application. The adjustment to the baseline eligibility shall be based on the ratio of the number of unhoused pupils pursuant to Section 1859.162.2 and the project capacity which generated the project funding to the eligible expenditures. Any funds released are subject to the fifty percent local matching share requirement as required by EC 17078.54(d). Funds returned pursuant to Section 1859.106 shall be transferred to the Unrestricted Charter School Fund within the appropriate Charter School Facility Account, and;
(3) Funds released pursuant to Section 1859.164.2(b) shall be subject to the provisions of Section 1859.171.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.166.1. Preliminary Charter School Apportionment Time Limit Extension.
An applicant that has received a Preliminary Charter School Apportionment may request a single one-year extension of the time limit prescribed in Section 1859.166(a). The Board shall approve the request provided the criteria in (a) or (b) are met:
(a) The applicant has provided evidence of both of the following:
(1) The CDE has made a contingent or final approval of the proposed site; and,
(2) The DSA has confirmed that the final plans for the project have been submitted to the DSA for review and approval.
(b) Other evidence satisfactory to the Board justifying the extension.

ATTACHMENT B

State Allocation Board Meeting, August 5, 2026
Charter School Facilities Program Unfunded Preliminary Apportionments

								Category One		Category Two		Category Three		Category Four							
County	District	Charter School	Application Number	Project Type	Applicant	Application Received Date	Preference Points	Region	Funding Order	Locale	Funding Order	School Size	Funding Order	Grade Level	Funding Order	State Share	Cash Contribution	Loan	Total Project Cost	Unfunded Preliminary Apportionment (State Share & Loan)	Cumulative Value of Unfunded Preliminary Apportionments
Humboldt	Arcata Elementary	Coastal Grove Charter	54/62679-00-001	Rehab	District	10/30/25	156	1	**1**	Rural		Medium		7-8		$4,675,592.40	$4,675,592.40		$9,351,184.80	$4,675,592.40	$4,675,592.40
Humboldt	Arcata Elementary	Coastal Grove Charter	54/62679-00-002	NC	District	10/30/25	156	1	**1**	Rural		Medium		7-8		$563,382.00	$563,382.00		$1,126,764.00	$563,382.00	$5,238,974.40
San Mateo	Ravenswood City Elementary	KIPP Valiant Community Prep	54/68999-00-001	Rehab	Charter	10/29/25	118	2	**2**	Suburban		Large		7-8		$14,257,198.00		$14,257,198.00	$28,514,396.00	$28,514,396.00	$33,753,370.40
Los Angeles	West Covina Unified	San Jose Charter Academy	54/65094-00-002	Rehab	Charter	10/27/25	117	3	**3**	Suburban		Large		7-8		$1,600,000.00	$1,600,000.00		$3,200,000.00	$1,600,000.00	$35,353,370.40
San Diego	San Diego Unified	Harriet Tubman Village Charte	54/68338-03-002	NC	District	10/30/25	117	4	**4**	Urban		Large		7-8		$3,867,451.00	$3,867,451.00		$7,734,902.00	$3,867,451.00	$39,220,821.40
San Diego	San Diego Unified	Harriet Tubman Village Charte	54/68338-03-003	Rehab	District	10/30/25	117	4	**4**	Urban		Large		7-8		$10,620,963.00	$10,620,963.00		$21,241,926.00	$10,620,963.00	$49,841,784.40
Butte	Chico Unified	Sherwood Montessori	54/61424-00-008	NC	District	09/30/25	144	1		Urban	**5**	Small		7-8		$2,507,173.00		$2,507,173.00	$5,014,346.00	$5,014,346.00	$54,856,130.40
Butte	Chico Unified	Sherwood Montessori	54/61424-00-009	Rehab	District	09/30/25	144	1		Urban	**5**	Small		7-8		$1,936,021.00		$1,936,021.00	$3,872,042.00	$3,872,042.00	$58,728,172.40
Solano	Dixon Unified	Dixon Montessori Charter School	54/70532-00-002	Rehab	Charter	09/29/25	112	1		Rural	**6**	Large		7-8		$13,700,069.00	$6,850,034.50	$6,850,034.50	$27,400,138.00	$20,550,103.50	$79,278,275.90
San Mateo	Redwood City Elementary	KIPP Excelencia Community Preparator	54/69005-00-001	NC	Charter	10/29/25	117	2		Suburban	**7**	Large		7-8		$924,022.00		$924,022.00	$1,848,044.00	$1,848,044.00	$81,126,319.90
San Mateo	Redwood City Elementary	KIPP Excelencia Community Preparator	54/69005-00-002	Rehab	Charter	10/29/25	117	2		Suburban	**7**	Large		7-8		$11,340,691.00		$11,340,691.00	$22,681,382.00	$22,681,382.00	$103,807,701.90
Sacramento	Sacramento City Unified	Capitol Collegiate Academy	54/67439-00-010	NC	Charter	10/30/25	120	1		Urban		Large	**8**	7-8		$5,916,188.00		$5,916,188.00	$11,832,376.00	$11,832,376.00	$115,640,077.90
Sacramento	Sacramento City Unified	Capitol Collegiate Academy	54/67439-00-011	Rehab	Charter	10/30/25	120	1		Urban		Large	**8**	7-8		$9,235,867.00		$9,235,867.00	$18,471,734.00	$18,471,734.00	$134,111,811.90
San Diego	San Diego Unified	King Chavez Community Academy	54/68338-02-008	NC	District	10/30/25	117	4		Urban		Medium	**9**	7-8		$2,044,573.00	$2,044,573.00		$4,089,146.00	$2,044,573.00	$136,156,384.90
San Diego	San Diego Unified	King Chavez Community Academy	54/68338-02-009	Rehab	District	10/30/25	117	4		Urban		Medium	**9**	7-8		$23,990,518.00	$23,990,518.00		$47,981,036.00	$23,990,518.00	$160,146,902.90
San Diego	San Diego Unified	Holly Drive Leadership Academ	54/68338-02-006	NC	District	10/30/25	116	4		Urban		Small	**10**	7-8		$1,237,851.00	$1,237,851.00		$2,475,702.00	$1,237,851.00	$161,384,753.90
San Diego	San Diego Unified	Holly Drive Leadership Academ	54/68338-02-007	Rehab	District	10/30/25	116	4		Urban		Small	**10**	7-8		$4,216,549.28	$4,216,549.28		$8,433,098.56	$4,216,549.28	$165,601,303.18
Sonoma	Santa Rosa Elementary	Santa Rosa French-American Charte	54/70912-00-008	Rehab	District	10/27/25	89	1		Urban		Large		K-6	**11**	$323,936.00	$323,936.00		$647,872.00	$323,936.00	$165,925,239.18
Sonoma	Santa Rosa Elementary	Santa Rosa French-American Charte	54/70912-00-009	NC	District	10/27/25	89	1		Urban		Large		K-6	**11**	$3,316,970.00	$3,316,970.00		$6,633,940.00	$3,316,970.00	$169,242,209.18
Santa Clara	Alum Rock Union Elementary	Alpha: Blanca Alvarado Schoo	54/69369-00-009	Rehab	Charter	07/03/25	116.5	2		Urban		Large		7-8	**12**	$4,582,791.49		$4,582,791.49	$9,165,582.98	$9,165,582.98	$178,407,792.16
Sacramento	Natomas Unified	Natomas Charter Schoo	54/75283-00-016	Rehab	Charter	09/24/25	117	1		Urban		Large		9-12	**13**	$914,762.00		$914,762.00	$1,829,524.00	$1,829,524.00	$180,237,316.16
Sacramento	Natomas Unified	Natomas Pacific Pathways Prep	54/75283-00-017	NC	Charter	10/24/25	116	1	**14**	Urban		Large		9-12		$15,798,610.00	$15,798,610.00		$31,597,220.00	$15,798,610.00	$196,035,926.16
Sacramento	Natomas Unified	Natomas Pacific Pathways Prep	54/75283-00-018	Rehab	Charter	10/24/25	116	1	**14**	Urban		Large		9-12		$2,475,341.00	$2,475,341.00		$4,950,682.00	$2,475,341.00	$198,511,267.16
Santa Clara	Alum Rock Union Elementary	KIPP Heartwood Academy	54/69369-00-007	Rehab	Charter	10/29/25	116.5	2	**15**	Urban		Large		7-8		$10,117,223.00		$10,117,223.00	$20,234,446.00	$20,234,446.00	$218,745,713.16
Los Angeles	Los Angeles Unified	Animo Jackie Robinson Charter Hig	54/64733-00-106	NC	Charter	07/03/25	80	3	**16**	Urban		Large		9-12		$28,000,000.00	$3,000,000.00	$25,000,000.00	$56,000,000.00	$53,000,000.00	$271,745,713.16
San Diego	San Diego Unified	Keiller Leadership Academy	54/68338-02-005	Rehab	District	10/30/25	116	4	**17**	Urban		Large		7-8		$20,602,660.00	$20,602,660.00		$41,205,320.00	$20,602,660.00	$292,348,373.16
Santa Clara	Alum Rock Union Elementary	KIPP Prize Preparatory Academy	54/69369-00-008	NC	Charter	10/29/25	116.5	2		Urban	**18**	Large		7-8		$13,153,464.00		$13,153,464.00	$26,306,928.00	$26,306,928.00	$318,655,301.16
Santa Clara	Alum Rock Union Elementary	KIPP Prize Preparatory Academy	54/69369-00-009	Rehab	Charter	10/29/25	116.5	2		Urban	**18**	Large		7-8		$2,858,959.00		$2,858,959.00	$5,717,918.00	$5,717,918.00	$324,373,219.16
Placer	Ackerman Charter (Formerly Ackerman E.S.	Bowman Charter Schoo	54/66761-00-002	Rehab	District	10/09/25	112	1		Rural	**19**	Large		7-8		$113,378.58		$113,378.58	$226,757.16	$226,757.16	$324,599,976.32
Placer	Ackerman Charter (Formerly Ackerman E.S.	Bowman Charter Schoo	54/66761-00-003	NC	District	10/09/25	112	1		Rural	**19**	Large		7-8		$2,886,800.00		$2,886,800.00	$5,773,600.00	$5,773,600.00	$330,373,576.32
Alameda	San Lorenzo Unified	KIPP Summit Academy	54/61309-00-004	NC	Charter	10/29/25	116	2		Suburban	**20**	Large		7-8		$1,347,852.00		$1,347,852.00	$2,695,704.00	$2,695,704.00	$333,069,280.32
Alameda	San Lorenzo Unified	KIPP Summit Academy	54/61309-00-005	Rehab	Charter	10/29/25	116	2		Suburban	**20**	Large		7-8		$9,794,122.00		$9,794,122.00	$19,588,244.00	$19,588,244.00	$352,657,524.32
San Diego	San Diego Unified	Iftin Charter Schoo	54/68338-03-004	Rehab	District	10/30/25	112	4		Urban		Large	**21**	7-8		$11,992,058.40	$11,992,058.40		$23,984,116.80	$11,992,058.40	$364,649,582.72
San Diego	San Diego Unified	Iftin Charter Schoo	54/68338-03-005	NC	District	10/30/25	112	4		Urban		Large	**21**	7-8		$5,155,176.00	$5,155,176.00		$10,310,352.00	$5,155,176.00	$369,804,758.72
Marin	Novato Unified	Novato Charter Schoo	54/65417-00-001	Rehab	District	10/01/25	112	1		Suburban		Medium	**22**	7-8		$4,847,067.00	$4,847,067.00		$9,694,134.00	$4,847,067.00	$374,651,825.72
Orange	Irvine Unified	Magnolia Science Academy - Orange Count	54/73650-00-001	NC	Charter	10/30/25	85.6	4		Urban		Small	**23**	9-12		$35,372,130.72		$35,372,130.72	$70,744,261.44	$70,744,261.44	$445,396,087.16
Sonoma	Old Adobe Union Elementary	Sonoma Mountain Elementary Charter Schoo	54/70847-00-009	NC	District	10/29/25	80	1		Urban		Large		K-6	**24**	$1,468,114.00	$1,468,114.00		$2,936,228.00	$1,468,114.00	$446,864,201.16
Sonoma	Old Adobe Union Elementary	Sonoma Mountain Elementary Charter Schoo	54/70847-00-010	Rehab	District	10/29/25	80	1		Urban		Large		K-6	**24**	$813,122.00	$813,122.00		$1,626,244.00	$813,122.00	$447,677,323.16
San Diego	San Diego Unified	Darnall Charter Schoo	54/68338-03-001	Rehab	District	10/30/25	112	4		Urban		Large		7-8	**25**	$16,281,921.00	$16,281,921.00		$32,563,842.00	$16,281,921.00	$463,959,244.16
San Diego	Chula Vista Elementary	Mueller Charter School	54/68023-03-004	NC	District	10/30/25	100	4		Urban		Large		9-12	**26**	$1,543,069.00		$1,543,069.00	$3,086,138.00	$3,086,138.00	$467,045,382.16
San Diego	Chula Vista Elementary	Mueller Charter School	54/68023-03-005	Rehab	District	10/30/25	100	4		Urban		Large		9-12	**26**	$16,115,957.00	$10,000,000.00	$6,115,957.00	$32,231,914.00	$22,231,914.00	$489,277,296.16
Butte	Chico Unified	The Blue Oak Schoo	54/61424-00-011	NC	District	10/23/25	105	1	**27**	Rural		Medium		7-8		$5,116,628.00		$5,116,628.00	$10,233,256.00	$10,233,256.00	$499,510,552.16
Alameda	Oakland Unified	Yu Ming Charter Schoo	54/61259-09-014	NC	Charter	10/29/25	80	2	**28**	Urban		Large		7-8		$17,639,331.76		$17,639,331.76	$35,278,663.52	$35,278,663.52	$534,789,215.68
Los Angeles	Los Angeles Unified	Invictus Leadership Academy	54/64733-00-106	NC	Charter	10/09/25	80	3	**29**	Urban		Small		7-8		$23,000,000.00		$23,000,000.00	$46,000,000.00	$46,000,000.00	$580,789,215.68

ATTACHMENT C

State Allocation Board Meeting, August 5, 2026
Remaining Charter School Facilities Program Applications
Listed in Alphabetical Order by County

County	District	Charter School	Application Number	Project Type	Date App Received	Preference Points	Region	Locale	School Size	Grade Level
Glenn	Willows Unified	Walden Academy Charter	54/62661-00-001	NC	10/30/25	68	1	Rural	Small	7-8
Los Angeles	Los Angeles Unified	CWC-East Valley	54/64733-00-108	NC	10/28/25	78	3	Urban	Large	7-8
Los Angeles	Los Angeles Unified	CWC Hollywood	54/64733-00-109	NC	10/28/25	79.5	3	Urban	Large	K-6
Los Angeles	Los Angeles Unified	Port of Los Angeles High School - New Facility	54/64733-00-110	NC	10/28/25	72	3	Urban	Large	9-12
Los Angeles	Los Angeles Unified	Gabriella Charter	54/64733-00-111	NC	10/29/25	80	3	Urban	Large	7-8
Los Angeles	Los Angeles Unified	Gabriella Charter 2	54/64733-00-112	NC	10/29/25	80	3	Urban	Large	7-8
Los Angeles	Los Angeles Unified	Stella High Charter Academy New Construction	54/64733-10-001	NC	10/29/25	78	3	Urban	Large	9-12
Los Angeles	Los Angeles Unified	Stella Elementary Charter Academy New Construction	54/64733-10-002	NC	10/29/25	76	3	Urban	Medium	K-6
Los Angeles	Los Angeles Unified	MSA4	54/64733-24-001	NC	10/27/25	76	3	Urban	Small	9-12
Los Angeles	Los Angeles Unified	MSA6	54/64733-31-001	NC	10/27/25	78	3	Urban	Small	7-8
Los Angeles	Los Angeles Unified	MSA2	54/64733-37-001	NC	10/27/25	77	3	Urban	Large	9-12
Los Angeles	Los Angeles Unified	MSA7	54/64733-41-003	NC	10/27/25	77	3	Urban	Medium	K-6
Los Angeles	Los Angeles Unified	MSA3	54/64733-54-001	NC	10/27/25	76	3	Urban	Large	9-12
Los Angeles	Pasadena Unified	Pasadena Rosebud Academy	54/64881-00-001	NC	09/18/25	76	3	Suburban	Small	7-8
Los Angeles	Pasadena Unified	Aveson Global Leadership Academy	54/64881-00-002	NC	10/06/25	76	3	Suburban	Medium	9-12
Los Angeles	Pasadena Unified	Aveson School of Leaders	54/64881-00-003	NC	10/06/25	76	3	Suburban	Medium	K-6
Los Angeles	Pasadena Unified	Alma Fuerte Public School	54/64881-00-004	NC	10/09/25	76	3	Urban	Medium	7-8
Los Angeles	Pasadena Unified	Odyssey Charter	54/64881-00-005	NC	03/16/26	76	3	Urban	Large	7-8
Los Angeles	Pasadena Unified	OCS-South	54/64881-00-006	NC	03/16/26	76	3	Suburban	Large	7-8
Madera	Madera Unified	Liberty Charter School Expansion	54/65243-00-001	NC	10/30/25	76	2	Suburban	Large	7-8
Nevada	Grass Valley Elementary	YRCS K-12 Expansion, Current Campus	54/66332-00-005	NC	10/30/25	68	1	Rural	Medium	9-12
Orange	Orange Unified	MSA OC Orange	54/66621-00-001	NC	10/30/25	76	4	Urban	Small	9-12
Placer	Loomis Union Elementary	Loomis Basin Charter	54/66845-00-001	NC	10/29/25	60	1	Rural	Large	7-8
Placer	Rocklin Unified	MMCA Expansion	54/75085-00-004	NC	10/21/25	92	1	Suburban	Medium	7-8
Placer	Western Placer Unified	John Adams Academy-Lincoln Expansion	54/66951-00-001	NC	10/30/25	52	1	Suburban	Large	9-12
Riverside	Nuview Union	Nuview Bridge New Campus	54/67157-00-001	NC	10/24/25	43.2	4	Suburban	Large	9-12
Riverside	Perris Union High	California Military Academy	54/67207-00-002	NC	10/30/25	88	4	Suburban	Large	9-12
Riverside	Perris Union High	California Military Academy	54/67207-00-003	NC	10/30/25	88	4	Suburban	Large	9-12
Sacramento	Natomas Unified	Westlake High School	54/75283-00-014	NC	07/03/25	76	1	Urban	Large	9-12
Sacramento	Natomas Unified	NCS- Star Academy	54/75283-00-015	NC	09/24/25	78.9	1	Urban	Large	9-12
Sacramento	Natomas Unified	Leroy Greene Academy	54/75283-00-019	NC	10/28/25	79.6	1	Urban	Large	9-12
Sacramento	Natomas Unified	Leroy Greene Academy	54/75283-00-020	Rehab	10/28/25	79.6	1	Urban	Large	9-12
Sacramento	Sacramento City Unified	Bowling Green Charter School	54/67439-00-012	Rehab	10/30/25	80	1	Suburban	Large	K-6
Sacramento	San Juan Unified	Gateway Upgrade	54/67447-05-001	NC	10/29/25	76	1	Suburban	Large	7-8
Sacramento	Twin Rivers Unified	Futures High School	54/76505-04-002	NC	10/30/25	78.5	1	Urban	Large	9-12
Sacramento	Twin Rivers Unified	HLA-Project	54/76505-04-003	NC	10/30/25	78.5	1	Urban	Large	9-12
San Diego	Cajon Valley Union Elementary	EJE Elementary addition	54/67991-00-004	NC	08/28/25	77.5	4	Suburban	Large	K-6
San Diego	San Marcos Unified	HTeNC TK	54/73791-00-002	NC	10/29/25	76	4	Suburban	Large	9-12
San Diego	South Bay Union Elementary	Nestor Language Academy Charter	54/68395-00-001	NC	09/25/25	76	4	Urban	Large	7-8
San Diego	South Bay Union Elementary	Nestor Language Academy Charter	54/68395-00-002	Rehab	09/25/25	76	4	Urban	Large	7-8
Shasta	Shasta Union High	Redding School of the Arts HS	54/70136-02-002	NC	10/23/25	76	1	Rural	Large	9-12
Sonoma	Old Adobe Union Elementary	Old Adobe Elementary Charter	54/70847-00-006	Rehab	10/29/25	76	1	Rural	Medium	K-6
Sonoma	Old Adobe Union Elementary	Miwok Valley Elementary Charter	54/70847-00-007	Rehab	10/29/25	76	1	Urban	Medium	K-6

ATTACHMENT C

State Allocation Board Meeting, August 5, 2026
Remaining Charter School Facilities Program Applications
Listed in Alphabetical Order by County

County	District	Charter School	Application Number	Project Type	Date App Received	Preference Points	Region	Locale	School Size	Grade Level
Sonoma	Old Adobe Union Elementary	Loma Vista Immersion Academy	54/70847-00-008	Rehab	10/29/25	76	1	Urban	Large	K-6
Sonoma	Petaluma City Elementary	Valley Vista Public Waldorf	54/70854-00-001	NC	10/27/25	76	1	Urban	Large	7-8
Sonoma	Petaluma City Elementary	Valley Vista Public Waldorf	54/70854-00-002	Rehab	10/27/25	76	1	Urban	Large	7-8
Sonoma	Petaluma City Elementary	Penngrove Elementary	54/70854-00-003	Rehab	10/27/25	76	1	Rural	Large	K-6
Sonoma	Petaluma Joint Union High	Mary Collins at Cherry Valley	54/70862-00-001	NC	10/27/25	76	1	Urban	Large	7-8
Sonoma	Petaluma Joint Union High	Mary Collins at Cherry Valley	54/70862-00-002	Rehab	10/27/25	76	1	Urban	Large	7-8
Sonoma	Petaluma Joint Union High	Dual Language Immersion Academy Charter	54/70862-00-003	NC	10/30/25	36	1	Urban	Small	7-8
Sonoma	Piner-Olivet Union Elementary	Morrice Schaefer Charter	54/70870-00-001	Rehab	10/15/25	76	1	Urban	Medium	K-6
Sonoma	Piner-Olivet Union Elementary	Piner-Olivet Charter	54/70870-00-002	Rehab	10/15/25	76	1	Urban	Medium	7-8
Sonoma	Piner-Olivet Union Elementary	Olivet Charter	54/70870-00-003	Rehab	10/15/25	76	1	Rural	Medium	K-6
Sonoma	Piner-Olivet Union Elementary	Olivet Charter	54/70870-00-004	NC	10/15/25	76	1	Rural	Medium	K-6
Sonoma	Piner-Olivet Union Elementary	Morrice Schaefer Charter	54/70870-00-005	NC	10/15/25	76	1	Urban	Medium	K-6
Sonoma	Piner-Olivet Union Elementary	Northwest Prep Charter	54/70870-00-007	Rehab	10/22/25	76	1	Rural	Small	9-12
Sonoma	Santa Rosa Elementary	Cesar Chavez Language Academy	54/70912-00-005	Rehab	10/27/25	89	1	Urban	Large	7-8
Sonoma	Santa Rosa Elementary	Cesar Chavez Language Academy	54/70912-00-006	NC	10/27/25	89	1	Urban	Large	7-8
Sonoma	Santa Rosa Elementary	Santa Rosa Charter School for the Arts	54/70912-00-007	Rehab	10/27/25	77	1	Urban	Large	7-8
Yolo	Davis Joint Unified	Da Vinci Charter	54/72678-00-002	Rehab	10/30/25	64	1	Suburban	Large	9-12
Yuba	Marysville Joint Unified	Marysville Charter Academy for the Arts	54/72736-00-002	Rehab	10/30/25	77.5	1	Suburban	Large	9-12

ATTACHMENT D
CSFP Applications Revoked due to Lack of School District Participation

County	District	Charter School	Application Number	Applicant
Orange	Anaheim Union High	Magnolia Science Academy OC (Anaheim)	54/66431-01-001	Charter
Orange	Anaheim Elementary	Magnolia Science Academy OC (Anaheim)	54/66423-00-001	Charter
Solano	Vallejo City Unified	ELITE Public Schools	54/70581-00-008	Charter
Orange	Placentia-Yorba Linda Unified	Magnolia Science Academy OC (Placentia-Yorba Linda)	54/66647-00-001	Charter
Orange	Saddleback Valley Unified	Magnolia Science Academy OC (Saddleback)	54/73635-00-001	Charter

ATTACHMENT E

State Allocation Board Meeting, August 5, 2026
Charter School Facilities Program Unfunded Preliminary Apportionment Design and Site Advances
Listed in Alphabetical Order by County

County	District	Charter School	Application Number	Design Advance State Grant	Design Advance Loan	Site Advance State Grant	Site Advance Loan
Alameda	Oakland Unified	Yu Ming Charter School	54/61259-09-014	$1,272,676.80	$1,272,676.80	$4,912,563.76	$4,912,563.76
Alameda	San Lorenzo Unified	KIPP Summit Academy	54/61309-00-004	$134,785.20	$134,785.20		
Alameda	San Lorenzo Unified	KIPP Summit Academy	54/61309-00-005	$979,412.20	$979,412.20		
Butte	Chico Unified	Sherwood Montessori	54/61424-00-008	$250,717.30	$250,717.30		
Butte	Chico Unified	Sherwood Montessori	54/61424-00-009	$193,602.10	$193,602.10		
Butte	Chico Unified	The Blue Oak School	54/61424-00-011	$511,662.80	$511,662.80		
Humboldt	Arcata Elementary	Coastal Grove Charter	54/62679-00-001	$467,559.24			
Humboldt	Arcata Elementary	Coastal Grove Charter	54/62679-00-002	$56,338.20			
Los Angeles	Los Angeles Unified	Animo Jackie Robinson Charter High	54/64733-00-105	$1,967,318.38	$1,967,318.38	$8,326,816.16	$8,326,816.16
Los Angeles	Los Angeles Unified	Invictus Leadership Academy	54/64733-00-106	$1,455,050.34	$1,455,050.34	$8,449,496.64	$8,449,496.64
Los Angeles	West Covina Unified	San Jose Charter Academy	54/65094-00-002	$160,000.00			
Marin	Novato Unified	Novato Charter School	54/65417-00-001	$484,706.70			
Orange	Irvine Unified	Magnolia Science Academy - Orange County	54/73650-00-001	$2,700,405.00	$2,700,405.00	$7,252,104.16	$7,252,104.16
Placer	Ackerman Charter (Formerly Ackerman E.S.)	Bowman Charter School	54/66761-00-002	$11,337.86	$11,337.86		
Placer	Ackerman Charter (Formerly Ackerman E.S.)	Bowman Charter School	54/66761-00-003	$288,680.00	$288,680.00		
Sacramento	Natomas Unified	Natomas Charter School	54/75283-00-016	$91,476.20	$91,476.20		
Sacramento	Natomas Unified	Natomas Pacific Pathways Prep	54/75283-00-017	$1,579,861.00			
Sacramento	Natomas Unified	Natomas Pacific Pathways Prep	54/75283-00-018	$247,534.10			
Sacramento	Sacramento City Unified	Capitol Collegiate Academy	54/67439-00-010	$591,618.80	$591,618.80		
Sacramento	Sacramento City Unified	Capitol Collegiate Academy	54/67439-00-011	$923,586.70	$923,586.70		
San Diego	Chula Vista Elementary	Mueller Charter School	54/68023-03-004	$154,306.90	$154,306.90		
San Diego	Chula Vista Elementary	Mueller Charter School	54/68023-03-005	$1,611,595.70	$1,611,595.70		
San Diego	San Diego Unified	Darnall Charter School	54/68338-03-001	$1,628,192.10			
San Diego	San Diego Unified	Holly Drive Leadership Academy	54/68338-02-006	$123,785.10			
San Diego	San Diego Unified	Holly Drive Leadership Academy	54/68338-02-007	$421,654.93			
San Diego	San Diego Unified	Iftin Charter School	54/68338-03-004	$1,199,205.84			
San Diego	San Diego Unified	Iftin Charter School	54/68338-03-005	$515,517.60			
San Diego	San Diego Unified	Keiller Leadership Academy	54/68338-02-005	$2,060,266.00			
San Diego	San Diego Unified	King Chavez Community Academy	54/68338-02-008	$204,457.30			
San Diego	San Diego Unified	King Chavez Community Academy	54/68338-02-009	$2,399,051.80			
San Diego	San Diego Unified	Harriet Tubman Village Charter	54/68338-03-002	$386,745.10			
San Diego	San Diego Unified	Harriet Tubman Village Charter	54/68338-03-003	$1,062,096.30			
San Mateo	Ravenswood City Elementary	KIPP Valiant Community Prep	54/68999-00-001	$1,425,719.80	$1,425,719.80		
San Mateo	Redwood City Elementary	KIPP Excelencia Community Preparatory	54/69005-00-001	$92,402.20	$92,402.20		
San Mateo	Redwood City Elementary	KIPP Excelencia Community Preparatory	54/69005-00-002	$1,134,069.10	$1,134,069.10		
Santa Clara	Alum Rock Union Elementary	Alpha: Blanca Alvarado School	54/69369-00-005	$458,279.15	$458,279.15		
Santa Clara	Alum Rock Union Elementary	KIPP Heartwood Academy	54/69369-00-007	$1,011,722.30	$1,011,722.30		
Santa Clara	Alum Rock Union Elementary	KIPP Prize Preparatory Academy	54/69369-00-008	$1,315,346.40	$1,315,346.40		
Santa Clara	Alum Rock Union Elementary	KIPP Prize Preparatory Academy	54/69369-00-009	$285,895.90	$285,895.90		
Solano	Dixon Unified	Dixon Montessori Charter School	54/70532-00-002	$1,370,006.90	$1,370,006.90		
Sonoma	Old Adobe Union Elementary	Sonoma Mountain Elementary Charter School	54/70847-00-009	$146,811.40			
Sonoma	Old Adobe Union Elementary	Sonoma Mountain Elementary Charter School	54/70847-00-010	$81,312.20			
Sonoma	Santa Rosa Elementary	Santa Rosa French-American Charter	54/70912-00-008	$32,393.60			
Sonoma	Santa Rosa Elementary	Santa Rosa French-American Charter	54/70912-00-009	$331,697.00			

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	Arcata Elementary	**PTN:**	62679-14
School District:	Arcata Elementary	**County:**	Humboldt
Charter School Name:	Coastal Grove Charter	**Filing Basis:**	District-wide
Application No:	54/62679-00-001	**Site Name:**	Coastal Grove Charter

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Preliminary Apportionment
State Share					
CSFP Grant	**947-510**	**47**	$	$ 2,971,184.00	$ 2,971,184.00
CSFP Grant	**955-540**	**55**		1,704,408.40	1,704,408.40
Applicant Share					
CSFA Lease Amount					
Cash Contribution				4,675,592.40	
Total			$ 0.00	$ 9,351,184.80	$ 4,675,592.40
Funding Sources:	**Prop. 47 Bonds/2002-Nov.; Prop. 55 Bonds/2004-Mar.**				

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:		Middle School
Toilet (sq. ft.):		1,193
Other (sq. ft.):		14,334
Number of Classrooms:	**K-6**	8
	7-8	4
Recommended Acres:		8.9
Existing Acres:		8.9
Proposed		0.0

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

Base Grant	$	4,432,065.00
Accessibility Fire Grant	$	132,962.00
Energy Efficiency	$	221,603.00
Subtotal	$	4,786,630.00
Inflator Factor 12%	$	574,396.00
Total State Share (50%)	$	5,361,026.00
Mod Offset (57/62679-00-003, -004)	$	(685,433.60)
Adjusted Total State Share (50%)	$	4,675,592.40
Applicant Share (50%)	$	4,675,592.40
Total Project Cost	$	**9,351,184.80**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement for the project prior to or concurrent with a valid Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05). Failure to submit an executed Grant Agreement and valid Form SAB 50-05 prior to the deadline shall result in the Apportionment being rescinded without further board action.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

The above Charter School Grant is a maximum reservation of funding for each of the construction and site acquisition amounts (50 percent State share amount) and is not intended to reflect the actual project costs at the time the project is converted to a Final Charter School Apportionment (FCSA). The FCSA shall be based on SAB regulation allowances

A complete request to convert a Preliminary Charter School Apportionment to a FCSA pursuant to Section 1859.165 shall be made within four years of the date of the Preliminary Charter School Apportionment unless the applicant receives approval of an extension pursuant to Section 1859.166.1.

The Total State Share has been reduced by the previous amount of modernization funding received for the building(s) to be rehabilitated.

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	Arcata Elementary	**PTN:**	62679-14
School District:	Arcata Elementary	**County:**	Humboldt
Charter School Name:	Coastal Grove Charter	**Filing Basis:**	District-wide
Application No:	54/62679-00-002	**Site Name:**	Coastal Grove Charter

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Preliminary Apportionment
State Share					
CSFP Grant	**955-540**	**55**	$	$ 563,382.00	$ 563,382.00
Applicant Share					
CSFA Lease Amount					
Cash Contribution				563,382.00	
Total			$ **0.00**	$ **1,126,764.00**	$ **563,382.00**
Funding Source:	**Proposition 55 Bonds/2004-Mar.**				

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:		Middle School
Pupils Assigned:	**K-6**	25
Number of Classrooms:	**K-6**	1
Addition to Existing Site:		Yes
Recommended Acres:		8.9
Existing Acres:		8.9
Proposed Acres:		0.0

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

Base Grant	$	412,400.00
Site Development	$	70,000.00
Energy Efficiency	$	20,620.00
Subtotal	$	503,020.00
Inflator Factor 12%	$	60,362.00
Total State Share (50%)	$	563,382.00
Applicant Share (50%)	$	563,382.00
Total Project Cost	$	**1,126,764.00**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement for the project prior to or concurrent with a valid Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05). Failure to submit an executed Grant Agreement and valid Form SAB 50-05 prior to the deadline shall result in the Apportionment being rescinded without further board action.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

The above Charter School Grant is a maximum reservation of funding for each of the construction and site acquisition amounts (50 percent State share amount) and is not intended to reflect the actual project costs at the time the project is converted to a Final Charter School Apportionment (FCSA). The FCSA shall be based on SAB regulation allowances established at the time the project is converted and shall not exceed the maximum amounts in each category.

A complete request to convert a Preliminary Charter School Apportionment to a FCSA pursuant to Section 1859.165 shall be made within four years of the date of the Preliminary Charter School Apportionment unless the applicant receives approval of an extension pursuant to Section 1859.166.1.

The site development grant was calculated using one acre as requested by the applicant.

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	KIPP Valiant Community Prep	**PTN:**	68999-72
School District:	Ravenswood City Elementary	**County:**	San Mateo
Charter School Name:	KIPP Valiant Community Prep	**Filing Basis:**	Districtwide
Application No:	54/68999-00-001	**Site Name:**	KIPP Valiant Community Prep

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Preliminary Apportionment
State Share					
CSFP Grant	**955-540**	**55**	$	$ 3,580,950.00	$ 3,580,950.00
CSFP Grant	**957-540**	**1D**		10,676,248.00	10,676,248.00
Applicant Share					
CSFA Lease Amount	**902-544**	**2**		14,257,198.00	14,257,198.00
Cash Contribution					
Total			**$ 0.00**	**$ 28,514,396.00**	**$ 28,514,396.00**

Funding Sources: **Prop. 55 Bonds/2004-Mar.; Prop. 1D Bonds/2006-Nov.; Prop. 2 Bonds/2024-Nov.**

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:		Middle School
Toilet (sq. ft.)		2,128
Other (sq. ft.)		42,983
Number of Classrooms:	**K-6:**	18
	7-8:	7
	Non-Severe:	2
	Severe:	1
Recommended Acres:		14.3
Existing Acres:		9.1
Proposed		0.00

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

Base Grant	$	11,946,617.00
Accessibility Fire Grant	$	358,399.00
Energy Efficiency	$	597,331.00
Subtotal	$	12,902,347.00
Inflator Factor 12%	$	1,548,282.00
Total State Share (50%)	$	14,450,629.00
Mod Offset (57/68999-00-001)	$	(193,431.00)
Adjusted Total State Share (50%)	$	14,257,198.00
Applicant Share (50%)	$	14,257,198.00
Total Project Cost	$	**28,514,396.00**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement for the project prior to or concurrent with a valid Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05). Failure to submit an executed Grant Agreement and valid Form SAB 50-05 prior to the deadline shall result in the Apportionment being rescinded without further board action.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

The above Charter School Grant is a maximum reservation of funding for each of the construction and site acquisition amounts (50 percent State share amount) and is not intended to reflect the actual project costs at the time the project is converted to a Final Charter School Apportionment (FCSA). The FCSA shall be based on SAB regulation allowances established at the time the project is converted and shall not exceed the maximum amounts in each category.

A complete request to convert a Preliminary Charter School Apportionment to a FCSA pursuant to Section 1859.165 shall be made within four years of the date of the Preliminary Charter School Apportionment unless the applicant receives approval of an extension pursuant to Section 1859.166.1.

The Total State Share has been reduced by the previous amount of modernization funding received for the building(s) to be rehabilitated.

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	San Jose Charter Academy	**PTN:**	65094-119
School District:	West Covina Unified	**County:**	Los Angeles
Charter School Name:	San Jose Charter Academy	**Filing Basis:**	District-wide
Application No:	54/65094-00-002	**Site Name:**	San Jose Charter Academy (aka San Jose Edison)

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Preliminary Apportionment
State Share					
CSFP Grant	**957-540**	**1D**	$	$ 1,600,000.00	$ 1,600,000.00
Applicant Share					
CSFA Lease Amount					
Cash Contribution				1,600,000.00	
Total			$ **0.00**	$ **3,200,000.00**	$ **1,600,000.00**
Funding Source:	**Proposition 1D Bonds/2006-Nov.**				

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:		Middle School
Toilet (sq. ft.):		0
Other (sq. ft.):		5,455
Number of Classrooms:	**7-8**	5
Recommended Acres:		21.5
Existing Acres:		9.8
Proposed Acres:		0.0

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

Base Grant	$	1,467,395.00
Urban/Security	$	132,605.00
Subtotal	$	1,600,000.00
Inflator Factor 12%	$	0.00
Total State Share (50%)	$	1,600,000.00
Applicant Share (50%)	$	1,600,000.00
Total Project Cost	$	**3,200,000.00**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement for the project prior to or concurrent with a valid Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05). Failure to submit an executed Grant Agreement and valid Form SAB 50-05 prior to the deadline shall result in the Apportionment being rescinded without further board action.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

The above Charter School Grant is a maximum reservation of funding for each of the construction and site acquisition amounts (50 percent State share amount) and is not intended to reflect the actual project costs at the time the project is converted to a Final Charter School Apportionment (FCSA). The FCSA shall be based on SAB regulation allowances established at the time the project is converted and shall not exceed the maximum amounts in each category.

A complete request to convert a Preliminary Charter School Apportionment to a FCSA pursuant to Section 1859.165 shall be made within four years of the date of the Preliminary Charter School Apportionment unless the applicant receives approval of an extension pursuant to Section 1859.166.1.

The Energy Efficiency supplemental grant, Accessibility Fire Grant, and the Inflator Factor of 12% were removed, and the Urban/Security supplemental grant was administratively reduced to $132,605.00 as requested by the applicant to meet financial soundness requirements.

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	San Diego Unified	**PTN:**	68338-1912
School District:	San Diego Unified	**County:**	San Diego
Charter School Name:	Harriet Tubman Village Charter	**Filing Basis:**	Hoover/Crawford
Application No:	54/68338-03-002	**Site Name:**	Tubman Village Charter

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Preliminary Apportionment
State Share					
CSFP Grant	**957-540**	**1D**	$	$ 2,791,680.00	$ 2,791,680.00
CSFP Grant	**951-540**	**51**		1,075,771.00	1,075,771.00
Applicant Share					
CSFA Lease Amount					
Cash Contribution				3,867,451.00	
Total			$ 0.00	$ 7,734,902.00	$ 3,867,451.00

Funding Sources: **Prop. 1D Bonds/2006-Nov.; Prop. 51 Bonds/2016-Nov.**

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:		Middle School
Pupils Assigned:	**7-8**	108
Number of Classrooms:	**7-8**	4
Addition to Existing Site:		Yes
Recommended Acres:		14.3
Existing Acres:		4.25
Proposed Acres:		0.0

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

Base Grant	$	1,886,112.00
Multi Level Construction	$	226,333.00
Site Development	$	297,500.00
Urban / Security / Impacted Site	$	948,830.00
Energy Efficiency	$	94,306.00
Subtotal	$	3,453,081.00
Inflator Factor 12%	$	414,370.00
Total State Share (50%)	$	3,867,451.00
Applicant Share (50%)	$	3,867,451.00
Total Project Cost	$	**7,734,902.00**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement for the project prior to or concurrent with a valid Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05). Failure to submit an executed Grant Agreement and valid Form SAB 50-05 prior to the deadline shall result in the Apportionment being rescinded without further board action.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

The above Charter School Grant is a maximum reservation of funding for each of the construction and site acquisition amounts (50 percent State share amount) and is not intended to reflect the actual project costs at the time the project is converted to a Final Charter School Apportionment (FCSA). The FCSA shall be based on SAB regulation allowances established at the time the project is converted and shall not exceed the maximum amounts in each category.

A complete request to convert a Preliminary Charter School Apportionment to a FCSA pursuant to Section 1859.165 shall be made within four years of the date of the Preliminary Charter School Apportionment unless the applicant receives approval of an extension pursuant to Section 1859.166.1.

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	San Diego Unified	**PTN:**	68338-1912
School District:	San Diego Unified	**County:**	San Diego
Charter School Name:	Harriet Tubman Village Charter	**Filing Basis:**	Hoover/Crawford
Application No:	54/68338-03-003	**Site Name:**	Tubman Village Charter

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Preliminary Apportionment
State Share					
CSFP Grant	**951-540**	**51**	$	$ 10,620,963.00	$ 10,620,963.00
Applicant Share					
CSFA Lease Amount					
Cash Contribution				10,620,963.00	
Total			$	**$ 21,241,926.00**	**$ 10,620,963.00**
Funding Source:	**Proposition 51 Bonds/2016-Nov.**				

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:		Middle School
Toilet (sq. ft.):		26,065
Other (sq. ft.):		1,295
Number of Classrooms:	**K-6**	16
	7-8	1
	Severe	1
Recommended Acres:		14.3
Existing Acres:		4.25
Proposed Acres:		0.0

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

Base Grant	$	7,486,961.00
Elevator	$	139,129.00
Urban / Security / Impacted Site	$	1,877,965.00
Accessibility Fire Grant	$	224,609.00
Energy Efficiency	$	374,348.00
Subtotal	$	10,103,012.00
Inflator Factor 12%	$	1,212,361.00
Total State Share (50%)	$	11,315,373.00
Mod Offset (57/68338-00-077)	$	(694,410.00)
Adjusted Total State Share (50%)	$	10,620,963.00
Applicant Share (50%)	$	10,620,963.00
Total Project Cost	$	**21,241,926.00**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement for the project prior to or concurrent with a valid Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05). Failure to submit an executed Grant Agreement and valid Form SAB 50-05 prior to the deadline shall result in the Apportionment being rescinded without further board action.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

The above Charter School Grant is a maximum reservation of funding for each of the construction and site acquisition amounts (50 percent State share amount) and is not intended to reflect the actual project costs at the time the project is converted to a Final Charter School Apportionment (FCSA). The FCSA shall be based on SAB regulation allowances

A complete request to convert a Preliminary Charter School Apportionment to a FCSA pursuant to Section 1859.165 shall be made within four years of the date of the Preliminary Charter School Apportionment unless the applicant receives approval of an extension pursuant to Section 1859.166.1.

The Total State Share has been reduced by the previous amount of modernization funding received for the building(s) to be rehabilitated.

The base grant was capped at the new construction capacity of the project as required by School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.163.5.

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	Chico Unified	**PTN:**	61424-189
School District:	Chico Unified	**County:**	Butte
Charter School Name:	Sherwood Montessori	**Filing Basis:**	Districtwide
Application No:	54/61424-00-008	**Site Name:**	Sherwood Montessori

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Preliminary Apportionment
State Share					
CSFP Grant	**951-540**	**51**	$	$ 1,671,690.00	$ 1,671,690.00
CSFP Grant	**902-540**	**2**		835,483.00	835,483.00
Applicant Share					
CSFA Lease Amount	**902-544**	**2**		2,507,173.00	2,507,173.00
Cash Contribution					
Total		$		**$ 5,014,346.00**	**$ 5,014,346.00**

Funding Sources: **Prop. 51 Bonds/2016-Nov.; Prop 2 Bonds/2024-Nov.**

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:		Middle School
Pupils Assigned:	**K-6**	25
	7-8	54
	Non-Severe	13
Number of Classrooms:	**K-6**	1
	7-8	2
	Non-Severe	1
Addition to Existing Site:		Yes
Recommended Acres:		6.8
Existing Acres:		11.72
Proposed Acres:		0.0

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

Base Grant	$	1,758,300.00
Site Development	$	322,000.00
Small Size Project	$	70,332.00
Energy Efficiency	$	87,915.00
Subtotal	$	2,238,547.00
Inflator Factor 12%	$	268,626.00
Total State Share (50%)	$	2,507,173.00
Applicant Share (50%)	$	2,507,173.00
Total Project Cost	$	**5,014,346.00**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement for the project prior to or concurrent with a valid Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05). Failure to submit an executed Grant Agreement and valid Form SAB 50-05 prior to the deadline shall result in the Apportionment being rescinded without further board action.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

The above Charter School Grant is a maximum reservation of funding for each of the construction and site acquisition amounts (50 percent State share amount) and is not intended to reflect the actual project costs at the time the project is converted to a Final Charter School Apportionment (FCSA). The FCSA shall be based on SAB regulation allowances established at the time the project is converted and shall not exceed the maximum amounts in each category.

A complete request to convert a Preliminary Charter School Apportionment to a FCSA pursuant to Section 1859.165 shall be made within four years of the date of the Preliminary Charter School Apportionment unless the applicant receives approval of an extension pursuant to Section 1859.166.1.

The site development grant was calculated using 4.6 acres as requested by the applicant.

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	Chico Unified	**PTN:**	61424-189
School District:	Chico Unified	**County:**	Butte
Charter School Name:	Sherwood Montessori	**Filing Basis:**	Districtwide
Application No:	54/61424-00-009	**Site Name:**	Sherwood Montessori

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Preliminary Apportionment
State Share					
CSFP Grant	**902-540**	**2**	**$**	**$** 1,936,021.00	**$** 1,936,021.00
Applicant Share					
CSFA Lease Amount	**902-544**	**2**		1,936,021.00	1,936,021.00
Cash Contribution					
Total			**$**	**$ 3,872,042.00**	**$ 3,872,042.00**
Funding Source:	**Proposition 2 Bonds/2024-Nov.**				

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:		Middle School
Toilet (sq. ft.):		384
Other (sq. ft.):		5,048
Number of Classrooms:	**K-6**	4
Recommended Acres:		6.8
Existing Acres:		11.72
Proposed Acres:		0.0

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

Base Grant	**$**	1,543,384.00
Small Size Project	**$**	61,735.00
Accessibility Fire Grant	**$**	46,302.00
Energy Efficiency	**$**	77,169.00
Subtotal	**$**	1,728,590.00
Inflator Factor 12%	**$**	207,431.00
Total State Share (50%)	**$**	1,936,021.00
Applicant Share (50%)	**$**	1,936,021.00
Total Project Cost	**$**	**3,872,042.00**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement for the project prior to or concurrent with a valid Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05). Failure to submit an executed Grant Agreement and valid Form SAB 50-05 prior to the deadline shall result in the Apportionment being rescinded without further board action.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

The above Charter School Grant is a maximum reservation of funding for each of the construction and site acquisition amounts (50 percent State share amount) and is not intended to reflect the actual project costs at the time the project is converted to a Final Charter School Apportionment (FCSA). The FCSA shall be based on SAB regulation allowances

A complete request to convert a Preliminary Charter School Apportionment to a FCSA pursuant to Section 1859.165 shall be made within four years of the date of the Preliminary Charter School Apportionment unless the applicant receives approval of an extension pursuant to Section 1859.166.1.

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	Dixon Montessori Charter School	**PTN:**	70532-33
School District:	Dixon Unified	**County:**	Solano
Charter School Name:	Dixon Montessori Charter School	**Filing Basis:**	Districtwide
Application No:	54/70532-00-002	**Site Name:**	Dixon Montessori Charter

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Preliminary Apportionment
State Share					
CSFP Grant	**902-540**	**2**	$	$ 13,700,069.00	$ 13,700,069.00
Applicant Share					
CSFA Lease Amount	**902-544**	**2**		6,850,034.50	6,850,034.50
Cash Contribution				6,850,034.50	
Total			$	**$ 27,400,138.00**	**$ 20,550,103.50**
Funding Sources:	**Proposition 2 Bonds/2024-Nov.**				

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:		Middle School
Toilet (sq. ft.):		1,601
Other (sq. ft.):		43,032
Number of Classrooms:	**K-6**	27
	7-8	6
Recommended Acres:		19.4
Existing Acres:		19.21
Proposed Acres:		0.00

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

Base Grant	$	12,348,891.00
Accessibility Fire Grant	$	370,467.00
Energy Efficiency	$	617,445.00
Subtotal	$	13,336,803.00
Inflator Factor 12%	$	1,481,867.00
Total State Share (50%)	$	14,818,670.00
Mod Offset (57/70532-00-002)	$	(1,118,601.00)
Adjusted Total State Share (50%)	$	13,700,069.00
Applicant Share (50%)	$	13,700,069.00
Total Project Cost	$	**27,400,138.00**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement for the project prior to or concurrent with a valid Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05). Failure to submit an executed Grant Agreement and valid Form SAB 50-05 prior to the deadline shall result in the Apportionment being rescinded without further board action.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

The above Charter School Grant is a maximum reservation of funding for each of the construction and site acquisition amounts (50 percent State share amount) and is not intended to reflect the actual project costs at the time the project is converted to a Final Charter School Apportionment (FCSA). The FCSA shall be based on SAB regulation allowances established at the time the project is converted and shall not exceed the maximum amounts in each category.

A complete request to convert a Preliminary Charter School Apportionment to a FCSA pursuant to Section 1859.165 shall be made within four years of the date of the Preliminary Charter School Apportionment unless the applicant receives approval of an extension pursuant to Section 1859.166.1.

The Total State Share has been reduced by the previous amount of modernization funding received for the building(s) to be rehabilitated.

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	KIPP Excelencia Community Preparatory	**PTN:**	69005-85
School District:	Redwood City Elementary	**County:**	San Mateo
Charter School Name:	KIPP Excelencia Community Preparatory	**Filing Basis:**	Districtwide
Application No:	54/69005-00-001	**Site Name:**	KIPP Excelencia Community Preparatory

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Preliminary Apportionment
State Share					
CSFP Grant	**902-540**	**2**	**$**	**$** 924,022.00	**$** 924,022.00
Applicant Share					
CSFA Lease Amount	**902-544**	**2**		924,022.00	924,022.00
Cash Contribution					
Total			**$**	**$ 1,848,044.00**	**$ 1,848,044.00**
Funding Source:	**Proposition 2 Bonds/2024-Nov.**				

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:		Middle School
Pupils Assigned:	**K-6**	25
Number of Classrooms:	**K-6**	1
Addition to Existing Site:		Yes
Recommended Acres:		14.5
Existing Acres:		5.60
Proposed Acres:		0.0

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

Base Grant	**$**	412,400.00
Site Development	**$**	392,000.00
Energy Efficiency	**$**	20,620.00
Subtotal	**$**	825,020.00
Inflator Factor 12%	**$**	99,002.00
Total State Share (50%)	**$**	924,022.00
Applicant Share (50%)	**$**	924,022.00
Total Project Cost	**$**	**1,848,044.00**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement for the project prior to or concurrent with a valid Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05). Failure to submit an executed Grant Agreement and valid Form SAB 50-05 prior to the deadline shall result in the Apportionment being rescinded without further board action.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

The above Charter School Grant is a maximum reservation of funding for each of the construction and site acquisition amounts (50 percent State share amount) and is not intended to reflect the actual project costs at the time the project is converted to a Final Charter School Apportionment (FCSA). The FCSA shall be based on SAB regulation allowances established at the time the project is converted and shall not exceed the maximum amounts in each category.

A complete request to convert a Preliminary Charter School Apportionment to a FCSA pursuant to Section 1859.165 shall be made within four years of the date of the Preliminary Charter School Apportionment unless the applicant receives approval of an extension pursuant to Section 1859.166.1.

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	KIPP Excelencia Community Preparatory	**PTN:**	69005-85
School District:	Redwood City Elementary	**County:**	San Mateo
Charter School Name:	KIPP Excelencia Community Preparatory	**Filing Basis:**	Districtwide
Application No:	54/69005-00-002	**Site Name:**	KIPP Excelencia Community Preparatory

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Preliminary Apportionment
State Share					
CSFP Grant	**902-540**	**2**	$	$ 11,340,691.00	$ 11,340,691.00
Applicant Share					
CSFA Lease Amount	**902-544**	**2**		11,340,691.00	11,340,691.00
Cash Contribution					
Total			$	**$ 22,681,382.00**	**$ 22,681,382.00**
Funding Source:	**Proposition 2 Bonds/2024-Nov.**				

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:		Middle School
Toilet (sq. ft.):		1,479.0
Other (sq. ft.):		26,273
Number of Classrooms:	**K-6**	17
	7-8	3
	Severe	1
Recommended Acres:		14.5
Existing Acres:		5.6
Proposed		0.0

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

Base Grant	$	7,781,794.00
Urban / Security / Impacted Site	$	1,721,279.00
Accessibility Fire Grant	$	233,454.00
Energy Efficiency	$	389,090.00
Subtotal	$	10,125,617.00
Inflator Factor 12%	$	1,215,074.00
Total State Share (50%)	$	11,340,691.00
Applicant Share (50%)	$	11,340,691.00
Total Project Cost	$	**22,681,382.00**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement for the project prior to or concurrent with a valid Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05). Failure to submit an executed Grant Agreement and valid Form SAB 50-05 prior to the deadline shall result in the Apportionment being rescinded without further board action.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

The above Charter School Grant is a maximum reservation of funding for each of the construction and site acquisition amounts (50 percent State share amount) and is not intended to reflect the actual project costs at the time the project is converted to a Final Charter School Apportionment (FCSA). The FCSA shall be based on SAB regulation allowances

A complete request to convert a Preliminary Charter School Apportionment to a FCSA pursuant to Section 1859.165 shall be made within four years of the date of the Preliminary Charter School Apportionment unless the applicant receives approval of an extension pursuant to Section 1859.166.1.

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	Capitol Collegiate Academy	**PTN:**	67439-539
School District:	Sacramento City Unified	**County:**	Sacramento
Charter School Name:	Capitol Collegiate Academy	**Filing Basis:**	Districtwide
Application No:	54/67439-00-010	**Site Name:**	Capitol Collegiate Academy

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Preliminary Apportionment
State Share					
CSFP Grant	**902-540**	**2**	**$**	**$** 5,916,188.00	**$** 5,916,188.00
Applicant Share					
CSFA Lease Amount	**902-544**	**2**		5,916,188.00	5,916,188.00
Cash Contribution					
Total			**$**	**$ 11,832,376.00**	**$ 11,832,376.00**
Funding Source:	**Proposition 2 Bonds/2024-Nov.**				

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:		Middle School
Pupils Assigned:	**K-6**	150
	7-8	81
Number of Classrooms:	**K-6**	6
	7-8	3
Addition to Existing Site:		Yes
Recommended Acres:		16.8
Existing Acres:		10.46
Proposed Acres:		0.0

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

Base Grant	**$**	3,888,984.00
Multi Level Construction	**$**	466,678.00
Site Development	**$**	732,200.00
Energy Efficiency	**$**	194,449.00
Subtota	**$**	5,282,311.00
Inflator	**$**	633,877.00
Total	**$**	5,916,188.00
Applica	**$**	5,916,188.00
Total Project Cost	**$**	**11,832,376.00**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement for the project prior to or concurrent with a valid Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05). Failure to submit an executed Grant Agreement and valid Form SAB 50-05 prior to the deadline shall result in the Apportionment being rescinded without further board action.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

The above Charter School Grant is a maximum reservation of funding for each of the construction and site acquisition amounts (50 percent State share amount) and is not intended to reflect the actual project costs at the time the project is converted to a Final Charter School Apportionment (FCSA). The FCSA shall be based on SAB regulation allowances established at the time the project is converted and shall not exceed the maximum amounts in each category.

A complete request to convert a Preliminary Charter School Apportionment to a FCSA pursuant to Section 1859.165 shall be made within four years of the date of the Preliminary Charter School Apportionment unless the applicant receives approval of an extension pursuant to Section 1859.166.1.

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	Capitol Collegiate Academy	**PTN:**	67439-539
School District:	Sacramento City Unified	**County:**	Sacramento
Charter School Name:	Capitol Collegiate Academy	**Filing Basis:**	Districtwide
Application No:	54/67439-00-011	**Site Name:**	Capitol Collegiate Academy

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Preliminary Apportionment
State Share					
CSFP Grant	**902-540**	**2**	$	$ 9,235,867.00	$ 9,235,867.00
Applicant Share					
CSFA Lease Amount	**902-544**	**2**		9,235,867.00	9,235,867.00
Cash Contribution					
Total			$	**$ 18,471,734.00**	**$ 18,471,734.00**
Funding Source:	**Proposition 2 Bonds/2024-Nov.**				

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:		Middle School
Toilet (sq. ft.):		1,227
Other (sq. ft.):		29,706
Number of Classrooms:	**K-6**	17
	7-8	3
Recommended Acres:		16.8
Existing Acres:		10.46
Proposed Acres:		0.0

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

Base Grant	$	8,425,384.00
Accessibility Fire Grant	$	252,762.00
Energy Efficiency	$	421,269.00
Subtotal	$	9,099,415.00
Inflator Factor 12%	$	1,091,930.00
Total State Share (50%)	$	10,191,345.00
Mod Offset (57/68338-00-077)	$	(955,478.00)
Adjusted Total State Share (50%)	$	9,235,867.00
Applicant Share (50%)	$	9,235,867.00
Total Project Cost	$	**18,471,734.00**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement for the project prior to or concurrent with a valid Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05). Failure to submit an executed Grant Agreement and valid Form SAB 50-05 prior to the deadline shall result in the Apportionment being rescinded without further board action.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

The above Charter School Grant is a maximum reservation of funding for each of the construction and site acquisition amounts (50 percent State share amount) and is not intended to reflect the actual project costs at the time the project is converted to a Final Charter School Apportionment (FCSA). The FCSA shall be based on SAB regulation allowances

A complete request to convert a Preliminary Charter School Apportionment to a FCSA pursuant to Section 1859.165 shall be made within four years of the date of the Preliminary Charter School Apportionment unless the applicant receives approval of an extension pursuant to Section 1859.166.1.

The Total State Share has been reduced by the previous amount of modernization funding received for the building(s) to be rehabilitated.

The base grant was capped at the new construction capacity of the project as required by School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.163.5.

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	San Diego Unified	**PTN:**	68338-1940
School District:	San Diego Unified	**County:**	San Diego
Charter School Name:	King Chavez Community Academy	**Filing Basis:**	Lincoln/Morse/San Diego
Application No:	54/68338-02-008	**Site Name:**	King-Chavez Community Academy

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Preliminary Apportionment
State Share					
CSFP Grant	**902-540**	**2**	**$**	**$** 2,044,573.00	**$** 2,044,573.00
Applicant Share					
CSFA Lease Amount					
Cash Contribution				2,044,573.00	
Total			**$**	**$ 4,089,146.00**	**$ 2,044,573.00**
Funding Source:	**Proposition 2 Bonds/2024-Nov.**				

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:		Middle School
Pupils Assigned:	**K-6**	50
Number of Classrooms:	**K-6**	2
Addition to Existing Site:		Yes
Recommended Acres:		21.0
Existing Acres:		6.6
Proposed Acres:		0.0

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

Base Grant	**$**	824,800.00
Multi Level Construction	**$**	98,976.00
Site Development	**$**	462,000.00
Urban / Security / Impacted Site	**$**	398,496.00
Energy Efficiency	**$**	41,240.00
Subtotal	**$**	1,825,512.00
Inflator Factor 12%	**$**	219,061.00
Total State Share (50%)	**$**	2,044,573.00
Applicant Share (50%)	**$**	2,044,573.00
Total Project Cost	**$**	**4,089,146.00**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement for the project prior to or concurrent with a valid Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05). Failure to submit an executed Grant Agreement and valid Form SAB 50-05 prior to the deadline shall result in the Apportionment being rescinded without further board action.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

The above Charter School Grant is a maximum reservation of funding for each of the construction and site acquisition amounts (50 percent State share amount) and is not intended to reflect the actual project costs at the time the project is converted to a Final Charter School Apportionment (FCSA). The FCSA shall be based on SAB regulation allowances established at the time the project is converted and shall not exceed the maximum amounts in each category.

A complete request to convert a Preliminary Charter School Apportionment to a FCSA pursuant to Section 1859.165 shall be made within four years of the date of the Preliminary Charter School Apportionment unless the applicant receives approval of an extension pursuant to Section 1859.166.1.

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	San Diego Unified	**PTN:**	68338-1940
School District:	San Diego Unified	**County:**	San Diego
Charter School Name:	King Chavez Community Academy	**Filing Basis:**	Lincoln/Morse/San Diego
Application No:	54/68338-02-009	**Site Name:**	King-Chavez Community Academy

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Preliminary Apportionment
State Share					
CSFP Grant	**902-540**	**2**	$	$ 23,990,518.00	$ 23,990,518.00
Applicant Share					
CSFA Lease Amount					
Cash Contribution				23,990,518.00	
Total			$ **0.00**	$ **47,981,036.00**	$ **23,990,518.00**
Funding Source:	**Proposition 2 Bonds/2024-Nov.**				

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:		Middle School
Toilet (sq. ft.):		3,142
Other (sq. ft.):		61,762
Number of Classrooms:	**K-6:**	31
	7-8:	9
	Non-Severe:	3
	Severe:	1
Recommended Acres:		21
Existing Acres:		6.6
Proposed Acres:		0.0

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

Base Grant	$	18,131,564.00
Elevator	$	139,129.00
Urban / Security / Impacted Site	$	4,444,823.00
Accessibility Fire Grant	$	543,947.00
Energy Efficiency	$	906,578.00
Subtotal	$	24,166,041.00
Inflator Factor 12%	$	2,899,925.00
Total State Share (50%)	$	27,065,966.00
Mod Offset (57/68338-00-014, 069, 198, 211)	$	(3,075,448.00)
Adjusted Total State Share (50%)	$	23,990,518.00
Applicant Share (50%)	$	23,990,518.00
Total Project Cost	$	**47,981,036.00**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement for the project prior to or concurrent with a valid Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05). Failure to submit an executed Grant Agreement and valid Form SAB 50-05 prior to the deadline shall result in the Apportionment being rescinded without further board action.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

The above Charter School Grant is a maximum reservation of funding for each of the construction and site acquisition amounts (50 percent State share amount) and is not intended to reflect the actual project costs at the time the project is converted to a Final Charter School Apportionment (FCSA). The FCSA shall be based on SAB regulation allowances

A complete request to convert a Preliminary Charter School Apportionment to a FCSA pursuant to Section 1859.165 shall be made within four years of the date of the Preliminary Charter School Apportionment unless the applicant receives approval of an extension pursuant to Section 1859.166.1.

The Total State Share has been reduced by the previous amount of modernization funding received for the building(s) to be rehabilitated.

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	San Diego Unified	**PTN:**	68338-1896
School District:	San Diego Unified	**County:**	San Diego
Charter School Name:	Holly Drive Leadership Academy	**Filing Basis:**	Lincoln/Morse/San Diego
Application No:	54/68338-02-006	**Site Name:**	Holly Drive Leadership Academy

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Preliminary Apportionment
State Share					
CSFP Grant	**902-540**	**2**	$	$ 1,237,851.00	$ 1,237,851.00
Applicant Share					
CSFA Lease Amount					
Cash Contribution				1,237,851.00	
Total			$	**$ 2,475,702.00**	**$ 1,237,851.00**
Funding Source:	**Proposition 2 Bonds/2024-Nov.**				

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:		Middle School
Pupils Assigned:	**K-6**	25
Pupils Assigned:	**7-8**	27
Number of Classrooms:	**K-6**	1
Number of Classrooms:	**7-8**	1
Addition to Existing Site:		Yes
Recommended Acres:		7.8
Existing Acres:		2.53
Proposed Acres:		0.0

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

Base Grant	$	883,928.00
Site Development	$	177,100.00
Energy	$	44,196.00
Subtotal		1,105,224.00
Inflator Factor 12%	$	132,627.00
Total State Share (50%)	$	1,237,851.00
Applicant Share (50%)	$	1,237,851.00
Total Project Cost	$	**2,475,702.00**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement for the project prior to or concurrent with a valid Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05). Failure to submit an executed Grant Agreement and valid Form SAB 50-05 prior to the deadline shall result in the Apportionment being rescinded without further board action.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

The above Charter School Grant is a maximum reservation of funding for each of the construction and site acquisition amounts (50 percent State share amount) and is not intended to reflect the actual project costs at the time the project is converted to a Final Charter School Apportionment (FCSA). The FCSA shall be based on SAB regulation allowances established at the time the project is converted and shall not exceed the maximum amounts in each category.

A complete request to convert a Preliminary Charter School Apportionment to a FCSA pursuant to Section 1859.165 shall be made within four years of the date of the Preliminary Charter School Apportionment unless the applicant receives approval of an extension pursuant to Section 1859.166.1.

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	San Diego Unified	**PTN:**	68338-1896
School District:	San Diego Unified	**County:**	San Diego
Charter School Name:	Holly Drive Leadership Academy	**Filing Basis:**	Lincoln/Morse/San Diego
Application No:	54/68338-02-007	**Site Name:**	Holly Drive Leadership Academy

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Preliminary Apportionment
State Share					
CSFP Grant	**902-540**	**2**	$	$ 4,216,549.28	$ 4,216,549.28
Applicant Share					
CSFA Lease Amount					
Cash Contribution				4,216,549.28	
Total			$ **0.00**	$ **8,433,098.56**	$ **4,216,549.28**
Funding Source:	**Proposition 2 Bonds/2024-Nov.**				

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:		Middle School
Toilet (sq. ft.):		1,140
Other (sq. ft.):		9,420
Number of Classrooms:	**K-6:**	6
	7-8:	2
Recommended Acres:		7.8
Existing Acres:		2.53
Proposed Acres:		0.0

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

Base Grant	$	3,084,600.00
Urban / Security / Impacted Site	$	745,821.00
Accessibility Fire Grant	$	92,538.00
Energy Efficiency	$	154,230.00
Subtotal	$	4,077,189.00
Inflator Factor 12%	$	489,263.00
Total State Share (50%)	$	4,566,452.00
Mod Offset (57/68338-00-168)	$	(349,902.72)
Adjusted Total State Share (50%)	$	4,216,549.28
Applicant Share (50%)	$	4,216,549.28
Total Project Cost	$	**8,433,098.56**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement for the project prior to or concurrent with a valid Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05). Failure to submit an executed Grant Agreement and valid Form SAB 50-05 prior to the deadline shall result in the Apportionment being rescinded without further board action.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

The above Charter School Grant is a maximum reservation of funding for each of the construction and site acquisition amounts (50 percent State share amount) and is not intended to reflect the actual project costs at the time the project is converted to a Final Charter School Apportionment (FCSA). The FCSA shall be based on SAB regulation allowances

A complete request to convert a Preliminary Charter School Apportionment to a FCSA pursuant to Section 1859.165 shall be made within four years of the date of the Preliminary Charter School Apportionment unless the applicant receives approval of an extension pursuant to Section 1859.166.1.

The Total State Share has been reduced by the previous amount of modernization funding received for the building(s) to be rehabilitated.

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	Santa Rosa Elementary	**PTN:**	70912-75
School District:	Santa Rosa Elementary	**County:**	Sonoma
Charter School Name:	Santa Rosa French-American Charter	**Filing Basis:**	Districtwide
Application No:	54/70912-00-008	**Site Name:**	Santa Rosa French-american Charter (srfacs)

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Preliminary Apportionment
State Share					
CSFP Grant	**902-540**	**2**	**$**	**$** 323,936.00	**$** 323,936.00
Applicant Share					
CSFA Lease Amount					
Cash Contribution				323,936.00	
Total			**$**	**$ 647,872.00**	**$ 323,936.00**
Funding Source:	**Proposition 2 Bonds/2024-Nov.**				

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:		Elementary School
Toilet (sq. ft.):		0.0
Other (sq. ft.):		960
Number of Classrooms:	**K-6**	1
Recommended Acres:		14.5
Existing Acres:		10.2
Proposed Acres:		0.0

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

Base Grant	**$**	258,240.00
Small Size Project	**$**	10,330.00
Accessibility Fire Grant	**$**	7,747.00
Energy Efficiency	**$**	12,912.00
Subtotal	**$**	289,229.00
Inflator Factor 12%	**$**	34,707.00
Total State Share (50%)	**$**	323,936.00
Applicant Share (50%)	**$**	323,936.00
Total Project Cost	**$**	**647,872.00**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement for the project prior to or concurrent with a valid Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05). Failure to submit an executed Grant Agreement and valid Form SAB 50-05 prior to the deadline shall result in the Apportionment being rescinded without further board action.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

The above Charter School Grant is a maximum reservation of funding for each of the construction and site acquisition amounts (50 percent State share amount) and is not intended to reflect the actual project costs at the time the project is converted to a Final Charter School Apportionment (FCSA). The FCSA shall be based on SAB regulation allowances

A complete request to convert a Preliminary Charter School Apportionment to a FCSA pursuant to Section 1859.165 shall be made within four years of the date of the Preliminary Charter School Apportionment unless the applicant receives approval of an extension pursuant to Section 1859.166.1.

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	Santa Rosa Elementary	**PTN:**	70912-75
School District:	Santa Rosa Elementary	**County:**	Sonoma
Charter School Name:	Santa Rosa French-American Charter	**Filing Basis:**	Districtwide
Application No:	54/70912-00-009	**Site Name:**	Santa Rosa French-american Charter (srfacs)

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Preliminary Apportionment
State Share					
CSFP Grant	**902-540**	**2**	$	$ 3,316,970.00	$ 3,316,970.00
Applicant Share					
CSFA Lease Amount					
Cash Contribution				3,316,970.00	
Total			$	**$ 6,633,940.00**	**$ 3,316,970.00**
Funding Source:	**Proposition 2 Bonds/2024-Nov.**				

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:		Elementary School
Pupils Assigned:	**K-6**	125
Number of Classrooms:	**K-6**	5
Addition to Existing Site:		Yes
Recommended Acres:		14.5
Existing Acres:		10.20
Proposed Acres:		0.0

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

Base Grant	$	2,062,000.00
Site Development	$	714,000.00
Small Size Project	$	82,480.00
Energy Efficiency	$	103,100.00
Subtotal	$	2,961,580.00
Inflator Factor 12%	$	355,390.00
Total State Share (50%)	$	3,316,970.00
Applicant Share (50%)	$	3,316,970.00
Total Project Cost	$	**6,633,940.00**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement for the project prior to or concurrent with a valid Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05). Failure to submit an executed Grant Agreement and valid Form SAB 50-05 prior to the deadline shall result in the Apportionment being rescinded without further board action.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

The above Charter School Grant is a maximum reservation of funding for each of the construction and site acquisition amounts (50 percent State share amount) and is not intended to reflect the actual project costs at the time the project is converted to a Final Charter School Apportionment (FCSA). The FCSA shall be based on SAB regulation allowances established at the time the project is converted and shall not exceed the maximum amounts in each category.

A complete request to convert a Preliminary Charter School Apportionment to a FCSA pursuant to Section 1859.165 shall be made within four years of the date of the Preliminary Charter School Apportionment unless the applicant receives approval of an extension pursuant to Section 1859.166.1.

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	Alpha Public Schools, Inc.	**PTN:**	69369 - 106
School District:	Alum Rock Union Elementary	**County:**	Santa Clara
Charter School Name:	Alpha: Blanca Alvarado School	**Filing Basis:**	District-wide
Application No:	54/69369-00-005	**Site Name:**	Alpha: Blanca Alvarado

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Preliminary Apportionment
State Share					
CSFP Grant	**902-540**	**2**	$	$ 4,582,791.49	$ 4,582,791.49
Applicant Share					
CSFA Lease Amount	**902-544**	**2**		4,582,791.49	4,582,791.49
Cash Contribution					
Total			$	**$ 9,165,582.98**	**$ 9,165,582.98**
Funding Source:	**Proposition 2 Bonds/2024-Nov.**				

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:		Middle School
Toilet (sq. ft.)		576
Other (sq. ft.)		18,855
Number of Classrooms:	**K-6**	10
	7-8	2
Recommended Acres:		14.8
Existing Acres:		9.02
Proposed		0.0

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

Base Grant	$	5,067,056.00
Subtotal		5,067,056.00
Inflator Factor 12%	$	0.00
Total State Share (50%)	$	5,067,056.00
Mod Offset (57/69369-00-011)	$	(484,264.51)
Adjusted Total State Share (50%)		4,582,791.49
Applicant Share (50%)		4,582,791.49
Total Project Cost	$	**9,165,582.98**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement for the project prior to or concurrent with a valid Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05). Failure to submit an executed Grant Agreement and valid Form SAB 50-05 prior to the deadline shall result in the Apportionment being rescinded without further board action.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

The above Charter School Grant is a maximum reservation of funding for each of the construction and site acquisition amounts (50 percent State share amount) and is not intended to reflect the actual project costs at the time the project is converted to a Final Charter School Apportionment (FCSA). The FCSA shall be based on SAB regulation allowances

A complete request to convert a Preliminary Charter School Apportionment to a FCSA pursuant to Section 1859.165 shall be made within four years of the date of the Preliminary Charter School Apportionment unless the applicant receives approval of an extension pursuant to Section 1859.166.1.

The Total State Share has been reduced by the previous amount of modernization funding received for the building(s) to be rehabilitated.

The base grant was capped at the new construction capacity of the project as required by School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.163.5.

The Access Compliance/Fire and Energy Efficiency supplemental grants and the Inflator Factor of 12% were removed, and the Base Grant was administratively reduced to $4,134,264.51 as requested by the applicant to meet financial soundness requirements.

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	Natomas Charter School	**PTN:**	75283-159
School District:	Natomas Unified	**County:**	Sacramento
Charter School Name:	Natomas Charter School	**Filing Basis:**	District-wide
Application No:	54/75283-00-016	**Site Name:**	Natomas Charter

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Preliminary Apportionment
State Share					
CSFP Grant	**902-540**	**2**	$	$ 914,762.00	$ 914,762.00
Applicant Share					
CSFA Lease Amount	**902-544**	**2**		914,762.00	914,762.00
Cash Contribution					
Total			$	**$ 1,829,524.00**	**$ 1,829,524.00**
Funding Source:	**Proposition 2 Bonds/2024-Nov.**				

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:		High School
Toilet (sq. ft.)		0
Other (sq. ft.)		1,920
Number of Classrooms:	**9-12**	2
Recommended Acres:		38.7
Existing Acres:		13.69
Proposed Acres:		0.0

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

Base Grant	$	516,480.00
Urban/Security	$	119,825.00
Accessibility Fire Grant	$	15,494.00
Elevator	$	139,129.00
Energy Efficiency	$	25,824.00
Subtotal	$	816,752.00
Inflator Factor 12%	$	98,010.00
Total State Share (50%)	$	914,762.00
Applicant Share (50%)	$	914,762.00
Total Project Cost	$	**1,829,524.00**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement for the project prior to or concurrent with a valid Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05). Failure to submit an executed Grant Agreement and valid Form SAB 50-05 prior to the deadline shall result in the Apportionment being rescinded without further board action.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

The above Charter School Grant is a maximum reservation of funding for each of the construction and site acquisition amounts (50 percent State share amount) and is not intended to reflect the actual project costs at the time the project is converted to a Final Charter School Apportionment (FCSA). The FCSA shall be based on SAB regulation allowances

A complete request to convert a Preliminary Charter School Apportionment to a FCSA pursuant to Section 1859.165 shall be made within four years of the date of the Preliminary Charter School Apportionment unless the applicant receives approval of an extension pursuant to Section 1859.166.1.

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	Natomas Pacific Pathways Prep	**PTN:**	75283-179
School District:	Natomas Unified	**County:**	Sacramento
Charter School Name:	Natomas Pacific Pathways Prep	**Filing Basis:**	Districtwide
Application No:	54/75283-00-017	**Site Name:**	Natomas Pacific Pathways Prep High

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Preliminary Apportionment
State Share					
CSFP Grant	**902-540**	**2**	$	$ 15,798,610.00	$ 15,798,610.00
Applicant Share					
CSFA Lease Amount					
Cash Contribution				15,798,610.00	
Total			$	**$ 31,597,220.00**	**$ 15,798,610.00**
Funding Source:	**Proposition 2 Bonds/2024-Nov.**				

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:		High School
Pupils Assigned:	**9-12**	351
Pupils Assigned:	**Non-Severe**	13
Number of Classrooms:	**9-12**	13
Number of Classrooms:	**Non-Severe**	1
Addition to Existing Site:		Yes
Recommended Acres:		31.0
Existing Acres:		10.18
Proposed Acres:		0.0

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

Base Grant	$	8,183,110.00
Multi Level Construction	$	981,973.00
Site Development	$	712,600.00
Urban / Security / Impacted Site	$	3,819,063.00
Energy	$	409,156.00
Subtotal	$	14,105,902.00
Inflator Factor 12%	$	1,692,708.00
Total State Share (50%)	$	15,798,610.00
Applicant Share (50%)	$	15,798,610.00
Total Project Cost	$	**31,597,220.00**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement for the project prior to or concurrent with a valid Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05). Failure to submit an executed Grant Agreement and valid Form SAB 50-05 prior to the deadline shall result in the Apportionment being rescinded without further board action.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

The above Charter School Grant is a maximum reservation of funding for each of the construction and site acquisition amounts (50 percent State share amount) and is not intended to reflect the actual project costs at the time the project is converted to a Final Charter School Apportionment (FCSA). The FCSA shall be based on SAB regulation allowances established at the time the project is converted and shall not exceed the maximum amounts in each category.

A complete request to convert a Preliminary Charter School Apportionment to a FCSA pursuant to Section 1859.165 shall be made within four years of the date of the Preliminary Charter School Apportionment unless the applicant receives approval of an extension pursuant to Section 1859.166.1.

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	Natomas Pacific Pathways Prep	**PTN:**	75283-179
School District:	Natomas Unified	**County:**	Sacramento
Charter School Name:	Natomas Pacific Pathways Prep	**Filing Basis:**	Districtwide
Application No:	54/75283-00-018	**Site Name:**	Natomas Pacific Pathways Prep High

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Preliminary Apportionment
State Share					
CSFP Grant	**902-540**	**2**	$	$ 2,475,341.00	$ 2,475,341.00
Applicant Share					
CSFA Lease Amount					
Cash Contribution				2,475,341.00	
Total			$	**$ 4,950,682.00**	**$ 2,475,341.00**
Funding Source:	**Proposition 2 Bonds/2024-Nov.**				

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:		High School
Toilet (sq. ft.):		0.0
Other (sq. ft.):		5,760
Number of Classrooms:	**9-12**	6
Recommended Acres:		31.0
Existing Acres:		10.18
Proposed Acres:		0.0

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

Base Grant	$	1,549,440.00
Urban / Security / Impacted Site	$	372,558.00
Accessibility Fire Grant	$	46,483.00
Elevator	$	139,129.00
Elevator Additional Stop	$	25,044.00
Energy Efficiency	$	77,472.00
Subtotal	$	2,210,126.00
Inflator Factor 12%	$	265,215.00
Total State Share (50%)	$	2,475,341.00
Applica	$	2,475,341.00
Total Project Cost	$	**4,950,682.00**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement for the project prior to or concurrent with a valid Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05). Failure to submit an executed Grant Agreement and valid Form SAB 50-05 prior to the deadline shall result in the Apportionment being rescinded without further board action.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

The above Charter School Grant is a maximum reservation of funding for each of the construction and site acquisition amounts (50 percent State share amount) and is not intended to reflect the actual project costs at the time the project is converted to a Final Charter School Apportionment (FCSA). The FCSA shall be based on SAB regulation allowances

A complete request to convert a Preliminary Charter School Apportionment to a FCSA pursuant to Section 1859.165 shall be made within four years of the date of the Preliminary Charter School Apportionment unless the applicant receives approval of an extension pursuant to Section 1859.166.1.

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	KIPP Heartwood Academy	**PTN:**	69369-108
School District:	Alum Rock Union Elementary	**County:**	Santa Clara
Charter School Name:	KIPP Heartwood Academy	**Filing Basis:**	Districtwide
Application No:	54/69369-00-007	**Site Name:**	KIPP Heartwood Academy

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Preliminary Apportionment
State Share					
CSFP Grant	**902-540**	**2**	$	$ 10,117,223.00	$ 10,117,223.00
Applicant Share					
CSFA Lease Amount	**902-544**	**2**		10,117,223.00	10,117,223.00
Cash Contribution					
Total			$	**$ 20,234,446.00**	**$ 20,234,446.00**
Funding Source:	**Proposition 2 Bonds/2024-Nov.**				

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:		Middle School
Toilet (sq. ft.)		956
Other (sq. ft.)		25,100
Number of Classrooms:	**K-6:**	8
	7-8:	9
	Severe:	1
Recommended Acres:		14.9
Existing Acres:		3.55
Proposed Acres:		0.00

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

Base Grant	$	7,213,648.00
Urban/Security	$	1,951,011.00
Accessibility Fire Grant	$	216,409.00
Energy Efficiency	$	360,682.00
Subtotal	$	9,741,750.00
Inflator Factor 12%	$	1,169,010.00
Total State Share (50%)	$	10,910,760.00
Mod Offset (57/69369-00-006)		(793,537.00)
Adjusted Total State Share (50%)		10,117,223.00
Applicant Share (50%)	$	10,117,223.00
Total Project Cost	$	**20,234,446.00**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement for the project prior to or concurrent with a valid Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05). Failure to submit an executed Grant Agreement and valid Form SAB 50-05 prior to the deadline shall result in the Apportionment being rescinded without further board action.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

The above Charter School Grant is a maximum reservation of funding for each of the construction and site acquisition amounts (50 percent State share amount) and is not intended to reflect the actual project costs at the time the project is converted to a Final Charter School Apportionment (FCSA). The FCSA shall be based on SAB regulation allowances established at the time the project is converted and shall not exceed the maximum amounts in each category.

A complete request to convert a Preliminary Charter School Apportionment to a FCSA pursuant to Section 1859.165 shall be made within four years of the date of the Preliminary Charter School Apportionment unless the applicant receives approval of an extension pursuant to Section 1859.166.1.

The Total State Share has been reduced by the previous amount of modernization funding received for the building(s) to be rehabilitated.

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	Animo Jackie Robinson Charter High School	**PTN:**	64733-6839
School District:	Los Angeles Unified	**County:**	Los Angeles
Charter School Name:	Animo Jackie Robinson Charter High School	**Filing Basis:**	Districtwide
Application No:	54/64733-00-105	**Site Name:**	Animo Jackie Robinson High

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Preliminary Apportionment
State Share					
CSFP Grant	**902-540**	**2**	$	$ 28,000,000.00	$ 28,000,000.00
Applicant Share					
CSFA Lease Amount	**902-544**	**2**		25,000,000.00	25,000,000.00
Cash Contribution				3,000,000.00	
Total			$	$ **56,000,000.00**	$ **53,000,000.00**
Funding Source:	**Proposition 2 Bonds/2024-Nov.**				

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:		High School
Pupils Assigned:	**9-12**	621
Number of Classrooms:	**9-12**	23
Addition to Existing Site:		No
Recommended Acres:		27.1
Existing Acres:		0.0
Proposed Acres:		2.4

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

Base Grant	$	13,765,086.00
Multi Level Construction		1,651,810.00
NC Site Acquisition	$	8,006,554.00
2% of Appraised or Actual Value	$	320,262.16
Urban / Security / Impacted Site		4,256,287.84
Subtotal	$	28,000,000.00
Inflator Factor 12%	$	0.00
Total State Share (50%)	$	28,000,000.00
Applicant Share (50%)	$	28,000,000.00
Total Project Cost	$	**56,000,000.00**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement for the project prior to or concurrent with a valid Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05). Failure to submit an executed Grant Agreement and valid Form SAB 50-05 prior to the deadline shall result in the Apportionment being rescinded without further board action.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

The above Charter School Grant is a maximum reservation of funding for each of the construction and site acquisition amounts (50 percent State share amount) and is not intended to reflect the actual project costs at the time the project is converted to a Final Charter School Apportionment (FCSA). The FCSA shall be based on SAB regulation allowances established at the time the project is converted and shall not exceed the maximum amounts in each category.

A complete request to convert a Preliminary Charter School Apportionment to a FCSA pursuant to Section 1859.165 shall be made within four years of the date of the Preliminary Charter School Apportionment unless the applicant receives approval of an extension pursuant to Section 1859.166.1.

The DTSC Fees, Site Development, General Site, and Energy Efficiency supplemental grants and the Inflator Factor of 12% were removed and the Urban/Security grant was reduced as requested by the applicant for financial soundness.

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	San Diego Unified	**PTN:**	68338-1941
School District:	San Diego Unified	**County:**	San Diego
Charter School Name:	Keiller Leadership Academy	**Filing Basis:**	Lincoln/Morse/San Diego
Application No:	54/68338-02-005	**Site Name:**	Keiller Middle

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Preliminary Apportionment
State Share					
CSFP Grant	**902-540**	**2**	$	$ 20,602,660.00	$ 20,602,660.00
Applicant Share					
CSFA Lease Amount					
Cash Contribution				20,602,660.00	
Total			$	**$ 41,205,320.00**	**$ 20,602,660.00**
Funding Source:	**Proposition 2 Bonds/2024-Nov.**				

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:		Middle School
Toilet (sq. ft.):		2,261
Other (sq. ft.):		61,403
Number of Classrooms:	**K-6**	27
	7-8	9
Recommended Acres:		20.0
Existing Acres:		6.6
Proposed Acres:		0.0

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

Base Grant	$	15,378,552.00
Urban / Security / Impacted Site	$	3,689,468.00
Accessibility Fire Grant	$	461,357.00
Elevator	$	278,258.00
Energy Efficiency	$	758,319.00
Subtotal	$	20,565,954.00
Inflator Factor 12%	$	2,467,914.00
Total State Share (50%)	$	23,033,868.00
Mod Offset (57/68338-00-089, -142)	$	(2,431,208.00)
Adjusted Total State Share (50%)	$	20,602,660.00
Applicant Share (50%)	$	20,602,660.00
Total Project Cost	$	**41,205,320.00**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement for the project prior to or concurrent with a valid Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05). Failure to submit an executed Grant Agreement and valid Form SAB 50-05 prior to the deadline shall result in the Apportionment being rescinded without further board action.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

The above Charter School Grant is a maximum reservation of funding for each of the construction and site acquisition amounts (50 percent State share amount) and is not intended to reflect the actual project costs at the time the project is converted to a Final Charter School Apportionment (FCSA). The FCSA shall be based on SAB regulation allowances

A complete request to convert a Preliminary Charter School Apportionment to a FCSA pursuant to Section 1859.165 shall be made within four years of the date of the Preliminary Charter School Apportionment unless the applicant receives approval of an extension pursuant to Section 1859.166.1.

The Total State Share has been reduced by the previous amount of modernization funding received for the building(s) to be rehabilitated.

The base grant was capped at the new construction capacity of the project as required by School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.163.5.

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	KIPP Prize Preparatory Academy	**PTN:**	69369-107
School District:	Alum Rock Union Elementary	**County:**	Santa Clara
Charter School Name:	KIPP Prize Preparatory Academy	**Filing Basis:**	Districtwide
Application No:	54/69369-00-008	**Site Name:**	KIPP Prize Preparatory Academy

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Preliminary Apportionment
State Share					
CSFP Grant	**902-540**	**2**	**$**	**$** 13,153,464.00	**$** 13,153,464.00
Applicant Share					
CSFA Lease Amount	**902-544**	**2**		13,153,464.00	13,153,464.00
Cash Contribution					
Total			**$**	**$ 26,306,928.00**	**$ 26,306,928.00**
Funding Source:	**Proposition 2 Bonds/2024-Nov.**				

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:		Middle School
Pupils Assigned:	**K-6**	200
Pupils Assigned:	**7-8**	189
Number of Classrooms:	**K-6**	8
Number of Classrooms:	**7-8**	7
Addition to Existing Site:		Yes
Recommended Acres:		14.9
Existing Acres:		3.55
Proposed Acres:		0.0

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

Base Grant	**$**	6,599,896.00
Multi Level Construction	**$**	791,988.00
Site Development	**$**	248,500.00
Urban / Security / Impacted Site	**$**	3,773,785.00
Energy Efficiency	**$**	329,995.00
Subtotal	**$**	11,744,164.00
Inflator Factor 12%	**$**	1,409,300.00
Total State Share (50%)	**$**	13,153,464.00
Applicant Share (50%)	**$**	13,153,464.00
Total Project Cost	**$**	**26,306,928.00**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement for the project prior to or concurrent with a valid Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05). Failure to submit an executed Grant Agreement and valid Form SAB 50-05 prior to the deadline shall result in the Apportionment being rescinded without further board action.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

The above Charter School Grant is a maximum reservation of funding for each of the construction and site acquisition amounts (50 percent State share amount) and is not intended to reflect the actual project costs at the time the project is converted to a Final Charter School Apportionment (FCSA). The FCSA shall be based on SAB regulation allowances established at the time the project is converted and shall not exceed the maximum amounts in each category.

A complete request to convert a Preliminary Charter School Apportionment to a FCSA pursuant to Section 1859.165 shall be made within four years of the date of the Preliminary Charter School Apportionment unless the applicant receives approval of an extension pursuant to Section 1859.166.1.

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	KIPP Prize Preparatory Academy	**PTN:**	69369-107
School District:	Alum Rock Union Elementary	**County:**	Santa Clara
Charter School Name:	KIPP Prize Preparatory Academy	**Filing Basis:**	Districtwide
Application No:	54/69369-00-009	**Site Name:**	KIPP Prize Preparatory Academy

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Preliminary Apportionment
State Share					
CSFP Grant	**902-540**	**2**	$	$ 2,858,959.00	$ 2,858,959.00
Applicant Share					
CSFA Lease Amount	**902-544**	**2**		2,858,959.00	2,858,959.00
Cash Contribution					
Total			$	**$ 5,717,918.00**	**$ 5,717,918.00**
Funding Source:	**Proposition 2 Bonds/2024-Nov.**				

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:		Middle School
Toilet (sq. ft.):		456
Other (sq. ft.):		6,208
Number of Classrooms:	**K-6**	4
	Non-Severe	1
Recommended Acres:		14.9
Existing Acres:		3.55
Proposed		0.0

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

Base Grant	$	1,890,200.00
Urban / Security / Impacted Site	$	511,226.00
Accessibility Fire Grant	$	56,706.00
Energy Efficiency	$	94,510.00
Subtotal	$	2,552,642.00
Inflator Factor 12%	$	306,317.00
Total State Share (50%)	$	2,858,959.00
Applicant Share (50%)	$	2,858,959.00
Total Project Cost	$	**5,717,918.00**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement for the project prior to or concurrent with a valid Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05). Failure to submit an executed Grant Agreement and valid Form SAB 50-05 prior to the deadline shall result in the Apportionment being rescinded without further board action.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

The above Charter School Grant is a maximum reservation of funding for each of the construction and site acquisition amounts (50 percent State share amount) and is not intended to reflect the actual project costs at the time the project is converted to a Final Charter School Apportionment (FCSA). The FCSA shall be based on SAB regulation allowances

A complete request to convert a Preliminary Charter School Apportionment to a FCSA pursuant to Section 1859.165 shall be made within four years of the date of the Preliminary Charter School Apportionment unless the applicant receives approval of an extension pursuant to Section 1859.166.1.

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	Ackerman Charter	**PTN:**	66761-14
School District:	Ackerman Charter	**County:**	Placer
Charter School Name:	Bowman Charter School	**Filing Basis:**	District-wide
Application No:	54/66761-00-002	**Site Name:**	Bowman Elementary

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Preliminary Apportionment
State Share					
CSFP Grant	**902-540**	**2**	**$**	**$ 113,378.58**	**$ 113,378.58**
Applicant Share					
CSFA Lease Amount	**902-544**	**2**		113,378.58	113,378.58
Cash Contribution					
Total			**$**	**$ 226,757.16**	**$ 226,757.16**
Funding Source:	**Proposition 2 Bonds/2024-Nov.**				

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:		Middle School
Toilet (sq. ft.):		0
Other (sq. ft.):		960
Number of Classrooms:	**K-6**	1
Recommended Acres:		16.8
Existing Acres:		7.81
Proposed Acres:		0

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

Base Grant	**$**	258,240.00
Subtotal	**$**	258,240.00
Inflator Factor 12%	**$**	0.00
Total State Share (50%)	**$**	258,240.00
Mod Offset (57/66761-00-003, 004)	**$**	(144,861.42)
Adjusted Total State Share (50%)	**$**	113,378.58
Total Applicant Share (50%)	**$**	113,378.58
Total Project Cost		226,757.16

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement for the project prior to or concurrent with a valid Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05). Failure to submit an executed Grant Agreement and valid Form SAB 50-05 prior to the deadline shall result in the Apportionment being rescinded without further board action.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

The above Charter School Grant is a maximum reservation of funding for each of the construction and site acquisition amounts (50 percent State share amount) and is not intended to reflect the actual project costs at the time the project is converted to a Final Charter School Apportionment (FCSA). The FCSA shall be based on SAB regulation allowances established at the time the project is converted and shall not exceed the maximum amounts in each category.

A complete request to convert a Preliminary Charter School Apportionment to a FCSA pursuant to Section 1859.165 shall be made within four years of the date of the Preliminary Charter School Apportionment unless the applicant receives approval of an extension pursuant to Section 1859.166.1.

The Total State Share has been reduced by the previous amount of modernization funding received for the building(s) to be rehabilitated.

The Urban/Security, Accessibility/Fire Code, Elevator, Energy Efficiency, and CTE supplemental grants and the Inflator Factor of 12% were removed as requested by the applicant to meet financial soundness requirements.

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	Ackerman Charter	**PTN:**	66761-14
School District:	Ackerman Charter	**County:**	Placer
Charter School Name:	Bowman Charter School	**Filing Basis:**	District-wide
Application No:	54/66761-00-003	**Site Name:**	Bowman Elementary

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Preliminary Apportionment
State Share					
CSFP Grant	**902-540**	**2**	**$**	**$** 2,886,800.00	**$** 2,886,800.00
Applicant Share					
CSFA Lease Amount	**902-544**	**2**		2,886,800.00	2,886,800.00
Cash Contribution					
Total			**$**	**$ 5,773,600.00**	**$ 5,773,600.00**
Funding Source:	**Proposition 2 Bonds/2024-Nov.**				

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:		Middle School
Pupils Assigned:	**K-6**	175
Number of Classrooms:	**K-6**	7
Addition to Existing Site:		No
Recommended Acres:		16.8
Existing Acres:		7.81
Proposed Acres:		0

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

Base Grant	**$**	2,886,800.00
Subtotal	**$**	2,886,800.00
Inflator Factor 12%	**$**	0.00
Total State Share (50%)		2,886,800.00
Applicant Share (50%)	**$**	2,886,800.00
Total Project Cost	**$**	**5,773,600.00**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement for the project prior to or concurrent with a valid Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05). Failure to submit an executed Grant Agreement and valid Form SAB 50-05 prior to the deadline shall result in the Apportionment being rescinded without further board action.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

The above Charter School Grant is a maximum reservation of funding for each of the construction and site acquisition amounts (50 percent State share amount) and is not intended to reflect the actual project costs at the time the project is converted to a Final Charter School Apportionment (FCSA). The FCSA shall be based on SAB regulation allowances

A complete request to convert a Preliminary Charter School Apportionment to a FCSA pursuant to Section 1859.165 shall be made within four years of the date of the Preliminary Charter School Apportionment unless the applicant receives approval of an extension pursuant to Section 1859.166.1.

The Multilevel Construction, Site Development, Urban/Security, and Energy Efficiency supplemental grants and the Inflator Factor of 12% were removed as requested by the applicant to meet financial soundness requirements.

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	KIPP Summit Academy	**PTN:**	61309-138
School District:	San Lorenzo Unified	**County:**	Alameda
Charter School Name:	KIPP Summit Academy	**Filing Basis:**	Districtwide
Application No:	54/61309-00-004	**Site Name:**	KIPP Summit Academy

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Preliminary Apportionment
State Share					
CSFP Grant	**902-540**	**2**	$	$ 1,347,852.00	$ 1,347,852.00
Applicant Share					
CSFA Lease Amount	**902-544**	**2**		1,347,852.00	1,347,852.00
Cash Contribution					
Total			$	**$ 2,695,704.00**	**$ 2,695,704.00**
Funding Source:	**Proposition 2 Bonds/2024-Nov.**				

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:		Middle School
Pupils Assigned:	**Severe**	18
Number of Classrooms:	**Severe**	2
Addition to Existing Site:		Yes
Recommended Acres:		14.9
Existing Acres:		4.69
Proposed Acres:		0.0

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

Base Grant	$	834,066.00
Site Development	$	328,300.00
Energy Efficiency	$	41,073.00
Subtotal	$	1,203,439.00
Inflator Factor 12%	$	144,413.00
Total State Share (50%)	$	1,347,852.00
Applicant Share (50%)	$	1,347,852.00
Total Project Cost	$	**2,695,704.00**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement for the project prior to or concurrent with a valid Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05). Failure to submit an executed Grant Agreement and valid Form SAB 50-05 prior to the deadline shall result in the Apportionment being rescinded without further board action.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

The above Charter School Grant is a maximum reservation of funding for each of the construction and site acquisition amounts (50 percent State share amount) and is not intended to reflect the actual project costs at the time the project is converted to a Final Charter School Apportionment (FCSA). The FCSA shall be based on SAB regulation allowances established at the time the project is converted and shall not exceed the maximum amounts in each category.

A complete request to convert a Preliminary Charter School Apportionment to a FCSA pursuant to Section 1859.165 shall be made within four years of the date of the Preliminary Charter School Apportionment unless the applicant receives approval of an extension pursuant to Section 1859.166.1.

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	KIPP Summit Academy	**PTN:**	61309-138
School District:	San Lorenzo Unified	**County:**	Alameda
Charter School Name:	KIPP Summit Academy	**Filing Basis:**	Districtwide
Application No:	54/61309-00-005	**Site Name:**	KIPP Summit Academy

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Preliminary Apportionment
State Share					
CSFP Grant	**902-540**	**2**	**$**	**$** 9,794,122.00	**$** 9,794,122.00
Applicant Share					
CSFA Lease Amount	**902-544**	**2**		9,794,122.00	9,794,122.00
Cash Contribution					
Total			**$**	**$ 19,588,244.00**	**$ 19,588,244.00**

Funding Source: **Proposition 2 Bonds/2024-Nov.**

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:		Middle School
Toilet (sq. ft.):		1,703
Other (sq. ft.):		26,512
Number of Classrooms:	**K-6**	8
	7-8	7
Recommended Acres:		14.9
Existing Acres:		4.69
Proposed		0.0

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

Base Grant	**$**	6,599,896.00
Urban / Security / Impacted Site	**$**	1,616,864.00
Accessibility Fire Grant	**$**	197,997.00
Energy Efficiency	**$**	329,995.00
Subtotal	**$**	8,744,752.00
Inflator Factor 12%	**$**	1,049,370.00
Total State Share (50%)	**$**	9,794,122.00
Applicant Share (50%)	**$**	9,794,122.00
Total Project Cost	**$**	**19,588,244.00**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement for the project prior to or concurrent with a valid Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05). Failure to submit an executed Grant Agreement and valid Form SAB 50-05 prior to the deadline shall result in the Apportionment being rescinded without further board action.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

The above Charter School Grant is a maximum reservation of funding for each of the construction and site acquisition amounts (50 percent State share amount) and is not intended to reflect the actual project costs at the time the project is converted to a Final Charter School Apportionment (FCSA). The FCSA shall be based on SAB regulation allowances

A complete request to convert a Preliminary Charter School Apportionment to a FCSA pursuant to Section 1859.165 shall be made within four years of the date of the Preliminary Charter School Apportionment unless the applicant receives approval of an extension pursuant to Section 1859.166.1.

The base grant was capped at the new construction capacity of the project as required by School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.163.5.

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	San Diego Unified	**PTN:**	68338-1910
School District:	San Diego Unified	**County:**	San Diego
Charter School Name:	Iftin Charter School	**Filing Basis:**	Hoover/Crawford
Application No:	54/68338-03-004	**Site Name:**	Iftin Charter

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Preliminary Apportionment
State Share					
CSFP Grant	**902-540**	**2**	$	$ 11,992,058.40	$ 11,992,058.40
Applicant Share					
CSFA Lease Amount					
Cash Contribution				11,992,058.40	
Total			$	**$ 23,984,116.80**	**$ 11,992,058.40**
Funding Source:	**Proposition 2 Bonds/2024-Nov.**				

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:		Middle School
Toilet (sq. ft.):		2,343
Other (sq. ft.):		39,377
Number of Classrooms:	**K-6**	16
	7-8	5
	Severe	1
Recommended Acres:		16.8
Existing Acres:		6.6
Proposed Acres:		0.0

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

Base Grant	$	9,373,073.00
Urban / Security / Impacted Site	$	2,052,502.00
Accessibility Fire Grant	$	281,192.00
Elevator	$	139,129.00
Energy Efficiency	$	468,654.00
Subtotal	$	12,314,550.00
Inflator Factor 12%	$	1,477,746.00
Total State Share (50%)	$	13,792,296.00
Mod Offset (57/68338-00-139, -212, -255)	$	(1,800,237.60)
Adjusted Total State Share (50%)	$	11,992,058.40
Applicant Share (50%)	$	11,992,058.40
Total Project Cost	$	**23,984,116.80**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement for the project prior to or concurrent with a valid Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05). Failure to submit an executed Grant Agreement and valid Form SAB 50-05 prior to the deadline shall result in the Apportionment being rescinded without further board action.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

The above Charter School Grant is a maximum reservation of funding for each of the construction and site acquisition amounts (50 percent State share amount) and is not intended to reflect the actual project costs at the time the project is converted to a Final Charter School Apportionment (FCSA). The FCSA shall be based on SAB regulation allowances

A complete request to convert a Preliminary Charter School Apportionment to a FCSA pursuant to Section 1859.165 shall be made within four years of the date of the Preliminary Charter School Apportionment unless the applicant receives approval of an extension pursuant to Section 1859.166.1.

The Total State Share has been reduced by the previous amount of modernization funding received for the building(s) to be rehabilitated.

The base grant was capped at the new construction capacity of the project as required by School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.163.5.

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	San Diego Unified	**PTN:**	68338-1910
School District:	San Diego Unified	**County:**	San Diego
Charter School Name:	Iftin Charter School	**Filing Basis:**	Hoover/Crawford
Application No:	54/68338-03-005	**Site Name:**	Iftin Charter

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Preliminary Apportionment
State Share					
CSFP Grant	**902-540**	**2**	**$**	**$** 5,155,176.00	**$** 5,155,176.00
Applicant Share					
CSFA Lease Amount					
Cash Contribution				5,155,176.00	
Total			**$**	**$ 10,310,352.00**	**$ 5,155,176.00**
Funding Source:	**Proposition 2 Bonds/2024-Nov.**				

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:		Middle School
Pupils Assigned:	**K-6**	75
Pupils Assigned:	**7-8**	81
Number of Classrooms:	**K-6**	3
Number of Classrooms:	**7-8**	3
Addition to Existing Site:		Yes
Recommended Acres:		16.8
Existing Acres:		6.6
Proposed Acres:		0.0

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

Base Grant	**$**	2,651,784.00
Multi Level Construction		318,214.00
Site Development	**$**	462,000.00
Urban / Security / Impacted Site		1,038,249.00
Energy	**$**	132,589.00
Subtotal		4,602,836.00
Inflator Factor 12%	**$**	552,340.00
Total State Share (50%)	**$**	5,155,176.00
Applicant Share (50%)	**$**	5,155,176.00
Total Project Cost	**$**	**10,310,352.00**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement for the project prior to or concurrent with a valid Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05). Failure to submit an executed Grant Agreement and valid Form SAB 50-05 prior to the deadline shall result in the Apportionment being rescinded without further board action.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

The above Charter School Grant is a maximum reservation of funding for each of the construction and site acquisition amounts (50 percent State share amount) and is not intended to reflect the actual project costs at the time the project is converted to a Final Charter School Apportionment (FCSA). The FCSA shall be based on SAB regulation allowances established at the time the project is converted and shall not exceed the maximum amounts in each category.

A complete request to convert a Preliminary Charter School Apportionment to a FCSA pursuant to Section 1859.165 shall be made within four years of the date of the Preliminary Charter School Apportionment unless the applicant receives approval of an extension pursuant to Section 1859.166.1.

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	Novato Unified	**PTN:**	65417-174
School District:	Novato Unified	**County:**	Marin
Charter School Name:	Novato Charter School	**Filing Basis:**	Districtwide
Application No:	54/65417-00-001	**Site Name:**	Novato Charter (elem)

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Preliminary Apportionment
State Share					
CSFP Grant	**902-540**	**2**	$	$ 4,847,067.00	$ 4,847,067.00
Applicant Share					
CSFA Lease Amount					
Cash Contribution				4,847,067.00	
Total			$	**$ 9,694,134.00**	**$ 4,847,067.00**
Funding Source:	**Proposition 2 Bonds/2024-Nov.**				

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:		Middle School
Toilet (sq. ft.):		960
Other (sq. ft.):		13,440
Number of Classrooms:	**K-6**	7
	7-8	2
Recommended Acres:		8.9
Existing Acres:		7.62
Proposed		0.0

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

Base Grant	$	3,829,856.00
Accessibility Fire Grant	$	114,896.00
Energy Efficiency	$	191,493.00
CTE	$	191,493.00
Subtotal	$	4,327,738.00
Inflator Factor 12%	$	519,329.00
Total State Share (50%)	$	4,847,067.00
Applicant Share (50%)	$	4,847,067.00
Total Project Cost	$	**9,694,134.00**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement for the project prior to or concurrent with a valid Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05). Failure to submit an executed Grant Agreement and valid Form SAB 50-05 prior to the deadline shall result in the Apportionment being rescinded without further board action.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

The above Charter School Grant is a maximum reservation of funding for each of the construction and site acquisition amounts (50 percent State share amount) and is not intended to reflect the actual project costs at the time the project is converted to a Final Charter School Apportionment (FCSA). The FCSA shall be based on SAB regulation allowances established at the time the project is converted and shall not exceed the maximum amounts in each category.

A complete request to convert a Preliminary Charter School Apportionment to a FCSA pursuant to Section 1859.165 shall be made within four years of the date of the Preliminary Charter School Apportionment unless the applicant receives approval of an extension pursuant to Section 1859.166.1.

The base grant was capped at the new construction capacity of the project as required by School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.163.5.

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	Magnolia Educational & Research Foundation	**PTN:**	73650-395
School District:	Irvine Unified	**County:**	Orange
Charter School Name:	Magnolia Science Academy - Orange County	**Filing Basis:**	Districtwide
Application No:	54/73650-00-001	**Site Name:**	Magnolia Science Academy - Orange County

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Preliminary Apportionment
State Share					
CSFP Grant	**902-540**	**2**	$	$ 35,372,130.72	$ 35,372,130.72
Applicant Share					
CSFA Lease Amount	**902-544**	**2**		35,372,130.72	35,372,130.72
Cash Contribution					
Total			$	$ **70,744,261.44**	$ **70,744,261.44**
Funding Source:	**Proposition 2 Bonds/2024-Nov.**				

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:		High School
Pupils Assigned:	**K-6**	400
	7-8	54
	9-12	216
Number of Classrooms:	**K-6**	16
	7-8	2
	9-12	8
Addition to Existing Site:		No
Recommended Acres:		23.2
Existing Acres:		0.00
Proposed Acres:		2.5

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

Base Grant	$	12,329,312.00
Multi Level Construction	$	1,479,517.00
Site Acquisition	$	6,973,177.08
2 Percent Grant	$	278,927.08
DTSC Fees	$	1,045,976.56
Hazardous Waste	$	70,000.00
Site Development	$	175,000.00
General Site Development	$	584,616.00
Urban / Security / Impacted Site	$	8,925,848.00
Energy Efficiency	$	616,466.00
Subtotal	$	32,478,839.72
Inflator Factor 12%	$	2,893,291.00
Total State Share (50%)	$	35,372,130.72
Applicant Share (50%)	$	35,372,130.72
Total Project Cost	$	**70,744,261.44**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement for the project prior to or concurrent with a valid Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05). Failure to submit an executed Grant Agreement and valid Form SAB 50-05 prior to the deadline shall result in the Apportionment being rescinded without further board action.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

The above Charter School Grant is a maximum reservation of funding for each of the construction and site acquisition amounts (50 percent State share amount) and is not intended to reflect the actual project costs at the time the project is converted to a Final Charter School Apportionment (FCSA). The FCSA shall be based on SAB regulation allowances established at the time the project is converted and shall not exceed the maximum amounts in each category.

A complete request to convert a Preliminary Charter School Apportionment to a FCSA pursuant to Section 1859.165 shall be made within four years of the date of the Preliminary Charter School Apportionment unless the applicant receives approval of an extension pursuant to Section 1859.166.1.

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	Old Adobe Union Elementary	**PTN:**	70847-27
School District:	Old Adobe Union Elementary	**County:**	Sonoma
Charter School Name:	Sonoma Mountain Elementary Charter School	**Filing Basis:**	Districtwide
Application No:	54/70847-00-009	**Site Name:**	Sonoma Mountain Elementary

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Preliminary Apportionment
State Share					
CSFP Grant	**902-540**	**2**	**$**	**$** 1,468,114.00	**$** 1,468,114.00
Applicant Share					
CSFA Lease Amount					
Cash Contribution				1,468,114.00	
Total			**$**	**$ 2,936,228.00**	**$ 1,468,114.00**

Funding Source: **Proposition 2 Bonds/2024-Nov.**

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:	Elementary School	
Pupils Assigned:	**K-6**	50
Number of Classrooms:	**K-6**	2
Addition to Existing Site:		Yes
Recommended Acres:		9.9
Existing Acres:		4.94
Proposed		0.0

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

Base Grant	**$**	824,800.00
Site Development	**$**	345,800.00
Small Size Project	**$**	98,976.00
Energy Efficiency	**$**	41,240.00
Subtotal	**$**	1,310,816.00
Inflator Factor 12%	**$**	157,298.00
Total State Share (50%)	**$**	1,468,114.00
Applicant Share (50%)	**$**	1,468,114.00
Total Project Cost	**$**	**2,936,228.00**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement for the project prior to or concurrent with a valid Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05). Failure to submit an executed Grant Agreement and valid Form SAB 50-05 prior to the deadline shall result in the Apportionment being rescinded without further board action.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

The above Charter School Grant is a maximum reservation of funding for each of the construction and site acquisition amounts (50 percent State share amount) and is not intended to reflect the actual project costs at the time the project is converted to a Final Charter School Apportionment (FCSA). The FCSA shall be based on SAB regulation allowances established at the time the project is converted and shall not exceed the maximum amounts in each category.

A complete request to convert a Preliminary Charter School Apportionment to a FCSA pursuant to Section 1859.165 shall be made within four years of the date of the Preliminary Charter School Apportionment unless the applicant receives approval of an extension pursuant to Section 1859.166.1.

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	Old Adobe Union Elementary	**PTN:**	70847-27
School District:	Old Adobe Union Elementary	**County:**	Sonoma
Charter School Name:	Sonoma Mountain Elementary Charter School	**Filing Basis:**	Districtwide
Application No:	54/70847-00-010	**Site Name:**	Sonoma Mountain Elementary

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Preliminary Apportionment
State Share					
CSFP Grant	**902-540**	**2**	$	$ 813,122.00	$ 813,122.00
Applicant Share					
CSFA Lease Amount					
Cash Contribution				813,122.00	
Total			$	**$ 1,626,244.00**	**$ 813,122.00**
Funding Source:	**Proposition 2 Bonds/2024-Nov.**				

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:		Elementary School
Toilet (sq. ft.):		0.0
Other (sq. ft.):		1,920
Number of Classrooms:	**K-6**	2
Recommended Acres:		9.9
Existing Acres:		4.94
Proposed Acres:		0.0

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

Base Grant	$	516,480.00
Small Size Project	$	61,978.00
Urban / Security / Impacted Site	$	106,226.00
Accessibility Fire Grant	$	15,494.00
Energy Efficiency	$	25,824.00
Subtotal	$	726,002.00
Inflator Factor 12%	$	87,120.00
Total State Share (50%)	$	813,122.00
Applicant Share (50%)	$	813,122.00
Total Project Cost	$	**1,626,244.00**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement for the project prior to or concurrent with a valid Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05). Failure to submit an executed Grant Agreement and valid Form SAB 50-05 prior to the deadline shall result in the Apportionment being rescinded without further board action.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

The above Charter School Grant is a maximum reservation of funding for each of the construction and site acquisition amounts (50 percent State share amount) and is not intended to reflect the actual project costs at the time the project is converted to a Final Charter School Apportionment (FCSA). The FCSA shall be based on SAB regulation allowances

A complete request to convert a Preliminary Charter School Apportionment to a FCSA pursuant to Section 1859.165 shall be made within four years of the date of the Preliminary Charter School Apportionment unless the applicant receives approval of an extension pursuant to Section 1859.166.1.

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	San Diego Unified	**PTN:**	68338-1942
School District:	San Diego Unified	**County:**	San Diego
Charter School Name:	Darnall Charter School	**Filing Basis:**	Hoover/Crawford
Application No:	54/68338-03-001	**Site Name:**	Darnall Elementary

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Preliminary Apportionment
State Share					
CSFP Grant	**902-540**	**2**	$	$ 16,281,921.00	$ 16,281,921.00
Applicant Share					
CSFA Lease Amount					
Cash Contribution				16,281,921.00	
Total			$	$ **32,563,842.00**	$ **16,281,921.00**
Funding Source:	**Proposition 2 Bonds/2024-Nov.**				

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:		Middle School
Toilet (sq. ft.):		1,558
Other (sq. ft.):		43,404
Number of Classrooms:	**K-6**	24
	7-8	7
	Severe	1
Recommended Acres:		16.8
Existing Acres:		8
Proposed Acres:		0.0

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

Base Grant	$	12,428,190.00
Urban / Security / Impacted Site	$	2,376,625.00
Accessibility Fire Grant	$	372,846.00
Elevator	$	139,129.00
Energy Efficiency	$	621,410.00
Subtotal	$	15,938,200.00
Inflator Factor 12%	$	1,912,584.00
Total State Share (50%)	$	17,850,784.00
Mod Offset (57/68338-00-137)	$	(1,568,863.00)
Adjusted Total State Share (50%)	$	16,281,921.00
Applicant Share (50%)	$	16,281,921.00
Total Project Cost	$	**32,563,842.00**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement for the project prior to or concurrent with a valid Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05). Failure to submit an executed Grant Agreement and valid Form SAB 50-05 prior to the deadline shall result in the Apportionment being rescinded without further board action.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

The above Charter School Grant is a maximum reservation of funding for each of the construction and site acquisition amounts (50 percent State share amount) and is not intended to reflect the actual project costs at the time the project is converted to a Final Charter School Apportionment (FCSA). The FCSA shall be based on SAB regulation allowances

A complete request to convert a Preliminary Charter School Apportionment to a FCSA pursuant to Section 1859.165 shall be made within four years of the date of the Preliminary Charter School Apportionment unless the applicant receives approval of an extension pursuant to Section 1859.166.1.

The Total State Share has been reduced by the previous amount of modernization funding received for the building(s) to be rehabilitated.

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	Chula Vista Elementary	**PTN:**	68023-216
School District:	Chula Vista Elementary	**County:**	San Diego
Charter School Name:	Mueller Charter School	**Filing Basis:**	Chula Vista
Application No:	54/68023-03-004	**Site Name:**	Mueller (robert L.) Elementary

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Preliminary Apportionment
State Share					
CSFP Grant	**902-540**	**2**	**$**	**$** 1,543,069.00	**$** 1,543,069.00
Applicant Share					
CSFA Lease Amount	**902-544**	**2**		1,543,069.00	1,543,069.00
Cash Contribution					
Total			**$**	**$ 3,086,138.00**	**$ 3,086,138.00**

Funding Source: **Proposition 2 Bonds/2024-Nov.**

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:		High School
Pupils Assigned:	**K-6**	50
Number of Classrooms:	**K-6**	2
Addition to Existing Site:		Yes
Recommended Acres:		18.3
Existing Acres:		7.31
Proposed Acres:		0.0

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

Base Grant	**$**	824,800.00
Site Development	**$**	511,700.00
Energy	**$**	41,240.00
Subtotal		1,377,740.00
Inflator Factor 12%	**$**	165,329.00
Total State Share (50%)	**$**	1,543,069.00
Applicant Share (50%)	**$**	1,543,069.00
Total Project Cost	**$**	**3,086,138.00**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement for the project prior to or concurrent with a valid Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05). Failure to submit an executed Grant Agreement and valid Form SAB 50-05 prior to the deadline shall result in the Apportionment being rescinded without further board action.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

The above Charter School Grant is a maximum reservation of funding for each of the construction and site acquisition amounts (50 percent State share amount) and is not intended to reflect the actual project costs at the time the project is converted to a Final Charter School Apportionment (FCSA). The FCSA shall be based on SAB regulation allowances established at the time the project is converted and shall not exceed the maximum amounts in each category.

A complete request to convert a Preliminary Charter School Apportionment to a FCSA pursuant to Section 1859.165 shall be made within four years of the date of the Preliminary Charter School Apportionment unless the applicant receives approval of an extension pursuant to Section 1859.166.1.

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	Chula Vista Elementary	**PTN:**	68023-215
School District:	Chula Vista Elementary	**County:**	San Diego
Charter School Name:	Mueller Charter School	**Filing Basis:**	Chula Vista
Application No:	54/68023-03-005	**Site Name:**	Mueller (robert L.) Elementary

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Preliminary Apportionment
State Share					
CSFP Grant	**902-540**	**2**	$	$ 16,115,957.00	$ 16,115,957.00
Applicant Share					
CSFA Lease Amount	**902-544**	**2**		6,115,957.00	6,115,957.00
Cash Contribution				10,000,000.00	
Total			$	**$ 32,231,914.00**	**$ 22,231,914.00**
Funding Source:	**Proposition 2 Bonds/2024-Nov.**				

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:		High School
Toilet (sq. ft.):		1,910
Other (sq. ft.):		40,843
Number of Classrooms:	**K-6**	32
Recommended Acres:		18.3
Existing Acres:		7.31
Proposed Acres:		0.0

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

Base Grant	$	11,909,297.00
Urban / Security / Impacted Site	$	2,581,721.00
Accessibility Fire Grant	$	357,279.00
Elevator	$	139,129.00
Energy Efficiency	$	595,465.00
Subtotal	$	15,582,891.00
Inflator Factor 12%	$	1,869,947.00
Total State Share (50%)	$	17,452,838.00
Mod Offset (57/68023-00-006)	$	(1,336,881.00)
Adjusted Total State Share (50%)	$	16,115,957.00
Applicant Share (50%)	$	16,115,957.00
Total Project Cost	$	**32,231,914.00**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement for the project prior to or concurrent with a valid Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05). Failure to submit an executed Grant Agreement and valid Form SAB 50-05 prior to the deadline shall result in the Apportionment being rescinded without further board action.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

The above Charter School Grant is a maximum reservation of funding for each of the construction and site acquisition amounts (50 percent State share amount) and is not intended to reflect the actual project costs at the time the project is converted to a Final Charter School Apportionment (FCSA). The FCSA shall be based on SAB regulation allowances

A complete request to convert a Preliminary Charter School Apportionment to a FCSA pursuant to Section 1859.165 shall be made within four years of the date of the Preliminary Charter School Apportionment unless the applicant receives approval of an extension pursuant to Section 1859.166.1.

The Total State Share has been reduced by the previous amount of modernization funding received for the building(s) to be rehabilitated.

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	Chico Unified	**PTN:**	61424-191
School District:	Chico Unified	**County:**	Butte
Charter School Name:	The Blue Oak School	**Filing Basis:**	Districtwide
Application No:	54/61424-00-011	**Site Name:**	Blue Oak Charter

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Preliminary Apportionment
State Share					
CSFP Grant	**902-540**	**2**	**$**	**$** 5,116,628.00	**$** 5,116,628.00
Applicant Share					
CSFA Lease Amount	**902-544**	**2**		5,116,628.00	5,116,628.00
Cash Contribution					
Total			**$**	**$ 10,233,256.00**	**$ 10,233,256.00**
Funding Source:	**Proposition 2 Bonds/2024-Nov.**				

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:		Middle School
Pupils Assigned:	**K-6**	200
	7-8	81
	Non-Severe	13
Number of Classrooms:	**K-6**	8
	7-8	3
	Non-Severe	1
Addition to Existing Site:		No
Recommended Acres:		6.6
Existing Acres:		0.0
Proposed Acres:		2.47

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

Base Grant	**$**	5,116,628.00
Subtotal	**$**	5,116,628.00
Inflator Factor 12%	**$**	0.00
Total State Share (50%)		5,116,628.00
Applicant Share (50%)	**$**	5,116,628.00
Total Project Cost	**$**	**10,233,256.00**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement for the project prior to or concurrent with a valid Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05). Failure to submit an executed Grant Agreement and valid Form SAB 50-05 prior to the deadline shall result in the Apportionment being rescinded without further board action.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

The above Charter School Grant is a maximum reservation of funding for each of the construction and site acquisition amounts (50 percent State share amount) and is not intended to reflect the actual project costs at the time the project is converted to a Final Charter School Apportionment (FCSA). The FCSA shall be based on SAB regulation allowances established at the time the project is converted and shall not exceed the maximum amounts in each category.

A complete request to convert a Preliminary Charter School Apportionment to a FCSA pursuant to Section 1859.165 shall be made within four years of the date of the Preliminary Charter School Apportionment unless the applicant receives approval of an extension pursuant to Section 1859.166.1.

The Multilevel Construction, Site Acquisition, 2 Percent, DTSC Fees, Site Development, General Site, Urban/Security, Energy Efficiency supplemental grants and the Inflator Factor of 12% were removed as requested by the applicant to meet financial soundness requirements.

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	Yu Ming Charter School	**PTN:**	61259 - 487
School District:	Oakland Unified	**County:**	Alameda
Charter School Name:	Yu Ming Charter School	**Filing Basis:**	Castlemont
Application No:	54/61259-09-014	**Site Name:**	Yu Ming Charter

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Preliminary Apportionment
State Share					
CSFP Grant	**902-540**	**2**	$	$ 17,639,331.76	$ 17,639,331.76
Applicant Share					
CSFA Lease Amount	**902-544**	**2**		17,639,331.76	17,639,331.76
Cash Contribution					
Total			$ 0.00	$ 35,278,663.52	$ 35,278,663.52
Funding Source:	**Proposition 2 Bonds/2024-Nov.**				

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:		Middle School
Pupils Assigned:	**K-6**	600
	7-8	162
Number of Classrooms:	**K-6**	26
	7-8	4
Addition to Existing Site:		No
Recommended Acres:		16.4
Existing Acres:		0.0
Proposed Acres:		7.0

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

Base Grant	$	12,726,768.00
NC Site Acquisition	$	4,723,619.00
2% of Appraised or Actual Value	$	188,944.76
Subtotal	$	17,639,331.76
Inflator Factor 12%	$	0.00
Total State Share (50%)	$	17,639,331.76
Applicant Share (50%)	$	17,639,331.76
Total Project Cost	$	**35,278,663.52**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement for the project prior to or concurrent with a valid Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05). Failure to submit an executed Grant Agreement and valid Form SAB 50-05 prior to the deadline shall result in the Apportionment being rescinded without further board action.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

The above Charter School Grant is a maximum reservation of funding for each of the construction and site acquisition amounts (50 percent State share amount) and is not intended to reflect the actual project costs at the time the project is converted to a Final Charter School Apportionment (FCSA). The FCSA shall be based on SAB regulation allowances

A complete request to convert a Preliminary Charter School Apportionment to a FCSA pursuant to Section 1859.165 shall be made within four years of the date of the Preliminary Charter School Apportionment unless the applicant receives approval of an extension pursuant to Section 1859.166.1.

The Multilevel Construction, DTSC Fees, Site Development, General Site, Urban/Security, Energy Efficiency supplemental grants and the Inflator Factor of 12% were removed as requested by the applicant to meet financial soundness requirements.

APPLICANT DATA

Applicant:	Invictus Leadership Academy	**PTN:**	64733-6878
School District:	Los Angeles Unified	**County:**	Los Angeles
Charter School Name:	Invictus Leadership Academy	**Filing Basis:**	Districtwide
Application No:	54/64733-00-106	**Site Name:**	Invictus Leadership Academy

HISTORY OF PROJECT FUNDING

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Preliminary Apportionment
State Share					
CSFP Grant	**947-510**	**47**	$	$ 311,546.00	$ 311,546.00
CSFP Grant	**955-540**	**55**		251,706.00	251,706.00
CSFP Grant	**957-540**	**1D**		2,221,572.00	2,221,572.00
CSFP Grant	**951-540**	**51**		2,384,842.00	2,384,842.00
CSFP Grant	**902-540**	**2**		17,830,334.00	17,830,334.00
Applicant Share					
CSFA Lease Amount	**902-544**	**2**		23,000,000.00	23,000,000.00
Cash Contribution					
Total			$	$ **46,000,000.00**	$ **46,000,000.00**

Funding Sources: **Prop. 47 Bonds/2002-Nov.; Prop. 55 Bonds/2004-Mar.; Prop. 1D Bonds/2006-Nov.; Prop. 51 Bonds/2016-Nov.; Prop 2 Bonds/2024-Nov.**

APPLICATION DATA

Type of Project:		Middle School
Pupils Assigned:	**K-6**	425
	7-8	135
	Non-Severe	26
Number of Classrooms:	**K-6**	17
	7-8	5
	Non-Severe	2
Addition to Existing Site:		No
Recommended Acres:		14.8
Existing Acres:		0.0
Proposed Acres:		2

PROGRAM GRANT DATA

Base Grant	$	10,174,128.00
Multi Level Construction	$	1,220,895.00
NC Site Acquisition	$	8,124,516.00
2% of Appraised or Actual Value	$	324,980.64
Urban / Security / Impacted Site	$	3,155,480.36
Subtotal	$	23,000,000.00
Inflator Factor 12%	$	0.00
Total State Share (50%)	$	23,000,000.00
Applicant Share (50%)	$	23,000,000.00
Total Project Cost	$	**46,000,000.00**

STIPULATED TERMS & NEXT STEPS

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant is required to submit a signed Grant Agreement for the project prior to or concurrent with a valid Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05). Failure to submit an executed Grant Agreement and valid Form SAB 50-05 prior to the deadline shall result in the Apportionment being rescinded without further board action.

The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the project is compliant with Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts are executed and/or construction commenced.

The above Charter School Grant is a maximum reservation of funding for each of the construction and site acquisition amounts (50 percent State share amount) and is not intended to reflect the actual project costs at the time the project is converted to a Final Charter School Apportionment (FCSA). The FCSA shall be based on SAB regulation allowances

A complete request to convert a Preliminary Charter School Apportionment to a FCSA pursuant to Section 1859.165 shall be made within four years of the date of the Preliminary Charter School Apportionment unless the applicant receives approval of an extension pursuant to Section 1859.166.1.

The DTSC Fees, Site Development, General Site, and Energy Efficiency supplemental grants and the Inflator Factor of 12% were removed and the Urban/Security/Impacted Site grant was administratively reduced as requested by the applicant to meet financial soundness requirements

REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER
State Allocation Board Meeting, August 5, 2026

PROPOSED REGULATORY AMENDMENTS FOR THE SCHOOL FACILITY PROGRAM

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To present the State Allocation Board (Board) with clarifying language changes related to factors the Board may consider in determining whether to approve a single one-year extension of the time limit for a Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment, and minor proposed amendments to the *Application for Funding* (Form SAB 50-04) and the *Application for Small School District Program Preliminary Apportionment* (Form SAB 50-12) related to the Small School District Program.

DESCRIPTION

On February 25, 2026 and April 29, 2026, the Board approved proposed regulatory amendments, on an emergency basis, that implemented the Small School District Program provisions in Proposition 2. The Office of Administrative Law (OAL) approved the regulatory amendments on an emergency basis with an effective date of June 15, 2026.

This item seeks Board approval of clarifying language changes that specify factors for the Board's consideration in cases where an applicant is requesting a single one-year extension of time for their Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment. Under the Small School District Program, applicants have four years to convert their Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment to a Final Small School District Program Apportionment. There may be cases where the applicant has encountered challenges and requires additional time to convert their application.

In addition, this item includes minor proposed amendments to the Form SAB 50-04 and the Form SAB 50-12 to clarify and correct aspects related to the Small School District Program.

AUTHORITY

Education Code Section 17070.35 states, in part:

> (a) In addition to all other powers and duties as are granted to the board by this chapter, other statues, or the California Constitution, the board shall do all of the following:
> (1) Adopt rules and regulations, pursuant to the rulemaking provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act, Chapter 3.5 (commencing with Section 11340) of Part 1 of Division 3 of Title 2 of the Government Code, for the administration of this chapter…

STAFF ANALYSIS/STATEMENTS

After the emergency regulations were approved by the OAL [OAL File Number 2026-0605-01E], the Office of Public School Construction (OPSC) identified the need to address a clarity issue in Regulation Section 1859.157.6(b) by specifying factors for the Board's consideration when an applicant requests a single one-year extension of the time limit for a Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment. OPSC acknowledges that these factors are not inclusive of every situation. In addition, there are proposed edits to the Form SAB 50-04 and the Form SAB 50-12. The edits are explained in this cover item, and are highlighted in yellow on Attachments A and B.

Below, please find a comparison of the Board's previously approved regulatory amendments from the February 25, 2026 Board meeting and the proposed clarifying language:

Previously Approved Language from February 25, 2026 (single underline):

Section 1859.157.6. Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment Time Limit Extension.

An applicant that has received a Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment pursuant to Section 1859.157.1 or 1859.157.2 may request a single one-year extension of the time limit prescribed in Section 1859.157.5. The Board may approve the request provided the criteria in (a) or (b) are met:

(a) The applicant has provided evidence of both of the following: (1) CDE has made a contingent or final approval for the project or of the proposed site; and, (2) DSA has confirmed that the final plans for the project have been submitted to the DSA for review and approval.

(b) Other evidence satisfactory to the Board justifying the extension.

A single one-year extension request from an applicant may be approved by the Board to extend the time limit prescribed in Section 1859.157.5. OPSC must receive the single one-year extension request from the applicant on or prior to the time limit prescribed in Section 1859.157.5.

Proposed Clarifying Language for August 5, 2026 (in bold and highlighted):

Section 1859.157.6. Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment Time Limit Extension.

An applicant that has received a Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment pursuant to Section 1859.157.1 or 1859.157.2 may request a single one-year extension of the time limit prescribed in Section 1859.157.5. The Board may approve the request provided the criteria in (a) or (b) are met:

(a) The applicant has provided evidence of both of the following: (1) CDE has made a contingent or final approval for the project or of the proposed site; and, (2) DSA has confirmed that the final plans for the project have been submitted to the DSA for review and approval.

STAFF ANALYSIS/STATEMENTS (cont.)

(b) **The applicant has provided other**~~Other~~ evidence satisfactory to the Board justifying the extension. **If an applicant requests an extension under other evidence, the Board will consider factors that include, but are not limited to, challenges in locating a site; federal funding opportunities for school sites located on military installations; challenges in learning how to access state funding and navigate state requirements; fiscal and/or financial crises; pandemics; and other factors tending to impede the progress of the project.**

(c) A The single one-year extension request from an applicant may be approved by the Board to extend the time limit prescribed in Section 1859.157.5. OPSC must receive the single one-year extension request from the applicant on or prior to the time limit prescribed in Section 1859.157.5.

Proposed Amendments to the Form SAB 50-04 (Attachment A)

- Page 3: 2nd column, 2d., 3rd bullet, 3rd/4th lines, the words “are” and “calculations in Section 1859.78.7.1(b), (c), and (d)” have been added to correct the reference to calculation of the total pupil grant request for replacement of 75 Years or Older Facilities (Modernization only). The instructions were incorrect and no percentage factor is used in the calculation.
- Page 4: under “Specific Instructions,” Sections 5(d) and 6(b) have been included to provide instructions to school districts requesting the Project and Construction Management Grant, pursuant to Education Code Sections 17078.35 and 17078.37. The amendments align and are necessary for applicants to request the Project and Construction Management Grant when converting their Preliminary Apportionment to a Final Apportionment. Conforming edits are also proposed to renumbering due to the newly included sections.
  Page 8: Sections 5(d) and 6(b) have been added, along with conforming edits to renumber subsequent items, to align with the “Specific Instructions” above.
- Page 12: under #25 Certification, a new certification for the Project and Construction Management Grant. This certification is necessary to ensure school districts understand that the total approved project costs will be reduced for failure to comply with this requirement. This certification also aligns with the certification on the Form SAB 50-12.

Proposed Amendments to the Form SAB 50-12 (Attachment B)

- Page 2: 2nd column, 1st bullet at top of the page, the words “are” and “calculations in Section 1859.78.7.1(b), (c), and (d)” have been added to correct the reference to calculation of the total pupil grant request for replacement of 75 Years or Older Facilities (Modernization only). The instructions were incorrect and no percentage factor is used in the calculation.
- Page 4: under “Specific Instructions,” Section 6(i) includes the option for five percent of the Modernization grant. This is in existing Regulation Section 1859.78.10(b) but was inadvertently omitted from being included on this Form. The edits provide a method to request the grant.

STAFF ANALYSIS/STATEMENTS (cont.)

Proposed Amendments to the Form SAB 50-12 (Attachment B)(cont.)

- Page 5: Non-substantive edit to include the word “School” in front of “District” and capitalize the defined term.
- Page 6: under 2d. fields are added for school districts to enter how many 75 Years or Older Pupil Grants are being requested. The language to request already exists under “Specific Instructions;” however, the field was inadvertently omitted from the Form and is necessary for school districts to request 75 Years or Older Pupil Grants as it aligns with the Form SAB 50-04. Without this field, school districts cannot indicate how many of the pupil grants will be requested, pursuant to existing Regulation Section 1859.78.7.1.
- Page 7: Section 6(i) aligns with the edits to Page 4 described above. The check boxes are necessary for school districts to select which option they are requesting for this supplemental grant.
- Page 8: under #16 there is a minor edit that corrects grammar and under #17 there is a non-substantive correction to a defined term.

With Board approval, OPSC will combine this regulatory action with the emergency regulatory action into one rulemaking file as part of the Administrative Procedure Act process to make the regulations permanent.

Attachment 1 is the previously approved Board item from the February 25, 2026 Board meeting with pertinent attachments (proposed regulations and the Forms SAB 50-04 and SAB 50-12).

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Adopt the proposed regulatory amendments as shown under *Proposed Clarifying Language for August 5, 2026,* above.

2. Adopt the proposed amendments to the Form SAB 50-04 as shown on Attachment A.

3. Adopt the proposed amendments to the Form SAB 50-12 as shown on Attachment B.

4. Authorize the Executive Officer to combine both the proposed regulatory amendments in this Board item along with the emergency regulations previously approved by the OAL [OAL File Number 2026-0605-01E], into one rulemaking file and file the regulations with the OAL to make the regulations permanent.

This Item was approved by the State Allocation Board on August 5, 2026.

GENERAL INFORMATION

If this Application is submitted when there is Insufficient Bond Authority, as defined in Regulation Section 1859.2, the School District must adopt and submit a school board resolution, pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.95.1. For information regarding remaining bond authority, contact the Office of Public School Construction (OPSC) prior to submittal of this Application.

If not previously submitted, a School District may file an Application for Modernization funding by use of this form concurrently with a determination of or an adjustment to the School District's Modernization Eligibility. The School District must submit a determination of or an adjustment to the School District's new construction baseline eligibility upon request, as described in Regulation Sections 1859.51 or 1859.70, as applicable. The Board will only provide new construction funding if this form is submitted prior to the date of occupancy of any classrooms included in the construction contract. If the School District has a pending reorganization election that will result in the loss of eligibility for the proposed project, the School District must submit an adjustment to the School District's new construction baseline eligibility as required in Section 1859.51 upon request. This may be accomplished by completion and submittal of Form SAB 50-01, Form SAB 50-02 and Form SAB 50-03 for the current enrollment year. Failure to submit the requested Forms may result in OPSC returning the funding Application to the School District unprocessed.

For purposes of Education Code Section 17073.25, the California Department of Education (CDE) is permitted to file Modernization Applications on behalf of the California Schools for the Deaf and Blind.

Requests for funding may be made as follows:

1. A separate Apportionment for site acquisition for a new construction project for environmental hardship pursuant to Section 1859.75.1. For purposes of this apportionment, the following documents must be submitted with this form (as appropriate):

   - Form SAB 50-01, Form SAB 50-02 and Form SAB 50-03 (if not previously submitted).
   - Contingent site approval letter from the CDE.
   - Preliminary appraisal of property.
   - Approval letter from the Department of Toxic Substances Control.

2. A separate Apportionment for site acquisition and/or design costs for a new construction project pursuant to Section 1859.81.1. This Apportionment is available only to School Districts that meet the financial hardship criteria in Section 1859.81. School Districts may apply for a separate Apportionment for the design and for site acquisition on the same project. For purposes of this Apportionment, the following documents must be submitted with this form (as appropriate):

   - Form SAB 50-01, Form SAB 50-02 and Form SAB 50-03 (if not previously submitted).
   - Contingent site approval letter from the CDE (site Apportionment only).
   - Preliminary appraisal of property (site Apportionment only).

3. A separate Apportionment for district-owned site acquisition cost pursuant to Section 1859.81.2. For purposes of this Apportionment, the following documents must be submitted with this form (as appropriate):

   - Form SAB 50-01, Form SAB 50-02 and Form SAB 50-03 (if not previously submitted).
   - Site approval letter from the CDE.
   - Appraisal of district-owned site.
   - Cost benefit analysis as prescribed in Section 1859.74.6 or a copy of the Board finding that the non-school function on the district-owned site must be relocated.

4. A separate Apportionment for design cost for a Modernization project pursuant to Section 1859.81.1. This Apportionment is available only to School Districts that meet the financial hardship criteria in Section 1859.81. For purposes of this Apportionment, the Form SAB 50-03 must accompany this form (if not previously submitted).

5. A New Construction Adjusted Grant pursuant to Section 1859.70 or 1859.180. If the funding request includes site acquisition, the proposed site must either be owned by the School District, in escrow, or the School District has filed condemnation proceedings and received an order of possession of the site. For purposes of this Apportionment, the following documents must be submitted with this form (as appropriate):

   - Form SAB 50-01, Form SAB 50-02 and Form SAB 50-03.
   - Site/plan approval letter from the CDE.
   - Appraisal of property if requesting site acquisition funds.
   - Plans and specifications (P&S) for the project that were approved by the DSA. Submittal of plans may be on CD-ROM or "Zip Drive" readable in AutoCAD 14. The specifications may be provided on a diskette that is IBM compatible.
   - Cost estimate of proposed site development, if requesting site development funding.
   - If this request is pursuant to Section 1859.77.2 and the School District's housing plan is other than those listed in the certification section of this form, a copy of the school board resolution and the approved housing plan.
   - If the site Apportionment is requested pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.74.5, a cost benefit analysis as prescribed in Regulation Section 1859.74.6 or a copy of the Board finding that the non-school function on the district-owned site must be relocated.
   - If this request is fully or partially based on eligibility derived from an Alternative Enrollment Projection, a justification of how the project relieves overcrowding, including but not limited to, the elimination of the use of Concept 6 calendars, four track year-round calendars, or bussing in excess of 40 minutes.
   - Written confirmation from the School District's career technical advisory committee indicating that the need for vocational and career technical facilities is being adequately met within the School District consistent with Education Code Sections 51224, 51225.3(b), 51228(b), and 52336.1.

6. For purposes of the Overcrowding Relief Grant (ORG), School Districts must submit the Overcrowding Relief Grant District-Wide Eligibility Determination (Form SAB 50-11) prior to the submittal of this funding Application. In addition, School Districts must have had the CDE deem the site eligible for the ORG (pursuant to Section 1859.181) prior to the submittal of this Application. For purposes of this Apportionment, the following documents must be submitted with this form as well as the documents listed in section 5 above:

   - Overcrowding Relief Grant Eligibility Determination Form approved by the CDE.
   - Copies of the supporting documentation provided to the CDE when determining the density of the site, including the site diagram.

   The School District is not required to submit its current CBEDS enrollment data.

7. Modernization Adjusted Grant pursuant to Section 1859.70. For purposes of this Apportionment, the following documents must be submitted with this form (as appropriate):

   - Form SAB 50-03 (if not previously submitted).

- P&S for the project that were approved by the DSA.
- If the request includes funding for accessibility and fire code requirement pursuant to Section 1859.83(f), the DSA approved list of the minimum accessibility work required and a detailed cost estimate for the work in the plans.
- DSA approval letter for elevator to meet access compliance, if funding is requested.
- Cost estimate of the proposed site development necessary for the Reconfiguration of an existing high school.
- Plan approval letter from the CDE.
- Districtwide enrollment data on Form SAB 50-01 when requesting project assistance (if not previously submitted).
- If the request includes funding for 50 year old permanent buildings pursuant to Section 1859.78.6, a site diagram identifying all buildings to be modernized in the project. The diagram must specify those buildings that are at least 50 years old.
- Written confirmation from the School District's career technical advisory committee indicating that the need for vocational and career technical facilities is being adequately met within the School District consistent with Education Code Sections 51224, 51225.3(b), 51228(b), and 52336.1.
- For requests to replace 75 Years or Older Building(s) per Section 1859.78.7.1, the School District must submit the following:
  - A completed *75 Years or Older Building Cost Estimate and Cost/Benefit Analysis* (Form SAB 57-75).
  - A copy of the demolition plans, or other supporting documentation, that demonstrate the demolition of the qualifying 75 Years or Older Building(s).
  - A detailed cost estimate that delineates the cost associated with the work to demolish and replace the qualifying 75 Years or Older Building(s), inclusive of the allowable site development costs per Section 1859.78.7.1, apart from the other Modernization work in the DSA-approved plans.
- If the request includes funding for the construction of a Minimum Essential Facility (MEF) per Section 1859.78.9.1, or a Transitional Kindergarten classroom(s) per Section 1859.78.9.2, the School District must submit a detailed cost estimate that delineates the cost associated with the work for the qualifying facility(s) in the DSA-approved plans apart from the other Modernization work in the DSA-approved plans.

8. Final Charter School Apportionment for Charter School Facilities Rehabilitation pursuant to Section 1859.167.1. For purposes of this Apportionment, the following documents must be submitted with this form (as appropriate):
   - P&S for the project that were approved by DSA.
   - If the request includes funding for accessibility and fire code requirement pursuant to Section 1859.167.3(d), the DSA approved list of the minimum accessibility work required and a detailed cost estimate for the work in the plans.
   - DSA approval letter for elevator to meet access compliance, if funding is requested.
   - High performance incentive (HPI) scorecard from DSA.
   - Plan approval letter from the CDE.
   - Construction cost estimate signed by the architect of record or design professional.
   - Determination of financial soundness from the California School Finance Authority (CSFA).
   - Written confirmation from the applicant's career technical advisory committee indicating that the need for vocational and career technical facilities is being adequately met within the School District consistent with Education Code Sections 51224, 51225.3(b), 51228(b), and 52336.1.
9. If the Application includes a request for Financial Hardship, the School District must comply with the requirements of Section 1859.81.
   - If the Application is submitted when there is Insufficient Bond Authority, as defined in Section 1859.2, the School District must adopt a school board resolution pursuant to Section 1859.95.1(b).

If the School District is requesting New Construction funding after the initial baseline eligibility was approved by the Board and the School District's current CBEDS enrollment reporting year is later than the enrollment reporting year used to determine the School District's baseline eligibility or adjusted eligibility, the School District must complete a new Form SAB 50-01 based on the current year CBEDS enrollment data, and submit it to the OPSC with this form. In addition, if the School District's request is fully or partially based on eligibility derived from an Alternative Enrollment Projection, the School District must update the Alternative Enrollment Projection to correspond with the CBEDS enrollment data for the current year. A Small School District with 2,500 or less enrollment as defined in Section 1859.2 will not have its eligibility reduced for a period of ~~three~~ five years from the date the Small School District's baseline eligibility was approved by the Board as a result of reduction in projected enrollment.

For a list of the documents that must be submitted in order for the OPSC to deem a funding request for new construction or Modernization complete and ready for OPSC processing, consult the SFP handbook and other information located on the OPSC Web site at www.dgs.ca.gov/opsc.

For purposes of completing this form for a Final Charter School Apportionment, a charter school shall be treated as a School District.

SPECIFIC INSTRUCTIONS

The School District must assign a Project Tracking Number (PTN) to this project. The same PTN is used by the OPSC, the DSA and the CDE for all project applications submitted to those agencies to track a particular project through the entire state application review process. If the School District has already assigned a PTN to this project by prior submittal of the P&S to either the DSA or the CDE for approval, use that PTN for this Application submittal. If no PTN has been previously assigned for this project, a PTN may be obtained from the OPSC Web site at www.dgs.ca.gov/opsc "PT Number Generator."

1. Type of Application

Check the appropriate box that indicates the type of School Facility Program (SFP) grant the School District is requesting for purposes of new construction, Modernization, a separate design and/or site Apportionment, site Apportionment as an environmental hardship or New Construction (Final Apportionment). If the Application is for the Modernization of school facilities and includes facilities that are eligible for an additional Apportionment pursuant to Section 1859.78.8, include a site diagram with this Application that specifies the age of each facility eligible for Modernization. The diagram should also indicate the date of its original DSA plan approval and the date the facility received its prior Modernization Apportionment. If known include the project modernization number on the diagram. If the Application is for Modernization of a California School for the Deaf or Blind, the CDE shall check the box identified as Modernization of California Schools for the Deaf/Blind. If the request is for a separate design Apportionment, the CDE shall check the appropriate box. If the eligibility for this project was established as a result of a health and safety threat pursuant to Section 1859.82.1, or a seismic replacement or seismic rehabilitation for the Most Vulnerable Category 2 Buildings pursuant to Section 1859.82.2, and/or the request is for a conceptual approval for a

Facility Hardship Application pursuant to Section 1859.82.3(a) or a Seismic Mitigation Program Application pursuant to Section 1859.82.3(b), check the appropriate box(es).

If this request is for an addition to an existing site and advance funding for the evaluation and RA costs, check the appropriate box and refer to Section 1859.74.4.

If this request is for an Overcrowding Relief Grant, check the New Construction (Overcrowding Relief Grant) box.

If this request is to convert a Preliminary Apportionment or a Preliminary Charter School Apportionment to a Final Apportionment, check the New Construction Final Apportionment, New Construction Final Charter School Apportionment or the Rehabilitation Final Charter School Apportionment box, as appropriate.

If the School District is requesting a separate site and/or design Apportionment, complete boxes 2a, 3, 4, the site acquisition data in box 5 (d and e), and boxes 13, 14, 15, 16, and 25 only.

2. Type of Project

a. Select the type of project that best represents this Application request and enter the total number of Pupils assigned to the project for each grade group. Include Pupils to be housed in a new or replacement school authorized by Section 1859.82 (a). The amount entered cannot exceed the School District's baseline eligibility determined on Form SAB 50-03 and will be the basis for the amount of the new construction or Modernization Grants provided for the project.

If this request is for a Final Apportionment, the Pupils assigned to the project must be at least 75 percent, but not more than 100 percent, of the Pupils that received the Preliminary Apportionment. Refer to Section 1859.147.

For ORG projects, the amount entered cannot exceed the Overcrowding Relief Pupil Eligibility (pursuant to Section 1859.182 and 1859.183) as reflected in the total number of eligible Pupils determined by the Form SAB 50-11 or the CDE Overcrowding Relief Grant Eligibility Determination form.

For Charter School Facilities Program Rehabilitation, leave the number of Pupils blank.

b. Check the box if the project is eligible for funding for 50 year or older permanent buildings and report, at the option of the School District:

- The total number of eligible classrooms or the total eligible Square Footage building area at the site. Refer to Section 1859.78.6(b)(1)(A) or (b)(2)(A).
- The total number of permanent classrooms or the total permanent Square Footage building area that is at least 50 years old and not been previously modernized with state funds. Refer to Section 1859.78.6(b)(1)(B) or (b)(2)(B).
- Enter the greater percentage as calculated under Regulation Section 1859.78.6(b)(1)(C) or Regulation Section 1859.78.6(b)(2)(C).
- If this project includes eligible 50 year or older Pupil grants, enter the appropriate number assigned to the project for each grade group. The number of Pupils entered cannot exceed the cumulative number of 50 year or older permanent buildings Pupil grants requested for all Modernization funding Applications for the site as determined by using the percentage factor above.

c. If this request includes Pupil grants generated by an Alternative Enrollment Projection Method, enter the number of Pupils by grade level.

d. Check the box if the project is eligible for funding for 75 Years or Older Buildings and report the following, if applicable:

- The total number of eligible classrooms and/or the total eligible square footage building area being demolished. Refer to Section 1859.78.7.1.
- The total number of eligible classrooms and/or the total eligible square footage building area being constructed. Refer to Section 1859.78.7.1.
- Enter the appropriate number of Pupils assigned to the project for each grade group. The number of Pupils entered cannot exceed the cumulative number of Pupil grants requested in Section 2a. and is determined by using the calculations in Section 1859.78.7.1(b), (c), and (d)~~percentage factor above~~.

e. Indicate if this request is for funding of a 6–8 school and/or an Alternative Education School.

f. Check the applicable box if the School District is requesting additional Pupil grants assigned to the project that exceed the capacity of the project or if the Pupils assigned represent eligibility determined at another grade level and check the appropriate box to indicate under which regulation the School District is applying. The Pupil capacity of the project may be determined by multiplying the classrooms reported in box 3 by 25 for K–6; 27 for 7–8, 9–12 grades; 13 for non-severe and 9 for severe.

g. Enter the Square Footage of the non-toilet area and toilet area contained in the Charter School Facilities Program Rehabilitation project.

h. Indicate the site scenario that best represents the project request.

i. For ORG projects, the School District must provide the following information in the space provided:

- Name of the eligible school site(s) where portables will be replaced in this project
- Number of portables being replaced at each school site
- Number of site specific eligible Pupils being requested for this project for each school site. The total number of site specific eligible Pupils assigned to this project must equal the total number of Pupils in Section 2a.

3. Number of Classrooms

Enter the:

- Number of classrooms as shown on the plans and specifications (P&S). If there was demolition at the site, report the net increase in the number of classrooms showing in the P&S.
- Master plan site size, as recommended by the California Department of Education.
- Recommended site size, as determined by the California Department of Education.
- Existing Useable Acres already owned at that location (if any).
- Proposed Useable Acres that was/will be purchased as part of the Application (if any).

4. Financial Hardship Request

Check the appropriate box(es) if the School District is requesting Financial Hardship assistance because it is unable to meet its matching share requirement.

- If the Application includes a request for Financial Hardship, the School District must comply with the requirements of Section 1859.81.
- If there is Insufficient Bond Authority for the type of Application, check the second box and attach a school board resolution pursuant to Section 1859.95.1(b).

5. New Construction Additional Grant Request

Check the appropriate box(es) if the School District requests an augmentation to the New Construction Grant for "additional" grants for the items listed. Refer to Sections 1859.72 through 1859.76 for eligibility criteria. Enter the:

a. Therapy area in square feet as provided in Section 1859.72.
b. Multilevel classrooms in the P&S pursuant to Section 1859.73.
c. Check the box if the School District is requesting project assistance pursuant to Section 1859.73.1. If the School District has not submitted a request for new construction baseline eligibility on a district-wide basis, it must submit

current Form SAB 50-01 based on district-wide enrollment data with this form.

d. Check the box if the School District is requesting the Project and Construction Management Grant pursuant to Education Code Sections 17078.35 and 17078.37.

e. ~~d.~~ If the project the School District is requesting SFP funding for does not require an RA, refer to Section 1859.74. If a RA is required on a site that is not leased or an addition to an existing site, refer to Section 1859.74.2. If RAs are required on a leased site or an addition to an existing site, refer to Sections 1859.74.3 or 1859.74.4, respectively. The limitation of 50 percent may be exceeded when unforeseen circumstances exist, the CDE determines that the site is the best available site, and substantiation that the costs are the minimum required to complete the evaluation and RA.

1) Enter 50 percent of the actual cost.
2) Enter 50 percent of the appraised value of the site. If the request is made pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.74.5, enter 50 percent of the appraised value.
3) Enter 50 percent of the allowable relocation cost.
4) Enter two percent of the lesser of the actual cost or appraised value of the site (minimum $25,000).
5) Enter 50 percent of the Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC) fee for review and approval of the phase one environmental site assessment and preliminary endangerment assessment reports. Refer to Sections 1859.74, 1859.74.1, 1859.74.5, 1859.75, 1859.75.1 and 1859.81.1.

A project that received site acquisition funds under the Lease-Purchase Program (LPP) as a priority two project is not eligible for site acquisition funds under the SFP. A district-owned site acquired with LPP, SFP or Proposition 1A funds is not eligible for funding under Regulation Section 1859.74.5.

f. ~~e.~~ Enter 50 percent of the amount allowable for hazardous materials/waste removal and/or remediation for the site acquired pursuant to Sections 1859.74.2, 1859.74.3, 1859.74.4, 1859.75.1 or 1859.81.1. If an RA is required, check the box.

g. ~~f~~ Enter 50 percent of eligible service-site development, off-site development including pedestrian safety paths and utilities costs allowed pursuant to Section 1859.76. Attach cost estimates of the proposed site development work which shall be supported and justified in the P&S. All cost estimates shall reflect 100 percent of the proposed work.

Check the box if the School District is requesting an Additional Grant for General Site Development pursuant to Section 1859.76

h. ~~g.~~ If the School District is requesting an Additional Grant for Energy Efficiency pursuant to Section 1859.71.3(a), enter the percentage of energy efficiency that exceeds Title 24 requirements as prescribed in Section 1859.71.3(a)(3). If the School District is requesting an Additional Grant for Energy Efficiency pursuant to Section 1859.71.3(c), enter the Energy Code compliance approach used (Performance Only, Prescriptive Only, Performance/Prescriptive) and the total score that is on the OPSC CA-CHPS Scorecard. A copy of the OPSC CA-CHPS Scorecard must be submitted to OPSC as part of the complete funding request.

i. ~~h.~~ Check the box(es) if the School District requests and the project qualifies for additional funding for fire code requirements authorized in Section 1859.71.2.

j. ~~i.~~ If the School District is requesting an Additional Grant for High Performance Incentive pursuant to Section 1859.70.4, enter the number of high performance points as prescribed in Section 1859.71.6 or 1859.77.4, as appropriate, subject to Education Code Section 17070.965.

6. Modernization Additional Grant Request

a. Check the box if the School District is requesting project assistance allowance pursuant to Section 1859.78.2. If the School District has not submitted a request for new construction baseline eligibility on a district-wide basis, it must submit a current Form SAB 50-01 based on district-wide enrollment data with this form.

b. Check the box if the School District is requesting the Project and Construction Management Grant pursuant to Education Code Sections 17078.35 and 17078.37.

c. ~~b.~~ If the School District is requesting an Additional Grant for Energy Efficiency pursuant to Section 1859.78.5(a), enter the percentage of energy efficiency that exceeds Title 24 requirements as prescribed in Section 1859.78.5(a)(3). If the School District is requesting an Additional Grant for Energy Efficiency pursuant to Section 1859.78.5(c), enter the Energy Code compliance approach used (Performance Only, Prescriptive Only, Performance/Prescriptive) and the total score that is on the OPSC CA-CHPS Scorecard. A copy of the OPSC CA-CHPS Scorecard must be submitted to OPSC as part of the complete funding request.

d. ~~c.~~ Check the box if the School District requests an additional grant for site development utility cost necessary for the Modernization of 50 years or older permanent building(s). Enter 60 percent of the eligible costs allowable pursuant to Section 1859.78.7(a).

e. ~~d.~~ Check the box if the School District requests an additional grant for site development utility cost necessary for the replacement of a 75 Years or Older Building, if not already provided under the provisions pursuant to Section 1859.78.7(a). Enter 60 percent of the eligible costs allowable.

f. ~~e.~~ Check the box(es) if the School District requests and the project qualifies for additional funding for fire code requirements authorized in Section 1859.78.4.

g. ~~f~~ If the School District is requesting an Additional Grant for High Performance Incentive pursuant to Section 1859.70.4, enter the number of high performance points as prescribed in Section 1859.77.4, subject to Education Code Section 17070.965.

h. ~~g.~~ Check the box if the School District is requesting an Additional Grant for a Minimum Essential Facility pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.78.9.1. Check the box for the type of facility being requested (if the facility is a hybrid facility, select 'Hybrid' and indicate the type of facility on the line provided) and indicate the amount of eligible Toilet and Other square footage being built in the project. Check the appropriate box depending on whether the Minimum Essential Facility is permanent or portable construction. Check the box if the School District is requesting an additional grant for Site Development pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.78.9.1. At the School District's option, the School District may request 35 percent of the Minimum Essential Facility supplemental grant or enter 60 percent of the amount calculated pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.78.9.1(b)(7) or 1859.78.9.1(c)(7). Applicants who are requesting to convert a Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment to a Final Small School District Program Apportionment shall use the enrollment determined by Section 1859.157.4(d)(1).

i. ~~h.~~ 1) Check the box if the School District is requesting an Additional Grant for Transitional Kindergarten classrooms for the construction of a new transitional kindergarten classroom(s) and/or retrofit of an existing school facility to be a transitional kindergarten classroom(s). Check the appropriate boxes if the School District is augmenting the grant with additional supplemental grants respective of the transitional kindergarten classroom project scope. Check the box if the School District is requesting an additional grant for Site Development pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.78.9.2. The School District may choose to request 35 percent of the Transitional Kindergarten supplemental grant, or enter 60 percent of minimum work amount, pursuant to Regulation Section

1859.78.9.2(c)(4) or 1859.78.9.2(c)(5).

j. ~~h~~ 2) The information needed to complete this section is based on the latest California Basic Educational Data System (CBEDS) enrollment data. Applications filed on or after November 1st must include the current school year enrollment. Enter the CBEDS enrollment for grades TK-3 at the school site for the current year and the three prior years' TK–3 enrollment, as appropriate. Applicants who are requesting to convert a Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment to a Final Small School District Program Apportionment shall use the enrollment determined by Section 1859.157.4(d)(2).

Requests for funding shall include the documents as follows:

- Site map that includes labelling of all facilities and their current use, and identifies all classrooms constructed or previously retrofitted to house kindergarten students.
- Narrative that explains the current classroom and facility usage at the site, what the School District plans to do with the project, and the resulting usage at the completion of the project.

k. ~~i~~ If the applicant is requesting an Additional Grant for Career Technical Education (CTE) Components pursuant to Section 1859.78.10, enter 60 percent of the costs for the eligible CTE component(s) in the entire Modernization Application.

7. Excessive Cost Hardship Request

Check the appropriate box to request an augmentation to the New Construction or Modernization Grants for an excessive cost hardship for the items listed. Refer to Section 1859.83 for eligibility criteria. Requests for excessive cost grants for accessibility requirements are allowed only if required by the Division of the State Architect (DSA). At the School District's option, the School District may request three percent of the Modernization base grant or enter the amount calculated pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.83(f). Attach a copy of the DSA approved list that shows the minimum work necessary for accessibility requirements.

If the request is for the excessive cost grant for a new Alternative Education school pursuant to Section 1859.83(c)(2) and the School District wishes to request less than the maximum allowance, please submit a letter along with Application indicating the desired amount.

8. Charter School Facilities Program Rehabilitation – Additional Grant and Excessive Cost Hardship Request

Additional Grant Request

a. If the applicant is requesting an Additional Grant for Energy Efficiency pursuant to Section 1859.78.5(c), enter the Energy Code compliance approach used (Performance Only, Prescriptive Only, Performance/Prescriptive) and the total score that is on the OPSC CA-CHPS Scorecard. A copy of the OPSC CA-CHPS Scorecard must be submitted to OPSC as part of the complete funding request. See Sections 1859.78.5 and 1859.167.1.

b. If the applicant is requesting an Additional Grant for High Performance Incentive pursuant to Section 1859.77.4, enter the number of high performance points as prescribed in Section 1859.77.4.

c. If the applicant is requesting an Additional Grant for Career Technical Education (CTE) Components pursuant to Section 1859.78.10, enter 50 percent of the costs for the eligible CTE component(s) in the entire Charter School Facilities Program Rehabilitation Application. See Sections 1859.78.10 and 1859.167.1.

Excessive Cost Hardship Request

Check the appropriate box to request an augmentation to the Charter School Facilities Program Rehabilitation grants for an excessive cost hardship for the items listed. Refer to Section 1859.167.4 for eligibility criteria.

d. Check the box if the applicant requests and qualifies for an Excessive Cost Hardship Grant due to Geographic Location pursuant to Section 1859.167.3(a).

e. Check the box if the applicant requests and qualifies for an Excessive Cost Hardship Grant for a small size project pursuant to Section 1859.167.3(b).

f. Check the box if the applicant requests and qualifies for an Excessive Cost Hardship Grant due to Urban Location, Security Requirements, and Impacted Site pursuant to Section 1859.167.3(c).

g. Check the box if the applicant requests and qualifies for an Excessive Cost Hardship Grant due to accessibility and fire code requirements pursuant to Section 1859.167.3(d). Requests for excessive cost grants for accessibility requirements are allowed only if required by the Division of the State Architect (DSA). At the applicant's option, the applicant may request three percent of the Charter School Facilities Program Rehabilitation Grant or enter 50 percent of the amount calculated pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.167.3(d)(2). Attach a copy of the DSA approved list that shows the minimum work necessary for accessibility requirements.

9. Project Priority Funding Order

Enter the priority order of this project in relation to other new construction Applications submitted by the School District on the same date. If Applications are not received on the same date, the OPSC will assign a higher School District priority to the Application received first. Check the box(es) if the project meets the criteria outlined in Section 1859.92(c)(3), (4) and (6), as appropriate. This information is needed for purposes of priority points.

10. Prior Approval Under the LPP

If the project the School District is requesting SFP grants for received a Phase P, S, or C approval under the LPP, report the Application number of that project, regardless if the project actually received funding or was included on an "unfunded" list. Failure to report this information may delay the processing of the Application by the OPSC.

11. Prior Apportionment Under the SFP

If the project received a separate Apportionment under the SFP for either site and/or design, or site environmental hardship, enter the Application number of the project. Failure to report this information may delay the processing of the Application by the OPSC.

12. Preliminary Apportionment to a Final Apportionment

If this request is to convert a Preliminary Apportionment to a Final Apportionment, enter the Application number of the Preliminary Apportionment. Failure to report this information may delay the processing of the Application by the OPSC.

13. Alternative Developer Fee

The School District must report certain alternative fees collected pursuant to Government Code Section 65995.7, as of the date of Application submittal to the OPSC. Refer to Section 1859.77 for details. School Districts are advised that the OPSC may perform an audit of the developer fees collected prior to Application approval by the Board.

14. Adjustment to New Construction Baseline Eligibility

Pursuant to Section 1859.51 certain adjustments to the School District's new construction baseline eligibility must be made each time a School District submits Form SAB 50-04, to the OPSC for SFP new construction or Modernization Grants. These adjustments are made by the OPSC based on information reported by the School District on this form.

a. Report all classroom(s) provided after the School District submitted its request for determination of its new construction baseline eligibility for the grades shown, or indicate N/A if there are none. Refer to Section 1859.51(i).

In the additional classroom column, indicate the number of additional net classrooms provided if not previously reported.

In the replacement classroom column, indicate the number of classrooms that were included in the determination of the School District's New Construction Eligibility pursuant to Education Code Section 17071.75 but replaced in a locally funded project.

Enter the date the initial construction contract was signed for additional or replacement classrooms.

15. Pending Reorganization Election

Complete only for new construction projects. Indicate if there is a pending reorganization election that will result in a loss of eligibility for this project. If the answer is "yes", the School District must complete Form SAB 50-01, Form SAB 50-02 and Form SAB 50-03, to adjust the School District's new construction baseline eligibility as a result of the reorganization and submit them with this form.

16. Joint-Use Facility/Leased Property

Check the box if:

a. The facilities to be constructed/modernized as part of this project will be for joint use by other governmental agencies.
b. The new construction or modernization grants will be used for facilities located or to be located on leased property.

17. Project Progress Dates

a. Enter the date(s) the construction contract(s) was awarded for this project(s). If a construction contract has not been executed, enter N/A. (If the space provided is not sufficient for all applicable contract dates, please list all dates on a separate attachment to this form.)
b. Enter the issue date(s) for the Notice to Proceed for the construction phase of the project, or enter N/A if a Notice to Proceed has not been issued.
c. If a construction contract was awarded prior to January 1, 2012, check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not the School District has initiated and enforced a Labor Compliance Program (LCP) approved by the Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.7 for this project.

18. Prevailing Wage Monitoring and Enforcement Costs

If the construction contract(s) for this project was awarded on January 1, 2012 through June 19, 2014, check the appropriate box to indicate which of the following methods was or is being used to meet the requirement for prevailing wage monitoring and enforcement pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.3 in effect on January 1, 2012 through June 19, 2014:

- DIR Public Works administration and enforcement
- A DIR-approved internal LCP
- A collective bargaining agreement that meets the criteria set forth in Labor Code Section 1771.3(b)(3) in effect on January 1, 2012 through June 19, 2014.

19. Construction Delivery Method

Check the box that best represents the construction delivery method that the School District has or will use for this project, if known.

20. Career Technical Education Funds Request

Indicate if Career Technical Education (CTE) funds will be requested for classroom(s) included in the plans and specifications for this project pursuant to Section 1859.193. If "Yes", enter the number of CTE classroom(s) shown on the P&S.

21. Overcrowding Relief Grant Narrative

The School District must either provide an explanation in the space provided or attach a letter signed by the district representative detailing how this project will relieve overcrowding.

22. Local Funding Adjustment Grant

Enter the following:

a. The School District's total assessed valuation, pursuant to Section 1859.70.5(a)(1). The School District must provide a letter from the county auditor-controller that certifies the School District's total assessed valuation.
b. The School District's gross bonding capacity, rounded to the nearest whole dollar. To calculate this, take the total assessed valuation and multiply that by 1.25% for non-unified School Districts or 2.5% for unified School District, rounded to two decimal places.
c. The School District's unduplicated Pupil percentage as determined for purposes of the local control funding formula pursuant to Education Code Section 42238.02, rounded to two decimal places.
d. The School District's enrollment, pursuant to Section 1859.70.5(a)(3) based on the latest California Basic Educational Data System (CBEDS) enrollment data as it would have been reported using the criteria in Parts A, C and D of the Form SAB 50-01. Applications filed on or after November 1 must include the current school year enrollment.
e. Check Yes or No to indicate whether the project includes the use of a Project Labor Agreement for this Application. If the School District indicates it intends to have a Project Labor Agreement, but does not yet, it will be audited for compliance and the funding will be adjusted accordingly if it does not have a Project Labor Agreement.

23. Architect of Record or Licensed Architect Certification

The architect of record or the licensed architect must complete this section.

24. Architect of Record or Design Professional Certification

The architect of record or the appropriate design professional must complete this section.

25. Certification

The district representative must complete this section. For additional information regarding district certifications, refer to the SFP handbook located on the OPSC web site at www.dgs.ca.gov/opsc.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
APPLICATION FOR FUNDING
SCHOOL FACILITY PROGRAM
SAB 50-04 (REV ~~01/26~~ 02/26)

STATE ALLOCATION BOARD
OFFICE OF PUBLIC SCHOOL CONSTRUCTION

The School District named below applies to the State Allocation Board via the Office of Public School Construction for a grant under the provisions of Chapter 12.5, Part 10, Division 1, commencing with Section 17070.10, et seq., of the Education Code and the Regulations thereto.

SCHOOL DISTRICT		APPLICATION NUMBER
SCHOOL NAME		PROJECT TRACKING NUMBER
COUNTY	DISTRICT REPRESENTATIVE'S E-MAIL ADDRESS	HIGH SCHOOL ATTENDANCE AREA (HSAA) OR SUPER HSAA (IF APPLICABLE)

1 Type of Application—Check Only One

- ☐ New Construction
- ☐ New Construction (Final Apportionment)
- ☐ New Construction (Final Charter School Apportionment)
- ☐ New Construction (Overcrowding Relief Grant)
- ☐ Rehabilitation (Final Charter School Apportionment)
- ☐ Modernization
- ☐ Modernization of California Schools for Deaf/Blind
- ☐ Facility Hardship [Section 1859.82.1]
  - ☐ Conceptual Approval [Section 1859.82.3(a)]
  - ☐ Replacement Site
  - ☐ Replacement School Building(s):
    - Toilets (sq. ft.) _____
    - Other (sq. ft.) _____
  - ☐ Rehabilitation Costs: $_____
- ☐ Seismic Mitigation [Section 1859.82.2]
  - ☐ Conceptual Approval [Section 1859.82.3(b)]
  - ☐ Replacement Site
  - ☐ Replacement School Building(s):
    - Toilets (sq. ft.) _____
    - Other (sq. ft.) _____
  - ☐ Seismic Rehabilitation Grant: $_____

Separate Apportionment

- ☐ Site Only—New Construction [Section 1859.81.1]
- ☐ Site Only (District owned)—New Construction [Section 1859.81.2]
- ☐ Site Only—Environmental Hardship [Section 1859.75.1]
- ☐ Design Only—New Construction [Section 1859.81.1]
- ☐ Design Only—New Construction with High Performance
- ☐ Design Only—Modernization
- ☐ Design Only—Modernization with High Performance
- ☐ Design Only—Modernization of California Schools for Deaf/Blind
- ☐ Design Only—Facility Hardship [Section 1859.82.1]
- ☐ Design Only—Seismic Mitigation [Section 1859.82.2]
- ☐ Advance Funding for Evaluation and RA

2 Type of Project

a. ☐ Elementary School
☐ Middle School
☐ High School

Total Pupils Assigned:
K–6: _____
7–8: _____
9–12: _____
Non-Severe: _____
Severe: _____

b. ☐ 50 Years or Older Building Funding (Modernization Only)
Total Eligible Classrooms/Square Footage: _____
Classroom/Square Footage at Least 50 Years Old: _____
Ratio of 50 Years Old Classrooms/Square Footage: _____ %

From 2a above, how many are 50 Year or Older Pupil Grants?
K–6: _____
7–8: _____ Non-Severe: _____
9–12: _____ Severe: _____

c. Included in 2a above, how many Pupils are generated by the Alternative Enrollment Projection? (New Construction Only)
K–6: _____
7–8: _____ Non-Severe: _____
9–12: _____ Severe: _____

d. If the request is to replace 75 Years or Older Facilities (Modernization Only)
Number of existing classrooms being demolished _____
The total square footage of non-classroom space being demolished _____
The number of classrooms being constructed _____
The total square footage of non-classroom space being constructed _____
From 2a above, how many are 75 Years or Older Pupil Grants?
K–6: _____
7–8: _____ Non-Severe: _____
9–12: _____ Severe: _____

e. Is this a 6–8 school? ☐ Yes ☐ No
If you answered yes, how many K–6 Pupils reported above are sixth graders? _____
Is this an Alternative Education School? ☐ Yes ☐ No

f. Is this a use of grant request pursuant to Section 1859.77.2? ☐ Yes ☐ No
Is this request pursuant to Section 1859.77.2(c)? ☐ Yes ☐ No
If yes, enter date of successful bond election: _____
Is this a use of grant request pursuant to Section 1859.77.3? ☐ Yes ☐ No
Is this request pursuant to Section 1859.77.3(c)? ☐ Yes ☐ No
If yes, enter date of successful bond election: _____

g. Charter School Facilities Program Rehabilitation Request:
Toilets (sq. ft.) _____
Other (sq. ft.) _____

h. Project to be located on:
- ☐ Leased Site
- ☐ New Site
- ☐ Existing Site with Additional Acreage Acquired
- ☐ Existing Site with No Additional Acreage Acquired

i. ORG Projects Only

NAME OF ELIGIBLE SCHOOLSITE(S)	NUMBER OF PORTABLES BEINGREPLACED	NUMBER OF SITE SPECIFIC ELIGIBLE PUPILS BEING REQUESTED
	Total	

3 Number of Classrooms: _____
Master Plan Acreage Site Size (Useable): _____
Recommended Site Size (Useable): _____
Existing Acres (Useable): _____
Proposed Acres (Useable): _____

4 Type of Financial Hardship Request
☐ Submittal pending OPSC approval pursuant to Section 1859.81(h)
☐ Submittal with school board resolution, pursuant to Section 1859.95.1 (Insufficient Bond Authority)

5 New Construction Additional Grant Request ~~— New Construction Only~~
a. Therapy: Toilets (sq. ft.) _____
Other (sq. ft.) _____
b. Multilevel Construction (CRS): _____
c. ☐ Project Assistance
d. ☐ Project and Construction Management Grant
e. ~~d.~~ Site Acquisition:
(1) 50 percent Actual Cost: $ _____
(2) 50 percent Appraised Value: $ _____
(3) 50 percent Relocation Cost: $ _____
(4) 2 percent (min. $25,000): $ _____
(5) 50 percent DTSC Fee: $ _____
f. ~~e.~~ 50 percent hazardous waste removal: $ _____
☐ Response Action (RA)
g. ~~f.~~ Site Development
☐ 50 percent Service-Site: $ _____
☐ 50 percent Off-Site: $ _____
☐ 50 percent Utilities: $ _____
☐ General Site
h. ~~g.~~ ☐ Percent Above Energy Efficiency for each building: _____
Energy Code Compliance Approach Used (select one):
☐ Prescriptive Only
☐ Prescriptive/Performance
☐ Performance Only (with the exception of mandatory prescriptive measures)
OPSC CA-CHPS Score (Indicate Points): _____
i. ~~h.~~ ☐ Automatic Fire Detection/Alarm System
☐ Automatic Sprinkler System
j. ~~i.~~ ☐ High Performance Incentive (Indicate Points): _____

6 Modernization Additional Grant Request
a. ☐ Project Assistance
b. ☐ Project and Construction Management Grant
c. ~~b.~~ ☐ Percent Above Energy Efficiency for each building: _____
Energy Code Compliance Approach Used (select one):
☐ Prescriptive Only
☐ Prescriptive/Performance
☐ Performance Only (with the exception of mandatory prescriptive measures)
OPSC CA-CHPS Score (Indicate Points): _____
d. ~~c.~~ ☐ Site Development—60 percent utilities: $ _____
e. ~~d.~~ ☐ Site Development----75 Years or Older: $ _____
f. ~~e.~~ ☐ Automatic Fire Detection/Alarm System
g. ~~f.~~ ☐ High Performance Incentive (Indicate Points): _____
h. ~~g~~ ☐ Minimum Essential Facility
☐ Multipurpose
☐ Gymnasium
☐ Library
☐ Kitchen
☐ Hybrid: _____
Toilet (sq. ft.): _____
Other (sq. ft.): _____
Construction Type
☐ Permanent
☐ Portable
☐ Site Development pursuant to Section 1859.78.9.1
☐ 35 percent of supplemental grant; or,
☐ 60 percent of minimum work $ _____
i. ~~h.~~ 1) Transitional Kindergarten Classroom(s)
☐ New Construction
Number of Classroom(s): _____
☐ Automatic Fire Detection/Alarm System
☐ Automatic Sprinkler System
☐ Multilevel Classrooms(s) Construction: _____
☐ Retrofit
Number of Classroom(s): _____
☐ Automatic Fire Detection/Alarm System
☐ 50-year-old utilities
☐ Site Development pursuant to Section 1859.78.9.2
☐ 35 percent of supplemental grant; or,
☐ 60 percent of minimum work $ _____
j. ~~h.~~ 2) Enrollment Data for Site

Grade	3rd Prev. /	2nd Prev. /	Previous /	Current /
TK				
K				
1				
2				
3				
TOTAL	0	0	0	0

k. ~~i.~~ ☐ Career Technical Education Components – 60 percent: $ _____

7 Excessive Cost Hardship Request
New Construction Only
☐ Geographic Percent Factor: _____ %
☐ New School Project [Section 1859.83(c)(1)]
☐ New School Project [Section 1859.83(c)(2)]
☐ Small Size Project
☐ Urban/Security/Impacted Site;
If a new site, $ _____ per Useable Acre [Section 1859.83(d)(2)(C)]
Modernization Only
☐ Geographic Percent Factor: _____ %
☐ Small Size Project
☐ Urban/Security/Impacted site
☐ Accessibility/Fire Code
☐ 3 percent of base grant; or,
☐ 60 percent of minimum work $ _____

☐ Number of 2-Stop Elevators: _____
☐ Number of Additional Stops: _____

8 Charter School Facilities Program Rehabilitation Additional Grant and Excessive Cost Hardship Request

Additional Grant Request

a. ☐ Percent Above Energy Efficiency for each building: _____
Energy Code Compliance Approach Used (select one):
☐ Prescriptive Only
☐ Prescriptive/Performance _____
☐ Performance Only (with the exception of mandatory prescriptive measures)
OPSC CA-CHPS Score (Indicate Points): _____

b. ☐ High Performance Incentive (Indicate Points): _____

c. ☐ Career Technical Education Components – 50 percent: $ _____

Excessive Cost Hardship Request

d. ☐ Geographic Percent Factor: _____%

e. ☐ Small Size Project

f. ☐ Urban/Security/Impacted site

g. ☐ Accessibility/Fire Code
☐ 3 percent of base grant; or,
☐ 50 percent of minimum work $ _____
☐ Number of 2-Stop Elevators: _____
☐ Number of Additional Stops: _____

9 Project Priority Funding Order—New Construction Only

Priority order of this Application in relation to other new construction Applications submitted by the School District at the same time: # _____

Project meets:
☐ Density requirement pursuant to Section 1859.92(c)(3).
☐ Stock plans requirement pursuant to Section 1859.92(c)(4).
☐ Energy efficiency requirement pursuant to Section 1859.92(c)(6).

10 Prior Approval Under the LPP

New Construction: 22/ _____
Modernization: 77/ _____

11 Prior Apportionment Under the SFP

Site/Design—New Construction: 50/ _____
Design—Modernization: 57/ _____

12 Preliminary Apportionment to Final Apportionment

Preliminary Apportionment Application Number: # _____

13 Alternative Developer Fee—New Construction Only

Alternative developer fee collected and reportable pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.77: $ _____

14 Adjustment to New Construction Baseline Eligibility

a. Classroom(s) provided:

Additional		Replacement	
K–6:	_____	K–6	_____
7–8:	_____	7–8	_____
9–12:	_____	9–12	_____
Non-Severe:	_____	Non-Severe	_____
Severe:	_____	Severe	_____

Construction Contract(s) for the project signed on:
_____ _____

15 Pending Reorganization Election—New Construction Only ☐ Yes ☐ No

16 Joint-Use Facility/Leased Property

a. ☐ Joint-Use Facility
b. ☐ Leased Property

17 Project Progress Dates

a. Construction Contract(s) awarded on: _____
(If the space provided is not sufficient for all applicable contract dates, please list all dates on a separate attachment to this form.)

b. Notice(s) to Proceed issued on: _____

c. If the Construction Contract(s) was awarded prior to January 1, 2012, have you initiated and enforced an LCP approved by the DIR pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.7 for this project? ☐ Yes ☐ No

18 Prevailing Wage Monitoring and Enforcement Costs

If the Construction Contract(s) was awarded on January 1, 2012 through June 19, 2014, please indicate which monitoring requirement was or is being used, pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.3 in effect on January 1, 2012 through June 19, 2014:

☐ DIR Public Works administration and enforcement
☐ DIR approved School District LCP
☐ Collective bargaining agreement, pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.3(b)(3) in effect on January 1, 2012 through June 19, 2014

19 Construction Delivery Method

☐ Design-Bid-Build
☐ Design-Build
☐ Developer Built
☐ Lease Lease-Back
☐ Energy Performance Contract
☐ This project includes or will include piggyback contract(s) as defined in Section 1859.2
☐ Other: _____

20 Career Technical Education Funds Request

Will CTE Funds be requested for classroom(s) included in the plans and specifications for this project? ☐ Yes ☐ No
Number of CTE classroom(s): _____

21 Overcrowding Relief Grant Narrative

_____
_____
_____
_____

22. Local Funding Adjustment Grant

a. Total Assessed Valuation: _____

b. School District's gross bonding capacity, (rounded to the nearest whole dollar): _____

c. School District's unduplicated Pupil percentage, rounded to two decimal places: _____%

d. School District's enrollment: _____

e. Does this project include the use of a Project Labor Agreement? ☐ Yes ☐ No

23. Architect of Record or Licensed Architect Certification

I certify as the architect of record for the project or as a licensed architect that:

- The P&S for this project were submitted to the OPSC by electronic medium (i.e., CD-ROM, zip disk or diskette) or as an alternative, if the request is for a modernization Grant, the P&S were submitted in hard copy to the OPSC.
- Any portion of the P&S requiring review and approval by the Division of the State Architect (DSA) were approved by the DSA on _____ (enter DSA approval date).
- Any portion of the P&S not requiring review and approval by the DSA meets the requirements of the California Code of Regulations, Title 24, including any access and fire code requirements.
- If the request is for a Modernization or Charter School Facilities Program Rehabilitation Grant, the P&S include the demolition of more classrooms than those to be constructed in the project, the difference is _____ classroom(s). (Indicate N/A if there are none.)
- If the request is for a Modernization or Charter School Facilities Program Rehabilitation Grant, the P&S include the construction of more classrooms than those to be demolished in the project, the difference is _____ classroom(s). (Indicate N/A if there are none.)

ARCHITECT OF RECORD OR LICENSED ARCHITECT (PRINT NAME)

SIGNATURE	DATE

24. Architect of Record or Design Professional Certification

I certify as the architect of record for the project or the appropriate design professional, that:

- If the request is for a New Construction Grant, not including the ORG, I have developed a cost estimate of the proposed project which indicates that the estimated construction cost of the work in the P&S including deferred items (if any) relating to the proposed project, is at least 60 percent of the total grant amount provided by the State and the School District's matching share, less site acquisition costs and the High Performance Base Incentive Grant. This cost estimate does not include site acquisition, planning, tests, inspection, or furniture and equipment and is available at the School District for review by the OPSC.
- If the request is for a Modernization or Charter School Facilities Program Rehabilitation Grant, I have developed a cost estimate of the proposed project which indicates that the estimated construction cost of the work in the P&S, including deferred items and interim housing (if any) relating to the proposed project, is at least 60 percent of the total grant amount provided by the State and the School District's matching share , less the High Performance Base Incentive Grant. This cost estimate does not include planning, tests, inspection or furniture and equipment and is available at the School District for review by the OPSC.
- If the request is for a Modernization Grant and includes replacement of a 75 Years or Older Building(s), the cost estimate must delineate the costs associated with the work for the qualifying facility(s) pursuant to Sections 1859.78.7.1. in the DSA-approved plans apart from the other Modernization work in the DSA-approved plans and indicate that the estimated construction cost of the work in the P&S, including deferred items (if any) relating to the proposed project, is at least 60 percent of that portion of the grant amount provided by the State and the School District's matching share.
- If the request is for a Modernization Grant and includes a Minimum Essential Facility or new or retrofitted Transitional Kindergarten classroom(s), the cost estimate must delineate the costs associated with the work for the qualifying facility(s) pursuant to Sections 1859.78.9.1, or 1859.78.9.2 in the DSA-approved plans apart from the other Modernization work in the DSA-approved plans and indicate that the estimated construction cost of the work in the P&S, including deferred items and interim housing (if any) relating to the proposed project, is at least 60 percent of that portion of the grant amount provided by the State and the School District's matching share.

ARCHITECT OF RECORD OR DESIGN PROFESSIONAL (PRINT NAME)

SIGNATURE	DATE

25. Certification

I certify, as the District Representative, that the information reported on this form, with the exception of items 23 and 24, is true and correct and that:

- I am an authorized representative of the School District as authorized by the governing board of the School District; and,
- A resolution or other appropriate documentation supporting this Application under Chapter 12.5, Part 10, Division 1, commencing with Section 17070.10, et. seq., of the Education Code was adopted by the School District's governing board or the designee of the Superintendent of Public Instruction on, _____ ;and,
- The School District has established a "Restricted Maintenance Account" for exclusive purpose of providing ongoing and major maintenance of school buildings and has developed an ongoing and major maintenance plan that complies with and is implemented under the provisions of Education Code Section 17070.75 and 17070.77 (refer to Sections 1859.100 through 1859.102); and,
- The School District has considered the feasibility of the joint use of land and facilities with other governmental agencies in order to minimize school facility costs; and,
- If this funding request is for the Modernization of portable classrooms eligible for an additional Apportionment pursuant to Education Code Section 17073.15, the School District certifies that (check the applicable box below):
  - ☐ 1. The state Modernization funds will be used to replace the portable classrooms and permanently remove the displaced portables from the classroom use within six months of the filing of the Notice of Completion for the project; or,
  - ☐ 2. It has provided documentation to the Office of Public School Construction which indicates that modernizing the portable classrooms eligible for an additional Apportionment is better use of public resources than the replacement of these facilities.
- Facilities to be rehabilitated under the Charter School Facilities Program previously funded with School Facility Program State funds meet the requirements of Section 1859.163.6; and,
- All contracts entered on or after November 4, 1998 for the service of any architect structural engineer or other design professional for any work under the project have been obtained pursuant to a competitive process that is consistent with the requirements of Chapter 10 (commencing with Section 4525) of Division 5, of Title 1, of the Government Code; and,
- If this request is for new construction funding, the School District has received approval of the site and the plans from the CDE. Plan approval is not required if request is for separate design Apportionment; and,

- If this request is for Modernization or Charter School Facilities Program Rehabilitation funding, the School District has received approval of the plans for the project from the CDE. Plan approval is not required if request is for separate design Apportionment; and,
- The School District has or will comply with the Public Contract Code regarding all laws governing the use of force account labor; and,
- This School District has or will comply with Education Code Section 17076.11 regarding at least a 3 percent expenditure goal for disabled veteran business enterprises; and,
- The School District matching funds required pursuant to Sections 1859.77.1 or 1859.79 has either been expended by the School District, deposited in the County School Facility Fund or will be expended by the School District prior to the notice of completion for the project; and,
- The School District has received the necessary approval of the plans and specifications from the Division of the State Architect unless the request is for a separate site and/or design Apportionment; and,
- If the School District is requesting site acquisition funds as part of this Application, the School District has complied with Sections 1859.74 through 1859.75.1 as appropriate; and,
- With the exception of an Apportionment made pursuant to Section 1859.75.1, the School District understands that the lack of substantial progress toward increasing the Pupil capacity or renovation of its facilities within 18 months of receipt of any funding shall be cause for the rescission of the unexpended funds (refer to Section 1859.105); and,
- If the Apportionment for this project was made pursuant to Section 1859.75.1, the School District understands that the lack of substantial progress toward increasing the Pupil capacity or renovation of its facilities within 12 months of receipt of any funding shall be cause for the rescission of the unexpended funds (refer to Section 1859.105.1); and,
- The School District understands that funds not released within 18 months of Apportionment shall be rescinded and the Application shall be denied (refer to Section 1859.90); and
- The statements set forth in this Application and supporting documents are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief; and,
- All school facilities purchased or newly constructed under the project for use by Pupils who are individuals with exceptional needs, as defined in Education Code Section 56026, shall be designed and located on the school site so as to maximize interaction between those individuals with exceptional needs and other Pupils as appropriate to the needs of both; and,
- This form is an exact duplicate (verbatim) of the form provided by the OPSC. In the event a conflict should exist, the language in the OPSC form will prevail; and,
- The School District understands that some or all of the State funding for the project must be returned to the State as a result of an audit pursuant to Sections 1859.105, 1859.105.1, 1859.106; and,
- The School District has complied with the provisions of Sections 1859.76 and 1859.79.2 and that the portion of the project funded by the State does not contain work specifically prohibited in those Sections; and,
- If the SFP grants will be used for the construction or Modernization of school facilities on leased land, the School District has entered into a lease agreement for the leased property that meets the requirements of Section 1859.22; and,
- If the Application contains a "Use of New Construction Grant" request, the School District has adopted a school board resolution and housing plan at a public hearing at a regularly scheduled meeting of the governing board on _____ as specified in Sections 1859.77.2, or 1859.77.3, as appropriate. The School District's approved housing plan is as indicated (check all that apply):
  - ☐ 1. The School District will construct or acquire facilities for housing the Pupils with funding not otherwise available to the SFP as a School District match within five years of project approval by the SAB and the School District must identify the source of the funds. [Applicable for Sections 1859.77.2(a) and (b) and 1859.77.3(a) and (b)]
  - ☐ 2. The School District will utilize higher district loading standards providing the loading standards are within the approved School District's teacher contract and do not exceed 33:1 per classroom. [Applicable for Sections 1859.77.2(a) and (b) and 1859.73(a) and (b)]
  - ☐ 3. The Pupils requested from a different grade level will be housed in classrooms at an existing school in the School District which will have its grade level changed, to the grade level requested, at the completion of the proposed SFP project. [Applicable for Sections 1859.77.2(b) and 1859.77.3(b)].
- If the School District requested additional funding for fire code requirements pursuant to Sections 1859.71.2 or 1859.78.4, the School District will include the automatic fire detection/alarm system and/or automatic sprinkler system in the project prior to completion of the project; and,
- The School District has consulted with the career technical advisory committee established pursuant to Education Code Section 8070 and the need for vocational and career technical facilities is being adequately met in accordance with Education Code Sections 51224, 51225.3(b), and 51228(b), and 52336.1; and,
- If the School District is requesting an Additional Grant for Energy Efficiency pursuant to Sections 1859.71.3 or 1859.78.5, the plans and specifications exceed the nonresidential building energy-efficiency standards specified in Part 6 of Title 24 of the California Code of Regulations and the increased costs for the energy efficiency components in the project exceeds the amount of funding otherwise available to the School District; and,
- If this Application is submitted after January 1, 2004 for Modernization funding, the School District has considered the potential for the presence of lead-containing materials in the Modernization project and will follow all relevant federal, state, and local standards for the management of any identified lead; and,
- The School District has initiated and enforced an LCP that has been approved by the DIR, pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.7, if the project is funded from Propositions 47 or 55 and the Notice to Proceed for the construction phase of the project is issued on or after April 1, 2003 and before January 1, 2012; and,
- The School District has contracted with the DIR for prevailing wage monitoring and enforcement pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.3(a) in effect on January 1, 2012 through June 19, 2014, if the construction contract was awarded on January 1, 2012 through June 19, 2014 and the School District has not obtained a waiver for the requirement, pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.3(b) in effect on January 1, 2012 through June 19, 2014. The School District understands that if it fails to meet this requirement, it will be required to repay all state bond funds received including interest; and,
- Beginning with the 2005/2006 fiscal year, the School District has complied with Education Code Section 17070.75(e) by establishing a facilities inspection system to ensure that each of its schools is maintained in good repair; and,
- If this Application is submitted pursuant to Section 1859.180, the School District certifies that within six months of occupancy of the permanent classrooms, it will remove the replaced portables from the eligible school site and K–12 grade classroom use with the exception of schools described in Education Code Section 17079.30(c); and,

- The School District has considered the feasibility of using designs and materials for the new construction or Modernization project that promote the efficient use of energy and water, maximum use of natural light and indoor air quality, the use of recycled materials and materials that emit a minimum of toxic substances, the use of acoustics conducive to teaching and learning, and the other characteristics of high performance schools; and,
- If the School District is requesting an additional grant for high performance incentive funding, the School District governing board must have a resolution on file that demonstrates support for the high performance incentive grant request and the intent to incorporate high performance features in future facilities projects; and,
- If this Application is submitted when there is Insufficient Bond Authority, the School District has adopted a school board resolution pursuant to Section 1859.95.1; and,
- The School District will comply with all laws pertaining to the construction or Modernization of its school building; and,
- If the School District marked the box for 'Yes' in Section 22 e. of this Application that it has or will include a project labor agreement, the use of a project labor agreement will be verified as part of the audit required in EC Section 41024. If it is determined that a project labor agreement was not used, the additional Local Share Adjustment Grant funding provided as a result of the points assigned to the project to determine the matching share percentage will be required to be returned, with interest (based on the interest rate earned in the Pooled Money Investment Account at the time of fund release) until funds are returned; and,
- If the School District is requesting the Modernization supplemental grant for Minimum Essential Facilities pursuant to Section 1859.78.9.1 as part of this Application, the School District certifies that any classrooms displaced as a result of reconfiguration are replaced in the same plan set, or the School District certifies that the classrooms are not being replaced due to a demonstrated lack of need and the School District acknowledges that no adjustment to the School District's New Construction Eligibility will be made for the removal of these classrooms; and,
- If this funding request is for the retrofit of kindergarten classrooms, the School District certifies that the classrooms did not meet design requirements for a kindergarten classroom at the time they were built or previously retrofitted to meet kindergarten standards; and,
- If funding for new or retrofitted Transitional Kindergarten classrooms is being requested, the School District certifies that _____classrooms were constructed or previously altered to meet the requirements for a kindergarten classroom; and,
- With regards to providing Transitional Kindergarten instruction as pursuant to Section 1859.78.9.2, the School District certifies that the School District is currently or will provide Transitional Kindergarten at the project site.
- If the applicant is requesting an Additional Grant for CTE Components pursuant to Section 1859.78.10, the following conditions are met (check all that apply):
  - ☐ 1. The applicant has included the costs associated with the CTE component(s), as outlined in Section 1859.78.10(b)(2), in the scope of the work and certifies that these costs exceed the Modernization/Charter Rehabilitation funding available.
  - ☐ 2. The local educational agency operates a comprehensive high school and has an active career technical advisory committee.
  - ☐ 3. The applicant has included a copy of the plan score issued by the CDE, dated on or after July 3, 2024, verifying a minimum score of 105 points.
  - ☐ 4. The contracts for construction or acquisition of equipment were, or will be entered into on or after July 3, 2024.
- As applicable, the district certifies that a Five-Year School Facilities Master Plan, pursuant to Education Code Section 17070.54, was approved by the governing board of the school district on _____ and was filed with OPSC on _____.

  Pursuant to SFP Regulation Section 1859.18(b), the district certifies that:
  - ☐ There are no material changes to components of the Master Plan required in SFP Regulation Section 1859.18(d) since the date of governing board approval of the Master Plan, or
  - ☐ There has been a material change(s) to components of the Master Plan required in SFP Regulation Section 1859.18(d) since the date of governing board approval of the Master Plan, and an updated Master Plan or governing board resolution to acknowledge and address these changes has been included as a component of this application, or
  - ☐ This application is subject to an alternative Master Plan submittal timeline pursuant to SFP Regulation Section 1859.18.1 and a governing board resolution acknowledging any apportionment may be rescinded for failure to submit a valid Master Plan by the required timeline has been included as a component of this application.
- The School District acknowledges that if the Project and Construction Management Grant is requested pursuant to Education Code Sections 17078.35 and 17078.37, and the School District does not obtain project and/or construction management services from a County Office of Education, other Local Educational Agency with applicable school facilities construction expertise, applicable State Department, or a certified private construction consulting entity, or expend funds on these services, the total approved project costs will be reduced by the Project and Construction Management Grant and associated required matching share.

NAME OF DISTRICT REPRESENTATIVE (PRINT)	PHONE NUMBER
SIGNATURE OF DISTRICT REPRESENTATIVE	DATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

APPLICATION FOR SMALL SCHOOL DISTRICT PROGRAM PRELIMINARY APPORTIONMENT

SCHOOL FACILITY PROGRAM

SAB 50-12 (New 02/26)

STATE ALLOCATION BOARD
OFFICE OF PUBLIC SCHOOL CONSTRUCTION

GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS

This form is used to request a preliminary Apportionment for new construction or Modernization of school facilities for Small School Districts. This Apportionment is available only to School Districts that meet the definition of a Small School District in Section 1859.2 for new construction projects. For Modernization projects, this Apportionment is available only to School Districts that have 2,500 or less Pupils in their District on their latest California Basic Education Data System (CBEDS) enrollment reporting. The Apportionment will be a reservation of funds for the project to allow time to receive the necessary approvals from other State entities and shall be converted to a Final Small School District Program Apportionment based on Sections 1859.157.4 through 1859.157.8.

If not previously submitted, a School District may file an application for Modernization funding by use of this form concurrently with a determination of or an adjustment to the School District's Modernization Eligibility in accordance with Section 1859.60. The School District must submit a determination of or an adjustment to the School District's new construction baseline eligibility upon request, in accordance with Regulation Sections 1859.20 or 1859.51, as applicable. The Board will only provide new construction funding if this form is submitted prior to the date of occupancy of any classrooms included in the construction contract. If the School District has a pending reorganization election that will result in the loss of eligibility for the proposed project, the School District must submit an adjustment to the School District's new construction baseline eligibility as required in Section 1859.51 upon request. This may be accomplished by completion and submittal of Form SAB 50-01, Form SAB 50-02, and Form SAB 50-03 for the current enrollment year. Failure to submit the requested Forms may result in the Office of Public School Construction (OPSC) returning the funding application to the School District unprocessed.

For purposes of Education Code Section 17073.25, the California Department of Education (CDE) is permitted to file Modernization applications on behalf of the California Schools for the Deaf and Blind.

Requests for funding may be made as follows:

1 A Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment for new construction pursuant to Section 1859.157.1. School Districts may apply for a Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment for the design and/or for site acquisition on the same project. For purposes of this Apportionment, the following documents must be submitted with this form (as appropriate):

- Form SAB 50-01, Form SAB 50-02, and Form SAB 50-03 (if not previously submitted).
- Contingent site approval letter from the CDE or a final site approval letter from the CDE (site Apportionment only).
- Real estate appraisal of property with valuation date within six months of the Form SAB 50-12 submittal, may be preliminary (site Apportionment only).
- Relocation cost estimate (if requested).
- Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC) cost estimate (if requested).
- Hazardous waste removal cost estimate (if requested).
- Cost benefit analysis as prescribed in Section 1859.74.6 or a copy of the Board findings that the non-school function on the district-owned site must be relocated (if applicable).

If the School District is requesting a Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment for new construction funding after the initial baseline eligibility was approved by the Board and the School District's current CBEDS enrollment reporting year is later than the enrollment reporting year used to determine the School District's baseline eligibility or adjusted eligibility, the School District must complete a new Form SAB 50-01 based on the current year CBEDS enrollment data, and submit it to OPSC with this form. The School District must also update its eligibility by separation of Special Day Class as provided in Section 1859.2 for Non-Severely Disabled Individual with Exceptional Needs and Severely Disabled Individual with Exceptional Needs from regular TK–12 grade level Pupils by submitting a revised Form SAB 50-02 and Form SAB 50-03, if it has not already done so. In addition, if the School District's request is fully or partially based on eligibility derived from an Alternative Enrollment Projection, the School District must update the Alternative Enrollment Projection to correspond with the CBEDS enrollment data for the current year. A Small School District with 2,500 or less enrollment as defined in Section 1859.2 will not have its eligibility reduced for a period of five years from the date the School District's baseline eligibility was approved by the Board as a result of reduction in projected enrollment.

2 A Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment for Modernization pursuant to Section 1859.157.2. For purposes of this Apportionment, the Form SAB 50-03 must accompany this form (if not previously submitted).

A complete list of the application submittal guidelines can be found on OPSC's website.

SPECIFIC INSTRUCTIONS

The School District must assign a Project Tracking Number (PTN) to this project. The same PTN is used by OPSC, the Division of the State Architect (DSA) and CDE for all project applications submitted to those agencies to track a particular project through the entire state application review process. If the School District has already assigned a PTN to this project by prior submittal of an application to either DSA or CDE for approval, the School District must use that PTN for this application submittal. If no PTN has been previously assigned for this project, a PTN may be obtained through OPSC Online.

1. Type of Application

Check the appropriate box that indicates the type of request the School District is requesting with this form.

a. If the School District is requesting upfront funding for either site and/or design in a new construction project or requesting upfront funding for design in a Modernization project, check the appropriate box in addition to the box for the Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment above.

2. Type of Project

a. Select the type of project that best represents this application request and enter the total number of Pupils assigned to the project for each grade group.

b. Check the box if the project is eligible for funding for 50 year or older permanent buildings and report, at the option of the School District:
   - The total number of eligible classrooms or the total eligible Square Footage of building area at the site. Refer to Section 1859.78.6(b)(1)(A) or (b)(2)(A). Or,
   - The total number of permanent Classrooms or the total permanent Square Footage of building area that is at least 50 years old and not been previously modernized with state funds. Refer to Section 1859.78.6(b)(1)(B) or (b)(2)(B).
   - Enter the greater percentage as calculated under Regulation Section 1859.78.6(b)(1)(C) or Regulation Section 1859.78.6(b)(2)(C).
   - If this project includes eligible 50 year or older Pupil grants, enter the appropriate number assigned to the project for each grade group. The number of Pupils entered cannot exceed the cumulative number of 50 year or older permanent buildings Pupil grants requested for all Modernization funding applications for the site as determined by using the percentage factor above.

c. If this request includes Pupil grants generated by an Alternative Enrollment Projection Method, enter the number of Pupils by grade level.

d. Check the box if the project may be eligible for funding for 75 Years or Older Buildings and report the following, if applicable:
   - The estimated total number of eligible Classrooms and/or the estimated total eligible Square Footage of building area being demolished. Refer to Section 1859.78.7.1.
   - The estimated total number of eligible Classrooms and/or the estimated total eligible Square Footage of building area being constructed. Refer to Section 1859.78.7.1.
   - Enter the appropriate number of Pupils assigned to the project for each grade group. The estimated number of Pupils entered cannot exceed the cumulative number of Pupil grants requested in Section 2a. and is determined by using the calculations in Section 1859.78.7.1(b), (c), and (d) ~~percentage factor above~~.

e. Indicate if this request is for funding of a 6–8 school and/or an Alternative Education School.

f. Indicate the site scenario that best represents the project request.

3. Number of Classrooms/Useable Acres

Enter the:
- Estimated number of Classrooms in the proposed project.
- Existing Useable Acres (if addition to existing site).
- Estimated Proposed Useable Acres to be acquired for the project.

4. Type of Financial Hardship Request

If the School District is requesting Financial Hardship assistance because it is unable to meet its matching share requirement on this application, the School District must check the box and comply with the requirements of Section 1859.81.

5. Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment – New Construction Only

Check the appropriate box(es) if the School District is planning to request the item listed as part of the Final Small School District Program Apportionment. All remaining item requests that are not released as part of the Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment, but are made on this form, will be reserved for the School District and released at the time of the Final Small School District Program Apportionment as detailed in Sections 1859.157.7 and 1859.157.8. Refer to Section 1859.157.1 for eligibility criteria and further details. The School District may be required to submit supporting documentation to substantiate any of the requests being made. Enter the:

a. Estimated amount of therapy area in square feet as provided in Section 1859.72.

b. Check the box if the applicant is requesting additional funding due to multilevel construction. See Section 1859.157.1(a)(4).

c. Check the box if the School District is planning to request project assistance grant pursuant to Section 1859.73.1.

d. Check the box if the School District is planning to request the Project and Construction Management Grant pursuant to Section 1859.157.1(b).

e. Site Acquisition
   Enter either:
   1) Enter the estimated 50 percent amount of the actual cost, or
   2) Enter 50 percent of the appraised value or the preliminary appraised value or the Median Cost of the property. See Section 1859.157.1(a). If the purchase price of the site is being determined using the Median Cost of the General Location enter the nearest street intersection to the school site.

Enter the following:

3) To determine an allowance for relocation cost and DTSC cost, the applicant may request 15 percent of the property value determined above or specific historic values of these costs. See Section 1859.157.1(a)(6)(B)(3.). If specific or historic values are reported, the applicant must submit appropriate documentation to support the amount reported.

4) Enter 50 percent of the amount allowable for hazardous material/waste removal and/or remediation for the site acquired pursuant to Sections 1859.74.2, 1859.74.3, 1859.74.4, 1859.75.1 or 1859.81.1. This amount may not exceed the limit set in Section 1859.157.1(a)(6)(B)(5.). If a Response Action (RA) is required, check the box.

If the project the School District is planning to request SFP funding for does not require an RA, refer to Section 1859.74. If the project the School District is planning to request SFP funding for may require an RA on a site that is not leased or an addition to an existing site, refer to Section 1859.74.2. If the project the School District is planning to request SFP funding for may require an RA on a leased site or an addition to an existing site, refer to Sections 1859.74.3 or 1859.74.4, respectively. The limitation of 50 percent may be exceeded when unforeseen circumstances exist. CDE determines that the site is the best available site, and the School District must submit substantiation that the costs are the minimum required to complete the evaluation and RA.

A project that received site acquisition funds under the Lease-Purchase Program (LPP) as a priority two project is not eligible for site acquisition funds under the SFP. A School District-owned site acquired with LPP, SFP or Proposition 1A funds is not eligible for funding under Section 1859.74.5.

f. To determine an allowance for site development, the applicant may request 50 percent of a specific or historic value of the estimated costs pursuant to Section 1859.157.1(a)(7)(A) or Section 1859.157.1(a)(7)(B), or $70,000 per proposed Usable Acres requested on the Form SAB 50-12 pursuant to Section 1859.157.1(a)(7)(C), or 35 percent of the New Construction base grant If specific or historic estimated costs are used, the applicant must submit appropriate cost estimate of the proposed work conforming to Section 1859.76.

   In addition, check the box if the applicant is requesting General Site Development pursuant to Section 1859.157.1.

g. If the School District is planning to request an Additional Grant for Energy Efficiency pursuant to Section 1859.71.3(a), enter the estimated percentage amount of energy efficiency that exceeds Title 24 requirements as prescribed in Section 1859.71.3(a)(3). If the School District is requesting an Additional Grant for Energy Efficiency pursuant to Section 1859.71.3(c), enter the Energy Code compliance approach used (Performance Only, Prescriptive Only, Performance/Prescriptive) and the total score that is on the OPSC CA-CHPS Scorecard. A copy of the OPSC CA-CHPS Scorecard must be submitted to OPSC as part of the complete request for Final Small School District Program Apportionment.

h. Check the box(es) if the School District plans to request additional funding for fire code requirements authorized in Section 1859.71.2.

6. Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment Modernization Only

Check the appropriate box(es) if the School District is planning to request the item listed as part of the Final Small School District Program Apportionment. All remaining item requests that are not released as part of the Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment, but are made on this form, will be reserved for the School District and released at the time of the Final Small School District Program Apportionment as detailed in Sections 1859.157.7 and 1859.157.8. Refer to Section 1859.157.2 for eligibility criteria and further details.

a. Check the box if the School District is planning to request project assistance grant pursuant to Section 1859.78.2.

b. Check the box if the School District is planning to request the Project and Construction Management Grant pursuant to Section 1859.157.2(b).

c. If the School District is requesting an Additional Grant for Energy Efficiency pursuant to Section 1859.78.5(a), enter the estimated percentage of energy efficiency that exceeds Title 24 requirements as prescribed in Section 1859.78.5(a)(3). If the School District is requesting an Additional Grant for Energy Efficiency pursuant to Section 1859.78.5(c), enter the Energy Code compliance approach used (Performance Only, Prescriptive Only, Performance/Prescriptive) and the total score that is on the OPSC CA-CHPS Scorecard. A copy of the OPSC CA-CHPS Scorecard must be submitted to OPSC as part of the complete request for Final Small School District Program Apportionment. If the School District does not have an estimate available, the School District can enter five percent of the New Construction or Modernization Grant amount for the projects eligible service-site development, off-site development, and utilities costs.

d. Check the box if the School District plans to request an additional grant for site development utility costs necessary for the Modernization of 50 years or older permanent building(s) pursuant to Section 1859.78.7(b) for 20 percent of funding authorized by Section 1859.78.6.

e. Check the box if the School District requests an additional grant for site development costs necessary for the replacement of a 75 Years or Older Building for either 35 percent of the grant determined by Section 1859.78.7.1 (b) and (d) or enter 60 percent of the eligible costs allowable pursuant to Section 1859.78.7.1(e), if not already provided under the provisions pursuant to Section 1859.78.7(a).

f. Check the box(es) if the School District plans to request additional funding for fire code requirements pursuant to Section 1859.78.4.

g. Check the box if the School District is planning to request an Additional Grant for a Minimum Essential Facility pursuant to Section 1859.78.9.1. Check the box for the type of facility the School District is anticipating requesting (if the facility is a hybrid facility, select 'Hybrid' and indicate the type of facility on the line provided) and indicate the estimated amount of eligible Toilet and Other Square Footage to be constructed in the project. Check the appropriate box depending on whether the anticipated Minimum Essential Facility in the project is permanent or portable construction. Check the box if the School District is planning to request an additional grant for Site Development pursuant to Section 1859.78.9.1. The School District may request 35 percent of the Minimum Essential Facility supplemental grant or enter 60 percent of the

amount calculated pursuant to Section 1859.78.9.1 (b)(7) or 1859.78.9.1 (c)(7).

h. 1) Check the box if the School District is planning to request an Additional Grant for the construction of a new Transitional Kindergarten classroom(s) and/or retrofit of an existing school facility to be a Transitional Kindergarten classroom(s). Check the appropriate boxes if the School District is planning to augment the grant with additional supplemental grants respective of the Transitional Kindergarten Classroom project scope. Check the box if the School District is planning to request an additional grant for Site Development pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.78.9.2. The School District may choose to request 35 percent of the Transitional Kindergarten supplemental grant, or enter 60 percent of minimum work amount, pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.78.9.2(c)(4) or 1859.78.9.2(c)(5)(A).
2) The information needed to complete this section is based on the latest CBEDS enrollment data. Applications filed on or after November 1st must include the current school year enrollment data. Enter the CBEDS enrollment for grades TK-3 at the school site the current year and the three prior years' TK–3 enrollment, as appropriate.

Requests for funding shall include the following:

- Site map that includes labelling of all facilities and their current use, and identifies all Classrooms constructed or previously retrofitted to house kindergarten students.
- Narrative that explains the current Classroom and facility usage at the site, what the Small School District plans to do with the project, and the resulting usage at the completion of the project.

i. If the School District is requesting an Additional Grant for Career Technical Education (CTE) Components pursuant to Section 1859.78.10, check the box for five percent to reserve the maximum grant amount allowable pursuant to (b)(1) or check the box and enter 60 percent of the estimated costs for the eligible CTE component(s) in the entire Modernization application pursuant to (b)(2).

7. Additional Project Information – Excessive Cost Hardship Request

Check the appropriate box(es) if the School District is planning to request an excessive cost hardship for the item listed as part of the Final Small School District Program Apportionment. The requests will be reserved for the School District and released at the time of the Final Small School District Program Apportionment as detailed in Sections 1859.157.7 and 1859.157.8. Refer to Section 1859.83 for eligibility criteria.

Requests for excessive cost grants for accessibility requirements are allowed only if required by DSA. At the School District's option, the School District may request three percent of the Modernization base grant or enter the amount calculated pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.83(f). Attach a copy of the DSA approved list that shows the minimum work necessary for accessibility requirements.
If the request is for the excessive cost grant for a new Alternative Education school pursuant to Section 1859.83(c)(2) and the School District elects to request less than the maximum allowance, the School District must submit a letter along with application indicating the requested amount.

8. Prior Apportionment

If the School District received a separate Apportionment for the project under the SFP for either site and/or design, enter the application number of the project. Failure to report this information may delay the processing of the application by OPSC.

9. Alternative Developer Fee

The School District must report certain alternative fees collected pursuant to Government Code Section 65995.7, as of the date of application submittal to OPSC. Refer to Section 1859.77 for details. School Districts are advised that OPSC may perform an audit of the developer fees collected prior to application approval by the Board.

10. Adjustment to New Construction Baseline Eligibility

Pursuant to Section 1859.51 certain adjustments to the District's new construction baseline eligibility must be made each time a School District submits an application for funding, to OPSC for SFP New Construction or Modernization Grants. These adjustments are made by OPSC based on information reported by the School District on this form.

- Report all Classroom(s) provided after the School District submitted its request for determination of its new construction baseline eligibility for the grades shown, or indicate N/A if there are none. Refer to Section 1859.51(i).
- In the additional Classroom column, indicate the number of additional net Classrooms provided if not previously reported.
- In the replacement Classroom column, indicate the number of Classrooms that were included in the determination of the School District's New Construction Eligibility pursuant to Education Code Section 17071.75 and replaced in a locally funded project.
- Enter the date the initial construction contract was signed for additional or replacement Classrooms.

11. Pending Reorganization Election – New Construction Only

Complete only for new construction projects. Indicate if there is a pending reorganization election that will result in a loss of eligibility for this project. If the answer is "yes", the School District must complete Form SAB 50-01, Form SAB 50-02 and Form SAB 50-03, to adjust the School District's New Construction Eligibility as a result of the reorganization and submit them with this form.

12. Joint-Use Facility/Leased Property

Check the box if:

a. The facilities to be constructed/modernized as part of this project will be for joint use by other governmental agencies.
b. The New Construction or Modernization Grants will be used for facilities located or to be located on leased property.

13. Local Funding Adjustment Grant

Enter estimated amounts for each of the following:

a. The School District's total assessed valuation, pursuant to Section 1859.70.5(a)(1). The School District must provide a letter from the county

auditor-controller that certifies the School District's total assessed valuation.

b. The School District's gross bonding capacity, rounded to the nearest whole dollar. To calculate this, take the total assessed valuation and multiply that by 1.25 percent for non-unified School Districts or 2.5 percent for unified School Districts, rounded to two decimal places.

c. The School District's unduplicated Pupil percentage as determined for purposes of the local control funding formula pursuant to Education Code Section 42238.02, rounded to two decimal places.

d. The School District's Pupil enrollment, pursuant to Section 1859.70.5(a)(3) based on the latest CBEDS enrollment data as it would have been reported using the criteria in Parts A, C and D of the Form SAB 50-01. Applications filed on or after November 1 must include the current school year enrollment.

e. Check Yes or No to indicate whether the project includes the use of a Project Labor Agreement for this application. If the School District indicates it intends to have a Project Labor Agreement, but does not yet, it will be audited for compliance and the funding will be adjusted accordingly if it does not have a Project Labor Agreement.

14. Project Progress Dates

a. Enter the date(s) the construction contract(s) was awarded for this project(s). If a construction contract has not been executed, enter N/A. (If the space provided is not sufficient for all applicable contract dates, please list all dates on a separate attachment to this form.)

b. Enter the issue date(s) for the Notice to Proceed for the construction phase of the project, or enter N/A if a Notice to Proceed has not been issued.

15. Prevailing Wage Monitoring and Enforcement Costs

If the construction contract(s) for this project was awarded on January 1, 2012 through June 19, 2014, check the appropriate box to indicate which of the following methods was or is being used to meet the requirement for prevailing wage monitoring and enforcement pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.3 in effect on January 1, 2012 through June 19, 2014:

- DIR Public Works administration and enforcement
- A collective bargaining agreement that meets the criteria set forth in Labor Code Section 1771.3(b)(3) in effect on January 1, 2012 through June 19, 2014.

16. Construction Delivery Method

Check the box that best represents the construction delivery method that the School District has or will use for this project, if known.

17. Certification

The District Representative must complete this section. For additional information regarding School District certifications, refer to the SFP handbook located on OPSC website at www.dgs.ca.gov/opsc.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

APPLICATION FOR SMALL SCHOOL DISTRICT PROGRAM PRELIMINARY APPORTIONMENT

SCHOOL FACILITY PROGRAM

SAB 50-12 (New 02/26)

STATE ALLOCATION BOARD
OFFICE OF PUBLIC SCHOOL CONSTRUCTION

The School District named below applies to the State Allocation Board via the Office of Public School Construction for a grant under the provisions of Chapter 12.5, Part 10, Division 1, commencing with Section 17070.10, et seq., of the Education Code and the Regulations thereto.

SCHOOL DISTRICT		PRELIMINARY APPLICATION NUMBER
SCHOOL NAME		PROJECT TRACKING NUMBER
COUNTY	DISTRICT REPRESENTATIVE'S E-MAIL ADDRESS	HIGH SCHOOL ATTENDANCE AREA (HSAA) (IF APPLICABLE)

1. Type of Application – Check Only One

☐ Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment (New Construction)
☐ Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment (Modernization)

2. Type of Project

a. ☐ Elementary School
☐ Middle School
☐ High School

Total Pupils Assigned:
TK–6 _____
7–8 _____
9–12 _____
Non-Severe _____
Severe _____

b. ☐ 50 Years or Older Building Funding (Modernization Only)
Total Eligible Classrooms/Square Footage: _____
Classroom/Square Footage at Least 50 Years Old: _____
Ratio of 50 Years Old Classrooms/Square Footage: _____ %

From 2a above, how many are 50 Year or Older Pupil Grants?
TK–6: _____
7–8: _____ Non-Severe: _____
9–12: _____ Severe: _____

c. Included in 2a above, how many Pupils are generated by the Alternative Enrollment Projection? (New Construction Only)
TK–6: _____
7–8: _____ Non-Severe: _____
9–12: _____ Severe: _____

d. If the request is to replace 75 Years or Older Facilities (Modernization Only)
Number of existing Classrooms being demolished _____
The total Square Footage of non-classroom space being demolished _____
The number of Classrooms being constructed _____
The total Square Footage of non-classroom space being constructed _____
From 2a above, how many are 75 Years or Older Pupil Grants?
TK–6: _____ Non-Severe: _____
7–8: _____ Severe: _____
9–12: _____

e. Is this a 6–8 school? ☐ Yes ☐ No
If you answered yes, how many TK–6 Pupils reported above are sixth graders? _____
Is this an Alternative Education School? ☐ Yes ☐ No

f. Project to be located on:
☐ Leased Site
☐ New Site
☐ Existing Site with Additional Acreage Acquired
☐ Existing Site with No Additional Acreage Acquired

3. Number of Classrooms/Useable Acres

Number of Classrooms: _____
Existing Acres (Useable): _____
Proposed Acres (Useable): _____

4. Type of Financial Hardship Request

☐ Submittal pending OPSC approval pursuant to Section 1859.81(h)

5. Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment – New Construction Only

a. Therapy:
Toilets (sq. ft.) _____
Other (sq. ft.) _____

b. ☐ Multilevel Construction
c. ☐ Project Assistance
d. ☐ Project and Construction Management
e. Site Acquisition
(1) 50 percent Actual Cost: $ _____
(2) 50 percent Appraised Value or Median Cost: $ _____
(3) Relocation/DTSC Cost:
☐ 15 percent
☐ 50 percent of specific or historical cost: $ _____
(4) 50 percent hazardous material clean-up: $ _____
☐ Response Action (RA)

f. Site Development
- ☐ 50 percent of specific or historical cost: $ _____
- ☐ $70,000 per proposed usable acre
- ☐ 35 percent of New Construction base grant
- ☐ General Site

g. ☐ Percentage Above Energy Efficiency for each building: _____
Energy Code Compliance Approach Used (select one):
- ☐ Prescriptive Only
- ☐ Prescriptive/Performance
- ☐ Performance Only (with the exception of mandatory prescriptive measures)

OPSC CA-CHPS Score (Indicate Points): _____

h. ☐ Automatic Fire Detection/Alarm System
☐ Automatic Sprinkler System

6. Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment - Modernization Only

a. ☐ Project Assistance
b. ☐ Project and Construction Management
c. ☐ Percentage Above Energy Efficiency for each building: _____
Energy Code Compliance Approach Used (select one):
- ☐ Prescriptive Only
- ☐ Prescriptive/Performance
- ☐ Performance Only (with the exception of mandatory prescriptive measures)

OPSC CA-CHPS Score (Indicate Points): _____

d. ☐ Site Development (utilities) – 50 Years or Older Buildings
e. ☐ Site Development—75 Years or Older pursuant to 1859.78.7.1:
- ☐ 35 percent of base grant pursuant to 1859.78.7.1(b) and (d); or,
- ☐ 60 percent of minimum work $ _____

f. ☐ Automatic Fire Detection/Alarm System
g. ☐ Minimum Essential Facility
- ☐ Multipurpose
- ☐ Gymnasium
- ☐ Library
- ☐ Kitchen
- ☐ Hybrid: _____

Toilet (sq. ft.): _____

Other (sq. ft.): _____
Construction Type
- ☐ Permanent
- ☐ Portable

☐ Site Development pursuant to Section 1859.78.9.1
- ☐ 35 percent of supplemental grant; or,
- ☐ 60 percent of minimum work $ _____

h. 1) Transitional Kindergarten Classroom(s)
☐ New Construction
Number of Classroom(s): _____
- ☐ Automatic Fire Detection/Alarm System
- ☐ Automatic Sprinkler System
- ☐ Multilevel Classrooms(s) Construction: _____

☐ Retrofit
Number of Classroom(s): _____
- ☐ Automatic Fire Detection/Alarm System
- ☐ 50-year-old utilities

☐ Site Development pursuant to Section 1859.78.9.2
- ☐ 35 percent of supplemental grant; or,
- ☐ 60 percent of minimum work $ _____

h. 2) Enrollment Data for Site

Grade	3rd Prev. /	2nd Prev. /	Previous /	Current /
TK				
K				
1				
2				
3				
TOTAL	0	0	0	0

i. Career Technical Education Components
- ☐ 5 percent of Modernization Grant pursuant to 1859.78.10(b)(1); or,
- ☐ 60 percent of OPSC-approved costs pursuant to 1859.78.10(b)(2)

$ _____

7. Additional Project Information – Excessive Cost Hardship Request
New Construction Only
- ☐ Geographic Percent Factor: _____ %
- ☐ New School Project [Section 1859.83(c)(1)]
- ☐ New School Project [Section 1859.83(c)(2)]
- ☐ Small Size Project
- ☐ Urban/Security/Impacted Site;
If a new site, $ _____ per Useable Acre [Section 1859.83(d)(2)(C)]

Modernization Only
- ☐ Geographic Percent Factor: _____ %
- ☐ Small Size Project
- ☐ Urban/Security/Impacted site
- ☐ Accessibility/Fire Code
  - ☐ 3 percent of base grant; or,
  - ☐ 60 percent of minimum work $ _____
- ☐ Number of 2-Stop Elevators: _____
- ☐ Number of Additional Stops: _____

8. Prior Apportionment
Site/Design—New Construction: 50/ _____
Design—Modernization: 57/ _____

9. Alternative Developer Fee—New Construction Only
Alternative developer fee collected and reportable pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.77: $ _____

10. Adjustment to New Construction Baseline Eligibility

Classroom(s) provided:

Additional	Replacement
TK–6:	TK–6 :
7–8:	7–8 :
9–12:	9–12:
Non-Severe:	Non-Severe:
Severe:	Severe:

Construction Contract(s) for the project signed on:

_____ _____

11. Pending Reorganization Election – New Construction Only

☐ Yes ☐ No

12. Joint-Use Facility/Leased Property

a. ☐ Joint-Use Facility

b. ☐ Leased Property

13. Local Funding Adjustment Grant

a. Total Assessed Valuation: _____

b. School District's gross bonding capacity, (rounded to the nearest whole dollar): _____

c. School District's unduplicated Pupil percentage, rounded to two decimal places: _____ %

d. School District's enrollment: _____

e. Does this project include the use of a Project Labor Agreement?

☐ Yes ☐ No

14. Project Progress Dates

a. Construction Contract(s) awarded on: _____
(If the space provided is not sufficient for all applicable contract dates, please list all dates on a separate attachment to this form.)

b. Notice(s) to Proceed issued on: _____

15. Prevailing Wage Monitoring and Enforcement Costs

If the Construction Contract(s) was awarded on January 1, 2012 through June 19, 2014, please indicate which monitoring requirement was or is being used, pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.3 in effect on January 1, 2012 through June 19, 2014:

☐ DIR Public Works administration and enforcement

☐ DIR approved District LCP

☐ Collective bargaining agreement, pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.3(b)(3) in effect on January 1, 2012 through June 19, 2014.

16. Construction Delivery Method

☐ Design-Bid-Build

☐ Design-Build

☐ Developer Built

☐ Lease Lease-Back

☐ Energy Performance Contract

☐ This project includes or will include piggyback contract(s) as defined in Section 1859.2

☐ Other: _____

17. Certification

I certify, as the District Representative, that the information reported on this form is true and correct and that:

- I am an authorized representative of the School District as authorized by the governing board of the School District; and,
- A resolution or other appropriate documentation supporting this application under Chapter 12.5, Part 10, Division 1, Article 11, commencing with Section 17070.10, et. seq., of the Education Code was adopted by the School District's governing board or the designee of the Superintendent of Public Instruction on, _____; and,
- The School District will establish a "Restricted Maintenance Account" for exclusive purpose of providing ongoing and major maintenance of school buildings and has developed an ongoing and major maintenance plan that complies with and is implemented under the provisions of Education Code Section 17070.75 and 17070.77 (Refer to Sections 1859.100 through 1859.102); and,
- The School District has or will consider the feasibility of the joint use of land and facilities with other governmental agencies in order to minimize school facility costs: and,
- If this funding request is for the Modernization of a Portable Classroom(s) eligible for an additional Apportionment pursuant to Education Code Section 17073.15, the School District certifies that (check the applicable box below):
  - ☐ 1. The state Modernization funds will be used to replace the Portable Classroom(s) and permanently remove the displaced Portable Classroom(s) from ~~the c~~Classroom use within six months of the filing of the Notice of Completion for the project; or,
  - ☐ 2. It has provided documentation to the Office of Public School Construction which indicates that modernizing the Portable Classroom(s) eligible for an additional Apportionment is better use of public resources than the replacement of these facilities.
- All contracts entered on or after November 4, 1998 for the service of any architect structural engineer or other design professional for any work under the project have been obtained pursuant to a competitive process that is consistent with the requirements of Chapter 10 (commencing with Section 4525) of Division 5, of Title 1, of the Government Code; and,
- If this request is for new construction funding, the School District has received approval of the site and the plans from CDE. Plan approval is not required if request is for separate design Apportionment; and,
- The School District has or will comply with the Public Contract Code regarding all laws governing the use of force account labor; and,
- This School District has or will comply with Education Code Section 17076.11 regarding at least a 3 percent expenditure goal for disabled veteran business enterprises; and,
- The School District matching funds are required or will be required to be met pursuant to Sections 1859.77.1 or 1859.79 and either need to be expended by the School District, deposited in the County School Facility Fund, or will need to be expended by the School District prior to the notice of completion for the project
- The School District acknowledges this request has or will be subject to the material inaccuracy penalty provisions in Section 1859.104.1; and
- All school facilities purchased or newly constructed under the project for use by Pupils who are individuals with exceptional needs, as defined in Education Code Section 56026, shall be designed and located on the school site so as to maximum interaction between those individuals with exceptional needs and other Pupils as appropriate to the needs of both; and,
- This form is an exact duplicate (verbatim) of the form provided by the OPSC. In the event a conflict should exist, the language in the OPSC form will prevail; and,
- The School District understands that some or all the State funding for the project must be returned to the State as a result of an audit pursuant to

Section 1859.157.5; and,

- The School District has or will comply with the provisions of Sections 1859.76 and 1859.79.2 and that the portion of the project funded by the State does not contain work specifically prohibited in those Sections; and,
- If the Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment is requested for the construction of school facilities on leased land, the School District has or will enter into a lease agreement for the leased property that meets the requirements of Section 1859.22; and,
- If the Application contains a "Use of New Construction Grant" request, the School District has adopted a school board resolution and housing plan at a public hearing at a regularly scheduled meeting of the governing board on _____as specified in Sections 1859.77.2, or 1859.77.3, as appropriate. The School District's approved housing plan is as indicated (check all that apply):

  ☐ 1. The School District will construct or acquire facilities for housing the Pupils with funding not otherwise available to the SFP as a School District match within five years of project approval by the SAB and the School District must identify the source of the funds. [Applicable for Sections 1859.77.2(a) and (b) and 1859.77.3(a) and (b)]

  ☐ 2. The School District will utilize higher School District loading standards providing the loading standards are within the approved School District's teacher contract and do not exceed 33:1 per classroom. [Applicable for Sections 1859.77.2(a) and (b) and 1859.73(a) and (b)].

  ☐ 3. The Pupils requested from a different grade level will be housed in Classrooms at an existing school in the School District which will have its grade level changed, to the grade level requested, at the completion of the proposed SFP project. [Applicable for Sections 1859.77.2(b) and 1859.77.3(b)].
- If the School District requests a reservation now and is planning to request additional funding for fire code requirements pursuant to Sections 1859.71.2 or 1859.78.4 at the time of conversion, the School District understands that they will need to include the automatic fire detection/alarm system and/or automatic sprinkler system in the project prior to completion of the project and on the conversion Form SAB 50-04 application; and,
- The School District has or will receive the necessary approval of the plans and specifications from the Division of the State Architect; and,
- If the School District is requesting site acquisition funds as part of this application, the School District has or will comply with Sections 1859.74 through 1859.75.1 as appropriate; and,
- The School District understands that the lack of substantial progress toward increasing the Pupil capacity or renovation of its facilities within 18 months of receipt of any funding shall be cause for the rescission of the unexpended funds (refer to Section 1859.105); and,
- The School District has or will utilize the Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment for new construction or Modernization purposes; and,
- The statements set forth in this application and supporting documents are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief; and,
- The School District has consulted with the career technical advisory committee established pursuant to Education Code Section 8070 and the need for vocational and career technical facilities is being adequately met in accordance with Education Code Sections 51224, 51225.3(b), and 51228(b), and 52336.1; and,
- If the School District is requesting a reservation now and is planning to request an Additional Grant for Energy Efficiency pursuant to Sections 1859.71.3 or 1859.78.5 at the time of conversion, the plans and specifications exceed the nonresidential building energy-efficiency standards specified in Part 6 of Title 24 of the California Code of Regulations and the increased costs for the energy efficiency components in the project must exceed the amount of funding otherwise available to the School District at the time the conversion Form SAB 50-04 application is reviewed; and,
- If this application is submitted after January 1, 2004 for Modernization funding, the School District has or will consider the potential for the presence of lead-containing materials in the modernization project and will follow all relevant federal, state, and local standards for the management of any identified lead; and,
- The School District has initiated and enforced an LCP that has been approved by the DIR, pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.7, if the project is funded from Propositions 47 or 55 and the Notice to Proceed for the construction phase of the project is issued on or after April 1, 2003 and before January 1, 2012; and,
- The School District has contracted with the DIR for prevailing wage monitoring and enforcement pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.3(a) in effect on January 1, 2012 through June 19, 2014, if the construction contract was awarded on January 1, 2012 through June 19, 2014 and the School District has not obtained a waiver for the requirement, pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.3(b) in effect on January 1, 2012 through June 19, 2014. The School District understands that if it fails to meet this requirement, it will be required to repay all state bond funds received including interest; and,
- Beginning with the 2005/2006 fiscal year, the School District has or will comply with Education Code Section 17070.75(e) by establishing a facilities inspection system to ensure that each of its schools is maintained in good repair; and,
- The School District has or will consider the feasibility of using designs and materials for the new construction or modernization project that promote the efficient use of energy and water, maximum use of natural light and indoor air quality, the use of recycled materials and materials that emit a minimum of toxic substances, the use of acoustics conducive to teaching and learning, and the other characteristics of high performance schools; and,
- The School District has or will comply with all laws pertaining to the construction or Modernization of its school building.
- If the School District marked the box for 'Yes' in Section 14e. of this application that it has or will include a project labor agreement, the use of a project labor agreement will be verified as part of the audit required in EC Section 41024. If it is determined that a project labor agreement was not used at the time of conversion, any additional Local Share Adjustment Grant funding provided as a result of the points assigned to the project to determine the matching share percentage would be required to be returned, with interest (based on the interest rate earned in the Pooled Money Investment Account at the time of fund release) until funds are returned.
- If the School District is requesting a reservation now and is planning to request the Modernization supplemental grant for Minimum Essential Facilities pursuant to Section 1859.78.9.1 as part of this Application, the School District certifies that any Classrooms displaced or that will be displaced as a result of reconfiguration will be replaced in the same plan set, or the School District certifies that the Classrooms will not be replaced due to a demonstrated lack of need and the School District acknowledges that no adjustment to the School District's New Construction Eligibility would be made for the removal of these Classrooms; and,
- If this funding request is requesting a reservation now and is planning to request for the retrofit of kindergarten classrooms, the School District certifies that the classrooms did not or will not meet design requirements for a kindergarten classroom at the time they were built or previously retrofitted to meet kindergarten standards; and,
- If this funding request is requesting a reservation now and is planning to request for new or retrofitted Transitional Kindergarten classrooms, the School District certifies that _____ classrooms were or will be constructed or previously altered to meet the requirements for a kindergarten classroom; and,
- With regards to providing Transitional Kindergarten instruction as pursuant to Section 1859.78.9.2, the School District certifies that the School District is currently or will provide Transitional Kindergarten at the project site; and,
- The School District understands that if the submittal of this Application for a Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment will result in the need for a return of an Application with the same scope of work that was previously submitted to OPSC prior to the effective date for the Small School District Program, the School District is responsible for

ensuring that they comply with Section 1859.107 for the return of the

Application with the same scope of work. Failure to report this information may result in a delay for the processing of this Application by OPSC and/or the return of this Application unprocessed by OPSC; and,

- The School District understands that an offset for prior funding received on a previously funded new construction site and/or design or Modernization design application that is indicated in this application will occur on either the Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment or the Final Small School District Program Apportionment to adjust for prior funding that was previously received by the School District for the same scope of work being completed.
- The School District understands that when the Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment is converted to a Final Small School District Program Apportionment, the funding available for the Final Small School District Program Apportionment will be subject to the provisions of Sections 1859.157.7 and 1859.157.8; and,
- The School District has or will comply with the reporting requirements in Section 1859.158 and shall annually hold, at a regularly scheduled meeting of the School District's governing board, a public hearing to discuss, and receive public comment regarding the report(s); and,
- The School District understands that if the Project and Construction Management Grant is requested pursuant to 1859.157.1 or 1859.157.2, and the School District does not obtain project and/or construction management services from a County Office of Education, other Local Educational Agency with applicable school facilities construction expertise, applicable State Department, or a certified private construction consulting entity, or expend funds on these services, the total approved project costs will be reduced by the Project and Construction Management Grant and associated required matching share.
- As applicable, the district certifies that a Five-Year School Facilities Master Plan, pursuant to Education Code Section 17070.54, was approved by the governing board of the school district on _____ and was filed with OPSC on _____. Pursuant to SFP Regulation Section 1859.18(b), the district certifies that:
  - ☐ There are no material changes to components of the Master Plan required in SFP Regulation Section 1859.18(d) since the date of governing board approval of the Master Plan, or
  - ☐ There has been a material change(s) to components of the Master Plan required in SFP Regulation Section 1859.18(d) since the date of governing board approval of the Master Plan, and an updated Master Plan or governing board resolution to acknowledge and address these changes has been included as a component of this application, or
  - ☐ This application is subject to an alternative Master Plan submittal timeline pursuant to SFP Regulation Section 1859.18.1 and a governing board resolution acknowledging any apportionment may be rescinded for failure to submit a valid Master Plan by the required timeline has been included as a component of this application.

NAME OF DISTRICT REPRESENTATIVE (PRINT)	PHONE NUMBER
SIGNATURE OF DISTRICT REPRESENTATIVE	DATE

REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER
State Allocation Board Meeting, February 25, 2026

PROPOSED EMERGENCY REGULATORY AMENDMENTS FOR THE SCHOOL FACILITY PROGRAM

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To present the State Allocation Board (Board) with recommendations for the adoption of School Facility Program (SFP) regulatory amendments, on an emergency basis, for the Kindergarten through Grade 12 Schools and Local Community College Public Education Facilities Modernization, Repair, and Safety Bond Act of 2024 (Proposition 2), which was approved by a majority of California's voters on November 5, 2024. These proposed amendments are related to the implementation of the Small School District Program (Program).

AUTHORITY

See Attachment A.

DESCRIPTION

To implement Proposition 2 provisions, the Office of Public School Construction (OPSC) conducted multiple stakeholder meetings to discuss and receive feedback on proposed regulatory amendments resulting from statutory additions and amendments to Education Code (EC). At several of these stakeholder meetings, OPSC introduced proposed concepts and regulations for the Program.

Based upon staff proposals at these stakeholder meetings and the feedback received, OPSC determined that the proposed regulatory amendments related to this topic are able to move forward for Board consideration after some minor adjustments to the version presented in the most recent stakeholder meeting, which are summarized in the Staff Analysis/Statements component of this item.

This item seeks Board approval of staff's recommendation to adopt the proposed regulatory amendments to the SFP regulations to implement and align with new statutory requirements pursuant to the provisions of Proposition 2. This item also seeks Board approval of staff's recommendation to adopt the new *Application for Small School District Program Preliminary Apportionment* (Form SAB 50-12) to align with the proposed regulatory amendments.

BACKGROUND

The passage of Proposition 2 made numerous changes to the SFP that are applicable to applications received on or after October 31, 2024. Staff held six stakeholder meetings for the proposed regulatory amendments presented in this item, on February 13, April 17, June 26, September 18, December 10, 2025, and January 29, 2026. This item includes the seventh set of proposed regulatory amendments for the Board's consideration to implement Proposition 2 provisions

BACKGROUND (cont.)

for applications received on or after October 31, 2024. Additional proposed amendments will be presented at future Board meetings.

Below is an overview of the relevant Proposition 2 statute.

Small School District Program
EC Article 11.5, comprised of EC Sections 17078.35, 17078.36, 17078.37, and 17078.38, was made operative with passage of Proposition 2 to allow the Board to provide a preliminary application process, preliminary apportionment, separate design grants, project assistance grants, and project and construction management grants to Small School Districts (Districts) that meet eligibility requirements and have an enrollment of 2,500 or fewer pupils. The following is a summary of the new statutes:

EC Section 17078.35 defines the following terms for the purposes of Article 11.5:

- "Final Apportionment" has the same meaning as "apportionment" as defined in subdivision (a) of EC Section 17070.15, which is "an allocation of funds for the purpose of eligible new construction, modernization, or hardship approved by the [State Allocation Board] for an applicant school district."
- "Preliminary Application" means an application for a preliminary apportionment.
- "Preliminary Apportionment" means a reservation of bond authority for eligible applicants in advance of full compliance with all the application requirements otherwise required for an apportionment under the SFP.
- "Project and Construction Management Grant" means a grant for purposes of obtaining the services from a county office of education, other local educational agency with applicable school facilities construction expertise, applicable state department, or a certified private construction consulting entity from a list established by the California Department of Education (CDE) pursuant to EC Section 17078.47(d)(2), to assist with the planning, site acquisition, preconstruction, construction, and closeout of a project.
- "Small School District" is a school district, as defined in EC Section 17070.15, with an enrollment of fewer than 2,501 pupils. "Fewer than 2,501 pupils" is equal to "2,500 or fewer pupils," the phrasing used in this discussion. The term "School District" is defined in EC Section 17070.15(q) to mean a School District or a County Office of Education.

EC Section 17078.36 states that all SFP provisions apply to the Program except for provisions that expressly conflict with provisions in Article 11.5. This section also specifies that projects funded under Article 11.5 shall not exceed the amounts made available under Proposition 2. EC Sections 101412(a)(1)(A) and (a)(2)(A) authorize up to ten percent of the amounts allocated in Proposition 2 to New Construction and Modernization to be available for the Program. At its January 22, 2025 meeting, the Board set aside the full ten percent of New Construction and

BACKGROUND (cont.)

Modernization bond authority for the Program: $330 million for New Construction projects and $400 million for Modernization projects.

EC Section 17078.37 states that all Program projects must submit a preliminary application to the Board and meet all applicable eligibility requirements for applications for New Construction (pursuant to Article 3, commencing with EC Section 17071.75) or Modernization (pursuant to Article 6, commencing with EC Section 17073.10). This means that the bond authority for the total estimated state costs of a project will be reserved at the time of preliminary apportionment, and then the grants will be amended to reflect the actual scope of work in the approved plan set when the full funding conversion application is processed. If requested and determined to be eligible, the Board will also provide a project and construction management grant that is equal to five percent of the state share of the preliminary apportionment.

EC Section 17078.38 requires the Board to adopt regulations on the following:

- Preliminary application
- Preliminary apportionment
- Apportionment of design grants, project assistance grants, and project and construction management grants to qualifying financial hardship applicants, as part of the preliminary apportionment
- Substantial progress requirements on apportionments for design and site grants
- Requirements for a final apportionment for the project

STAFF ANALYSIS/DISCUSSION

Stakeholder Meetings

Stakeholder meetings were conducted on February 13, April 17, June 26, September 18, December 10, 2025, and January 29, 2026. At the initial February 2025 meeting, OPSC provided an overview of the new statutory requirements for the Program codified in EC Sections 17078.35 through 17078.38 and discussed broader components of implementing the statute.

The June meeting was the first time OPSC presented proposed regulations, and the September meeting was the first time OPSC presented the Form SAB 50-12 outside of conceptual discussion. At the December meeting, OPSC proposed updates to the regulations and the Form SAB 50-12 as it was previously presented to update certifications and align with the proposed regulations. Finally, at the January 2026 meeting, OPSC proposed additional updates to the regulations to provide clarity to the site acquisition and various supplemental grants associated with a Preliminary Program Apportionment, in conjunction with proposed amendments to the *Fund Release Authorization* (Form SAB 50-05) and the Grant Agreement [Proposition 2].

STAFF ANALYSIS/DISCUSSION (cont.)

Stakeholder Feedback
Staff appreciate those who attended and those who raised questions or provided feedback. Feedback that was received for each respective stakeholder meeting may be found on Attachment H, alongside the items as previously presented. The table below provides summaries and responses to the feedback OPSC received after the last stakeholder meeting held on January 29, 2026. Collectively, staff's recommendations presented in this item were informed by stakeholder feedback and represent staff's final recommendations for implementation of the Program provisions in Proposition 2.

Stakeholder Feedback	OPSC Response
1. At the January 29, 2026 stakeholder meeting, OPSC clarified the existing "Occupancy Requirement" pursuant to SFP Regulation Section 1859.70(a), as it relates to the submittal process of a New Construction application within the Program. OPSC stated that school districts may not occupy classroom facilities within a New Construction project prior to the submittal of the Form SAB 50-12 and the *Application for Funding* (Form SAB 50-04). The stakeholder raised a concern that this proposal may prevent school districts from receiving funds for eligible New Construction projections, to the extent that there are school districts that need to construct and occupy classrooms after the passage of Proposition 2, but prior to January 15, 2027, which is the proposed date that OPSC would begin accepting Program applications for New Construction. While there is currently New Construction bond authority remaining for new applications in the standard SFP, the stakeholder expressed that authority could potentially be exhausted prior to January 15, 2027. The stakeholder indicated that if small school districts are unable to submit an application for either the standard SFP	**1.** OPSC acknowledges the stakeholder's concern related to the New Construction bond authority remaining under the standard SFP in anticipation of the Program's opening date for New Construction applications. However, based on currently available New Construction bond authority and a projected annual New Construction processing rate of approximately $469.2 million (based on the 2025 processing rate for New Construction funding), OPSC estimates that New Construction bond authority from Proposition 2 will be available into 2031. Therefore, it appears highly unlikely that New Construction bond authority for the standard SFP will be exhausted prior to the Program's proposed opening date of January 15, 2027, for New Construction applicants. Applicants that may need to occupy classrooms prior to the Program opening date have the option to submit a New Construction application to the standard SFP.

STAFF ANALYSIS/DISCUSSION (cont.)

Stakeholder Feedback	OPSC Response
1. (cont.) or the new Program prior to occupying classrooms in this circumstance, then they would not be able to participate in either program. The stakeholder suggested considering grandfathering options for small school districts who must proceed with occupying classroom facilities prior to the Program opening date.	**1. (cont.)**

Proposed SFP Regulations
Below is a summary of the proposed SFP Regulation amendments, which are included as Attachment B:

SFP Regulation Section 1859.2 – Definitions
The new term "Final Small School District Program Apportionment" means a Preliminary Program Apportionment that has been converted to a Final Program Apportionment in accordance with Sections 1859.157.4 through 1859.157.7.

The new term "Form SAB 50-12" means the *Application for Small School District Program Preliminary Apportionment* (Form SAB 50-12), which is proposed to be incorporated by reference.

The existing term "General Location" is amended to add a reference to Section 1859.157.1.

The existing term "Median Cost" is updated to include a reference to EC Section 17078.24 and the Preliminary Program Apportionment pursuant to EC Section 17078.37, which indicates that preliminary applications shall be accepted and apportionments made for new construction and modernization grants "in a manner substantially identical to the preliminary apportionment requirements established in EC Section 17078.24…"

The new term "Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment" means a reservation of bond authority for eligible applicants under the statutory authority of the Education Code. This reservation of bond authority is in advance of full compliance with all of the application requirements otherwise required for a Final Program Apportionment pursuant to Chapter 12.5 of the Education Code.

The new term "Project and Construction Management Grant" means a grant for purposes of obtaining the services from a county office of education, other local educational agency with applicable school facilities construction expertise,

STAFF ANALYSIS/DISCUSSION (cont.)

applicable state department, or a certified private construction consulting entity from the list maintained pursuant to paragraph (2) of subdivision (d) of EC Section 17078.47, to assist with the planning, site acquisition, preconstruction, construction, and closeout of a project.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.18.1 – Five Year School Facilities Master Plan
The Board approved proposed regulations on an emergency basis related to the five-year school facilities master plan (Master Plan) required by Proposition 2 for applications submitted on or after October 31, 2024, at the January 28, 2026, Board meeting. Section 1859.18.1(f) is added within those regulations, subject to Office of Administrative Law approval, to include timeframes for applicants to the Program, illustrated in the table below:

Small School District Program Applications		
Date of Application Submittal	**When is a local governing board resolution required?**	**When is the Master Plan required?**
On or after October 31, 2024	At the time of application submittal for a Preliminary Apportionment	By the time the application request for Final Apportionment is submitted to OPSC using the *Application for Funding* (Form SAB 50-04)

SFP Regulation Section 1859.51 – Adjustments to the New Construction Baseline Eligibility
The proposed amendments to this section are as follows:

- The addition of the phrase “complete and valid” as it relates to Forms SAB 50-01 or 50-05 submitted to OPSC, consistent with existing regulatory language pertaining to the submittal of applications to OPSC.
- Capitalizing the defined term “School District” throughout for consistency with existing regulatory language.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.74.1 – Site Acquisition Guidelines
This section is proposed to be amended to include certification information for Districts that submit a Form SAB 50-12 and request site acquisition funding authorized by Section 1859.74.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.90.2 – Priority Funding Process
The proposed amendments to this section are as follows:

- Introductory text is amended to include reference to the Preliminary Program Apportionment.

STAFF ANALYSIS/DISCUSSION (cont.)

- Subsection (a) is amended to include reference to approved advance release of design, project assistance, the Project and Construction Management Grant, and/or any site acquisition funds from a Preliminary Program Apportionment as it relates to a District providing a priority funding request.
- Subsection (c) is added to describe the requirements for participation in the Priority Funding process for a District to request an Apportionment or approved advance release of funds resulting from a Preliminary Program Apportionment.
- Non-substantive amendments are also added to this Section, primarily to capitalize defined terms, and subsequent subsections have been relabeled appropriately.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.90.3 – Participation in the Priority Funding Process
This section is updated to specify that the advance release of design, project assistance, the Project and Construction Management Grant, and any site acquisition funds from a Preliminary Program Apportionment are excluded from occurrences related to non-participation in the Priority Funding Process.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.106 – Program Accountability Expenditure Audit
Subsection (f) is added to the regulations to specify that if a District requests the Project and Construction Management Grant and does not obtain project and/or construction management services described in EC Section 17078.35, and does not expend any funds on these services, the total project cost will be reduced for the Project and Construction Management Grant and associated required matching share.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.107 – Amending and Withdrawal of Applications
Amendments within this Section are proposed to specify how OPSC will address circumstances when a District submits more than one application for the same scope of work under one or more Board-administered programs to OPSC.

Additions to this section are as follows:

- OPSC has added a paragraph to this section that states if a District submits more than one application for the same scope of work under one or more Board-administered program(s), OPSC will return all other applications containing the common scope of work upon the first Board action on an application for funding sharing the common scope of work.
- This section also outlines the process by which a District may request a modification of scope in an application that shares a common scope of work with another application (but may not add any additional scope), prior to the first Board action on one of these applications.
- Non-substantive amendments are made to capitalize defined terms.

The intention of the addition to this section is to specify the process for a District when it has more than one application(s) for funding under one or more Board

STAFF ANALYSIS/DISCUSSION (cont.)

administered program(s) that share a common project scope. Upon processing and prior to any Board action on an application for funding, OPSC will verify if there are any other application(s) received by OPSC in which a shared common project scope is identified. Once identified, and prior to any Board action on the application for funding, OPSC will collaborate with the District to verify if the District requests to modify the scope of work in the identified application(s) for funding by clearly delineating remaining eligible scope of work. Otherwise, OPSC shall return all other application(s) containing the common scope of work after Board action.

Modifications Since the Last Stakeholder Meeting
OPSC has updated the proposed amendments to this section to replace references to an "Approved Application" with "application(s) for funding received by OPSC," so as to more broadly refer to all Board-administered program(s) with respect to applications that may share the same scope of work.

Article 13.5 – Small School District Program
Article 13.5 is proposed to be added to the SFP Regulations, consisting of sections 1859.156 through 1859.158.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.156 – General
This section is created to establish that if a District wishes to participate within the Program pursuant to the provisions of EC Sections 17078.35 through 17078.38, the District must submit a Form SAB 50-12.

This section also specifies that applications for Preliminary Program Apportionments will be processed in order of receipt of a complete and valid Form 50-12, and lists the requirements for New Construction and Modernization eligibility separately from one another. New Construction eligibility establishment and adjustments must be submitted with the Form SAB 50-12 using the current enrollment year. Additionally, this section indicates that the Form SAB 50-12 must be submitted prior to the date of occupancy for any classrooms.

Modifications Since the Last Stakeholder Meeting
As discussed, but not previously presented within the proposed regulations in the January 29, 2026 stakeholder meeting, this section states that applicants must meet the occupancy requirements pursuant to Section 1859.70(a), wherein "…The Board shall only provide New Construction funding if the Approved Application was received by the OPSC prior to the date of Occupancy for any classrooms included in the construction contract."

OPSC would like to clarify that, consistent with the treatment of Preliminary Applications under the Charter School Facility Program, applicants who have the necessary plan approvals and contracts signed at the stage of submitting a Form SAB 50-12 to OPSC may submit a Form SAB 50-04 for purposes of a Final Program Apportionment concurrently or immediately following the submittal of a

STAFF ANALYSIS/DISCUSSION (cont.)

Form SAB 50-12.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.157 – Application Process
This proposed regulation section is added to do the following:

- Specifies that OPSC, on behalf of the Board, will begin accepting Program Modernization applications on November 2, 2026, and New Construction applications on January 15, 2027.
- Outlines the application process, wherein valid and complete applications will be processed and funded in date order received, and in the event that there are more applications submitted on the same date than can be funded with available program authority, a lottery system will be used to select the project(s) to be funded.
- Specifies the process for when Program funds set aside pursuant to EC Sections 101412(a)(1)(A) and 101412(a)(2)(A) are exhausted, wherein the Board would cease accepting Form SAB 50-12 submittals and return any Form SAB 50-12 submittals that were not approved due to insufficient funds for the Program.

Staff notes that at the December 3, 2025 Board meeting, the Board approved regulatory amendments that require all document submittals related to programs administered by the Board to be completed using OPSC Online beginning January 1, 2027. Subject to approval of these regulations by the Office of Administrative Law, Program applications submitted on or after January 1, 2027 must be submitted via OPSC Online. OPSC will provide outreach and technical assistance to applicants to facilitate this process.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.157.1 – Preliminary Apportionment Grant Determination for New Construction Applications8
This proposed regulation section is added for purposes of Preliminary Apportionment Grants for New Construction applications, and specifies the following:

- Defines the calculation for the Preliminary Program Apportionment for New Construction funding.
- Within subsection (a), delineates additional grants that will be provided for eligible New Construction applicants, in addition to methodologies for determining site acquisition and site development value calculations at the time of Preliminary Program Apportionment, in alignment with the Critically Overcrowded Schools (COS) regulatory framework.
- Subsection (b) outlines the calculation for the inflator factor for New Construction projects.
- Subsection (c) specifies that the calculation for the Project and Construction Management Grant will be made after the inflator factor is calculated for the Preliminary Program Apportionment (subsection [b]), and that the grant will be equal to five percent of the sum of the amounts determined in subsections (a) and (b).

STAFF ANALYSIS/DISCUSSION (cont.)

Modifications Since the Last Stakeholder Meeting
This section has been updated since the stakeholder presentation on January 29, 2026, to reorganize the supplemental grants to better align with their presentation on the Form SAB 50-12. Additionally, subsection (a)(6)(A) was updated to include the Actual Site Cost pursuant to Section 1859.74(a), to align with the Form SAB 50-12.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.157.2 – Preliminary Apportionment Grant Determination for Modernization Applications
This proposed regulation section is added for purposes of Preliminary Apportionment Grants for Modernization applications, and specifies the following:

- Defines the calculation for determining the Preliminary Program Apportionment for Modernization funding.
- Within subsection (a), delineates additional grants that will be provided for eligible Modernization applicants.
- Subsection (b) outlines the calculation for the inflator factor for Modernization projects.
- Subsection (c) specifies that the calculation for the Project and Construction Management Grant will be made after the inflator factor is calculated for the Preliminary Program Apportionment (subsection [b]), and that the grant will be equal to five percent of the sum of the amounts determined in subsections (a) and (b).

Modifications Since the Last Stakeholder Meeting
Subsection 1859.157.2(a)(10) was added to reference the Career Technical Education supplemental grant, as this was erroneously omitted in prior presentations of the proposed regulations.

Additionally, subsections (a)(1) through (a)(15) were re-ordered to align with the Form SAB 50-12 supplemental grants.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.157.3 – Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment Fund Release
This proposed regulation section is added to define qualifications for an applicant to receive an advance release of funds at the time of a Preliminary Program Apportionment, and the amount of advance funds that may be released.

Subsections (a) and (b) specify that applicants eligible for Financial Hardship assistance can receive an advance release of funds for design and/or site acquisition at the time of a Preliminary Program Apportionment. Staff notes that Attachment G illustrates the application and fund release process for Financial Hardship applicants to the Program.

STAFF ANALYSIS/DISCUSSION (cont.)

Subsection (c) specifies that any applicant (irrespective of eligibility for Financial Hardship assistance) can receive an advance release of funds for environmental hardship site acquisition at the time of a Preliminary Program Apportionment.

Applicants who are neither eligible for Financial Hardship assistance nor an advance release of funds for environmental hardship site acquisition at the time of a Preliminary Program Apportionment would not be eligible to receive funds until the Preliminary Program Apportionment is converted to a Final Program Apportionment and the District submits a Form SAB 50-05 and grant agreement for fund release.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.157.4 – Conversion of Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment
This proposed regulation section is added to define the parameters for a District requesting conversation of a Preliminary Program Apportionment to a Final Program Apportionment, wherein the District must submit a valid and complete Form SAB 50-04 and meet all of the criteria outlined in the following subsections:

- Subsection (a) specifies that the total number of pupil grants requested on the Form SAB 50-04 cannot exceed the number approved on the Preliminary Program Apportionment. Additionally, this subsection specifies the total number on the Form SAB 50-04 must be for at least 50 percent of the pupil grants approved by the Board on the Preliminary Program Apportionment for New Construction funding, or for at least 60 percent of the pupil grants approved by the Board on the Preliminary Program Apportionment for Modernization funding.
- Subsection (b) notes that applicants seeking Financial Hardship assistance during their request to convert to a Final Apportionment must re-qualify using the Form SAB 50-04 at the time of conversion.
- Subsection (c) states that any applicant with a qualifying Small School District enrollment of 2,500 students or fewer at the time the applicant received a Preliminary Program Apportionment may convert to a Final Program Apportionment. This subsection is intended to ensure that applicants who received a Preliminary Program Apportionment can convert to a Final Program Apportionment even in the event that the applicant's current enrollment exceeds 2,501 students at the time the applicant submits a Form SAB 50-04.
- Subsection (d) states that funding for Modernization supplemental grants shall be calculated using the enrollment demonstrated within the Preliminary Program Apportionment for purposes of the Minimum Essential Facility and Transitional Kindergarten Classroom supplemental grants.

STAFF ANALYSIS/DISCUSSION (cont.)

Modifications Since the Last Stakeholder Meeting
Staff added an additional paragraph within this proposed Section to clarify that if desired, applicants may submit a complete and valid Form SAB 50-04 at the same time as the submittal of a complete and valid Form SAB 50-12.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.157.5 – Time Limit on Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment
This proposed regulation section specifies the following:

- Subsection (a) requires a complete and valid request to convert a Preliminary Program Apportionment to a Final Program Apportionment to be made within four years of the date of the Preliminary Program Apportionment, unless the applicant receives Board approval of a one-year extension.
- Subsection (b) specifies that if subsection (a) is not met, then the Preliminary Program Apportionment shall be rescinded without further Board action and returned to the fund source.
- Subsection (c) specifies that if subsection (a) is not met, and the District has received an advance release of funds as provided in Section 1859.157.3, the following shall occur:
    - The Preliminary Program Apportionment shall be rescinded without further Board action and funds not released to the applicant shall be returned to the fund source.
    - Applicable New Construction or Modernization eligibility shall be adjusted by the number of pupil grants not used, equal to the number requested on the Form SAB 50-12, less the pupil grants equivalent to the total amount of state funding retained by the applicant.
    - Funds released pursuant to Section 1859.157.3(a) or (b) shall be reduced to cost incurred and closed out pursuant to Section 1859.106, with a corresponding New Construction or Modernization baseline eligibility adjustment for the pupil grants assigned to the Preliminary Program Application. These funds shall be returned to the fund source.

To clarify the meaning of “fund source” within this Section, in accordance with EC Sections 101412(a)(1)(A) and (a)(2)(A), up to 10 percent of the New Construction and Modernization authority authorized under Proposition 2 shall be available to Districts for purposes of the Program pursuant to Article 11.5. At the January 2025 Board meeting, the Board took action to make the full amounts available for the Program. With that action, any funds returned will remain in the Small School District Program unless the Board takes an alternative action in the future.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.157.6 – Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment Time Limit Extension
This proposed regulation section outlines the process wherein an applicant may request a single-one year extension to the time limit prescribed in Section 1859.157.5, provided the District has provided evidence of one of the following:

STAFF ANALYSIS/DISCUSSION (cont.)

- CDE has made a contingent or final approval for the project or proposed site and the Division of the State Architect (DSA) has confirmed that the final plans for the project have been submitted for DSA review and approval, or
- Other evidence satisfactory to the Board.

Additionally, this section specifies that OPSC must receive the one-year extension request from the applicant on or prior to the deadline for the time limit elapsing.

Modifications Since the Last Stakeholder Meeting
Since the last stakeholder meeting, this section has been updated to clarify language regarding the deadline for OPSC's receipt of a one-year extension request.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.157.7 – Final Small School District Program Apportionment Grant Determination
This proposed regulation section specifies how the amount of the Final Program grant is determined, and the process for Board approval of conversions from Preliminary Program Apportionments to Final Program Apportionments. Specifically:

- Subsection (a) outlines that if the Final Program Apportionment is equal to or less than the Preliminary Program Apportionment, the Final Program Apportionment shall be funded entirely, with any difference being returned to the fund source, and the Final Program Apportionment being full and final.
- Subsection (b) notes that if the Final Program Apportionment is greater than the Preliminary Program Apportionment, the Board shall approve an increase using any remaining balance in the fund source, if applicable, subject to the following provisions:
    - Subsection (b)(1) specifies that availability of any remaining balance in the fund source shall be prioritized in date order that OPSC received a complete and valid Form SAB 50-04.
    - Subsection (b)(2) states that in the event there are more applications submitted on the same date than can be funded with the balance in the fund source, a lottery system will be used to determine the funding order.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.157.8 – Final Small School District Program Apportionment Fund Release
This proposed regulation section notes that after an applicant has converted a Preliminary Program Apportionment to a Final Program Apportionment, it may request a release of the remaining funds, pursuant to Sections 1859.90 or 1859.90.2.

STAFF ANALYSIS/DISCUSSION (cont.)

SFP Regulation Section 1859.158 – Substantial Progress and Expenditure Reporting Timelines
This proposed regulation section is added to outline substantial progress and expenditure reporting timelines for Districts receiving funds through the Program. Specifically, this proposed regulation requires the following:

- Subsection (a) states that School Districts must submit an expenditure report on the *Expenditure Report* (Form SAB 50-06) in accordance with the following Program reporting requirements:
    - (a)(1) requires that the first expenditure report is due one year from the date any funds were released for the project, or upon notice of completion of the project to OPSC, whichever occurs first. A project is deemed complete when either of the following occur:
        - (a)(1)(A) specifies a project is complete when the notice of completion for the project has been filed with OPSC, all invoices, claims, and change orders are satisfied and the facility is in use.
        - (a)(1)(B) specifies three years from the date of the final fund release for an elementary school project or four years from the date of the final fund release for a middle or high school project.
    - (a)(2) further denotes that the second and subsequent expenditure reports (as applicable) are due annually beginning one year from the first report, or upon notice of completion of the project to OPSC, whichever occurs first. The final report must be made no later than three years from the date of the final fund release for an elementary school or four years from the date of the final fund release for a middle or high school project.

- Subsection (b) requires a progress report in the form of a narrative due 18 months from the date any funds were released, except as specified. This report is to include information regarding the progress the School District has made toward substantial completion of the project.
- Subsection (c) requires a progress report in the form of a narrative due 12 months from the date the site acquisition funds were apportioned to the School District, pursuant to Section 1859.75.1. This report must include information regarding the progress the School District has made towards acquiring the site.
- Subsection (d) outlines the certification process related to district-owned site acquisition costs for respective school sites.

Proposed Form SAB 50-12
The proposed Form SAB 50-12 on Attachment C is the application the District shall use to apply for a Preliminary Program Apportionment. This form incorporates all of the proposed and existing regulatory requirements, and is a multiuse form for both New Construction and Modernization funding requests for the Program.

STAFF ANALYSIS/DISCUSSION (cont.)

The funds that can be reserved at the Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment stage include pupil grants; 50 years or older pupil grants; replacement funding for 75 years or older facilities; all New Construction and Modernization funding supplemental grants, including those recently provided as a result of the passage of Proposition 2; the Project and Construction Management Grant; excessive cost hardship grants; and the local funding adjustment grant.

Modifications Since the Last Stakeholder Meeting
Within the General Instructions of the Form SAB 50-12, OPSC has added further definition specific to Special Day Class pupils to align the language on the Form to existing regulatory language.

Additionally, Section 5.e.3) on the Specific Instructions of the Form SAB 50-12 is modified to add a more exact regulatory reference. Section 5.e.4) is updated to delete duplicative hazardous material/waste removal instructions, to include a more exact regulatory reference, and to add language regarding a Response Action checkbox.

Section 5.f. is updated to provide additional detail and more exact regulatory references regarding an allowance for site development.

Next, Section 6.d. is updated to include a specific reference to the amount that may be requested at the Preliminary Program Apportionment stage, respective to site development costs for 50 years or older permanent buildings.

Within the body of the Form SAB 50-12, Section 5.e.4) is updated to include a checkbox for a Response Action, as applicable.

Next, the previously presented Section 8, Priority Order, has been removed since the January 29, 2026 stakeholder meeting. Applicants will not indicate a priority order as all applications will be processed in date order received, rather than by a priority order number, in alignment with the proposed Section 1859.157. This amendment renumbers subsequent sections.

Three additional sections have been added to the Form SAB 50-12: Section 14 (Project Progress Dates), Section 15 (Prevailing Wage Monitoring and Enforcement Costs), and Section 16 (Construction Delivery Method). These sections mirror the Form SAB 50-04, both in the form and in the instructions, to ensure Districts that have signed construction contracts and are submitting a Form SAB 50-12 may indicate that information upon application submittal. This amendment renumbers subsequent sections.

Finally, within the Certification Section of the Form SAB 50-12, a certification is added to reflect the Five-Year School Facilities Master Plan requirements for applications submitted to OPSC on or after October 31, 2024.

STAFF ANALYSIS/DISCUSSION (cont.)

Proposed Amendments to the Form SAB 50-05
Staff proposes to amend the Form SAB 50-05, as shown on Attachment D, to include fund release requests for applications for the Program.

This amendment includes a new Part III for purposes of requesting a Preliminary Program Apportionment for design only or site acquisition, pursuant to the proposed regulation update to Section 1859.157.3.

Proposed Amendments to the Grant Agreement [Proposition 2]
Staff proposes to amend the Grant Agreement [Proposition 2], as shown on Attachment E, to include the following:

- Definitions for "Form SAB 50-12," "Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment," and "Project and Construction Management Grant" are added.
- Section C (Project Execution) is updated to cite the Program authority.
- A Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment section has been added to the Grant Agreement, wherein the Grantee agrees to comply with the requirements of Sections 1859.157.4 and 1859.157.7
- Section 1 is updated to include the Small School District Program – New Construction Separate Apportionment for Design Costs or Adjusted Grant.
- Section 2 is updated to include the Small School District Program – New Construction Separate Apportionment for Site Acquisition Costs.
- Section 3 is updated to include the Small School District Program – Adjusted Grant.
- Section 4 is updated to include the Small School District Program – Modernization Separate Apportionment for Design Costs.
- Section 5 is updated to include the Small School District Program – Adjusted Grant.
- Sections 1, 3, 4, and 5 under the Common Eligible Project Expenditures (G) are updated to clarify eligible expenditures related to the Project and Construction Management Grant. Sections 3, 4 and 5 under the Ineligible Project Expenditures (H) are updated to clarify ineligible expenditures related to the Project and Construction Management Grant.

Proposed Amendments to the Form SAB 50-04
At the January 29, 2026 SAB meeting, staff proposed Section 1859.157.4(d)(1) and (d)(2), which states that for purposes of determining the calculation(s) respective to the Minimum Essential Facility and Transitional Kindergarten modernization supplemental grants, applicants shall use the qualifying enrollment as determined at the time of the Preliminary Program Apportionment. OPSC determined since the meeting that the Form SAB 50-04 needed to be updated to align with the proposed regulations. As such, proposed amendments to the Form SAB 50-04 may be found on Attachment F. Specifically, under Sections 6 g. and 6 h., staff has included a sentence that directs applicants to use the enrollment as determined by Section

STAFF ANALYSIS/DISCUSSION (cont.)

1859.157.4 (d)(1) and (d)(2). The intention of this update is to ensure clarity of the timing of the determination and to align with the proposed regulations.

Additionally, staff identified a non-substantive amendment to the General Instructions under number nine, which amends “three” to “five,” as it relates to the period of time a District with 2,500 or fewer enrollment as defined in Section 1859.2 will not have its eligibility reduced for a period of five years from the date the district’s baseline eligibility was approved by the Board.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Adopt the proposed amendments to the SFP Regulations as shown on Attachment B.

2. Adopt the proposed Form SAB 50-12 as shown on Attachment C.

3. Adopt the proposed amendments to the Form SAB 50-05 as shown on Attachment D.

4. Adopt the proposed amendments to the Grant Agreement [Proposition 2] as shown on Attachment E.

5. Adopt the proposed amendments to the Form SAB 50-04 as shown on Attachment F.

6. Authorize the Executive Officer to file the proposed regulatory amendments with the Office of Administrative Law on an emergency basis and make the regulations permanent.

This Item was approved by the State Allocation Board on February 25, 2026.

AUTHORITY

Education Code (EC) Section 17070.35 – General Provisions

(a) In addition to all other powers and duties as are granted to the board by this chapter, other statutes, or the California Constitution, the board shall do all of the following:

(1) Adopt rules and regulations, pursuant to the rulemaking provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act, Chapter 3.5 (commencing with Section 11340) of Part 1 of Division 3 of Title 2 of the Government Code, for the administration of this chapter. However, the board shall have no authority to set the level of the fees of any architect, structural engineer, or other design professional on any project. The initial regulations adopted pursuant to this chapter shall be adopted as emergency regulations, and the circumstances related to the initial adoption are hereby deemed to constitute an emergency for this purpose. The initial regulations adopted pursuant to this chapter shall be adopted by November 4, 1998. If the initial regulations are not adopted by that date, the board shall report to the Legislature by that date, explaining the reasons for the delay.

(2) Establish and publish any procedures and policies in connection with the administration of this chapter as it deems necessary.

(3) Determine the eligibility of school districts to receive apportionments under this chapter.

(4) Apportion funds to eligible school districts under this chapter.

(b) The board shall review and amend its regulations as necessary to adjust its administration of this chapter to conform with the act that amended this section to add this subdivision. Regulations adopted pursuant to this subdivision shall be adopted by November 5, 2002, and shall be adopted as emergency regulations in accordance with the rulemaking provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act (Chapter 3.5 (commencing with Section 11340) of Part 1 of Division 3 of Title 2 of the Government Code). The adoption of any emergency regulation pursuant to this subdivision filed with the Office of Administrative Law shall be deemed to be an emergency and necessary for the immediate preservation of the public peace, health and safety, or general welfare. Notwithstanding subdivision (e) of Section 11346.1 of the Government Code, any emergency regulation adopted pursuant to this section shall remain in effect for no more than 365 days unless the board has complied with Sections 11346.2 to 11348, inclusive, of the Government Code.

EC Section 17078.24 – Critically Overcrowded School Facilities

(a) On the basis of the preliminary application and upon confirmation by the board of the applicant's eligibility, the board shall in a timely manner make a preliminary apportionment for applicants under this article exclusively from funds reserved expressly for the purposes of this article.

(b) Preliminary apportionments for site development and acquisition included in the preliminary application pursuant to subdivision (a) of Section 17078.22 shall be based either on the preliminary appraisal, if available, or on the median costs

of appropriately sized parcels within the qualifying area, as determined by the board.
(c) Preliminary apportionments shall include the total estimated state costs of the project, including, but not limited to, site acquisition and development costs related to evaluations and elimination of hazardous materials, an inflation factor, any applicable excess cost allowances, and hardship costs, if any. The board shall adopt regulations establishing standards and methods for setting these costs and for making related estimates.

EC Section 17078.25 – Critically Overcrowded School Facilities

(a) Within the maximum time period set forth in Section 17078.30, the applicant shall have a period of up to four years from the date of the preliminary apportionment in which to complete the application for final apportionment.
(b) The applicant may request a single one-year extension of the period set forth in subdivision (a). The board shall grant the request for the single one-year extension if it determines that the applicant has made substantial progress towards completing the requirements for filing an application for final apportionment. The board may grant only one one-year extension for the project and may only grant the extension if granting the extension would not, in total, cause the project to exceed the maximum time period set forth in Section 17078.30.
…

EC Section 17078.35 – Assistance to Small School Districts

For purposes of this article, the following definitions apply:
(a) “Final apportionment” has the same meaning as “apportionment” as defined in subdivision (a) of Section 17070.15.
(b) “Preliminary application” means an application for a preliminary apportionment pursuant to this article.
(c) “Preliminary apportionment” means a reservation of bond authority for eligible applicants under this article in advance of full compliance with all of the application requirements otherwise required for an apportionment pursuant to this chapter.
(d) “Project and construction management grant” means a grant for purposes of obtaining the services from a county office of education, other local educational agency with applicable school facilities construction expertise, applicable state department, or a certified private construction consulting entity from the list maintained pursuant to paragraph (2) of subdivision (d) of Section 17078.47, to assist with the planning, site acquisition, preconstruction, construction, and closeout of a project.
(e) “Small school district” is a school district, as defined in Section 17070.15, with an enrollment of fewer than 2,501 pupils.

AUTHORITY (cont.)

EC Section 17078.36 – Assistance to Small School Districts

(a) Unless this article expressly provides otherwise, the provisions contained in the other articles of this chapter shall apply with equal force to a project funded under this article. This article shall control over the provisions of this chapter contained in other articles only to the extent that this article expressly conflicts with those provisions.

(b) This article shall apply only to a small school district that is otherwise eligible under this chapter for a project that meets both of the following:

(1) The project meets the criteria set forth in subdivision (a) of Section 17078.37.

(2) The project is to be funded from proceeds of state bonds approved by the voters at the November 5, 2024, statewide general election that shall not exceed the amounts made available pursuant to paragraphs (1) and (2) of subdivision (a) of Section 101412.

EC Section 17078.37 – Assistance to Small School Districts

Notwithstanding subdivision (a) of Section 17072.30 and subdivision (a) of Section 17074.16, as applicable:

(a) Applicants for funding pursuant to this article shall do both of the following:

(1) Submit preliminary applications to the board.

(2) Meet the eligibility requirements described in Article 3 (commencing with Section 17071.75) and Article 6 (commencing with Section 17073.10), as applicable.

(b) The board shall do both of the following:

(1) Accept a preliminary application from, and make a preliminary apportionment to, a small school district for new construction grants pursuant to Article 4 (commencing with Section 17072.10) or modernization grants pursuant to Article 7 (commencing with Section 17074.10) in a manner substantially identical to the preliminary apportionment requirements established in Section 17078.24, except that the eligibility of the applicant shall be based on the criteria established in paragraph (2) of subdivision (a).

(2) If requested, provide a preliminary apportionment of a project and construction management grant equal to 5 percent of the state share of the preliminary apportionment.

EC Section 17078.38 – Assistance to Small School Districts

The board shall adopt regulations setting forth all of the following:

(a) The preliminary application and preliminary apportionment.

(b) The apportionment of design grants, project assistance grants pursuant to subdivision (e) of Section 17072.10 and subdivision (e) of Section 17074.10, as applicable, and project and construction management grants to applicants that qualify for financial hardship assistance pursuant to Section 17075.15, as part of the preliminary apportionment.

AUTHORITY (cont.)

(c) The existence of substantial progress requirements on apportionments for design and site grants identical to the requirements set forth in Section 1859.105 of Title 2 of the California Code of Regulations.
(d) The requirements for a final apportionment for the project in a manner substantially identical to the requirements of subdivisions (a) and (b) of Section 17078.25.

PROPOSED REGULATIONS

Section 1859.2. Definitions.

…

"Final Small School District Program Apportionment" shall mean a Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment that has been converted to a Final Small School District Program Apportionment in accordance with Sections 1859.157.4 through 1859.157.7.

…

"Form SAB 50-12" means the *Application For Small School District Program Preliminary Apportionment*, Form SAB 50-12 (New [XX/26]), which is incorporated by reference.

…

"General Location" means the proposed location of a new school as set forth in Education Code Section 17078.22 and Sections 1859.142 and 1859.157.1.

…

"Median Cost" means, for purposes of a Preliminary Apportionment pursuant to Education Code Section 17078.24 and a Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment pursuant to Education Code Section 17078.37, the middle number in a given sequence of property value numbers, or the average of the middle two property value numbers when the given sequence of property value numbers has an even number of numbers.

…

"Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment" means a reservation of bond authority for eligible applicants under Article 11.5 of Chapter 12.5 of the Education Code. The reservation of bond authority is in advance of full compliance with all of the application requirements otherwise required for a Final Small School District Program Apportionment pursuant to Chapter 12.5 of the Education Code.

…

"Project and Construction Management Grant" means a grant for purposes of obtaining the services from a county office of education, other local educational agency with applicable school facilities construction expertise, applicable state department, or a certified private construction consulting entity from the list maintained pursuant to paragraph (2) of subdivision (d) of Education Code Section 17078.47, to assist with the planning, site acquisition, preconstruction, construction, and closeout of a project.

…

Note: Authority cited: Sections 17070.35, 17075.20 and 17078.64, Education Code.

Reference: Sections 8974, 17009.5, 17017.6, 17017.7, 17021, 17047, 17050, 17051, 17070.15, 17070.51(a), 17070.59, 17070.71, 17070.77, 17071.10, 17071.25, 17071.30, 17071.33, 17071.35, 17071.40, 17071.75, 17071.76, 17072.10, 17072.12, 17072.18, 17072.30, 17072.33, 17073.16, 17073.25, 17074.10, 17074.16, 17074.30, 17075.10, 17075.15, 17077.40, 17077.42, 17077.45, 17078.24, 17078.35, 17078.36, 17078.37, 17078.38, 17078.52, 17078.56, 17078.72(k), 17079, 17079.10, 17280, 56026 and 101012(a)(8), Education Code; Section 53311, Government Code; and Sections 1771.3 in effect on January 1, 2012 through June 19, 2014 and 1771.5, Labor Code.

Section 1859.18.1 Master Plan Submittal Time Frames
When submittal of a Master Plan or updated Master Plan is required pursuant to subsections (a) through (c) of Section 1859.18, inclusive, School Districts shall submit a complete and valid Master Plan and a local governing board resolution, as applicable, to OPSC within the time frames stated below. If a complete and valid Master Plan or a local governing board resolution is not submitted within the specified time frame, the application for funding shall be returned or the Apportionment may be rescinded.

…

(f) Applicants to the Small School District Program beginning with Section 1859.156 must submit a Master Plan as follows:

(1) If the School District receives a Preliminary Small School District Apportionment on or after October 31, 2024, the Master Plan must be submitted with the Form SAB 50-04 request for Final Small School District Apportionment.

(2) A local governing board resolution acknowledging the requirement in (e)(1) must be submitted with the Form SAB 50-12 requesting a Preliminary Small School District Apportionment.

Note: Authority cited: Sections 17070.35, Education Code.

Reference: Sections 17070,54, 17070.75, 17071.10, 17071.25, 17071.75, 17071.76 and 41024, Education Code.

Section 1859.51. Adjustments to the New Construction Baseline Eligibility.

The baseline eligibility for new construction determined on the Form SAB 50-03 will be adjusted as follows:

…

(e) Increased/decreased by changes in projected enrollment in subsequent enrollment reporting years for all School ~~d~~Districts using a fifth-year projection or a tenth-year projection, except decreases as provided in (j) below.

For all funding requests received by OPSC on or after June 6, 2017, OPSC will notify the School District in writing that OPSC is scheduled to begin processing the School District's Form SAB 50-04 and that the School District shall submit ~~the~~ a complete and

valid Form SAB 50-01 based on School District enrollment data, as shown in the table below:

OPSC Received Date of Form SAB 50-01	Enrollment Reporting Year
July 1 through October 31	Submit Prior Fiscal Year Enrollment Data
November 1 through June 30	Submit Current Fiscal Year Enrollment Data

OPSC may return the Form SAB 50-04 to the School District for failure to submit the Form SAB 50-01 within 90 calendar days of OPSC's notification.

…

(j) For Small School Districts:

(1) Decreased by any reduction in projected enrollment that follows a five-year period after the Small School dDistrict's eligibility was approved by the Board, and either (A) or (B), as applicable:

(A) Increased/decreased by changes in projected enrollment in the 2016/2017 enrollment year using a fifth-year projection or a tenth-year projection if the Form SAB 50-01 was received at OPSC by October 31, 2017.

(B) Increased/decreased by changes in projected enrollment in subsequent enrollment reporting years following 2016/2017 using a fifth-year projection or a tenth-year projection.

(2) If the Small School District does not submit an adjustment under (A) or (B) prior to OPSC notification of its schedule to begin processing the School District's request for new construction funding that was received by OPSC on or after November 1, 2012, then the requirements of (e) shall apply.

…

Section 1859.74.1. Site Acquisition Guidelines.

To receive the funding authorized by Section 1859.74, the School Ddistrict must certify on the Form SAB 50-04 or Form SAB 50-12, as applicable, that the appraisal of the property was made utilizing the following criteria:

(a) The land improvements and appurtenances, excluding fixtures, equipment and personal property, were appraised in an as is condition with the following exceptions:

(1) The site shall be appraised as if it were a clean site, safe of all toxic contaminants in accordance with CDE guidelines and pursuant to Title 5, California Code of Regulations commencing with Section 14001.

(2) The site valuation included only proposed site improvements associated with grading the site to a mass graded or super pad condition, defined as a site graded to construction readiness without foundation or paving and proposed utilities stubbed to the site. Any proposed site improvements or utilities not covered by a performance bond equal to 100 percent of the estimated cost of the site improvements and utilities must be completed prior to the close of escrow and detailed separately in the report.

(b) Consideration in the appraisal was made for net useable acreage and severance damages.

(c) The School D~~d~~istrict or its legal counsel has contracted for appraisal services.

(d) The appraiser has certified to the School D~~d~~istrict that the appraisal complies with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practices as promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of the Appraisal Foundation.

(e) The amount of a court award for a site acquired in condemnation may be used in lieu of the appraised value determined in (a) through (d) above, when specifically approved by the Board.

The School D~~d~~istrict shall be required to submit one appraisal. If the application includes a request for ~~f~~Financial ~~h~~Hardship as provided in Section 1859.81, the OPSC will review the appraisal for conformance with (a), (b), (c) and (d) prior to a recommendation for SFP funding to the Board.

Note: Authority cited: Section 17070.35, Education Code.

Reference: Sections 17070.35 and 17072.12, Education Code.

Section 1859.90.2. Priority Funding Process.

The priority funding process allows the Board to distribute available funds to School D~~d~~istricts or charter schools that request, pursuant to (a) or (b) below, as applicable, an Apportionment or an advance release of funds from a Preliminary Apportionment, Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment, or Preliminary Charter School Apportionment, during specific 30-calendar day filing periods beginning with July 27, 2011 and continuing with the 2nd Wednesday of January and the 2nd Wednesday of July of 2012. Requests submitted during the filing periods described above are valid until the next filing period begins. The specific 30-calendar day filing periods subsequent to 2012 begin with January 9, 2013 and continue with the 2nd Wednesday of May and the 2nd Wednesday of November, each calendar year. Requests submitted during the filing period beginning with January 9, 2013 are valid until June 30, 2013. Requests submitted during a filing period beginning with the 2nd Wednesday of May are valid from July 1 until December 31 of that year. Requests submitted during a filing period beginning with the 2nd Wednesday in November are valid from January 1 until June 30

of the following year. Requests must be physically received by the OPSC by the 30th calendar day of each filing period to be considered valid.

(a) In order to be considered for an Apportionment~~,~~; approved advance release of design, project assistance, the Project and Construction Management Grant, and/or any site acquisition funds from a Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment; approved advance release of design funds from a Preliminary Charter School Apportionment~~,~~; or approved advance release of environmental hardship site acquisition funds from a Preliminary Apportionment, the School ~~d~~District or charter school must provide a priority funding request in the form of a written statement signed by an authorized representative that includes each of the project Application numbers, and the type of Apportionment request (e.g., Apportionment, separate Apportionment for design or site acquisition), within the 30-calendar day filing period, and shall contain the following:

(1) Statement that the request is to convert the unfunded approval to an Apportionment or to receive an approved advance release of funds; and

(2) Acknowledgement that a complete and valid~~, original signature~~ Form SAB 50-05 must be submitted and ~~physically~~ received by ~~the~~ OPSC within 90 calendar days of Apportionment or approved advance release of funds request, except for a Career Technical Education Facilities Project in (a)(5), and that failure to do so will result in the rescission of the Apportionment or approved advance release of funds request without further Board action; and

(3) Acknowledgement that a Grant Agreement must be submitted pursuant to Section 1859.90.4 and physically received by ~~the~~ OPSC prior to, or concurrently with, the Form SAB 50-05 referenced in (a)(2); and

(4) Acknowledgement that, if the School D~~d~~istrict submits the Form SAB 50-05 on or after July 1, 2013 and is required to submit an LCP third party report, pursuant to Section 1859.97(b), the report will be submitted to ~~the~~ OPSC and ~~the~~ DIR at least 60 days prior to submitting the Form SAB 50-05; and

(5) For those receiving an Apportionment, acknowledgement that by participating in the priority funding process, the School D~~d~~istrict or C~~c~~harter S~~s~~chool is waiving its right to the timeline for fund release submittal described in Section 1859.90.

(6) For a Career Technical Education Facilities Project that was granted an unfunded approval without the required CDE plan approval and/or required DSA-approved plans and specifications, the applicant's request must include:

(A) Acknowledgement that the applicant must submit the required approval(s) to ~~the~~ OPSC within 12 months from the date of the Apportionment. If the required submittal(s) is not received within 12 months, the Apportionment shall be rescinded without further Board action pursuant to Section 1859.197(c)(1); and

(B) Acknowledgement that a complete and valid~~, original signature~~ Form SAB 50-05 must be submitted and ~~physically~~ received by ~~the~~ OPSC within 90 calendar days of the submittal described in (6)(A) and that failure to do so will result in the rescission of the Apportionment without further Board action; and

(C) Acknowledgement that a Grant Agreement must be submitted pursuant to Section 1859.90.4 and ~~physically~~ received by the OPSC prior to, or concurrently with, the Form SAB 50-05 referenced in (a)(6)(B).

(b) In order to be considered for an approved advance release of site acquisition funds from a Preliminary Charter School Apportionment, the district or charter school must provide a priority funding request in the form of a written statement signed by an authorized District R~~r~~epresentative within the 30-calendar day filing period, which~~and~~ shall contain all of the following:

(1) Statement that the request is to convert the advance release of funds to an approved advance release of funds request; and,

(2) Acknowledgement that a complete and valid~~, original signature~~ Form SAB 50-05 must be submitted and ~~physically~~ received by ~~the~~ OPSC within 180 calendar days of the approved advance release of funds request and that failure to do so will result in the rescission of the approved advance release of funds request without further Board action; and

(3) Acknowledgement that a Grant Agreement must be submitted pursuant to Section 1859.90.4 and physically received by ~~the~~ OPSC prior to, or concurrently with, the Form SAB 50-05 referenced in (b)(2); and

(4) Acknowledgement that it must provide evidence that it has entered into the Charter School Agreements within 90 calendar days of approval of the advance release of funds request and that failure to do so will result in the rescission of the approval without further Board action.

(c) In order to be considered for an approved advance release of site acquisition funds from a Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment, the School District shall provide a priority funding request in the form of a written statement signed by an authorized District Representative within the 30-calendar day filing period, which shall contain all of the following:

(1) Statement that the request is to convert the advance release of funds to an approved advance release of funds request; and

(2) Acknowledgement that a complete and valid Form SAB 50-05 shall be submitted and received by OPSC within 180 calendar days of the approved advance release of funds request and that failure to do so shall result in the rescission of the approved advance release of funds request without further Board action; and

(3) Acknowledgement that a Grant Agreement shall be submitted pursuant to Section 1859.90.4 and received by OPSC prior to, or concurrently with, the Form SAB 50-05 referenced in (c)(2).

(~~c~~d) If a School ~~d~~District or charter school receives an Apportionment or approved advance release of funds request through the priority funding process, the OPSC must receive a valid, original signature copy of the Form SAB 50-05 within the specified time period, pursuant to (a)(2), (a)(6) or (b)(2), as applicable, and a Grant Agreement, pursuant to (a)(3), (a)(6)(C), or (b)(3), as applicable. Upon submittal of the original signature copy of the Form SAB 50-05, if OPSC determines that the Form SAB 50-05 is not valid, the district will have ten business days to amend the Form SAB 50-05 in order to make the Form SAB 50-05 valid. If the OPSC does not receive a valid, original signature copy of the Form SAB 50-05 within the time period:

(1) The priority funding Apportionment or approved advance release of funds request shall be rescinded without further Board action, and

(2) If the Application is not subject to rescission pursuant to Section 1859.90.3(c) as defined in Section 1859.90.3(d), the Application shall return to the Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans) with a new unfunded approval date that is 90 calendar days from the date of Apportionment or approval of the advance release of funds request.

(~~d~~e) In the event that the amount of requests received during a specific 30-calendar day filing period exceeds the funds available, the Board shall apportion based on the unfunded approval date and the Application received date up to the available cash from each bond source. Projects that have requested to participate in the priority funding process for which an Apportionment cannot be provided shall retain their date order position on the Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans). Requests not converted to Apportionments will not be returned to the School ~~d~~District or kept by ~~the~~ OPSC.

(~~e~~f) In the event that unexpected cash proceeds from sources other than bond funds become available for use for priority funding Apportionments, at a public meeting the Board may, based on considerations relevant to the amount of time available to effect a distribution of funds, waive the 30-calendar day filing provision specified in this section for impacted ~~s~~School ~~d~~Districts to provide funds more rapidly.

…

(~~f~~g) For purposes of this section “rescinded” or “rescission” shall mean that the Apportionment or approved advance release of funds request returns to unfunded approval status with a new unfunded approval date, except for (a)(5)(A). The new unfunded approval date will be 90 calendar days from the Apportionment date. The School ~~d~~District or C~~c~~harter S~~s~~chool will not be required to re-submit the Application and no further Application review will be required.

Note: Authority cited: Section 17070.35, Education Code.

Reference: Sections 17070.41, 17071.75, 17072.12, 17072.30, 17073.10, 17074.16, 17076.10, 17077.40, 17077.42 ~~and~~, 17077.45, 17078.35, 17078.36, 17078.37, and 17078.38, Education Code.

Section 1859.90.3. Participation in the Priority Funding Process.

This section applies to Applications for funding for new construction, modernization, Overcrowding Relief Grant, Career Technical Education Facilities Program, Facility Hardship pursuant to Section 1859.82 and rehabilitation pursuant to Section 1859.83(e), ~~and~~ Charter School Facilities Program, and Small School District Program, excluding advance release of design and/or site acquisition funds from a Preliminary Charter School Apportionment, and excluding advance release of design, project assistance, the Project and Construction Management Grant, and any site acquisition funds from a Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment.

(a) For each Application on the Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans) for new construction, modernization, Facility Hardship pursuant to Section 1859.82 or rehabilitation pursuant to Section 1859.83(e), the occurrences of (1) or (2) below shall be limited after the effective date of this regulation section [March 25, 2013]. For each Application on the Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans) for Overcrowding Relief Grant, Career Technical Education Facilities Program, Small School District Program, and Charter School Facilities Program, excluding advance release of design, project assistance, the Project and Construction Management Grant, and any site acquisition funds from a Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment; or an advance release of design and/or site acquisition funds from a Preliminary Charter School Apportionment, the occurrences of (1) or (2) below shall be limited after the effective date of this regulation section [October 1, 2014]:

…

Note: Authority cited: Section 17070.35, Education Code.

Reference: Sections 17072.12, 17072.30, 17074.16, ~~and~~ 17076.10, 17078.35, 17078.36, 17078.37, and 17078.38, Education Code.

Section 1859.106. Program Accountability Expenditure Audit.

…

An adjustment in the SFP grant will be made for the following:

(a) The difference in the value of the site, relocation costs, DTSC fees, and hazardous waste/materials removal costs that were used to determine the New Construction Additional Grant and the actual amount paid by the School D~~d~~istrict for the site, relocation costs, DTSC fees, and hazardous waste/materials removal costs. For

applications received on or after January 1, 2004, the adjustment may be made regardless of whether the hazardous waste/materials removal costs were requested on the application for funding.

(b) For any insurance proceeds collectable by the School D~~d~~istrict for displaced facilities and net proceeds available from the disposition of displaced facilities pursuant to Sections 1859.82.1(d) and 1859.82.2(d).

(c) For any insurance proceeds or other government disaster assistance collected by the School District for any project that received funding pursuant to Sections 1859.84.1(c), or 1859.84.2(d).

(d) For any project that received funding pursuant to 1859.71.4(c) or 1859.78.1(b), 50 percent of one-fourth of one percent of the difference between the original Total Projected Bond Apportionment and the newly calculated amount.

(e) Any adjustments made pursuant to this Section will be made only if sufficient bond authority is available for the adjustment. If an Unfunded List has been created by the Board, then any adjustments made pursuant to this Section will be placed on the Unfunded List.

(f) The value of the Project and Construction Management Grant, and associated required matching share, provided pursuant to 1859.157.1 or 1859.157.2 if the Small School District does not obtain project and/or construction management services from a county office of education, other local educational agency with applicable school facilities construction expertise, applicable state department, or a certified private construction consulting entity and does not expend any funds on these services.

…

Note: Authority cited: Section 17070.35, Education Code.

Reference: Sections 17070.35, 17070.50, 17071.75, 17072.13, 17072.14, 17072.18, 17072.35, 17074.25, 17075.20, 17076.10, 17077.40, 17078.35, 17078.36, 17078.37, 17078.38, 17078.52, and 17251, Education Code; and Section 1771.3, Labor Code.

Section 1859.107. Amending and Withdrawal of Applications.

(e) …

Any application for modernization eligibility determination that has not received an approval must be amended to conform to Form SAB 50-03 prior to submittal of a~~n~~ Form SAB 50-04.

School Districts that have received an approval of eligibility on a HSAA or Super HSAA are not required to re-establish eligibility under the provisions of Section 1859.41(a).

School Districts that have requested eligibility determination on a HSAA or Super HSAA that have not received an approval must comply with the provisions of Section 1859.41(a) prior to submittal of Form SAB 50-04. The amended eligibility application shall retain its original OPSC processing date.

A School ~~d~~District that has received an approval of its eligibility determination on a district-wide, HSAA or Super HSAA basis, but received no New Construction Grant(s), may re-file on another eligibility determination basis provided it withdraws all previously submitted Form SAB 50-04 requests for New Construction Grant(s), including those on the Unfunded List.

If a School District submits more than one application for funding for the same scope of work under one or more Board-administered program(s), OPSC will return all other application(s) for funding containing the common scope of work upon the first Board action on an application for funding sharing the common scope of work. Prior to the first Board action on an application for funding sharing the common scope of work, the School District may request other application(s) for funding not be returned by requesting to OPSC, in writing, that the scope of work be modified in other application(s) for funding by clearly delineating the remaining eligible scope of work. The School District may not add additional scope of work to other application(s) for funding.

Note: Authority cited: Sections 17070.35 and 17078.72(l), Education Code.

Reference: Sections 17070.35, 17070.63, 17070.965, 17074.15, 17074.16, 17074.56, 17078.35, 17078.36, 17078.37, and 17078.38, and 17078.73, Education Code.

Article 13.5. Small School District Program

Section 1859.156. General.

Eligible applicants to the Small School District Program must meet the definition of a Small School District stated in Section 1859.2. A Small School District seeking a Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment pursuant to the provisions of Education Code Sections 17078.35 through 17078.38 for New Construction or Modernization funding shall complete and file a Form SAB 50-12. Applications will be processed in order of receipt of a complete and valid Form SAB 50-12.

Applicants must meet the following requirements:

(a) New Construction Eligibility shall be established pursuant to Section 1859.20 or updated pursuant to Section 1859.51, as applicable, prior to or concurrent with submittal of a complete and valid Form SAB 50-12. An applicant's New Construction Eligibility pursuant to Section 1859.51 must be valid at the time of OPSC's receipt of a Form SAB 50-04 requesting a Final Small School District Program Apportionment. If New

Construction Eligibility is not valid, the applicant must submit a complete and valid Form SAB 50-01 pursuant to Section 1859.51(e).

(b) Modernization Eligibility shall be established pursuant to Section 1859.60 prior to or concurrent with the submittal of a complete and valid Form SAB 50-12.

(c) Occupancy requirements pursuant to Section 1859.70(a).

Note: Authority cited: Sections 17070.35 and 17075.15, Education Code

Reference: Sections 17070.15, 17078.35, 17078.36, 17078.37, and 17078.38, Education Code.

Section 1859.157. Application Process.

The Board shall accept complete and valid Forms SAB 50-12 for a Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment for Modernization applications beginning on November 2, 2026.

The Board shall accept complete and valid Forms SAB 50-12 for a Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment for New Construction applications beginning on January 15, 2027.

Complete and valid applications will be processed and funded in date order received by OPSC. In the event there are more applications submitted on the same date than can be funded with available program authority, a lottery system will be used to select the project(s) that is/are funded from the applications submitted on that same date.

When the Small School District Program funds set aside pursuant to Education Code Sections 101412(a)(1)(A) and 101412(a)(2)(A) are exhausted, the Board shall cease accepting Forms SAB 50-12. Any Form(s) SAB 50-12 not apportioned because insufficient funding is available shall be returned to the applicant.

Note: Authority cited: Sections 17070.35 and 17075.15, Education Code
Reference: Sections 17070.15, 17078.35, 17078.36, 17078.37, and 17078.38, Education Code.

Section 1859.157.1. Preliminary Apportionment Grant Determination for New Construction Applications.

A Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment for New Construction funding shall be equal to the sum of (a) through (c)(2) below:

(a) A New Construction Grant for all eligible pupils included on the Form SAB 50-12 at the per-pupil grant amounts in effect at the time the Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment is approved by the Board, and eligible New Construction additional grants as follows:

(1) Fire code requirements pursuant to Section 1859.71.2,
(2) Energy efficiency pursuant to Section 1859.71.3,
(3) Individuals with exceptional needs pursuant to Section 1859.72,
(4) An amount equal to 12 percent of the amount determined in (a) for multilevel construction pursuant to Section 1859.73,
(5) Project assistance pursuant to Section 1859.73.1,
(6) An amount equal to one-half of the site acquisition value pursuant to Sections 1859.74, 1859.74.5, and 1859.75.1, for the lesser of the following:
(A) The value of the property, determined by one of the following:
1. Actual Site Cost pursuant to Section 1859.74(a), or
2. An appraisal or preliminary appraisal of the property made no more than six months prior to the date the complete and valid Form SAB 50-12 is accepted by OPSC, pursuant to Section 1859.74.1. The preliminary appraisal may be made without access to the property. The acreage identified in the appraisal or the preliminary appraisal may not exceed the proposed useable acreage requested on the Form SAB 50-12, or
3. The Median Cost of an acre of land in the General Location of the proposed project using historical information in the General Location multiplied by the number of proposed useable acres requested on Form SAB 50-12. Historical information that may be considered to determine land cost may include prior real-estate sales consummated and documented by the county recorder or pending real-estate sales documented by a title insurance company's escrow instructions. For purposes of historical information, include all real-estate sales consummated and documented by the county recorder for a minimum of six months and a maximum of up to two years prior to the date the Form SAB 50-12 is accepted by OPSC.
(B) An amount for the estimated relocation cost and estimated DTSC costs for review, approval and oversite of the POESA and the PEA as determined by one of the following:
1. 15 percent of the value determined in (a)(6)(A)(2.), or
2. Half of the sum of the following:
a. The approved relocation expenses for the specific site to be acquired that conform to Title 25, California Code of Regulations, Section 6000, et. Seq.
b. The DTSC cost for review, approval, and oversight of the POESA and the PEA for the specific site to be acquired.
3. The estimated relocation cost and the estimated DTSC costs for review, approval and oversight of the POESA and the PEA using historical information in the General Location. Historical information that may be considered to determine these estimated costs may include prior real-estate acquisitions of the School District or other School Districts in the General Location.
4. Four percent of the amount determined in (a)(6)(A), but not less than $50,000. This amount shall provide an allowance of any appraisal, escrow, survey, site testing, CDE review/approvals and preparation of the POESA and the PEA.
5. For allowable costs of hazardous material/waste removal and remediation costs, up to one-half times the value of the property determined in either (a)(6)(A)(2.) or (a)(6)(A)(3.), pursuant to Sections 1859.74.2, 1859.74.3, and 1859.74.4.

(7) An amount for site development cost determined, at the option of the School District, by one of the following:
(A) One-half of the Site Development Cost for the specific site as authorized by Section 1859.76.
(B) One-half of the Site Development Cost as authorized by Section 1859.76 using historical information in the General Location. Historical information that may be considered to determine this estimated cost may include prior SFP projects of the district or other districts in the General Location.
(C) $70,000 multiplied by the proposed acres requested on the Form SAB 50-12.
(D) 35 percent of the New Construction base grant.
(8) Energy Efficiency pursuant to Section 1859.71.3.
(9) An amount for the geographic location of the proposed project pursuant to Section 1859.83(a)
(10) If the Form SAB 50-12 request is for a new school on a site with no existing school facilities, an amount equal to the difference in the amount determined in (a) and the amount shown in the chart in Section 1859.83(c). To determine the number of classrooms in the proposed project, divide the number of pupils requested on the Form SAB 50-12, by 25 for elementary school pupils, 27 for middle and high school pupils, 13 for Non-Severely Disabled Individuals with Exceptional Needs and 9 for Severely Disabled Individuals with Exceptional Needs. Round up, and
(11) Small size projects pursuant to Section 1859.83(b).
(12) An amount due to urban location, security requirements, and impacted site equal to 15 percent of the amount determined in (a) for a site that is 60 percent of the CDE recommended site size plus 1.166 percent for each percentage decrease in the CDE recommended site size below 60 percent when the following criteria are met:
(A) The School District has requested an increase for multilevel construction pursuant to (a)(4) above.
(B) The Useable Acres of the existing and/or proposed site are 60 percent or less of the CDE recommended site size determined by multiplying the sum of the pupil grants requested on the Form SAB 50-12, and the current CBEDS enrollment on the site (if applicable) by .01775 for elementary school pupils, .021 for middle school pupils and .02472 for high school pupils. For purposes of this calculation, assign Severely Disabled Individuals with Exceptional Needs and Non-Severely Disabled Individuals with Exceptional Needs pupil grants requested on the Form SAB 50-12 as either elementary, middle or high school pupils based on the type of project selected by the School District on the Form SAB 50-12.
(C) The value of the property as determined in (a)(6)(A) is at least $750,000 per Usable Acre. This criterion does not apply to an application for an addition to an existing school site.

(b) For all Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionments, the inflator factor shall be an amount equal to the sum of the amount determined in (a) and multiplied by a factor determined as follows:

(1) Divide the January Class B Construction Cost Index in effect at the time of the Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment by the January Class B Construction Cost Index in effect four years prior to the Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment. Round to two decimal places.

(2) Subtract 1 from the quotient in (1). Convert to a percentage with zero decimal places.

(c) The Project and Construction Management Grant shall be equal to five percent of the sum of the amounts determined in (a) and (b).

Note: Authority cited: Sections 17070.35 and 17075.15, Education Code Reference: Sections 17070.15, 17078.24, 17078.35, 17078.36, 17078.37, and 17078.38, Education Code.

Section 1859.157.2. Preliminary Apportionment Grant Determination for Modernization Applications.

The Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment for Modernization funding shall be equal to the sum of (a) through (c)(2) below:

(a) A Modernization Grant for all eligible pupils included on the Form SAB 50-12 at the per-pupil grant amounts in effect at the time the Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment is approved by the Board, and eligible Modernization additional grants as follows:

(1) Prevailing wage monitoring and enforcement costs pursuant to Section 1859.78.1,
(2) Project assistance pursuant to Section 1859.78.2,
(3) Energy efficiency pursuant to Section 1859.78.5,
(4) Site development necessary for 50 years or older permanent buildings pursuant to the amount allowable in Section 1859.78.7(b),
(5) Replacement of 75 years or older buildings and necessary site development pursuant to Section 1859.78.7.1,
(6) Fire code requirements pursuant to Section 1859.78.4,
(7) Minimum Essential Facilities and site development pursuant to Section 1859.78.9.1,
(9) Transitional Kindergarten Classroom(s) and site development pursuant to Section 1859.78.9.2,
(10) Career Technical Education components pursuant to Section 1859.78.10,
(11) Geographic location pursuant to Section 1859.83(a),
(12) Small size projects pursuant to Section 1859.83(b),
(13) Urban location and security requirements and impacted site pursuant to Section 1859.83(d),
(14) Accessibility and fire code pursuant to Section 1859.83(e), and
(15) Individuals with exceptional needs pursuant to Section 1859.78.3.

(b) For all Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionments, the inflator factor shall be an amount equal to the sum of the amount determined in (a) and multiplied by a factor determined as follows:

(1) Divide the January Class B Construction Cost Index in effect at the time of the Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment by the January Class B Construction Cost Index in effect four years prior to the Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment. Round to two decimal places.

(2) Subtract 1 from the quotient in (1). Convert to a percentage with zero decimal places.

(c) The Project and Construction Management Grant shall be equal to five percent of the sum of the amounts determined in (a) and (b).

Note: Authority cited: Sections 17070.35 and 17075.15, Education Code Reference: Sections 17070.15, 17078.35, 17078.36, 17078.37, and 17078.38, Education Code.

Section 1859.157.3. Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment Fund Release.

Once the provisions in Section 1859.157.5 have been met, an applicant that meets the following may request an advance release of funds from a Preliminary Small School District Apportionment:

(a) An applicant that meets the Financial Hardship criteria in Section 1859.81 is eligible for an amount not to exceed the following:

(1) 40 percent of the New Construction Grant and the amounts determined in Sections 1859.157.1(a)(5), and 1859.157.1(c).

(2) 25 percent of the Modernization Grant and the amount determined in Sections 1859.157.2(a)(2), and 1859.157.2(c).

(b) An applicant that meets the Financial Hardship criteria in Section 1859.81 is eligible for an amount, not to exceed the Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment, for site acquisition pursuant to Section 1859.81.1(a), (b) or (c) after submittal of a Form SAB 50-12 pursuant to Section 1859.157.

(c) An applicant is eligible for an amount, not to exceed the Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment, for environmental hardship site acquisition pursuant to Section 1859.75.1 after submittal of a Form SAB 50-12 pursuant to Section 1859.157.

Qualified applicants may request a separate advance release of funds for the design and for the site acquisition for the same project. An applicant seeking an advance release of funds pursuant to Section 1859.157.3(a) and/or (b) must have been approved and maintained Financial Hardship status pursuant to Section 1859.81. OPSC will release State funds included in a Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment pursuant to (a), (b), or (c) to the School District after submittal of a complete and valid Form SAB 50-05.

Subject to the availability of funding to the Board for bond-funded projects, OPSC will release State funds included in a Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment, pursuant to subsections (a) and/or (b) and (c). State funds released from a Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment pursuant to this Section shall be subject to the provisions in Sections 1859.90 and 1859.90.2.

Note: Authority cited: Sections 17070.35 and 17075.15, Education Code Reference: Sections 17070.15, 17078.35, 17078.36, 17078.37, and 17078.38, Education Code.

Section 1859.157.4. Conversion of Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment.

To request to convert a Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment to a Final Small School District Program Apportionment, an applicant must submit a complete and valid Form SAB 50-04 and meet all the following criteria:

(a) The total number of pupil grants requested on the Form SAB 50-04 cannot exceed the number approved on the Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment. The number of pupil grants on the Form SAB 50-04 shall be for at least 50 percent of the pupil grants approved by the Board on the Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment for New Construction funding or for at least 60 percent of the pupil grants approved by the Board on the Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment for Modernization funding.

(b) An applicant seeking Financial Hardship assistance, in accordance with Sections 1859.81 through 1859.81.3, must request Financial Hardship assistance on the Form SAB 50-04.

(c) Any applicant that qualified to participate in the program and received a Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment as a Small School District based on the applicant’s total enrollment at the time of the Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment, may submit a complete and valid form SAB 50-04 to convert a Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment to a Final Small School District Program Apportionment.

(d) At the time of converting the Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment to a Final Small School District Program Apportionment, additional funding for Modernization supplemental grants shall be calculated using the qualifying enrollment demonstrated at the time of the Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment for the following additional grants:
(1) Minimum Essential Facility pursuant to Section 1859.78.9.1.
(2) Transitional Kindergarten Classroom pursuant to Section 1859.78.9.2.

An applicant may submit a Form SAB 50-04 concurrently or following the submittal of a complete and valid Form SAB 50-12, but no later than the time limit prescribed within Section 1859.157.5. Board action on the Form SAB 50-12 does not need to occur prior to the Form SAB 50-04 submittal.

Note: Authority cited: Sections 17070.35 and 17075.15, Education Code. Reference: Section 17070.15, 17078.35, 17078.36, 17078.37, and 17078.38, Education Code.

Section 1859.157.5. Time Limit on Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment.

(a) A complete and valid request to convert a Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment to a Final Small School District Program Apportionment, pursuant to Section 1859.157.4, shall be made within four years of the date of the Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment, unless the applicant receives approval for an extension pursuant to Section 1859.157.6.

(b) If (a) is not met, the Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment shall be rescinded without further Board action and returned to the fund source.

(c) If (a) is not met, and the applicant has received an advance release of funds as provided in Section 1859.157.3, the following shall occur:

(1) The Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment shall be rescinded without further Board action and any remaining Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment not released to the applicant, shall be returned to the fund source.

(2) The New Construction Eligibility or Modernization Eligibility shall be adjusted by the number of pupil grants not used. The number of pupil grants returned shall be equal to the number of pupil grants requested on the Form SAB 50-12, less the pupil grants equivalent to the total amount of state funding retained by the applicant.

(3) Funds released pursuant to Section 1859.157.3(a) or (b) shall be reduced to cost incurred and closed out, pursuant to Section 1859.106, with a corresponding New Construction or Modernization baseline eligibility adjustment for the pupil grants

assigned to the Preliminary Small School District Program Application. Funds returned pursuant to Section 1859.106 shall be returned to the fund source.

Note: Authority cited: Sections 17070.35 and 17075.15, Education Code. Reference: Sections 17070.15, 17078.35, 17078.36, 17078.37, and 17078.38, Education Code.

Section 1859.157.6. Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment Time Limit Extension.

An applicant that has received a Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment pursuant to Section 1859.157.1 or 1859.157.2 may request a single one-year extension of the time limit prescribed in Section 1859.157.5. The Board may approve the request provided the criteria in (a) or (b) are met:

(a) The applicant has provided evidence of both of the following:

(1) CDE has made a contingent or final approval for the project or of the proposed site; and,

(2) DSA has confirmed that the final plans for the project have been submitted to the DSA for review and approval.

(b) Other evidence satisfactory to the Board justifying the extension.

A single one-year extension request from an applicant may be approved by the Board to extend the time limit prescribed in Section 1859.157.5. OPSC must receive the single one-year extension request from the applicant on or prior to the time limit prescribed in Section 1859.157.5.

Note: Authority cited: Sections 17070.35 and 17075.15, Education Code.

Reference: Section 17070.15, 17078.35, 17078.36, 17078.37, and 17078.38, Education Code.

Section 1859.157.7. Final Small School District Program Apportionment Grant Determination.

The amount of the Final Small School District Program Apportionment shall be based on the provisions of any amended or new regulations that are effective at the time the complete and valid Form SAB 50-04 for the Final Small School District Program Apportionment is accepted by OPSC. The Board shall approve conversions from the Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment to the Final Small School District Program Apportionment as follows:

(a) If the Final Small School District Program Apportionment is equal to or less than the Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment, the Final Small School

District Program Apportionment shall be funded entirely. The difference in the Final Small School District Program Apportionment and the Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment shall be returned to the fund source. The Final Small School District Program Apportionment shall be the full and final Apportionment for the project.

(b) If the Final Small School District Program Apportionment is greater than the Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment, the applicant shall receive an increase using any remaining balance in the fund source, if applicable.

(1) Availability of any remaining balance in the fund source shall be prioritized in date order that OPSC received a complete and valid Form SAB 50-04.

(2) In the event there are more applications submitted on the same date than can be funded with the balance in the fund source, a lottery system will be used to determine the funding order.

Note: Authority cited: Sections 17070.35 and 17075.15, Education Code.

Reference: Section 17070.15, 17078.35, 17078.36, 17078.37, and 17078.38, Education Code.

Section 1859.157.8. Final Small School District Program Apportionment Fund Release.

Once the Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment is converted to a Final Small School District Program Apportionment pursuant to Sections 1859.157.4 through 1859.157.7, the applicant may request a release of the remaining funds as prescribed in Section 1859.90 or 1859.90.2.

Note: Authority cited: Sections 17070.35 and 17075.15, Education Code

Reference: Sections 17070.15, 17078.35, 17078.36, 17078.37, and 17078.38, Education Code.

Section 1859.158. Substantial Progress and Expenditure Reporting Timelines.

A Small School District receiving funds pursuant to this Article shall submit the following:

(a) An expenditure report from the Small School District on the Form SAB 50-06. The program reporting requirements are as follows:

(1) The first expenditure report shall be due one year from the date that any funds were released to the Small School District for the project pursuant to Section 1859.90 or 1859.90.2, or upon notice of completion of the project to OPSC, whichever occurs first. A project shall be deemed complete when either of the following occur:

(A) When the notice of completion for the project has been filed with OPSC, all outstanding invoices, claims, and change orders have been satisfied, and the facility is currently in use by the Small School District.

(B) Three years from the date of the final fund release for an elementary school project or four years from the date of the final fund release for a middle or high school project.

(2) The second and subsequent expenditure reports, if necessary, shall be due annually beginning one year from the first report, or upon notice of completion of the project to OPSC, whichever occurs first. The final expenditure report must be made no later than three years from the date of the final fund release for an elementary school project or four years from the date of the final fund release for a middle or high school project.

(b) A progress report, in the form of a narrative from the Small School District, shall be due 18 months from the date any funds were released to the Small School District for the project, pursuant to Section 1859.90 or 1859.90.2. The progress report shall include information regarding the progress the Small School District has made towards substantial completion of the project. If the notice of completion to OPSC has been filed within 18 months of the release of funds, pursuant to Section 1859.90 or 1859.90.2, or the expenditure reports required in (a)(1) or (2) indicate that substantial progress (as defined in Section 1859.105) on the project has occurred, no progress report is required.

(c) A progress report, in the form of a narrative from the Small School District, shall be due 12 months from the date the site acquisition funds were apportioned to the Small School District for the project pursuant to Section 1859.75.1. The progress report shall include information regarding the progress the Small School District has made towards acquiring the site as outlined in Section 1859.105.1, and may contain other evidence of reasonable effort to substantiate progress towards acquiring the site for purposes of an extension of the site apportionment as authorized by Education Code Section 17072.13(c)(2).

(d) If an Apportionment was made for a Small School District owned site pursuant to Section 1859.74.5, a certification that the non-school function currently taking place on the Small School District owned site has been discontinued or relocated is required. The certification must be submitted to OPSC no later than the following dates:

(1) If the project is for an elementary school, 66 months from the date of the site Apportionment.

(2) For all other projects, 78 months from the date of the site Apportionment.

Note: Authority cited: Sections 17070.35, 17072.13, 17075.15 and 17079.30, Education Code.

Reference: Sections 17070.15, 17070.35, 17070.99, 17072.12, 17072.13, 17076.10, 17078.35, 17078.36, 17078.37, 17078.38, and 17079.30, Education Code.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

STATE ALLOCATION BOARD
OFFICE OF PUBLIC SCHOOL CONSTRUCTION

APPLICATION FOR SMALL SCHOOL DISTRICT PROGRAM PRELIMINARY APPORTIONMENT

SCHOOL FACILITY PROGRAM

SAB 50-12 (New XX/26)

GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS

This form is used to request a preliminary Apportionment for new construction or Modernization of school facilities for Small School Districts. This Apportionment is available only to School Districts that meet the definition of a Small School District in Section 1859.2 for new construction projects. For Modernization projects, this Apportionment is available only to School Districts that have 2,500 or less Pupils in their District on their latest California Basic Education Data System (CBEDS) enrollment reporting. The Apportionment will be a reservation of funds for the project to allow time to receive the necessary approvals from other State entities and shall be converted to a Final Small School District Program Apportionment based on Sections 1859.157.4 through 1859.157.8.

If not previously submitted, a School District may file an application for Modernization funding by use of this form concurrently with a determination of or an adjustment to the School District's Modernization Eligibility in accordance with Section 1859.60. The School District must submit a determination of or an adjustment to the School District's new construction baseline eligibility upon request, in accordance with Regulation Sections 1859.20 or 1859.51, as applicable. The Board will only provide new construction funding if this form is submitted prior to the date of occupancy of any classrooms included in the construction contract. If the School District has a pending reorganization election that will result in the loss of eligibility for the proposed project, the School District must submit an adjustment to the School District's new construction baseline eligibility as required in Section 1859.51 upon request. This may be accomplished by completion and submittal of Form SAB 50-01, Form SAB 50-02, and Form SAB 50-03 for the current enrollment year. Failure to submit the requested Forms may result in the Office of Public School Construction (OPSC) returning the funding application to the School District unprocessed.

For purposes of Education Code Section 17073.25, the California Department of Education (CDE) is permitted to file Modernization applications on behalf of the California Schools for the Deaf and Blind.

Requests for funding may be made as follows:

1. A Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment for new construction pursuant to Section 1859.157.1. School Districts may apply for a Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment for the design and/or for site acquisition on the same project. For purposes of this Apportionment, the following documents must be submitted with this form (as appropriate):
   - Form SAB 50-01, Form SAB 50-02, and Form SAB 50-03 (if not previously submitted).
   - Contingent site approval letter from the CDE or a final site approval letter from the CDE (site Apportionment only).
   - Real estate appraisal of property with valuation date within six months of the Form SAB 50-12 submittal, may be preliminary (site Apportionment only).
   - Relocation cost estimate (if requested).
   - Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC) cost estimate (if requested).
   - Hazardous waste removal cost estimate (if requested).
   - Cost benefit analysis as prescribed in Section 1859.74.6 or a copy of the Board findings that the non-school function on the district-owned site must be relocated (if applicable).

   If the School District is requesting a Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment for new construction funding after the initial baseline eligibility was approved by the Board and the School District's current CBEDS enrollment reporting year is later than the enrollment reporting year used to determine the School District's baseline eligibility or adjusted eligibility, the School District must complete a new Form SAB 50-01 based on the current year CBEDS enrollment data, and submit it to OPSC with this form. The School District must also update its eligibility by separation of Special Day Class as provided in Section 1859.2 for Non-Severely Disabled Individual with Exceptional Needs and Severely Disabled Individual with Exceptional Needs from regular TK–12 grade level Pupils by submitting a revised Form SAB 50-02 and Form SAB 50-03, if it has not already done so. In addition, if the School District's request is fully or partially based on eligibility derived from an Alternative Enrollment Projection, the School District must update the Alternative Enrollment Projection to correspond with the CBEDS enrollment data for the current year. A Small School District with 2,500 or less enrollment as defined in Section 1859.2 will not have its eligibility reduced for a period of five years from the date the School District's baseline eligibility was approved by the Board as a result of reduction in projected enrollment.

2. A Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment for Modernization pursuant to Section 1859.157.2. For purposes of this Apportionment, the Form SAB 50-03 must accompany this form (if not previously submitted).

A complete list of the application submittal guidelines can be found on OPSC's website.

SPECIFIC INSTRUCTIONS

The School District must assign a Project Tracking Number (PTN) to this project. The same PTN is used by OPSC, the Division of the State Architect (DSA) and CDE for all project applications submitted to those agencies to track a particular project through the entire state application review process. If the School District has already assigned a PTN to this project by prior submittal of an application to either DSA or CDE for approval, the School District must use that PTN for this application submittal. If no PTN has been previously assigned for this project, a PTN may be obtained through OPSC Online.

1. Type of Application

Check the appropriate box that indicates the type of request the School District is requesting with this form.

a. If the School District is requesting upfront funding for either site and/or design in a new construction project or requesting upfront funding for design in a Modernization project, check the appropriate box in addition to the box for the Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment above.

2. Type of Project

a. Select the type of project that best represents this application request and enter the total number of Pupils assigned to the project for each grade group.

b. Check the box if the project is eligible for funding for 50 year or older permanent buildings and report, at the option of the School District:
   - The total number of eligible classrooms or the total eligible Square Footage of building area at the site. Refer to Section 1859.78.6(b)(1)(A) or (b)(2)(A). Or,
   - The total number of permanent Classrooms or the total permanent Square Footage of building area that is at least 50 years old and not been previously modernized with state funds. Refer to Section 1859.78.6(b)(1)(B) or (b)(2)(B).
   - Enter the greater percentage as calculated under Regulation Section 1859.78.6(b)(1)(C) or Regulation Section 1859.78.6(b)(2)(C).
   - If this project includes eligible 50 year or older Pupil grants, enter the appropriate number assigned to the project for each grade group. The number of Pupils entered cannot exceed the cumulative number of 50 year or older permanent buildings Pupil grants requested for all Modernization funding applications for the site as determined by using the percentage factor above.

c. If this request includes Pupil grants generated by an Alternative Enrollment Projection Method, enter the number of Pupils by grade level.

d. Check the box if the project may be eligible for funding for 75 Years or Older Buildings and report the following, if applicable:
   - The estimated total number of eligible Classrooms and/or the estimated total eligible Square Footage of building area being demolished. Refer to Section 1859.78.7.1.
   - The estimated total number of eligible Classrooms and/or the estimated total eligible Square Footage of building area being constructed. Refer to Section 1859.78.7.1.
   - Enter the appropriate number of Pupils assigned to the project for each grade group. The estimated number of Pupils entered cannot exceed the cumulative number of Pupil grants requested in Section 2a. and determined by using the percentage factor above.

e. Indicate if this request is for funding of a 6–8 school and/or an Alternative Education School.

f. Indicate the site scenario that best represents the project request.

3. Number of Classrooms/Useable Acres

Enter the:
- Estimated number of Classrooms in the proposed project.
- Existing Useable Acres (if addition to existing site).
- Estimated Proposed Useable Acres to be acquired for the project.

4. Type of Financial Hardship Request

If the School District is requesting Financial Hardship assistance because it is unable to meet its matching share requirement on this application, the School District must check the box and comply with the requirements of Section 1859.81.

5. Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment – New Construction Only

Check the appropriate box(es) if the School District is planning to request the item listed as part of the Final Small School District Program Apportionment. All remaining item requests that are not released as part of the Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment, but are made on this form, will be reserved for the School District and released at the time of the Final Small School District Program Apportionment as detailed in Sections 1859.157.7 and 1859.157.8. Refer to Section 1859.157.1 for eligibility criteria and further details. The School District may be required to submit supporting documentation to substantiate any of the requests being made. Enter the:

a. Estimated amount of therapy area in square feet as provided in Section 1859.72.

b. Check the box if the applicant is requesting additional funding due to multilevel construction. See Section 1859.157.1(a)(4).

c. Check the box if the School District is planning to request project assistance grant pursuant to Section 1859.73.1.

d. Check the box if the School District is planning to request the Project and Construction Management grant pursuant to Section 1859.157.1(b).

e. Site Acquisition

   Enter either:

   1) Enter the estimated 50 percent amount of the actual cost, or

   2) Enter 50 percent of the appraised value or the preliminary appraised value or the Median Cost of the property. See Section 1859.157.1(a). If the purchase price of the site is being determined using the Median Cost of the General Location enter the nearest street intersection to the school site.

   Enter the following:

3) To determine an allowance for relocation cost and DTSC cost, the applicant may request 15 percent of the property value determined above or specific historic values of these costs. See Section 1859.157.1(a)(6)(B)(3.). If specific or historic values are reported, the applicant must submit appropriate documentation to support the amount reported.

4) Enter 50 percent of the amount allowable for hazardous material/waste removal and/or remediation for the site acquired pursuant to Sections 1859.74.2, 1859.74.3, 1859.74.4, 1859.75.1 or 1859.81.1. This amount may not exceed the limit set in Section 1859.157.1(a)(6)(B)(5.). If a Response Action (RA) is required, check the box.

If the project the School District is planning to request SFP funding for does not require an RA, refer to Section 1859.74. If the project the School District is planning to request SFP funding for may require an RA on a site that is not leased or an addition to an existing site, refer to Section 1859.74.2. If the project the School District is planning to request SFP funding for may require an RA on a leased site or an addition to an existing site, refer to Sections 1859.74.3 or 1859.74.4, respectively. The limitation of 50 percent may be exceeded when unforeseen circumstances exist. CDE determines that the site is the best available site, and the School District must submit substantiation that the costs are the minimum required to complete the evaluation and RA.

A project that received site acquisition funds under the Lease-Purchase Program (LPP) as a priority two project is not eligible for site acquisition funds under the SFP. A School District-owned site acquired with LPP, SFP or Proposition 1A funds is not eligible for funding under Section 1859.74.5.

f. To determine an allowance for site development, the applicant may request 50 percent of a specific or historic value of the estimated costs pursuant to Section 1859.157.1(a)(7)(A) or Section 1859.157.1(a)(7)(B), or $70,000 per proposed Usable Acres requested on the Form SAB 50-12 pursuant to Section 1859.157.1(a)(7)(C), or 35 percent of the New Construction base grant If specific or historic estimated costs are used, the applicant must submit appropriate cost estimate of the proposed work conforming to Section 1859.76.

In addition, check the box if the applicant is requesting General Site Development pursuant to Section 1859.157.1.

g. If the School District is planning to request an Additional Grant for Energy Efficiency pursuant to Section 1859.71.3(a), enter the estimated percentage amount of energy efficiency that exceeds Title 24 requirements as prescribed in Section 1859.71.3(a)(3). If the School District is requesting an Additional Grant for Energy Efficiency pursuant to Section 1859.71.3(c), enter the Energy Code compliance approach used (Performance Only, Prescriptive Only, Performance/Prescriptive) and the total score that is on the OPSC CA-CHPS Scorecard. A copy of the OPSC CA-CHPS Scorecard must be submitted to OPSC as part of the complete request for Final Small School District Program Apportionment.

h. Check the box(es) if the School District plans to request additional funding for fire code requirements authorized in Section 1859.71.2.

6. Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment – Modernization Only

Check the appropriate box(es) if the School District is planning to request the item listed as part of the Final Small School District Program Apportionment. All remaining item requests that are not released as part of the Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment, but are made on this form, will be reserved for the School District and released at the time of the Final Small School District Program Apportionment as detailed in Sections 1859.157.7 and 1859.157.8. Refer to Section 1859.157.2 for eligibility criteria and further details.

a. Check the box if the School District is planning to request project assistance grant pursuant to Section 1859.78.2.

b. Check the box if the School District is planning to request the Project and Construction Management grant pursuant to Section 1859.157.2(b).

c. If the School District is requesting an Additional Grant for Energy Efficiency pursuant to Section 1859.78.5(a), enter the estimated percentage of energy efficiency that exceeds Title 24 requirements as prescribed in Section 1859.78.5(a)(3). If the School District is requesting an Additional Grant for Energy Efficiency pursuant to Section 1859.78.5(c), enter the Energy Code compliance approach used (Performance Only, Prescriptive Only, Performance/Prescriptive) and the total score that is on the OPSC CA-CHPS Scorecard. A copy of the OPSC CA-CHPS Scorecard must be submitted to OPSC as part of the complete request for Final Small School District Program Apportionment. If the School District does not have an estimate available, the School District can enter five percent of the New Construction or Modernization Grant amount for the projects eligible service-site development, off-site development, and utilities costs.

d. Check the box if the School District plans to request an additional grant for site development utility costs necessary for the Modernization of 50 years or older permanent building(s) pursuant to Section 1859.78.7(b) for 20 percent of funding authorized by Section 1859.78.6.

e. Check the box if the School District requests an additional grant for site development costs necessary for the replacement of a 75 Years or Older Building for either 35 percent of the grant determined by Section 1859.78.7.1 (b) and (d) or enter 60 percent of the eligible costs allowable pursuant to Section 1859.78.7.1(e), if not already provided under the provisions pursuant to Section 1859.78.7(a).

f. Check the box(es) if the School District plans to request additional funding for fire code requirements pursuant to Section 1859.78.4.

g. Check the box if the School District is planning to request an Additional Grant for a Minimum Essential Facility pursuant to Section 1859.78.9.1. Check the box for the type of facility the School District is anticipating requesting (if the facility is a hybrid facility, select 'Hybrid' and indicate the type of facility on the line provided) and indicate the estimated amount of eligible Toilet and Other Square Footage to be constructed in the project. Check the appropriate box depending on whether the anticipated Minimum Essential Facility in the project is permanent or portable construction. Check the box if the School District is planning to request an additional grant for Site Development pursuant to Section 1859.78.9.1. The School District may request 35 percent of the Minimum Essential Facility supplemental grant or enter 60 percent of the amount calculated pursuant to Section 1859.78.9.1 (b)(7) or 1859.78.9.1 (c)(7).

h. 1) Check the box if the School District is planning to request an Additional Grant for the construction of a new Transitional Kindergarten classroom(s) and/or retrofit of an existing school facility to be a Transitional Kindergarten

classroom(s). Check the appropriate boxes if the School District is planning to augment the grant with additional supplemental grants respective of the Transitional Kindergarten Classroom project scope. Check the box if the School District is planning to request an additional grant for Site Development pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.78.9.2. The School District may choose to request 35 percent of the Transitional Kindergarten supplemental grant, or enter 60 percent of minimum work amount, pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.78.9.2(c)(4) or 1859.78.9.2(c)(5)(A).
2) The information needed to complete this section is based on the latest CBEDS enrollment data. Applications filed on or after November 1st must include the current school year enrollment data. Enter the CBEDS enrollment for grades TK-3 at the school site the current year and the three prior years' TK–3 enrollment, as appropriate.

Requests for funding shall include the following:

- Site map that includes labelling of all facilities and their current use, and identifies all Classrooms constructed or previously retrofitted to house kindergarten students.
- Narrative that explains the current Classroom and facility usage at the site, what the Small School District plans to do with the project, and the resulting usage at the completion of the project.

i. If the School District is requesting an Additional Grant for Career Technical Education (CTE) Components pursuant to Section 1859.78.10, enter 60 percent of the estimated costs for the eligible CTE component(s) in the entire Modernization application.

7. Additional Project Information – Excessive Cost Hardship Request
Check the appropriate box(es) if the School District is planning to request an excessive cost hardship for the item listed as part of the Final Small School District Program Apportionment. The requests will be reserved for the School District and released at the time of the Final Small School District Program Apportionment as detailed in Sections 1859.157.7 and 1859.157.8. Refer to Section 1859.83 for eligibility criteria.

Requests for excessive cost grants for accessibility requirements are allowed only if required by DSA. At the School District's option, the School District may request three percent of the Modernization base grant or enter the amount calculated pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.83(f). Attach a copy of the DSA approved list that shows the minimum work necessary for accessibility requirements.
If the request is for the excessive cost grant for a new Alternative Education school pursuant to Section 1859.83(c)(2) and the School District elects to request less than the maximum allowance, the School District must submit a letter along with application indicating the requested amount.

8. Prior Apportionment
If the School District received a separate Apportionment for the project under the SFP for either site and/or design, enter the application number of the project. Failure to report this information may delay the processing of the application by OPSC.

9. Alternative Developer Fee
The School District must report certain alternative fees collected pursuant to Government Code Section 65995.7, as of the date of application submittal to OPSC. Refer to Section 1859.77 for details. School Districts are advised that OPSC may perform an audit of the developer fees collected prior to application approval by the Board.

10. Adjustment to New Construction Baseline Eligibility
Pursuant to Section 1859.51 certain adjustments to the District's new construction baseline eligibility must be made each time a School District submits an application for funding, to OPSC for SFP New Construction or Modernization Grants. These adjustments are made by OPSC based on information reported by the School District on this form.

- Report all Classroom(s) provided after the School District submitted its request for determination of its new construction baseline eligibility for the grades shown, or indicate N/A if there are none. Refer to Section 1859.51(i).
- In the additional Classroom column, indicate the number of additional net Classrooms provided if not previously reported.
- In the replacement Classroom column, indicate the number of Classrooms that were included in the determination of the School District's New Construction Eligibility pursuant to Education Code Section 17071.75 and replaced in a locally funded project.
- Enter the date the initial construction contract was signed for additional or replacement Classrooms.

11. Pending Reorganization Election – New Construction Only
Complete only for new construction projects. Indicate if there is a pending reorganization election that will result in a loss of eligibility for this project. If the answer is "yes", the School District must complete Form SAB 50-01, Form SAB 50-02 and Form SAB 50-03, to adjust the School District's New Construction Eligibility as a result of the reorganization and submit them with this form.

12. Joint-Use Facility/Leased Property

Check the box if:

a. The facilities to be constructed/modernized as part of this project will be for joint use by other governmental agencies.
b. The New Construction or Modernization Grants will be used for facilities located or to be located on leased property.

13. Local Funding Adjustment Grant
Enter estimated amounts for each of the following:

a. The School District's total assessed valuation, pursuant to Section 1859.70.5(a)(1). The School District must provide a letter from the county auditor-controller that certifies the School District's total assessed valuation.
b. The School District's gross bonding capacity, rounded to the nearest whole dollar. To calculate this, take the total assessed valuation and multiply that by 1.25 percent for non-unified School Districts or 2.5 percent for unified School Districts, rounded to two decimal places.
c. The School District's unduplicated Pupil percentage as determined for

purposes of the local control funding formula pursuant to Education Code Section 42238.02, rounded to two decimal places.

d. The School District's Pupil enrollment, pursuant to Section 1859.70.5(a)(3) based on the latest CBEDS enrollment data as it would have been reported using the criteria in Parts A, C and D of the Form SAB 50-01. Applications filed on or after November 1 must include the current school year enrollment.

e. Check Yes or No to indicate whether the project includes the use of a Project Labor Agreement for this application. If the School District indicates it intends to have a Project Labor Agreement, but does not yet, it will be audited for compliance and the funding will be adjusted accordingly if it does not have a Project Labor Agreement.

14. Project Progress Dates

a. Enter the date(s) the construction contract(s) was awarded for this project(s). If a construction contract has not been executed, enter N/A. (If the space provided is not sufficient for all applicable contract dates, please list all dates on a separate attachment to this form.)

b. Enter the issue date(s) for the Notice to Proceed for the construction phase of the project, or enter N/A if a Notice to Proceed has not been issued.

15. Prevailing Wage Monitoring and Enforcement Costs

If the construction contract(s) for this project was awarded on January 1, 2012 through June 19, 2014, check the appropriate box to indicate which of the following methods was or is being used to meet the requirement for prevailing wage monitoring and enforcement pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.3 in effect on January 1, 2012 through June 19, 2014:

- DIR Public Works administration and enforcement
- A collective bargaining agreement that meets the criteria set forth in Labor Code Section 1771.3(b)(3) in effect on January 1, 2012 through June 19, 2014.

16. Construction Delivery Method

Check the box that best represents the construction delivery method that the district has or will use for this project, if known.

17. Certification

The District Representative must complete this section. For additional information regarding School District certifications, refer to the SFP handbook located on OPSC website at www.dgs.ca.gov/opsc.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

STATE ALLOCATION BOARD
OFFICE OF PUBLIC SCHOOL CONSTRUCTION

APPLICATION FOR SMALL SCHOOL DISTRICT PROGRAM PRELIMINARY APPORTIONMENT

SCHOOL FACILITY PROGRAM

SAB 50-12 (New XX/26)

The School District named below applies to the State Allocation Board via the Office of Public School Construction for a grant under the provisions of Chapter 12.5, Part 10, Division 1, commencing with Section 17070.10, et seq., of the Education Code and the Regulations thereto.

SCHOOL DISTRICT		PRELIMINARY APPLICATION NUMBER
SCHOOL NAME		PROJECT TRACKING NUMBER
COUNTY	DISTRICT REPRESENTATIVE'S E-MAIL ADDRESS	HIGH SCHOOL ATTENDANCE AREA (HSAA) (IF APPLICABLE)

1. Type of Application – Check Only One

☐ Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment (New Construction)
☐ Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment (Modernization)

2. Type of Project

a. ☐ Elementary School
☐ Middle School
☐ High School

Total Pupils Assigned:
TK–6 _____
7–8 _____
9–12 _____
Non-Severe _____
Severe _____

b. ☐ 50 Years or Older Building Funding (Modernization Only)
Total Eligible Classrooms/Square Footage: _____
Classroom/Square Footage at Least 50 Years Old: _____
Ratio of 50 Years Old Classrooms/Square Footage: _____%

From 2a above, how many are 50 Year or Older Pupil Grants?
TK–6: _____
7–8: _____ Non-Severe: _____
9–12: _____ Severe: _____

c. Included in 2a above, how many Pupils are generated by the Alternative Enrollment Projection? (New Construction Only)
TK–6: _____
7–8: _____ Non-Severe: _____
9–12: _____ Severe: _____

d. If the request is to replace 75 Years or Older Facilities (Modernization Only)
Number of existing Classrooms being demolished _____
The total Square Footage of non-classroom space being demolished _____
The number of Classrooms being constructed _____
The total Square Footage of non-classroom space being constructed _____

e. Is this a 6–8 school? ☐ Yes ☐ No
If you answered yes, how many TK–6 Pupils reported above are sixth graders? _____
Is this an Alternative Education School? ☐ Yes ☐ No

f. Project to be located on:
☐ Leased Site
☐ New Site
☐ Existing Site with Additional Acreage Acquired
☐ Existing Site with No Additional Acreage Acquired

3. Number of Classrooms/Useable Acres

Number of Classrooms: _____
Existing Acres (Useable): _____
Proposed Acres (Useable): _____

4. Type of Financial Hardship Request

☐ Submittal pending OPSC approval pursuant to Section 1859.81(h)

5. Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment – New Construction Only

a. Therapy:
Toilets (sq. ft.) _____
Other (sq. ft.) _____

b. ☐ Multilevel Construction

c. ☐ Project Assistance

d. ☐ Project and Construction Management

e. Site Acquisition
(1) 50 percent Actual Cost: $ _____
(2) 50 percent Appraised Value or Median Cost: $ _____
(3) Relocation/DTSC Cost:
☐ 15 percent
☐ 50 percent of specific or historical cost: $ _____
(4) 50 percent hazardous material clean-up: $ _____
☐ Response Action (RA)

f. Site Development
- ☐ 50 percent of specific or historical cost: $ _____
- ☐ $70,000 per proposed usable acre
- ☐ 35 percent of New Construction base grant
- ☐ General Site

g. ☐ Percentage Above Energy Efficiency for each building: _____
Energy Code Compliance Approach Used (select one):
- ☐ Prescriptive Only
- ☐ Prescriptive/Performance
- ☐ Performance Only (with the exception of mandatory prescriptive measures)

OPSC CA-CHPS Score (Indicate Points): _____

h. ☐ Automatic Fire Detection/Alarm System
- ☐ Automatic Sprinkler System

6. Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment - Modernization Only

a. ☐ Project Assistance

b. ☐ Project and Construction Management

c. ☐ Percentage Above Energy Efficiency for each building: _____
Energy Code Compliance Approach Used (select one):
- ☐ Prescriptive Only
- ☐ Prescriptive/Performance
- ☐ Performance Only (with the exception of mandatory prescriptive measures)

OPSC CA-CHPS Score (Indicate Points): _____

d. ☐ Site Development (utilities) – 50 Years or Older Buildings

e. ☐ Site Development—75 Years or Older pursuant to 1859.78.7.1:
- ☐ 35 percent of base grant pursuant to 1859.78.7.1(b) and (d); or,
- ☐ 60 percent of minimum work $ _____

f. ☐ Automatic Fire Detection/Alarm System

g. ☐ Minimum Essential Facility
- ☐ Multipurpose
- ☐ Gymnasium
- ☐ Library
- ☐ Kitchen
- ☐ Hybrid: _____

Toilet (sq. ft.): _____

Other (sq. ft.): _____
Construction Type
- ☐ Permanent
- ☐ Portable

☐ Site Development pursuant to Section 1859.78.9.1
- ☐ 35 percent of supplemental grant; or,
- ☐ 60 percent of minimum work $ _____

h. 1) Transitional Kindergarten Classroom(s)

☐ New Construction
Number of Classroom(s): _____
- ☐ Automatic Fire Detection/Alarm System
- ☐ Automatic Sprinkler System
- ☐ Multilevel Classrooms(s) Construction: _____

☐ Retrofit
Number of Classroom(s): _____
- ☐ Automatic Fire Detection/Alarm System
- ☐ 50-year-old utilities

☐ Site Development pursuant to Section 1859.78.9.2
- ☐ 35 percent of supplemental grant; or,
- ☐ 60 percent of minimum work $ _____

h. 2) Enrollment Data for Site

Grade	3rd Prev. /	2nd Prev. /	Previous /	Current /
TK				
K				
1				
2				
3				
TOTAL	0	0	0	0

i. ☐ Career Technical Education Components – 60 percent: $ _____

7. Additional Project Information – Excessive Cost Hardship Request

New Construction Only
- ☐ Geographic Percent Factor: _____ %
- ☐ New School Project [Section 1859.83(c)(1)]
- ☐ New School Project [Section 1859.83(c)(2)]
- ☐ Small Size Project
- ☐ Urban/Security/Impacted Site;
  If a new site, $ _____ per Useable Acre [Section 1859.83(d)(2)(C)]

Modernization Only
- ☐ Geographic Percent Factor: _____ %
- ☐ Small Size Project
- ☐ Urban/Security/Impacted site
- ☐ Accessibility/Fire Code
  - ☐ 3 percent of base grant; or,
  - ☐ 60 percent of minimum work $ _____
- ☐ Number of 2-Stop Elevators: _____
- ☐ Number of Additional Stops: _____

8. Prior Apportionment

Site/Design—New Construction: 50/ _____
Design—Modernization: 57/ _____

9. Alternative Developer Fee—New Construction Only

Alternative developer fee collected and reportable pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.77: $ _____

10. Adjustment to New Construction Baseline Eligibility

Classroom(s) provided:

Additional		Replacement	
TK–6:	_____	TK–6:	_____
7–8:	_____	7–8:	_____
9–12:	_____	9–12:	_____
Non-Severe:	_____	Non-Severe:	_____
Severe:	_____	Severe:	_____

Construction Contract(s) for the project signed on:

_____ _____

11. Pending Reorganization Election – New Construction Only

☐ Yes ☐ No

12. Joint-Use Facility/Leased Property

a. ☐ Joint-Use Facility

b. ☐ Leased Property

13. Local Funding Adjustment Grant

a. Total Assessed Valuation: _____

b. School District's gross bonding capacity, (rounded to the nearest whole dollar): _____

c. School District's unduplicated Pupil percentage, rounded to two decimal places: _____ %

d. School District's enrollment: _____

e. Does this project include the use of a Project Labor Agreement?

☐ Yes ☐ No

14. Project Progress Dates

a. Construction Contract(s) awarded on: _____
(If the space provided is not sufficient for all applicable contract dates, please list all dates on a separate attachment to this form.)

b. Notice(s) to Proceed issued on: _____

15. Prevailing Wage Monitoring and Enforcement Costs

If the Construction Contract(s) was awarded on January 1, 2012 through June 19, 2014, please indicate which monitoring requirement was or is being used, pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.3 in effect on January 1, 2012 through June 19, 2014:

☐ DIR Public Works administration and enforcement

☐ DIR approved District LCP

☐ Collective bargaining agreement, pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.3(b)(3) in effect on January 1, 2012 through June 19, 2014.

16. Construction Delivery Method

☐ Design-Bid-Build

☐ Design-Build

☐ Developer Built

☐ Lease Lease-Back

☐ Energy Performance Contract

☐ This project include sor will include piggyback contract(s) as defined in Section 1859.2

☐ Other: _____

17. Certification

I certify, as the District Representative, that the information reported on this form is true and correct and that:

- I am an authorized representative of the School District as authorized by the governing board of the School District; and,
- A resolution or other appropriate documentation supporting this application under Chapter 12.5, Part 10, Division 1, Article 11, commencing with Section 17070.10, et. seq., of the Education Code was adopted by the School District's governing board or the designee of the Superintendent of Public Instruction on, _____; and,
- The School District will establish a "Restricted Maintenance Account" for exclusive purpose of providing ongoing and major maintenance of school buildings and has developed an ongoing and major maintenance plan that complies with and is implemented under the provisions of Education Code Section 17070.75 and 17070.77 (Refer to Sections 1859.100 through 1859.102); and,
- The School District has or will consider the feasibility of the joint use of land and facilities with other governmental agencies in order to minimize school facility costs: and,
- If this funding request is for the Modernization of a Portable Classroom(s) eligible for an additional Apportionment pursuant to Education Code Section 17073.15, the School District certifies that (check the applicable box below):
  - ☐ 1. The state Modernization funds will be used to replace the Portable Classroom(s) and permanently remove the displaced Portables from the classroom use within six months of the filing of the Notice of Completion for the project; or,
  - ☐ 2. It has provided documentation to the Office of Public School Construction which indicates that modernizing the Portable Classroom(s) eligible for an additional Apportionment is better use of public resources than the replacement of these facilities.
- All contracts entered on or after November 4, 1998 for the service of any architect structural engineer or other design professional for any work under the project have been obtained pursuant to a competitive process that is consistent with the requirements of Chapter 10 (commencing with Section 4525) of Division 5, of Title 1, of the Government Code; and,
- If this request is for new construction funding, the School District has received approval of the site and the plans from CDE. Plan approval is not required if request is for separate design Apportionment; and,
- The School District has or will comply with the Public Contract Code regarding all laws governing the use of force account labor; and,
- This School District has or will comply with Education Code Section 17076.11 regarding at least a 3 percent expenditure goal for disabled veteran business enterprises; and,
- The School District matching funds are required or will be required to be met pursuant to Sections 1859.77.1 or 1859.79 and either need to be expended by the School District, deposited in the County School Facility Fund, or will need to be expended by the School District prior to the notice of completion for the project
- The School District acknowledges this request has or will be subject to the material inaccuracy penalty provisions in Section 1859.104.1; and
- All school facilities purchased or newly constructed under the project for use by Pupils who are individuals with exceptional needs, as defined in Education Code Section 56026, shall be designed and located on the school site so as to maximum interaction between those individuals with exceptional needs and other Pupils as appropriate to the needs of both; and,
- This form is an exact duplicate (verbatim) of the form provided by the OPSC. In the event a conflict should exist, the language in the OPSC form will prevail; and,
- The School District understands that some or all the State funding for the project must be returned to the State as a result of an audit pursuant to Section 1859.157.5; and,
- The School District has or will comply with the provisions of Sections 1859.76 and 1859.79.2 and that the portion of the project funded by the State does not contain work specifically prohibited in those Sections; and,
- If the Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment is requested for the construction of school facilities on leased land, the School District has or will enter into a lease agreement for the leased property that meets the requirements of Section 1859.22; and,
- If the Application contains a "Use of New Construction Grant" request, the School District has adopted a school board resolution and housing plan at a public hearing at a regularly scheduled meeting of the governing board on _____ as specified in Sections 1859.77.2, or 1859.77.3, as appropriate. The School District's approved housing plan is as indicated (check all that apply):

☐ 1. The School District will construct or acquire facilities for housing the Pupils with funding not otherwise available to the SFP as a School District match within five years of project approval by the SAB and the School District must identify the source of the funds. [Applicable for Sections 1859.77.2(a) and (b) and 1859.77.3(a) and (b)]

☐ 2. The School District will utilize higher School District loading standards providing the loading standards are within the approved School District's teacher contract and do not exceed 33:1 per classroom. [Applicable for Sections 1859.77.2(a) and (b) and 1859.73(a) and (b)].

☐ 3. The Pupils requested from a different grade level will be housed in Classrooms at an existing school in the School District which will have its grade level changed, to the grade level requested, at the completion of the proposed SFP project. [Applicable for Sections 1859.77.2(b) and 1859.77.3(b)],

- If the School District requests a reservation now and is planning to request additional funding for fire code requirements pursuant to Sections 1859.71.2 or 1859.78.4 at the time of conversion, the School District understands that they will need to include the automatic fire detection/alarm system and/or automatic sprinkler system in the project prior to completion of the project and on the conversion Form SAB 50-04 application; and,
- The School District has or will receive the necessary approval of the plans and specifications from the Division of the State Architect; and,
- If the School District is requesting site acquisition funds as part of this application, the School District has or will comply with Sections 1859.74 through 1859.75.1 as appropriate; and,
- The School District understands that the lack of substantial progress toward increasing the Pupil capacity or renovation of its facilities within 18 months of receipt of any funding shall be cause for the rescission of the unexpended funds (refer to Section 1859.105); and,
- The School District has or will utilize the Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment for new construction or Modernization purposes; and,
- The statements set forth in this application and supporting documents are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief; and,
- The School District has consulted with the career technical advisory committee established pursuant to Education Code Section 8070 and the need for vocational and career technical facilities is being adequately met in accordance with Education Code Sections 51224, 51225.3(b), and 51228(b), and 52336.1; and,
- If the School District is requesting a reservation now and is planning to request an Additional Grant for Energy Efficiency pursuant to Sections 1859.71.3 or 1859.78.5 at the time of conversion, the plans and specifications exceed the nonresidential building energy-efficiency standards specified in Part 6 of Title 24 of the California Code of Regulations and the increased costs for the energy efficiency components in the project must exceed the amount of funding otherwise available to the School District at the time the conversion Form SAB 50-04 application is reviewed; and,
- If this application is submitted after January 1, 2004 for Modernization funding, the School District has or will consider the potential for the presence of lead-containing materials in the modernization project and will follow all relevant federal, state, and local standards for the management of any identified lead; and,
- The School District has initiated and enforced an LCP that has been approved by the DIR, pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.7, if the project is funded from Propositions 47 or 55 and the Notice to Proceed for the construction phase of the project is issued on or after April 1, 2003 and before January 1, 2012; and,
- The School District has contracted with the DIR for prevailing wage monitoring and enforcement pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.3(a) in effect on January 1, 2012 through June 19, 2014, if the construction contract was awarded on January 1, 2012 through June 19, 2014 and the School District has not obtained a waiver for the requirement, pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.3(b) in effect on January 1, 2012 through June 19, 2014. The School District understands that if it fails to meet this requirement, it will be required to repay all state bond funds received including interest; and,
- Beginning with the 2005/2006 fiscal year, the School District has or will comply with Education Code Section 17070.75(e) by establishing a facilities inspection system to ensure that each of its schools is maintained in good repair; and,
- The School District has or will consider the feasibility of using designs and materials for the new construction or modernization project that promote the efficient use of energy and water, maximum use of natural light and indoor air quality, the use of recycled materials and materials that emit a minimum of toxic substances, the use of acoustics conducive to teaching and learning, and the other characteristics of high performance schools; and,
- The School District has or will comply with all laws pertaining to the construction or Modernization of its school building.
- If the School District marked the box for 'Yes' in Section 14e. of this application that it has or will include a project labor agreement, the use of a project labor agreement will be verified as part of the audit required in EC Section 41024. If it is determined that a project labor agreement was not used at the time of conversion, any additional Local Share Adjustment Grant funding provided as a result of the points assigned to the project to determine the matching share percentage would be required to be returned, with interest (based on the interest rate earned in the Pooled Money Investment Account at the time of fund release) until funds are returned.
- If the School District is requesting a reservation now and is planning to request the Modernization supplemental grant for Minimum Essential Facilities pursuant to Section 1859.78.9.1 as part of this Application, the School District certifies that any Classrooms displaced or that will be displaced as a result of reconfiguration will be replaced in the same plan set, or the School District certifies that the Classrooms will not be replaced due to a demonstrated lack of need and the School District acknowledges that no adjustment to the School District's New Construction Eligibility would be made for the removal of these Classrooms; and,
- If this funding request is requesting a reservation now and is planning to request for the retrofit of kindergarten classrooms, the School District certifies that the classrooms did not or will not meet design requirements for a kindergarten classroom at the time they were built or previously retrofitted to meet kindergarten standards; and,
- If this funding request is requesting a reservation now and is planning to request for new or retrofitted Transitional Kindergarten classrooms, the School District certifies that _____ classrooms were or will be constructed or previously altered to meet the requirements for a kindergarten classroom; and,
- With regards to providing Transitional Kindergarten instruction as pursuant to Section 1859.78.9.2, the School District certifies that the School District is currently or will provide Transitional Kindergarten at the project site; and,
- The School District understands that if the submittal of this Application for a Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment will result in the need for a return of an Application with the same scope of work that was previously submitted to OPSC prior to the effective date for the Small School District Program, the School District is responsible for ensuring that they comply with Section 1859.107 for the return of the Application with the same scope of work. Failure to report this information may result in a delay for the processing of this Application by OPSC and/or the return of this Application unprocessed by OPSC; and,
- The School District understands that an offset for prior funding received on a previously funded new construction site and/or design or Modernization design application that is indicated in this application will occur on either the Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment or the Final Small School District Program Apportionment to adjust for prior funding that was previously received by the School District for the same scope of work being completed.
- The School District understands that when the Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment is converted to a Final Small School District Program Apportionment, the funding available for the Final Small

APPLICATION FOR SMALL SCHOOL DISTRICT PROGRAM PRELIMINARY APPORTIONMENT

SCHOOL FACILITY PROGRAM

School District Program Apportionment will be subject to the provisions of Sections 1859.157.7 and 1859.157.8; and,

- The School District has or will comply with the reporting requirements in Section 1859.158 and shall annually hold, at a regularly scheduled meeting of the School District's governing board, a public hearing to discuss, and receive public comment regarding the report(s); and,
- The School District understands that if the Project and Construction Management Grant is requested pursuant to 1859.157.1 or 1859.157.2, and the School District does not obtain project and/or construction management services from a County Office of Education, other Local Educational Agency with applicable school facilities construction expertise, applicable State Department, or a certified private construction consulting entity, or expend funds on these services, the total approved project costs will be reduced by the Project and Construction Management Grant and associated required matching share.
- As applicable, the district certifies that a Five-Year School Facilities Master Plan, pursuant to Education Code Section 17070.54, was approved by the governing board of the school district on _____ and was filed with OPSC on _____. Pursuant to SFP Regulation Section 1859.18(b), the district certifies that:

  ☐ There are no material changes to components of the Master Plan required in SFP Regulation Section 1859.18(d) since the date of governing board approval of the Master Plan, or

  ☐ There has been a material change(s) to components of the Master Plan required in SFP Regulation Section 1859.18(d) since the date of governing board approval of the Master Plan, and an updated Master Plan or governing board resolution to acknowledge and address these changes has been included as a component of this application, or

  ☐ This application is subject to an alternative Master Plan submittal timeline pursuant to SFP Regulation Section 1859.18.1 and a governing board resolution acknowledging any apportionment may be rescinded for failure to submit a valid Master Plan by the required timeline has been included as a component of this application.

NAME OF DISTRICT REPRESENTATIVE (PRINT)	PHONE NUMBER
SIGNATURE OF DISTRICT REPRESENTATIVE	DATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
APPLICATION FOR FUNDING
SCHOOL FACILITY PROGRAM
SAB 50-04 (REV XX/26~~08/25~~)

STATE ALLOCATION BOARD
OFFICE OF PUBLIC SCHOOL CONSTRUCTION

GENERAL INFORMATION

If this application is submitted when there is Insufficient Bond Authority, as defined in Regulation Section 1859.2, the School District must adopt and submit a school board resolution, pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.95.1. For information regarding remaining bond authority, contact the Office of Public School Construction (OPSC) prior to submittal of this application.

If not previously submitted, a district may file an application for modernization funding by use of this form concurrently with a determination of or an adjustment to the district's modernization eligibility. The district must submit a determination of or an adjustment to the district's new construction baseline eligibility upon request, as described in Regulation Sections 1859.51 or 1859.70, as applicable. The Board will only provide new construction funding if this form is submitted prior to the date of occupancy of any classrooms included in the construction contract. If the district has a pending reorganization election that will result in the loss of eligibility for the proposed project, the district must submit an adjustment to the district's new construction baseline eligibility as required in Section 1859.51 upon request. This may be accomplished by completion and submittal of Form SAB 50-01, Form SAB 50-02 and Form SAB 50-03 for the current enrollment year. Failure to submit the requested Forms may result in OPSC returning the funding application to the district unprocessed.

For purposes of Education Code Section 17073.25, the California Department of Education (CDE) is permitted to file modernization applications on behalf of the California Schools for the Deaf and Blind.

Requests for funding may be made as follows:

1. A separate apportionment for site acquisition for a new construction project for environmental hardship pursuant to Section 1859.75.1. For purposes of this apportionment, the following documents must be submitted with this form (as appropriate):
   - Form SAB 50-01, Form SAB 50-02 and Form SAB 50-03 (if not previously submitted).
   - Contingent site approval letter from the CDE.
   - Preliminary appraisal of property.
   - Approval letter from the Department of Toxic Substances Control.
2. A separate apportionment for site acquisition and/or design costs for a new construction project pursuant to Section 1859.81.1. This apportionment is available only to districts that meet the financial hardship criteria in Section 1859.81. Districts may apply for a separate apportionment for the design and for site acquisition on the same project. For purposes of this apportionment, the following documents must be submitted with this form (as appropriate):
   - Form SAB 50-01, Form SAB 50-02 and Form SAB 50-03 (if not previously submitted).
   - Contingent site approval letter from the CDE (site apportionment only).
   - Preliminary appraisal of property (site apportionment only).
3. A separate apportionment for district-owned site acquisition cost pursuant to Section 1859.81.2. For purposes of this apportionment, the following documents must be submitted with this form (as appropriate):
   - Form SAB 50-01, Form SAB 50-02 and Form SAB 50-03 (if not previously submitted).
   - Site approval letter from the CDE.
   - Appraisal of district-owned site.
   - Cost benefit analysis as prescribed in Section 1859.74.6 or a copy of the Board finding that the non-school function on the district-owned site must be relocated.
4. A separate apportionment for design cost for a modernization project pursuant to Section 1859.81.1. This apportionment is available only to districts that meet the financial hardship criteria in Section 1859.81. For purposes of this apportionment, the Form SAB 50-03 must accompany this form (if not previously submitted).
5. A New Construction Adjusted Grant pursuant to Section 1859.70 or 1859.180. If the funding request includes site acquisition, the proposed site must either be owned by the district, in escrow, or the district has filed condemnation proceedings and received an order of possession of the site. For purposes of this apportionment, the following documents must be submitted with this form (as appropriate):
   - Form SAB 50-01, Form SAB 50-02 and Form SAB 50-03.
   - Site/plan approval letter from the CDE.
   - Appraisal of property if requesting site acquisition funds.
   - Plans and specifications (P&S) for the project that were approved by the DSA. Submittal of plans may be on CD-ROM or "Zip Drive" readable in AutoCAD 14. The specifications may be provided on a diskette that is IBM compatible.
   - Cost estimate of proposed site development, if requesting site development funding.
   - If this request is pursuant to Section 1859.77.2 and the district's housing plan is other than those listed in the certification section of this form, a copy of the school board resolution and the approved housing plan.
   - If the site apportionment is requested pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.74.5, a cost benefit analysis as prescribed in Regulation Section 1859.74.6 or a copy of the Board finding that the non-school function on the district-owned site must be relocated.
   - If this request is fully or partially based on eligibility derived from an Alternative Enrollment Projection, a justification of how the project relieves overcrowding, including but not limited to, the elimination of the use of Concept 6 calendars, four track year-round calendars, or bussing in excess of 40 minutes.
   - Written confirmation from the district's career technical advisory committee indicating that the need for vocational and career technical facilities is being adequately met within the district consistent with Education Code Sections 51224, 51225.3(b), 51228(b), and 52336.1.
6. For purposes of the Overcrowding Relief Grant (ORG), districts must submit the Overcrowding Relief Grant District-Wide Eligibility Determination (Form SAB 50-11) prior to the submittal of this funding application. In addition, districts must have had the CDE deem the site eligible for the ORG (pursuant to Section 1859.181) prior to the submittal of this application. For purposes of this apportionment, the following documents must be submitted with this form as well as the documents listed in section 5 above:
   - Overcrowding Relief Grant Eligibility Determination Form approved by the CDE.
   - Copies of the supporting documentation provided to the CDE when determining the density of the site, including the site diagram.

   The district is not required to submit its current CBEDS enrollment data.
7. Modernization Adjusted Grant pursuant to Section 1859.70. For purposes of this apportionment, the following documents must be submitted with this form (as appropriate):
   - Form SAB 50-03 (if not previously submitted).
   - P&S for the project that were approved by the DSA.
   - If the request includes funding for accessibility and fire code requirement pursuant to Section 1859.83(f), the DSA approved list of the minimum accessibility work required and a detailed cost estimate for the work in the plans.

- DSA approval letter for elevator to meet access compliance, if funding is requested.
- Cost estimate of the proposed site development necessary for the Reconfiguration of an existing high school.
- Plan approval letter from the CDE.
- Districtwide enrollment data on Form SAB 50-01 when requesting project assistance (if not previously submitted).
- If the request includes funding for 50 year old permanent buildings pursuant to Section 1859.78.6, a site diagram identifying all buildings to be modernized in the project. The diagram must specify those buildings that are at least 50 years old.
- Written confirmation from the district's career technical advisory committee indicating that the need for vocational and career technical facilities is being adequately met within the district consistent with Education Code Sections 51224, 51225.3(b), 51228(b), and 52336.1.
- For requests to replace 75 Years or Older Building(s) per Section 1859.78.7.1, the district must submit the following:
  - A completed *75 Years or Older Building Cost Estimate and Cost/Benefit Analysis* (Form SAB 57-75).
  - A copy of the demolition plans, or other supporting documentation, that demonstrate the demolition of the qualifying 75 Years or Older Building(s).
  - A detailed cost estimate that delineates the cost associated with the work to demolish and replace the qualifying 75 Years or Older Building(s), inclusive of the allowable site development costs per Section 1859.78.7.1, apart from the other Modernization work in the DSA-approved plans.
- If the request includes funding for the construction of a Minimum Essential Facility (MEF) per Section 1859.78.9.1, or a Transitional Kindergarten classroom(s) per Section 1859.78.9.2, the district must submit a detailed cost estimate that delineates the cost associated with the work for the qualifying facility(s) in the DSA-approved plans apart from the other Modernization work in the DSA-approved plans.

8. Final Charter School Apportionment for Charter School Facilities Rehabilitation pursuant to Section 1859.167.1. For purposes of this apportionment, the following documents must be submitted with this form (as appropriate):

- P&S for the project that were approved by DSA.
- If the request includes funding for accessibility and fire code requirement pursuant to Section 1859.167.3(d), the DSA approved list of the minimum accessibility work required and a detailed cost estimate for the work in the plans.
- DSA approval letter for elevator to meet access compliance, if funding is requested.
- High performance incentive (HPI) scorecard from DSA.
- Plan approval letter from the CDE.
- Construction cost estimate signed by the architect of record or design professional.
- Determination of financial soundness from the California School Finance Authority (CSFA).
- Written confirmation from the applicant's career technical advisory committee indicating that the need for vocational and career technical facilities is being adequately met within the district consistent with Education Code Sections 51224, 51225.3(b), 51228(b), and 52336.1.

9. If the application includes a request for Financial Hardship, the district must comply with the requirements of Section 1859.81.

- If the application is submitted when there is Insufficient Bond Authority, as defined in Section 1859.2, the district must adopt a school board resolution pursuant to Section 1859.95.1(b).

If the district is requesting New Construction funding after the initial baseline eligibility was approved by the Board and the district's current CBEDS enrollment reporting year is later than the enrollment reporting year used to determine the district's baseline eligibility or adjusted eligibility, the district must complete a new Form SAB 50-01 based on the current year CBEDS enrollment data, and submit it to the OPSC with this form. In addition, if the district's request is fully or partially based on eligibility derived from an Alternative Enrollment Projection, the district must update the Alternative Enrollment Projection to correspond with the CBEDS enrollment data for the current year. A small district with 2,500 or less enrollment as defined in Section 1859.2 will not have its eligibility reduced for a period of ~~three~~ five years from the date the district's baseline eligibility was approved by the Board as a result of reduction in projected enrollment.

For a list of the documents that must be submitted in order for the OPSC to deem a funding request for new construction or modernization complete and ready for OPSC processing, consult the SFP handbook and other information located on the OPSC Web site at www.dgs.ca.gov/opsc.

For purposes of completing this form for a Final Charter School Apportionment, a charter school shall be treated as a school district.

SPECIFIC INSTRUCTIONS

The district must assign a Project Tracking Number (PTN) to this project. The same PTN is used by the OPSC, the DSA and the CDE for all project applications submitted to those agencies to track a particular project through the entire state application review process. If the district has already assigned a PTN to this project by prior submittal of the P&S to either the DSA or the CDE for approval, use that PTN for this application submittal. If no PTN has been previously assigned for this project, a PTN may be obtained from the OPSC Web site at www.dgs.ca.gov/opsc "PT Number Generator."

1. **Type of Application**

Check the appropriate box that indicates the type of School Facility Program (SFP) grant the district is requesting for purposes of new construction, modernization, a separate design and/or site apportionment, site apportionment as an environmental hardship or New Construction (Final Apportionment). If the application is for the modernization of school facilities and includes facilities that are eligible for an additional apportionment pursuant to Section 1859.78.8, include a site diagram with this application that specifies the age of each facility eligible for modernization. The diagram should also indicate the date of its original DSA plan approval and the date the facility received its prior modernization apportionment. If known include the project modernization number on the diagram. If the application is for modernization of a California School for the Deaf or Blind, the CDE shall check the box identified as Modernization of California Schools for the Deaf/Blind. If the request is for a separate design apportionment, the CDE shall check the appropriate box. If the eligibility for this project was established as a result of a health and safety threat pursuant to Section 1859.82.1, or a seismic replacement or seismic rehabilitation for the Most Vulnerable Category 2 Buildings pursuant to Section 1859.82.2, and/or the request is for a conceptual approval for a Facility Hardship application pursuant to Section 1859.82.3(a) or a Seismic Mitigation Program application pursuant to Section 1859.82.3(b), check the appropriate box(es).

If this request is for an addition to an existing site and advance funding for the evaluation and RA costs, check the appropriate box and refer to Section 1859.84.

If this request is for an Overcrowding Relief Grant, check the New Construction (Overcrowding Relief Grant) box.

If this request is to convert a Preliminary Apportionment or a Preliminary Charter School Apportionment to a Final Apportionment, check the New Construction Final Apportionment, New Construction Final Charter School Apportionment or the Rehabilitation Final Charter School Apportionment box, as appropriate.

If the district is requesting a separate site and/or design apportionment, complete boxes 2a, 3, 4, the site acquisition data in box 5 (d and e), and boxes 13, 14, 15, 16, and 24 only.

2. **Type of Project**

a. Select the type of project that best represents this application request and enter the total number of pupils assigned to the project for each grade group. Include pupils to be housed in a new or replacement school authorized by Section 1859.82 (a). The amount entered cannot exceed the district's baseline eligibility determined on Form SAB 50-03 and will be the basis for the amount of the new construction or modernization grants provided for the project.

If this request is for a Final Apportionment, the pupils assigned to the project must be at least 75 percent, but not more than 100 percent, of the pupils that received the Preliminary Apportionment. Refer to Section 1859.147.

For ORG projects, the amount entered cannot exceed the Overcrowding Relief Pupil Eligibility (pursuant to Section 1859.182 and 1859.183) as reflected in the total number of eligible pupils determined by the Form SAB 50-11 or the CDE Overcrowding Relief Grant Eligibility Determination form.

For Charter School Facilities Program Rehabilitation, leave the number of pupils blank.

b. Check the box if the project is eligible for funding for 50 year or older permanent buildings and report, at the option of the district:

- The total number of eligible classrooms or the total eligible square footage building area at the site. Refer to Section 1859.78.6(b)(1)(A) or (b)(2)(A).
- The total number of permanent classrooms or the total permanent square footage building area that is at least 50 years old and not been previously modernized with state funds. Refer to Section 1859.78.6(b)(1)(B) or (b)(2)(B).
- Enter the greater percentage as calculated under Regulation Section 1859.78.6(b)(1)(C) or Regulation Section 1859.78.6(b)(2)(C).
- If this project includes eligible 50 year or older pupil grants, enter the appropriate number assigned to the project for each grade group. The number of pupils entered cannot exceed the cumulative number of 50 year or older permanent buildings pupil grants requested for all modernization funding applications for the site as determined by using the percentage factor above.

c. If this request includes pupil grants generated by an Alternative Enrollment Projection Method, enter the number of pupils by grade level.

d. Check the box if the project is eligible for funding for 75 Years or Older Buildings and report the following, if applicable:

- The total number of eligible classrooms and/or the total eligible square footage building area being demolished. Refer to Section 1859.78.7.1.
- The total number of eligible classrooms and/or the total eligible square footage building area being constructed. Refer to Section 1859.78.7.1.
- Enter the appropriate number assigned to the project for each grade group. The number of pupils entered cannot exceed the cumulative number of pupil grants requested in Section 2a. and determined by using the percentage factor above.

e. Indicate if this request is for funding of a 6–8 school and/or an Alternative Education School.

f. Check the applicable box if the district is requesting additional pupil grants assigned to the project that exceed the capacity of the project or if the pupils assigned represent eligibility determined at another grade level and check the appropriate box to indicate under which regulation the district is applying. The pupil capacity of the project may be determined by multiplying the classrooms reported in box 3 by 25 for K–6; 27 for 7–8, 9–12 grades; 13 for non-severe and 9 for severe.

g. Enter the square footage of the non-toilet area and toilet area contained in the Charter School Facilities Program Rehabilitation project.

h. Indicate the site scenario that best represents the project request.

i. For ORG projects, the district must provide the following information in the space provided:

- Name of the eligible school site(s) where portables will be replaced in this project
- Number of portables being replaced at each school site
- Number of site specific eligible pupils being requested for this project for each school site. The total number of site specific eligible pupils assigned to this project must equal the total number of pupils in Section 2a.

3. **Number of Classrooms**

Enter the:

- Number of classrooms as shown on the plans and specifications (P&S). If there was demolition at the site, report the net increase in the number of classrooms showing in the P&S.
- Master plan site size, as recommended by the California Department of Education.
- Recommended site size, as determined by the California Department of Education.
- Existing Useable Acres already owned at that location (if any).
- Proposed Useable Acres that was/will be purchased as part of the application (if any).

4. **Financial Hardship Request**

Check the appropriate box(es) if the district is requesting financial hardship assistance because it is unable to meet its matching share requirement.

- If the application includes a request for Financial Hardship, the district must comply with the requirements of Section 1859.81.
- If there is Insufficient Bond Authority for the type of application, check the second box and attach a school board resolution pursuant to Section 1859.95.1(b).

5. **New Construction Additional Grant Request**

Check the appropriate box(es) if the district requests an augmentation to the new construction grant for "additional" grants for the items listed. Refer to Sections 1859.72 through 1859.76 for eligibility criteria. Enter the:

a. Therapy area in square feet as provided in Section 1859.72.

b. Multilevel classrooms in the P&S pursuant to Section 1859.73.

c. Check the box if the district is requesting project assistance pursuant to Section 1859.73.1. If the district has not submitted a request for new construction baseline eligibility on a district-wide basis, it must submit a current Form SAB 50-01 based on district-wide enrollment data with this form.

d. If the project the district is requesting SFP funding for does not require an RA, refer to Section 1859.74. If a RA is required on a site that is not leased or an addition to an existing site, refer to Section 1859.74.2. If RAs are required on a leased site or an addition to an existing site, refer to Sections 1859.74.3 or

1859.74.4, respectively. The limitation of 50 percent may be exceeded when unforeseen circumstances exist, the CDE determines that the site is the best available site, and substantiation that the costs are the minimum required to complete the evaluation and RA.

1) Enter 50 percent of the actual cost.
2) Enter 50 percent of the appraised value of the site. If the request is made pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.74.5, enter 50 percent of the appraised value.
3) Enter 50 percent of the allowable relocation cost.
4) Enter two percent of the lesser of the actual cost or appraised value of the site (minimum $25,000).
5) Enter 50 percent of the Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC) fee for review and approval of the phase one environmental site assessment and preliminary endangerment assessment reports. Refer to Sections 1859.74, 1859.74.1, 1859.74.5, 1859.75, 1859.75.1 and 1859.81.1.

A project that received site acquisition funds under the Lease-Purchase Program (LPP) as a priority two project is not eligible for site acquisition funds under the SFP. A district-owned site acquired with LPP, SFP or Proposition 1A funds is not eligible for funding under Regulation Section 1859.74.5.

e. Enter 50 percent of the amount allowable for hazardous materials/waste removal and/or remediation for the site acquired pursuant to Sections 1859.74.2, 1859.74.3, 1859.74.4, 1859.75.1 or 1859.81.1. If an RA is required, check the box.

f. Enter 50 percent of eligible service-site development, off-site development including pedestrian safety paths and utilities costs allowed pursuant to Section 1859.76. Attach cost estimates of the proposed site development work which shall be supported and justified in the P&S. All cost estimates shall reflect 100 percent of the proposed work.
Check the box if the district is requesting an Additional Grant for General Site Development pursuant to Section 1859.76

g. If the district is requesting an Additional Grant for Energy Efficiency pursuant to Section 1859.71.3, enter the percentage of energy efficiency that exceeds Title 24 requirements as prescribed in Section 1859.71.3(a)(3).

h. Check the box(es) if the district requests and the project qualifies for additional funding for fire code requirements authorized in Section 1859.71.2.

i. If the district is requesting an Additional Grant for High Performance Incentive pursuant to Section 1859.70.4, enter the number of high performance points as prescribed in Section 1859.71.6 or 1859.77.4, as appropriate, subject to Education Code Section 17070.965.

6. **Modernization Additional Grant Request**

a. Check the box if the district is requesting project assistance allowance pursuant to Section 1859.78.2. If the district has not submitted a request for new construction baseline eligibility on a district-wide basis, it must submit a current Form SAB 50-01 based on district-wide enrollment data with this form.

b. If the district is requesting an Additional Grant for Energy Efficiency pursuant to Section 1859.78.5, enter the percentage of energy efficiency that exceeds Title 24 requirements as prescribed in Section 1859.78.5(a)(3).

c. Check the box if the district requests an additional grant for site development utility cost necessary for the modernization of 50 years or older permanent building(s). Enter 60 percent of the eligible costs allowable pursuant to Section 1859.78.7(a).

d. Check the box if the district requests an additional grant for site development utility cost necessary for the replacement of a 75 Years or Older Building, if not already provided under the provisions pursuant to Section 1859.78.7(a). Enter 60 percent of the eligible costs allowable.

e. Check the box(es) if the district requests and the project qualifies for additional funding for fire code requirements authorized in Section 1859.78.4.

f. If the district is requesting an Additional Grant for High Performance Incentive pursuant to Section 1859.70.4, enter the number of high performance points as prescribed in Section 1859.77.4, subject to Education Code Section 17070.965.

g. Check the box if the district is requesting an Additional Grant for a Minimum Essential Facility pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.78.9.1. Check the box for the type of facility being requested (if the facility is a hybrid facility, select 'Hybrid' and indicate the type of facility on the line provided) and indicate the amount of eligible Toilet and Other square footage being built in the project. Check the appropriate box depending on whether the Minimum Essential Facility is permanent or portable construction. Check the box if the district is requesting an additional grant for Site Development pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.78.9.1. At the district's option, the district may request 35 percent of the Minimum Essential Facility supplemental grant or enter 60 percent of the amount calculated pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.78.9.1(b)(7) or 1859.78.9.1(c)(7). Applicants who are requesting to convert a Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment to a Final Small School District Program Apportionment shall use the enrollment determined by Section 1859.157.4(d)(1).

h. 1) Check the box if the district is requesting an Additional Grant for Transitional Kindergarten classrooms for the construction of a new transitional kindergarten classroom(s) and/or retrofit of an existing school facility to be a transitional kindergarten classroom(s). Check the appropriate boxes if the district is augmenting the grant with additional supplemental grants respective of the transitional kindergarten classroom project scope. Check the box if the district is requesting an additional grant for Site Development pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.78.9.2. The district may choose to request 35 percent of the Transitional Kindergarten supplemental grant, or enter 60 percent of minimum work amount, pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.78.9.2(c)(4) or 1859.78.9.2(c)(5).

h. 2) The information needed to complete this section is based on the latest California Basic Educational Data System (CBEDS) enrollment data. Applications filed on or after November 1st must include the current school year enrollment. Enter the CBEDS enrollment for grades TK-3 at the school site for the current year and the three prior years' TK–3 enrollment, as appropriate. Applicants who are requesting to convert a Preliminary Small School District Program Apportionment to a Final Small School District Program Apportionment shall use the enrollment determined by Section 1859.157.4(d)(2).

Requests for funding shall include the documents as follows:

- Site map that includes labelling of all facilities and their current use, and identifies all classrooms constructed or previously retrofitted to house kindergarten students.
- Narrative that explains the current classroom and facility usage at the site, what the School District plans to do with the project, and the resulting usage at the completion of the project.

7. **Excessive Cost Hardship Request**

Check the appropriate box to request an augmentation to the New Construction or Modernization Grants for an excessive cost hardship for the items listed. Refer to Section 1859.83 for eligibility criteria. Requests for excessive cost grants for accessibility requirements are allowed only if required by the Division of the State Architect (DSA). At the district's option, the district may request three percent of the modernization

base grant or enter the amount calculated pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.83(f). Attach a copy of the DSA approved list that shows the minimum work necessary for accessibility requirements.

If the request is for the excessive cost grant for a new Alternative Education school pursuant to Section 1859.83(c)(2) and the district wishes to request less than the maximum allowance, please submit a letter along with application indicating the desired amount.

8. **Charter School Facilities Program Rehabilitation – Additional Grant and Excessive Cost Hardship Request**

Additional Grant Request

a. If the applicant is requesting an Additional Grant for High Performance Incentive pursuant to Section 1859.77.4, enter the number of high performance points as prescribed in Section 1859.77.4.

Excessive Cost Hardship Request

Check the appropriate box to request an augmentation to the Charter School Facilities Program Rehabilitation grants for an excessive cost hardship for the items listed. Refer to Section 1859.167.4 for eligibility criteria.

b. Check the box if the applicant requests and qualifies for an Excessive Cost Hardship Grant due to Geographic Location pursuant to Section 1859.167.3(a).
c. Check the box if the applicant requests and qualifies for an Excessive Cost Hardship Grant for a small size project pursuant to Section 1859.167.3(b).
d. Check the box if the applicant requests and qualifies for an Excessive Cost Hardship Grant due to Urban Location, Security Requirements, and Impacted Site pursuant to Section 1859.167.3(c).
e. Check the box if the applicant requests and qualifies for an Excessive Cost Hardship Grant due to accessibility and fire code requirements pursuant to Section 1859.167.3(d). Requests for excessive cost grants for accessibility requirements are allowed only if required by the Division of the State Architect (DSA). At the applicant's option, the applicant may request three percent of the Charter School Facilities Program Rehabilitation Grant or enter 50 percent of the amount calculated pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.167.3(d)(2). Attach a copy of the DSA approved list that shows the minimum work necessary for accessibility requirements.

9. **Project Priority Funding Order**

Enter the priority order of this project in relation to other new construction applications submitted by the district on the same date. If applications are not received on the same date, the OPSC will assign a higher district priority to the application received first. Check the box(es) if the project meets the criteria outlined in Section 1859.92(c)(3),(4) and (6), as appropriate. This information is needed for purposes of priority points.

10. **Prior Approval Under the LPP**

If the project the district is requesting SFP grants for received a Phase P, S, or C approval under the LPP, report the application number of that project, regardless if the project actually received funding or was included on an "unfunded" list. Failure to report this information may delay the processing of the application by the OPSC.

11. **Prior Apportionment Under the SFP**

If the project received a separate apportionment under the SFP for either site and/ or design, or site environmental hardship, enter the application number of the project. Failure to report this information may delay the processing of the application by the OPSC.

12. **Preliminary Apportionment to a Final Apportionment**

If this request is to convert a Preliminary Apportionment to a Final Apportionment, enter the application number of the Preliminary Apportionment. Failure to report this information may delay the processing of the application by the OPSC.

13. **Alternative Developer Fee**

The district must report certain alternative fees collected pursuant to Government Code Section 65995.7, as of the date of application submittal to the OPSC. Refer to Section 1859.77 for details. Districts are advised that the OPSC may perform an audit of the developer fees collected prior to application approval by the Board.

14. **Adjustment to New Construction Baseline Eligibility**

Pursuant to Section 1859.51 certain adjustments to the district's new construction baseline eligibility must be made each time a district submits Form SAB 50-04, to the OPSC for SFP new construction or modernization grants. These adjustments are made by the OPSC based on information reported by the district on this form.

a. Report all classroom(s) provided after the district submitted its request for determination of its new construction baseline eligibility for the grades shown, or indicate N/A if there are none. Refer to Section 1859.51(i).

   In the additional classroom column, indicate the number of additional net classrooms provided if not previously reported.

   In the replacement classroom column, indicate the number of classrooms that were included in the determination of the district's new construction eligibility pursuant to Education Code Section 17071.75 but replaced in a locally funded project.

   Enter the date the initial construction contract was signed for additional or replacement classrooms.

15. **Pending Reorganization Election**

Complete only for new construction projects. Indicate if there is a pending reorganization election that will result in a loss of eligibility for this project. If the answer is "yes", the district must complete Form SAB 50-01, Form SAB 50-02 and Form SAB 50-03, to adjust the district's new construction baseline eligibility as a result of the reorganization and submit them with this form.

16. **Joint-Use Facility/Leased Property**

Check the box if:

a. The facilities to be constructed/modernized as part of this project will be for joint use by other governmental agencies.
b. The new construction or modernization grants will be used for facilities located or to be located on leased property.

17. **Project Progress Dates**

a. Enter the date(s) the construction contract(s) was awarded for this project(s). If a construction contract has not been executed, enter N/A. (If the space provided is not sufficient for all applicable contract dates, please list all dates on a separate attachment to this form.)
b. Enter the issue date(s) for the Notice to Proceed for the construction phase of the project, or enter N/A if a Notice to Proceed has not been issued.
c. If a construction contract was awarded prior to January 1, 2012, check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not the district has initiated and enforced a Labor Compliance Program (LCP) approved by the Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.7 for this project.

18. **Prevailing Wage Monitoring and Enforcement Costs**
If the construction contract(s) for this project was awarded on January 1, 2012 through June 19, 2014, check the appropriate box to indicate which of the following methods was or is being used to meet the requirement for prevailing wage monitoring and enforcement pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.3 in effect on January 1, 2012 through June 19, 2014:

- DIR Public Works administration and enforcement
- A DIR-approved internal LCP
- A collective bargaining agreement that meets the criteria set forth in Labor Code Section 1771.3(b)(3) in effect on January 1, 2012 through June 19, 2014.

19. **Construction Delivery Method**
Check the box that best represents the construction delivery method that the district has or will use for this project, if known.

20. **Career Technical Education Funds Request**
Indicate if Career Technical Education (CTE) funds will be requested for classroom(s) included in the plans and specifications for this project pursuant to Section 1859.193. If "Yes", enter the number of CTE classroom(s) shown on the P&S.

21. **Overcrowding Relief Grant Narrative**
The district must either provide an explanation in the space provided or attach a letter signed by the district representative detailing how this project will relieve overcrowding.

22. Local Funding Adjustment Grant
Enter the following:

a. The district's total assessed valuation, pursuant to Section 1859.70.5(a)(1). The district must provide a letter from the county auditor-controller that certifies the school district's total assessed valuation.

b. The district's gross bonding capacity, rounded to the nearest whole dollar. To calculate this, take the total assessed valuation and multiply that by 1.25% for non-unified school districts or 2.5% for unified school districts, rounded to two decimal places.

c. The district's unduplicated pupil percentage as determined for purposes of the local control funding formula pursuant to Education Code Section 42238.02, rounded to two decimal places.

d. The district's enrollment, pursuant to Section 1859.70.5(a)(3) based on the latest California Basic Educational Data System (CBEDS) enrollment data as it would have been reported using the criteria in Parts A, C and D of the Form SAB 50-01. Applications filed on or after November 1 must include the current school year enrollment.

e. Check Yes or No to indicate whether the project includes the use of a Project Labor Agreement for this application. If the district indicates it intends to have a Project Labor Agreement, but does not yet, it will be audited for compliance and the funding will be adjusted accordingly if it does not have a Project Labor Agreement.

23. Architect of Record or Licensed Architect Certification
The architect of record or the licensed architect must complete this section.

24. Architect of Record or Design Professional Certification
The architect of record or the appropriate design professional must complete this section.

25. Certification
The district representative must complete this section. For additional information regarding district certifications, refer to the SFP handbook located on the OPSC web site at www.dgs.ca.gov/opsc.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
APPLICATION FOR FUNDING
SCHOOL FACILITY PROGRAM
SAB 50-04 (REV XX/26~~08/25~~)

STATE ALLOCATION BOARD
OFFICE OF PUBLIC SCHOOL CONSTRUCTION

The school district named below applies to the State Allocation Board via the Office of Public School Construction for a grant under the provisions of Chapter 12.5, Part 10, Division 1, commencing with Section 17070.10, et seq., of the Education Code and the Regulations thereto.

SCHOOL DISTRICT		APPLICATION NUMBER
SCHOOL NAME		PROJECT TRACKING NUMBER
COUNTY	DISTRICT REPRESENTATIVE'S E-MAIL ADDRESS	HIGH SCHOOL ATTENDANCE AREA (HSAA) OR SUPER HSAA (IF APPLICABLE)

1. **Type of Application—Check Only One**
   - ☐ New Construction
   - ☐ New Construction (Final Apportionment)
   - ☐ New Construction (Final Charter School Apportionment)
   - ☐ New Construction (Overcrowding Relief Grant)
   - ☐ Rehabilitation (Final Charter School Apportionment)
   - ☐ Modernization
   - ☐ Modernization of California Schools for Deaf/Blind
   - ☐ Facility Hardship [Section 1859.82.1]
     - ☐ Conceptual Approval [Section 1859.82.3(a)]
     - ☐ Replacement Site
     - ☐ Replacement School Building(s):
       Toilets (sq. ft.) _____
       Other (sq. ft.) _____
     - ☐ Rehabilitation Costs: $_____
   - ☐ Seismic Mitigation [Section 1859.82.2]
     - ☐ Conceptual Approval [Section 1859.82.3(b)]
     - ☐ Replacement Site
     - ☐ Replacement School Building(s):
       Toilets (sq. ft.) _____
       Other (sq. ft.) _____
     - ☐ Seismic Rehabilitation Grant: $_____

   Separate Apportionment
   - ☐ Site Only—New Construction [Section 1859.81.1]
   - ☐ Site Only (District owned)—New Construction [Section 1859.81.2]
   - ☐ Site Only—Environmental Hardship [Section 1859.75.1]
   - ☐ Design Only—New Construction [Section 1859.81.1]
   - ☐ Design Only—New Construction with High Performance
   - ☐ Design Only—Modernization
   - ☐ Design Only—Modernization with High Performance
   - ☐ Design Only—Modernization of California Schools for Deaf/Blind
   - ☐ Design Only—Facility Hardship [Section 1859.82.1]
   - ☐ Design Only—Seismic Mitigation [Section 1859.82.2]
   - ☐ Advance Funding for Evaluation and RA

2. **Type of Project**
   a. ☐ Elementary School
      ☐ Middle School
      ☐ High School

      Total Pupils Assigned:
      K–6: _____
      7–8: _____
      9–12: _____
      Non-Severe: _____
      Severe: _____

   b. ☐ 50 Years or Older Building Funding (Modernization Only)
      Total Eligible Classrooms/Square Footage: _____
      Classroom/Square Footage at Least 50 Years Old: _____
      Ratio of 50 Years Old Classrooms/Square Footage: _____ %

      From 2a above, how many are 50 Year or Older Pupil Grants?
      K–6: _____
      7–8: _____ Non-Severe: _____
      9–12: _____ Severe: _____

   c. Included in 2a above, how many pupils are generated by the Alternative Enrollment Projection? (New Construction Only)
      K–6: _____
      7–8: _____ Non-Severe: _____
      9–12: _____ Severe: _____

   d. If the request is to replace 75 Years or Older Facilities (Modernization Only)
      Number of existing classrooms being demolished _____
      The total square footage of non-classroom space being demolished _____
      The number of classrooms being constructed _____
      The total square footage of non-classroom space being constructed _____

      From 2a above, how many are 75 Years or Older Pupil Grants?
      K–6: _____
      7–8: _____ Non-Severe: _____
      9–12: _____ Severe: _____

   e. Is this a 6–8 school? ☐ Yes ☐ No
      If you answered yes, how many K–6 pupils reported above are sixth graders? _____
      Is this an Alternative Education School? ☐ Yes ☐ No

   f. Is this a use of grant request pursuant to Section 1859.77.2? ☐ Yes ☐ No
      Is this request pursuant to Section 1859.77.2(c)? ☐ Yes ☐ No
      If yes, enter date of successful bond election: _____
      Is this a use of grant request pursuant to Section 1859.77.3? ☐ Yes ☐ No
      Is this request pursuant to Section 1859.77.3(c)? ☐ Yes ☐ No
      If yes, enter date of successful bond election: _____

   g. Charter School Facilities Program Rehabilitation Request:
      Toilets (sq. ft.) _____
      Other (sq. ft.) _____

   h. Project to be located on:
      - ☐ Leased Site
      - ☐ New Site
      - ☐ Existing Site with Additional Acreage Acquired
      - ☐ Existing Site with No Additional Acreage Acquired

   i. ORG Projects Only

NAME OF ELIGIBLE SCHOOL SITE(S)	NUMBER OF PORTABLES BEING REPLACED	NUMBER OF SITE SPECIFIC ELIGIBLE PUPILS BEING REQUESTED
	Total	~~266~~ 545

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
APPLICATION FOR FUNDING
SCHOOL FACILITY PROGRAM
SAB 50-04 (REV XX/26~~08/25~~)

STATE ALLOCATION BOARD
OFFICE OF PUBLIC SCHOOL CONSTRUCTION

3. **Number of Classrooms:** _____
Master Plan Acreage Site Size (Useable): _____
Recommended Site Size (Useable): _____
Existing Acres (Useable): _____
Proposed Acres (Useable): _____

4. **Type of Financial Hardship Request**
☐ Submittal pending OPSC approval pursuant to Section 1859.81(h)
☐ Submittal with school board resolution, pursuant to Section 1859.95.1 (Insufficient Bond Authority)

5. **New Construction Additional Grant Request—New Construction Only**
a. Therapy: Toilets (sq. ft.) _____
Other (sq. ft.) _____
b. Multilevel Construction (CRS): _____
c. ☐ Project Assistance
d. Site Acquisition:
(1) 50 percent Actual Cost: $ _____
(2) 50 percent Appraised Value: $ _____
(3) 50 percent Relocation Cost: $ _____
(4) 2 percent (min. $25,000): $ _____
(5) 50 percent DTSC Fee: $ _____
e. 50 percent hazardous waste removal: $ _____
☐ Response Action (RA)
f. Site Development
☐ 50 percent Service-Site: $ _____
☐ 50 percent Off-Site: $ _____
☐ 50 percent Utilities: $ _____
☐ General Site
g. ☐ Energy Efficiency: _____ %
h. ☐ Automatic Fire Detection/Alarm System
☐ Automatic Sprinkler System
i. ☐ High Performance Incentive (Indicate Points): _____

6. **Modernization Additional Grant Request**
a. ☐ Project Assistance
b. ☐ Energy Efficiency: _____ %
c. ☐ Site Development—60 percent utilities: $ _____
d. ☐ Site Development----75 Years or Older: $ _____
e. ☐ Automatic Fire Detection/Alarm System
f. ☐ High Performance Incentive (Indicate Points): _____
g. ☐ Minimum Essential Facility
☐ Multipurpose
☐ Gymnasium
☐ Library
☐ Kitchen
☐ Hybrid: _____
Toilet (sq. ft.): _____
Other (sq. ft.): _____
Construction Type
☐ Permanent
☐ Portable
☐ Site Development pursuant to Section 1859.78.9.1
☐ 35 percent of supplemental grant; or,
☐ 60 percent of minimum work $ _____

h. 1) Transitional Kindergarten Classroom(s)
☐ New Construction
Number of Classroom(s): _____
☐ Automatic Fire Detection/Alarm System
☐ Automatic Sprinkler System
☐ Multilevel Classrooms(s) Construction: _____
☐ Retrofit
Number of Classroom(s): _____
☐ Automatic Fire Detection/Alarm System
☐ 50-year-old utilities
☐ Site Development pursuant to Section 1859.78.9.2
☐ 35 percent of supplemental grant; or,
☐ 60 percent of minimum work $ _____

h. 2) Enrollment Data for Site

Grade	3rd Prev. /	2nd Prev. /	Previous /	Current /
TK				
K				
1				
2				
3				
TOTAL	0	0	0	0

7. **Excessive Cost Hardship Request**
New Construction Only
☐ Geographic Percent Factor: _____ %
☐ New School Project [Section 1859.83(c)(1)]
☐ New School Project [Section 1859.83(c)(2)]
☐ Small Size Project
☐ Urban/Security/Impacted Site;
If a new site, $ _____ per Useable Acre [Section 1859.83(d)(2)(C)]
Modernization Only
☐ Geographic Percent Factor: _____ %
☐ Small Size Project
☐ Urban/Security/Impacted site
☐ Accessibility/Fire Code
☐ 3 percent of base grant; or,
☐ 60 percent of minimum work $ _____
☐ Number of 2-Stop Elevators: _____
☐ Number of Additional Stops: _____

8. **Charter School Facilities Program Rehabilitation Additional Grant and Excessive Cost Hardship Request**

Additional Grant Request
a. ☐ High Performance Incentive (Indicate Points): _____

Excessive Cost Hardship Request
b. ☐ Geographic Percent Factor: _____ %
c. ☐ Small Size Project
d. ☐ Urban/Security/Impacted site
e. ☐ Accessibility/Fire Code
☐ 3 percent of base grant; or,
☐ 50 percent of minimum work $ _____
☐ Number of 2-Stop Elevators: _____
☐ Number of Additional Stops: _____

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
APPLICATION FOR FUNDING
SCHOOL FACILITY PROGRAM
SAB 50-04 (REV XX/26~~08/25~~)

STATE ALLOCATION BOARD
OFFICE OF PUBLIC SCHOOL CONSTRUCTION

9. **Project Priority Funding Order—New Construction Only**
Priority order of this application in relation to other new construction applications submitted by the district at the same time: # _____

Project meets:
☐ Density requirement pursuant to Section 1859.92(c)(3).
☐ Stock plans requirement pursuant to Section 1859.92(c)(4).
☐ Energy efficiency requirement pursuant to Section 1859.92(c)(6).

10. **Prior Approval Under the LPP**
New Construction: 22/_____
Modernization: 77/_____

11. **Prior Apportionment Under the SFP**
Site/Design—New Construction: 50/_____
Design—Modernization: 57/_____

12. **Preliminary Apportionment to Final Apportionment**
Preliminary Apportionment Application Number: # _____

13. **Alternative Developer Fee—New Construction Only**
Alternative developer fee collected and reportable pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.77: $ _____

14. **Adjustment to New Construction Baseline Eligibility**
a. Classroom(s) provided:

Additional		Replacement	
K–6:		K–6:	
7–8:		7–8	
9–12:		9–12	
Non-Severe:		Non-Severe	
Severe:		Severe	

Construction Contract(s) for the project signed on:
_____ _____

15. **Pending Reorganization Election—New Construction Only** ☐ Yes ☐ No

16. **Joint-Use Facility/Leased Property**
a. ☐ Joint-Use Facility
b. ☐ Leased Property

17. **Project Progress Dates**
a. Construction Contract(s) awarded on: _____
(If the space provided is not sufficient for all applicable contract dates, please list all dates on a separate attachment to this form.)
b. Notice(s) to Proceed issued on: _____
c. If the Construction Contract(s) was awarded prior to January 1, 2012, have you initiated and enforced an LCP approved by the DIR pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.7 for this project? ☐ Yes ☐ No

18. **Prevailing Wage Monitoring and Enforcement Costs**
If the Construction Contract(s) was awarded on January 1, 2012 through June 19, 2014, please indicate which monitoring requirement was or is being used, pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.3 in effect on January 1, 2012 through June 19, 2014:

☐ DIR Public Works administration and enforcement
☐ DIR approved District LCP
☐ Collective bargaining agreement, pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.3(b)(3) in effect on January 1, 2012 through June 19, 2014

19. **Construction Delivery Method**
☐ Design-Bid-Build
☐ Design-Build
☐ Developer Built
☐ Lease Lease-Back
☐ Energy Performance Contract
☐ This project includes or will include piggyback contract(s) as defined in Section 1859.2
☐ Other: _____

20. **Career Technical Education Funds Request**
Will CTE Funds be requested for classroom(s) included in the plans and specifications for this project? ☐ Yes ☐ No
Number of CTE classroom(s): _____

21. **Overcrowding Relief Grant Narrative**
_____
_____
_____
_____

22. Local Funding Adjustment Grant
a. Total Assessed Valuation: _____
b. District's gross bonding capacity, (rounded to the nearest whole dollar): _____
c. District's unduplicated pupil percentage, rounded to two decimal places: _____%
d. District's enrollment: _____
e. Does this project include the use of a Project Labor Agreement? ☐ Yes ☐ No

23. Architect of Record or Licensed Architect Certification
I certify as the architect of record for the project or as a licensed architect that:

- The P&S for this project were submitted to the OPSC by electronic medium (i.e., CD-ROM, zip disk or diskette) or as an alternative, if the request is for a modernization Grant, the P&S were submitted in hard copy to the OPSC.
- Any portion of the P&S requiring review and approval by the Division of the State Architect (DSA) were approved by the DSA on _____ (enter DSA approval date).
- Any portion of the P&S not requiring review and approval by the DSA meets the requirements of the California Code of Regulations, Title 24, including any access and fire code requirements.
- If the request is for a Modernization or Charter School Facilities Program Rehabilitation Grant, the P&S include the demolition of more classrooms than those to be constructed in the project, the difference is _____ classroom(s). (Indicate N/A if there are none.)
- If the request is for a Modernization or Charter School Facilities Program Rehabilitation Grant, the P&S include the construction of more classrooms than those to be demolished in the project, the difference is _____ classroom(s). (Indicate N/A if there are none.)

ARCHITECT OF RECORD OR LICENSED ARCHITECT (PRINT NAME)

SIGNATURE	DATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
APPLICATION FOR FUNDING
SCHOOL FACILITY PROGRAM
SAB 50-04 (REV XX/26~~08/25~~)

STATE ALLOCATION BOARD
OFFICE OF PUBLIC SCHOOL CONSTRUCTION

24. Architect of Record or Design Professional Certification

I certify as the architect of record for the project or the appropriate design professional, that:

- If the request is for a New Construction Grant, not including the ORG, I have developed a cost estimate of the proposed project which indicates that the estimated construction cost of the work in the P&S including deferred items (if any) relating to the proposed project, is at least 60 percent of the total grant amount provided by the State and the district's matching share, less site acquisition costs and the High Performance Base Incentive Grant. This cost estimate does not include site acquisition, planning, tests, inspection, or furniture and equipment and is available at the district for review by the OPSC.
- If the request is for a Modernization or Charter School Facilities Program Rehabilitation Grant, I have developed a cost estimate of the proposed project which indicates that the estimated construction cost of the work in the P&S, including deferred items and interim housing (if any) relating to the proposed project, is at least 60 percent of the total grant amount provided by the State and the district's matching share , less the High Performance Base Incentive Grant. This cost estimate does not include planning, tests, inspection or furniture and equipment and is available at the district for review by the OPSC.
- If the request is for a Modernization Grant and includes replacement of a 75 Years or Older Building(s), the cost estimate must delineate the costs associated with the work for the qualifying facility(s) pursuant to Sections 1859.78.7.1. in the DSA-approved plans apart from the other Modernization work in the DSA-approved plans and indicate that the estimated construction cost of the work in the P&S, including deferred items (if any) relating to the proposed project, is at least 60 percent of that portion of the grant amount provided by the State and the district's matching share.
- If the request is for a Modernization Grant and includes a Minimum Essential Facility or new or retrofitted Transitional Kindergarten classroom(s), the cost estimate must delineate the costs associated with the work for the qualifying facility(s) pursuant to Sections 1859.78.9.1, or 1859.78.9.2 in the DSA-approved plans apart from the other Modernization work in the DSA-approved plans and indicate that the estimated construction cost of the work in the P&S, including deferred items and interim housing (if any) relating to the proposed project, is at least 60 percent of that portion of the grant amount provided by the State and the district's matching share.

ARCHITECT OF RECORD OR DESIGN PROFESSIONAL (PRINT NAME)

SIGNATURE	DATE

25. Certification

I certify, as the District Representative, that the information reported on this form, with the exception of items 22 and 23, is true and correct and that:

- I am an authorized representative of the district as authorized by the governing board of the district; and,
- A resolution or other appropriate documentation supporting this application under Chapter 12.5, Part 10, Division 1, commencing with Section 17070.10, et. seq., of the Education Code was adopted by the school district's governing board or the designee of the Superintendent of Public Instruction on, _____; and,
- The district has established a "Restricted Maintenance Account" for exclusive purpose of providing ongoing and major maintenance of school buildings and has developed an ongoing and major maintenance plan that complies with and is implemented under the provisions of Education Code Section 17070.75 and 17070.77 (refer to Sections 1859.100 through 1859.102); and,
- The district has considered the feasibility of the joint use of land and facilities with other governmental agencies in order to minimize school facility costs; and,
- If this funding request is for the modernization of portable classrooms eligible for an additional apportionment pursuant to Education Code Section 17073.15, the district certifies that (check the applicable box below):
  - ☐ 1. The state modernization funds will be used to replace the portable classrooms and permanently remove the displaced portables from the classroom use within six months of the filing of the Notice of Completion for the project; or,
  - ☐ 2. It has provided documentation to the Office of Public School Construction which indicates that modernizing the portable classrooms eligible for an additional apportionment is better use of public resources than the replacement of these facilities.
- Facilities to be rehabilitated under the Charter School Facilities Program previously funded with School Facility Program State funds meet the requirements of Section 1859.163.6; and,
- All contracts entered on or after November 4, 1998 for the service of any architect structural engineer or other design professional for any work under the project have been obtained pursuant to a competitive process that is consistent with the requirements of Chapter 10 (commencing with Section 4525) of Division 5, of Title 1, of the Government Code; and,
- If this request is for new construction funding, the district has received approval of the site and the plans from the CDE. Plan approval is not required if request is for separate design apportionment; and,
- If this request is for modernization or Charter School Facilities Program Rehabilitation funding, the district has received approval of the plans for the project from the CDE. Plan approval is not required if request is for separate design apportionment; and,
- The district has or will comply with the Public Contract Code regarding all laws governing the use of force account labor; and,
- This district has or will comply with Education Code Section 17076.11 regarding at least a 3 percent expenditure goal for disabled veteran business enterprises; and,
- The district matching funds required pursuant to Sections 1859.77.1 or 1859.79 has either been expended by the district, deposited in the County School Facility Fund or will be expended by the district prior to the notice of completion for the project; and,
- The district has received the necessary approval of the plans and specifications from the Division of the State Architect unless the request is for a separate site and/or design apportionment; and,
- If the district is requesting site acquisition funds as part of this application, the district has complied with Sections 1859.74 through 1859.75.1 as appropriate; and,
- With the exception of an apportionment made pursuant to Section 1859.75.1, the district understands that the lack of substantial progress toward increasing the pupil capacity or renovation of its facilities within 18 months of receipt of any funding shall be cause for the rescission of the unexpended funds (refer to Section 1859.105); and,
- If the apportionment for this project was made pursuant to Section 1859.75.1, the district understands that the lack of substantial progress toward increasing the pupil capacity or renovation of its facilities within 12 months of receipt of any funding shall be cause for the rescission of the unexpended funds (refer to Section 1859.105.1); and,
- The district understands that funds not released within 18 months of apportionment shall be rescinded and the application shall be denied (refer to Section 1859.90); and,

- The statements set forth in this application and supporting documents are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief; and,
- All school facilities purchased or newly constructed under the project for use by pupils who are individuals with exceptional needs, as defined in Education Code Section 56026, shall be designed and located on the school site so as to maximize interaction between those individuals with exceptional needs and other pupils as appropriate to the needs of both; and,
- This form is an exact duplicate (verbatim) of the form provided by the OPSC. In the event a conflict should exist, the language in the OPSC form will prevail; and,
- The district understands that some or all of the State funding for the project must be returned to the State as a result of an audit pursuant to Sections 1859.105, 1859.105.1, 1859.106; and,
- The district has complied with the provisions of Sections 1859.76 and 1859.79.2 and that the portion of the project funded by the State does not contain work specifically prohibited in those Sections; and,
- If the SFP grants will be used for the construction or modernization of school facilities on leased land, the district has entered into a lease agreement for the leased property that meets the requirements of Section 1859.22; and,
- If the application contains a "Use of New Construction Grant" request, the district has adopted a school board resolution and housing plan at a public hearing at a regularly scheduled meeting of the governing board on _____ as specified in Sections 1859.77.2, or 1859.77.3, as appropriate. The district's approved housing plan is as indicated (check all that apply):
  - ☐ 1. The district will construct or acquire facilities for housing the pupils with funding not otherwise available to the SFP as a district match within five years of project approval by the SAB and the district must identify the source of the funds. [Applicable for Sections 1859.77.2(a) and (b) and 1859.77.3(a) and (b)]
  - ☐ 2. The district will utilize higher district loading standards providing the loading standards are within the approved district's teacher contract and do not exceed 33:1 per classroom. [Applicable for Sections 1859.77.2(a) and (b) and 1859.73(a) and (b)]
  - ☐ 3. The pupils requested from a different grade level will be housed in classrooms at an existing school in the district which will have its grade level changed, to the grade level requested, at the completion of the proposed SFP project. [Applicable for Sections 1859.77.2(b) and 1859.77.3(b)].
- If the district requested additional funding for fire code requirements pursuant to Sections 1859.71.2 or 1859.78.4, the district will include the automatic fire detection/alarm system and/or automatic sprinkler system in the project prior to completion of the project; and,
- The district has consulted with the career technical advisory committee established pursuant to Education Code Section 8070 and the need for vocational and career technical facilities is being adequately met in accordance with Education Code Sections 51224, 51225.3(b), and 51228(b), and 52336.1; and,
- If the district is requesting an Additional Grant for Energy Efficiency pursuant to Sections 1859.71.3 or 1859.78.5, the increased costs for the energy efficiency components in the project exceeds the amount of funding otherwise available to the district; and,
- If this application is submitted after January 1, 2004 for modernization funding, the district has considered the potential for the presence of lead-containing materials in the modernization project and will follow all relevant federal, state, and local standards for the management of any identified lead; and,
- The district has initiated and enforced an LCP that has been approved by the DIR, pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.7, if the project is funded from Propositions 47 or 55 and the Notice to Proceed for the construction phase of the project is issued on or after April 1, 2003 and before January 1, 2012; and,
- The district has contracted with the DIR for prevailing wage monitoring and enforcement pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.3(a) in effect on January 1, 2012 through June 19, 2014, if the construction contract was awarded on January 1, 2012 through June 19, 2014 and the district has not obtained a waiver for the requirement, pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.3(b) in effect on January 1, 2012 through June 19, 2014. The district understands that if it fails to meet this requirement, it will be required to repay all state bond funds received including interest; and,
- Beginning with the 2005/2006 fiscal year, the district has complied with Education Code Section 17070.75(e) by establishing a facilities inspection system to ensure that each of its schools is maintained in good repair; and,
- If this application is submitted pursuant to Section 1859.180, the district certifies that within six months of occupancy of the permanent classrooms, it will remove the replaced portables from the eligible school site and K–12 grade classroom use with the exception of schools described in Education Code Section 17079.30(c); and,
- The district has considered the feasibility of using designs and materials for the new construction or modernization project that promote the efficient use of energy and water, maximum use of natural light and indoor air quality, the use of recycled materials and materials that emit a minimum of toxic substances, the use of acoustics conducive to teaching and learning, and the other characteristics of high performance schools; and,
- If the district is requesting an additional grant for high performance incentive funding, the school district governing board must have a resolution on file that demonstrates support for the high performance incentive grant request and the intent to incorporate high performance features in future facilities projects; and,
- If this application is submitted when there is Insufficient Bond Authority, the district has adopted a school board resolution pursuant to Section 1859.95.1; and,
- The district will comply with all laws pertaining to the construction or modernization of its school building.
- If the district marked the box for 'Yes' in Section 22 e. of this application that it has or will include a project labor agreement, the use of a project labor agreement will be verified as part of the audit required in EC Section 41024. If it is determined that a project labor agreement was not used, the additional Local Share Adjustment Grant funding provided as a result of the points assigned to the project to determine the matching share percentage will be required to be returned, with interest (based on the interest rate earned in the Pooled Money Investment Account at the time of fund release) until funds are returned.
- If the district is requesting the modernization supplemental grant for Minimum Essential Facilities pursuant to Section 1859.78.9.1 as part of this application, the district certifies that any classrooms displaced as a result of reconfiguration are replaced in the same plan set, or the district certifies that the classrooms are not being replaced due to a demonstrated lack of need and the district acknowledges that no adjustment to the district's new construction eligibility will be made for the removal of these classrooms; and,
- If this funding request is for the retrofit of kindergarten classrooms, the School District certifies that the classrooms did not meet design requirements for a kindergarten classroom at the time they were built or previously retrofitted to meet kindergarten standards; and,

- If funding for new or retrofitted Transitional Kindergarten classrooms is being requested, the School District certifies that _____classrooms were constructed or previously altered to meet the requirements for a kindergarten classroom; and,
- With regards to providing Transitional Kindergarten instruction as pursuant to Section 1859.78.9.2, the School District certifies that the School District is currently or will provide Transitional Kindergarten at the project site.

NAME OF DISTRICT REPRESENTATIVE (PRINT)	PHONE NUMBER
SIGNATURE OF DISTRICT REPRESENTATIVE	DATE

CALIFORNIA PRESCHOOL, TRANSITIONAL KINDERGARTEN AND FULL-DAY KINDERGARTEN FACILITIES GRANT PROGRAM RESCISSION

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

School District: Weed Union Elementary
County: Siskiyou
Application Number: 70/70482-00-001
School Name: Weed Elementary

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend a California Preschool, Transitional Kindergarten and Full-Day Kindergarten Facilities Grant Program (Program) apportionment for rescission.

DESCRIPTION

On November 28, 2022, the State Allocation Board (Board) approved the District's Program apportionment to construct two preschool classrooms at Weed Elementary School. Program statutes and regulations require the District to obtain a current or future contract with the California Department of Education (CDE) for the District to operate a preschool program. Despite continuous efforts of the Office of Public School Construction (OPSC) to remind the District of this Program requirement and obtain verification of compliance, the District has failed to substantiate that they have fulfilled the requirement. Additionally, the pattern of events and documentation summarized in this item appear to indicate there were false certifications made by the District regarding compliance with Program requirements.

Therefore, the funding application is being presented with a staff recommendation for rescission as shown below:

Application No.	Apportionment Date	Apportionment Amount
70/70482-00-001	11/28/2022	$2,001,549.00

Funds totaling $2,001,549.00 were previously released to the District, which includes the advance design amount of $292,460.00 and financial hardship assistance of $487,905.00.

AUTHORITY

See Attachment 1.

BACKGROUND

Program History
The Full-Day Kindergarten Facilities Grant Program was established in 2018 with a 2018-19 General Fund appropriation to the Board for this purpose. In 2021, Assembly Bill 130 (Chapter 44, Statutes of 2021) expanded the program to additionally authorize funding for preschool and transitional kindergarten facilities. In part, Assembly Bill 130 amended Education Code (EC) Section 17375(a)(1) to allow funding for the construction, modernization, or conversion of classrooms, "to provide California state preschool programs operated by school districts on a public schoolsite…" [emphasis added]. Subsequently, the

BACKGROUND (cont.)

Board approved Program Regulation Section 1860.5.2(a)(3), which specifies as a requirement for Program funds that a school district must have "a current or future contract with the California Department of Education to operate a preschool program."

Preschool Requirements
School districts seeking Program funding for preschool classrooms must meet all requirements of EC Section 17375 to operate a preschool program. The requirement for the District to obtain a contract with CDE for the District to operate the preschool program is reiterated multiple times throughout the statute.

EC Section 17375(a)(1) indicates that Program funding must be used for classrooms "to provide California state preschool programs operated by school districts on a public schoolsite…" [emphasis added]

EC Section 17375(b)(5)(B)(ii) outlines the criteria used to determine Program eligibility by requiring a school district to demonstrate:

> A current or future contract with the State Department of Education to operate a preschool program.

Separately, EC Section 17375(e)(3) states:

> (e) As a condition of receiving grant funds pursuant to this section, and before the release of those funds, the school district shall do all of the following…
>
> (3) For a school district applying for grant funds for a California state preschool program facilities project, pass a resolution at a public meeting of the governing board of the school district stating the school district's intent to expand enrollment in a preschool program and apply for expanded program service funding, and certify that the school district has or will apply for a contract to operate a preschool program before occupying the to-be-constructed or retrofitted facility. [emphasis added]

Furthermore, Program Regulations Section 1860.5.2(a)(3) specifies as a requirement for Program funds that a school district must have "a current or future contract with the California Department of Education [CDE] to operate a preschool program."

The Program *Application for Funding* (Form SAB 70-01), which has the force of regulation, also includes the following required certifications applicable for preschool projects:

- A resolution allowing the School District to provide preschool at the project site was adopted by the school district's governing board (attached) on, _____.
- The county's most recent childcare needs assessments conducted by its regional planning council for preschool age children.
- Current or future contract with the CDE to operate a preschool program. [emphasis added]
- Before occupancy of the classrooms in the project the district will meet Health and Safety Code Division 2, Chapter 3.5, Article 2; and obtain and be approved for licensure by the California Department of Social Services, unless the district qualifies as a license-exempt program.

BACKGROUND (cont.)

Preschool Requirements (cont.)

- Before occupancy of the classrooms in the project the district will meet Title 22, Division 12, Chapter 1 and obtain and be approved for licensure by the California Department of Social Services, unless the district qualifies as a license-exempt program.

The CDE contract for the district to operate the preschool program must be submitted no later than the *Expenditure Report* (Form SAB 70-03) deadline, which is three years from the date of Apportionment.

STAFF ANALYSIS/STATEMENTS

Project History
The District submitted a Program New Construction funding application for two preschool classrooms on April 27, 2022, and received an Apportionment of $2,001,549.00, including $487,905.00 in Financial Hardship assistance, on November 28, 2022. The District also received advance design approval to access $292,460.00, prior to obtaining the necessary approvals and contracts for the remaining fund release of $1,709,089.00.

Program regulations required the District to submit a complete *Fund Release Authorization* (Form SAB 70-02) and Division of the State Architect (DSA) and CDE plan approvals within 18 months from the date of the Apportionment, in this case, by May 28, 2024. However, the District was granted an extension until August 28, 2024, in accordance with Regulation Section 1860.16(f), which allows a district to request Board approval for an extension of up to three years from the date of Apportionment to submit the Form SAB 70-02 and required approvals, based on other evidence of circumstances beyond the district's control that precludes it from meeting the deadline.

In the interim, OPSC became aware that the District intended to enter into a lease agreement with Shasta County Head Start Child Development, Incorporated (Shasta County Head Start), to lease the facilities to Shasta County Head Start to operate a preschool program. However, this type of agreement is not authorized under EC Section 17375(a)(1), which states that classrooms constructed with Program funding must be used to "provide California state preschool programs operated by school districts on a public schoolsite…" [emphasis added]. Moreover, the Program was not intended to construct facilities for use by third-party private or nonprofit entities.

On May 30, 2024, and August 28, 2024, OPSC issued letters advising the District that if the preschool program is not operated by the District, the project would not be compliant with the Education Code or Program regulatory requirements and therefore, the District would be ineligible to retain the Program funding (Attachments 2 and 5). In these letters, OPSC conditioned the release of remaining funds on the District's demonstration of compliance or intent to comply with statutory and regulatory requirements. In both letters, OPSC informed the District that if upon submittal of the project's final *Expenditure Report* due on November 28, 2025, it was determined the District had not entered into a contract with CDE to operate the preschool program, the District would be ineligible to retain the project funds. The District affirmed it would apply to CDE for a contract to operate the preschool program at Weed Elementary School and passed a school board resolution attesting to the fact on November 19, 2024 (Attachment 7).

STAFF ANALYSIS/STATEMENTS (cont.)

Project History (cont.)
In January 2025, OPSC became aware that at the District's December 2024 governing board meeting, the District discussed its plan to rent the two new classrooms to Shasta County Head Start to operate the preschool program. During the validation of contracts for the fund release process, OPSC addressed the apparent discrepancies between the District's November and December 2024 governing board meetings and requested the District clarify its intention, reiterating that only preschool programs operated by the District are eligible for Program funding. In a February 7, 2025 email (Attachment 9), the District Superintendent stated that, "The District continues to work with CDE on the preschool contract, and the board's commitment to this has not changed, as evidenced by the board resolution already provided to the OPSC." Based on this statement, OPSC proceeded with the release of the remaining $1,709,089.00 in Program funds.

Despite the fact that OPSC reminded the District of the requirement to obtain a CDE contract to operate the preschool program in writing at least three times between May 2024 and January 2025, and the District twice provided documentation in November 2024 and February 2025 expressing intent to apply for a CDE contract to operate the preschool program, the District failed to provide the CDE contract with the Form SAB 70-03 submittal in December 2025. Further, the District indicated in March 2026 that it was in the process of applying to CDE for a waiver of the requirement for the District to operate the preschool program, demonstrating it had not fulfilled this requirement by the November 2025 deadline. Below is a timeline of relevant correspondence between OPSC and the District.

Timeline of Correspondence

- May 30, 2024: OPSC sent a letter to the District (Attachment 2) after becoming aware that the District intended to enter into a lease agreement with Shasta County Head Start to use the Program-funded facilities to operate a preschool program. OPSC's letter advised the District that participation in the Program requires the District to operate its own preschool program pursuant to EC Section 17375 and Program regulations. The letter also informed the District that if upon submittal of the project's *Expenditure Report* due on November 28, 2025, it is determined that the District has not entered into a contract with CDE to operate the preschool program, OPSC would require the return of the Program apportionment because the project would be ineligible for Program funds.

- June 10, 2024: The District's governing board meeting minutes (Attachment 3) indicate unanimous approval of the District entering into a lease agreement with Shasta County Head Start with a 22-year term from September 1, 2024 through August 31, 2046. Under the terms of the lease agreement (Attachment 6), Shasta County Head Start would pay the District annual rent of approximately $197,500 for Shasta County Head Start's use of two classrooms "for the operation of Head Start and State Preschool Programs." [emphasis added]

- June 11, 2024: The District sent a response (Attachment 4) to OPSC's May 30, 2024 letter, contesting OPSC's interpretation of the EC Section 17375 and Program regulatory requirements, and stating that the District had included a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) between the District and Shasta County Head Start as part of the documents for its Program funding application.

STAFF ANALYSIS/STATEMENTS (cont.)

Timeline of Correspondence (cont.)

- Note: The term of the MOU submitted with the District's funding application was March 1, 2022 through July 31, 2023, and it indicated that Shasta County Head Start would begin serving children at the site on June 15, 2022, which predated the District's Program apportionment by more than five months. The conclusion of the MOU term predated the Program project's completion deadline by more than two years. Additionally, the District's Program narrative did not reference intent for Shasta County Head Start to operate the preschool program in the Program facilities.

- August 28, 2024: OPSC issued a second letter (Attachment 5) reminding the District that it certified on the Form SAB 70-01 that the District would contract with CDE to operate a preschool program. Accordingly, OPSC reminded the District that if the preschool program were not operated by the District, the project would not be compliant with the Program's statutory and regulatory requirements and therefore, the District would be ineligible to retain the Program funding. In these letters, OPSC conditioned release of the remaining Program funds on the District's demonstration that it had entered into a contract with CDE to operate the preschool program, or a certification from the District's governing board that the District would apply to CDE for a contract to operate the preschool program. In the letter, OPSC reiterated that if upon submittal of the project's Expenditure Report due on November 28, 2025, it is determined that the District has not entered into a contract with CDE to operate the preschool program, OPSC would require the return of the Program apportionment because the project would be ineligible for Program funds.

- November 19, 2024: The District's governing board adopted a resolution (Attachment 7), certifying that the District would apply to CDE for a contract to operate the preschool program, and authorizing the District to file all required documents with CDE for a contract to operate the preschool program.

- December 12, 2024: The District's governing board meeting minutes (Attachment 8) suggested the two Program-funded preschool classrooms would be rented to Shasta County Head Start.

- January 27, 2025: OPSC requested clarification from the District via email (Attachment 9) regarding the governing board meeting minutes dated December 12, 2024, which appeared to contradict the recently approved governing board resolution to apply for a CDE contract to operate a preschool program.

- February 7, 2025: The Superintendent's email response (Attachment 9) stated that the District "continues to work with CDE on the preschool contract, and the [governing] board's commitment to this has not changed, as evidenced by the [governing] board resolution already provided to OPSC."

- March 4, 2025: Based on the governing board resolution and the Superintendent's reaffirmation that the District would pursue compliance with Program requirements, OPSC released the remaining Program funds totaling $1,709,089.00 to the District.

- September 25, 2025: OPSC issued a 60-day reminder letter to the District that the *Expenditure Report* for the project was due on November 28, 2025.

STAFF ANALYSIS/STATEMENTS (cont.)

Timeline of Correspondence (cont.)

- October 28, 2025: OPSC issued a 30-day reminder letter to the District that the *Expenditure Report* for the project was due on November 28, 2025.

- November 28, 2025: The District failed to meet its *Expenditure Report* deadline for the project, which coincided with the three-year completion deadline for the project, in accordance with Program Regulation Section 1860.18.

- December 1, 2025: OPSC issued a letter to the District indicating that the District's *Expenditure Report* was delinquent, and requesting that the District submit the past-due *Expenditure Report*, as well as a copy of the District's contract with CDE to operate a preschool program; a copy of the District's CDSS-approved Child Care License, or proof of license-exempt status; and the Notice of Completion or Notice of Occupancy for the project.

- December 1, 2025: The District's consultant submitted the *Expenditure Report* to OPSC on behalf of the District, reporting $2,018,137.00 in expenditures, without the additional supporting documents.

- December 8, 2025: OPSC sent a follow-up request to the District via email (Attachment 10) requesting the supporting documents for the preschool classrooms, including a copy of the District's contract with CDE to operate the preschool program and a copy of the District's CDSS-approved Child Care License, or proof of license-exempt status.

- January 23, 2026: OPSC sent a second follow-up request to the District via email (Attachment 10) for the aforementioned documents.

- March 2, 2026: The District responded via email (Attachment 10) indicating that the District is in the process of applying to CDE for a waiver of the requirement that the District operate the preschool program.

- March 23, 2026: OPSC issued a letter (Attachment 11) clarifying that the Program requirement to operate the preschool program is in EC Section 17375 and associated regulations adopted by the Board, and that it is OPSC's position that this requirement is not a regulation that can be waived by CDE or the State Board of Education because administration of the Program falls solely under the purview of the State Allocation Board. The letter also advised the District that due to its non-compliance and apparent false certifications, OPSC will present an item recommending recission of the total state apportionment for the project of $2,001,549.00 at a future SAB meeting.

- April 13, 2026: In response to OPSC's March 23, 2026 letter, the District's legal counsel submitted a letter (Attachment 12) disagreeing with OPSC's position that the Program requirement to operate the preschool program is not a regulation that can be waived by CDE, and requesting "that OPSC defer any final compliance determination or recommendation to the SAB unless and until the CDE has acted on the District's waiver application."

STAFF ANALYSIS/STATEMENTS (cont.)

Timeline of Correspondence (cont.)

- April 30, 2026: The District submitted a waiver request to CDE to retroactively waive portions of EC 17375 and California Code of Regulations Title 2, Section 1860.5.2 related to operation of a preschool funded by the Program.

- June 25, 2026: CDE issued a response letter to the District (Attachment 13), returning the waiver request and indicating, in part: "[t]he waiver process cannot be used to change the terms of a grant program, including eligibility, established in law" and that because the State Allocation Board, not the State Board of Education, adopted California Code of Regulations Title 2, Section 1860.5.2, the State Board of Education "has no authority to waive that regulation."

- July 13, 2026: In response to CDE's June 25, 2026 letter, the District's legal counsel submitted a letter (Attachment 14) disagreeing with CDE's conclusion that it does not have authority to waive Program laws, requesting "reconsideration of the Waiver Request or, alternatively, guidance on a path forward…"

- July 21, 2026: In response to the July 13, 2026 letter from the District's legal counsel, CDE issued a letter (Attachment 15) declining the District's request to reconsider return of its waiver request, and further declining the District's request to provide guidance.

Conclusion
Based on the pattern of events and documentation summarized above, it appears that there were false certifications made by the District regarding compliance with Program requirements. The three-year completion deadline for the project occurred on November 28, 2025, and to date, the District has failed to demonstrate that completion of the project has occurred and that the District will operate a preschool program. Therefore, OPSC recommends that the Board rescind the total state apportionment for the project of $2,001,549.00.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Rescind the District's application number 70/70482-00-001.

2. Approve a decrease of $2,001,549.00 in the State Apportionment [General Fund California Preschool, Transitional Kindergarten and Full-Day Kindergarten Facilities Grant Program, 1027-2122] from $2,001,549.00 to $0.00.

3. Require the District to return State funds in the amount of $2,001,549.00 within 120 days of this action.

This Item was approved by the State Allocation Board on August 5, 2026.

ATTACHMENT 1

AUTHORITY

Education Code (EC) Section 17375 states, in part:

(a)(1) The California Preschool, Transitional Kindergarten and Full-Day Kindergarten Facilities Grant Program is hereby established, under the administration of the State Allocation Board pursuant to the requirements of this section, to provide one-time grants to school districts to construct new school facilities or retrofit existing school facilities for the purpose of providing transitional kindergarten classrooms and full-day kindergarten classrooms pursuant to Section 8973, and for the construction of new preschool classrooms, the modernization of existing preschool classrooms, or the modernization of existing kindergarten and grade 1 to 12, inclusive, classrooms that would be converted to provide California state preschool programs operated by school districts on a public schoolsite, pursuant to this section.
…
(b)(5)(B) For a school district seeking a new construction grant for preschool classrooms from moneys in the California Preschool, Transitional Kindergarten, and Full-Day Kindergarten Facilities Account, the school district shall demonstrate that its existing classrooms, including outdoor play areas and equipment, are insufficient to meet the needs of providing preschool, and that the school district's projected enrollment in the preschool program exceeds the current preschool program classroom capacity at the applicable schoolsite. A school district shall use both of the following to demonstrate enrollment for purposes of determining eligibility:
(i) The most recent childcare needs assessment conducted by its regional local planning council for preschool age children.
(ii) A current or future contract with the State Department of Education to operate a preschool program.
…
(e) As a condition of receiving grant funds pursuant to this section, and before the release of those funds, the school district shall do all of the following:
(1) Execute and submit a grant agreement consistent with the applicable sections of the grant agreement specified in Section 1859.90.4 of Title 2 of the California Code of Regulations.
(2) For a school district applying for grant funds for a transitional kindergarten facilities project, pass a resolution at a public meeting of the governing board of the school district stating the school district's intent to offer, or expand enrollment in, a transitional kindergarten program.
(3) For a school district applying for grant funds for a California state preschool program facilities project, pass a resolution at a public meeting of the governing board of the school district stating the school district's intent to expand enrollment in a preschool program and apply for expanded program service funding, and certify that the school district has or will apply for a contract to operate a preschool program before occupying the to-be-constructed or retrofitted facility.
…

EC Section 8207 states, in part:

(a) The Superintendent shall administer all California state preschool programs. Those programs shall include, but not be limited to, part-day and full-day age and developmentally appropriate programs, offered through childcare centers and family

childcare home education networks, that are designed to facilitate the transition to kindergarten for two-, three-, and four-year-old children and that provide early learning and care, health services, social services, nutritional services, parent education and parent participation, evaluation, and staff development, and that comply with all applicable statutory and regulatory requirements. Preschool programs for which federal reimbursement is not available shall be funded as prescribed by the Legislature in the annual Budget Act, and unless otherwise specified by the Legislature, shall not use federal funds made available through Title XX of the federal Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. Sec. 1397).
…
(c) A full-day California state preschool program shall operate for a minimum of 246 days per year, unless the contract specifies a lower number of days of operation.
(d) Any agency described in subdivision (a) of Section 8205 as an “applicant or contracting agency” is eligible to contract to operate a California state preschool program.
…
(h) California state preschool programs shall include all of the following:
(1) Age- and developmentally appropriate activities for children.
(2) Supervision.
(3) Parenting education and parent engagement.
(4) Social services that include, but are not limited to, identification of child and family needs and referral to appropriate agencies.
(5) Health services.
(6) Nutrition.
(7) Training and career ladder opportunities, documentation of which shall be provided to the department.
…

California Preschool, Transitional Kindergarten and Full-Day Kindergarten Facilities Grant Program Regulation Section 1860.5.2. California Preschool Program Eligibility Criteria states, in part:

(a) For Program funds provided pursuant to Section 1860.3(b) and Apportioned in the 2021-22 fiscal year, a School District may apply for California State Preschool funds made available pursuant to EC Section 17375 by submittal of a Form SAB 70-01, if all of the following requirements are met:
(1) The School District has a school board resolution providing approval to provide full-day preschool instruction on or after July 9, 2021.
(2) The School District has provided the most recent childcare needs assessment conducted by its regional local planning council for preschool age children.
(3) A current or future contract with the California Department of Education to operate a preschool program.
…

ATTACHMENT 2

May 30, 2024

Mr. Jon Ray
District Superintendent
Weed Union Elementary School District
575 White Avenue
Weed, CA 96094

Dear Mr. Ray:

This letter is in regards to the funding that the District received under the California Preschool, Transitional Kindergarten and Full-Day Kindergarten Facilities Grant Program (Program). The District received a New Construction Apportionment, application number 70/70482-00-001, on November 28, 2022, for the construction of two new preschool classrooms at Weed Elementary School.

This letter is to remind the District of the preschool requirements for the Program.

Per Education Code 17375(a)(1), Program funding must be used for "classrooms that would be converted to provide California state preschool programs operated by school districts on a public schoolsite…" (emphasis added). Additionally, Program Regulation Section 1860.5.2(a)(3) specifies as a requirement for Program funds that a school district must have "a current or future contract with the California Department of Education [CDE] to operate a preschool program." The Program was established to provide one-time facilities grants for school districts to operate full-day preschool programs, which is further evidenced in that the Program allows project savings to be used for professional development or instructional materials to build capacity for the implementation of a California state preschool programs. The Program was not intended to construct facilities for use by third-party private or nonprofit entities.

The Office of Public School Construction (OPSC) has become aware that the District intends to enter into a lease agreement with Shasta Head Start Child Development, Incorporated, beginning July 1, 2024, to use the facilities to operate a preschool program. Accordingly, OPSC seeks to remind the District that if the preschool program is not operated by the District, the project would not be compliant with the Education Code or Program regulatory requirements and therefore, the District would be ineligible to retain the Program funding.

The District certified on the *Application for Funding* (Form SAB 70-01) that the District has or will have a contract with CDE to operate a preschool program. Pursuant to the State Allocation Board's (Board) policy adopted at the September 27, 2023 meeting, the District has until November 28, 2025 to complete the project and submit the *Expenditure Report (*Form SAB 70-03).

OPSC is aware that the classrooms are scheduled to be completed at the end of August 2024. At this time, OPSC is conditioning the release of the remaining funds of $2,001,549.00, contingent on demonstration by the District that the District has entered into a contract with CDE to operate a preschool program. Additionally, if upon submittal of the *Expenditure Report* it is determined that the District has not entered into contract with CDE, OPSC will also require the return of the $292,460.00 previously released for Design, as the project would be ineligible for Program funds.

Should you have any questions concerning this matter or need additional information, please feel free to contact me at Vanessa.Rozvodovskyi@dgs.ca.gov or (279) 799-3859, or my manager at Tracy.Sharp@dgs.ca.gov or (279) 946-8485.

Sincerely,

Vanessa Rozvodovskyi
Project Manager
Program Services
Office of Public School Construction

cc: Kelly Long, Hancock Park & Delong, Inc.
Tracy Sharp, Manager, Program Services, OPSC
Allan S. Carver, Siskiyou County Office of Education
Sarah Applegate, Siskiyou County Office of Education
File: Correspondence –70/70482-00-001

Weed Union Elementary School District

Special Board Meeting
06/10/2024 - 06:00 PM
Weed Elementary School Portable Building #22
575 White Ave. Weed, CA 96094

MEETING MINUTES

SCAN ME

Scan the above QR code with your phone to view this meeting agenda on your phone.
This Special Board Meeting is open to the Public in Portable Room # 22

Attendees

Voting Members
Debbie Moser, President
Garrett Greene, Clerk
Michael Carpine, Trustee
Caleb Cordes, Trustee
Marie Gates-Green, Trustee

Non-Voting Members
Jon Ray, Superintendent
Darcy Lee, Administrative Assistant
Tara Willis, Business Director

1. Call to Order

The meeting was called to order at 6:18pm.

A. Welcome

B. Pledge of Allegiance

2. Public Comment:

A. Members of the public have the opportunity to address the Board concerning the following Closed Session Agenda Items

No comments.

3. Closed Session

A. Evaluation of Performance (GC 54957) Superintendent/Principal

B. Conference with Labor Negotiators (GC 54957.6): Agency Representatives: Jon Ray, Superintendent;

Employee Organizations: Weed Classroom Teachers Association and the California School Employees Association # 391, Unrepresented Employees.

C. Public Employee Discipline/Dismissal/Release/Resignation/Retirement Pursuant to Government Code Section 54957

D. Conference with Legal Counsel: Anticipated Litigation (Government Code 54956.9)

4. Reconvene to Open Session

Reconvened to Open Session at 6:51pm
No action was taken in Closed Session.

5. Public Comment

A. Public Comment on Agenda Items - Members of the public have the opportunity to address the Board concerning agenda items only.

No comments.

6. Action - Discussion - Information

A. Consider for Acceptance Arden Carrsulting Termination of MOU

Motion made by: Garrett Greene
Motion seconded by: Caleb Cordes
Voting:
Unanimously Approved

B. Consider for Approval 2024 Lease Agreement with Shasta County Head Start

Motion made by: Marie Gates-Green
Motion seconded by: Michael Carpine
Voting:
Unanimously Approved

C. *Consider for Approval Lease Agreement for Weed Parks and Recreation - Community Day School

We did not receive the lease agreement in time for the meeting.
The Board agreed to table this item until the June 20, 2024, Regular Board Meeting.
Motion: Trustee Greene
Seconded: Trustee Cordes
All were in favor

D. Consider for Approval Job Description & Salary Schedule for Community Day School Aide

Motion made by: Caleb Cordes
Motion seconded by: Michael Carpine
Voting:
Unanimously Approved

E. Consider for Approval California Community Schools Partnership Program (CCSPP) Implementation Plan

Motion made by: Garrett Greene

Motion seconded by: Marie Gates-Green
Voting:
Unanimously Approved

F. Consider for Acceptance Community Engagement Initiative (CEI) Grant

Motion made by: Garrett Greene
Motion seconded by: Michael Carpine
Voting:
Unanimously Approved

G. Consider for Approval Increase to Purchase Order for Adams, Silva & McNally

Motion made by: Marie Gates-Green
Motion seconded by: Caleb Cordes
Voting:
Unanimously Approved

H. Consider for Approval Ray Mac Mechanical Invoice for $190. - Interim Housing

Motion made by: Garrett Greene
Motion seconded by: Michael Carpine
Voting:
Unanimously Approved

I. Consider for Approval Rebid for VCTB/FRP/FRL from Universal Plastics Inc. for $240,635 - Increment 2, Phase 1.1

The Superintendent's recommendation is to approve with the contingency to issue a Notice of Award but withhold the Notice to Proceed until we obtain all necessary documentation for remaining fund release to the Office of Public School Construction (OPSC) for the California Preschool, Transitional Kindergarten and Full-Day Kindergarten Facilities Grant Program (Program) funding at Weed Elementary (Application Number 70/70482-00-001).

Motion made by: Garrett Greene
Motion seconded by: Marie Gates-Green
Voting:
Unanimously Approved

J. Consider for Approval Rebid for Windows & Storefront from American Window and Door Systems for $464,000 - Increment 2, Phase 1.1

The Superintendent's recommendation is to approve with the contingency to issue a Notice of Award but withhold the Notice to Proceed until we obtain all necessary documentation for remaining fund release to the Office of Public School Construction (OPSC) for the California Preschool, Transitional Kindergarten and Full-Day Kindergarten Facilities Grant Program (Program) funding at Weed Elementary (Application Number 70/70482-00-001).

Motion made by: Marie Gates-Green
Motion seconded by: Michael Carpine
Voting:
Unanimously Approved

K. Consider for Approval Interior Painting Bid from Applied Finishes for $40,135. - Increment 2, Phase 1.1

The Superintendent's recommendation is to approve with the contingency to issue a Notice of Award but withhold the Notice to Proceed until we obtain all necessary documentation for remaining fund release to the Office of Public School Construction (OPSC) for the California Preschool, Transitional Kindergarten and Full-Day Kindergarten Facilities Grant Program (Program) funding at Weed Elementary (Application Number 70/70482-00-001).

Motion made by: Caleb Cordes
Motion seconded by: Michael Carpine
Voting:
Unanimously Approved

L. Consider for Approval Bathroom Accessories and Toilet Partitions Bid from American Sheet Partitions for $28,139. - Increment 2, Phase 1.1

The Superintendent's recommendation is to approve with the contingency to issue a Notice of Award but withhold the Notice to Proceed until we obtain all necessary documentation for remaining fund release to the Office of Public School Construction (OPSC) for the California Preschool, Transitional Kindergarten and Full-Day Kindergarten Facilities Grant Program (Program) funding at Weed Elementary (Application Number 70/70482-00-001).

Motion made by: Garrett Greene
Motion seconded by: Marie Gates-Green
Voting:
Unanimously Approved

M. Consider for Approval CREDIT for O&M Industries for ($1,494.86) - Increment 2

Motion made by: Marie Gates-Green
Motion seconded by: Caleb Cordes
Voting:
Unanimously Approved

N. Consider for Approval Increase to Purchase Order for Siskiyou County Disposal for $7,500 - Increment 2

Motion made by: Caleb Cordes
Motion seconded by: Michael Carpine
Voting:
Unanimously Approved

O. Consider for Approval a Purchase Order for Solano's Rental Equipment for $3,500 - Increment 2

Motion made by: Marie Gates-Green
Motion seconded by: Michael Carpine
Voting:
Unanimously Approved

P. Consider for Approval Change Order #2 for Shasta Wood Products for $23,057.10 - Increment 2

Motion made by: Garrett Greene
Motion seconded by: Caleb Cordes
Voting:
Unanimously Approved

Q. Consider for Approval Change Order #2 for AFP Sprinklers for $20,739.43 - Increment 2

Motion made by: Marie Gates-Green
Motion seconded by: Caleb Cordes
Voting:
Unanimously Approved

R. Consider for Approval Change Order #2 for Ray Mac Mechanical for $6,620.14 - Increment 2

Motion made by: Garrett Greene
Motion seconded by: Marie Gates-Green
Voting:
Unanimously Approved

S. Consider for Approval Change Order 1 CREDIT from Shasta Wood Products for ($558,499.38) - Increment 2

Motion made by: Garrett Greene
Motion seconded by: Caleb Cordes
Voting:
Unanimously Approved

T. Consider for Approval Underground Utilities Bid from SW Maintenance $297,236. - Increment 3

Motion made by: Caleb Cordes
Motion seconded by: Michael Carpine
Voting:
Unanimously Approved

U. Consider for Approval New Purchase Order for Solano's Job Trailer Repair and Maintenance for $5,550. - Asset

Motion made by: Marie Gates-Green
Motion seconded by: Caleb Cordes
Voting:
Unanimously Approved

7. Adjournment

The meeting was adjourned at 7:21 pm.

Board President

Board Clerk

WEED UNION ELEMENTARY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Superintendent/Principal
Jon Ray
Assistant Principal
Allison Blankenship

575 White Avenue
Weed, CA 96094
Phone: 530-938-2715
Fax: 530-938-2973
www.weedelementaryschool.com
District Office Phone 530-938-6103

Board Members
Michael Carpine
Caleb Cordes
Marie Gates-Green
Garrett Greene
Debbie Moser

June 11, 2024

VIA EMAIL (VANESSA.ROZVODOVSKY.COM)
Vanessa Rozvodovskyi, Project Manager
Office of Public School Construction
707 3rd Street
West Sacramento, CA 95605

Re: Weed Union Elementary School District
New Construction Apportionment, Application Number 70/70482-00-001

Dear Ms. Rozvodovskyi:

The Weed Union Elementary School District ("**District**") is in receipt of the Office of Public School Construction's ("**OPSC**") May 30, 2024 correspondence regarding the District's New Construction Apportionment application ("Application"). OPSC stated it is conditioning the release of the remaining $2,001,549 of apportioned funds on the District's demonstration that the District has entered into a contract with the California Department of Education ("**CDE**") to operate a preschool program. OPSC also stated that if it determined that the District has not entered into a contract with CDE, OPSC will require the return of $292,460 in previously release funds.

The District disagrees with OPSC's assessment and interpretation of applicable law and regulations. Education Code section 17375 established a grant program for California preschool, transitional kindergarten, and full-day kindergarten based on regulations for implementation established by the State Allocation Board. (Ed. Code, § 17375). Applicable to the District and its grant:

> *The California Preschool, Transitional Kindergarten and Full-Day Kindergarten Facilities Grant Program is hereby established, under the administration of the State Allocation Board pursuant to the requirements of this section, to provide one-time grants to school districts . . . for the construction of new preschool classrooms . . . to provide California state preschool programs operated by school districts on a public schoolsite, pursuant to this section.* (Ed. Code, § 17375(a)(1)).

The phase "operated by a school district" does not mean that school district staff must run the preschool program. It can "operate" it by overseeing it, without being directly responsible for the day-to-day management of the classrooms. Shasta County Head Start Child Development, Inc. ("**Head Start**") will be

responsible for the day-to-day management of the classrooms but the program, generally, will be operated by the District.

Moreover, Program Regulation section 1860.5.2(a)(3) only requires: "*A current or future contract with the California Department of Education to operate a preschool program*". There is no specific requirement that the District itself enter into a contract directly with CDE. It merely requires there be a contract with CDE for the program; Head Start has entered into such a contract CDE. This satisfies the CDE contract requirement under the Program Regulations.


Finally, and perhaps, most critically, the District was transparent with OPSC that Head Start would be responsible for the day-to-day management under the general operation of the program by the District. In fact, the District included the Memorandum of Understanding between it and Head Start in the District's Application, which OPSC reviewed and approved. OPSC previously approved the District's Application, presumably, after having considered all the material submitted with the Application. Now, **over two years** (emphasis added) after the District submitted its Application, and with the District in the midst of construction of the facilities, OPSC brings up this issue for the first time. OPSC's position, in addition to being unsupported by applicable law, is simply unjust and unjustified.

The District has met all the requirements of Education Code section 17375 and Program Regulation section 1860.5.2. The District was up front at the outset about its intent to have Head Start manage the preschool program when it applied for the funding grant. If OPSC had concerns with how the District would operate the preschool program, it should not have approved the District's funding grant. Now, with the reasonable expectation that the funding would be forthcoming, the District, in reliance, has expended considerable funds in constructing the facilities.

OPSC's withholding of necessary funds for the classroom construction is unwarranted based both on the law and OPSC's prior actions. The District requests that OPSC remove the hold on the remaining $2,001,549 since there is a contract with CDE for the preschool program.

Please contact the undersigned with any questions regarding this matter.

Sincerely,



Jon Ray
Superintendent

cc: Kelly Long, Hancock Park & Delong, Inc.
Tracy Sharp, Manager, Program Services, OPSC
Allan S. Carver, Siskiyou County Office of Education
Sarah Applegate, Siskiyou County Office of Education
File: Correspondence –70/70482-00-001

ATTACHMENT 5

August 28, 2024

Mr. Jon Ray
District Superintendent
Weed Union Elementary School District
575 White Avenue
Weed, CA 96094

Dear Mr. Ray:

This letter is a follow up to the Office of Public School Construction's (OPSC) May 30, 2024, letter as well as in response to the District's letter dated June 11, 2024, regarding preschool requirements for the California Preschool, Transitional Kindergarten, Full-Day Kindergarten Facilities Grant Program (Program).

The District has stated that it has met all the requirements of Education Code (EC) Section 17375 to operate a preschool program. However, OPSC respectfully disagrees with the District's interpretation of statute and regulation. By contracting with and outsourcing preschool operations to third-party private entity, the District has failed to meet all requirements outlined in EC Section 17375.

It is important to note that these requirements for the District to obtain a contract are reiterated multiple times throughout the statute.

EC Section 17375(b)(5)(B)(ii) outlines the criteria used to determine Program eligibility by requiring a school district to demonstrate:

> A current or future contract with the State Department of Education to operate a preschool program.

This condition is separate from Section 17375(e)(3) which states,

> (e) As a condition of receiving grant funds pursuant to this section, and before the release of those funds, the school district shall do all of the following…
>
> (3) For a school district applying for grant funds for a California state preschool program facilities project, pass a resolution at a public meeting of the governing board of the school district stating the school district's intent to expand enrollment in a preschool program and apply for expanded program service funding, and certify that the school district has or will apply for a contract to operate a preschool program before occupying the to-be-constructed or retrofitted facility.(emphasis added)

"School district" is synonymous with "applicant." Therefore, Weed Union Elementary School District must have or will apply for a contract to operate a preschool program in order to initiate their fund release.

The District is again advised that the release of the remaining funds of $2,001,549.00 is contingent on demonstration by the District that the District has entered into a contract with California Department of Education (CDE) to operate a preschool program. As an alternative, OPSC will accept a certification made via the District's school board that the District has or will apply to CDE for a contract to operate the preschool program at the Weed Elementary School campus where the facilities will be located before occupying the to-be-constructed or retrofitted facility.

Additionally, if upon submittal of the Expenditure Report (Form SAB 70-03) it is determined that the District has not entered into contract with CDE, OPSC will also require the return of the $292,460.00 previously released for design, as the project would be ineligible for Program funds.

Should you have any questions concerning this matter or need additional information, please feel free to contact me at Vanessa.Rozvodovskyi@dgs.ca.gov or (279) 799-3859, or my manager at Tracy.Sharp@dgs.ca.gov or (279) 946-8485.

Sincerely,

Vanessa Rozvodovskyi
Project Manager
Program Services
Office of Public School Construction

cc: Kelly Long, Hancock Park & Delong, Inc.
Tracy Sharp, Operations Manager, Program Services, OPSC
Allan S. Carver, Siskiyou County Office of Education
Sarah Applegate, Siskiyou County Office of Education
File: Correspondence –70/70482-00-001

SHASTA HEAD START/WEED ELEMENTARY LEASE

THIS LEASE ("Lease") is entered into as of this 1st Day of September, 2024 between WEED ELEMENTARY SCHOOL DISTRICT ("District") and SHASTA COUNTY HEAD START CHILD DEVELOPMENT, INC. ("SCHSCDI") a non-profit federally funded corporation.

RECITALS

WHEREAS, SCHSCDI is a grantee in the Federal Head Start Program ("Head Start").

WHEREAS, The District is the owner of that certain real property located at 575 White Avenue, Weed CA 96094 ("Property"). The legal description of the Property is as follows: A toddler and preschool classroom, parking lot, staff office area, and playground. Please see attachment A (School area leased to SCHSCDI, Leased Area).

WHEREAS, SCHSCDI intends to provide Head Start educational and social opportunities for preschool-aged children and their families ("Program") at the Property and within the Leased Area.

WHEREAS, District and SCHSCDI agree that this Agreement is in the best interest of the parties and for the mutual benefit of each party.

NOW THEREFORE, for good and valuable consideration the receipt and adequacy of which are acknowledged, the parties agree as follows:

Section 1. Lease of Premises

District leases to SCHSCDI and SCHSCDI leases from District the Leased Area on the terms and conditions set forth in this Lease.

Section 2. Use

2.1 Permitted Uses of Leased Area; SCHSCDI Responsibilities. District hereby grants SCHSCDI the right to Leased Premises as necessary to use the Leased Facility for the operation of Head Start and State Preschool Programs. The Leased Area must comply with California Community care licensing, State Preschool and Federal Head Start requirements. The rights granted to, and the obligations imposed on SCHSCDI shall extend to SCHSCDI's officers, agents, employees, volunteers, and independent contractors. To the extent that SCHSCDI wishes to use the Facility for any use other than the Program, SCHSCDI shall be required to obtain the District's prior written approval, which shall not be unreasonably withheld.

2.2 Prohibited Uses. SCHSCDI shall not use or permit the License Area to be improved, used or occupied in any manner or for any purpose that is in any way in violation of any valid law, ordinance, or regulation or any Federal, State, County, or Local Government agency, body or entity, or in any manner that violates the terms of this Agreement.

2.3 Program Standards. The SCHSCDI shall be responsible for seeing that the standards and quality of any improvements and operations for the Program shall meet the requirements of all applicable federal, state, and local laws.

Section 3. Term

The term of this Lease shall be for a period of Twenty (22) years, commencing on September 1, 2024, and unless terminated sooner in accordance with this Lease, ending on August 31, 2046 ("Term").

Section 4. Cause for Termination

SCHSCDI shall have the option to terminate this agreement if enrollment in the Weed Head Start program decreases below 16 children in the Head Start preschool program or 8 in the Early Head Start toddler program for more than 12 consecutive months or SCHSCDI losses Federal or Start funding to operate the Program. SCHSCDI will give the District a 120-day notice of termination. In the event the contract is terminated in the middle of the contract year the lease payment will be prorated for the days SCHSCDI occupied the facility.

Section 5. Rent

SCHSCDI shall pay to the District during the Term of this Lease an annual rental payment for the Leased Premises in accordance with the following payment schedule On September 10th of each year.

9/1/2024	199,175.00
9/1/2025	197,768.76
9/1/2026	196,206.26
9/1/2027	199,487.50
9/1/2028	197,537.50
9/1/2029	195,262.50
9/1/2030	197,662.50
9/1/2031	199,962.50
9/1/2032	198,162.50
9/1/2033	199,187.50
9/1/2034	196,106.26
9/1/2035	197,843.76
9/1/2036	199,468.76
9/1/2037	195,981.26
9/1/2038	197,300.00
9/1/2039	198,500.00
9/1/2040	199,375.00
9/1/2041	195,125.00
9/1/2042	195,662.50
9/1/2043	196,068.76
9/1/2044	196,343.76
9/1/2045	196,487.50

Section 6. Utilities Maintenance and Repair

The District shall, at its sole expense, provide janitorial (daily cleaning), utilities, internet, maintenance, and any other service or repair necessary to keep the site compliant with community care licensing requirements for the Leased Areas. The District will provide meals through the California Child Adult Care Food Program for all enrolled children and staff in the Program. If the District kitchen is closed for the summer months the District will allow Head Start staff access to the kitchen to prepare meals for the children and staff. Staff are required to participate in family style meals with children and therefore must be supplied sufficient food in child size portions to model for children during mealtime.

Section 7. Insurance; Indemnification

7.1 Insurance

The District and SHSCDI shall each secure and maintain in full force and effect during the full term of this Agreement liability insurance or participation in a self-insurance program in amounts of not less than $1 Million each occurrence, $2 Million in the aggregate. The District shall name SHSCDI as additional insured on an additionally insured endorsement form. SHSCDI shall name the District as additional insured on an additionally insured endorsement form.

7.3 Indemnification.

SCHSCDI shall defend, indemnify, and hold District, its officers, agents, employees, members of its Board of Trustees, and the property of the District, harmless from any and all liability, claims, loss, damages, or expenses, including reasonable attorneys' fees, arising out of an act or omission by SCHSCDI or any of its officers, employees, agents, assigns, customers, students, enrollees, or other persons in the Leased Area, whether such act or omission was negligent or willful.

The District shall defend, indemnify, and hold SCHSCDI, its officers, agents, employees, members of its Board of Trustees, and the property of SCHSCDI, harmless from any and all liability, claims, loss, damages, or expenses, including reasonable attorneys' fees, arising out of an act or omission by the District or any of its officers, employees, agents, assigns, customers, students, enrollees, or other persons in the Leased Area, whether such act or omission was negligent or willful.

Section 8. Signs

SCHSCDI may place appropriate signs within the Leased Premises as reasonably necessary to identify the Program.

Section 9. Assignment and Subletting

SCHSCDI shall not assign this Lease, or any interest in this Lease, and shall not sublet the Leased Area or any part of them, or any right or privilege appurtenant to them, or permit any other person other than the agents and servants of SCHSCDI to occupy or use the Leased Area without the prior written consent of the District.

Section 10. Notices

Wherever in this Lease it shall be required or permitted that notice and demand be given or served by either party to the other, this notice or demand shall be given or served and shall not be deemed to have been given or served unless in writing and forwarded by certified mail, addressed as follows:

1) **To District:**
Weed Elementary School District
575 White Avenue
Weed, California 96094
Attn: Jon Ray
Superintendent

2) **To SCHSCDI:**
Shasta County Head Start Child Development, Inc.
375 Lake Blvd., Suite 100
Redding, California 96003
Attn: Gordon Chatham
Executive Director

Either party may change this address by written notice by certified mail to the ot

Section 11. Governing Law

This Lease shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of California.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties have executed this Lease on the day and year first above written.

SCHSCDI:

By:_____
Gordon Chatham
Executive Director

District:

By:_____
Jon Ray
Superintendent

BOARD OF EDUCATION
WEED UNION ELEMENTARY SCHOOL DISTRICT
WEED, CALIFORNIA

To the Board of Education:

Purpose: Resolution No. 24-25-14 Intent to Apply to the California Department of Education for a Contract to Operate a Full-Day Preschool Program.

WHEREAS, the Weed Union Elementary School District has stated its intent to offer enrollment in a full-day preschool program and apply for program service funding at the Weed Elementary, and;

WHEREAS, the Weed Union Elementary School District is participating in the *California Preschool, Transitional Kindergarten and Full-Day Kindergarten Facilities Grant Program* and has received funding from the State for new construction of full-day preschool classrooms, and;

WHEREAS, the Weed Union Elementary School District certifies that the District will apply to the California Department of Education for a contract to operate the preschool program;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Governing Board of the Weed Union Elementary School District hereby authorizes the District's Representative(s) to file all required documents with the California Department of Education for a contract to operate a California state preschool program.

RESOLVED, this 19th day of November, 2024, at Weed, California, at a special meeting of the Governing Board of the Weed Union Elementary School District by the following vote:

Ayes: 4

Noes: 0

Abstain: 0

Absent: Caldo Cordes

Debbie M

Board President
Board of Education

Weed Union Elementary School District

Regular Meeting of the Board of Trustees
12/12/2024 06:00 PM
Weed Elementary School Portable Building #22
575 White Avenue Weed, CA 96094
(530) 938-2715

MEETING MINUTES

SCAN ME

Scan the above QR code with your phone to view this meeting agenda on your phone.

The Board Meeting will be open to the public in Portable # 22

Closed Session will begin at 6:00pm with Regular Session immediately following

Attendees

Voting Members
Debbie Moser, President
Michael Carpine, Trustee
Marie Gates-Green, Trustee
Bob Hall, Trustee

Non-Voting Members
Jon Ray, Superintendent
Darcy Lee, Administrative Assistant
Tara Willis, Business Director

1. **Roll Call & Pledge of Allegiance**

   The meeting was called to order at 6:02 pm.

2. **Organizational Meeting**

   A. **Installation of Trustees/Administration of Oath of Office of Appointed Board Members by Superintendent**

      I. **Marie Gates-Green**

Superintendent Ray swore in Marie Gates-Green.

II. Bob Hall

Superintendent Ray swore in Bob Hall.

B. Election of Officers Pursuant to Board Bylaw 9100

I. Elect a President

Motion was made by Trustee Carpine to nominate Debbie Moser as President of the School Board
The motion was seconded by Trustee Hall
All were in favor.

II. Elect a Clerk

Trustee Carpine made a motion to nominate Marie Gates-Greene as Clerk of the Board of Trustees.
The motion was seconded by Trustee Hall.
All were in favor.

III. Appoint the Superintendent as Secretary of the Board of Trustees

Trustee Gates-Greene made a motion for Superintendent Ray to be the Secretary to the Board of Trustees.
The motion was seconded by Trustee Carpine.
All were in favor.

C. Establish the time, dates, hours and place for the Regular Meetings of the Board of Trustees

It was decided to continue with the second Thursday of the month for Regular Board Meetings unless there are special circumstances (ie: holiday or budget reasons) that it would be different. The calendar was adopted as published.

Motion made by: Marie Gates-Green
Motion seconded by: Michael Carpine
Voting:
Debbie Moser - Yes
Michael Carpine - Yes
Marie Gates-Green - Yes
Bob Hall - Yes

D. Weed Elementary School Governance Calendar 2025

Motion made by: Marie Gates-Green
Motion seconded by: Michael Carpine
Voting:
Debbie Moser - Yes
Michael Carpine - Yes

Marie Gates-Green - Yes
Bob Hall - Yes

3. Public Comment:

A. Members of the public have the opportunity to address the Board concerning the following Closed Session Agenda Items

No comments.

4. Closed Session

The Board went into Closed Session at 6:19 pm.

A. Evaluation of Performance (GC 54957) Superintendent/Principal

B. Conference with Labor Negotiators (GC 54957.6): Agency Representatives: Jon Ray, Superintendent; Employee Organizations: Weed Classroom Teachers Association and the California School Employees Association # 391, Unrepresented Employees.

C. Public Employee Discipline/Dismissal/Release/Resignation/Retirement Pursuant to Government Code Section 54957

I. Letter from Employee #1868553006

D. Conference with Legal Counsel: Existing Litigation (Subdivision (a) of Government Code Section 54956.9)

5. Reconvene to Open Session

The Board reconvened for Open Session at 6:52 pm.

The Board took action in Closed Session:
Under conference with Legal Counsel, the Board voted unanimously to approve the settlement agreement with Northern California Relief wherein Northern California Relief will pay the District's gym roof damage claim in the amount of $175,000.

6. Public Comment

A. Items on the Agenda

No comments.

B. Items not on the Agenda

No comments.

7. Consent Agenda

Motion made by: Bob Hall
Motion seconded by: Michael Carpine
Voting:
Debbie Moser - Yes

Michael Carpine - Yes
Marie Gates-Green - Yes
Bob Hall - Yes

A. Approve Board Meeting Minutes for the November 4, 2024 Special Board Meeting and the November 19, 2024 Special Board Meeting

B. Approve Fund Transfers, Budget Transfers and Warrants

I. School Related Warrants: $41,208.57 + $22,406.68 + $82,250.00 + $135,307.58 = $281,172.83

II. Construction Related Warrants: $123,201.34

C. Approve Personnel Action Report

8. Reports

A. Certificated Staff

No reports.

B. Classified Staff

No reports.

C. Administration

Superintendent Ray shared that our enrollment has increased by about 10 students since the Thanksgiving break. Some are interdistrict transfers and some are in our district. We're still going with a conservative count for our first Interim but we are growing.
Trustee Hall shared that in the Weed city limits, there are 60 children under the age of 5, in Lake Shastina there are 200.
Superintendent Ray shared that we do send a bus to Lake Shastina and we transport quite a few students.
President Moser shared that we also have a strategy in having Shasta Head Start on our campus to ease the transition of coming to Weed Elementary School.
We currently rent space to Head Start. Right now we only have one room to offer them but with the new school, they'll have two rooms so can double enrollment in the future.
Superintendent Ray went over academics.
It is at least a B average to get into the UC system - this is what the state has based its standardized testing on.
After the academic period with report cards, these numbers came in and are reflected in the proficiency results.
We are the only school in Siskiyou County that has grown in the academic sense. The county is showing declines since the pandemic, but WES is showing growth.

By the February meeting, we will have another norm-referenced proficiency result to show you.

I. **Current Enrollment**

II. **Academic Update**

D. Construction Update

Superintendent Ray shared that there aren't a lot of updates to share but we are anticipating the preschool money in the next 2-3 weeks. The goal is to use that cash to mobilize the group so we can get the preschool/kindergarten wing open and in use. We're looking at Spring Break. We are on hold indefinitely on Phase 2 until we can secure more funding. To get it to occupied status we need about 10 million more. Prop 2 will help with providing money to pay for interim housing. This is retroactive so we will be applying for that.
We've spent about 3.5 million on interim housing. We're on hold until we can get more funding.
President Moser shared that since day 1 we have had these three documents in the Pay App, the Cash Flow and Master Spreadsheet so that transparency is at the forefront.

I. **Pay App**

II. **Cash Flow Analysis**

III. **Master Spreadsheet**

9. Action - Discussion - Information

A. Consideration and Possible Action on Vacant Board Member Position (Ed. Code. 5090 & 5091)

Superintendent Ray shared that we had three vacant positions on our Board, but only two signed up - so we have a failure to elect with this open seat. We have used the provisional process, but there's also the special election process, which would exceed $50,000.
A motion was made to go with the provisional process. After the appointment, the new board member would run at the next election.
By the February meeting, we will appoint a new member.

Motion made by: Marie Gates-Green
Motion seconded by: Bob Hall
Voting:
Debbie Moser - Yes
Michael Carpine - Yes
Marie Gates-Green - Yes
Bob Hall - Yes

B. Ratify Proposal Measure Y Recount

Motion made by: Bob Hall
Motion seconded by: Michael Carpine
Voting:
Debbie Moser - Yes
Michael Carpine - Yes
Marie Gates-Green - Yes
Bob Hall - Yes

C. Consider for Approval 2024-2025 1st Interim Certification And Related Budget Transfers

CBO Tara Willis shared a PowerPoint presentation (attached to minutes) with the Board and the public.

Motion made by: Marie Gates-Green
Motion seconded by: Michael Carpine
Voting:
Debbie Moser - Yes
Michael Carpine - Yes
Marie Gates-Green - Yes
Bob Hall - Yes

D. Consider for Approval Amended 2022-2023 J13a Form

Motion made by: Michael Carpine
Motion seconded by: Marie Gates-Green
Voting:
Debbie Moser - Vote Not Recorded
Michael Carpine - Vote Not Recorded
Marie Gates-Green - Vote Not Recorded
Bob Hall - Vote Not Recorded

E. Consider for Approval Updated 2024-25 Academic Calendars

Motion made by: Bob Hall
Motion seconded by: Michael Carpine
Voting:
Debbie Moser - Yes
Michael Carpine - Yes
Marie Gates-Green - Yes
Bob Hall - Yes

F. Consider for Approval Crescent City Jaycee Girls and Boys Basketball Tournaments

Motion made by: Michael Carpine
Motion seconded by: Marie Gates-Green
Voting:
Debbie Moser - Yes

Michael Carpine - Yes
Marie Gates-Green - Yes
Bob Hall - Yes

G. Consider for Approval Resolution # 24-25-11 Board Compensation for Missed Meeting

Motion made by: Marie Gates-Green
Motion seconded by: Michael Carpine
Voting:
Debbie Moser - Yes
Michael Carpine - Yes
Marie Gates-Green - Yes
Bob Hall - Yes

H. Consider for Approval Resolution # 24-25-12 Board Compensation for Missed Meeting

Motion made by: Marie Gates-Green
Motion seconded by: Michael Carpine
Voting:
Debbie Moser - Yes
Michael Carpine - Yes
Marie Gates-Green - Yes
Bob Hall - Yes

I. Consider for Approval SFA Contract for 2024-2025

Motion made by: Michael Carpine
Motion seconded by: Marie Gates-Green
Voting:
Debbie Moser - Yes
Michael Carpine - Yes
Marie Gates-Green - Yes
Bob Hall - Yes

J. Consider for Approval Spearitwurx Scope of Work Proposal

Motion made by: Bob Hall
Motion seconded by: Michael Carpine
Voting:
Debbie Moser - Yes
Michael Carpine - Yes
Marie Gates-Green - Yes
Bob Hall - Yes

K. Consider for Approval SAM Labs Quote

Motion made by: Bob Hall
Motion seconded by: Michael Carpine
Voting:

Debbie Moser - Yes
Michael Carpine - Yes
Marie Gates-Green - Yes
Bob Hall - Yes

L. Consider for Approval Limited Scope Professional Services Agreement for CRM Group - Increment 2

Motion made by: Michael Carpine
Motion seconded by: Marie Gates-Green
Voting:
Debbie Moser - Yes
Michael Carpine - Yes
Marie Gates-Green - Yes
Bob Hall - Yes

M. Consider Rescinding Contract with Diversified Electrical Services - Increment 2

Motion made by: Michael Carpine
Motion seconded by: Bob Hall
Voting:
Debbie Moser - Yes
Michael Carpine - Yes
Marie Gates-Green - Yes
Bob Hall - Yes

N. Consider Rescinding Contract with Storckman Plumbing - Increment 2

Motion made by: Bob Hall
Motion seconded by: Michael Carpine
Voting:
Debbie Moser - Yes
Michael Carpine - Yes
Marie Gates-Green - Yes
Bob Hall - Yes

O. Consider Rescinding AFP Sprinklers Inc. - Increment 2

Motion made by: Marie Gates-Green
Motion seconded by: Bob Hall
Voting:
Debbie Moser - Yes
Michael Carpine - Yes
Marie Gates-Green - Yes
Bob Hall - Yes

P. Consider Rescinding Ray Mac Mechanical Contract - Increment 2

Motion made by: Marie Gates-Green
Motion seconded by: Michael Carpine

Voting:
Debbie Moser - Yes
Michael Carpine - Yes
Marie Gates-Green - Yes
Bob Hall - Yes

10. Correspondence

A. Annual Bus Safety Compliance Report - California Hwy Patrol

11. Board Comments

Trustee Gates-Green shared that she put on the craft fair at the WES cafeteria, and it was all positive from the community.

12. Adjournment

The Board adjourned the meeting at 8:22 pm.

Board President

Board Clerk

ATTACHMENT 9

From: Jon Ray
To: Walden, Lindsey@DGS
Cc: Regina Bills-Dacong; Rozvodovskyi, Vanessa@DGS; Sharp, Tracy@DGS
Subject: Re: Office of Public School Construction - Preschool Requirements Response - Weed Elementary
Date: Friday, February 7, 2025 5:42:54 PM
Attachments: image001.png
image003.png
image004.png
image005.png
image006.png
image008.png
Weed ES Contracts_2.7.2025.pdf

CAUTION: This email originated from a NON-State email address. Do not click links or open attachments unless you are certain of the sender's authenticity.

Hello Lindsey,

The District acknowledges OPSC's request to provide the contract information for the California PSTKFDK Facilities Grant Program project, application 70/70482-00-001. Please see the attached, updated contract list for work needed to complete the Preschool portion of the building, with prorated amounts noted. These contracts and purchase orders are being uploaded to OPSC Online.

The DSA approved plans include the buildings in the following OPSC projects. Based upon the DSA cost estimate ($24 M) and corresponding square footages for each corresponding OPSC project, the cost estimate and overall contract amounts were prorated as follows:

Project Proration:	OPSC approved Sq Ft by Project	% of Total by Sq Ft
WES Cafeteria FH (51/70482-00-001)	7,465	17.23%
WES SMP Primary CRs (51/70482-00-002)	7,078	16.34%
WES Mold FH (51/70482-00-003)	26,029	60.07%
WES Preschool (70/70482-00-001)	2,757	6.36%
Total	43,329	100.00%
$ 24,000,000.00	DSA cost estimate	
$ 2,018,191.00	PS Grant	
$ 1,210,914.60	60% commensurate test	
$ 1,816,371.90	90% of PS Grant	

The district has prioritized completing the portion of the new admin/classroom building housing the preschool classrooms and has thus entered into the construction contracts that support that completion. The contracts that were focused on this portion of the building have been prorated on the attached spreadsheet at either 6.36% (as a portion of the total DSA project), at 100% (because the

contracts were focused solely on the preschool classrooms) or at 20.99% (based on the preschool square footage as a portion of the 13,133 square feet currently being completed).

The spreadsheet includes the contracts recently bid and awarded for the preschool project and has noted the contracts that were recently rescinded at the December board meeting. Even with those contracts (which were partially completed) rescinded, the district meets both the 60% commensurate requirement for the project and has demonstrated that the value of the remaining contracts are in excess of 90% of the Preschool grant. Please note, the noted contracts were halted due to existing cash flow issues caused by the delay in the OPSC fund release. The construction will resume once the current preschool fund release has been completed by OPSC.

The District continues to work with CDE on the preschool contract, and the board's commitment to this has not changed, as evidenced by the board resolution already provided to the OPSC. The anticipated preschool opening is Fall of 2025 (WUESD's Board of Education has not formally adopted their 2025-26 academic calendar as of this email but we typically begin instruction towards the end of August).

The District is respectfully requesting that OPSC move forward with the fund release without further delay in order to allow the project to progress.

Sincerely,

Jon Ray

On Mon, Jan 27, 2025 at 1:40 PM Walden, Lindsey@DGS <Lindsey.Walden@dgs.ca.gov> wrote:

> Good afternoon Jon,
>
> Thank you for meeting with us last week. As discussed in the meeting, the Program regulations require the district to submit all contracts that are associated with the California Preschool, Transitional Kindergarten, and Full-Day Kindergarten Facilities Grant Program project, application 70/70482-00-001 in order to release the remaining funds for the project. This request is in alignment with the Form SAB 70-02 instructions that state: " 1. A copy of the construction contract pages that include project description, contract parties, date of contract, contract amount, and signature page."
>
> The Form SAB 70-02 also includes a certification that "The School District certifies it

has entered into a binding contract(s) for at least 90 percent of the building construction activities for the project." To verify this statement, OPSC uses contracts submitted along with the signed Form.

As mentioned, OPSC has the Bid/Scope information chart for contracts awarded on May 9th, 2024, and June 10th, 2024. In our meeting and in other correspondence, it was mentioned that additional contracts were awarded that apply to the project in February, July, and August 2024. Thus it appears that the prior list may not include a complete list of contracts that are attributed to the construction of the preschool classrooms. Along with the submittal of the contracts, the District is asked to please provide an updated list of all contracts associated with the preschool project application 70/70482-00-001. If the contract is inclusive of other classrooms/facilities, the District must include the applicable prorate and the methodology selected to arrive at the prorate. The document should also confirm if there have been any modifications made to contracts after their execution.

OPSC has noted that in the district's Board meeting minutes for the December 12, 2024 meeting (attached) that the District has indicated that two classrooms in the new facility will be rented to Head Start. In our prior conversation and correspondence we informed the District that under this program, only preschool programs operated by the District are eligible for facility funding. The District provided the attached school board resolution indicating the intent of the District to apply to the CDE for a contract to operate the preschool program. Can you please confirm the District's intent to apply to CDE is still accurate and provide OPSC the current status of any application submitted to CDE? Does the District have an anticipated commencement date for operating the preschool program in these state funded facilities?

Please do not hesitate to reach out with any questions

Lindsey Walden | *Program Supervisor, Program Services*

California Department of General Services

Interagency Services Division – Office of Public School Construction

Direct: 279.946.8458

Email lindsey.walden@dgs.ca.gov

From: Walden, Lindsey@DGS
Sent: Monday, January 13, 2025 2:10 PM
To: Jon Ray <jray@weedelem.k12.ca.us>
Cc: Regina Bills-Dacong <regina@hpdschools.com>; Rozvodovskyi, Vanessa@DGS <Vanessa.Rozvodovskyi@dgs.ca.gov>; Sharp, Tracy@DGS <Tracy.Sharp@dgs.ca.gov>
Subject: RE: Office of Public School Construction - Preschool Requirements Response - Weed Elementary

Good afternoon Jon,

Thank you for providing the below information.

There appear to be some variations in dates for the reported contracts. In order to continue in our review of the fund release process, can you please submit all contracts awarded for application 70/70482-00-001? Also, the Board of Trustees agenda from December 12, 2024 indicates that the Board of Trustees considered rescinding the fire sprinkler contract for Increment 2, in addition to three other contracts for Increment 2. Can you confirm that Fire Sprinkler work will still be included in the construction of the preschool classrooms? Have any other contracts for the preschool project been amended or rescinded? As this may nullify the certification that the district is in contract for 90% of building activities for the project, it is important that we have a clear picture of all work taking place for this project and the current status of the contracts.

Additionally, it appears the district used an outdated version of Form 70-02. The most current version has been attached. Please resubmit the Form 70-02 on the attached version.

Please let me know if you have any questions,

Lindsey Walden | *Program Supervisor, Program Services*

California Department of General Services

Interagency Services Division – Office of Public School Construction

Direct: 279.946.8458

Email lindsey.walden@dgs.ca.gov

From: Jon Ray <jray@weedelem.k12.ca.us>
Sent: Wednesday, January 8, 2025 9:33 AM
To: Walden, Lindsey@DGS <Lindsey.Walden@dgs.ca.gov>
Cc: Regina Bills-Dacong <regina@hpdschools.com>; Rozvodovskyi, Vanessa@DGS <Vanessa.Rozvodovskyi@dgs.ca.gov>
Subject: Re: Office of Public School Construction - Preschool Requirements Response - Weed Elementary

CAUTION: This email originated from a NON-State email address. Do not click links or open attachments unless you are certain of the sender's authenticity.

Hello Lindsey,

Here is a brief summary of the relevant dates and background information supporting the district's request for an expedited fund release:

- This project is one of several projects at Weed Elementary School, resulting in the replacement of almost all of the facilities on campus. The construction of the new buildings began in September of 2021 (Increment 1). In June of 2022, we received approval from the SAB for the PSTKFDK and immediately began construction. The District has been utilizing a construction management – multi prime delivery method for the entire project.
- The contracts for the completion of the Preschool project were entered into in February of 2024 with the acknowledgment of the new buildings at 60% progress already completed (this is due to the multi-prime delivery model).
- The District then requested an extension for the time limit on the fund release, in order to provide sufficient time to bid and enter into acceptable contracts to certify it had "entered into binding contract(s) for the completion of the project".
- The District was able to successfully bid, award and move forward with excavation and earthwork for the Preschool project in July and August; the initial progress payment for that work in the amount of $95,223.95 was due in August and must be paid to the contractor as soon as possible.
- The district has temporarily halted work pending the receipt of the funding from OPSC and the buildings sit at 75% completion and we have only received the Design Apportionment.

- The District needs to continue to move forward with the construction project, including the installation of dry-in materials to ensure weatherproofing of the project. Further funding delays could result in damage and costly repairs, which would greatly impact the project's overall budget.

We are imploring the OPSC to accept our request regarding an expedited fund release. We appreciate your time and consideration of this request.

On Tue, Jan 7, 2025 at 9:03 AM Walden, Lindsey@DGS <Lindsey.Walden@dgs.ca.gov> wrote:

> Good morning,
>
> Thank you for your request.
>
> In order to receive approval for an expedited fund release, please provide OPSC with a narrative outlining the details about the district's needs and the current timing of the project. This narrative should include relevant information such as:
>
> 1. Dates/events pertinent to the project that have taken place since SAB approval
> 2. Background information on the project
> 3. When was the start date on the Notice to Proceed?
> 4. When is the first application for payment to the contractor due?
> 5. When are the upcoming progress payments due to the contractor? How much are these progress payments to the contractors expected to be?
>
> Please do not hesitate to reach out with any questions,
>
> **Lindsey Walden** | *Program Supervisor, Program Services*
>
> **California Department of General Services**
>
> Interagency Services Division – Office of Public School Construction
>
> **Direct:** 279.946.8458
>
> **Email** lindsey.walden@dgs.ca.gov
>
> ---
>
> **From:** Jon Ray <jray@weedelem.k12.ca.us>

Sent: Tuesday, January 7, 2025 8:54 AM
To: Walden, Lindsey@DGS <Lindsey.Walden@dgs.ca.gov>
Cc: Regina Bills-Dacong <regina@hpdschools.com>; Rozvodovskyi, Vanessa@DGS <Vanessa.Rozvodovskyi@dgs.ca.gov>
Subject: Re: Office of Public School Construction - Preschool Requirements Response - Weed Elementary

CAUTION: This email originated from a NON-State email address. Do not click links or open attachments unless you are certain of the sender's authenticity.

Hello OPSC Team,

Thank you for reaching out regarding the district's fund release request for the PSTKFDK Program application, OPSC Project Number 70/70482-00-001. The District is respectfully requesting OPSC to expedite the fund release for the project, due to the ongoing construction of the school and the program requirements to enter into construction contracts prior to fund release.

The District has provided an executed Grant Agreement and Form SAB 70-01, as well as the requested supplemental information.

Thank you so much for your support of the district.

Yours In Education,

Jon Ray

Superintendent

Weed Union Elementary School District

On Mon, Jan 6, 2025 at 12:50 PM Walden, Lindsey@DGS <Lindsey.Walden@dgs.ca.gov> wrote:

> Good afternoon Jon,
>
> I am following up on our phone call this morning.

It appears OPSC does not have a record of the formal expedited fund release request. Can you please resubmit the request?

Please let me know if you have any questions,

Lindsey Walden | *Program Supervisor, Program Services*

California Department of General Services

Interagency Services Division – Office of Public School Construction

Direct: 279.946.8458

Email lindsey.walden@dgs.ca.gov

From: Rozvodovskyi, Vanessa@DGS <Vanessa.Rozvodovskyi@dgs.ca.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, December 11, 2024 10:14 AM
To: jray@weedelem.k12.ca.us; Regina Bills-Dacong <regina@hpdschools.com>
Cc: Walden, Lindsey@DGS <Lindsey.Walden@dgs.ca.gov>
Subject: RE: Office of Public School Construction - Preschool Requirements Response - Weed Elementary

Good morning Mr. Ray,

It was a pleasure speaking with you this morning. Thank you to you and your team for the clarification regarding contracts awarded exclusively for the preschool project. The sum of these contracts is $1,681,238.00. Although this total does satisfy the 60% commensurate, this exceeds the 7.69% prorate previously outlined by the District (attached). Please provide a revised prorate which includes the contract amounts for the awarded contracts as they pertain to preschool and the remaining project, to date. OPSC is aware the District is utilizing the multi-prime delivery method for awarding contracts and that not all contracts have been executed for the entirety of the project. However, we will need some measure of what has been awarded/completed thus far.

Additionally, you had inquired about an expedited fund release for this project.

In order to receive approval for an expedited fund release, please provide OPSC with a narrative outlining details about the districts' needs and the current timing of the project. This narrative should include relevant information such as:

1. Dates/events pertinent to the project that have taken place since SAB approval
2. Background information on the project
3. When was the start date on the Notice to Proceed?
4. When is the first application for payment to the contractor due?
5. When are the upcoming progress payments due to the contractor? How much are these progress payments to the contractors expected to be?

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thank you,

Vanessa Rozvodovskyi | *Project Manager, Program Services*

California Department of General Services

Interagency Support Division – Office of Public School Construction

Direct: 279.799.3859



From: Kelly Long <Kelly@hpdschools.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 3, 2024 4:45 PM
To: Rozvodovskyi, Vanessa@DGS <Vanessa.Rozvodovskyi@dgs.ca.gov>; jray@weedelem.k12.ca.us
Cc: Regina Bills-Dacong <regina@hpdschools.com>
Subject: RE: Office of Public School Construction - Preschool Requirements Response - Weed Elementary

CAUTION: This email originated from a NON-State email address. Do not click links or open attachments unless you are certain of the sender's authenticity.

Hi Vanessa,

I spoke with Superintendent Ray about the 60% commensurate requirement for the preschool funding. He reminded me the district had to request an extension on the fund release in May so they had adequate time to demonstrate they were under contract for "completion of the project". Since the district has to balance cash flow and contractual obligations, the district awarded contracts exclusively for the preschool facilities in May and June. Those contracts, noted on the contract summary attachment, collectively exceed the 60% target which is estimated to be $1,210,915. This should satisfy the 60% issue.

Thank you,

KELLY

Kelly Long

Hancock Park & DeLong, Inc.

2081 Arena Blvd., Suite 270

Sacramento, CA 95834

916.205.4179 Mobile

www.hpdschools.com

From: Rozvodovskyi, Vanessa@DGS <Vanessa.Rozvodovskyi@dgs.ca.gov>
Sent: Monday, December 2, 2024 8:27 AM
To: Kelly Long <Kelly@hpdschools.com>
Cc: Walden, Lindsey@DGS <Lindsey.Walden@dgs.ca.gov>

Subject: RE: Office of Public School Construction - Preschool Requirements Response - Weed Elementary

Good morning Kelly,

I hope you had a happy thanksgiving holiday! I confirmed with Lindsey, and because the 70-01 was submitted prior to the publication of the revised forms, the district does not need a revised 70-01.

Thank you,

Vanessa Rozvodovskyi | *Project Manager, Program Services*

California Department of General Services

Interagency Support Division – Office of Public School Construction

Direct: 279.799.3859



From: Kelly Long <Kelly@hpdschools.com>
Sent: Wednesday, November 27, 2024 1:32 PM
To: Rozvodovskyi, Vanessa@DGS <Vanessa.Rozvodovskyi@dgs.ca.gov>
Subject: RE: Office of Public School Construction - Preschool Requirements Response - Weed Elementary

CAUTION: This email originated from a NON-State email address. Do not click links or open attachments unless you are certain of the sender's authenticity.

Hi Vanessa,

You're just waiting on a new 70-01, correct?

Thank you,

KELLY

Kelly Long

Hancock Park & DeLong, Inc.

2081 Arena Blvd., Suite 270

Sacramento, CA 95834

916.205.4179 Mobile

www.hpdschools.com

From: Rozvodovskyi, Vanessa@DGS <Vanessa.Rozvodovskyi@dgs.ca.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, November 26, 2024 9:33 AM
To: Kelly Long <Kelly@hpdschools.com>; jray@weedelem.k12.ca.us
Cc: Sharp, Tracy@DGS <Tracy.Sharp@dgs.ca.gov>; LaPask, Brian@DGS <Brian.LaPask@dgs.ca.gov>; Walden, Lindsey@DGS <Lindsey.Walden@dgs.ca.gov>
Subject: RE: Office of Public School Construction - Preschool Requirements Response - Weed Elementary

Thank you Kelly!

Vanessa Rozvodovskyi | *Project Manager, Program Services*

California Department of General Services

Interagency Support Division – Office of Public School Construction

Direct: 279.799.3859

From: Kelly Long <Kelly@hpdschools.com>
Sent: Monday, November 25, 2024 4:20 PM
To: Rozvodovskyi, Vanessa@DGS <Vanessa.Rozvodovskyi@dgs.ca.gov>; jray@weedelem.k12.ca.us
Cc: Sharp, Tracy@DGS <Tracy.Sharp@dgs.ca.gov>; LaPask, Brian@DGS <Brian.LaPask@dgs.ca.gov>; Walden, Lindsey@DGS <Lindsey.Walden@dgs.ca.gov>
Subject: RE: Office of Public School Construction - Preschool Requirements Response - Weed Elementary

CAUTION: This email originated from a NON-State email address. Do not click links or open attachments unless you are certain of the sender's authenticity.

Hello Vanessa,

Increment 1 consists of the replacement cafeteria. The Full-Day Preschool classrooms are not part of Increment 1. The replacement cafeteria was included in application 51/70482-00-001only.

Increments 2 and 3 contain the Administration/Kindergarten Building and the Site Improvements, respectively. As you know, these plans contain work being funded from more than one funding source, specifically Seismic Mitigation Program (SMP) replacement funding from application 51/70482-00-002 and facility hardship replacement funding from application 51/70482-00-003, as well as application 70/70482-00-001. The scope funded under 51/70482-00-002 is the OPSC-approved replacement funding of 7,078 square feet under the SMP. The scope funded under 51/70482-00-003 is the OPSC-approved replacement funding of 26,029 square feet under the facility hardship program. Project 70/70482-00-001 includes an additional 2,757 square feet. The project scope funded through application 70/70482-00-001 is being funded exclusively from other funding outside SFP projects 51/70482-00-002 and

51/70482-00-003 and beyond the District's matching share for these projects.

Using the approved square footage for each project, the table below shows the proration applicable to the work contained in Increments 2 & 3.

Project Proration:	Sq Ft by Project	% of Total by Sq Ft
WES SMP (51/70482-00-002)	7,078	19.74%
WES Mold FH (51/70482-00-003)	26,029	72.58%
WES Full Day K (70/70482-00-001)	2757	7.69%
Total	35,864	100.00%

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thank you,

KELLY

Kelly Long

Hancock Park & DeLong, Inc.

2081 Arena Blvd., Suite 270

Sacramento, CA 95834

916.205.4179 Mobile

www.hpdschools.com

From: Rozvodovskyi, Vanessa@DGS <Vanessa.Rozvodovskyi@dgs.ca.gov>
Sent: Thursday, November 21, 2024 2:23 PM

To: Kelly Long <Kelly@hpdschools.com>; jray@weedelem.k12.ca.us
Cc: Sharp, Tracy@DGS <Tracy.Sharp@dgs.ca.gov>; LaPask, Brian@DGS <Brian.LaPask@dgs.ca.gov>; Allan Carver <acarver@siskiyoucoe.net>; Sarah Applegate <sapplegate@siskiyoucoe.net>; Walden, Lindsey@DGS <Lindsey.Walden@dgs.ca.gov>
Subject: RE: Office of Public School Construction - Preschool Requirements Response - Weed Elementary

Good afternoon Kelly,

Thank you for your submittal. Additionally, the DSA plans contain work for the construction of 1-Kitchen/Cafeteria Building, 1-Administration/Kindergarten Building, 1-Parking Lot, and Alterations to 1-Site Improvement. Please provide a prorate for the work outside the project scope at your earliest convenience.

Let me know if you have any questions.

Thank you and have a great day,

Vanessa Rozvodovskyi | *Project Manager, Program Services*

California Department of General Services

Interagency Support Division – Office of Public School Construction

Direct: 279.799.3859



From: Kelly Long <Kelly@hpdschools.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 21, 2024 12:29 PM
To: Rozvodovskyi, Vanessa@DGS <Vanessa.Rozvodovskyi@dgs.ca.gov>; jray@weedelem.k12.ca.us
Cc: Sharp, Tracy@DGS <Tracy.Sharp@dgs.ca.gov>; LaPask, Brian@DGS <Brian.LaPask@dgs.ca.gov>; Allan Carver <acarver@siskiyoucoe.net>; Sarah Applegate

<sapplegate@siskiyoucoe.net>; Walden, Lindsey@DGS <Lindsey.Walden@dgs.ca.gov>

Subject: RE: Office of Public School Construction - Preschool Requirements Response - Weed Elementary

Importance: High

CAUTION: This email originated from a NON-State email address. Do not click links or open attachments unless you are certain of the sender's authenticity.

Good afternoon Ms. Rozvodovskyi,

As requested, Weed Union Elementary School District is submitting the attached board resolution certifying that the District will apply to CDE for a contract to operate the preschool program at Weed Elementary School. Additionally, a newly signed SAB 70-01 is attached in order to obtain the necessary Grant Agreement for California Preschool, Transitional Kindergarten and Full-Day Kindergarten Facilities Grant Program project 70/70482-00-001. As you may recall, all other information required for the fund release was previously submitted via OPSC Online in July of this year.

The District is ready to submit the SAB 70-02 immediately upon receipt of the Grant Agreement from OPSC. As OPSC knows, the financial situation for the District is extremely tight so the District would respectfully request that the Grant Agreement be made available as soon as possible.

Thank you,

KELLY

Kelly Long

Hancock Park & DeLong, Inc.

2081 Arena Blvd., Suite 270

Sacramento, CA 95834

916.205.4179 Mobile

www.hpdschools.com

From: Rozvodovskyi, Vanessa@DGS <Vanessa.Rozvodovskyi@dgs.ca.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, November 13, 2024 2:22 PM
To: jray@weedelem.k12.ca.us; Kelly Long <Kelly@hpdschools.com>
Cc: Sharp, Tracy@DGS <Tracy.Sharp@dgs.ca.gov>; LaPask, Brian@DGS <Brian.LaPask@dgs.ca.gov>; Allan Carver <acarver@siskiyoucoe.net>; Sarah Applegate <sapplegate@siskiyoucoe.net>; Walden, Lindsey@DGS <Lindsey.Walden@dgs.ca.gov>
Subject: RE: Office of Public School Construction - Preschool Requirements Response - Weed Elementary

Good afternoon,

As a follow-up to previous correspondences, this message is to confirm that the District can demonstrate compliance to Education Code 17375, by providing one of the following:

a. A contract with the California Department of Education (CDE) to operate a preschool program, or
b. A certification made via the District's school board that the District has or will apply to CDE for a contract to operate the preschool program

As outlined in the attached letter from August, 28, 2024, this is the final document needed to initiate the District's fund release. The Grant Agreement for Weed Elementary's Program application 70/70482-00-001 will be forthcoming in the next few weeks, so should the District submit proof of a valid contract with CDE, they may submit their signed GA concurrently with a new Form SAB 70-02 at any time to complete their fund release.

The District is also advised that the project needs to be completed by November 28, 2025 and they need full compliance by that point in time based on the original program certifications.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thank you and have a great day,

Vanessa Rozvodovskyi | *Project Manager, Program Services*

California Department of General Services

Interagency Support Division – Office of Public School Construction

Direct: 279.799.3859



From: Kelly Long <Kelly@hpdschools.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 3, 2024 3:33 PM
To: Rozvodovskyi, Vanessa@DGS <Vanessa.Rozvodovskyi@dgs.ca.gov>; jray@weedelem.k12.ca.us
Cc: Sharp, Tracy@DGS <Tracy.Sharp@dgs.ca.gov>; LaPask, Brian@DGS <Brian.LaPask@dgs.ca.gov>; Allan Carver <acarver@siskiyoucoe.net>; Sarah Applegate <sapplegate@siskiyoucoe.net>
Subject: RE: Office of Public School Construction - Preschool Requirements Response - Weed Elementary

CAUTION: This email originated from a NON-State email address. Do not click links or open attachments unless you are certain of the sender's authenticity.

Good afternoon Ms. Rozvodoskyi,

This email conforms that your letter regarding Preschool Requirements dated August 28, 2024 has been received by the Weed Union Elementary School District. The District has been in contact with the California Department of Education regarding preschool contract requirements and will provide additional updates as discussions continue.

Thank you,

KELLY

Kelly Long

Hancock Park & DeLong, Inc.

2081 Arena Blvd., Suite 270

Sacramento, CA 95834

916.205.4179 Mobile

www.hpdschools.com

From: Rozvodovskyi, Vanessa@DGS <Vanessa.Rozvodovskyi@dgs.ca.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, August 28, 2024 2:33 PM
To: jray@weedelem.k12.ca.us; Kelly Long <Kelly@hpdschools.com>
Cc: Sharp, Tracy@DGS <Tracy.Sharp@dgs.ca.gov>; LaPask, Brian@DGS <Brian.LaPask@dgs.ca.gov>; Allan Carver <acarver@siskiyoucoe.net>; Sarah Applegate <sapplegate@siskiyoucoe.net>
Subject: Office of Public School Construction - Preschool Requirements Response - Weed Elementary

Good afternoon,

This message is a follow up to the Office of Public School Construction (OPSC) May 30, 2024, letter as well as in response to the District's letter dated June 11, 2024, regarding preschool requirements for the California Preschool, Transitional Kindergarten, Full-Day Kindergarten Facilities Grant Program (Program) application number 70/70482-00-001 at Weed Elementary School.

OPSC has completed its review of the applicable statute and regulations. Our findings are in the attached letter.

OPSC is respectfully requesting confirmation of receipt by replying to this message.

Should you have any questions or need additional information please feel free to contact me or my manager, Tracy Sharp at (279) 946-8485.

Thank you,

Vanessa Rozvodovskyi | *Project Manager, Program Services*

California Department of General Services

Interagency Support Division – Office of Public School Construction

707 Third Street – 4th Floor

West Sacramento, CA 95605

http://www.dgs.ca.gov/opsc

Direct: 279.799.3859

vanessa.rozvodovskyi@dgs.ca.gov



Excellence in the Business of Government

Get connected with DGS!

From: Jon Ray
To: Walden, Lindsey@DGS
Cc: Regina Bills-Dacong; DGS OPSC-FullDayKindergarten
Subject: Re: Expenditure Report Submittal - Weed Elementary SD
Date: Monday, March 2, 2026 11:41:42 AM
Attachments: image001.png
WUESD - CDE Waiver Request Application 02.03.26 (1).pdf

CAUTION: This email originated from a NON-State email address. Do not click links or open attachments unless you are certain of the sender's authenticity.

Good morning Lindsay,

My apologies for the delayed response.

I want to provide an update regarding the preschool classrooms. At this time, the District is in the process of applying for a waiver related to the inclusion of the preschool space. A copy of the waiver application is included with this email for your reference.

As a reminder, the waiver process requires several procedural steps, including formal negotiations with the teachers' union and a public hearing. I can confirm that negotiations with the bargaining unit have been completed, and the required public hearing has been scheduled for March 12, 2026.

Once the waiver process is finalized, we will provide any additional documentation required to support the preschool program, consistent with OPSC guidance.

Please let me know if you have any questions in the meantime or if there is anything further you need from us at this stage.

Best regards,
Jon

On Fri, Jan 23, 2026 at 8:46 AM Walden, Lindsey@DGS <Lindsey.Walden@dgs.ca.gov> wrote:

> Good morning,
>
> I am following up on the below email.
>
> Can you please provide the supporting documents for the inclusion of the preschool classrooms?
>
> Please provide the following information:
>
> 1. Copy of the District contract with the State Department of Education to operate a

preschool program

2. Copy of the District's California Department Social Services approved Child Care License, or proof of license-exempt status

Thank you,

Lindsey Walden | *Program Supervisor, Program Services*

California Department of General Services

Interagency Services Division – Office of Public School Construction

Direct: 279.946.8458

Email lindsey.walden@dgs.ca.gov

From: Walden, Lindsey@DGS
Sent: Monday, December 8, 2025 3:28 PM
To: Regina Bills-Dacong <regina@hpdschools.com>; DGS OPSC-FullDayKindergarten <DGSOPSCFullDayKindergarten@dgs.ca.gov>
Cc: Jon Ray <jray@weedelem.k12.ca.us>
Subject: RE: Expenditure Report Submittal - Weed Elementary SD

Good afternoon Regina,

Thank you for the submittal of the 70-03 and DLOPE.

Please also provide the supporting documents required for the inclusion of preschool classrooms.

1. Copy of the District contract with the State Department of Education to operate a preschool program

2. Copy of the District's California Department Social Services approved Child Care License, or proof of license-exempt status

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thank you,

Lindsey Walden | *Program Supervisor, Program Services*

California Department of General Services

Interagency Services Division – Office of Public School Construction

Direct: 279.946.8458

Email lindsey.walden@dgs.ca.gov

From: Felseghi, Adrian@DGS <Adrian.Felseghi@dgs.ca.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, December 2, 2025 7:10 AM
To: Regina Bills-Dacong <regina@hpdschools.com>; DGS OPSC-Application Review Team <OPSCApplicationReviewTeam@dgs.ca.gov>; Reese, Suzanne@DGS <Suzanne.Reese@dgs.ca.gov>; DGS OPSC-FullDayKindergarten <DGSOPSCFullDayKindergarten@dgs.ca.gov>
Cc: Jon Ray <jray@weedelem.k12.ca.us>
Subject: RE: Expenditure Report Submittal - Weed Elementary SD

Regina,

OPSC has received your Expenditure Report submittal for the application number 70/70482-00-001 (Weed Elementary school).

Thank you,

Adrian Felseghi | Associate Governmental Program Analyst

California Department of General Services

Interagency Services Division – Office of Public School Construction

Direct: 279.946.8425



From: Regina Bills-Dacong <regina@hpdschools.com>
Sent: Monday, December 1, 2025 5:42 PM
To: DGS OPSC-Application Review Team <OPSCApplicationReviewTeam@dgs.ca.gov>
Cc: Jon Ray <jray@weedelem.k12.ca.us>
Subject: Expenditure Report Submittal - Weed Elementary SD

CAUTION: This email originated from a NON-State email address. Do not click links or open attachments unless you are certain of the sender's authenticity.

Hello OPSC,

On behalf of the Weed Elementary School District, attached is the final expenditure report package for the Weed Elementary School Preschool project, OPSC Application 70/70482-00-001.

Can you please provide confirmation of receipt?

Thank you,

Regina Bills-Dacong

Hancock Park & DeLong, Inc.

2081 Arena Blvd, Suite 270

Sacramento, CA 95834

916-917-9664 Mobile

www.hpdschools.com

March 23, 2026

Mr. Jon Ray
District Superintendent
Weed Union Elementary School District
575 White Avenue
Weed, CA 96094

Dear Superintendent Ray:

This letter is regarding the funding Weed Union Elementary School District (District) received under the California Preschool, Transitional Kindergarten and Full-Day Kindergarten Facilities Grant Program (Program). The District received a New Construction Apportionment, application number 70/70482-00-001, on November 28, 2022, for the construction of two new preschool classrooms at Weed Elementary School.

The Office of Public School Construction (OPSC) issued reminder letters to the District on September 25, 2025 and October 28, 2025, that the *Expenditure Report* (Form SAB 70-03) for the project was due on November 28, 2025. On December 1, 2025, OPSC issued a letter to the District indicating that the District's *Expenditure Report* was delinquent, and requesting that the District submit the past-due *Expenditure Report*, as well as a copy of the District's contract with the California Department of Education (CDE) to operate a preschool program; a copy of the District's California Department of Social Services approved Child Care License, or proof of license-exempt status; and the Notice of Completion or Notice of Occupancy for the project.

On December 1, 2025, the District's consultant submitted the *Expenditure Report* to OPSC on behalf of the District, without the additional supporting documents. On December 8, 2025 and January 23, 2026, OPSC sent follow-up requests to the District via email requesting the supporting documents for the preschool classrooms, including a copy of the District's contract with CDE to operate the preschool program and a copy of the District's California Department of Social Services approved Child Care License, or proof of license-exempt status. On March 2, 2026, the District responded via email indicating that the District is in the process of applying to CDE for a waiver of the requirement that the District operate the preschool program.

As outlined in OPSC's letters dated May 30, 2024, and August 28, 2024 (Attachments A and B), OPSC reminded the District that participation in the Program requires the District to operate its own preschool program pursuant to Education Code section 17375 and Program regulations. Additionally, in its May 30, 2024 letter to the District, OPSC reminded the District that it certified on the *Application for Funding* (Form SAB 70-01) that the District would contract with CDE to operate a preschool program. As further outlined in OPSC's letters dated May 30, 2024, and August 28, 2024, OPSC became aware in early 2024 that the District intended to enter into a lease agreement with Shasta Head Start Child Development, Incorporated (Head Start) to use the Program-funded facilities to operate a preschool program.

Accordingly, OPSC reminded the District that if the preschool program were not operated by the District, the project would not be compliant with the Program's statutory and regulatory requirements and therefore, the District would be ineligible to retain the Program funding.

In these letters, OPSC conditioned release of the remaining Program funds on the District's demonstration that it had entered into a contract with CDE to operate the preschool program, or a certification from the District's school board that the District would apply to CDE for a contract to operate the preschool program. In both letters, OPSC informed the District that if upon submittal of the project's *Expenditure Report* due on November 28, 2025, it was determined that the District had not entered into a contract with CDE to operate the preschool program, OPSC would require the return of the Program apportionment because the project would be ineligible for Program funds.

Following OPSC's August 28, 2024 letter on this topic, the District's governing board adopted a resolution on November 19, 2024 (Attachment C), certifying that the District would apply to CDE for a contract to operate the preschool program.

Following OPSC's receipt of the governing board resolution, OPSC requested clarification from the District via email on January 27, 2025, regarding District governing board meeting minutes dated December 12, 2024 (Attachment D), which suggested the two Program-funded preschool classrooms would be rented to Head Start. Superintendent Ray's email response dated February 7, 2025, indicated that the District "continues to work with CDE on the preschool contract, and the board's commitment to this has not changed, as evidenced by the board resolution already provided to OPSC" (Attachment E).

Based on the governing board resolution and the Superintendent's reaffirmation that the District would pursue compliance with Program requirements, OPSC released the remaining Program funds totaling $1,709,089.00 to the District on March 4, 2025.

On March 2, 2026, the District emailed OPSC stating that the District was in the process of applying to CDE for a waiver of the requirement that the District operate the preschool program. OPSC would like to clarify that this requirement is in Education Code Section 17375 and associated regulations adopted by the State Allocation Board (SAB), and it is OPSC's position that this requirement is not a regulation that can be waived by CDE or the State Board of Education because administration of this Program falls solely under the purview of the SAB.

Based on the pattern of events and documentation summarized above, it appears that there were false certifications made by the District regarding compliance with Program requirements, and the District has not provided the requested Notice of Completion or Notice of Occupancy for the preschool classrooms to demonstrate that completion of the project occurred by the November 28, 2025 deadline. Accordingly, OPSC will present an item recommending rescission of the total state apportionment for the project of $2,001,549.00 at a future SAB meeting.

Should you have any questions concerning this matter or need additional information, please feel free to contact me at brian.lapask@dgs.ca.gov or (279) 946-8434, or OPSC's Deputy Executive Officer, Michael Watanabe, at michael.watanabe@dgs.ca.gov or (279) 946-8463.

Sincerely,

Brian LaPask Digitally signed by Brian LaPask Date: 2026.03.23 15:46:42 -07'00'

Brian LaPask
Chief, Program Services
Office of Public School Construction

Attachments

cc: Regina Bills-Dacong, Hancock Park & DeLong, Inc.
Allan S. Carver, Siskiyou County Office of Education
Sarah Applegate, Siskiyou County Office of Education
File: Correspondence –70/70482-00-001

ORBACH HUFF + HENDERSON LLP

Attorneys at Law
www.ohhlegal.com

1901 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 575
Los Angeles, CA 90067

6200 Stoneridge Mall Road, Suite 225
Pleasanton, CA 94588

2877 Historic Decatur Road, Suite 200
San Diego, CA 92106

13181 Crossroads Parkway N., Suite 170
City of Industry, CA 91746

650 Lighthouse Avenue, Suite 240
Pacific Grove, CA 93950

333 City Blvd. West, Suite 1700
Orange, CA 92868

3600 Lime Street., Building 2 Office #218
Riverside, CA 92507

File Number
4804.000

April 13, 2026

VIA EMAIL

Brian LaPask, Chief, Program Services
Office of Public School Construction
California Department of General Services
brian.lapask@dgs.ca.gov

Re: **Weed Union Elementary School District; CDE Waiver Authority**
California Preschool, Transitional Kindergarten, and Full-Day Kindergarten Facilities Grant Program (Application No. 70/70482-00-001)

Dear Mr. LaPask:

Our office represents Weed Union Elementary School District ("**District**") in connection with the District's New Construction Apportionment awarded through the California Preschool, Transitional Kindergarten, and Full Day Kindergarten Facilities Grant Program ("**Program**"), Application No. 70/70482-00-001, for the construction of two new preschool classrooms. This letter responds to the Office of Public School Construction's ("**OPSC**") March 23, 2026, correspondence regarding the District's participation in the Program and OPSC's stated intent to recommend rescission of the District's $2,001,549 apportionment based on the asserted failure to satisfy the Program requirement related to contracting with the California Department of Education ("**CDE**") for preschool operations.

For the reasons set forth below, the District respectfully disagrees with OPSC's conclusion that the District is precluded from seeking a waiver, pursuant to (1) Education Code section 33050, (2) the CDE contract requirement under Education Code section 17375, and (3) the implementing regulations adopted by the State Allocation Board ("**SAB**"). Contrary to OPSC's position, Education Code section 33050 expressly authorizes waiver of the requirement at issue, and neither the statutory text nor the regulatory framework prohibits that relief.

I. BACKGROUND

On April 27, 2022, the District applied for Program funding for the construction of two preschool classrooms at Weed Elementary School ("**Project**"). On or about November 28, 2022, OPSC approved the application. At the time the District submitted the application, the District fully disclosed its proposed operational structure for the Project by including in its submission a Memorandum of Understanding ("**MOU**") with Shasta County Head Start Child Development, Inc. ("**Head Start**"). The MOU expressly provides that, while the District would operate and oversee the preschool program on its campus, Head Start would assume responsibility for day-to-day classroom management pursuant to the MOU.

As previously indicated in the District's Program application materials that it submitted to OPSC, Head Start holds a valid and current contract with CDE to operate preschool programs. OPSC reviewed the District's application materials, including the MOU, and **raised no objection** to the disclosed operational model, approved the application, and released Program funds to the District. In reliance on that approval and the absence of any concerns raised by OPSC, the District proceeded with the Project and incurred substantial construction obligations. Then, **two years after the District submitted its application and OPSC provided funding and the District expended funds,** OPSC advised the District that, based on its apparently new (and the District believes flawed) interpretation of Education Code section 17375(b)(5)(B)(ii), the District cannot retain Program funding unless the District itself holds a contract with the CDE.

Thereafter, OPSC requested submission of an outstanding Expenditure Report (which the District provided) and a copy of the CDE contract for operation of the preschool program. As reflected in OPSC's correspondence, the District explained that it was in the process of seeking a waiver from the CDE based on the operational structure disclosed in the MOU and previously accepted by OPSC.

In its March 23, 2026 letter, OPSC reiterated its position that the District was required to hold the contract with the CDE, and, for the first time, asserted that this requirement is categorically ineligible for waiver because Program administration falls exclusively within SAB jurisdiction, and CDE therefore lacks waiver authority. That was despite the fact that **OPSC had known for almost two years** that the District was seeking the waiver.

However, to protect its approved Program funding and consistent with the statutory framework, the District is continuing to submit a formal waiver request to the CDE pursuant to Education Code section 33050, for CDE's determination. The District continues to maintain its authority to do so.

II. LEGAL ARGUMENT

A. Education Code Section 33050 Authorizes the District to Seek a Waiver.

Under well-settled California law of statutory construction, interpretation begins with the plain meaning of the statute, which is the most reliable indicator of legislative intent. (*Freedom Newspapers, Inc. v. Orange County Employees Retirement System* (1993) 6 Cal.4th 821, 826.) Where the statutory language is clear, agencies may not add implied limitations that the Legislature did not enact. (*DaFonte v. Up-Right, Inc.* (1992) 2 Cal.4th 593, 601.)

Education Code section 33050 broadly authorizes school districts to request waivers **of any Education Code provision or implementing regulation**, subject only to a finite list of expressly enumerated exceptions. That list does **not** include either Education Code section 17375 (which sets forth the CDE contract requirement in subsection (b)(5)(B)(ii)), or Cal. Code Regs. Tit. 2, § 1860.5.2 (the SAB regulations implementing the Program). Neither are listed among those exceptions, nor do they independently prohibit waiver.

Section 33050 specifically excludes school districts from seeking a waiver from Articles 1 through 6 of Chapter 3 of Part 10.5. But Section 33050 does **not** exclude Article 7 of Chapter 3 (where section 17375 is located), so school district **can** seek a waiver of section 17375 requirements. Under the statute's plain language, the Program requirements at issue remain subject to waiver absent an express legislative prohibition, and none exists here.

Further, because the District's waiver request satisfies each of the denial criteria set forth in Education Code section 33051(a) (including supporting pupil needs through high-quality preschool services, preserving parental involvement and employee protections, avoiding increased state costs, and ensuring required stakeholder consultation), the District's anticipates that CDE will grant the District's waiver.

B. OPSC's Position Relies on an Improper Implied Limitation.

OPSC contends that waiver authority is unavailable under Education Code section 33050 because (1) the relevant Program requirement arises from Education Code section 17375 and implementing regulations adopted by the SAB, and (2) Program administration falls under the SAB authority, rather than the CDE. That position, however, reads an implied restriction into Section 33050 that the Legislature did not enact.

California courts apply implied amendments or exceptions frugally, and only where statutes are irreconcilable. (*McLaughlin v. State Bd. of Education* (1999) 75 Cal.App.4th 196, 222–223.) The circumstances that justified an implied limitation in *McLaughlin* are absent here. That case involved a voter-enacted initiative with its own exclusive waiver mechanism, such that application of Section 33050 would have nullified the initiative's central mandate. No comparable conflict exists here.

Conversely, Section 33050's waiver authority and the SAB's administration of facilities funding can coexist without conflict. Indeed, as set forth above, the Legislature affirmatively specified which portions of Chapter 3 are excluded from waiver authority, reflecting intentional legislative differentiation, not oversight, as was the case in *McLaughlin*. The *McLaughlin* Court also noted that the legislative history of Section 33050 was intended to empower agencies to "waive virtually any Education Code requirements in order to improve the operation of a local program," which is exactly what the District intends to do here.

C. Neither the Applicable Statute nor the Regulations Require the District to be the CDE Contracting Party.

OPSC's interpretation further imposes a requirement that does not appear in the statutory or regulatory text: that the District itself must be the contracting party with the CDE.

Education Code section 17375 authorizes funding for preschool programs "operated by school districts on a public school site," but does not define "operated by" to require that district employees perform all day-to-day functions. A school district may operate a program through governance, oversight, accountability, and contractual arrangements with qualified providers. The implementing regulation similarly requires only "[a] current or future contract with the California Department of Education to operate a preschool program," without specifying the identity of the contracting entity. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 2, § 1860.5.2)

Moreover, authority over preschool program contracting, licensing, and programmatic compliance resides with the CDE, not OPSC. (Ed. Code, § 8334; Cal. Code Regs., tit. 5, § 17700 et seq.) It is therefore appropriate for the District to seek relief from the CDE, and OPSC's contrary position would encroach upon the authority that the Legislature vested in CDE. Consistent with this position, the SAB Program Application for Funding (Form SAB 70-01) process itself contemplates coordination with the CDE on multiple Program elements, confirming that implementation of the Program is a collaborative, interagency effort rather than a process administered unilaterally by OPSC. This framework further undermines any claim that the statute or regulations require the District to hold the CDE contract.

OPSC's March 23, 2026, letter also fails to adequately account for the District's full disclosure to OPSC from the beginning, and the District's both reasonable and detrimental reliance on OPSC's approval. The District included the Head Start MOU with its original application, thereby placing OPSC on notice of the operational structure from the outset. OPSC approved the application and released Program funds without objection. The District did not modify its proposal, misrepresent its intentions, or withhold material information at any time.

Additionally, OPSC's reevaluating Program eligibility years after approval, based on facts disclosed at the time of application, constitutes significant administrative unfairness concerns, independent of the statutory analysis. While the District firmly maintains that its legal interpretation is correct, the District's detrimental reliance interests further demonstrate the

appropriateness of allowing CDE to exercise its express waiver authority pursuant to Section 33050.

D. Rescission of Funding Will Have an Adverse Impact on Students and the Community.

Rescission of the District's apportionment would result in direct and substantial harm to students and the broader community, contrary to the Program's fundamental purpose. The Legislature enacted the Program to expand access to preschool facilities on public school sites, particularly in underserved and rural areas like Siskiyou County.

The District is a small, rural school district with limited capacity to independently operate a preschool program. Its partnership with Head Start, a nonprofit organization specifically **funded and equipped to provide high-quality early childhood education**, ensures that local children have access to services that would otherwise be unavailable. The facilities constructed under the Program were designed to support that partnership and are integral to the District's educational infrastructure.

At this stage, rescission of the Program funding would undoubtedly jeopardize completed facilities, impose significant financial strain on the District, and delay or eliminate preschool services for local children—outcomes inconsistent with both the legislative intent underlying Section 17375 and the remedial purpose of CDE's broad Section 33050 waiver authority.

III. CONCLUSION

OPSC's position is inconsistent with the statutory text and structure of the Education Code and does not account for the District's full disclosure and reasonable reliance at the time of application approval. Nothing in Education Code section 17375 or its implementing regulations prohibits the District's waiver request to the CDE or requires the District itself to be the CDE contracting party.

Accordingly, the District maintains that its waiver request is lawful and procedurally proper, and respectfully requests that OPSC defer any final compliance determination or recommendation to the SAB unless and until the CDE has acted on the District's waiver application.

We appreciate your attention to this matter. Please direct any further correspondence regarding this matter directly to our office.

Sincerely,

Glenn N. Gould, Esq.

Cc: Michael Watanabe, OPSC Deputy Executive Officer (Michael.watanabe@dgs.ca.gov)

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

TONY THURMOND
STATE SUPERINTENDENT OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION

1430 N STREET, SACRAMENTO, CA 95814-5901 • 916-319-0800 • WWW.CDE.CA.GOV

June 25, 2026

Jon Ray
Weed Union Elementary School District
575 White Avenue
Weed, CA 96094

RE: Waiver # 27-4-2026

The California Department of Education (CDE), Waiver Office has received a request from Weed Union Elementary School District to retroactively waive portions of *Education Code* (*EC*) section 17375, and *California Code of Regulations* (*CCR*) Title 2, section 1860.5.2 related to the operation of a preschool funded under the Preschool, Transitional Kindergarten, and Full-Day Kindergarten Facilities Grant Program. That grant program was authorized by law via AB 1808 in 2018.

Following review of the request, we are returning the waiver request to Weed Union Elementary School District.

The terms of the grant program, including eligibility, are set forth in law, including *EC* 17375 and 2 *CCR* §§ 1860-1860.21. The Legislature, per *EC* 17375(a)(1), has charged the State Allocation Board (SAB) with administering the Preschool, Transitional Kindergarten, and Full-Day Kindergarten Facilities Grant Program. The waiver process cannot be used to change the terms of a grant program, including eligibility, established in law. Changes of that nature must be sought through the legislative and regulatory process.

Moreover, under *EC* 33050(a), the State Board of Education (SBE) may only waive those regulations adopted by the SBE. The SAB, not the SBE, was authorized by the legislature to adopt regulations to implement *EC* 17375 under *EC* section 17375(h). The SAB, not the SBE, adopted 2 CCR 1860.5.2; as such, the SBE has no authority to waive that regulation.

If you have any questions about this waiver request or need further assistance, please contact CDE's Waiver Office at 916-319-0824 or Waiver@cde.ca.gov.

Sincerely,

Anne Tracy
Waiver Office
California Department of Education

ORBACH HUFF + HENDERSON LLP

Attorneys at Law
www.ohhlegal.com

1901 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 575
Los Angeles, CA 90067

6200 Stoneridge Mall Road, Suite 225
Pleasanton, CA 94588

2877 Historic Decatur Road, Suite 200
San Diego, CA 92106

13181 Crossroads Parkway N., Suite 170
City of Industry, CA 91746

650 Lighthouse Avenue, Suite 240
Pacific Grove, CA 93950

333 City Blvd. West, Suite 1700
Orange, CA 92868

3600 Lime Street., Building 2 Office #218
Riverside, CA 92507

File Number
4804.003

July 13, 2026

VIA EMAIL

Anne Tracy
Waiver Office
California Department of Education
waiver@cde.ca.gov

Re: **Weed Union Elementary School District**
CDE Waiver Request No. 27-4-2026 – Preschool, Transitional Kindergarten, and Full-Day Kindergarten Facilities Grant Program

Dear Ms. Tracy:

Our office represents Weed Union Elementary School District ("**District**") in connection with the District's New Construction Apportionment awarded through the California Preschool, Transitional Kindergarten, and Full Day Kindergarten Facilities Grant Program ("**Program**"), Application No. 70/70482-00-001 ("**Application**"), for the construction of two new preschool classrooms. This letter responds to the California Department of Education's ("**CDE**") June 25, 2026, determination letter ("**Disposition Letter**") returning the District's waiver request No. 27-4-2026 ("**Waiver Request**"), of Education Code section 17375 and Title 2 California Code of Regulations section 1860.5.2 in connection with operating the Program.

For the reasons set forth below, the District respectfully disagrees with the CDE's conclusion in its Disposition Letter that it has no authority to waive the above-referenced laws, as such a conclusion is inconsistent with the plain language of Education Code section 33050 and established principles of administrative law.

Accordingly, the District requests reconsideration of the Waiver Request or, alternatively, guidance on a path forward to avoid unnecessary detriment to the District's students and community members.

I. BACKGROUND

On April 27, 2022, the District applied for Program funding for the construction of two preschool classrooms at Weed Elementary School ("**Project**"). The California Office of Public School Construction ("**OPSC**") approved the Application on or about November 28, 2022.

The Project arose as part of a broader campus modernization and replacement effort by the District. At the time of the Program Application, the District was in the process of replacing significant portions of the school campus due to facility deficiencies, including buildings impacted by toxic mold, seismic concerns, and structural issues. As part of that effort, the District sought to expand educational opportunities by establishing a full-day preschool program for the community.

Prior to the Project, the District did not offer preschool services and had no Title 5-compliant preschool classrooms. Existing early education facilities consisted solely of classrooms serving transitional kindergarten and kindergarten students. The District's facilities planning documents demonstrated a projected need to serve preschool students in the community and established that the District lacked capacity to serve those students absent construction of the new preschool classrooms.

From the outset, the District was fully transparent regarding its intended preschool operating model. The District's Application included a Memorandum of Understanding ("**MOU**") with Shasta County Head Start Child Development, Inc. ("**Head Start**"), expressly describing the parties' respective roles. Under that arrangement, the District would provide overall program oversight and operation on the school campus, while Head Start would perform day-to-day preschool management and classroom operations. Head Start held, and continues to hold, a valid CDE authorization and contract for preschool services. OPSC reviewed and approved the District's Application with full knowledge of this arrangement. At no point did OPSC identify the Head Start partnership, the MOU, or the District's proposed operating structure as a barrier to Program eligibility. The District did not subsequently alter the arrangement, modify the operational model, or withhold any material information. Instead, relying on OPSC's approval and the awarded apportionment, the District proceeded with Project construction and incurred substantial financial obligations.

In May 2024—more than two years after the Project had been approved and construction was underway—OPSC took the position that the District itself, as opposed to its qualified Head Start partner, was required to hold a direct contract with CDE. In June 2024, the District responded by reminding OPSC that the Head Start arrangement had been disclosed in the original application materials, that Head Start maintained the necessary CDE authorization, and that neither Education Code section 17375 nor the implementing regulations expressly required the District itself to be the contracting entity.

The District thereafter determined and conveyed to OPSC that it would seek a waiver of the requirement that the preschool operation contract must be between the District and the CDE, allowing Head Start to alleviate District burden and carry out the preschool services.

However, in March 2026, OPSC further asserted that the issue was not subject to CDE waiver because Program administration falls within the jurisdiction of the State Allocation Board ("**SAB**"). To preserve the funding previously awarded to the Project and obtain clarification regarding the Program requirements, the District thereafter nonetheless submitted its Waiver Request pursuant to Education Code section 33050.

Notably, the Disposition Letter does not address the merits of the District's request. Instead, the CDE concluded that because Title 2 California Code of Regulations section 1860.5.2 was adopted by the SAB, it lacks the authority to even consider the Waiver Request at all. The District respectfully disagrees with that conclusion for the reasons set forth below, and requests reconsideration or some alternative arrangement as detailed herein.

II. LEGAL ARGUMENT

A. Education Code Section 33050 Authorizes the District to Seek a Waiver

Under well-settled California law of statutory construction, interpretation begins with the plain meaning of the statute, which is the most reliable indicator of legislative intent. (*Freedom Newspapers, Inc. v. Orange County Employees Retirement System* (1993) 6 Cal.4th 821, 826.) Where the statutory language is clear, agencies may not add implied limitations that the Legislature did not enact. (*DaFonte v. Up-Right, Inc.* (1992) 2 Cal.4th 593, 601.)

Education Code section 33050 broadly authorizes school districts to request waivers **of any Education Code provision or implementing regulation**, subject only to a finite list of expressly enumerated exceptions. That list does **not** include either Education Code section 17375 (which sets forth the CDE contract requirement in subsection (b)(5)(B)(ii)), or Cal. Code Regs. Tit. 2, § 1860.5.2 (the SAB regulations implementing the Program). Neither are listed among those exceptions, nor do they independently prohibit waiver.

Section 33050 specifically excludes school districts from seeking a waiver from Articles 1 through 6 of Chapter 3 of Part 10.5. But Section 33050 does **not** exclude Article 7 of Chapter 3 (where Section 17375 is located), so the school district **can** seek a waiver of Section 17375 requirements. Under the statute's plain language, the Program requirements at issue remain subject to waiver absent an express legislative prohibition, and none exists here.

Further, the legislative history of Section 33050 confirms that the waiver statute is intended to relieve local schools of the spending limitations imposed by categorical aid programs and to have broad application. (*McLaughlin v. State Bd. of Education* (1999) 75 Cal.App.4th 196, 208). Significantly, when the Legislature removed particular educational requirements from the waiver

process, it did so intentionally and expressly. (*Id.*) This history reflects a deliberate legislative choice to make waiver authority broadly available to school districts with limited resources (such as the District), except where specifically limited by statute. Such history, therefore, supports the conclusion that Education Code section 17375 remains subject to waiver because it is not among the Legislature's identified exclusions and is the exact type of hardship that Section 33050 was enacted to relieve.

Further, because the District's waiver request satisfies each of the denial criteria set forth in Education Code section 33051(a) (including supporting pupil needs through high-quality preschool services, preserving parental involvement and employee protections, avoiding increased state costs, and ensuring required stakeholder consultation), had the CDE correctly found that it did in fact hold waiver authority of Education Code section 17375, the District maintains that its Waiver Request would have been granted.

B. The Waiver Request Does Not Seek to Alter the Grant Program

CDE's Disposition Letter states that the waiver process cannot be used to "change the terms, including eligibility, established in law." However, the District respectfully submits that this characterization misconstrues the relief requested.

The District is not seeking to amend the Program statewide, revise statutory eligibility criteria generally, or alter the Program's administration. Instead, the District seeks a fact-specific waiver based on unique circumstances, including:

- Full disclosure of the operational arrangement during the application process (as set forth above);
- OPSC's review and approval of the Application with knowledge of that arrangement;
- The District's reliance on that approval in proceeding with construction;
- The fact that preschool services are being provided through a qualified Head Start partner holding the requisite CDE preschool contract; and
- The significant public harm that would result from rescission of funding after Project completion in a rural district with limited resources.

Again, such a request is precisely the type of individualized relief contemplated by the waiver process. (*McLaughlin, supra,* (1999) 75 Cal.App.4th at 208). The *McLaughlin* Court also noted that the legislative history of Section 33050 was intended to empower agencies to "waive virtually any Education Code requirements in order to improve the operation of a local program," which is exactly what the District intends to do here.

C. Waiver of the Statute Necessarily Encompasses the Implementing Regulation

In its Disposition Letter, the CDE concludes that it lacks authority to waive Title 2, California Code of Regulations, section 1860.5.2 because that regulation was adopted by the SBA rather than the State Board of Education. Notably, however, the CDE does not expressly state that the CDE lacks authority to waive Education Code section 17375. While the District acknowledges that the regulation was adopted by the SAB, the District disagrees with that analysis.

As written, the Disposition Letter effectively creates a conflict between Education Code section 33050 and the implementing regulations adopted pursuant to Education Code section 17375. Section 33050 expressly authorizes waiver of Education Code provisions unless specifically excluded by the Legislature. As discussed above, Section 17375 is not among those exclusions. Accordingly, the Legislature, by such exclusion, has afforded districts the ability to seek a waiver of the requirements contained in Section 17375.

If, however, assuming for argument's sake, the implementing regulations adopted pursuant to Section 17375 are deemed categorically non-waivable, the practical effect would be to nullify the waiver authority the Legislature expressly preserved. Such a result would improperly allow the implementing regulations to take precedence above the statute itself and effectively create a limitation on Section 33050 that the Legislature did not enact. Also, practically speaking, trying to enforce a regulation in an instance where the statute upon which it is based, as a matter of law, has no force or effect, would create a legal absurdity.

California courts have consistently recognized that administrative regulations must remain consistent with their enabling statutes and may not enlarge, restrict, or impair statutory rights or authority. (*Home Depot U.S.A., Inc. v. Contractors' State License Board*, 41 Cal.App.4th 1592, 1604-1606 (1996).) An agency regulation is invalid where it adds restrictions not found in the statute or otherwise conflicts with legislative intent, and may only "effectuate" a statute, not alter the scope of authority granted by the Legislature. (*Id*.)

The same principle applies here. The SAB regulations derive their authority from Education Code section 17375 and therefore, must be interpreted consistently with the statutory framework established by the Legislature. Because Section 17375 is not exempt from the waiver authority set forth in Education Code section 33050, the implementing regulations cannot be construed to foreclose that authority. To conclude otherwise would allow subordinate regulations to impose a limitation on waiver authority that the Legislature itself did not enact.

Thus, if relief is available with respect to Section 17375 under Section 33050, which the District maintains it is, that relief cannot be defeated by the regulations implementing Section 17375.

D. The District's Reliance Further Supports Its Requested Relief

The facts are undisputed: The District disclosed its partnership structure with Head Start at the time it submitted the Application. The MOU was included in the Application materials reviewed by OPSC. OPSC approved the project and released funds without objection. The District subsequently incurred substantial obligations and completed facilities in reliance on that approval. Thus, the CDE's interpretation, adopted years after OPSC's approval of the Application and expenditure of Program funds, creates serious fairness and due process concerns.

Specifically, the District's reliance interests support both the availability and appropriateness of waiver relief. In *Fullerton Union High School District v. State Department of Education* (1982) 139 Cal.App.3d 369, the court recognized that equitable principles may prevent the State from reclaiming educational funding where a school district reasonably relied on state actions, committed resources based on that reliance, and materially changed its position to support educational programming. (*Id*. at 382-384). The court concluded that the State could not induce reliance, permit expenditures to be made, and then later reverse course in a manner that imposed substantial financial harm on the district. (*Id*.)

Similar considerations are present here. The District fully disclosed its proposed partnership with Head Start in its original Application materials, OPSC reviewed and approved the Application with knowledge of that arrangement, and Program funds were awarded and provided based on the Project as presented. Relying on those approvals, the District incurred substantial obligations and constructed facilities specifically designed to provide preschool services to local children. The District did not modify its proposal, conceal relevant facts, or seek to evade Program requirements after approval. Rather, it proceeded in good-faith reliance on agency review and approval of the very operational structure now being questioned.

These reliance interests are particularly relevant to the waiver analysis because Education Code section 33050 was enacted to provide flexibility where strict application of an Education Code provision would frustrate educational objectives or lead to inequitable results. Here, denying waiver relief would penalize a district that acted transparently and relied on state approval, while jeopardizing completed District preschool facilities that were funded and constructed to address a documented community need. Under these circumstances, the District's reasonable reliance provides an additional basis for granting waiver relief so that the educational purposes of both Section 33050 and Section 17375 may be fulfilled, rather than undermined.

E. The Legislature's Pending Amendment Further Supports the Availability of Waiver

The District also notes that the TK-12 Omnibus Trailer Bill, which was revised on about May 14, 2026, approximately one month after the District's April 13, 2026, response letter to OPSC setting forth its intention to file the Waiver Request, specifically amended Section 33050 to include

Section 17375 to the list of provisions exempt from waiver authority. The District understands that this amendment was ultimately enacted as part of the 2026-27 Budget Act.

This subsequent legislative action is significant. If Section 17375 had already been categorically exempt from waiver under existing law, there would have been no need for the Legislature to amend Section 33050 to expressly add it to the list of non-waivable provisions. The amendment, therefore, strongly suggests that, prior to its enactment, Section 17375 remained within the scope of the waiver authority established by Section 33050. Stated differently, the Legislature's decision to add Section 17375 to the statutory exemptions indicates that no such exemption previously existed.

Equally important, the District's waiver request and the CDE's Disposition Letter arose before the amendment became effective. Accordingly, the waiver request should be evaluated under the law as it existed at the time the request was submitted and reviewed. At that time, Section 17375 was not among the provisions expressly excluded from Section 33050's waiver authority. The subsequent amendment may govern future waiver requests, but it does not retroactively establish that waiver authority was unavailable when the District submitted its request.

F. Neither the Applicable Statute nor the Regulations Require the District to be the CDE Contracting Party

Education Code section 17375 authorizes funding for preschool programs "operated by school districts on a public school site," but does not define "operated by" to require that district employees perform all day-to-day functions. A school district may operate a program through governance, oversight, accountability, and contractual arrangements with qualified providers. The implementing regulation similarly requires only "[a] current or future contract with the California Department of Education to operate a preschool program," without specifying the identity of the contracting entity. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 2, § 1860.5.2)

Moreover, authority over preschool program contracting, licensing, and programmatic compliance resides with the CDE, not OPSC. (Ed. Code, § 8334; Cal. Code Regs., tit. 5, § 17700 et seq.) It is therefore appropriate for waiver authority of such a requirement to be vested in CDE. Consistent with this position, the SAB Program Application for Funding (Form SAB 70-01) process itself contemplates coordination with the CDE on multiple Program elements, confirming that implementation of the Program is a collaborative, interagency effort rather than a process administered unilaterally by OPSC. This framework further undermines any claim that the statute or regulations require the District to hold the CDE contract.

G. Public Interest Considerations Favor Relief

The Program was enacted to expand access to preschool facilities and early learning opportunities, particularly in underserved and rural communities. The District's Project directly advances that purpose. Prior to the Project, the District did not offer preschool services and lacked Title 5-

compliant preschool classrooms. Program funding allowed the District to construct two new preschool classrooms specifically intended to meet an identified local need for early childhood education services.

That need remains significant. Notably, in its 2020 County Needs Assessment[1] Siskiyou County's Local Child Care Planning Council documented substantial unmet demand for preschool services, including a 65% unmet need for full-time preschool services among subsidy-eligible families and significant shortages in school-readiness opportunities for preschool-aged children.

The District's partnership with Head Start was designed to address that need without overburdening the already limited resources of the District. Head Start holds the necessary CDE authorization to provide preschool services and possesses the experience, staffing, and infrastructure needed to serve local families. The facilities funded through the Program were constructed specifically to support that partnership and are ready for their intended educational use.

The public interest is therefore best served by allowing the District to utilize the completed facilities for the purpose for which they were approved, funded, and constructed—providing preschool services to children and families in the District community.

III. RESOLUTION

For the reasons set forth above, the District respectfully requests that CDE reconsider its determination and evaluate the Waiver Request on the merits.

If, however, the CDE continues to maintain that it lacks waiver authority, the District respectfully requests guidance regarding a viable path forward that will permit the preschool facilities to be utilized in a manner consistent with the Program's objectives and avoid unnecessary harm to the District, its students, and the community. The District remains committed to working cooperatively with CDE, OPSC, SAB, and Head Start to achieve that objective.

That said, the District proposes the following preliminary potential approaches for the CDE's consideration:

- Engage in further administrative review or coordination among CDE, OPSC, and SAB regarding the District's unique circumstances;
- Allow recognition of the District's partnership with a qualified Head Start provider operating pursuant to a valid CDE authorization;

[1] See the Needs Assessment published on the Siskiyou County website here: Siskiyou County Local Child Care Planning Council (LPC) - Siskiyou County Office Of Education.

- Develop, in conjunction with the District, an administrative compliance pathway that would permit preschool operations to commence on the District campus while any remaining eligibility or implementation questions are addressed; or
- Propose another solution identified by the involved agencies that preserves the State's investment, serves local students and families, and allows the District facilities to be used for their intended educational purpose.

The essential facts of this matter are undisputed: the District's preschool facilities have been approved, funded, and constructed in accordance with the Program requirements; the community's need for preschool services is well documented; and a qualified CDE-authorized provider stands ready to serve local children. Preventing use of those facilities would neither advance the purposes of the Program nor benefit students. The District, therefore, respectfully requests either reconsideration of the waiver determination or assistance in identifying a practical and legally compliant path forward that allows preschool services to begin without further delay.

We appreciate your attention to this matter. Please direct any further correspondence regarding this matter directly to our office.

Sincerely,

Karey Hampton, Esq.
ORBACH HUFF & HENDERSON LLP

cc: Jon Ray, District Superintendent

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

TONY THURMOND
STATE SUPERINTENDENT OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION

1430 N STREET, SACRAMENTO, CA 95814-5901 • 916-319-0800 • WWW.CDE.CA.GOV

July 21, 2026

Jon Ray
Weed Union Elementary School District
575 White Ave.
Weed, CA 96094

Glenn N. Gould, Esq.
Philip J. Henderson, Esq.
Karey Hampton, Esq.
Orbach Huff & Henderson LLP

RE: Waiver # 27-4-2026

The California Department of Education (CDE), Waiver Office has received your letter dated July 13, 2026. The CDE declines the district's request to reconsider the June 25, 2026 return of the district's waiver request, and further declines the district's request to provide guidance.

If you have any questions, please contact the Waiver Office at 916-319-0824 or Waiver@cde.ca.gov.

Sincerely,

Anne Tracy
Waiver Office
California Department of Education

Information Items

REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER
State Allocation Board Meeting, August 5, 2026

2026 OFFICE OF STATE AUDITS AND EVALUATIONS REPORT AND GREATER PROGRAM ACCOUNTABILITY

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To provide information to the State Allocation Board (Board) about the recent report completed by the Office of State Audits and Evaluations (OSAE), and to recommend actions that may be taken to enhance accountability in the School Facility Program (SFP).

AUTHORITY

See Attachment A.

DESCRIPTION

At the October 22, 2025 meeting, the Office of Public School Construction (OPSC) informed the Board that OSAE was conducting an audit of Board programs funded by the Kindergarten through Community College Public Education Facilities Bond Act of 2016 (Proposition 51) and by General Fund appropriations in the Budget Acts of 2021, 2022, and 2023. OSAE published the resulting audit report on June 29, 2026. This outlines the findings and recommendations from the audit and provides a summary of actions OPSC will take to improve program oversight and accountability. The full 2026 OSAE audit report and the OPSC response are included as Attachment B.

BACKGROUND

Overview of the SFP

Established in 1998, the SFP provides funding grants for school districts to acquire schoolsites, construct new school facilities, or modernize existing school facilities. The SFP provides for a wide variety of state funding, including, but not limited to, new construction, modernization, charter school facilities, career technical education facilities, seismic mitigation, and facility hardship. The funding is provided in the form of a grant, with local districts contributing a match based upon the type of grant that they are seeking. Districts that do not have the ability to supply their required local matching share for a SFP project can apply for financial hardship assistance that allows the state program to cover up to 100 percent of the eligible project funding.

Funding for the SFP


Funding for the SFP historically came from statewide general obligation bonds approved by California voters. Between 1998 and 2024, six statewide school facility bonds passed, providing a total of $50.9 billion to the program. In recent years, one-time General Fund investments were provided for the SFP, providing more than $3 billion to the program. For this audit, OSAE focused on Board programs funded by

BACKGROUND (cont.)

Proposition 51 and General Fund appropriations in the Budget Acts of 2021, 2022, and 2023.


Proposition 51 was passed by voters on November 8, 2016, and authorized $7 billion for K-12 school facilities, which was allocated among four funding subprograms within the SFP as shown below:

Proposition 51:
Allocation of Bond Funds by Program



The General Fund appropriations in the Budget Acts of 2021, 2022, and 2023 provided $250 million, $1.3 billion, and approximately $2 billion in one-time General Fund resources, respectively, for new construction and modernization projects under the SFP, which was ultimately apportioned as follows:

General Fund for Fiscal Years 2021/22 to 2023/24:
Apportionments by Program



BACKGROUND (cont.)

Assembly Bill 99 – SFP Accountability Measures
Enacted on June 27, 2017, Assembly Bill 99 (Chapter 15, Statutes of 2017) (AB 99) added Section 41024 to the Education Code to establish various accountability measures in the SFP to ensure appropriate usage of bond funds and improve program oversight and accountability. The SFP accountability system involves the Board, OPSC, local educational agencies (LEAs), Certified Public Accountants (CPAs), the California State Controller's Office (SCO), and the Education Audit

Appeals Panel (EAAP). Education Code Section 41024 was subsequently amended by AB 1808 (Chapter 32, Statutes of 2018), Senate Bill (SB) 820 (Chapter 110, Statutes of 2020), and SB 114 (Chapter 48, Statutes of 2023).

The SFP accountability measures include the following:

- Grant agreements must be used for all projects funded by SFP funds.
- LEAs that receive SFP funds must report all project expenditures in accordance with SFP statutory requirements, associated regulations, and any accompanying grant agreements.
- The responsibility for conducting audits for SFP-funded projects was transferred from OPSC to CPAs contracted by the LEAs.
- SCO must review and certify audit reports prepared by the CPAs.
- OPSC must present grant apportionment adjustments to the Board as outlined in the audits and recover ineligible expenditures of SFP funds that are identified in the audits.

Proposition 2 – Financial Hardship Assistance
With voter approval of the Kindergarten through Grade 12 Schools and Local Community College Public Education Facilities Modernization, Repair, and Safety Bond Act of 2024 (Proposition 2), statutory amendments to SFP financial hardship assistance provisions became operative that increased the maximum level of total bonding capacity for school districts to qualify for financial hardship assistance from $5 million to $15 million, and that require that amount to be adjusted each fiscal year, starting with the 2026-27 fiscal year.

Additionally, Proposition 2 created the new Small School District Program, which provides dedicated funding for eligible small school districts or county offices of education with an enrollment of 2,500 pupils or fewer. Statute directed the Board to adopt regulations to implement this new program, which includes specific regulations relating to program grants given to applicants that qualify for the Small School District Program and financial hardship assistance. The Board's regulations implementing this program were approved by the Office of Administrative Law on June 15, 2026.

BACKGROUND (cont.)

Office of State Audits and Evaluations 2026 Report
The objectives of the 2026 OSAE audit were to determine: (1) whether OPSC's implementation and administration of the SFP comply with requirements under AB 99, and (2) the developmental status of the regulations for financial hardship assistance as required under Proposition 2.

For Objective 1, the OSAE audit focused on SFP New Construction and Modernization programs funded by Proposition 51 and General Fund appropriations in the Budget Acts of 2021, 2022, and 2023. For Objective 2, the OSAE audit was limited to assessing the developmental status of the regulations related to financial hardship assistance under Proposition 2 and did not include an assessment of OPSC's implementation of these regulations.

OSAE's findings and recommendations are as follows:

Objective 1: OPSC's Implementation and Administration of the SFP and Compliance with AB 99 Requirements

OSAE found that OPSC implemented the following bond oversight and accountability measures that comply with certain provisions of AB 99, including:

- Defining the responsibilities of funding applicants and the state to ensure a mutual understanding of the requirements for receiving project funds,
- Implementing the use of grant agreements and ensuring that LEAs authorize the agreements before releasing any Board-approved funds,
- Communicating expenditure reporting requirements to the LEAs and monitoring compliance with reporting, and
- Taking appropriate actions when appeals are submitted.

OSAE identified instances that led OSAE to conclude that OPSC's implementation and administration of the SFP did not always comply with certain AB 99 requirements, or where OPSC could strengthen the operating effectiveness and efficiency of the SFP. OSAE provided recommendations to address these findings, as detailed below:

Finding 1: Project Audits Are Not Always Completed and Certified as Required

- Recommendation 1A: Strengthen monitoring processes over project audit report completion and certification to ensure the statutorily required timelines are met. Consider enhancing the MOU [(Memorandum of Understanding) between SCO and OPSC] responsibilities for clarity regarding monitoring LEA/CPA audit completion timeliness and/or work with stakeholders to update the SFP regulations to formalize monitoring policies and processes.

BACKGROUND (cont.)

- Recommendation 1B: Develop and implement a standardized process pursuant to the MOU, to issue project expenditure audit reminder letters and SCO notification letters on a consistent basis to reinforce LEAs' compliance with audit completion and submission. Consider implementing an automated process utilizing the OPSC Online application to assist with tracking project completion and generating reminder notices for LEAs to reduce the manual processing burden on staff.
- Recommendation 1C: Design and implement policies and processes for LEAs/CPAs to resubmit rejected audits to SCO within an established timeframe. Work with stakeholders to update the SFP regulations and/or the Audit Guide to formalize the policies and processes as necessary.

Finding 2: Apportionment Adjustments Presented to the Board and in Audit Closeout Letters Are Not Always Consistent with the Certified Audit Reports as Required

- Recommendation 2A: Establish a formalized process to consistently review, document, and communicate revisions to certified audit reports to relevant parties including the Board, LEAs, CPAs, and SCO. Consider enhancing the MOU responsibilities and/or coordinate with stakeholders to update the SFP regulations, as deemed necessary, focusing on enhancing policies and procedures and avoiding duplication of efforts.
- Recommendation 2B: Ensure apportionment adjustments presented to the Board for approval are supported by a certified audit report as required and any revisions are documented and communicated to facilitate transparency.
- Recommendation 2C: Ensure Audit Closeout Letters reconcile to the certified audit reports and any revisions are documented and transparent.
- Recommendation 2D: Establish procedures to reconcile OPSC Online to the certified audit reports and/or clearly identify revisions to the certified amounts in the system to facilitate effective monitoring.
- Recommendation 2E: Coordinate with SCO, as necessary, to propose and implement revisions to the Audit Guide to promote clarity over SFP requirements and the procedures for the project expenditure audits based on weaknesses identified during the audit certification and project closeout processes.
- Recommendation 2F: Develop resources or tools that promote clarity regarding site acquisition expenditure eligibility requirements. Ensure the resources or tools are available to the LEAs, CPAs, and SCO.

BACKGROUND (cont.)

Finding 3: OPSC Can Strengthen the Timeliness of Apportionment Adjustments Presented to the Board

- Recommendation 3A: Establish project closeout deadlines for projects with apportionment adjustments to ensure OPSC staff prepare and present Board agenda items in an efficient and timely manner.
- Recommendation 3B: Evaluate the extent of OPSC's administrative review of the certified audits during its closeout process to identify possible efficiencies in the process and avoid duplication of efforts. Consider clarifying responsibilities in the MOU and/or SFP regulations as deemed necessary.
- Recommendation 3C: Coordinate with SCO to enhance the SCO Workload Reports to include pertinent details to effectively triage and prioritize the review of certified audit reports with apportionment adjustments.

Finding 4: OPSC Does Not Always Initiate Collection Efforts with LEAs Within Required Timeframes

- Recommendation 4A: Establish project closeout deadlines for projects with audit findings to ensure OPSC staff close out projects and issue AR [accounts receivable] invoices within the required timeframe.
- Recommendation 4B: Coordinate with SCO to enhance the SCO Workload Reports to include pertinent details to effectively triage and prioritize the review of certified audit reports with ineligible expenditures.
- Recommendation 4C: Strengthen communication with SCO to forecast when certified audit reports will be submitted to OPSC to effectively plan workload.

Finding 5: Project Savings Are Not Reported by LEAs as Required

- Recommendation 5A: Design and implement a process to reinforce LEAs' compliance with the annual use of savings reporting requirements, including resolving any conflicting guidance, and taking reasonable and appropriate action for non-compliant LEAs.

Finding 6: OPSC Can Strengthen its Monitoring of Project Savings

- Recommendation 6A: Design and implement a process to consistently track and monitor and efficiently and effectively identify projects with project savings and relevant use of savings data, including, but not limited to, developing reports from OPSC Online data to monitor project savings.
- Recommendation 6B: Communicate any revisions to project savings amount determinations to SCO and the respective CPAs, and include the details of revisions in the Audit Closeout Letters issued to the LEAs. Ensure project savings data recorded in OPSC Online reconciles with the

BACKGROUND (cont.)

savings determination in the certified audit report, or explain any variances.

Objective 2: Developmental Status of Regulations for Financial Hardship Assistance Required Under Proposition 2

OSAE Conclusion: OPSC has or is in the process of updating financial hardship assistance regulations as required under Proposition 2

- The OSAE audit report determined that the regulation updates to increase the maximum bonding capacity level that a school district can have to automatically qualify for financial hardship assistance, from $5 million to $15 million, and to implement an annual inflationary adjustment to this amount commencing with the 2026-2027 fiscal year, had been completed. Additionally, the report noted that the regulatory updates relating to financial hardship assistance aspects of the new Small School District Program under Proposition 2 were in process as of the end of the audit fieldwork on January 27, 2026.

OPSC notes that the regulations for the new Small School District Program, including its financial hardship assistance provisions, which were established by Proposition 2, were approved by the Board on February 25, 2026, and were approved by OAL and made effective on June 15, 2026.

STAFF ANALYSIS/DISCUSSION

Addressing OSAE's Recommendations for Objective 1 – OPSC's Implementation and Administration of the SFP and Compliance with AB 99 Requirements

OPSC is firmly committed to ensuring that SFP oversight is effective and occurs in accordance with applicable laws and regulations. As part of its continuing efforts to improve operations, OPSC will take appropriate actions to address the issues presented in the report.

The following is a summary of actions Staff will take to improve program oversight and accountability and address the audit findings. Additional detail is reflected in OPSC's response to OSAE, which is included as part of Attachment B.

1. OPSC will collaborate with SCO to explore potential policies and the most effective means to strengthen monitoring processes over LEA/ CPA audit completion timeliness, including potential enhancements to the MOU to clarify responsibilities regarding monitoring LEA/CPA audit completion timeliness and timely report certification by SCO.

STAFF ANALYSIS/DISCUSSION (cont.)

2. OPSC will consider pursuing a Budget Change Proposal for additional resources to increase monitoring and consistent notification to LEAs of statutorily required timelines.

3. OPSC will work with SCO to encourage development of SCO policies and processes for resubmission of rejected audits and to determine if formalizing such policies and processes in the SFP regulations and/or the Audit Guide is feasible. OPSC will also work with SCO to provide additional guidance by including examples in the Audit Guide clarifying the audit procedures for the site acquisition related grants.

4. OPSC will incorporate additional information regarding any necessary revisions to certified audit reports in apportionment adjustment items presented to the Board. OPSC will also update internal procedures to include explanation and detail in the audit closeout letter to communicate to the LEAs, SCO and the CPAs regarding any necessary revisions to the certified audit report, which may include revisions to reported savings and/or overspent amounts that are not presented to the Board.

5. OPSC will improve internal processes and triage certified audit review to increase efficiency and timeliness of any accounts receivable and/or apportionment adjustments presented to the Board, while continuing to meet its fiduciary responsibility to ensure accuracy and appropriateness of any accounts receivable or apportionment adjustments.

RECOMMENDATION

Accept this report and Staff’s summary of actions to improve program oversight and accountability.

The State Allocation Board accepted this report on August 5, 2026.

ATTACHMENT A

AUTHORITY

Education Code (EC) Section 41024 states:

(a) (1) Commencing April 1, 2017, a local educational agency that receives any funds pursuant to the Leroy F. Greene School Facilities Act of 1998 (Chapter 12.5 (commencing with Section 17070.10) of Part 10 of Division 1 of Title 1) shall annually report a detailed list of all expenditures of state funds, including interest, and of the local educational agency's matching funds for completed projects until all state funds, including interest, all of the local educational agency's matching funds, and savings achieved, including interest, pursuant to Section 17070.63, are expended in accordance with the requirements of the Leroy F. Greene School Facilities Act of 1998, associated regulations, and any accompanying grant agreement signed by a local educational agency. A local educational agency's detailed list of expenditures shall identify expenditures on a project-by-project basis, reflect completed projects that were reimbursed within that fiscal year, and shall clearly indicate the list of projects that have been completed.

(2) For purposes of this section, the determination that a project is complete shall be in accordance with the regulations adopted pursuant to the Leroy F. Greene School Facilities Act of 1998 (Chapter 12.5 (commencing with Section 17070.10) of Part 10 of Division 1 of Title 1).

(3) The total amount of interest earned on the state funds shall be reported on the final expenditure report upon completion of a project. For the purposes of determining the total amount of interest earned on the state funds, interest shall be considered to accrue from the time state funds are deposited in the local educational agency's account until the time the local educational agency submits the final expenditure report to the Office of Public School Construction.

(4) Commencing April 1, 2017, a local educational agency participating in the school facilities program shall ensure that it retains all financial accounts, documents, and records necessary for an audit of completed projects pursuant to Section 16026 of Title 5 of the California Code of Regulations. For purposes of this paragraph and in compliance with any applicable state and federal standards, a local educational agency may maintain records electronically.

(5) Any project identified on a local educational agency's detailed list of expenditures pursuant to paragraph (1) that is reported complete during the 2017–18 fiscal year shall be audited in accordance with the audit guide required by Section 14502.1 for the 2018–19 fiscal year. All other completed projects shall be audited in accordance with the audit guide required by Section 14502.1 for the fiscal year in which the project is reported complete.

(6) The audit described in this section shall be completed within one year of project completion as determined by Section 1859.104 of Title 2 of the California Code of Regulations.

(b) (1) Commencing with audits of the 2018–19 fiscal year, the Controller shall include instructions in the audit guide required by Section 14502.1 that include, but are not necessarily limited to, procedures for determining all of the following:

(A) Whether funds identified by a local educational agency on its detailed list of expenditures pursuant to paragraph (1) of subdivision (a) have been expended in accordance with the requirements of the Leroy F. Greene School Facilities Act of 1998 (Chapter 12.5 (commencing with Section 17070.10) of Part 10 of Division 1 of Title 1), associated regulations, and any accompanying grant agreement signed by a local educational agency. Any funds not expended in accordance

with these requirements shall be disallowed and considered an audit finding.
(B) That savings achieved, including interest, pursuant to Section 17070.63, are used for other high priority capital outlay purposes identified by the local educational agency or returned to the Office of Public School Construction, and are used in accordance with the requirements of the Leroy F. Greene School Facilities Act of 1998 (Chapter 12.5 (commencing with Section 17070.10) of Part 10 of Division 1 of Title 1), associated regulations, and as specified in any accompanying grant agreement.
(C) Adjustments to the grant amounts received by a local educational agency for site acquisition costs based upon the local educational agency's final approved expenditures as required pursuant to Sections 1859.105 and 1859.106 of Title 2 of the California Code of Regulations.
(D) Adjustments to the grant amounts received by a local educational agency associated with the substantial progress requirements reflected in the program reporting requirements pursuant to Section 1859.104 of Title 2 of the California Code of Regulations.
(2) Any amounts or adjustments identified pursuant to subparagraphs (C) and (D) of paragraph (1) shall be identified within a local educational agency's audit, but shall not be considered an audit finding for purposes of this section.
(c) (1) The auditor conducting the audit pursuant to this section shall file the audit with the Controller within 60 days of the completion of the audit. The Controller shall be allowed access to audit working papers. Adjustments pursuant to paragraph (2) of subdivision (b) shall not be appealable to the Education Audit Appeals Panel pursuant to this section.
(2) Within 60 days of the receipt of the certified audit, and after determining that the audit conforms with the reporting standards contained in the audit guide, the Controller shall do both of the following:
(A) Provide the department a copy of the certified audit.
(B) Notify the Office of Public School Construction of any audit findings pursuant to this section and any amounts or adjustments identified pursuant to clause (iii) and provide the Office of Public School Construction with a copy of the certified audit identifying the amounts to be adjusted if, as a result of the audit conducted in accordance with the requirements of subdivisions (a) and (b), the auditor determines any of the following:
(i) A local educational agency has unspent funds for the project not identified as savings pursuant to Section 17070.63 that shall be returned pursuant to subparagraphs (C) and (D) of paragraph (1) of subdivision (b), and any accompanying grant agreement signed by a local educational agency.
(ii) A local educational agency is subject to an increase or decrease in funds provided pursuant to subparagraphs (C) and (D) of paragraph (1) of subdivision (b), and any accompanying grant agreement signed by a local educational agency.
(iii) A local educational agency did not expend funds in accordance with the Leroy F. Greene School Facilities Act of 1998 (Chapter 12.5 (commencing with Section 17070.10) of Part 10 of Division 1 of Title 1) and any accompanying grant agreement signed by a local educational agency.
(3) Upon receipt of the certified audit, the Office of Public School Construction shall present any grant adjustments required pursuant to clauses (i) and (ii) of subparagraph (B) of paragraph (2) for a specified project to the State Allocation Board for an adjustment to the project apportionment. Funds identified for

purposes of clauses (i) and (ii) of subparagraph (B) of paragraph (2) shall be apportioned from, or returned to, the appropriate funds, as established in the State Treasury pursuant to Section 17070.40 or 17070.41, as applicable. If a school district is required to return unspent funds, the fund source for returned funds shall be the county school facilities fund established pursuant to subdivision (a) of Section 17070.43.

(4) A local educational agency may appeal the audit finding pursuant to the timelines and process established in subdivision (d) of Section 41344. The procedures set out in subdivision (d) of Section 41344.1 do not apply to the audit required by this section.

(5) The Office of Public School Construction shall ensure that the local educational agency has corrected the audit finding by implementing a required penalty payment of funds equal to the amount of funds disallowed in the audit finding pursuant to the process specified in subdivision (d).

(d) (1) If, as the result of the audit, a local educational agency is required to pay funds pursuant to clause (iii) of subparagraph (B) of paragraph (2) of subdivision (c), the Office of Public School Construction shall recover the funds from the local educational agency.

(2) If the local educational agency has submitted an appeal to the Education Audit Appeals Panel pursuant to subdivision (d) of Section 41344, the Office of Public School Construction shall not recover funds until following the determination of the appeal.

(3) A local educational agency may use any local fund source to pay the disallowed amount pursuant to clause (iii) of subparagraph (B) of paragraph (2) of subdivision (c) so long as there is no legal prohibition regarding the use of those funds for this purpose.

(4) A local educational agency may request from the Office of Public School Construction a repayment plan within 90 days of receiving the final audit report, or within 30 days of withdrawing or receiving a final determination regarding an appeal pursuant to subdivision (d) of Section 41344 and subdivision (b) of Section 41344.1, as applicable. The Office of Public School Construction and the Director of Finance, or their designees, jointly shall establish a plan for payment. The payment plan shall be established in accordance with the following:

(A) If the executive officer of the Office of Public School Construction and the Director of Finance, in consultation with the department, concur that repayment of the disallowed amount in the current fiscal year would constitute a severe financial hardship for the local educational agency, they may jointly approve a plan of equal annual payments over a period of up to eight years. The plan may include interest on each year’s outstanding balance at the rate earned on the state’s Pooled Money Investment Account during that year. The executive officer of the Office of Public School Construction and the Director of Finance jointly shall establish this plan. At the time the local educational agency is notified, the Controller also shall be notified of the plan by the executive officer of the Office of Public School Construction. The Controller shall withhold the annual amount established pursuant to the plan from the local educational agency’s principal apportionment or Education Protection Account payments.

(B) Notwithstanding subparagraph (A), if the executive officer of the Office of Public School Construction and the Director of Finance, in consultation with the department, concur that repayment of the disallowed amount over an eight-year period would require the local educational agency to request an emergency

apportionment pursuant to Article 2 (commencing with Section 41320) of, and Article 2.5 (commencing with Section 41325) of, Chapter 3, they may approve a plan of equal annual payments over a period of up to 20 years. The plan shall include interest on each year's outstanding balance at the rate earned on the state's Pooled Money Investment Account during that year. The Controller shall withhold the annual amount established pursuant to the plan from the local educational agency's principal apportionment or Education Protection Account payments.

(C) If a payment plan submitted pursuant to this section is not approved by the executive officer of the Office of Public School Construction and the Director of Finance, in consultation with the department, or is not requested by the local educational agency, the executive officer of the Office of Public School Construction shall invoice the local educational agency for the entire disallowed amount. If the local educational agency does not remit payment for the invoice within 120 days of issuance, the executive officer of the Office of Public School Construction shall request that the Controller withhold the entire disallowed amount from the local educational agency's principal apportionment or Education Protection Account payments.

(D) (i) Funds recovered by the executive officer of the Office of Public School Construction or withheld by the Controller pursuant to this section shall be deposited into the appropriate state school facilities fund, as established in the State Treasury pursuant to Section 17070.40 or 17070.41, as applicable.

(ii) Funds remitted to the Office of Public School Construction by a local educational agency from local fund sources, as authorized by paragraph (3), shall be deposited into the appropriate state school facilities fund, as established in the State Treasury pursuant to Section 17070.40 or 17070.41, as applicable.

(e) As used in this section, "audit or review" and "local educational agency" shall have the same meaning as the terms are defined in paragraphs (1) and (2) of subdivision (e) of Section 41344.

(f) The State Allocation Board shall not waive all or any part of this section, any grant agreement or provisions of a grant agreement signed by a local educational agency that receives any funds pursuant to the Leroy F. Greene School Facilities Act of 1998 (Chapter 12.5 (commencing with Section 17070.10) of Part 10 of Division 1 of Title 1), or any regulation adopted that implements a provision of this section.

(g) In any appeal filed pursuant to Section 41344 and this section, the Office of Public School Construction, the Department of Finance, and the department may timely move to intervene as a party.

(h) If the Office of Public School Construction chooses not to intervene in an appeal, the administrative law judge conducting the hearing, or the Education Audit Appeals Panel, may request that the Office of Public School Construction issue and file in the appeal an objective interpretation of any applicable law, regulation, or term of the grant agreement within 30 days of the request or such longer period as the administrative law judge or the Education Audit Appeals Panel deems reasonable. The Office of Public School Construction's filing shall not argue or seek to resolve issues of fact, but may state how a particular law, regulation, or grant agreement term applies to competing factual contentions. The agent of the Office of Public School Construction shall be precluded from acting as a party once a request pursuant to this subdivision is made.

(i) Notwithstanding subdivision (a), this section shall not apply to any school

facilities project that was apportioned before July 1, 2017.

EC Section 17070.50 states:

The board shall not apportion funds to any school district, unless the applicant school district has certified to the board that the services of any architect, structural engineer, or other design professional for any work under the project have been obtained pursuant to a competitive process that is consistent with the requirements of Chapter 10 (commencing with Section 4525) of Division 5 of Title 1 of the Government Code and has obtained the written approval of the State Department of Education that the site selection, and the building plans and specifications, comply with the standards adopted by the department pursuant to subdivisions (b) and (c), respectively, of Section 17251.

EC Section 17070.63 states:

(a) The total funding provided under this chapter shall constitute the state's full and final contribution to the project and for eligibility for state facilities funding represented by the number of unhoused pupils for which the school district is receiving the state grant. As a condition of receipt of funds, a school district shall certify that the grant amount, combined with local funds, shall be sufficient to complete the school construction project for which the grant is intended.

(b) Any funds provided to a school district under any article in this chapter may not be counted towards the local match for receipt of funds under any other article in this chapter.

(c) Any savings achieved by the district's efficient and prudent expenditure of these funds shall be retained by the district in the county fund for expenditure by the district for other high priority capital outlay purposes.

EC Section 17072.35 states:

(a) A grant for new construction may be used for any and all costs necessary to adequately house new pupils in any approved project, and those costs may only include the cost of design, engineering, testing, inspection, plan checking, construction management, site acquisition and development, evaluation and response action costs relating to hazardous substances at a new or existing schoolsite, demolition, construction, acquisition and installation of portable classrooms, landscaping, necessary utility costs, utility connections and other fees, equipment including telecommunication equipment to increase school security, furnishings, and the upgrading of electrical systems or the wiring or cabling of classrooms in order to accommodate educational technology, including schoolsite-based infrastructure necessary to provide access to broadband internet within the schoolsite. A grant for new construction may also be used to acquire an existing government or privately owned building, or a privately financed school building, and for the necessary costs of converting the government or privately owned building for public school use. A grant for new construction may also be used for the costs of designs and materials that promote the efficient use of energy and water, the maximum use of natural lighting and indoor air quality, the use of recycled materials and materials that emit a minimum of toxic substances, the use of acoustics conducive to teaching and learning, and other characteristics of high performance schools. A grant for new construction may be used for the costs of design, materials, and construction to advance state energy goals pursuant to state law, support

outdoor learning environments, or to directly shade and protect pupils from higher average temperatures, which may include incorporating nature and natural materials. A grant for new construction may be used for seismic mitigation purposes and for related design, study, and testing costs.
(b) This section does not preclude a school district from using a grant for new construction to support the construction of a school kitchen, a transitional kindergarten classroom, a facility to support a local educational agency-administered preschool program, including, but not limited to, a California state preschool program set forth in Article 2 (commencing with Section 8207) of Chapter 2 of Part 6, that is operated by a school district and located on a schoolsite operated by the district, or a facility to support school nurses and counselors to increase access to health care and mental health services.
(c) In developing guidelines and regulations for consideration by the board, the State Department of Education shall provide a school district with maximum flexibility in the design and new construction of school facilities.

EC Section 17074.25

(a) (1) A modernization apportionment may be used for an improvement to extend the useful life of, or to enhance the physical environment of, the school. The improvement may only include the cost of design, engineering, testing, inspection, plan checking, construction management, demolition, construction, the replacement of portable classrooms, necessary utility costs, utility connection and other fees, the purchase and installation of air-conditioning equipment and insulation materials and related costs, furniture and equipment, including telecommunication equipment to increase school security, fire safety improvements, playground safety improvements, the identification, assessment, or abatement of hazardous asbestos, seismic safety improvements, and the upgrading of electrical systems or the wiring or cabling of classrooms in order to accommodate educational technology, including schoolsite-based infrastructure necessary to provide access to broadband internet within the schoolsite. A modernization apportionment may be used for the costs of design, materials, demolition, and construction to advance state energy goals pursuant to state law, support outdoor learning environments, or to directly shade and protect pupils from higher average temperatures, which may include incorporating nature and natural materials. A modernization grant may not be used for costs associated with acquisition and development of real property or for routine maintenance and repair.
(2) A modernization apportionment may also be used for any of the following:
(A) The cost of designs and materials that promote the efficient use of energy and water, the maximum use of natural lighting and indoor air quality, the use of recycled materials and materials that emit a minimum of toxic substances, the use of acoustics conducive to teaching and learning, and other characteristics of high-performance schools.
(B) Seismic mitigation purposes and related design, study, and testing costs.
(C) To remediate any water outlet used for drinking or preparing food with lead levels in excess of 15 parts per billion.
(D) The control, management, or abatement of lead.
(b) This section shall not preclude a school district from using a grant for modernization to support the modernization of a school kitchen, a transitional kindergarten classroom, a facility to support a local educational agency-

administered preschool program, including, but not limited to, a California state preschool program set forth in Article 2 (commencing with Section 8207) of Chapter 2 of Part 6, that is operated by a school district and located on a schoolsite operated by the district, or a facility to support school nurses and counselors to increase access to health care and mental health services.
(c) (1) A modernization apportionment may be used to limit pupil exposure to harmful air pollutants by updating air filtration systems.
(2) (A) This subdivision is declaratory of existing law.
(B) It is the intent of the Legislature in enacting Chapter 714 of the Statutes of 2018 (Assembly Bill 2453 of the 2017–18 Regular Session) to encourage school districts to add air filtration systems to applications for modernization apportionments when air pollution occasionally or regularly exceeds levels known to be harmful to public health.
(d) Notwithstanding any other provision of this article, a school district that is apportioned funds pursuant to Section 17073.16 shall use the supplemental grant for expanding an existing, or constructing a new, gymnasium, multipurpose room, library, or school kitchen.
(e) In developing guidelines and regulations for consideration by the board, the department, in consultation with the State Department of Education, shall provide a school district with maximum flexibility in the design and modernization of school facilities.

EC Section 17075.10
(a) (1) For health and safety projects for school facilities that are determined by the department to pose an unacceptable risk of injury to occupants in the event of a seismic event, a school district shall demonstrate both of the following to the satisfaction of the board:
(A) That due to unusual circumstances that are beyond the control of the school district, excessive costs need to be incurred in the construction of school facilities.
(B) That the facilities are needed to ensure the health and safety of pupils if the health and safety of pupils is at risk.
(2) For purposes of paragraph (1), health and safety projects include projects to replace the most vulnerable school facilities that are identified as a Category 2 building, as defined in the report submitted pursuant to Section 17317.
(b) (1) A school district is eligible for health and safety funding to replace, reconstruct, or construct new classrooms and related facilities if the school district demonstrates there is a threat to the health and safety of pupils. To determine the applicable grant amounts, the district shall prepare and submit to the department a cost-benefit analysis that compares the minimum cost to remain in the classroom or related facility and mitigate the health and safety problem with the current replacement cost.
(2) The project qualifies for modernization funding if the minimum cost is less than 50 percent of the current replacement cost of the classroom or related facility.
(3) The project qualifies for replacement facilities if the cost-benefit analysis prepared pursuant to paragraph (1) demonstrates that the cost to remain in the classroom or related facility and mitigate the problem is at least 50 percent of the replacement value.
(c) The department shall develop regulations to define eligible health and safety

projects that meet the requirements of subdivisions (a) and (b) for purposes of project approval by the board.

EC Section 17075.15 states:

(a) From funds available from any bond act for the purpose of funding facilities for school districts with a financial hardship, the board may provide other construction, modernization, or relocation assistance as set forth in this chapter or Chapter 14 (commencing with Section 17085) to the extent that severe circumstances may require, and may adjust or defer the local financial participation, as pupil health and safety considerations require to the extent that bond act funds are provided for this purpose.

(b) The board shall adopt regulations for determining the amount of funding that may be provided to a district, and the eligibility and prioritization of funding, under this article.

(c) The regulations shall define the amount, and sources, of financing that the school district could reasonably provide for school facilities as follows:

(1) Unencumbered funds available in all facility accounts in the school district, including, but not limited to, fees on development, redevelopment funds, sale proceeds from surplus property, funds generated by certificates of participation for facility purposes, bond funds, federal grants, and other funds available for school facilities, as the board may determine.

(2) The board may exclude from consideration all funds encumbered for a specific capital outlay purpose, a reasonable amount for interim housing, and other funds that the board may find are not reasonably available for the project.

(d) The regulations shall also specify a method for determining required levels of local effort to obtain matching funds. The regulations shall include consideration of at least all of the following factors:

(1) Whether the school district has passed a bond measure within the two-year period immediately preceding the application for funding under this article, the proceeds of which are substantially available for use in the project to be funded under this chapter, but remains unable to provide the necessary matching share requirement.

(2) Whether the principal amount of the current outstanding bonded indebtedness issued for the purpose of constructing school facilities for the school district and secured by property within the school district or by revenues of, or available to, the school district, which shall include general obligation bonds, Mello-Roos bonds, school facility improvement district bonds, certificates of participation, and other debt instruments issued for the purpose of constructing school facilities for the school district and for which owners of property within the school district or the school district are paying debt service is at least 60 percent of the school district’s total bonding capacity, as determined by the board.

(3) (A) Whether the total bonding capacity, as defined in Section 15102 or 15106, as applicable, is fifteen million dollars ($15,000,000) or less, in which case, the school district shall be deemed eligible for financial hardship.

(B) Commencing with the 2026–27 fiscal year, the amount described in subparagraph (A) shall be adjusted each fiscal year by the inflation adjustment computed pursuant to paragraph (2) of subdivision (d) of Section 42238.02.

(4) Whether the application for funding under this article is from a county superintendent of schools.

(5) Whether the school district submits other evidence of substantial local effort

acceptable to the board.
(6) The value of any unused local general obligation debt capacity, and developer fees added to the needs analysis to reflect the district's financial hardship, available for the purposes of school facilities financing.

EC Section 17075.20 states:

(a) Notwithstanding any other law, the board may provide assistance under this chapter for purposes of procuring interim housing, including, but not limited to, the leasing or acquisition of portable classrooms and any work associated with placing them on a site, to school districts and county offices of education impacted by a natural disaster for which the Governor has declared a state of emergency. The allocated funds shall supplement funding from insurance or any other local, state, or federal government disaster assistance.
(b) For purposes of this section, and notwithstanding any other section of this chapter, school districts and county offices of education determined by the board to be impacted by a natural disaster as described in subdivision (a) are deemed to meet the requirements set forth in Section 17075.10.
(c) Notwithstanding any other law, a school district or county office of education that receives assistance under this section shall be entitled to retain savings from a project and use those savings for other high-priority capital outlay purposes consistent with the requirements of subdivision (c) of Section 17070.63.
(d) (1) A grant provided pursuant to this section shall not affect the applicant's eligibility for any other program under this chapter.
(2) Notwithstanding paragraph (1), a portable classroom purchased pursuant to subdivision (a) shall be included in the determination of eligibility for new construction funding pursuant to Section 17071.75.
(e) The board may provide any other assistance to a school district or county office of education determined by the board to be impacted by a natural disaster as described in subdivision (a).

EC Section 17078.36 states:

(a) Unless this article expressly provides otherwise, the provisions contained in the other articles of this chapter shall apply with equal force to a project funded under this article. This article shall control over the provisions of this chapter contained in other articles only to the extent that this article expressly conflicts with those provisions.
(b) This article shall apply only to a small school district that is otherwise eligible under this chapter for a project that meets both of the following:
(1) The project meets the criteria set forth in subdivision (a) of Section 17078.37.
(2) The project is to be funded from proceeds of state bonds approved by the voters at the November 5, 2024, statewide general election that shall not exceed the amounts made available pursuant to paragraphs (1) and (2) of subdivision (a) of Section 101412.

EC Section 17078.37 states:

Notwithstanding subdivision (a) of Section 17072.30 and subdivision (a) of Section 17074.16, as applicable:
(a) Applicants for funding pursuant to this article shall do both of the following:
(1) Submit preliminary applications to the board.

(2) Meet the eligibility requirements described in Article 3 (commencing with Section 17071.75) and Article 6 (commencing with Section 17073.10), as applicable.
(b) The board shall do both of the following:
(1) Accept a preliminary application from, and make a preliminary apportionment to, a small school district for new construction grants pursuant to Article 4 (commencing with Section 17072.10) or modernization grants pursuant to Article 7 (commencing with Section 17074.10) in a manner substantially identical to the preliminary apportionment requirements established in Section 17078.24, except that the eligibility of the applicant shall be based on the criteria established in paragraph (2) of subdivision (a).
(2) If requested, provide a preliminary apportionment of a project and construction management grant equal to 5 percent of the state share of the preliminary apportionment.

EC Section 17078.38 states:

The board shall adopt regulations setting forth all of the following:
(a) The preliminary application and preliminary apportionment.
(b) The apportionment of design grants, project assistance grants pursuant to subdivision (e) of Section 17072.10 and subdivision (e) of Section 17074.10, as applicable, and project and construction management grants to applicants that qualify for financial hardship assistance pursuant to Section 17075.15, as part of the preliminary apportionment.
(c) The existence of substantial progress requirements on apportionments for design and site grants identical to the requirements set forth in Section 1859.105 of Title 2 of the California Code of Regulations.
(d) The requirements for a final apportionment for the project in a manner substantially identical to the requirements of subdivisions (a) and (b) of Section 17078.25.



Office of Public School Construction

School Facility Program Audit

Report No. 25-7760-051
June 2026

Team Members

Cheryl L. McCormick, CPA, Chief
Jennifer Arbis, Assistant Chief
Marilyn Standing Horse, CPA, Assistant Chief
Chikako Takagi-Galamba, Manager
Todd Vermillion, Supervisor
Carla Villa, Lead
Larkin George
Michael Painter

Final reports are available on our website at https://oreports.dof.ca.gov/report.html.

You can contact our office at:

California Department of Finance
Office of State Audits and Evaluations
915 L Street, 6th Floor
Sacramento, CA 95814
(916) 322-2985

DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE

Gavin Newsom ▪ Governor
915 L Street ▪ Sacramento CA ▪ 95814-3706 ▪ www.dof.ca.gov

June 29, 2026

Ana M. Lasso, Director
California Department of General Services
707 Third Street, Third Floor
West Sacramento, CA 95605

Final Report—Department of General Services, Office of Public School Construction, School Facility Program Audit

The California Department of Finance, Office of State Audits and Evaluations, has completed its audit of the California Department of General Services, Office of Public School Construction (OPSC), School Facility Program.

The enclosed report is for your information and use. OPSC's response to the report findings is incorporated into this final report. OPSC agreed with our findings. We appreciate OPSC's assistance and cooperation throughout the engagement, as well as its willingness to implement corrective actions. This report will be placed on our website.

A detailed Corrective Action Plan (CAP) addressing the findings and recommendations is due from OPSC within 60 days from receipt of this report. The CAP should include milestones and target dates to correct all deficiencies. The CAP should be sent to: OSAEReports@dof.ca.gov. After the initial CAP is submitted, it should be updated every six months thereafter, until all planned actions have been implemented. Finance may perform a desk review or separate audit to ensure corrective actions were completed as reported. If a separate audit is deemed appropriate, OPSC will incur additional costs.

If you have any questions regarding this report, please contact Chikako Takagi-Galamba, Manager, or Todd Vermillion, Supervisor, at (916) 322-2985.

Sincerely,

Cheryl L. McCormick

Cheryl L. McCormick, CPA
Chief, Office of State Audits and Evaluations

cc: Elizabeth Williamson, Chief Deputy Director, California Department of General Services
Andy Won, Deputy Director, Audit Services, California Department of General Services
Rebecca Kirk, Executive Officer, Office of Public School Construction, California Department of General Services
Michael Watanabe, Deputy Executive Officer, Office of Public School Construction, California Department of General Services
Joe Stephenshaw, Chair, State Allocation Board

Table of Contents

Executive Summary 1
Background, Scope, and Methodology 3
Background 3
Scope 7
Methodology 7
Results 9
Conclusion 9
Findings and Recommendations 9
AB 99 Requirements Implementation and Administration 10
Financial Hardship Regulation Updates 31
Appendix A: Table of Methodologies 33
Appendix B: List of Acronyms 38
Response 39

Executive Summary

The California Department of Finance, Office of State Audits and Evaluations, conducted a performance audit of the Department of General Services (DGS), Office of Public School Construction's (OPSC), implementation and administration of the School Facility Program (SFP) for the period June 27, 2017 through January 27, 2026. The audit objectives were to:

1. Determine whether OPSC's implementation and administration of the SFP comply with requirements under Assembly Bill 99 (AB 99) (Chapter 15, Statutes of 2017).
2. Determine the developmental status of the regulations for financial hardship assistance as required under Proposition 2 (Kindergarten through Grade 12 Schools and Local Community College Public Education Facilities Modernization, Repair, and Safety Bond Act of 2024).

For Objective 1, our audit focused on the New Construction of School Facilities and Modernization of School Facilities programs funded by Proposition 51 (Kindergarten through Community College Public Education Facilities Bond Act of 2016) and the General Fund. For Objective 2, our audit was limited to assessing the developmental status of the regulations related to the Financial Hardship program provisions under Proposition 2 and did not include an assessment of OPSC's implementation of these regulations.

We determined OPSC has implemented bond oversight and accountability measures to comply with certain aspects of AB 99, including:

- Defining the responsibilities of funding applicants and the state to ensure a mutual understanding of the requirements for receiving project funds,
- Implementing the use of grant agreements and ensuring that Local Educational Agencies (LEA) authorize the agreements before releasing any State Allocation Board (SAB) project-approved funds,
- Communicating expenditure reporting requirements to the LEAs and monitoring compliance with reporting, and
- Taking appropriate actions when appeals are submitted.

However, we identified instances where OPSC's implementation and administration of the SFP did not always comply with AB 99 requirements or where OPSC could strengthen the operating effectiveness and efficiency of the SFP. Specifically:

- SFP projects are not audited or certified within the required timeframes. (Finding 1)
- Grant apportionment adjustments presented to the SAB and included in Audit Closeout Letters are not always consistent with certified audit reports. (Finding 2)
- OPSC does not present grant apportionment adjustments to the SAB timely. (Finding 3)

- OPSC does not always initiate collection efforts with LEAs within required timelines. (Finding 4)
- LEAs do not consistently report annual project savings expenditures as required. (Finding 5)
- OPSC does not have an established process to proactively monitor or track LEAs' use of project savings. (Finding 6)

Additionally, we determined OPSC has begun developing the regulations for Financial Hardship Assistance as required under Proposition 2. Specifically, the regulation update to increase the maximum bonding capacity level from $5 million to $15 million has been completed, and a Small School District Program is being developed.

The Findings and Recommendations described in this report are intended to assist DGS with improving OPSC's processes and procedures over the implementation and administration of the SFP, and to provide the progress OPSC has made towards implementing the required Financial Hardship Assistance regulation updates required by Proposition 2.

Background, Scope, and Methodology

Background

In November 2016, California voters approved the Kindergarten through Community College Public Education Facilities Bond Act of 2016 (Proposition 51). Of the $9 billion in Proposition 51 bond proceeds for constructing and modernizing educational facilities, $7 billion was earmarked for kindergarten through 12th grade (K-12) public school facilities.[1]

In November 2024, California voters approved the Kindergarten through Grade 12 Schools and Local Community College Public Education Facilities Modernization, Repair, and Safety Bond Act of 2024 (Proposition 2). Of the $10 billion in Proposition 2 bond proceeds for constructing and modernizing educational facilities, $8.5 billion was earmarked for K-12 facilities.[2,3]

Proposition 51 and Proposition 2 bond proceeds are apportioned by the State Allocation Board (SAB) through the School Facility Program (SFP) to provide aid to school districts, county superintendents of schools, and county boards of education of the state, i.e., local educational agencies (LEA), in accordance with the Leroy F. Greene School Facilities Act of 1998 (School Facilities Act), Education Code (EDC) section 17070.10 through 17079.30.[4] In addition to the above, a charter school is also considered an LEA pursuant to EDC section 41011.1, subdivision (c).

The Office of Public School Construction (OPSC), under the authority of the California Department of General Services (DGS), serves as staff to the SAB providing administrative support, and implements and administers the SFP.[5]

After Proposition 51 was approved, Governor Brown withheld support for bond fund expenditures over accountability concerns until revisions to the SFP were implemented. To ensure the appropriate use of SFP bond funds and effective program accountability and oversight, Chapter 15, Statutes of 2017 [Assembly Bill 99 (AB 99)] was enacted in June 2017. AB 99 amended the EDC by establishing an accountability framework for the SFP as detailed in EDC section 41024. Proposition 2 further amended the EDC to require the SAB to adopt regulations for the Financial Hardship Program and several other programs.[6]

[1] Source: EDC section 101122.
[2] Source: EDC section 101420, subdivision (a).
[3] Proposition 2 was introduced in January 2023 and enacted as Chapter 81, Statutes of 2024, (Assembly Bill 247), in July 2024.
[4] Source: EDC section 101121.
[5] Excerpts obtained from https://www.dgs.ca.gov/OPSC/About.
[6] Excerpts obtained from the California Legislative Information website: https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billNavClient.xhtml?bill_id=202320240AB247.

AB 99 SFP Accountability Framework

The SFP accountability framework established under AB 99 involves multiple parties and includes several accountability mechanisms, including:

- Requiring a grant agreement for SFP bond-funded projects.
- Establishing an LEA's responsibility to report project expenditures in accordance with the School Facilities Act.
- Transferring the audit responsibility from OPSC to Certified Public Accountants or Public Accounts, i.e., CPAs, contracted by the LEAs.
- Establishing the California State Controller's Office's (SCO) responsibilities to review and certify the audit reports (certified audit reports) prepared by the CPAs.
- Establishing OPSC's responsibilities to recover ineligible expenditures identified in the audits.

Key parties involved in the AB 99 SFP accountability framework include the SAB, OPSC, LEAs, CPAs, SCO, and the Education Audit Appeals Panel (EAAP), as explained in Figure 1.

Figure 1: AB 99 SFP Accountability Framework



Source: EDC section 41024.

State Allocation Board

SAB is comprised of the Director of Finance, the Director of DGS, the Superintendent of Public Instruction, three members of the Senate, three members of the Assembly, and one Governor appointee. The SAB generally meets monthly, except in July, and combines its November and December meeting, to apportion SFP funds, act on appeals, and adopt policies and regulations.[7]

Office of Public School Construction

OPSC serves as staff to the SAB providing administrative support, and implements and administers the SFP including processing, executing, and funding school facility construction grant applications with LEAs; assisting LEAs throughout the life cycle of a school facility construction project; performing accounting and reconciliation functions of project funds; and preparing the regulations, policies, and procedures to implement the mandates of the SAB and SFP.[8]

AB 99 established additional responsibilities for OPSC in implementing and administering the SFP, including executing grant agreements for SFP bond-funded projects, presenting grant apportionment adjustments to the SAB, ensuring audit findings with ineligible expenditures are corrected (also referred to as penalty payments), and recovering funds owed from the LEAs. Other responsibilities include establishing payment plans for LEA fund recoveries and adhering to the decisions from project audit appeals.

Local Educational Agencies

LEAs apply for and administer state funds received pursuant to the SFP, including Proposition 51 and Proposition 2 grant funds, and General Fund amounts awarded for constructing and modernizing school facilities. LEAs are required to report project expenditures and project savings expenditures to OPSC, and to ensure that projects receiving funding on or after April 1, 2017 are audited by a CPA.[9]

Certified Public Accountants and Public Accountants

AB 99 requires that all project funding awarded by OPSC on or after April 1, 2017, be audited within one year of project completion in accordance with the Guide for Annual Audits of K-12 Local Education Agencies and State Compliance Reporting, Appendix B: SFP Project Expenditure Audit (Audit Guide). The audits shall be performed by a CPA pursuant to EDC section 41020. Once the audit is completed, the CPA has 60 days to submit the audit report to SCO.[10]

State Controller's Office

SCO is responsible for ensuring that all project audits performed by a CPA are certified within 60 days of receipt and that the audit conforms to the reporting standards contained in the Audit Guide. SCO shall provide a copy of the certified audit report and

[7] Excerpts obtained from https://www.ca.gov/departments/55/.
[8] Excerpts obtained from https://www.dgs.ca.gov/OPSC/About.
[9] Project savings refer to the surplus resulting from when an LEA completes a project for less than the total approved funding. Project savings must be spent on high-priority capital facility projects.
[10] Excerpts obtained from EDC section 41024.

notify OPSC of any audit findings and adjustments arising from the audit. If the audit is not certified and rejected for non-compliance with reporting standards, SCO will send a letter to the CPA and the LEA requesting that they correct the audit report.[11] SCO also participates in developing and updating the Audit Guide, which it submits to EAAP for review, amendment as deemed necessary, and adoption.[12]

Education Audit Appeals Panel

EAAP serves as the neutral arbiter in informal and formal administrative appeals by LEAs. EAAP issues determinations on appeals regarding monetary findings arising from the certified audits. EAAP also reviews, amends as deemed necessary, and adopts as regulations, in accordance with the Office of Administrative Law (OAL) rulemaking process, the Audit Guide used in the LEA audits.[13,14]

Proposition 2 and Financial Hardship Program

The Financial Hardship Program assists LEAs that cannot provide the required local match for SFP projects. If an LEA qualifies, the state offers financial hardship grants to cover the difference between the available funds and the LEA's required match. Proposition 2 expanded the criteria by which LEAs can qualify for financial hardship assistance by increasing maximum bond capacity from $5 million to $15 million for applications submitted on or after October 31, 2024, and providing for annual inflationary adjustments to that amount, beginning in fiscal year 2026-27.

Proposition 2 also required the creation of a new Small School District Program. The Small School District Program enables smaller LEAs with a pupil enrollment of fewer than 2,501 to reserve new construction or modernization funds upfront through a preliminary apportionment. LEAs that qualify for both the Small School District and Financial Hardship Programs may receive an advance funding release for design, site acquisition, project and construction management, and project assistance grants.

OPSC is responsible for, and is in the process of, updating the SFP regulations to reflect the requirements of Proposition 2.

SFP Funding

The SFP provides a variety of state funding for multiple purposes, including, but not limited to, new construction, modernization, charter school facilities, career technical education facilities, seismic mitigation, and facility hardship.[15] SFP is primarily funded by state general obligation bonds approved by voters. As noted in Table 1, OPSC awards funds for multiple purposes and programs within the SFP. Because Proposition 51 funding became nearly exhausted, the California Legislature approved $250 million, $1.3 billion, and $2 billion from the General Fund for 2021-22, 2022-23, and 2023-24, respectively.[16] The SAB awarded the first Proposition 2 apportionments in October 2025.

[11] Excerpts obtained from EDC section 41024.
[12] Excerpts obtained from EDC section 14502.1.
[13] Excerpts obtained from https://oal.ca.gov/rulemaking_process/.
[14] Excerpts obtained from https://eaap.ca.gov/.
[15] Excerpts obtained from A Brief Overview of the School Facility Program report: https://sedn.senate.ca.gov/sites/sedn.senate.ca.gov/files/sfp_overview_2.pdf.
[16] 2021-22, 2022-23, 2023-24, and 2024-25 Budget Acts.

Table 1: Schedule of Proposition 51 Allocation and Proposition 51 and General Fund Funded Amounts as of July 31, 2025

Purpose/Program	Proposition 51 Allocation	Proposition 51 Funded	General Fund Funded
New Construction of School Facilities	$ 3,000,000,000	$ 2,962,646,137	$ 1,555,871,604
Modernization of School Facilities	3,000,000,000	2,996,328,556	1,760,534,111
Charter School Facilities Program	500,000,000	377,530,650	0
Career Technical Education Facilities Program	500,000,000	486,110,520	0
Total	**$ 7,000,000,000**	**$ 6,822,615,863**	**$ 3,316,405,715**

Source: EDC section 101122 for Proposition 51 Allocation and OPSC SFP Summary Reports for Funded Amounts as of July 31, 2025.

Scope

In accordance with Government Code section 13293, the California Department of Finance, Office of State Audits and Evaluations, conducted a performance audit of OPSC for the period June 27, 2017 through January 27, 2026. The audit objectives were to:

1. Determine whether OPSC's implementation and administration of the SFP comply with requirements under AB 99.
2. Determine the developmental status of the regulations for financial hardship assistance as required under Proposition 2.

For Objective 1, our audit focused on the New Construction of School Facilities and Modernization of School Facilities programs funded by Proposition 51 and the General Fund. For Objective 2, our audit was limited to assessing the developmental status of the regulations related to the Financial Hardship program provisions under Proposition 2 and did not include an assessment of OPSC's implementation of these regulations.

OPSC's management is responsible for ensuring accurate financial reporting and compliance with applicable laws, regulations, and requirements, and establishing oversight, evaluation, and accountability measures to achieve financial and programmatic effectiveness of the SFP.

Methodology

To plan the audit, we gained an understanding of the various key parties' roles and responsibilities with the SFP. We identified relevant criteria and fiscal requirements by interviewing OPSC personnel, and reviewing OPSC's procedural documents, SAB agendas, SFP Handbook, OPSC's Grant Agreement Frequently Asked Questions, applicable sections of AB 99, Proposition 51, Proposition 2, EDC, California Code of Regulations (CCR), the Audit Guide, the State Administrative Manual (SAM), OPSC's public website, and a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) between OPSC and SCO.

We conducted a risk assessment, including evaluating whether OPSC's key internal controls significant to our audit objectives were properly designed and implemented. Key internal controls evaluated focused on grant agreement preparation and execution,

project expenditure reporting, savings, and use of savings recording and tracking. We also evaluated project audit completion and certification, audit appeals tracking, and audited ineligible expenditures and grant apportionment adjustments processes, including accounts receivable (AR) and disbursements. We also evaluated OPSC's processes over LEA payment plans and SFP regulation updates. Our assessment included conducting interviews with OPSC's personnel, observing processes, and reviewing documentation related to the key internal controls evaluated.

Additionally, we assessed the reliability of data from OPSC's record-keeping system, OPSC Online Application (OPSC Online), and accounting system, the Financial Information System for California (FI$Cal). Specifically, we assessed the reliability of the SCO Certified Audit Report – Savings and Savings Use Report, the Proposition 51 and General Fund Fund Release Report, electronic grant agreements and Fund Release Authorization forms, expenditure report submittal data in OPSC Online, and Accounts Payable Voucher reports. To assess the reliability of data, we traced selected data to source documents and reviewed existing information. Further, we assessed the reliability of the data from SCO's SFP Status of Bond Audit Reports (SCO Workload Reports). To assess data reliability, we interviewed OPSC and SCO personnel and traced selected data to source documents. We determined that the data were sufficiently reliable to address Objective 1. A data reliability assessment was not necessary to address Objective 2.

Based on the results of our planning, we developed specific methods for gathering evidence to obtain reasonable assurance to address the audit objectives. Our methods are detailed in the Table of Methodologies in Appendix A.

Except as discussed in the following paragraph, we conducted this performance audit in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives.

Finance and OPSC are both part of the State of California's Executive Branch. As required by various statutes within the California Government Code, Finance performs certain management and accounting functions. Under generally accepted government auditing standards, performance of these activities creates an organizational impairment with respect to independence. However, Finance has developed and implemented sufficient safeguards to mitigate the organizational impairment so reliance can be placed on the work performed.

Results

Conclusion

OPSC has implemented bond oversight and accountability measures to comply with certain aspects of AB 99 including:

- Defining the responsibilities of funding applicants and the state to ensure a mutual understanding of the requirements for receiving project funds,
- Implementing the use of grant agreements and ensuring that LEAs authorize the agreements before releasing any SAB project-approved funds,
- Communicating expenditure reporting requirements to the LEAs and monitoring compliance with reporting, and
- Taking appropriate actions when appeals are submitted.

However, we identified instances where OPSC's implementation and administration of the SFP did not always comply with AB 99 requirements or where OPSC could strengthen the operating effectiveness and efficiency of the SFP. Specifically:

- SFP projects are not audited or certified within the required timeframes, as noted in Finding 1.
- Grant apportionment adjustments presented to the SAB and included in Audit Closeout Letters are not always consistent with certified audit reports, as noted in Finding 2.
- OPSC does not present grant apportionment adjustments to the SAB timely, as noted in Finding 3.
- OPSC does not always initiate collection efforts with LEAs within required timelines, as noted in Finding 4.
- LEAs do not consistently report annual project savings expenditures as required, as noted in Finding 5.
- OPSC does not have an established process to proactively monitor or track LEAs' use of project savings, as noted in Finding 6.

Additionally, we determined OPSC has begun developing the regulations for Financial Hardship Assistance as required under Proposition 2. The current developmental status of the Financial Hardship Assistance regulations is detailed in the Findings and Recommendations section below.

Findings and Recommendations

The following sections detail OPSC's implementation and administration of AB 99 requirements, including the execution of grant agreements; expenditure reporting; project audits; project closeout process including grant apportionment adjustments, ensuring correction of project audit findings (penalty payments); project savings; and appeals and payment plans, followed by a section detailing the developmental status of the financial hardship regulatory update. Each section includes a process overview and,


as applicable, findings and recommendations to mitigate instances of non-compliance with AB 99 requirements or areas where OPSC could strengthen its implementation and administration of the SFP.

AB 99 Requirements Implementation and Administration

OPSC developed and implemented OPSC Online, which serves as OPSC's book of record for projects funded through the SFP. OPSC personnel use OPSC Online to accept project funding applications, execute grant agreements, record project expenditures, track project progression (from project inception through the OPSC project closeout process, as detailed later), and perform various other activities. LEAs have access to OPSC Online to review records, create and complete applications, and upload documents to project applications.

OPSC also has developed policies and procedures to implement AB 99's requirements. Figure 2 outlines the AB 99 workflow, focusing on new SFP requirements, including the execution of grant agreements, expenditure reporting, project expenditure and savings audits, project closeout, and LEA audit appeals and payment plans processes.

Figure 2: AB 99 Workflow



Source: OPSC personnel.

Execution of Grant Agreements

OPSC implemented processes to execute SFP grant agreements. Specifically, SAB approved the grant template on June 5, 2017. When OPSC receives a project application submitted by an LEA, OPSC staff and management are responsible for conducting a thorough review of the application and verifying that the project meets funding eligibility requirements. Once the review is complete and the application is approved, a grant agreement is drafted, and the project is submitted to the SAB for review and funding approval. Upon the SAB's approval, OPSC personnel will notify the

LEA to review and authorize the drafted agreement. After LEA authorization, staff will notify OPSC's executive staff to execute the grant agreement.

Grant Agreement Submittal

A Grant Agreement for the project with an original signature by an authorized LEA representative must be submitted prior to, or concurrently with, the Fund Release Authorization, Form SAB 50-05, for all projects that are approved for placement on the Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans) on or after June 5, 2017. Projects on the Unfunded List as of June 5, 2017 are exempt from this requirement.

Source: CCR, title 2, section 1859.90.4.

LEAs are required to submit an executed grant agreement prior to, or concurrently with, a Fund Release Authorization Form (Form SAB 50-05) to OPSC, as noted in the text box. When OPSC staff receive these forms, staff review the forms to verify that the forms are complete, the LEA has entered into a binding construction contract, and the grant agreement has been executed. Upon review, OPSC proceeds to release the entire project funding once the requirements outlined in the text box are met. The date OPSC releases the funds is known as the *fund release date*.

Expenditure Reporting

Expenditure Reporting Requirements

A project shall be deemed complete when either of the following occur:
(A) When the notice of completion for the project has been filed, all outstanding invoices, claims, and change orders have been satisfied, and the facility is currently in use by the district.
(B) Three years from the date of the final fund release for an elementary school project or four years from the date of the final fund release for a middle or high school project.

Source: CCR, title 2, section 1859.104 (a) (1).

OPSC implemented processes to track and monitor SFP expenditure reporting. OPSC staff are responsible for recording the project's fund release date into OPSC Online. Upon the release of funds, LEAs are required to annually report to OPSC their project expenditures and any project savings achieved by completing both the Expenditure Report (Form SAB 50-06) and the Detailed Listing of Project Expenditures (DLOPE) form. Specifically, the first expenditure report shall be due one year from the date any funds were released to the LEA, or upon project completion, whichever occurs first; the second and subsequent reports, if necessary, shall be due annually beginning one year from the first report, or upon project completion, whichever occurs first. As noted in the text box, the final expenditure report must be made no later than three years from the date of the final fund release for an elementary school project or four years from the date of the final fund release for a middle or high school project, or upon project completion, whichever occurs first. If the LEA submits an expenditure report indicating that 100 percent of the project is complete at any time during the reporting period, OPSC will identify the project as complete.


To assist LEAs in complying with expenditure reporting requirements, OPSC sends LEAs reminder letters that include the fund release date and the expenditure report due date. When an LEA fails to comply with reporting requirements, OPSC sends a delinquency letter to the LEA, notifying them that the report is past due and encouraging prompt submittal.

OPSC uses OPSC Online to track completed projects. For example, when LEAs submit expenditure reports, OPSC staff record the expenditures and the reported project completion percentage in OPSC Online. When 100-percent project completion is recorded and recognized in the system, the system automatically identifies the project as *complete*. Similarly, the system is configured to calculate the elapsed time since a project's fund release date. Therefore, after three years or four years have elapsed for an elementary or middle/high school project, respectively, the system will identify the project as *complete*.

Project Audits

Pursuant to EDC section 41020, all complete projects shall be audited by a CPA, as selected by the LEA, and pursuant to EDC section 41024, subdivision (a)(6), the audit must be completed within one year of project completion. Further, savings audits must be completed within one year of the submission of the Schedule of SFP-Use of Savings form (Use of Savings Report). Figure 3 describes the types of audits CPAs perform for SFP projects. These audits may also encompass other audit procedures and are not limited to the procedures developed to determine the outcomes noted below.

Figure 3: Types of Audits



Source: EDC section 41024, subdivisions (b)(1)(A), (b)(1)(C), and (b)(1)(D), and the Audit Guide.


To assist LEAs in complying with the project expenditure audit requirement, upon project completion, OPSC sends LEAs project expenditure audit reminder letters that include the statutorily required audit completion date. The completed projects are then communicated to SCO through a monthly OPSC K-12 Current Audit Workload Report (OPSC Workload Report), which lists the required SFP project audits and their due dates, so that OPSC and SCO can further track project expenditure audit activity. Upon completion of the audit, the CPA is required to submit the audit report to SCO within 60 days.

Within another 60 days of receiving the audit report from the CPA, SCO is statutorily required to determine whether completed audits conform with the reporting standards contained in the Audit Guide and, upon determination of conformity, certify the audit. If SCO determines the audit does not conform to standards, SCO can reject the audit. However, as discussed in Finding 1, there is no formalized policy guiding the process for resubmitting rejected audit reports by the CPA. Once SCO certifies an audit report, SCO is required to notify and provide a copy of the certified audit report to OPSC, which includes the following:

- Ineligible project expenditures
- Grant apportionment increases or decreases
- Project savings

AB 99 establishes deadlines for audit completion and certification, as described in Figure 4.

Figure 4: Project Expenditure Audit Timeline



Source: EDC section 41024.

To formalize the responsibilities of OPSC and SCO for the review and certification of the audit reports, OPSC executed an MOU with SCO on April 15, 2021, listing each party's duties and responsibilities regarding project audit tracking, summarized in Figure 5.

Figure 5: OPSC and SCO MOU Summary

Scope of Work

OPSC

1. Upon receipt of the Final Expenditure Report, send an expenditure audit reminder letter to the LEA.
2. Send a workload report listing the required SFP project audits and audit due dates to SCO monthly.
3. Upon receipt of the certification letter and final certified audit report from SCO, close out the SFP project either administratively or by SAB action.

SCO

1. Send a workload report to OPSC monthly detailing the following:
   - Name of school district, school site, and CPA firm that performed each audit
   - Project number and project type
   - Project completion date and audit completion date
   - Certification review status
   - Number of findings
2. Send notification letters to LEAs for audit reports that are not received by the due dates. Send a copy of the letters to OPSC.
3. If LEAs request an extension to their audit report due date, work with the applicable County Office of Education and either accept or deny the request. Inform OPSC of the acceptance or denial of the request.
4. Review the CPA audit reports and either certify or reject the reports. If rejected, SCO will send a letter to the CPAs outlining the report deficiencies that must be corrected before the report is certified.
5. Send certification letters to CPAs and LEAs.
6. Send a copy of the certification letters and final certified audit reports to OPSC and the California Department of Education.

Source: MOU – SFP K-12 Bond Performance Audits dated April 15, 2021.


However, neither OPSC nor SCO consistently fulfilled the MOU responsibilities, and project audits were not always completed or certified within the timelines required by the EDC, as noted in Finding 1.

Finding 1: Project Audits Are Not Always Completed and Certified as Required

Project expenditure and savings audits were not always completed or certified within the timelines required by EDC section 41024.

As shown in Figure 6, of the 58 projects selected for testing, 48 projects had audit completion and/or certification timeliness issues. Specifically, 9 project audits were completed late, 27 project audits were certified late, and 12 project audits were both completed and certified late as detailed further below.

Figure 6: Late Audit Completion and Certification




Source: Compilation of late project audit completion and certification from certified audit reports, OPSC Online, SCO Workload Reports, and SCO Certification Letters.

Project Audits Not Completed Timely

A total of 21 of the 58 project audits tested were not completed in compliance with the timelines specified in EDC section 41024.[17] Specifically, as shown in Figure 7, the LEAs did not receive a completed project expenditure audit within one year of project completion or a project savings audit within one year of the Use of Savings Report date, as required.

Figure 7: Untimely Audit Completion



Source: Compilation of untimely audit completion from CPAs' audit reports and SCO Workload Reports.

[17] 9 late project audits + 12 late project audits and certifications = 21 total late project audits.


Although the MOU includes responsibilities for both OPSC and SCO to send letters to LEAs regarding audit requirements at specific stages in the project, neither OPSC nor SCO consistently performed these requirements. Of the 21 projects with late audits, OPSC did not send reminder letters to LEAs for 9 projects. According to OPSC, there is no statutory responsibility to send reminder letters, and since the tracking and distribution of the reminder letters is done manually, project expenditure audit reminder letters are sent out when feasible, based on staff availability, and as a courtesy. Further, according to interviews with SCO personnel, notification letters could not be consistently sent during the early implementation stages of the process due to staffing shortages and the need to adapt to the new EDC requirements. During this time, OPSC assisted in sending out notification letters on SCO's behalf.

OPSC stated it is the statutory responsibility of the LEA to obtain an audit as specified in the EDC. As such, other than sending reminder letters when feasible, OPSC does not have established processes to monitor whether project audits are completed within the timelines as required by the EDC, and it could not explain why some LEAs did not comply with the one-year audit completion requirement.

Project Audits Not Certified Timely

A total of 39 of the 58 project expenditure audits tested were not certified by SCO in compliance within the required 60 days as specified in EDC section 41024, as shown in Figure 8.[18] OPSC does not have processes established to monitor whether project audit certifications are completed within the required timeframes specified in the EDC.

Figure 8: Untimely Audit Certification



Source: Compilation of untimely audit certifications from certified audit reports, SCO Workload Reports, and SCO Certification Letters.

According to OPSC and SCO, audits were not always certified as required because, at the beginning of AB 99's implementation, SCO experienced staffing shortages and was still developing its certification review process. For context, SCO received a high volume of audit reports during the 2023 and 2024 calendar years—298 audit reports and 400 audit reports, respectively.[19] SCO stated that it has now solidified its process and is no longer experiencing staffing shortages.

[18] 27 project audits certified late + 12 project audits completed and certified late = 39 total late project audit certifications.
[19] OPSC annual Local Audits Update reports provided to the SAB and excerpts obtained from the Reports of the Executive Officer State Allocation Board Meeting, dated February 28, 2024 and February 26, 2025.

Additionally, there is no formalized policy guiding the process for CPAs to resubmit audits rejected by SCO during the certification process. SCO rejected 11 project expenditure audits during our audit period, requiring the CPAs to make changes and resubmit the audits for certification. All 11 rejected audits remained not certified and in a rejected status for as many as 561 days. As of December 2025, 3 of the 11 audits had not been certified and continued to remain in a rejected status. Neither the EDC nor the CCR requires a CPA to resubmit rejected audit reports. The absence of a policy establishing a process to track and follow up on rejected audits compounds the issue of late audit certifications.

Pursuant to EDC section 41024, the audit process should take no longer than 485 days (365 days to complete the audit, plus 120 days to have the audit submitted to SCO and certified). Of the 48 projects reviewed that had timeliness issues, the audit process took, on average, an additional 343 days to complete the audit and the certification.

EDC section 41024, subdivision (a)(6) states that the audit described in this section shall be completed within one year of project completion. A project is deemed complete, according to CCR, title 2, section 1859.104 subdivision (a)(1), when a 100-Percent Expenditure Report has been submitted, or three years after the date of final fund release for an elementary school project, or four years after the date of final fund release for a middle or high school project.

EDC section 41024, subdivision (c)(1) states that the auditor conducting the audit pursuant to this section shall file the audit with SCO within 60 days of completion of the audit. Further, EDC section 41024, subdivision (c)(2) states that within 60 days of receipt of the audit, and after determining that the audit conforms with the reporting standards contained in the Audit Guide, SCO shall provide OPSC with a copy of the certified audit.

The MOU states that OPSC, upon receipt of the Final Expenditure Report, will send an expenditure audit reminder letter to the LEA. The MOU also specifies that SCO is responsible for sending notification letters to LEAs for audit reports that are not received by the due dates. Further, SCO is responsible for sending a copy of the notification letters to OPSC and reviewing the SFP Audit reports and either certifying or rejecting the reports. If rejected, SCO is responsible for sending a letter to the CPAs outlining the report deficiencies that must be corrected before the report is certified.

Government Code section 13401, subdivision (b) requires each state entity to maintain effective systems of internal control. Further, Government Code section 13403, subdivision (b)(3) states one of the required elements of a satisfactory system of internal control is a system of policies and procedures adequate to provide compliance with applicable laws, criteria, standards, and other requirements.

As the entity responsible for administering and implementing the SFP, including the AB 99 requirements, OPSC has a fundamental responsibility to ensure all aspects of the program are completed in accordance with applicable statutes, regulations, and MOU agreements. This includes establishing policies and procedures to properly monitor the completion, submittal, and certification of the SFP project audits, and sending project audit reminder letters as necessary. Failure to effectively monitor the completion and certification of project audits increases the risk that project audits may not be completed within statutory timelines, may delay the recovery of funds due to the state or issuance of

funds due to the LEAs from grant apportionment adjustments or penalty payments, prevents the monitoring of project savings, and hinders stakeholders from making informed decisions.

Recommendations:

A. Strengthen monitoring processes over project audit report completion and certification to ensure the statutorily required timelines are met. Consider enhancing the MOU responsibilities for clarity regarding monitoring LEA/CPA audit completion timeliness and/or work with stakeholders to update the SFP regulations to formalize monitoring policies and processes.


B. Develop and implement a standardized process pursuant to the MOU, to issue project expenditure audit reminder letters and SCO notification letters on a consistent basis to reinforce LEAs' compliance with audit completion and submission. Consider implementing an automated process utilizing the OPSC Online application to assist with tracking project completion and generating reminder notices for LEAs to reduce the manual processing burden on staff.

C. Design and implement policies and processes for LEAs/CPAs to resubmit rejected audits to SCO within an established timeframe. Work with stakeholders to update the SFP regulations and/or the Audit Guide to formalize the policies and processes as necessary.

Project Closeout

Upon receiving the final certification letter and the certified audit report from SCO, as described in the MOU, OPSC is responsible for closing out the project. OPSC staff review the certified audit report to determine whether any amounts are due to the state or to the LEA from grant apportionment adjustments or correction of audit findings and penalty payments, and any project savings. OPSC then proceeds to either close out the project administratively or through SAB approval**.** The types of Grant Apportionment Adjustments, and the Correction of Audit Findings and Penalty Payments are noted in Figure 9 and further described below. Project savings are discussed in the next report section.

Figure 9: Amounts Due to the LEA vs. Amounts Due to the State



Source: EDC section 41024 I (2) (B).

Grant Apportionment Adjustments

If an audit report includes a determination of an apportionment adjustment, OPSC is required to present the adjustment to the SAB for approval, pursuant to EDC section 41024, subdivision (c)(3). Specifically, OPSC audit staff will prepare an Audit Closeout Letter that summarizes the amounts due to the state or the LEA, and issue it to the LEA. OPSC then determines the appropriate fund to which funds shall be returned for adjustments resulting in money due to the state, and proceeds to create a board action item to present the apportionment adjustment to the SAB for approval. The action items are submitted to the OPSC Agenda team, which is responsible for preparing SAB meeting agendas, and to the accounting staff. However, OPSC does not always present adjustments determined in certified audit reports to the SAB as required, as detailed in Finding 2. Additionally, OPSC does not always present adjustments to the SAB timely, as detailed in Finding 3.

Once closeout letters are issued to the LEA, the letters are uploaded to OPSC Online. OPSC staff then record in OPSC Online, if identified in the certified audit report, any savings achieved or the amount overspent on the project, along with the date the CPA completed the audit report, the date SCO certified the report, the date the closeout letter was issued to the LEA, and, as applicable, the adjustment and/or the ineligible expenditure amounts and penalty payments. However, as detailed in Finding 2 and Finding 6, the apportionment adjustment and savings amounts entered into OPSC Online are not always supported by the certified audit report.

When the accounting staff receives the action item, staff proceed to create an AR for funds due to the state, or a purchase order (accounts payable) for funds due to the LEA in OPSC's accounting system, FI$Cal, and OPSC Online. Once the SAB has reviewed and approved the action item, staff will complete the AR setup and/or create vouchers for accounts payable. An AR letter will then be issued to the LEA to notify them of the amounts due to the state, and, as necessary, 30-, 60-, and 90-day collection letters are issued when payments are not received.

Correction of Audit Findings and Penalty Payments

Penalty Payments

OPSC shall ensure that the LEA has corrected the audit finding by implementing a required penalty payment of funds equal to the amount of funds disallowed in the audit finding pursuant to the process specified in subdivision (d).

Source: EDC section 41024 (c)(5).

If an audit report includes a determination of ineligible expenditures, OPSC audit staff will prepare an Audit Closeout Letter that summarizes the amounts due to the state (penalty payments) and issue it to the LEA, as noted in the text box. Unlike apportionment adjustments, OPSC is not statutorily required to present findings for ineligible expenditures, i.e., penalty payments, to the SAB for approval prior to collection. Therefore, OPSC's audit staff will proceed to issue Audit Closeout Letters and record any pertinent data in OPSC Online, as detailed in the Grant Apportionment Adjustments section above. Similarly, accounting staff set up an AR in FI$Cal and OPSC Online for the penalty payment amount, pursuant to EDC section 41024, subdivision (c)(5). AR letters are sent and, if necessary, collection letters. However, AR letters are not always sent within the required timelines, as detailed in Finding 4.

Finding 2: Apportionment Adjustments Presented to the SAB and in Audit Closeout Letters Are Not Always Consistent with the Certified Audit Reports as Required

The apportionment adjustments presented to the SAB for approval and in the Audit Closeout Letters issued to the LEAs were not always consistent with the certified audit reports, as required.

Upon review of the submitted certified audit reports, OPSC, at times, revised grant apportionment adjustments determinations due to errors it identified during its review. In some instances, this resulted in apportionments either not being presented to the SAB or amounts presented that varied from the certified audit reports.

Specifically, during our testing of OPSC's closeout processes, we identified instances where OPSC revised the grant apportionment adjustment determinations in two certified project expenditure audit reports as follows and presented in Table 2:

- For Project A, OPSC revised an apportionment adjustment decrease (i.e., amounts due to the state) from $1,193,234 to $9,234. OPSC then presented the revised apportionment amount of $9,234 to SAB rather than presenting the grant apportionment adjustment determined in the certified audit report, as required. Following SAB approval of the $9,234 adjustment, OPSC later reduced it to $0 with SAB approval.
- For Project B, OPSC revised an apportionment adjustment increase (i.e., amounts due to the LEA) from $165,368 to $0. Since the revised apportionment amount resulted in no payable due to the LEA, OPSC did not present the grant apportionment adjustment to the SAB, as required, nor did OPSC present the revised apportionment adjustment amount to the SAB.

Table 2: Revised Grant Apportionment Adjustments

Project	Grant Apportionment Adjustment	
	Audit Report	OPSC Revised
A	$ (1,193,234)	$ 0
B	$ 165,368	$ 0

Source: Compilation of revisions to apportionment adjustments from certified audit reports and Audit Closeout Letters.

Additionally, the respective Audit Closeout Letters issued to the LEAs did not reflect any adjustments. As such, the LEAs were notified that no amounts were due to the state or to the LEA which conflicted with the certified audit reports. Further, OPSC did not communicate that revisions were made to the certified audit amounts to the CPAs or SCO. In these instances, OPSC Online did not reconcile to the certified audit reports.

OPSC is not statutorily required to, and does not have a formalized process to, consistently review, document, and communicate revisions to certified audit reports. OPSC stated it reviews the determinations in the certified audit reports for accuracy during its project closeout process, and will revise the amounts if deemed necessary. According to OPSC, it is not expected that the CPA performing the audits be fully knowledgeable about the process for calculating apportionment adjustment amounts and/or site acquisition expenditure eligibility requirements. However, the Audit Guide provides explanations on how CPAs should determine apportionment adjustments and project savings and should serve as a sufficient resource for CPAs to complete audits without OPSC intervention.

EDC section 41024, subdivision (b)(1)(C) states that commencing with audits of 2018-19, SCO shall include instructions in the Audit Guide required by EDC section 14502.1 that include, but are not necessarily limited to, procedures for determining adjustments to the grant amounts received by an LEA for site acquisition costs based upon the LEA's final approved expenditures.

EDC section 41024, subdivision (c) states that within 60 days of the receipt of the certified audit, and after determining that the audit conforms with the reporting standards contained in the Audit Guide, SCO shall provide OPSC with a copy of the certified audit

identifying the amounts to be adjusted if, as a result of the audit conducted in accordance with the requirements of subdivisions (a) and (b), the auditor determines that an LEA is subject to an increase or decrease in funds provided pursuant to EDC section 41024, subdivision (b)(1)(C), and any accompanying grant agreement signed by an LEA.

Pursuant to the MOU, OPSC is responsible for closing out the SFP project administratively or by an SAB action.

Pursuant to EDC section 41024, subdivision (c)(3) OPSC shall present the grant adjustments identified in the certified audit reports to the SAB for an adjustment to the project apportionment.

Government Code section 13401, subdivision (b) requires each state entity to maintain effective systems of internal control. Further, Government Code section 13403, subdivision (b)(3) states one of the required elements of a satisfactory system of internal control is a system of policies and procedures adequate to provide compliance with applicable laws, criteria, standards, and other requirements.

Without a formal process in place, apportionment adjustments presented to the SAB and communicated to the LEAs may not be in compliance with the EDC. Further, failure to clarify responsibilities and communicate revisions made to certified audit report amounts to relevant parties such as the SAB, LEAs, CPAs, and SCO, lacks transparency, may duplicate efforts, and impedes the ability to identify and correct weaknesses in processes and procedures.

Recommendations:

A. Establish a formalized process to consistently review, document, and communicate revisions to certified audit reports to relevant parties including the SAB, LEAs, CPAs, and SCO. Consider enhancing the MOU responsibilities and/or coordinate with stakeholders to update the SFP regulations, as deemed necessary, focusing on enhancing policies and procedures and avoiding duplication of efforts.

B. Ensure apportionment adjustments presented to the SAB for approval are supported by a certified audit report as required and any revisions are documented and communicated to facilitate transparency.

C. Ensure Audit Closeout Letters reconcile to the certified audit reports and any revisions are documented and transparent.

D. Establish procedures to reconcile OPSC Online to the certified audit reports and/or clearly identify revisions to the certified amounts in the system to facilitate effective monitoring.

E. Coordinate with SCO, as necessary, to propose and implement revisions to the Audit Guide to promote clarity over SFP requirements and the procedures for the project expenditure audits based on weaknesses identified during the audit certification and project closeout processes.

F. Develop resources or tools that promote clarity regarding site acquisition expenditure eligibility requirements. Ensure the resources or tools are available to the LEAs, CPAs, and SCO.

Finding 3: OPSC Can Strengthen the Timeliness of Apportionment Adjustments Presented to the SAB

OPSC can strengthen its administration of the closeout processes to more timely present required apportionment adjustments to the SAB for approval. Significant delays from the time SCO provides the certified audit report to the time OPSC presents apportionment adjustments to the SAB were observed. Specifically, we noted a total of seven projects, detailed in Table 3, that experienced significant delays in reporting to the SAB:

Table 3: SAB Approval Delays

Project	SCO Certification Date	SAB Approval Date	Number of Days	Apportionment Type (Decrease or Increase)
E	June 27, 2024	December 3, 2024	159	Increase
F	July 18, 2024	December 3, 2024	138	Increase
G	August 27, 2021	February 22, 2023	544	Decrease
A*	December 27, 2021	September 27, 2023	639	Decrease
H	January 24, 2023	May 31, 2023	127	Decrease
I	July 9, 2024	December 3, 2024	147	Decrease
J	June 20, 2025	December 3, 2025	166	Decrease

*Note: Project A is also listed above in Table 2.
Source: Compilation of late apportionment adjustments presented to the SAB from SCO Workload Reports and SAB Agendas.

OPSC did not obtain SAB approval for the projects noted above until 127 days to 639 days from SCO's audit certification date. According to OPSC, supervisors and managers are responsible for managing the overall workload and assigning deadlines to personnel. However, there are no internal written procedures established for the timeframe for assigning, completing, and issuing the Audit Closeout Letters. The Audit Closeout Letters communicate to the LEAs the proposed adjustments, which must first be presented to and approved by the SAB in accordance with AB 99.

Additionally, the length of time OPSC spends reviewing the certified audit reports is a contributing factor for the delay, which, according to OPSC, may vary due to the complexity and the level of review performed. Further, OPSC stated the SCO Workload Reports currently only identify whether a certified audit contains audit findings, and lack the detail (i.e., apportionment adjustments, ineligible expenditures, etc.) to adequately triage and prioritize its review of certified audits.

OPSC is subject to and states that it follows the timeliness requirements under the Bagley-Keene Open Meeting Act (Meeting Act); the milestones in the Meeting Act—such as the 10-day statutory notice to the public published on the OPSC website and other deadlines for board item review and submission to supervisors and accounting staff—are noted in their SAB Board Calendar. However, these deadlines are only significant to the short time frame (less than 30-days) leading up to the monthly SAB meeting and do not address the necessary time for OPSC to perform its closeout process.

EDC section 41024, subdivision (c)(2)(B)(ii) states SCO shall notify OPSC of any findings where an LEA is subject to an apportionment increase or decrease.

EDC section 41024, subdivision (c)(3) states that upon receipt of the certified audit, OPSC shall present any grant adjustments to a specified project to the SAB.

Government Code section 13401, subdivision (b) requires each state entity to maintain effective systems of internal control. Further, Government Code section 13403, subdivision (a)(1) and (3) states an effective internal control system includes a control environment and control activities that provide the structure, policies, and procedures to help the state agency achieve its objectives.

As the entity responsible for administering and implementing the SFP, including the AB 99 requirements, OPSC has a fundamental responsibility to ensure all aspects of the program are efficiently completed in accordance with applicable statutes, regulations, and MOU agreements. This includes establishing policies and procedures to perform closeout processes timely, including the timely presentation of apportionment adjustments to the SAB for approval.

Without written policies and procedures to establish formal deadlines, there is an increased risk that the project closeout process may be significantly delayed, ultimately impacting the timely presentation of apportionment adjustments to the SAB and the prompt collection of funds owed to the state as required by the EDC. Timely SAB approvals and subsequent collections can strengthen the SFP by having those funds readily available to reallocate to other eligible projects or disburse to the LEAs as required.

Recommendations:

A. Establish project closeout deadlines for projects with apportionment adjustments to ensure OPSC staff prepare and present SAB agenda items in an efficient and timely manner.

B. Evaluate the extent of OPSC's administrative review of the certified audits during its closeout process to identify possible efficiencies in the process and avoid duplication of efforts. Consider clarifying responsibilities in the MOU and/or SFP regulations as deemed necessary.

C. Coordinate with SCO to enhance the SCO Workload Reports to include pertinent details to effectively triage and prioritize the review of certified audit reports with apportionment adjustments.

Finding 4: OPSC Does Not Always Initiate Collection Efforts with LEAs Within Required Timeframes

OPSC does not always initiate penalty payment collection efforts by sending AR invoices to LEAs as required. Specifically, for 1 of the 17 projects reviewed, OPSC did not issue an AR invoice to the respective LEA. For another 11 projects with penalty payments, OPSC sent AR invoices to the LEAs more than 30 days after receiving the certified audit report. Specifically, invoices were sent 4 days to 229 days past the required 30-day issuance requirement.

OPSC is not required to present penalty payments to the SAB prior to collection; therefore, OPSC can initiate collection efforts as soon as it receives the certified audit report from SCO.

OPSC stated that, at one point, SCO had a significant backlog of certified audit reports that were then submitted to OPSC, due to staffing shortages as described in Finding 1. OPSC made an effort to process the large number of audit reports submitted by SCO and to prioritize those projects with audit report findings for project closure; however, delays occurred due to the volume received. Additionally, OPSC audit staff conducted additional reviews of the submitted certified audit reports during its project closeout process, as described in Finding 2, which contributed to the delayed issuance of AR invoices. OPSC also noted that the SCO Workload Reports are missing pertinent details on audit findings, as discussed in Finding 3, extending the time it takes for OPSC to close out the projects and issue AR invoices. Further, OPSC does not have internal project closeout process deadlines established, also described in Finding 3, impeding the timeliness of the closeout process and its ability to forward audit findings to OPSC accounting staff for issuance of the AR invoices.

EDC section 41024, subdivision (d) (1) specifies that if, as the result of the audit, an LEA is required to pay funds pursuant to clause (iii) of subparagraph (B) of paragraph (2) of subdivision (c), OPSC shall recover the funds from the LEA.

SAM section 8291.1 states that AR invoices shall be sent to the debtor within 30 days after the event giving rise to the AR.

Delays in issuing AR invoices for amounts due from LEAs prevent the timely collection of the receivable. This may result in the postponement of funds awarded, or insufficient funds available for award to other eligible projects.

Recommendations:

A. Establish project closeout deadlines for projects with audit findings to ensure OPSC staff close out projects and issue AR invoices within the required timeframe.

B. Coordinate with SCO to enhance the SCO Workload Reports to include pertinent details to effectively triage and prioritize the review of certified audit reports with ineligible expenditures.

C. Strengthen communication with SCO to forecast when certified audit reports will be submitted to OPSC to effectively plan workload.

Project Savings

Any project funds, including any interest earned, not expended on eligible project expenditures, are project savings. Project savings can be reported by the LEA at any time during the project expenditure reporting process, as detailed in the Expenditure Reporting section above, but are required to be reported on at least annually per EDC section 41024, subdivision (a)(1). The LEA's actual project savings are determined during the SFP project expenditure audit. When OPSC receives a copy of the certified audit report, staff review the reports and identify and record the achieved project savings into OPSC Online. However, as detailed in Finding 6, OPSC does not have an established

process to communicate revisions identified during its closeout review process. As a result, the amounts communicated to the LEAs in the Audit Closeout Letter or entered into OPSC Online may not be supported by the certified audit report.

EDC section 41024, subdivision (b)(1)(B) states that savings achieved, including interest, pursuant to EDC section 17070.63, shall be retained and used by the LEA for other high-priority capital outlay purposes in accordance with the School Facilities Act, associated regulations, or accompanying grant agreement. Due to this retention, LEAs are required, pursuant to EDC section 41024, subdivision (a)(1), to annually report project expenditures of state funds, including savings, to OPSC until all state funds have been expended/exhausted. To report expended savings, i.e., use of savings, LEAs submit a Use of Savings Report along with the DLOPE. LEAs are required to annually report and submit these forms to OPSC even if there were no expenditures. Upon receiving submitted forms, OPSC audit staff reconcile the use of savings data between the forms and record the reported use of savings in OPSC Online within the project's file. Subsequently, OPSC will generate a letter and issue it to the LEA acknowledging the LEA's compliance with the reporting requirements. However, we identified instances where OPSC provided conflicting guidance to the LEAs regarding reporting requirements for the use of savings, as noted in Finding 5.

Recording the reported use of savings in OPSC Online is critical, because any use of savings greater than $0, reported by the LEA requires that those expenditures be subject to a savings audit, as detailed in Figure 3. When staff record the reported use of savings in OPSC Online that is greater than $0, the system is configured to flag the project as requiring a savings audit. OPSC shall communicate such projects to SCO via a workload report so that OPSC and SCO can further track the project audit. However, OPSC does not proactively track and monitor LEAs' use of savings or take action to ensure the LEAs comply with the reporting requirements, as described in Finding 5. Refer to the Project Audits section and Finding 1 for details regarding project audit tracking.

Finding 5: Project Savings Are Not Reported by LEAs as Required

LEAs do not consistently report annual project savings expenditures to OPSC as required by the EDC. We observed OPSC does not have an established process to determine which LEAs complied or did not comply with the annual savings reporting requirements. Additionally, OPSC does not notify LEAs of their noncompliance. Further, OPSC provided conflicting guidance to LEAs regarding the savings reporting requirements.

LEAs Do Not Consistently Comply with Annual Savings Reporting

LEAs did not submit the required annual Use of Savings Reports for 5 of the 26 projects reviewed. As a result, 18 Use of Savings Reports that should have been submitted to OPSC as of December 19, 2025, were not submitted, as detailed in Table 4.

Table 4: Annual Use of Savings Reports Not Submitted

Project	Date SCO Certified the Project Expenditure Audit Report	Annual Reports Required as of December 19, 2025	Annual Reports Submitted to OPSC
F*	July 18, 2024	1	0
K	December 23, 2021	3	0
L	December 22, 2020	4	0
M	December 24, 2020	4	0
N	November 21, 2019	6	0
	Total	**18**	**0**

*Note: Project F is also listed above in Table 3.
Source: Compilation of Use of Savings Reports not submitted from SCO Workload Reports.

OPSC does not have an established process to identify which LEAs have complied or not complied with the annual savings reporting requirements or notify non-compliant LEAs. According to OPSC, acknowledgment letters are issued only to the LEA to confirm compliance with reporting requirements once a Use of Savings Report and DLOPE is received.

OPSC Provided Conflicting Guidance on Savings Reporting

OPSC provided conflicting guidance to LEAs regarding the annual reporting of savings use. Specifically, EDC section 41024, subdivision (a)(1) requires annual reporting of expenditures of state funds until all state funds, including savings achieved, are expended. Similarly, EDC section 17076.10, as cited in the grant agreement, states that the LEA shall annually submit a summary report of expenditures of state funds until all state funds are expended. This is consistent with the use of savings reporting outlined in the Audit Guide, as noted in the text box. However, OPSC instructed LEAs to report any use of savings only after the OPSC closeout audit, i.e., from the date of OPSC's Audit Closeout Letter. This particular guidance from OPSC to the LEAs to report annually from the Audit Closeout Letter conflicts with the EDC's annual reporting requirement, as the closeout letter is only issued if the project expenditures have been audited by a CPA, certified by SCO, and when OPSC has completed the project closeout process. Therefore, if any of these steps are not completed or are completed late, the reporting time is further delayed if the LEA adheres to OPSC's current guidance.

Use of Savings Reporting

A project's total savings amount is determined upon completion of the closeout audit, meaning that when OPSC receives a certified audit report, SCO has certified the savings amount as correct. Therefore, by the certification date, savings have been officially declared.

Subsequent to the closeout audit, LEAs are required to report use of savings annually on the "Schedule of SFP – Use of Savings Summary" until all savings are exhausted. The LEA is required to report savings, including years when there was no use of savings to report.

Source: Audit Guide.

EDC section 41024, subdivision (a)(1) requires LEAs to annually report project expenditures of state funds, including savings, to OPSC until all state funds have been expended.

Government Code section 13401, subdivision (b) requires each state entity to maintain effective systems of internal control. Further, Government Code section 13403, subdivision (b)(3) states one of the required elements of a satisfactory system of internal control is a system of policies and procedures adequate to provide compliance with applicable laws, criteria, standards, and other requirements.

By not monitoring the annual submittal of the required Use of Savings Reports and providing guidance consistent with the EDC and Audit Guide, OPSC is not able to determine the amount of savings that an LEA is still holding or has used, increasing the risk of ineligible expenditures.

Recommendations:

A. Design and implement a process to reinforce LEAs' compliance with the annual use of savings reporting requirements, including resolving any conflicting guidance, and taking reasonable and appropriate action for non-compliant LEAs.

Finding 6: OPSC Can Strengthen its Monitoring of Project Savings

OPSC does not have an established process to proactively track or monitor the LEAs' use of project savings or consistently review, document, and communicate revisions it makes to project savings amount determinations in the certified audit reports.


OPSC Does Not Proactively Track and Monitor Savings

OPSC can strengthen its implementation and administration of the SFP by developing procedures to proactively track and monitor the LEAs' use of project savings. Currently, OPSC's recording of the use of savings is a reactive process and it does not adequately monitor the use of savings. Specifically, OPSC does not have a mechanism to efficiently, effectively, and adequately identify which projects have project savings or which projects have outstanding savings. OPSC stated that the only way to determine whether a project had savings was to access each project's data in OPSC Online. Similarly, any outstanding savings can only be determined in this manner. However, upon our request, OPSC created a custom report from OPSC Online data listing projects with savings and their outstanding savings amounts. This report also included the dates the Use of Savings Report and DLOPE were received by OPSC. Although OPSC was able to custom-produce such a report, the report is not used by OPSC.

According to the SCO Certified Audit Report – Savings and Savings Use report information provided by OPSC, $248.9 million in project savings remain unexpended for 247 projects, as summarized in Figure 10.[20] The lack of proactive monitoring of unexpended project savings may increase the risk that savings are not used for other high-priority capital outlay purposes.

[20] This report included all projects funded by Proposition 51 and General Fund between June 27, 2017 through July 31, 2025, that have been audited, certified, and contain project savings.

Figure 10: Outstanding Savings



Source: SCO Certified Audit Report - Savings and Savings Use Reports provided by OPSC.

This finding is an ongoing finding from prior audits issued by Finance in 2016 and 2019, both noting inadequate accountability and oversight of project savings.

Project Savings Revisions

OPSC can strengthen its project closeout process by developing a formalized process to consistently review, document, and communicate revisions to project savings determinations noted in the certified audit reports. During our testing of the closeout process, we observed that OPSC revised the project savings amount determinations in two certified audit reports. Specifically, for Projects C and D, OPSC revised the total project savings as determined by the certified audit reports by decreasing or increasing the savings achieved by the LEA, as summarized in Table 5.

Table 5: Revised Project Savings Amounts

Project	Project Savings	
	Audit Report	OPSC Revised
C	$ 516,298	$ 467,065
D	$ 951,124	$1,152,624

Source: Compilation of revision to project savings from certified audit reports and Audit Closeout Letters.

The revisions to project savings were not detailed in the Audit Closeout Letters issued to the LEAs, communicated to the CPAs or SCO, or documented in OPSC Online. As a result, the project savings amounts were not supported by and did not reconcile with the certified audit reports, which could negatively impact project savings monitoring, reporting, and subsequent audits.

OPSC is not statutorily required to, and does not have a formalized process to, consistently review, document, and communicate revisions to certified audit reports. OPSC stated it reviews the determinations in the certified audit reports for accuracy during its project closeout process and will revise the amounts if deemed necessary.

Government Code section 13401, subdivision (b) requires each state entity to maintain effective systems of internal control. Further, Government Code section 13403, subdivision (b)(3) states one of the required elements of a satisfactory system of internal control is a system of policies and procedures adequate to provide compliance with applicable laws, criteria, standards, and other requirements.

As noted in the Background section, the California Legislature provided $250 million, $1.3 billion, and $2 billion from the General Fund for 2021-22, 2022-23, and 2023-24,

respectively, to support the SFP when Proposition 51 funds became nearly exhausted. As the entity responsible for administering and implementing the SFP, including the AB 99 requirements, OPSC has a fundamental responsibility to ensure all aspects of the program are efficiently and effectively completed in accordance with applicable statutes, regulations, and MOU agreements and to achieve program objectives.

Not proactively tracking and monitoring the LEAs' project savings or communicating project savings revisions of certified audit report determinations to the relevant parties such as the LEAs, CPAs, and SCO, creates a lack of transparency and impedes the ability to identify and correct weaknesses in processes and procedures. Further, adjustments made to certified audit reports may inaccurately present the amount of project savings achieved in the Audit Closeout Letters and OPSC Online, impacting OPSC's ability to track and monitor project savings and the LEAs' ability to appropriately report on the subsequent use of savings required by the EDC. Additionally, the LEAs may retain savings for extended periods of time without the knowledge and oversight of OPSC, which increases the risk that savings may be used on ineligible projects. Moreover, the required project savings audit may be compromised if the CPA inadvertently uses the incorrect amounts.

Recommendations:

A. Design and implement a process to consistently track and monitor and efficiently and effectively identify projects with project savings and relevant use of savings data, including, but not limited to, developing reports from OPSC Online data to monitor project savings.

B. Communicate any revisions to project savings amount determinations to SCO and the respective CPAs, and include the details of revisions in the Audit Closeout Letters issued to the LEAs. Ensure project savings data recorded in OPSC Online reconciles with the savings determination in the certified audit report, or explain any variances.

Appeals and Payment Plans

Appeals

If an LEA disagrees with the audit findings of a certified audit report, LEAs have the right to submit an appeal to EAAP, pursuant to EDC section 41024, subdivision (c)(4). EAAP notifies OPSC of any SFP project audit appeals received. OPSC pauses AR collection efforts until a final determination or settlement is approved by EAAP. Further, OPSC takes steps to reverse, if necessary, the AR recorded in FI$Cal and OPSC Online if the determination, or settlement, is in favor of the LEA.

Payment Plans

LEAs may request from OPSC a payment plan to pay OPSC for audit findings of disallowed amounts determined in the certified audit report, as noted in the text box. If a request is received and OPSC's executive officer and the Director of Finance agree that the payment of the disallowed amount would constitute a severe financial hardship to the LEA, they may approve the payment plan. A payment plan can consist of equal annual payments up to 8 years and may also include interest.

Payment Plans

LEAs may request from OPSC a repayment plan within 90 days of receiving the final audit report, or within 30 days of withdrawing or receiving a final determination regarding an appeal.


Source: EDC section 41024 (d)(4).

OPSC did not receive a request to establish a payment plan during the audit period. However, OPSC is currently working on formalizing and implementing internal procedures to evaluate the payment plans, if a request is received.

Financial Hardship Regulation Updates

OPSC has or is in the process of updating financial hardship regulations as required under Proposition 2. OPSC's process to update regulations is outlined in Figure 11.

Figure 11: OPSC Regulation Update Process



Source: OPSC Personnel.

When revisions are required to the CCR, OPSC management assigns a lead staff member to review and compare current pertinent legislation with the previous version to determine the necessary changes. OPSC will then hold brainstorming sessions between the lead, management, and as necessary, subject matter experts to discuss the interpretation of the current legislation. The team drafts updates to the regulations to address the required changes. The drafted regulations are then routed to senior management for review and approval and subsequently presented to stakeholders during OPSC's stakeholder meetings for questions and feedback. If feedback is obtained, the OPSC team will make additional changes to the proposed regulations to incorporate the feedback gathered. After the initial feedback is received, the drafted regulations are re-presented to the stakeholders for any additional questions and feedback.

Proposed regulations are then presented by OPSC to the SAB for review and adoption. Once adopted, the regulations are submitted to OAL in accordance with the rulemaking process. After the rulemaking process is complete and OAL has approved the regulations, OAL will file the regulations with the Secretary of State and formally update the CCR. OPSC makes all SAB adopted regulations available on its public website.

Developmental Status

OPSC's developmental status of the regulations for financial hardship assistance as required under Proposition 2 is explained in Table 6.

Table 6: Developmental Status of Regulation Updates

Required Regulation Update	EDC Section Requiring Revision	Status	Actions Taken
EDC 17075.15 (d): The SAB shall adopt regulations that specify a method for determining the required levels of local effort to obtain matching funds. The regulations shall include:			
School districts are eligible for financial hardship when the total bonding capacity is fifteen million dollars ($15,000,000) or less.	17075.15 (d)(3)(A)		Revised Regulations Adopted (CCR title 2, section 1859.81 (c)(4)(A))
Commencing with 2026-27, the bonding capacity ($15,000,000) shall be adjusted for inflation each fiscal year.	17075.15 (d)(3)(B)		Revised Regulations Adopted (CCR 1859.81 (c)(4)(B))
EDC 17078.38: The SAB shall adopt regulations setting forth all of the following:			
The preliminary apportionment of design grants, project assistance grants, and project and construction management grants to applicants that qualify for financial hardship assistance.	17078.38 (b)		Regulation updates were in process as of the end of audit fieldwork, January 27, 2026.

 = Completed  = In Progress

Source: SAB and stakeholder meeting agenda and updated EDC.

Appendix A

Table of Methodologies

Objective 1: To determine whether OPSC's implementation and administration of the SFP comply with requirements under AB 99.	
Selected projects from the quantitatively significant Proposition 51 and General Fund funded programs to verify compliance with applicable criteria. Specifically, we selected projects from the New Construction of School Facilities and Modernization of School Facilities programs.	
Execution of Grant Agreements, Expenditure Reporting, and Project Audits	• Selected 63 projects, totaling $1,767,854,122 of $10,163,210,967 in Proposition 51 and General Fund funded projects based on quantitative significance. Specifically, we selected: o 33 completed projects that, as of July 31, 2025, required a project expenditure audit to be completed, and o 30 projects that were not expected to be completed or, as of July 31, 2025, not expected to have a project expenditure audit completed.[21] ***Execution of Grant Agreements*** • For the 63 selected projects, reviewed OPSC's Proposition 51 and General Fund Fund Release report (Fund Release report), SAB meeting agendas, Fund Release Authorization forms (Form SAB 50-05), email correspondence and, as applicable, grant agreements, and FI$Cal Accounts Payable Voucher reports, and compared to relevant criteria, to perform the following: o Verify the projects were approved by the SAB for funding, and, as applicable, determine if the project grant agreement's grant amount was comparable to the SAB-approved apportionment amount. o Verify the grant agreement was authorized by the LEA's representative and the OPSC's Executive Officer or designee. o Determine if the grant agreement was submitted prior to, or concurrently with, Form SAB 50-05. o Determine if the total funds released agrees with the grant agreement total. o Determine if the fund release date was subsequent to the date the grant agreement was fully executed, and the LEA's submission of Form SAB 50-05. ***Expenditure Reports*** • For the 63 selected projects, reviewed OPSC's Fund Release report, LEA Expenditure Reports, (Form SAB 50-06), OPSC expenditure report reminder and delinquent letters, and email correspondence, and observed expenditure and project completion data recorded in OPSC Online, and compared to relevant criteria, to perform the following:

[21] Pursuant to CCR, title 2, section 1859.90, a grant agreement is required for projects that are on the Unfunded List on or after June 5, 2017. Unfunded Lists are projects approved by the SAB for future funding. Further, pursuant to EDC section 41024, subdivision (a)(1) and CCR, title 2, section 1859.104, LEAs are required to report expenditures of state funds annually until the project is deemed complete. Projects that are not expected to be completed were selected, as they are still bound to those requirements.

Objective 1: To determine whether OPSC's implementation and administration of the SFP comply with requirements under AB 99.	
	○ Determine how many Form SAB 50-06 reports should have been submitted by the LEA and determined whether the LEA submitted the forms, as required. ○ Determine whether the LEA submitted a Final Expenditure Report. ○ As applicable, verify that OPSC recorded the expenditure and the percentage of project completion in OPSC Online. • For the 30 projects selected that were not expected to be completed or have a project expenditure audit completed, 18 projects were determined completed by reviewing final expenditure Forms SAB 50-06s (forms indicating 100-percent project completion), or by determining that 3 years or 4 years had elapsed since the project's final fund release date for elementary, or, middle or high school projects, respectively. These 18 projects were further tested as noted in the Project Audits bullets below. ***Project Audits*** • For the 51 completed projects (33 completed + 18 not expected to be completed but were determined completed), reviewed the Fund Release report, OPSC project expenditure audit reminder letters, Forms SAB 50-06, SCO Workload Reports, OPSC Workload Report, CPA's certified audit reports (closeout/expenditure and savings audits), SCO audit certification letters, SCO audit rejection letters, OPSC Audit Closeout Letters, and email correspondence, and, observed audit finding data, and as applicable, savings data recorded in OPSC Online, and compared to relevant criteria, to perform the following: ○ Determine whether OPSC communicated the completed project(s) to SCO. ○ Determine if a project expenditure audit reminder letter was sent to the LEA. ○ Determine whether the project expenditure audit was completed within one year of project completion. ○ Determine whether the project expenditure audit was certified within 120 days of the audit report completion. ○ Determine whether the project expenditure audit was closed out by OPSC staff. ○ Determine whether OPSC recorded audit findings, per the certified audit report, in OPSC Online. • Determined that 10 of 51 completed projects had a complete and certified audit report with audit findings. Specifically, eight project audit reports contained project apportionment adjustments, and two contained audit findings related to ineligible expenditures. Therefore, these projects were included in the Grant Project Apportionment Adjustments and Correction of Project Audit Findings and Penalty Payments testing sections below, respectively.

Objective 1: To determine whether OPSC's implementation and administration of the SFP comply with requirements under AB 99.	

Project Closeout: Grant Project Apportionment Adjustments and Correction of Project Audit Findings and Penalty Payments	• Determined 58 of 190 total projects that have a completed SCO-certified audit containing audit findings.
	  o For the 58 projects, we identified 2 projects requiring apportionment adjustments and 15 projects requiring penalty payments.
	• In addition to the identified projects, tested the eight completed projects with audit reports that contained findings related to project apportionment adjustments and the two projects that contained findings related to ineligible expenditures i.e., requiring a penalty payment.
	
	Grant Project Apportionment Adjustments
	• For the ten projects, we reviewed SAB agendas, certified audit reports, AR letters, cancelled checks, Detailed Listing of Project Expenditures, i.e., expenditure worksheet, site acquisition review sheet, applications for funding, Chicago Title Company Final Buyers Statement, transaction data in FI$Cal, and email correspondence, and observed transaction data in OPSC Online, and as applicable, compared to relevant criteria to perform the following:
	Apportionment Increases
	  o Determine if the SAB approved the apportionment increase.
	  o Determine if an accounts payable voucher was created in FI$Cal.
	  o Determine if the voucher was coded to the proper funding source.
	  o Determine if the voucher was recorded after the SAB approval date.
	Apportionment Decreases
	  o Determine if the SAB approved the apportionment decrease.
	  o Determine if ARs were established within 30 days in accordance with SAM.
	  o Determine if the apportionment decrease amount was the same as the apportionment decrease amount in the certified audit report.
	  o Determine if the AR entry was coded to the proper funding source.
	  o Determine if the funds were collected by reviewing the Report of Deposit (remote deposit slip) and the canceled check.
	
	Correction of Project Audit Findings and Penalty Payments
	• For the 17 projects, reviewed SCO Workload Reports, certified audit reports, AR letters, cancelled checks, FI$Cal accounting entries, and email correspondence, and as applicable, compared to relevant criteria to perform the following:
	  o Determine if the first AR letter was sent timely (within 30 days of audit notification from SCO).
	  o Determine if the penalty amount in the certified audit report was the same as the amount in the AR letter.
	  o Determine if the amount of the cancelled check was consistent with the penalty amount, and that the deposited amount was correct.
	  o Determine that the payment amount was recorded in FI$Cal and OPSC Online.

Objective 1: To determine whether OPSC's implementation and administration of the SFP comply with requirements under AB 99.	
	○ Determine if the correct funding code was used in FI$Cal. ○ Determine if the AR account was adjusted to reflect the payment was received.
Project Savings and Savings Audits	• Selected 26 projects with certified audit reports that reported savings earned based on quantitative significance, totaling $48,978,970 of $368,277,683 in reported savings. ***Project Savings*** • For the selected projects, reviewed SCO Certified Audit Reports – Savings and Savings Use report, SCO Workload Reports, Certified Audit Reports, SCO audit certification letters, Schedule of SFP-Use of Savings forms (Use of Savings Reports), OPSC Audit Closeout Letters, and email correspondence, and observed use of savings data in OPSC Online, and compared to relevant criteria to perform the following: ○ Determine how many Use of Savings Reports should have been submitted by the LEA and whether the LEA submitted the annual Use of Savings Reports, as required. ○ Determine whether reported use of savings were recorded in OPSC Online, as applicable. ○ Verify the initial savings identified in the Certified Audit Report agree to the expended savings amount, as applicable. • Performed analytical procedures for 346 projects reporting project savings from OPSC's SCO Certified Audit Reports – Savings and Savings Use report to identify: ○ The total number of projects that reported project savings. ○ The total number of projects with outstanding (unused) savings, and the total dollar amount of outstanding savings. ○ The total number of projects that reported use of savings, and the total dollar amount of savings used. ○ The total number of projects that did not report any use of savings. ***Savings Audits*** Determined that 7 of the 26 projects selected above had reported use of savings by reviewing the Use of Savings Reports. • For the seven projects, reviewed the Fund Release report, OPSC project expenditure audit reminder letters, Forms SAB 50-06, SCO Workload Reports, CPA's certified audit reports (closeout/expenditure and savings audits), SCO audit certification letters, SCO audit rejection letters, OPSC Audit Closeout Letters, and email correspondence, and, observed audit finding data in OPSC Online, and compared to relevant criteria to perform the following: ○ Determine whether OPSC communicated the completed project(s), including timing for the completion of a Project Savings Audit, to SCO. ○ Determine whether the savings audit was completed within one year of project completion. ○ Determine whether the project savings audit was certified within 120 days of project savings audit completion. ○ Determine whether the project savings audit was closed out by OPSC staff.

Objective 1: To determine whether OPSC's implementation and administration of the SFP comply with requirements under AB 99.	
Appeals	• Determined three of the four SFP project audits that had been appealed are within our audit scope (construction or modernization projects). • For the three projects, reviewed the EAAP Listing of Appeals Report, SCO Workload Reports, OPSC's internal AR report and AR collection letters, settlement agreements, email correspondence, and observed transaction data in FI$Cal and in OPSC Online, and compared to relevant criteria to perform the following: o Determine if the project was funded under Proposition 51 or the General Fund. o Compare the date the LEA submitted the appeal to the date that the AR collection process was stopped by OPSC. o Determine if a request to issue and file an objective interpretation of any applicable law, regulation, or grant agreement term was received by OPSC. o Determine whether the appeal was overturned or affirmed. o Determine if the AR collection was reversed or resumed, depending on the outcome of the appeal.
Payment Plans	• Determined whether OPSC received any requests for payment plans through inquiry of OPSC staff. • Determined whether OPSC established a process for reviewing and approving payment plans received by the LEAs by interviewing OPSC personnel and reviewing email correspondence.
Objective 2: To determine the developmental status of the regulations for Financial Hardship Assistance as required under Proposition 2.	
Financial Hardship Assistance Program Regulation Updates	• Identified the sections of Proposition 2 requiring updates to the Financial Hardship Assistance Program's regulations. • Reviewed Proposition 2, applicable EDC sections, applicable CCR sections, SAB meeting minutes, SAB Notice of Proposed Regulatory Action, OAL's Notice of Approval of Emergency Regulatory Action, OAL Notice Publication/Regulation Submission (STD 400), and OAL Notice of Approval of Certificate of Compliance related to the Financial Hardship Assistance Program regulation updates. • Determined the current status of the required Financial Hardship Assistance Program regulation updates as required under Proposition 2.
Small School District Program Regulation Updates Related to Financial Hardship Assistance	• Identified the sections of Proposition 2 Small School District Program regulation updates affecting Financial Hardship Program. • Reviewed Proposition 2, applicable EDC sections, applicable CCR, OPSC Stakeholder meeting items, and Email Correspondence with OPSC regarding the Small School District Program regulation updates applicable to Financial Hardship Program. • Determined the current status of the required Financial Hardship Program regulation updates as required under Proposition 2.

Appendix B

Acronyms and Definitions

Acronym	Definition
AB	Assembly Bill
AR	Accounts Receivable
CCR	California Code of Regulations
CPA	Certified Public Accountant
DGS	Department of General Services
DLOPE	Detailed Listing of Project Expenditures
EAAP	Education Audit Appeals Panel
EDC	California Education Code
FI$Cal	Financial Information System for California
K-12	Kindergarten through 12th Grade
LEA	Local Educational Agency
MOU	Memorandum of Understanding
OAL	Office of Administrative Law
OPSC	Office of Public School Construction
SAB	State Allocation Board
SAM	State Administrative Manual
SCO	State Controller's Office
SFP	School Facility Program

Response

ATTACHMENT B

MEMORANDUM

Date: June 16, 2026

To: Cheryl L. McCormick, CPA, Chief
Office of State Audits and Evaluations
Department of Finance
915 L Street, 6th Floor
Sacramento, CA 95814

From: Department of General Services
Office of Public School Construction

Subject: **RESPONSE TO AUDIT OF THE CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF GENERAL SERVICES, OFFICE OF PUBLIC SCHOOL CONSTRUCTION, SCHOOL FACILITY PROGRAM (REPORT NO. 25-7760-051)**

Thank you for the opportunity to respond to the Office of State Audits and Evaluations' (OSAE) audit of the Department of General Services, Office of Public School Construction (OPSC), School Facility Program. The following response addresses each of the audit recommendations.

OVERVIEW OF THE REPORT

OPSC appreciates OSAE's in-depth and professional audit of its accountability processes and controls established for state bond funding provided to local educational agencies (LEAs) for K-12 school construction and modernization. As noted in the report, the State Allocation Board (SAB) and OPSC are jointly responsible for establishing and implementing effective program accountability and oversight, including ensuring corrective actions to address audit findings are timely developed and implemented. As part of its continuing efforts to improve operations, OPSC will take appropriate actions to address the areas for improvement presented in the report. As staff to the SAB, OPSC is committed to efficiently and effectively implementing and administering the School Facility Program (SFP), including both bond funds and General Fund appropriations to the program. Since the inception of the SFP in 1998, the SAB has apportioned and/or provided unfunded approvals of over $46 billion to nearly 15,000 projects.

In summary, OSAE acknowledges OPSC has implemented bond oversight and accountability measures to comply with certain aspects of Assembly Bill (AB) 99 (Chapter 15, Statutes of 2017), including the implementation and use of grant agreements prior to fund release. However, OSAE concluded that additional actions should be taken to strengthen the operating effectiveness and efficiency of the SFP. Based on the results of its fieldwork, OSAE developed the following recommendations to further improve OPSC's bond oversight process.

As noted in the audit report, AB 99 established additional responsibilities for OPSC in implementing and administering the SFP. Prior to enactment of AB 99, OPSC audit staff audited a portion of the SFP apportionments based on a risk assessment model. Following enactment of AB 99, OPSC's existing audit staff were redirected to oversee new responsibilities applicable to all SFP apportionments made on or after July 1, 2017. As no additional resources were provided as a result of these additional responsibilities, OPSC has noted in the following

responses that implementation of several of the audit recommendations may necessitate consideration of a Budget Change Proposal (BCP) for additional resources.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Finding 1: Project Audits Are Not Always Completed and Certified as Required

RECOMMENDATION 1A: ***Strengthen monitoring processes over project audit report completion and certification to ensure the statutorily required timelines are met. Consider enhancing the MOU responsibilities for clarity regarding monitoring LEA/CPA audit completion timeliness and/or work with stakeholders to update the SFP regulations to formalize monitoring policies and processes.***

RECOMMENDATION 1B: ***Develop and implement a standardized process pursuant to the MOU, to issue project expenditure audit reminder letters and SCO notification letters on a consistent basis to reinforce LEAs' compliance with audit completion and submission. Consider implementing an automated process utilizing the OPSC Online application to assist with tracking project completion and generating reminder notices for LEAs to reduce the manual processing burden on staff.***

RECOMMENDATION 1C: ***Design and implement policies and processes for LEAs/CPAs to resubmit rejected audits to SCO within an established timeframe. Work with stakeholders to update the SFP regulations and/or the Audit Guide to formalize the policies and processes as necessary.***

RESPONSE TO FINDING 1:

As noted during the entrance conference and over the course of the audit, LEAs are responsible for meeting both annual and final expenditure audit reporting requirements, and the State Controller's Office (SCO) is responsible for certification of audits pursuant to Education Code (EC) Section 41024. OPSC staff meet regularly with SCO audit staff to monitor the status of audit submittal and certification; however, OPSC has no authority to ensure SCO meets audit certification deadlines.

RECOMMENDATION 1A: ***Strengthen monitoring processes over project audit report completion and certification to ensure the statutorily required timelines are met. Consider enhancing the MOU responsibilities for clarity regarding monitoring LEA/CPA audit completion timeliness and/or work with stakeholders to update the SFP regulations to formalize monitoring policies and processes.***

RESPONSE 1A:

OPSC will collaborate with SCO to explore potential policies and the most effective means to strengthen monitoring processes over LEA/Certified Public Accountant (CPA) audit completion timeliness, including potential enhancements to the MOU to clarify responsibilities regarding monitoring LEA/CPA audit completion timeliness and timely report certification by SCO. If necessary, OPSC will consider pursuing a BCP for additional resources to increase monitoring and consistent notification to LEAs of statutorily required timelines.

RECOMMENDATION 1B: ***Develop and implement a standardized process pursuant to the MOU, to issue project expenditure audit reminder letters and SCO notification letters on a consistent basis to reinforce LEAs' compliance with audit completion and submission. Consider implementing an automated process utilizing the OPSC Online application to assist with tracking project completion and generating reminder notices for LEAs to reduce the manual processing burden on staff.***

RESPONSE 1B:

As noted over the course of the audit, the issuance of project expenditure audit reminders is provided as a courtesy to applicants and dependent on OPSC staff capacity. OPSC will explore whether it is technically feasible and whether the Department of General Services (DGS) Enterprise Technology Solutions has the workload capacity to implement automation of project expenditure audit reminders in OPSC Online. If necessary, OPSC will consider pursuing a BCP to request funding to contract with a third-party to implement automation of project expenditure audit reminder letters and/or to request additional staffing resources to address this workload on a more consistent basis.

Pursuant to the MOU, SCO is responsible for notifying LEAs of past-due audit reports. OPSC had previously assisted in sending out notification letters on SCO's behalf. In continuing to provide assistance to SCO and in response to this OSAE recommendation, OPSC will send additional one-time notifications to LEAs with past-due audit reports as of the most recent SCO Audit Workload Report. As part of ongoing collaboration with SCO, OPSC will encourage SCO to consider future development of standardized or automated processes to notify LEAs of past-due audit reports.

RECOMMENDATION 1C: ***Design and implement policies and processes for LEAs/CPAs to resubmit rejected audits to SCO within an established timeframe. Work with stakeholders to update the SFP regulations and/or the Audit Guide to formalize the policies and processes as necessary.***

RESPONSE 1C:

As noted during the entrance conference and over the course of the audit, SCO is responsible for certification of audits pursuant to EC Section 41024. OPSC staff meet regularly with SCO audit staff to monitor the status of audit certification and/or audit rejection; however, OPSC does not have authority to establish SCO policies and processes for resubmission of rejected audits. OPSC will work with SCO to encourage development of SCO policies and processes for

resubmission of rejected audits and to determine if formalizing such policies and processes in the SFP regulations and/or the Audit Guide is feasible.

Finding 2: Apportionment Adjustments Presented to the SAB and in Audit Closeout Letters Are Not Always Consistent with the Certified Audit Reports as Required

RECOMMENDATION 2A:	***Establish a formalized process to consistently review, document, and communicate revisions to certified audit reports to relevant parties including the SAB, LEAs, CPAs, and SCO. Consider enhancing the MOU responsibilities and/or coordinate with stakeholders to update the SFP regulations, as deemed necessary, focusing on enhancing policies and procedures and avoiding duplication of efforts.***
RECOMMENDATION 2B:	***Ensure apportionment adjustments presented to the SAB for approval are supported by a certified audit report as required and any revisions are documented and communicated to facilitate transparency.***
RECOMMENDATION 2C:	***Ensure Audit Closeout Letters reconcile to the certified audit reports and any revisions are documented and transparent.***
RECOMMENDATION 2D:	***Establish procedures to reconcile OPSC Online to the certified audit reports and/or clearly identify revisions to the certified amounts in the system to facilitate effective monitoring.***
RECOMMENDATION 2E:	***Coordinate with SCO, as necessary, to propose and implement revisions to the Audit Guide to promote clarity over SFP requirements and the procedures for the project expenditure audits based on weaknesses identified during the audit certification and project closeout processes.***
RECOMMENDATION 2F:	***Develop resources or tools that promote clarity regarding site acquisition expenditure eligibility requirements. Ensure the resources or tools are available to the LEAs, CPAs, and SCO.***

RESPONSE TO FINDING 2:

OPSC has a fiduciary responsibility during the closeout process to ensure the project financing amounts in the audit report match the SAB-approved project award and that the recommended apportionment adjustments in the audit report are appropriate and allowable per SFP Regulation Section 1859.106. When the apportionment adjustments presented in the audit report are not consistent with the project financing approved by the SAB, then OPSC must make the required revisions, so the funding is accounted for properly.

RECOMMENDATION 2A: ***Establish a formalized process to consistently review, document, and communicate revisions to certified audit reports to relevant parties including the SAB, LEAs, CPAs, and SCO. Consider enhancing the MOU responsibilities and/or coordinate with stakeholders to update the SFP regulations, as deemed necessary, focusing on enhancing policies and procedures and avoiding duplication of efforts.***

RESPONSE 2A:

OPSC internal procedures include a formal process to consistently review and document revisions to certified audit reports. OPSC will update internal procedures to include explanation and detail in the closeout letter to communicate to the LEAs, SCO and the CPAs required revisions to the certified audit report. There are currently audit procedures in the Audit Guide detailing how to audit site acquisition related grants that may result in apportionment adjustments, which represent the majority of apportionment adjustments presented to the SAB (see the related response to Recommendation 2F). OPSC will work with SCO to provide additional guidance by including examples in the Audit Guide clarifying the audit procedures for the site acquisition related grants. OPSC will also review the current MOU with SCO to determine if any revisions are necessary to avoid any duplication of efforts. Finally, OPSC notes that it will incorporate additional information regarding any revisions to certified audit reports in apportionment adjustment items presented to the SAB, but if revisions are only necessary for the reported savings and/or overspent amount, these adjustments are not presented to the SAB.

RECOMMENDATION 2B: ***Ensure apportionment adjustments presented to the SAB for approval are supported by a certified audit report as required and any revisions are documented and communicated to facilitate transparency.***

RESPONSE 2B:

OPSC will update internal procedures to include details in the audit closeout letter regarding any revisions to apportionment adjustment amounts in the certified audit report. The explanation of any variances in the audit closeout letter will serve as notification upon distribution to the LEA, SCO and the respective CPA of required revisions to the adjustment amount in the certified audit report. To facilitate transparency, OPSC will include in the SAB agenda item, details of revisions to the apportionment adjustment in the certified audit report, which will serve as documentation and communication to the SAB.

RECOMMENDATION 2C: ***Ensure Audit Closeout Letters reconcile to the certified audit reports and any revisions are documented and transparent.***

RESPONSE 2C:

OPSC internal procedures include a formal process to consistently review and document revisions to certified audit reports. OPSC will update internal procedures to include explanation and detail in the closeout letter to communicate to the LEAs, SCO and the CPAs required revisions to the certified audit report.

RECOMMENDATION 2D: ***Establish procedures to reconcile OPSC Online to the certified audit reports and/or clearly identify revisions to the certified amounts in the system to facilitate effective monitoring.***

RESPONSE 2D:

OPSC Online records reflect final project financing approved by the SAB. If revisions are made to the apportionment adjustment in the certified audit report, OPSC will ensure the revisions are communicated to the LEA, SCO and the respective CPA in the audit closeout letter. OPSC will ensure upon SAB approval of the revised apportionment adjustment amount, OPSC Online reconciles to the approved SAB agenda item and to the audit closeout letter.

RECOMMENDATION 2E: ***Coordinate with SCO, as necessary, to propose and implement revisions to the Audit Guide to promote clarity over SFP requirements and the procedures for the project expenditure audits based on weaknesses identified during the audit certification and project closeout processes.***

RESPONSE 2E:

OPSC works with SCO on an annual basis to identify and propose any necessary revisions to the following year's Audit Guide. OPSC will work with SCO to add clarifying instructions to audit procedures and include additional examples in the next feasible update to the Audit Guide to promote clarity of SFP requirements and assist CPAs to complete accurate audit reports.

RECOMMENDATION 2F: ***Develop resources or tools that promote clarity regarding site acquisition expenditure eligibility requirements. Ensure the resources or tools are available to the LEAs, CPAs, and SCO.***

RESPONSE 2F:

OPSC currently has several resources intended to promote clarity regarding site acquisition expenditure eligibility requirements for LEAs, CPAs, and SCO. For instance, OPSC has a dedicated page on the OPSC website for K-12 Audit Resources that includes audit information for reference by the public, including LEAs, CPAs and SCO. Presentation materials and recordings of K-12 Audit Workshops provide training on auditing site acquisition related grants with examples for each site acquisition related grant category. Instructions in the Audit Guide and in the required audit schedules provide explanation of the site acquisition related grant categories and eligible adjustments. As an additional resource, OPSC presents annually to the California Society of CPAs (CALCPA) organization to inform and assist CPAs with the closeout audit process.

OPSC will work with SCO to add clarifying instructions to audit procedures and include additional examples to promote clarity regarding site acquisition related expenditure eligibility requirements in the Audit Guide. OPSC will also review the instructions for the required audit schedules to determine if additional instruction or examples are necessary to promote clarity.

Finding 3: OPSC Can Strengthen the Timeliness of Apportionment Adjustments Presented to the SAB

RECOMMENDATION 3A: ***Establish project closeout deadlines for projects with apportionment adjustments to ensure OPSC staff prepare and present SAB agenda items in an efficient and timely manner.***

RECOMMENDATION 3B: ***Evaluate the extent of OPSC's administrative review of the certified audits during its closeout process to identify possible efficiencies in the process and avoid duplication of efforts. Consider clarifying responsibilities in the MOU and/or SFP regulations as deemed necessary.***

RECOMMENDATION 3C: ***Coordinate with SCO to enhance the SCO Workload Reports to include pertinent details to effectively triage and prioritize the review of certified audit reports with apportionment adjustments.***

RESPONSE TO FINDING 3:

OPSC acknowledges and complies with the requirement in Education Code for OPSC to present apportionment adjustments to the SAB, and notes that there is not a specific required timeframe for presentation of apportionment adjustments to the SAB. OPSC has a fiduciary responsibility during the closeout process to ensure the project financing amounts in the audit report match the SAB-approved project award and that the recommended apportionment adjustments in the audit report are appropriate and allowable per SFP Regulation Section 1859.106 before presentation to the SAB. As such, OPSC has a multi-level review and approval process prior to notification of the LEA in the audit closeout letter and the presentation of any adjustments to the SAB. Factors such as competing workload priorities, varying complexity of required review, and when a certified audit report is received relative to the schedule of approximately ten annual SAB meetings can impact the amount of time between the date a certified audit report is received and an apportionment adjustment is presented to the SAB. OPSC will work to improve internal processes and triage certified audit review to increase efficiency and timeliness of preparing apportionment adjustments to the SAB.

RECOMMENDATION 3A: ***Establish project closeout deadlines for projects with apportionment adjustments to ensure OPSC staff prepare and present SAB agenda items in an efficient and timely manner.***

RESPONSE 3A:

OPSC will update current internal procedures to include timelines to complete project closeout reviews and include processing due dates for projects with apportionment adjustments to ensure preparation of the SAB agenda item to the next feasible meeting date.

RECOMMENDATION 3B: ***Evaluate the extent of OPSC's administrative review of the certified audits during its closeout process to identify possible efficiencies in the process and avoid duplication of efforts. Consider clarifying responsibilities in the MOU and/or SFP regulations as deemed necessary.***

RESPONSE 3B:

OPSC has a fiduciary responsibility during the closeout process to ensure the project financing amounts in the audit report match the SAB approved project award and that the recommended apportionment adjustments in the audit report are appropriate and allowable per SFP Regulation Section 1859.106 before presentation to the SAB. SCO reviews the audit report for conformity to audit standards contained in the EAAP K-12 Audit Guide. These are distinct review functions; therefore, there is no duplication of effort.

OPSC will work with SCO to update the MOU to clarify responsibilities regarding the certification review of audit reports and administrative review of certified audit reports.

RECOMMENDATION 3C: ***Coordinate with SCO to enhance the SCO Workload Reports to include pertinent details to effectively triage and prioritize the review of certified audit reports with apportionment adjustments.***

RESPONSE 3C:

OPSC will work with SCO to identify possible enhancements SCO can implement to communicate additional pertinent details in the SCO Workload Status Report provided to OPSC monthly. In addition, OPSC will update internal procedures to improve triage and prioritization of certified audit reports when received from SCO to identify certified audit reports with apportionment adjustments.

Finding 4: OPSC Does Not Always Initiate Collection Efforts with LEAs Within Required Timeframes

RECOMMENDATION 4A: ***Establish project closeout deadlines for projects with audit findings to ensure OPSC staff close out projects and issue AR invoices within the required timeframe.***

RECOMMENDATION 4B: ***Coordinate with SCO to enhance the SCO Workload Reports to include pertinent details to effectively triage and prioritize the review of certified audit reports with ineligible expenditures.***

RECOMMENDATION 4C: ***Strengthen communication with SCO to forecast when certified audit reports will be submitted to OPSC to effectively plan workload.***

RESPONSE TO FINDING 4:

OPSC continues to make improvements to processes and systems to increase efficiency and timeliness of administrative review of certified audit reports with identified findings of penalty payments, ensure timely notification to LEAs of Accounts Receivable (AR), and collect payment within required timeframes. OPSC is working to improve internal practices to triage and prioritize assignment of administrative review for projects with audit findings.

RECOMMENDATION 4A: ***Establish project closeout deadlines for projects with audit findings to ensure OPSC staff close out projects and issue AR invoices within the required timeframe.***

RESPONSE 4A:

OPSC will establish internal procedures to more timely identify certified audit reports with findings requiring collections to facilitate triage and prioritization of certified audit reports received from SCO. Internal procedures will include timelines to complete administrative closeout reviews and include processing due dates to issue AR invoices timely.

RECOMMENDATION 4B: ***Coordinate with SCO to enhance the SCO Workload Reports to include pertinent details to effectively triage and prioritize the review of certified audit reports with ineligible expenditures.***

RESPONSE 4B:

OPSC will work with SCO to identify possible enhancements SCO can implement to communicate additional pertinent details in the SCO Workload Status Report provided to OPSC monthly.

RECOMMENDATION 4C: ***Strengthen communication with SCO to forecast when certified audit reports will be submitted to OPSC to effectively plan workload.***

RESPONSE 4C:

OPSC staff meet regularly with SCO audit staff to monitor the receipt of project audit reports and status of audit certifications. On a monthly basis, SCO provides OPSC the SCO Workload Status Report. Additionally, SCO recently made improvements to provide OPSC certified audit reports on a flow basis, allowing OPSC to triage and prioritize certified audit reports requiring action. OPSC will consider whether more frequent communication with SCO is necessary to forecast when certified audit reports will be submitted to OPSC.

Finding 5: Project Savings Are Not Reported by LEAs as Required

RECOMMENDATION 5: ***Design and implement a process to reinforce LEAs' compliance with the annual use of savings reporting requirements, including resolving any conflicting guidance, and taking reasonable and appropriate action for non-compliant LEAs.***

RESPONSE TO FINDING 5:

OPSC has implemented updates to the audit closeout letter for projects with savings to resolve the issue of potentially conflicting guidance. The current audit closeout letter formally notifies the LEA of the date the first savings report is due and informs the LEA that the use of savings report is due annually on that date until the use of all the savings plus interest has been reported to the state.

OPSC will explore whether it is technically feasible and whether DGS Enterprise Technology Solutions has the workload capacity to implement automation of savings reporting reminders in OPSC Online. If necessary, OPSC will consider pursuing a BCP to request funding to contract with a third-party to implement automation of savings reporting reminder letters and/or to request additional staffing resources to address this workload on a more consistent basis.

Finding 6: OPSC Can Strengthen its Monitoring of Project Savings

RECOMMENDATION 6A: ***Design and implement a process to consistently track and monitor and efficiently and effectively identify projects with project savings and relevant use of savings data, including, but not limited to, developing reports from OPSC Online data to monitor project savings.***

RECOMMENDATION 6B: ***Communicate any revisions to project savings amount determinations to SCO and the respective CPAs, and include the details of revisions in the Audit Closeout Letters issued to the LEAs. Ensure project savings data recorded in OPSC Online reconciles with the savings determination in the certified audit report, or explain any variances.***

RESPONSE TO FINDING 6:

OPSC Online currently identifies projects with project savings based on data entered by OPSC staff from the Schedule of SFP – Determination or Project Savings/Overspent submitted by LEAs with the final expenditure report, certified audit reports, and use of savings reports submitted by LEAs. To note, statute and SFP Regulations do not require project savings to be expended in any specified timeframe.

OPSC will explore whether it is technically feasible and whether DGS Enterprise Technology Solutions has the workload capacity to design and implement monitoring of project savings in OPSC Online. If necessary, OPSC will consider pursuing a BCP to request funding to contract with a third-party to implement automated monitoring of project savings in OPSC Online and/or to request additional staffing resources to address this workload on a more consistent basis.

RECOMMENDATION 6A: ***Design and implement a process to consistently track and monitor and efficiently and effectively identify projects with project savings and relevant use of savings data, including, but not limited to, developing reports from OPSC Online data to monitor project savings.***

RESPONSE 6A:

Consistent monitoring of project savings is limited due to lack of OPSC staffing resources. OPSC will explore whether it is technically feasible and whether DGS Enterprise Technology Solutions has the workload capacity to design and implement tracking and monitoring of project savings in OPSC Online, including development of reports. If necessary, OPSC will consider pursuing a BCP to request funding to contract with a third-party to implement automated

monitoring of project savings in OPSC Online and/or to request additional staffing resources to address this workload on a more consistent basis.

RECOMMENDATION 6B: ***Communicate any revisions to project savings amount determinations to SCO and the respective CPAs, and include the details of revisions in the Audit Closeout Letters issued to the LEAs. Ensure project savings data recorded in OPSC Online reconciles with the savings determination in the certified audit report, or explain any variances.***

RESPONSE 6B:

OPSC will update internal procedures to include details in the audit closeout letter of any revisions to project savings amount determinations in the certified audit report. The explanation of any variances in the audit closeout letter will serve as notification upon distribution to the LEA, SCO and the respective CPA of required revisions to the project savings amount in the certified audit report.

OPSC Online records reflect final project savings determination at closeout. If revisions are made to the project savings amount determination in the certified audit report, after communicating to the LEA, SCO and the respective CPA in the audit closeout letter, OPSC will ensure project savings data recorded in OPSC Online reconciles with the final savings amount in the audit closeout letter.

CONCLUSION

OPSC is firmly committed to ensuring that SFP oversight is effective and occurs in accordance with applicable laws and regulations. As part of its continuing efforts to improve operations, OPSC will take appropriate actions to address the issues presented in the report.

If you need further information or assistance on this report, please contact me at (279) 239-6161.

Rebecca Kirk

REBECCA KIRK, Executive Officer
Office of Public School Construction

cc: Ana M. Lasso, Director, DGS
Elizabeth Williamson, Chief Deputy Director, DGS
Joe Stephenshaw, Chair, SAB

TENTATIVE WORKLOAD

September 2026

ACTION ITEMS

REPORTS, DISCUSSION ITEMS and INFORMATION ITEMS
Standard Information Items

FINANCIAL REPORTS
Status of Fund Releases
Status of Funds

October 2026

ACTION ITEMS

REPORTS, DISCUSSION ITEMS and INFORMATION ITEMS
Standard Information Items

FINANCIAL REPORTS
Status of Fund Releases
Status of Funds

December 2026

ACTION ITEMS

REPORTS, DISCUSSION ITEMS and INFORMATION ITEMS
Standard Information Items

FINANCIAL REPORTS
Status of Fund Releases
Status of Funds

APPEALS

Received as of June 30, 2026

Appeal Received Date	District/County	Tentative SAB Date*	Description
4/7/2026	San Benito High SD/ San Benito	9/23/2026	District requests to use new construction eligibility based on an alternate enrollment year for their School Facility Program New Construction funding application.
5/12/2026**	Fresno Unified SD/ Fresno	9/23/2026	District requests the reinstatement of their School Facility Program New Construction funding application and receipt of funding based on a different Super High School Attendance Area than the one the application was based on at the time of application submittal.
6/29/2026	Mountain Empire Unified SD/ San Diego	10/28/2026	District requests a twelve-month extension to submit an Approved Application for a Facility Hardship Replacement Design application at Mountain Empire High School.

*Please note: Tentative SAB Date is not a guaranteed meeting date and may be subject to change.

**Re-dated due to submittal of revised appeal documentation.

STATE ALLOCATION BOARD MEETING DATES

The State Allocation Board (SAB) meeting dates for the 2026 calendar year are as follows:

Board Date*	Type of Meeting
September 23, 2026	Monthly (Consent/Appeals/Action)
October 28, 2026	Monthly (Consent/Appeals/Action)
December 2, 2026	Monthly (Consent/Appeals/Action)

*The projected board dates and time will be determined upon discussion with the Chair based on workload.

The SAB meets at 4:00 p.m. when the State Legislature is in session and at 3:00 p.m. when the State Legislature is out on recess.

Due to scheduling changes within the Legislature, some of the SAB meetings may be canceled or changed with short notice.

INFORMATION ITEM

SCHOOL FACILITY PROGRAM
NEW CONSTRUCTION AND MODERNIZATION UNFUNDED LIST
(as of June 24, 2026)

The New Construction and Modernization projects on this list have received an “unfunded” approval by the State Allocation Board (SAB). Note than an “unfunded” approval does not guarantee a future apportionment by the SAB.

Published monthly in the SAB Agenda.

This report is also located on the OPSC Website.

Unfunded Approvals
as of June 24, 2026 SAB

County	School Distict	Application Number	Program	Approval	Received Date	SAB Unfunded Approval	Financial Hardship Apportionment	Loan	State Share	Total Apportionment	Cumulative Amount	Submitted Certification Letter May 2026	Agreement Received as of 6/30/2026
STANISLAUS	SHILOH ELEMENTARY	58/71274-00-002	Facility Hardship - Rehabilitation	G	3/6/2025	6/25/2025	0.00	0.00	532,780.92	532,780.92	532,780.92	Yes	No
ORANGE	CAPISTRANO UNIFIED	51/66464-00-001	Seismic Mitigation - Replacement	G	8/25/2025	2/25/2026	0.00	0.00	5,394,678.00	5,394,678.00	5,927,458.92	Yes	Yes
SAN DIEGO	MOUNTAIN EMPIRE UNIFIED	51/68213-00-002	Facility Hardship - Replacement	D	9/10/2025	2/25/2026	148,641.51	0.00	380,449.60	529,091.11	6,456,550.03	Yes	Yes
SAN DIEGO	MOUNTAIN EMPIRE UNIFIED	51/68213-00-003	Facility Hardship - Replacement	D	9/10/2025	2/25/2026	22,556.91	0.00	45,144.00	67,700.91	6,524,250.94	Yes	Yes
SAN DIEGO	MOUNTAIN EMPIRE UNIFIED	51/68213-00-004	Facility Hardship - Replacement	D	9/10/2025	2/25/2026	300,572.50	0.00	323,159.60	623,732.10	7,147,983.04	Yes	Yes
SAN DIEGO	MOUNTAIN EMPIRE UNIFIED	51/68213-00-005	Facility Hardship - Replacement	D	9/10/2025	2/25/2026	103,800.10	0.00	126,387.20	230,187.30	7,378,170.34	No	No
SAN DIEGO	MOUNTAIN EMPIRE UNIFIED	51/68213-00-006	Facility Hardship - Replacement	D	9/10/2025	2/25/2026	365,152.10	0.00	387,739.20	752,891.30	8,131,061.64	Yes	Yes
LOS ANGELES	MANHATTAN BEACH UNIFIED	58/75333-00-001	Seismic Mitigation - Rehabilitation	G	9/17/2025	5/20/2026	0.00	0.00	1,614,316.00	1,614,316.00	9,745,377.64	Yes	No
RIVERSIDE	RIVERSIDE UNIFIED	58/67215-00-002	Seismic Mitigation - Rehabilitation	G	10/8/2025	5/20/2026	0.00	0.00	512,745.45	512,745.45	10,258,123.09	Yes	No
SAN DIEGO	SAN DIEGO UNIFIED	54/68338-02-004	Charter	D	6/3/2022	7/25/2023	0.00	0.00	715,159.50	715,159.50	10,973,282.59	No	Yes
SAN DIEGO	SAN DIEGO UNIFIED	54/68338-06-001	Charter	D	6/3/2022	12/4/2023	0.00	0.00	1,258,718.70	1,258,718.70	12,232,001.29	No	No
SAN DIEGO	SAN DIEGO UNIFIED	54/68338-06-002	Charter	D	6/3/2022	12/4/2023	0.00	0.00	376,548.00	376,548.00	12,608,549.29	No	No
LOS ANGELES	CASTAIC UNION	57/64345-00-001	Modernization	G	5/2/2022	6/25/2025	0.00	0.00	3,691,507.00	3,691,507.00	16,300,056.29	Yes	Yes
KINGS	CORCORAN JOINT UNIFIED	50/63891-00-003	New Construction	G	5/25/2022	6/25/2025	0.00	0.00	2,948,739.00	2,948,739.00	19,248,795.29	Yes	No
FRESNO	FIREBAUGH-LAS DELTAS UNIFIED	57/73809-00-007	Modernization	G	6/22/2022	8/19/2025	0.00	0.00	2,888,223.00	2,888,223.00	22,137,018.29	Yes	No
IMPERIAL	IMPERIAL COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION	50/10132-03-009	New Construction	G	8/11/2022	10/22/2025	489,683.00	0.00	489,683.00	979,366.00	23,116,384.29	Yes	Yes
IMPERIAL	IMPERIAL COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION	57/10132-00-002	Modernization	G	8/11/2022	10/22/2025	1,765,730.00	0.00	2,648,598.00	4,414,328.00	27,530,712.29	Yes	Yes
TULARE	VISALIA UNIFIED	57/72256-00-035	Modernization	G	8/25/2022	10/22/2025	0.00	0.00	4,832,659.00	4,832,659.00	32,363,371.29	Yes	No
TULARE	VISALIA UNIFIED	57/72256-00-036	Modernization	G	9/7/2022	10/22/2025	0.00	0.00	8,420,075.00	8,420,075.00	40,783,446.29	Yes	No
TULARE	VISALIA UNIFIED	57/72256-00-037	Modernization	G	9/13/2022	10/22/2025	0.00	0.00	3,136,518.00	3,136,518.00	43,919,964.29	Yes	No
DEL NORTE	DEL NORTE COUNTY UNIFIED	57/61820-00-019	Modernization	G	8/31/2022	12/3/2025	0.00	0.00	851,393.00	851,393.00	44,771,357.29	Yes	Yes
DEL NORTE	DEL NORTE COUNTY UNIFIED	57/61820-00-020	Modernization	G	8/31/2022	12/3/2025	0.00	0.00	692,376.00	692,376.00	45,463,733.29	Yes	Yes
LOS ANGELES	LONG BEACH UNIFIED	57/64725-00-049	Modernization	G	2/4/2022	1/20/2026	0.00	0.00	2,788,593.00	2,788,593.00	48,252,326.29	Yes	No
SAN BERNARDINO	SAN BERNARDINO CITY UNIFIED	50/67876-02-001	New Construction	G	6/14/2022	2/25/2026	0.00	0.00	3,200,326.00	3,200,326.00	51,452,652.29	Yes	No
ALAMEDA	FREMONT UNIFIED	50/61176-11-001	New Construction	G	8/16/2022	2/25/2026	0.00	0.00	6,862,600.00	6,862,600.00	58,315,252.29	Yes	No
SOLANO	VACAVILLE UNIFIED	57/70573-00-019	Modernization	G	8/16/2022	2/25/2026	0.00	0.00	3,416,654.00	3,416,654.00	61,731,906.29	Yes	No
LOS ANGELES	ALHAMBRA UNIFIED	57/75713-00-030	Modernization	G	8/16/2022	2/25/2026	0.00	0.00	5,420,037.00	5,420,037.00	67,151,943.29	Yes	Yes
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	57/64733-00-742	Modernization	G	8/31/2022	2/25/2026	0.00	0.00	2,041,551.00	2,041,551.00	69,193,494.29	Yes	No
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	57/64733-00-743	Modernization	G	10/5/2022	2/25/2026	0.00	0.00	648,905.00	648,905.00	69,842,399.29	Yes	Yes
ORANGE	OCEAN VIEW ELEMENTARY	57/66613-00-025	Modernization	G	10/7/2022	2/25/2026	0.00	0.00	937,126.00	937,126.00	70,779,525.29	Yes	Yes
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	57/64733-00-744	Modernization	G	10/18/2022	2/25/2026	0.00	0.00	2,266,806.00	2,266,806.00	73,046,331.29	Yes	Yes
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	57/64733-00-745	Modernization	G	10/20/2022	2/25/2026	0.00	0.00	3,892,544.00	3,892,544.00	76,938,875.29	Yes	Yes
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	57/64733-00-746	Modernization	G	11/3/2022	2/25/2026	0.00	0.00	3,516,055.00	3,516,055.00	80,454,930.29	Yes	Yes
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	57/64733-09-013	Modernization	G	11/7/2022	2/25/2026	0.00	0.00	9,335,339.00	9,335,339.00	89,790,269.29	Yes	No
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	57/64733-00-747	Modernization	G	11/9/2022	2/25/2026	0.00	0.00	1,216,619.00	1,216,619.00	91,006,888.29	Yes	No
SAN BERNARDINO	SAN BERNARDINO CITY UNIFIED	50/67876-03-001	New Construction	G	12/1/2022	2/25/2026	0.00	0.00	504,207.00	504,207.00	91,511,095.29	Yes	No
CONTRA COSTA	SAN RAMON VALLEY UNIFIED	57/61804-00-047	Modernization	G	12/12/2022	2/25/2026	0.00	0.00	831,464.00	831,464.00	92,342,559.29	Yes	No
TULARE	VISALIA UNIFIED	57/72256-00-038	Modernization	G	1/9/2023	2/25/2026	0.00	0.00	1,383,841.00	1,383,841.00	93,726,400.29	Yes	No
SAN BERNARDINO	SAN BERNARDINO CITY UNIFIED	57/67876-00-122	Modernization	G	1/10/2023	2/25/2026	0.00	0.00	2,141,626.00	2,141,626.00	95,868,026.29	Yes	Yes
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	57/64733-54-030	Modernization	G	1/25/2023	2/25/2026	0.00	0.00	2,192,924.00	2,192,924.00	98,060,950.29	Yes	Yes
CONTRA COSTA	BRENTWOOD UNION	50/61655-00-014	New Construction	G	1/26/2023	2/25/2026	0.00	0.00	2,103,831.00	2,103,831.00	100,164,781.29	Yes	No
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	57/64733-61-010	Modernization	G	1/26/2023	2/25/2026	0.00	0.00	3,264,740.00	3,264,740.00	103,429,521.29	Yes	Yes
SONOMA	GRAVENSTEIN UNION ELEMENTARY	57/70714-00-004	Modernization	G	1/26/2023	2/25/2026	0.00	0.00	881,982.00	881,982.00	104,311,503.29	Yes	Yes
SUTTER	MERIDIAN ELEMENTARY	57/71415-00-002	Modernization	D	1/27/2023	2/25/2026	41,814.17	0.00	74,083.00	115,897.17	104,427,400.46	Yes	Yes
LOS ANGELES	ARCADIA UNIFIED	57/64261-00-006	Modernization	G	1/31/2023	2/25/2026	0.00	0.00	1,259,186.00	1,259,186.00	105,686,586.46	Yes	Yes
LOS ANGELES	ARCADIA UNIFIED	57/64261-00-006	Modernization	G	1/31/2023	4/29/2026	0.00	0.00	44,869.00	44,869.00	105,731,455.46	Yes	Yes
LOS ANGELES	ARCADIA UNIFIED	57/64261-00-007	Modernization	G	1/31/2023	2/25/2026	0.00	0.00	4,577,034.00	4,577,034.00	110,308,489.46	Yes	Yes
LOS ANGELES	ARCADIA UNIFIED	57/64261-00-008	Modernization	G	1/31/2023	2/25/2026	0.00	0.00	4,084,016.00	4,084,016.00	114,392,505.46	Yes	Yes
SAN DIEGO	SAN DIEGO UNIFIED	57/68338-00-274	Modernization	G	1/31/2023	2/25/2026	0.00	0.00	1,168,158.00	1,168,158.00	115,560,663.46	Yes	Yes
LOS ANGELES	ARCADIA UNIFIED	57/64261-00-009	Modernization	G	2/1/2023	2/25/2026	0.00	0.00	4,886,105.00	4,886,105.00	120,446,768.46	Yes	Yes
LOS ANGELES	EL MONTE UNION HIGH	57/64519-00-005	Modernization	G	2/2/2023	2/25/2026	0.00	0.00	10,146,841.00	10,146,841.00	130,593,609.46	Yes	Yes
RIVERSIDE	RIVERSIDE UNIFIED	57/67215-00-050	Modernization	G	2/6/2023	2/25/2026	0.00	0.00	5,656,457.00	5,656,457.00	136,250,066.46	Yes	No
RIVERSIDE	RIVERSIDE UNIFIED	57/67215-00-051	Modernization	G	2/6/2023	2/25/2026	0.00	0.00	6,333,835.00	6,333,835.00	142,583,901.46	Yes	Yes
SACRAMENTO	SAN JUAN UNIFIED	57/67447-00-084	Modernization	G	2/8/2023	2/25/2026	0.00	0.00	3,333,392.00	3,333,392.00	145,917,293.46	Yes	Yes
SAN DIEGO	LAKESIDE UNION ELEMENTARY	57/68189-00-011	Modernization	G	2/8/2023	2/25/2026	0.00	0.00	1,792,657.00	1,792,657.00	147,709,950.46	Yes	Yes
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	57/64733-38-025	Modernization	G	2/9/2023	2/25/2026	0.00	0.00	838,584.00	838,584.00	148,548,534.46	Yes	Yes
SAN LUIS OBISPO	TEMPLETON UNIFIED	50/68841-00-003	New Construction	G	2/10/2023	2/25/2026	0.00	0.00	242,207.00	242,207.00	148,790,741.46	Yes	Yes
BUTTE	DURHAM UNIFIED	57/61432-00-002	Modernization	G	2/10/2023	2/25/2026	0.00	0.00	1,105,052.00	1,105,052.00	149,895,793.46	Yes	No
SONOMA	WAUGH ELEMENTARY	57/70995-00-002	Modernization	G	2/13/2023	2/25/2026	0.00	0.00	1,162,106.00	1,162,106.00	151,057,899.46	Yes	No
ORANGE	SANTA ANA UNIFIED	57/66670-00-069	Modernization	G	2/14/2023	2/25/2026	0.00	0.00	1,847,956.00	1,847,956.00	152,905,855.46	Yes	No
RIVERSIDE	MENIFEE UNION ELEMENTARY	50/67116-00-019	New Construction	G	2/15/2023	2/25/2026	0.00	0.00	2,042,716.00	2,042,716.00	154,948,571.46	Yes	Yes
RIVERSIDE	MENIFEE UNION ELEMENTARY	50/67116-00-020	New Construction	G	2/15/2023	2/25/2026	0.00	0.00	3,338,541.00	3,338,541.00	158,287,112.46	Yes	Yes
RIVERSIDE	MENIFEE UNION ELEMENTARY	50/67116-00-021	New Construction	G	2/15/2023	2/25/2026	0.00	0.00	2,865,402.00	2,865,402.00	161,152,514.46	Yes	Yes
ALAMEDA	SAN LEANDRO UNIFIED	57/61291-00-027	Modernization	G	2/15/2023	2/25/2026	0.00	0.00	1,080,296.00	1,080,296.00	162,232,810.46	Yes	Yes
RIVERSIDE	MENIFEE UNION ELEMENTARY	50/67116-00-022	New Construction	G	2/16/2023	2/25/2026	0.00	0.00	3,025,741.00	3,025,741.00	165,258,551.46	Yes	Yes

Unfunded Approvals
as of June 24, 2026 SAB

County	School Distict	Application Number	Program	Approval	Received Date	SAB Unfunded Approval	Financial Hardship Apportionment	Loan	State Share	Total Apportionment	Cumulative Amount	Submitted Certification Letter May 2026	Agreement Received as of 6/30/2026
RIVERSIDE	MENIFEE UNION ELEMENTARY	50/67116-00-023	New Construction	G	2/16/2023	2/25/2026	0.00	0.00	2,073,548.00	2,073,548.00	167,332,099.46	Yes	Yes
ALAMEDA	ALAMEDA CITY UNIFIED	57/61119-00-025	Modernization	G	2/16/2023	2/25/2026	0.00	0.00	4,924,822.00	4,924,822.00	172,256,921.46	Yes	Yes
VENTURA	VENTURA COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION	50/10561-00-004	New Construction	G	2/21/2023	2/25/2026	4,350,616.50	0.00	4,350,616.50	8,701,233.00	180,958,154.46	Yes	No
VENTURA	VENTURA COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION	57/10561-00-005	Modernization	G	2/21/2023	2/25/2026	704,570.00	0.00	1,056,855.00	1,761,425.00	182,719,579.46	Yes	No
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	57/64733-54-028	Modernization	G	2/21/2023	2/25/2026	0.00	0.00	6,372,012.00	6,372,012.00	189,091,591.46	Yes	Yes
RIVERSIDE	RIVERSIDE UNIFIED	57/67215-00-052	Modernization	G	2/21/2023	2/25/2026	0.00	0.00	4,036,840.00	4,036,840.00	193,128,431.46	Yes	No
LOS ANGELES	LAWNDALE ELEMENTARY	57/64691-00-011	Modernization	G	2/22/2023	2/25/2026	0.00	0.00	1,359,572.00	1,359,572.00	194,488,003.46	Yes	Yes
SACRAMENTO	ARCOHE UNION ELEMENTARY	57/67280-00-002	Modernization	G	2/22/2023	2/25/2026	0.00	0.00	1,366,297.00	1,366,297.00	195,854,300.46	Yes	Yes
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	57/64733-00-758	Modernization	G	2/23/2023	2/25/2026	0.00	0.00	247,533.00	247,533.00	196,101,833.46	Yes	Yes
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	57/64733-00-759	Modernization	G	2/23/2023	2/25/2026	0.00	0.00	2,971,592.00	2,971,592.00	199,073,425.46	Yes	Yes
CONTRA COSTA	MARTINEZ UNIFIED	50/61739-00-006	New Construction	G	2/27/2023	2/25/2026	0.00	0.00	6,663,131.00	6,663,131.00	205,736,556.46	Yes	Yes
SAN DIEGO	SAN MARCOS UNIFIED	50/73791-00-022	New Construction	G	2/27/2023	2/25/2026	0.00	0.00	2,279,924.00	2,279,924.00	208,016,480.46	Yes	Yes
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	57/64733-20-024	Modernization	G	2/27/2023	2/25/2026	0.00	0.00	2,409,349.00	2,409,349.00	210,425,829.46	Yes	Yes
MARIN	NOVATO UNIFIED	57/65417-00-029	Modernization	G	2/27/2023	2/25/2026	0.00	0.00	1,141,698.00	1,141,698.00	211,567,527.46	Yes	Yes
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	57/64733-13-011	Modernization	G	10/20/2022	3/25/2026	0.00	0.00	28,701,787.00	28,701,787.00	240,269,314.46	Yes	No
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	57/64733-00-757	Modernization	G	1/26/2023	3/25/2026	0.00	0.00	9,352,873.00	9,352,873.00	249,622,187.46	Yes	Yes
ALAMEDA	DUBLIN UNIFIED	50/75093-00-015	New Construction	G	2/9/2023	3/25/2026	0.00	0.00	60,482,772.44	60,482,772.44	310,104,959.90	Yes	Yes
SAN BENITO	SAN BENITO HIGH	50/67538-00-005	New Construction	G	2/24/2023	3/25/2026	0.00	0.00	2,742,629.00	2,742,629.00	312,847,588.90	Yes	No
LOS ANGELES	EL SEGUNDO UNIFIED	57/64535-00-008	Modernization	G	2/28/2023	3/25/2026	0.00	0.00	839,568.00	839,568.00	313,687,156.90	Yes	Yes
LOS ANGELES	EL SEGUNDO UNIFIED	57/64535-00-009	Modernization	G	3/1/2023	3/25/2026	0.00	0.00	1,761,050.00	1,761,050.00	315,448,206.90	Yes	No
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	57/64733-00-760	Modernization	G	3/2/2023	3/25/2026	0.00	0.00	1,522,460.00	1,522,460.00	316,970,666.90	Yes	Yes
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	57/64733-00-762	Modernization	G	3/3/2023	3/25/2026	0.00	0.00	745,692.00	745,692.00	317,716,358.90	Yes	Yes
FRESNO	KERMAN UNIFIED	50/73999-00-010	New Construction	G	3/6/2023	3/25/2026	0.00	0.00	18,742,473.56	18,742,473.56	336,458,832.46	Yes	Yes
SAN DIEGO	LAKESIDE UNION ELEMENTARY	57/68189-00-012	Modernization	G	3/8/2023	3/25/2026	0.00	0.00	1,758,685.00	1,758,685.00	338,217,517.46	Yes	Yes
SAN BERNARDINO	SNOWLINE JOINT UNIFIED	57/73957-00-001	Modernization	G	3/8/2023	3/25/2026	0.00	0.00	2,877,620.00	2,877,620.00	341,095,137.46	Yes	No
SAN BERNARDINO	SNOWLINE JOINT UNIFIED	57/73957-00-006	Modernization	G	3/8/2023	3/25/2026	0.00	0.00	6,520,846.00	6,520,846.00	347,615,983.46	Yes	No
LOS ANGELES	EL SEGUNDO UNIFIED	57/64535-00-010	Modernization	G	3/10/2023	3/25/2026	0.00	0.00	2,233,829.00	2,233,829.00	349,849,812.46	Yes	No
MERCED	MERCED UNION HIGH	57/65789-00-011	Modernization	G	3/10/2023	3/25/2026	0.00	0.00	3,655,493.00	3,655,493.00	353,505,305.46	Yes	Yes
LOS ANGELES	LAWNDALE ELEMENTARY	57/64691-00-012	Modernization	G	3/13/2023	3/25/2026	0.00	0.00	1,325,098.00	1,325,098.00	354,830,403.46	Yes	Yes
LOS ANGELES	LAWNDALE ELEMENTARY	57/64691-00-013	Modernization	G	3/13/2023	3/25/2026	0.00	0.00	1,539,439.00	1,539,439.00	356,369,842.46	Yes	Yes
LOS ANGELES	LAWNDALE ELEMENTARY	57/64691-00-014	Modernization	G	3/13/2023	3/25/2026	0.00	0.00	1,055,147.00	1,055,147.00	357,424,989.46	Yes	Yes
LOS ANGELES	LAWNDALE ELEMENTARY	57/64691-00-015	Modernization	G	3/13/2023	3/25/2026	0.00	0.00	1,433,370.00	1,433,370.00	358,858,359.46	Yes	Yes
LOS ANGELES	LAWNDALE ELEMENTARY	57/64691-00-016	Modernization	G	3/13/2023	3/25/2026	0.00	0.00	1,271,922.00	1,271,922.00	360,130,281.46	Yes	Yes
LOS ANGELES	LAWNDALE ELEMENTARY	57/64691-00-017	Modernization	G	3/13/2023	3/25/2026	0.00	0.00	656,395.00	656,395.00	360,786,676.46	Yes	Yes
SAN BERNARDINO	HESPERIA UNIFIED	57/75044-00-008	Modernization	G	3/16/2023	3/25/2026	0.00	0.00	1,061,665.00	1,061,665.00	361,848,341.46	Yes	Yes
SAN BERNARDINO	HESPERIA UNIFIED	57/75044-00-009	Modernization	G	3/16/2023	3/25/2026	0.00	0.00	1,345,971.00	1,345,971.00	363,194,312.46	Yes	Yes
SAN BERNARDINO	HESPERIA UNIFIED	57/75044-00-010	Modernization	G	3/16/2023	3/25/2026	0.00	0.00	1,063,143.00	1,063,143.00	364,257,455.46	Yes	Yes
SAN BERNARDINO	HESPERIA UNIFIED	57/75044-00-011	Modernization	G	3/16/2023	3/25/2026	0.00	0.00	1,796,943.00	1,796,943.00	366,054,398.46	Yes	No
SAN BERNARDINO	HESPERIA UNIFIED	57/75044-00-013	Modernization	G	3/16/2023	3/25/2026	0.00	0.00	940,917.00	940,917.00	366,995,315.46	Yes	Yes
SAN BERNARDINO	HESPERIA UNIFIED	57/75044-00-014	Modernization	G	3/16/2023	3/25/2026	0.00	0.00	1,450,363.00	1,450,363.00	368,445,678.46	Yes	Yes
SAN BERNARDINO	HESPERIA UNIFIED	57/75044-00-015	Modernization	G	3/16/2023	3/25/2026	0.00	0.00	955,150.00	955,150.00	369,400,828.46	Yes	Yes
SAN BERNARDINO	HESPERIA UNIFIED	57/75044-00-016	Modernization	G	3/16/2023	3/25/2026	0.00	0.00	5,445,592.00	5,445,592.00	374,846,420.46	Yes	Yes
SAN BERNARDINO	HESPERIA UNIFIED	57/75044-00-017	Modernization	G	3/16/2023	3/25/2026	0.00	0.00	2,776,265.00	2,776,265.00	377,622,685.46	Yes	Yes
SAN BERNARDINO	HESPERIA UNIFIED	57/75044-00-018	Modernization	G	3/16/2023	3/25/2026	0.00	0.00	1,311,898.00	1,311,898.00	378,934,583.46	Yes	Yes
SAN BERNARDINO	HESPERIA UNIFIED	57/75044-00-019	Modernization	G	3/16/2023	3/25/2026	0.00	0.00	1,265,393.00	1,265,393.00	380,199,976.46	Yes	Yes
SAN BERNARDINO	HESPERIA UNIFIED	57/75044-00-020	Modernization	G	3/16/2023	3/25/2026	0.00	0.00	1,501,695.00	1,501,695.00	381,701,671.46	Yes	Yes
SAN BERNARDINO	HESPERIA UNIFIED	57/75044-00-021	Modernization	G	3/16/2023	3/25/2026	0.00	0.00	1,290,907.00	1,290,907.00	382,992,578.46	Yes	Yes
SAN BERNARDINO	HESPERIA UNIFIED	57/75044-00-023	Modernization	G	3/16/2023	3/25/2026	0.00	0.00	922,024.00	922,024.00	383,914,602.46	Yes	Yes
SAN BERNARDINO	HESPERIA UNIFIED	57/75044-00-024	Modernization	G	3/16/2023	3/25/2026	0.00	0.00	4,848,789.00	4,848,789.00	388,763,391.46	Yes	Yes
SAN BERNARDINO	HESPERIA UNIFIED	57/75044-00-028	Modernization	G	3/16/2023	3/25/2026	0.00	0.00	3,327,215.00	3,327,215.00	392,090,606.46	Yes	Yes
SAN BERNARDINO	HESPERIA UNIFIED	57/75044-00-029	Modernization	G	3/16/2023	3/25/2026	0.00	0.00	1,753,102.00	1,753,102.00	393,843,708.46	Yes	Yes
STANISLAUS	TURLOCK UNIFIED	57/75739-00-018	Modernization	G	3/16/2023	3/25/2026	0.00	0.00	2,842,590.00	2,842,590.00	396,686,298.46	Yes	Yes
SAN DIEGO	SAN DIEGO UNIFIED	57/68338-00-277	Modernization	G	3/17/2023	3/25/2026	0.00	0.00	1,736,145.00	1,736,145.00	398,422,443.46	Yes	Yes
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	57/64733-00-740	Modernization	G	7/8/2022	4/29/2026	0.00	0.00	18,787,788.00	18,787,788.00	417,210,231.46	Yes	Yes
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	57/64733-00-761	Modernization	G	3/2/2023	4/29/2026	0.00	0.00	1,990,211.00	1,990,211.00	419,200,442.46	Yes	Yes
RIVERSIDE	RIVERSIDE UNIFIED	50/67215-00-044	New Construction	G	3/13/2023	4/29/2026	0.00	0.00	7,241,080.50	7,241,080.50	426,441,522.96	Yes	Yes
RIVERSIDE	RIVERSIDE UNIFIED	57/67215-00-053	Modernization	G	3/13/2023	4/29/2026	0.00	0.00	5,613,270.00	5,613,270.00	432,054,792.96	Yes	Yes
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	57/64733-38-026	Modernization	G	3/20/2023	4/29/2026	0.00	0.00	2,384,203.00	2,384,203.00	434,438,995.96	Yes	Yes
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	57/64733-00-763	Modernization	G	3/21/2023	4/29/2026	0.00	0.00	2,323,950.00	2,323,950.00	436,762,945.96	Yes	Yes
SAN DIEGO	SAN DIEGO UNIFIED	57/68338-00-278	Modernization	G	3/21/2023	4/29/2026	0.00	0.00	714,126.00	714,126.00	437,477,071.96	Yes	Yes
STANISLAUS	TURLOCK UNIFIED	57/75739-00-019	Modernization	G	3/21/2023	4/29/2026	0.00	0.00	10,440,073.00	10,440,073.00	447,917,144.96	Yes	Yes
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	57/64733-15-013	Modernization	G	3/23/2023	4/29/2026	0.00	0.00	1,795,469.00	1,795,469.00	449,712,613.96	Yes	Yes
SAN DIEGO	SAN DIEGO UNIFIED	57/68338-00-281	Modernization	G	3/24/2023	4/29/2026	0.00	0.00	295,819.00	295,819.00	450,008,432.96	Yes	Yes
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	57/64733-11-015	Modernization	G	3/29/2023	4/29/2026	0.00	0.00	2,722,255.00	2,722,255.00	452,730,687.96	Yes	Yes
SAN DIEGO	SAN DIEGO UNIFIED	57/68338-00-283	Modernization	G	4/3/2023	4/29/2026	0.00	0.00	809,058.00	809,058.00	453,539,745.96	Yes	Yes

Unfunded Approvals
as of June 24, 2026 SAB

County	School Distict	Application Number	Program	Approval	Received Date	SAB Unfunded Approval	Financial Hardship Apportionment	Loan	State Share	Total Apportionment	Cumulative Amount	Submitted Certification Letter May 2026	Agreement Received as of 6/30/2026
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	57/64733-33-023	Modernization	G	4/4/2023	4/29/2026	0.00	0.00	3,186,151.00	3,186,151.00	456,725,896.96	Yes	Yes
SAN DIEGO	SAN DIEGO UNIFIED	57/68338-00-284	Modernization	G	4/4/2023	4/29/2026	0.00	0.00	675,329.00	675,329.00	457,401,225.96	Yes	Yes
LOS ANGELES	WALNUT VALLEY UNIFIED	50/73460-00-014	New Construction	G	4/5/2023	4/29/2026	0.00	0.00	916,146.00	916,146.00	458,317,371.96	Yes	No
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	57/64733-00-764	Modernization	G	4/5/2023	4/29/2026	0.00	0.00	6,195,382.00	6,195,382.00	464,512,753.96	Yes	Yes
SAN DIEGO	SAN DIEGO UNIFIED	57/68338-00-286	Modernization	G	4/5/2023	4/29/2026	0.00	0.00	4,795,499.00	4,795,499.00	469,308,252.96	Yes	Yes
SAN DIEGO	SAN DIEGO UNIFIED	57/68338-00-287	Modernization	G	4/5/2023	4/29/2026	0.00	0.00	306,475.00	306,475.00	469,614,727.96	Yes	Yes
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	57/64733-00-765	Modernization	G	4/6/2023	4/29/2026	0.00	0.00	2,831,078.00	2,831,078.00	472,445,805.96	Yes	Yes
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	57/64733-12-011	Modernization	G	4/6/2023	4/29/2026	0.00	0.00	3,776,476.00	3,776,476.00	476,222,281.96	Yes	Yes
ORANGE	ANAHEIM UNION HIGH	57/66431-00-033	Modernization	G	4/11/2023	4/29/2026	0.00	0.00	4,724,084.00	4,724,084.00	480,946,365.96	Yes	Yes
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	57/64733-00-766	Modernization	G	4/12/2023	4/29/2026	0.00	0.00	589,357.00	589,357.00	481,535,722.96	Yes	Yes
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	57/64733-00-767	Modernization	G	4/12/2023	4/29/2026	0.00	0.00	3,187,539.00	3,187,539.00	484,723,261.96	Yes	Yes
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	57/64733-00-768	Modernization	G	4/12/2023	4/29/2026	0.00	0.00	1,729,537.00	1,729,537.00	486,452,798.96	Yes	Yes
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	57/64733-34-017	Modernization	G	4/12/2023	4/29/2026	0.00	0.00	3,860,293.00	3,860,293.00	490,313,091.96	Yes	Yes
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	57/64733-08-008	Modernization	G	4/13/2023	4/29/2026	0.00	0.00	4,383,320.00	4,383,320.00	494,696,411.96	Yes	Yes
KERN	GREENFIELD UNION	50/63503-00-021	New Construction	G	7/29/2022	5/20/2026	0.00	0.00	4,486,793.00	4,486,793.00	499,183,204.96	Yes	No
TULARE	PORTERVILLE UNIFIED	57/75523-00-017	Modernization	G	3/22/2023	5/20/2026	0.00	0.00	1,111,045.00	1,111,045.00	500,294,249.96	No	Yes
ORANGE	CAPISTRANO UNIFIED	50/66464-00-026	New Construction	G	4/18/2023	5/20/2026	0.00	0.00	56,152,044.48	56,152,044.48	556,446,294.44	Yes	No
STANISLAUS	MODESTO CITY ELEMENTARY	50/71167-00-001	New Construction	G	4/18/2023	5/20/2026	0.00	0.00	1,632,523.00	1,632,523.00	558,078,817.44	Yes	No
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	57/64733-00-770	Modernization	G	4/18/2023	5/20/2026	0.00	0.00	1,605,749.00	1,605,749.00	559,684,566.44	Yes	Yes
SANTA BARBARA	SANTA MARIA JOINT UNION HIGH	50/69310-00-009	New Construction	G	4/24/2023	5/20/2026	0.00	0.00	24,724,354.00	24,724,354.00	584,408,920.44	Yes	Yes
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	57/64733-00-771	Modernization	G	4/24/2023	5/20/2026	0.00	0.00	4,983,472.00	4,983,472.00	589,392,392.44	Yes	Yes
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	57/64733-12-012	Modernization	G	4/24/2023	5/20/2026	0.00	0.00	1,477,376.00	1,477,376.00	590,869,768.44	Yes	Yes
MONTEREY	MONTEREY PENINSULA UNIFIED	57/66092-00-044	Modernization	G	4/24/2023	5/20/2026	0.00	0.00	512,543.00	512,543.00	591,382,311.44	Yes	No
SOLANO	BENICIA UNIFIED	57/70524-00-020	Modernization	G	4/24/2023	5/20/2026	0.00	0.00	784,833.00	784,833.00	592,167,144.44	Yes	Yes
ALAMEDA	CASTRO VALLEY UNIFIED	57/61150-00-038	Modernization	G	4/27/2023	5/20/2026	0.00	0.00	679,058.00	679,058.00	592,846,202.44	Yes	Yes
SACRAMENTO	SAN JUAN UNIFIED	57/67447-00-087	Modernization	G	4/27/2023	5/20/2026	0.00	0.00	4,164,661.00	4,164,661.00	597,010,863.44	Yes	Yes
SAN DIEGO	ENCINITAS UNION ELEMENTARY	50/68080-00-002	New Construction	G	4/28/2023	5/20/2026	0.00	0.00	1,122,628.00	1,122,628.00	598,133,491.44	Yes	Yes
LOS ANGELES	ARCADIA UNIFIED	57/64261-00-010	Modernization	G	4/28/2023	5/20/2026	0.00	0.00	6,130,047.00	6,130,047.00	604,263,538.44	Yes	Yes
SAN DIEGO	ENCINITAS UNION ELEMENTARY	57/68080-00-005	Modernization	G	4/28/2023	5/20/2026	0.00	0.00	1,447,404.00	1,447,404.00	605,710,942.44	Yes	Yes
SAN DIEGO	ESCONDIDO UNION ELEMENTARY	57/68098-00-016	Modernization	G	5/2/2023	5/20/2026	0.00	0.00	3,666,406.00	3,666,406.00	609,377,348.44	Yes	Yes
SANTA CRUZ	PAJARO VALLEY UNIFIED	57/69799-00-026	Modernization	G	5/2/2023	5/20/2026	0.00	0.00	3,149,995.00	3,149,995.00	612,527,343.44	Yes	Yes
RIVERSIDE	TEMECULA VALLEY UNIFIED	57/75192-00-021	Modernization	G	5/2/2023	5/20/2026	0.00	0.00	1,960,770.00	1,960,770.00	614,488,113.44	Yes	Yes
LOS ANGELES	LONG BEACH UNIFIED	57/64725-00-055	Modernization	G	5/3/2023	5/20/2026	0.00	0.00	6,197,906.00	6,197,906.00	620,686,019.44	Yes	No
SANTA CRUZ	PAJARO VALLEY UNIFIED	57/69799-00-027	Modernization	G	5/3/2023	5/20/2026	0.00	0.00	952,836.00	952,836.00	621,638,855.44	Yes	Yes
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	54/64733-00-104	Charter	G	2/4/2026	5/20/2026	0.00	16,754,626.90	16,754,626.90	33,509,253.80	655,148,109.24	Yes	Yes
SACRAMENTO	SAN JUAN UNIFIED	57/67447-00-086	Modernization	G	4/10/2023	6/24/2026	0.00	0.00	1,499,911.00	1,499,911.00	656,648,020.24	No	No
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	57/64733-14-006	Modernization	G	5/4/2023	6/24/2026	0.00	0.00	1,758,650.00	1,758,650.00	658,406,670.24	No	No
ORANGE	ANAHEIM UNION HIGH	57/66431-00-035	Modernization	G	5/8/2023	6/24/2026	0.00	0.00	3,359,261.00	3,359,261.00	661,765,931.24	No	No
SHASTA	CASCADE UNION ELEMENTARY	57/69914-00-006	Modernization	G	5/8/2023	6/24/2026	0.00	0.00	424,576.00	424,576.00	662,190,507.24	No	Yes
MARIN	NOVATO UNIFIED	57/65417-00-030	Modernization	G	5/9/2023	6/24/2026	0.00	0.00	346,329.00	346,329.00	662,536,836.24	No	Yes
SAN DIEGO	SWEETWATER UNION HIGH	57/68411-00-050	Modernization	G	5/9/2023	6/24/2026	0.00	0.00	7,560,437.00	7,560,437.00	670,097,273.24	No	No
SAN DIEGO	SWEETWATER UNION HIGH	57/68411-00-051	Modernization	G	5/9/2023	6/24/2026	0.00	0.00	8,203,836.00	8,203,836.00	678,301,109.24	No	No
ORANGE	ANAHEIM UNION HIGH	57/66431-00-034	Modernization	G	5/11/2023	6/24/2026	0.00	0.00	7,435,988.00	7,435,988.00	685,737,097.24	No	No
SANTA CRUZ	SANTA CRUZ CITY ELEMENTARY	57/69815-00-011	Modernization	G	5/15/2023	6/24/2026	0.00	0.00	1,852,419.00	1,852,419.00	687,589,516.24	No	No
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	57/64733-00-772	Modernization	G	5/16/2023	6/24/2026	0.00	0.00	677,638.00	677,638.00	688,267,154.24	No	No
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	57/64733-20-025	Modernization	G	5/16/2023	6/24/2026	0.00	0.00	1,625,474.00	1,625,474.00	689,892,628.24	No	No
SANTA BARBARA	BUELLTON UNION ELEMENTARY	50/69138-00-003	New Construction	G	5/17/2023	6/24/2026	0.00	0.00	1,017,399.00	1,017,399.00	690,910,027.24	No	No
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	57/64733-00-773	Modernization	G	5/17/2023	6/24/2026	0.00	0.00	1,291,125.00	1,291,125.00	692,201,152.24	No	No
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	57/64733-00-774	Modernization	G	5/17/2023	6/24/2026	0.00	0.00	1,853,943.00	1,853,943.00	694,055,095.24	No	No
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	57/64733-42-019	Modernization	G	5/17/2023	6/24/2026	0.00	0.00	1,136,877.00	1,136,877.00	695,191,972.24	No	No
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	57/64733-00-775	Modernization	G	5/18/2023	6/24/2026	0.00	0.00	28,748,218.00	28,748,218.00	723,940,190.24	No	No
SAN DIEGO	SWEETWATER UNION HIGH	57/68411-00-052	Modernization	G	5/22/2023	6/24/2026	0.00	0.00	5,231,690.00	5,231,690.00	729,171,880.24	No	No
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	57/64733-30-004	Modernization	G	5/23/2023	6/24/2026	0.00	0.00	2,171,107.00	2,171,107.00	731,342,987.24	No	No
SAN BERNARDINO	SNOWLINE JOINT UNIFIED	57/73957-00-007	Modernization	G	5/25/2023	6/24/2026	0.00	0.00	2,971,432.00	2,971,432.00	734,314,419.24	No	No
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	57/64733-00-776	Modernization	G	5/31/2023	6/24/2026	0.00	0.00	980,528.00	980,528.00	735,294,947.24	No	No
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	57/64733-32-027	Modernization	G	5/31/2023	6/24/2026	0.00	0.00	2,011,753.00	2,011,753.00	737,306,700.24	No	No
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	57/64733-00-777	Modernization	G	6/1/2023	6/24/2026	0.00	0.00	2,241,700.00	2,241,700.00	739,548,400.24	No	No
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	57/64733-40-006	Modernization	G	6/1/2023	6/24/2026	0.00	0.00	4,126,717.00	4,126,717.00	743,675,117.24	No	No
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	57/64733-61-011	Modernization	G	6/1/2023	6/24/2026	0.00	0.00	3,014,991.00	3,014,991.00	746,690,108.24	No	No
						Total	**$8,293,136.79**	**$16,754,626.90**	**$721,642,344.55**	**$746,690,108.24**			

*This Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans) includes $2.4 million for 3 projects for Charter School Facilities Program Preliminary Apportionments for Design Funding.

Unfunded Charter Preliminary
as of June 24, 2026 SAB

County	School District	Application Number	Program	Approval	Received Date	SAB Date	Financial Hardship Apportionment	Loan	State Share	Total Apportionment	Prop. 47	Prop. 55	Prop. 1D	Prop. 51
SHASTA	CASCADE UNION ELEMENTARY	54/69914-00-002	Charter	P	5/11/2022	10/26/2022	0.00	1,139,625.00	1,139,625.00	2,279,250.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2,279,250.00
SACRAMENTO	NATOMAS UNIFIED	54/75283-00-009	Charter	P	6/1/2022	10/26/2022	0.00	1,780,934.50	9,780,934.50	11,561,869.00	984,158.50	8,796,776.00	0.00	1,780,934.50
SACRAMENTO	NATOMAS UNIFIED	54/75283-00-010	Charter	P	6/1/2022	10/26/2022	0.00	2,033,351.80	4,033,351.80	6,066,703.60	0.00	4,033,351.80	0.00	2,033,351.80
SACRAMENTO	SACRAMENTO CITY UNIFIED	54/67439-00-009	Charter	P	6/3/2022	10/26/2022	0.00	2,152,028.40	4,842,063.90	6,994,092.30	0.00	0.00	0.00	6,994,092.30
SAN DIEGO	SAN DIEGO UNIFIED	54/68338-02-004	Charter	P	6/3/2022	10/26/2022	0.00	0.00	6,436,435.50	6,436,435.50	0.00	0.00	5,134,935.50	1,301,500.00
SHASTA	SHASTA UNION HIGH	54/70136-02-001	Charter	P	5/2/2022	5/31/2023	0.00	9,482,805.20	9,482,805.20	18,965,610.40	0.00	2,400,721.20	6,532,780.00	10,032,109.20
SAN DIEGO	SAN DIEGO UNIFIED	54/68338-06-001	Charter	P	6/3/2022	12/4/2023	0.00	0.00	11,328,468.30	11,328,468.30	0.00	0.00	11,328,468.30	0.00
SAN DIEGO	SAN DIEGO UNIFIED	54/68338-06-002	Charter	P	6/3/2022	12/4/2023	0.00	0.00	3,388,932.00	3,388,932.00	0.00	0.00	876,071.00	2,512,861.00
SACRAMENTO	TWIN RIVERS UNIFIED	54/76505-00-009	Charter	P	6/3/2022	2/28/2024	0.00	8,260,128.00	8,260,128.00	16,520,256.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	16,520,256.00
						Total	**0.00**	**24,848,872.90**	**58,692,744.20**	**83,541,617.10**	**984,158.50**	**15,230,849.00**	**23,872,254.80**	**43,454,354.80**

*This Charter Unfunded Preliminary list does not include $2.4 million for 3 projects for Charter School Facilities Program Preliminary Apportionments for Design Funding.

INFORMATION ITEM

SCHOOL FACILITY PROGRAM
OFFICE OF PUBLIC SCHOOL CONSTRUCTION FUNDING WORKLOAD LISTING
(Applications Received Through June 30, 2026)

The New Construction and Modernization projects on this list represent complete applications awaiting the Office of Public School Construction (OPSC) processing and scheduling to the State Allocation Board.

This list includes projects that are being presented for approval in this month's State Allocation Board Agenda.

Inclusion on this list does not constitute a guarantee of future funding.

This list is also available on the OPSC Website.

SFP APPLICATIONS
New Construction School Facility Program
- Workload as of June 30, 2026 -

District	County	Site Name	Application Number	OPSC Date Received	Estimated State Grant (a)***	Financial Hardship (b)	Notification Occurred**
Jurupa Unified	Riverside	Troth Street Elementary	50/67090-00-020	05/03/23	$ 7,241,504	$ -	10/01/25
Twin Rivers Unified	Sacramento	Northlake Elementary	50/76505-04-001	05/10/23	$ 32,389,035	$ -	11/03/25
Patterson Joint Unified	Stanislaus	Patterson High	50/71217-00-015	06/12/23	$ 4,181,436	$ -	12/01/25
Hemet Unified	Riverside	Ramona Elementary	50/67082-00-019	06/23/23	$ 5,758,822	$ -	12/01/25
Sanger Unified	Fresno	Centerville Elementary	50/62414-00-036	07/18/23	$ 842,358	$ -	12/01/25
Oxnard Union High	Ventura	Del Sol High	50/72546-00-002	07/20/23	$ 73,674,294	$ -	12/31/25
Sanger Unified	Fresno	Lone Star Elementary	50/62414-00-037	08/04/23	$ 1,282,290	$ -	01/30/26
Sanger Unified	Fresno	Lincoln Elementary	50/62414-00-038	08/04/23	$ 2,047,844	$ -	01/30/26
Sanger Unified	Fresno	John S. Wash Elementary	50/62414-00-039	08/04/23	$ 2,406,642	$ -	01/30/26
Coachella Valley Unified	Riverside	Northshore Elementary	50/73676-00-001	08/04/23	$ 19,738,249	$ -	01/30/26
Willows Unified	Glenn	Willows Intermediate	50/62661-00-002	08/08/23	$ 2,052,131	$ -	01/30/26
Moreno Valley Unified	Riverside	Moreno Elementary	50/67124-00-037	08/08/23	$ 19,589,457	$ -	01/30/26
Palo Alto Unified	Santa Clara	Ellen Fletcher Middle	50/69641-00-004	08/08/23	$ 1,286,786	$ -	01/30/26
Palo Alto Unified	Santa Clara	Palo Verde Elementary	50/69641-00-005	08/08/23	$ 1,364,097	$ -	01/30/26
Lake Elsinore Unified	Riverside	Railroad Canyon Elementary	50/75176-00-023	08/08/23	$ 3,068,859	$ -	01/30/26
Menifee Union Elementary	Riverside	Kathryn Newport Middle	50/67116-00-024	08/09/23	$ 28,620,323	$ -	01/30/26
Romoland Elementary	Riverside	Harvest Valley Elementary	50/67231-00-004	08/09/23	$ 1,343,997	$ -	01/30/26
Atwater Elementary	Merced	Juniper Elementary	50/65631-00-006	08/10/23	$ 13,768,328	$ -	01/30/26
Hemet Unified	Riverside	Harmony Elementary	50/67082-00-020	08/11/23	$ 1,615,252	$ -	03/02/26
Hemet Unified	Riverside	McSweeny Elementary	50/67082-00-021	08/11/23	$ 1,795,969	$ -	03/02/26
Temecula Valley Unified	Riverside	Summit Academy	50/75192-01-003	08/11/23	$ 9,178,694	$ -	03/02/26
Rio Bravo-Greeley Union Elementary	Kern	Rio Bravo Elementary	50/73544-00-004	08/22/23	$ 925,224	$ -	03/02/26
Rio Bravo-Greeley Union Elementary	Kern	Rio Bravo-Greeley Elementary	50/73544-00-005	08/22/23	$ 467,500	$ -	03/02/26
Fowler Unified	Fresno	Fowler High	50/62158-00-003	08/31/23	$ 2,500,103	$ -	03/02/26
Greenfield Union Elementary	Monterey	Mary Chapa Academy	50/66035-00-004	09/20/23	$ 2,086,451	$ 2,086,451	04/01/26
Central Unified	Fresno	Shields and Brawley Elementary	50/73965-00-020	11/15/23	$ 14,198,608	$ -	04/01/26
Fallbrook Union High	San Diego	Fallbrook High	50/68122-00-001	11/27/23	$ 3,319,592	$ -	04/01/26
Sulphur Springs Union	Los Angeles	Pinetree Community Elementary	50/65045-00-012	12/07/23	$ 5,716,003	$ -	04/01/26
Millville Elementary	Shasta	Millville Elementary	50/70052-00-003	12/08/23	$ 728,813	$ 728,813	05/01/26
Alhambra Unified	Los Angeles	Garfield Elementary	50/75713-00-015	12/12/23	$ 7,232,733	$ -	05/01/26
Tehama County Department of Education	Tehama	Gerber Elementary	50/10520-00-004	12/15/23	$ 404,199	$ 404,199	05/01/26
Roseville City Elementary	Placer	Creekview Elementary	50/66910-00-017	12/22/23	$ 16,921,411	$ -	05/01/26
Irvine Unified	Orange	Loma Ridge Elementary	50/73650-00-053	12/27/23	$ 3,511,349	$ -	05/01/26
Chowchilla Union High	Madera	Gateway High	50/65201-00-005	01/11/24	$ 1,331,086	$ -	05/01/26
Delphic Elementary	Siskiyou	Delphic Elementary	50/70227-00-001	01/16/24	$ 41,556	$ 41,556	05/01/26
Flournoy Union Elementary	Tehama	Flournoy Elementary	50/71530-00-002	01/22/24	$ 28,769	$ 28,769	05/01/26
San Jacinto Unified	Riverside	Rose Salgado Elementary	50/67249-00-018	01/24/24	$ 17,206,174	$ -	05/01/26
Riverside Unified	Riverside	John W. North High	50/67215-00-045	01/25/24	$ 5,420,683	$ -	05/01/26
Corona-Norco Unified	Riverside	Victress Bower School for Exceptional Students	50/67033-00-050	01/29/24	$ 1,110,239	$ -	05/01/26
Waterford Unified	Stanislaus	Waterford High	50/75572-00-002	02/05/24	$ 1,340,990	$ -	06/02/26
Desert Sands Unified	Riverside	James Earl Carter Elementary	50/67058-00-028	02/07/24	$ 5,200,767	$ -	06/02/26
Riverside Unified	Riverside	Riverside Adult	50/67215-00-046	02/08/24	$ 3,595,042	$ -	06/02/26
Manteca Unified	San Joaquin	New Haven Elementary	50/68593-00-029	02/13/24	$ 5,077,397	$ -	07/01/26
Banta Unified	San Joaquin	River Islands High	50/77388-00-002	02/13/24	$ 36,509,959	$ -	07/01/26
Temecula Valley Unified	Riverside	Chaparral High	50/75192-01-002	03/12/24	$ 1,962,378	$ -	07/01/26
Manteca Unified	San Joaquin	East Union High	50/68593-00-030	03/13/24	$ 21,312,177	$ -	07/01/26
Ukiah Unified	Mendocino	Nokomis Elementary	50/65615-00-002	03/15/24	$ 917,052	$ -	
Ukiah Unified	Mendocino	Yokayo Elementary	50/65615-00-003	03/15/24	$ 1,814,322	$ -	

SFP APPLICATIONS
New Construction School Facility Program
- Workload as of June 30, 2026 -

District	County	Site Name	Application Number	OPSC Date Received	Estimated State Grant (a)***	Financial Hardship (b)	Notification Occurred**
Folsom-Cordova Unified	Sacramento	Alder Creek Elementary	50/67330-21-013	04/01/24	$ 13,148,399	$ -	
Ducor Union Elementary	Tulare	Ducor Union Elementary	50/71894-00-003	04/02/24	$ 247,767	$ 247,767	
Riverside Unified	Riverside	Casa Blanca Elementary	50/67215-00-047	04/11/24	$ 18,019,501	$ -	
Central Unified	Fresno	Justin Garza High	50/73965-00-021	04/12/24	$ 3,555,465	$ -	
Semitropic Elementary	Kern	Semitropic Elementary	50/63768-00-002	04/15/24	$ 90,067	$ -	
Gridley Unified	Butte	Gridley High	50/75507-00-001	04/16/24	$ 1,258,308	$ -	
Durham Unified	Butte	Durham Intermediate	50/61432-00-001	04/17/24	$ 1,917,569	$ -	
Tulare City	Tulare	Pleasant Elementary	50/72231-00-010	04/17/24	$ 2,878,091	$ -	
Greenfield Union	Kern	Greenfield Middle	50/63503-00-019	04/26/24	$ 10,595,394	$ -	
Lammersville Joint Unified	San Joaquin	Mountain House High	50/76760-00-011	05/06/24	$ 10,333,993	$ -	
Pacific Elementary	Santa Cruz	Pacific Elementary	50/69781-00-001	05/10/24	$ 991,010	$ 991,010	
Cutler-Orosi Joint Unified	Tulare	Orosi High	50/71860-00-007	05/13/24	$ 2,780,938	$ 2,780,938	
Ukiah Unified	Mendocino	Oak Manor Elementary	50/65615-00-004	05/14/24	$ 1,365,687	$ -	
Taft City	Kern	Jefferson Elementary	50/63800-00-001	05/17/24	$ 2,872,170	$ -	
Pond Union Elementary	Kern	Pond Elementary	50/63719-00-002	05/23/24	$ 752,723	$ 752,723	
Capistrano Unified	Orange	Esencia K-8	50/66464-00-027	05/30/24	$ 2,830,802	$ -	
Brentwood Union Elementary	Contra Costa	Isaac Montanez Elementary (New Middle #4)	50/61655-00-012	06/07/24	$ 24,314,465	$ -	
Fairfield-Suisun Unified	Solano	Mary Bird Early Childhood Education Center	50/70540-00-034	06/10/24	$ 2,331,923	$ -	
Weaver Union	Merced	Pioneer Elementary	50/65862-00-003	07/22/24	$ 106,152	$ -	
Weaver Union	Merced	Pioneer Elementary	50/65862-00-004	07/22/24	$ 70,726	$ -	
Weaver Union	Merced	Pioneer Elementary	50/65862-00-005	07/22/24	$ 70,726	$ -	
Weaver Union	Merced	Farmdale Elementary	50/65862-00-006	07/22/24	$ 88,407	$ -	
Weaver Union	Merced	Farmdale Elementary	50/65862-00-007	07/22/24	$ 88,407	$ -	
Weaver Union	Merced	Farmdale Elementary	50/65862-00-008	07/22/24	$ 88,407	$ -	
Monterey Peninsula Unified	Monterey	George C. Marshall Elementary	50/66092-00-001	07/24/24	$ 499,185	$ -	
Dublin Unified	Alameda	Emerald High	50/75093-00-016	07/30/24	$ 28,700,534	$ -	
Corona-Norco Unified	Riverside	Highland Elementary	50/67033-00-051	07/31/24	$ 3,200,202	$ -	
Hemet Unified	Riverside	Hemet Dual Language Academy	50/67082-00-022	08/01/24	$ 1,923,761	$ -	
Jefferson Union High	San Mateo	Adult Education Division	50/68924-00-001	08/02/24	$ 3,248,909	$ -	
Martinez Unified	Contra Costa	Morello Park Elementary	50/61739-00-007	08/03/24	$ 1,954,676	$ -	
Hemet Unified	Riverside	Winchester Elementary	50/67082-00-023	08/05/24	$ 3,846,204	$ -	
Paradise Unified	Butte	Cedarwood Elementary	50/61531-00-004	08/06/24	$ 3,450,913	$ -	
Monterey Peninsula Unified	Monterey	Marina Vista Elementary	50/66092-00-002	08/06/24	$ 925,406	$ -	
San Juan Unified	Sacramento	Arcade Fundamental Middle	50/67447-00-005	08/06/24	$ 6,614,256	$ -	
Rocklin Unified	Placer	Quarry Trail Elementary	50/75085-00-017	08/06/24	$ 2,273,020	$ -	
Hemet Unified	Riverside	Cawston Elementary	50/67082-00-024	08/07/24	$ 2,039,396	$ -	
Hemet Unified	Riverside	Harmony Elementary	50/67082-00-025	08/07/24	$ 2,039,396	$ -	
Hemet Unified	Riverside	McSweeny Elementary	50/67082-00-026	08/07/24	$ 818,206	$ -	
Menifee Union Elementary	Riverside	Sally Buselt Elementary	50/67116-00-025	08/07/24	$ 13,892,798	$ -	
Menifee Union Elementary	Riverside	Ridgemoor Elementary	50/67116-00-026	08/07/24	$ 3,172,731	$ -	
Palermo Union Elementary	Butte	Helen M. Wilcox Elementary	50/61523-00-003	08/08/24	$ 905,770	$ -	
Live Oak Unified	Sutter	Live Oak Alternative	50/71399-00-003	08/08/24	$ 2,791,449	$ -	
Live Oak Unified	Sutter	Luther Elementary	50/71399-00-004	08/08/24	$ 3,140,368	$ -	
Franklin-McKinley Elementary	Santa Clara	Windmill Springs Elementary	50/69450-00-003	08/11/24	$ 902,016	$ -	
Newport-Mesa Unified	Orange	Victoria Elementary	50/66597-00-020	08/14/24	$ 1,781,472	$ -	
Newport-Mesa Unified	Orange	Eastbluff Elementary	50/66597-00-021	08/14/24	$ 2,070,216	$ -	
Newport-Mesa Unified	Orange	Newport Heights Elementary	50/66597-00-022	08/14/24	$ 1,328,765	$ -	
Newport-Mesa Unified	Orange	Killybrooke Elementary	50/66597-00-023	08/14/24	$ 1,849,628	$ -	

SFP APPLICATIONS
New Construction School Facility Program
- Workload as of June 30, 2026 -

District	County	Site Name	Application Number	OPSC Date Received	Estimated State Grant (a)***	Financial Hardship (b)	Notification Occurred**
Newport-Mesa Unified	Orange	California Elementary	50/66597-00-024	08/14/24	$ 897,786	$ -	
Irvine Unified	Orange	Greentree Elementary	50/73650-00-054	08/15/24	$ 794,381	$ -	
Irvine Unified	Orange	Santiago Hills Elementary	50/73650-00-055	08/15/24	$ 876,324	$ -	
Clovis Unified	Fresno	Hirayama Elementary	50/62117-00-031	08/16/24	$ 18,077,911	$ -	
Hemet Unified	Riverside	Whittier Elementary	50/67082-00-027	08/16/24	$ 8,528,919	$ -	
Vallecitos Elementary	San Diego	Vallecitos Elementary	50/68437-00-001	08/23/24	$ 166,790	$ 166,790	
Sanger Unified	Fresno	Sanger Educational Complex	50/62414-00-040	08/26/24	$ 7,218,329	$ -	
Palermo Union Elementary	Butte	Golden Hills Elementary	50/61523-00-004	09/05/24	$ 1,354,405	$ -	
Palermo Union Elementary	Butte	Palermo Middle	50/61523-00-005	09/05/24	$ 1,435,036	$ -	
Mountain View-Los Altos Union High	Santa Clara	Los Altos High	50/69609-01-002	09/06/24	$ 1,335,549	$ -	
Corona-Norco Unified	Riverside	Auburndale Intermediate	50/67033-00-052	09/12/24	$ 905,437	$ -	
Banning Unified	Riverside	Florida Street Discovery Center	50/66985-00-007	09/13/24	$ 2,802,039	$ -	
Anaheim Elementary	Orange	Edison (Thomas) Elementary	50/66423-00-012	09/19/24	$ 2,049,399	$ -	
Willows Unified	Glenn	Murdock Elementary	50/62661-00-003	09/22/24	$ 1,654,930	$ -	
Taft City	Kern	Parkview Elementary	50/63800-00-002	09/23/24	$ 1,438,083	$ -	
Valley Home Joint Elementary	Stanislaus	Valley Home Elementary	50/71324-00-002	09/24/24	$ 78,850	$ 78,850	
Valley Home Joint Elementary	Stanislaus	Valley Home Elementary	50/71324-00-003	09/24/24	$ 247,867	$ 247,867	
Sequoia Union Elementary	Tulare	Sequoia Union Elementary	50/72116-00-002	10/08/24	$ 7,813,994	$ -	
Hesperia Unified	San Bernardino	Lime Street Elementary	50/75044-00-039	10/09/24	$ 1,064,808	$ -	
Hesperia Unified	San Bernardino	Mission Crest Elementary	50/75044-00-040	10/09/24	$ 898,461	$ -	
Hesperia Unified	San Bernardino	Maple Elementary	50/75044-00-041	10/09/24	$ 863,763	$ -	
Irvine Unified	Orange	Irvine High	50/73650-00-056	10/14/24	$ 2,154,995	$ -	
Weaver Union	Merced	Weaver Middle	50/65862-00-009	10/22/24	$ 312,777	$ -	
Weaver Union	Merced	Weaver Middle	50/65862-00-010	10/22/24	$ 348,804	$ -	
Weaver Union	Merced	Weaver Middle	50/65862-00-011	10/22/24	$ 348,804	$ -	
Weaver Union	Merced	Weaver Middle	50/65862-00-012	10/22/24	$ 348,804	$ -	
Weaver Union	Merced	Weaver Middle	50/65862-00-013	10/22/24	$ 348,804	$ -	
Beaumont Unified	Riverside	Glen View High	50/66993-00-024	10/23/24	$ 2,605,808	$ -	
North Cow Creek Elementary	Shasta	North Cow Creek Elementary	50/70078-00-002	10/23/24	$ 387,942	$ 387,942	
Palm Springs Unified	Riverside	Landau Elementary	50/67173-00-017	10/28/24	$ 6,644,225	$ -	
Lemon Grove	San Diego	Lemon Grove Early Childhood Education Center	50/68205-00-001	10/28/24	$ 1,345,905	$ -	
Irvine Unified	Orange	Portola High	50/73650-00-057	10/28/24	$ 9,709,270	$ -	
Calistoga Joint Unified	Napa	Calistoga Junior/Senior High	50/66241-00-001	10/29/24	$ 2,556,089	$ -	
Palm Springs Unified	Riverside	Sunny Sands Elementary	50/67173-00-018	10/29/24	$ 8,122,687	$ -	
Big Springs Union Elementary	Siskiyou	Big Springs Elementary	50/70185-00-001	10/29/24	$ 170,316	$ 170,316	
El Centro Elementary	Imperial	Victoria Ranch Elementary	50/63123-00-004	10/30/24	$ 25,579,425	$ -	
King City Union	Monterey	King City Arts Magnet	50/66050-00-004	10/30/24	$ 1,846,471	$ -	
Sacramento City Unified	Sacramento	Nicholas Elementary	50/67439-00-005	10/30/24	$ 11,140,107	$ -	
Mountain View-Los Altos Union High	Santa Clara	Mountain View High	50/69609-02-002	10/30/24	$ 7,919,815	$ -	
Irvine Unified	Orange	Eastwood Elementary	50/73650-04-003	10/30/24	$ 3,662,924	$ -	
Konocti Unified	Lake	Lower Lake High	50/64022-00-003	10/31/24	$ 1,588,243	$ -	
San Luis Coastal Unified	San Luis Obispo	Del Mar Elementary	50/68809-00-001	10/31/24	$ 448,635	$ -	
Wheatland Union High	Yuba	Wheatland Union High	50/72769-00-001	10/31/24	$ 3,402,278	$ -	
Pond Union Elementary	Kern	Pond Elementary	50/63719-00-003****	11/15/24	$ 752,723	$ 752,723	
Valley Home Joint Elementary	Stanislaus	Valley Home Elementary	50/71324-00-004****	11/15/24	$ 78,850	$ 78,850	
Valley Home Joint Elementary	Stanislaus	Valley Home Elementary	50/71324-00-005****	11/15/24	$ 247,767	$ 247,767	
Ukiah Unified	Mendocino	Grace Hudson Elementary	50/65615-00-005	11/22/24	$ 911,577	$ -	
Ukiah Unified	Mendocino	Calpella Elementary	50/65615-00-006	11/22/24	$ 1,365,687	$ -	

SFP APPLICATIONS
New Construction School Facility Program
- Workload as of June 30, 2026 -

District	County	Site Name	Application Number	OPSC Date Received	Estimated State Grant (a)***	Financial Hardship (b)	Notification Occurred**
Ukiah Unified	Mendocino	Frank Zeek Elementary	50/65615-00-007	11/22/24	$ 1,625,082	$ -	
Western Placer Unified	Placer	Phoenix High (Continuation)	50/66951-00-014	11/22/24	$ 7,170,495	$ -	
Grant Elementary	Shasta	Grant Elementary	50/70003-00-004	11/22/24	$ 825,185	$ 825,185	
Dublin Unified	Alameda	Emerald High	50/75093-00-017****	11/22/24	$ 32,234,098	$ -	
Sanger Unified	Fresno	Sanger Educational Complex	50/62414-00-041****	12/03/24	$ 7,218,329	$ -	
Greenfield Union	Kern	Greenfield Middle	50/63503-00-020****	12/03/24	$ 5,297,697	$ -	
Maple Elementary	Kern	New Elementary	50/63610-00-005	12/03/24	$ 644,869	$ 644,869	
Grenada Elementary	Siskiyou	Grenada Elementary	50/70326-00-003	12/11/24	$ 239,704	$ 239,704	
Alisal Union	Monterey	Buckhorn Early Learning Center	50/65961-00-013	12/13/24	$ 2,678,544	$ -	
Greenfield Union Elementary	Monterey	Arroyo Seco Academy	50/66035-00-005	12/20/24	$ 1,816,189	$ 1,816,189	
Greenfield Union Elementary	Monterey	Cesar Chavez Elementary	50/66035-00-006	12/26/24	$ 1,909,398	$ 1,909,398	
San Juan Unified	Sacramento	Katherine Johnson Middle	50/67447-05-001	01/06/25	$ 8,253,033	$ -	
Hermosa Beach City Elementary	Los Angeles	Hermosa Valley Elementary	50/64600-00-002	01/10/25	$ 157,700	$ 157,700	
San Benito High	San Benito	New High	50/67538-00-006	01/13/25	$ 10,187,040	$ 10,187,040	
Vallecitos Elementary	San Diego	Vallecitos Elementary	50/68437-00-002	01/16/25	$ 166,790	$ 166,790	
Stanislaus Union Elementary	Stanislaus	Agnes M. Baptist Elementary	50/71282-00-003	01/17/25	$ 897,270	$ -	
Stanislaus Union Elementary	Stanislaus	Mary Lou Dieterich Elementary	50/71282-00-004	01/17/25	$ 897,270	$ -	
Tehama County Department of Education	Tehama	Gerber Elementary	50/10520-00-004	01/27/25	$ -	$ -	
Santa Rosa High	Sonoma	Montgomery High	50/70920-00-002	02/03/25	$ 6,066,281	$ -	
Hesperia Unified	San Bernardino	Cottonwood Elementary	50/75044-00-042	02/06/25	$ 907,132	$ -	
Hesperia Unified	San Bernardino	Eucalyptus Elementary	50/75044-00-043	02/06/25	$ 907,132	$ -	
Hesperia Unified	San Bernardino	Kingston Elementary	50/75044-00-044	02/06/25	$ 904,046	$ -	
Hesperia Unified	San Bernardino	Mesquite Trails Elementary	50/75044-00-045	02/06/25	$ 911,900	$ -	
Tulare City	Tulare	Roosevelt Elementary	50/72231-00-011	02/11/25	$ 3,140,050	$ -	
River Delta Joint Unified	Sacramento	Riverview Middle	50/67413-00-001	02/20/25	$ 516,087	$ -	
Konocti Unified	Lake	Obsidian Middle	50/64022-00-004	03/03/25	$ 2,916,371	$ -	
Oak Park Unified	Ventura	Oak Hills Elementary	50/73874-00-002	03/03/25	$ 2,407,132	$ -	
Red Bluff Union Elementary	Tehama	Red Bluff Community Day	50/71621-00-004	03/14/25	$ 1,389,182	$ -	
Washington Unified	Fresno	Washington High	50/76778-00-002	03/18/25	$ 1,964,836	$ -	
Kirkwood Elementary	Tehama	Kirkwood Elementary	50/71555-00-002	03/24/25	$ 158,470	$ 158,470	
North Cow Creek Elementary	Shasta	North Cow Creek Elementary	50/70078-00-003****	03/25/25	$ 389,836	$ 389,836	
General Shafter Elementary	Kern	New Elementary	50/63487-00-002	04/01/25	$ 1,247,619	$ 1,247,619	
Pleasanton Unified	Alameda	Donlon Elementary	50/75101-00-014	04/04/25	$ 2,793,715	$ -	
Pleasanton Unified	Alameda	Fairlands Elementary	50/75101-00-015	04/04/25	$ 3,164,548	$ -	
Desert Sands Unified	Riverside	Elementary #7	50/67058-00-029	04/15/25	$ 8,648,941	$ -	
Antelope Elementary	Tehama	Antelope Elementary	50/71472-00-005	04/16/25	$ 113,172	$ 113,172	
Antelope Elementary	Tehama	Berrendos Middle	50/71472-00-006	04/16/25	$ 258,452	$ 258,452	
Chico Unified	Butte	Little Chico Creek Elementary	50/61424-00-014	04/28/25	$ 1,731,564	$ -	
Capistrano Unified	Orange	Capistrano Valley High	50/66464-00-028	04/28/25	$ 1,973,932	$ -	
San Luis Coastal Unified	San Luis Obispo	Bishop's Peak Elementary	50/68809-00-002	04/29/25	$ 2,763,405	$ -	
Rio Bravo-Greeley Union Elementary	Kern	Rio Bravo Elementary	50/73544-00-006	04/30/25	$ 1,593,327	$ 1,593,327	
Rio Bravo-Greeley Union Elementary	Kern	Rio Bravo Elementary	50/73544-00-007****	04/30/25	$ 906,943	$ -	
Rio Bravo-Greeley Union Elementary	Kern	Rio Bravo-Greeley Elementary	50/73544-00-008****	04/30/25	$ 461,467	$ -	
Lakeside Union	Kern	New Elementary	50/63552-00-007	05/06/25	$ 2,552,120	$ 2,552,120	
Lakeside Union	Kern	New Middle	50/63552-00-008	05/06/25	$ 1,768,910	$ 1,768,910	
Ferndale Unified	Humboldt	Ferndale Elementary	50/75374-00-002	05/13/25	$ 339,489	$ 339,489	
San Luis Coastal Unified	San Luis Obispo	C L Smith Elementary	50/68809-00-003	05/20/25	$ 1,640,102	$ -	
Banta Unified	San Joaquin	NextGeneration STEAM Academy	50/77388-00-004	05/21/25	$ 584,964	$ -	

SFP APPLICATIONS
New Construction School Facility Program
- Workload as of June 30, 2026 -

District	County	Site Name	Application Number	OPSC Date Received	Estimated State Grant (a)***	Financial Hardship (b)	Notification Occurred**
San Luis Coastal Unified	San Luis Obispo	Hawthorne Elementary	50/68809-00-004	05/23/25	$ 6,042,286	$ -	
Salinas Union High	Monterey	North Salinas High	50/66159-00-008	05/28/25	$ 9,196,731	$ -	
Salinas Union High	Monterey	Alisal High	50/66159-00-009	05/28/25	$ 5,858,460	$ -	
Colton Joint Unified	San Bernardino	Woodrow Wilson Elementary	50/67686-00-032	06/02/25	$ 2,187,888	$ -	
San Luis Coastal Unified	San Luis Obispo	Los Ranchos Elementary	50/68809-00-005	06/04/25	$ 1,794,898	$ -	
Palermo Union Elementary	Butte	Golden Hills Elementary	50/61523-00-006	06/05/25	$ 1,764,802	$ 1,764,802	
Allensworth Elementary	Tulare	Allensworth Elementary	50/71795-00-003	06/10/25	$ 735,664	$ 735,664	
Taft City	Kern	Taft Primary	50/63800-00-003	06/20/25	$ 724,263	$ -	
Liberty Elementary	Sonoma	Liberty Elementary	50/71985-00-003	06/20/25	$ 9,295,144	$ -	
Summerville Elementary	Tuolumne	Summerville Elementary	50/72405-00-001	06/23/25	$ 169,744	$ 169,744	
Summerville Elementary	Tuolumne	Summerville Elementary	50/72405-00-002	06/23/25	$ 79,235	$ 79,235	
Golden Valley Unified	Madera	Stone Creek Elementary	50/75580-00-005	06/23/25	$ 2,419,650	$ -	
Corona-Norco Unified	Riverside	Clara Barton Elementary	50/67033-00-053	06/24/25	$ 1,774,864	$ -	
Corona-Norco Unified	Riverside	Jefferson Elementary	50/67033-00-054	06/24/25	$ 1,584,700	$ -	
Corona-Norco Unified	Riverside	Louis VanderMolen Fundamental Elementary	50/67033-00-055	06/26/25	$ 1,774,864	$ -	
Madera Unified	Madera	King Husein K-8	50/65243-00-013	07/02/25	$ 25,332,635	$ -	
Hesperia Unified	San Bernardino	Cottonwood Elementary	50/75044-00-046	07/02/25	$ 1,358,847	$ -	
Hesperia Unified	San Bernardino	Cypress School of the Arts	50/75044-00-047	07/02/25	$ 1,385,562	$ -	
Hesperia Unified	San Bernardino	Kingston Elementary	50/75044-00-048	07/02/25	$ 1,369,488	$ -	
Hesperia Unified	San Bernardino	Krystal School of Science, Math & Technology	50/75044-00-049	07/02/25	$ 1,379,691	$ -	
Hesperia Unified	San Bernardino	Mission Crest Elementary	50/75044-00-050	07/02/25	$ 1,369,488	$ -	
Hesperia Unified	San Bernardino	Eucalyptus Elementary	50/75044-00-051	07/02/25	$ 1,366,242	$ -	
Hesperia Unified	San Bernardino	Topaz Preparatory Academy	50/75044-00-052	07/02/25	$ 1,419,916	$ -	
Corona-Norco Unified	Riverside	Harada Elementary	50/67033-00-056	07/03/25	$ 1,331,148	$ -	
Los Banos Unified	Merced	Los Banos Early Education Complex	50/65755-00-016	07/09/25	$ 7,715,614	$ -	
Arcadia Unified	Los Angeles	Baldwin Stocker Elementary	50/64261-00-003	07/11/25	$ 959,820	$ -	
Arcadia Unified	Los Angeles	Camino Grove Elementary	50/64261-00-004	07/11/25	$ 992,192	$ -	
El Nido Elementary	Merced	El Nido Elementary	50/65680-00-001	07/14/25	$ 407,084	$ 407,084	
Romoland Elementary	Riverside	Granite High (Monument Ranch)	50/67231-00-005	07/16/25	$ 25,486,663	$ -	
Hesperia Unified	San Bernardino	Maple Elementary	50/75044-00-053	07/16/25	$ 3,214,170	$ -	
Kern High	Kern	East Bakersfield High	50/63529-00-017	07/23/25	$ 654,945	$ -	
Paradise Unified	Butte	Paradise Elementary	50/61531-00-005	07/24/25	$ 13,095,389	$ -	
Irvine Unified	Orange	Canyon View Elementary	50/73650-04-004	07/24/25	$ 559,335	$ -	
Irvine Unified	Orange	Stonegate Elementary	50/73650-04-005	07/24/25	$ 4,474,627	$ -	
Kern High	Kern	Vista West Continuation High	50/63529-00-018	07/25/25	$ 2,148,102	$ -	
Kern High	Kern	Highland High	50/63529-00-019	07/28/25	$ 654,945	$ -	
Marysville Joint Unified	Yuba	East Linda Middle	50/72736-00-035	07/29/25	$ 2,353,244	$ 2,353,244	
Imperial Unified	Imperial	Imperial Cross Elementary	50/63164-00-008	07/30/25	$ 910,112	$ -	
Kern High	Kern	Arvin High	50/63529-00-020	07/31/25	$ 1,746,521	$ -	
Hesperia Unified	San Bernardino	Lime Street Elementary	50/75044-00-054	08/01/25	$ 1,243,122	$ -	
Manteca Unified	San Joaquin	Manteca High	50/68593-00-031	08/02/25	$ 13,244,067	$ -	
Ackerman Charter	Placer	Bowman Charter	50/66761-00-004	08/04/25	$ 873,079	$ 873,079	
Hemet Unified	Riverside	Hamilton High	50/67082-00-028	08/04/25	$ 2,299,028	$ -	
Hesperia Unified	San Bernardino	Carmel Elementary	50/75044-00-055	08/05/25	$ 1,216,928	$ -	
Hesperia Unified	San Bernardino	Mesquite Trails Elementary	50/75044-00-056	08/05/25	$ 1,207,743	$ -	
Corona-Norco Unified	Riverside	Temescal Valley Elementary	50/67033-00-057	08/06/25	$ 1,331,148	$ -	
Patterson Joint Unified	Stanislaus	Grayson Elementary	50/71217-00-016	08/07/25	$ 729,052	$ -	
Clear Creek Elementary	Nevada	Clear Creek Elementary	50/66324-00-002	08/08/25	$ 690,074	$ 690,074	

SFP APPLICATIONS
New Construction School Facility Program
- Workload as of June 30, 2026 -

District	County	Site Name	Application Number	OPSC Date Received	Estimated State Grant (a)***	Financial Hardship (b)	Notification Occurred**
Stanislaus Union Elementary	Stanislaus	Stanislaus Elementary	50/71282-00-005	08/08/25	$ 5,725,840	$ -	
Vacaville Unified	Solano	Orchard Elementary	50/70573-00-004	08/11/25	$ 824,538	$ -	
Vacaville Unified	Solano	Sierra Vista Elementary	50/70573-00-005	08/11/25	$ 1,788,603	$ -	
Beaumont Unified	Riverside	Summerwind Trails	50/66993-00-025	08/13/25	$ 1,522,583	$ -	
Red Bluff Joint Union High	Tehama	Red Bluff High	50/71639-00-005	08/13/25	$ 756,108	$ -	
Clovis Unified	Fresno	Terry Bradley Educational Center	50/62117-00-032	08/14/25	$ 71,089,066	$ -	
Davis Joint Unified	Yolo	Marguerite Montgomery Elementary	50/72678-00-007	08/14/25	$ 1,112,808	$ -	
Davis Joint Unified	Yolo	Fred T. Korematsu Elementary at Mace Ranch	50/72678-00-008	08/14/25	$ 1,261,660	$ -	
Davis Joint Unified	Yolo	Pioneer Elementary	50/72678-00-009	08/14/25	$ 1,315,730	$ -	
Lake Elementary	Glenn	Lake Elementary	50/62596-00-002	08/15/25	$ 395,686	$ 395,686	
Temple City Unified	Los Angeles	Temple City High	50/65052-00-004	08/15/25	$ 1,289,857	$ -	
Sacramento City Unified	Sacramento	Oak Ridge Elementary	50/67439-00-006	08/15/25	$ 12,961,082	$ -	
Sacramento City Unified	Sacramento	Cesar Chavez Intermediate	50/67439-00-007	08/15/25	$ 21,145,972	$ -	
Stanislaus Union Elementary	Stanislaus	Josephine Chrysler Elementary	50/71282-00-006	08/15/25	$ 901,632	$ -	
Stanislaus Union Elementary	Stanislaus	George Eisenhut Elementary	50/71282-00-007	08/15/25	$ 901	$ -	
Richmond Elementary	Lassen	Richmond Elementary	50/64170-00-001	08/18/25	$ 162,857	$ 162,857	
Mark West Union Elementary	Sonoma	San Miguel Elementary	50/70805-00-002	08/18/25	$ 405,867	$ -	
Mark West Union Elementary	Sonoma	San Miguel Elementary	50/70805-00-003	08/18/25	$ 405,867	$ -	
Los Molinos Unified	Tehama	Los Molinos Elementary	50/71571-00-002	08/18/25	$ 771,382	$ 771,382	
Los Molinos Unified	Tehama	Los Molinos High	50/71571-00-003	08/18/25	$ 771,382	$ 771,382	
Junction City Elementary	Trinity	Junction Elementary	50/70045-00-001	08/20/25	$ 44,531	$ 44,531	
Taft City	Kern	Conley Elementary	50/63800-00-004	08/21/25	$ 1,967,792	$ -	
Davis Joint Unified	Yolo	North Davis Elementary	50/72678-00-010	08/21/25	$ 1,102,115	$ -	
Gratton Elementary	Stanislaus	Gratton Elementary	50/71084-00-002	08/22/25	$ 181,019	$ 181,019	
Lammersville Joint Unified	San Joaquin	Neighborhood J (Pombo) Elementary	50/76760-00-012	08/25/25	$ 32,677,633	$ -	
Roberts Ferry Union Elementary	Stanislaus	Roberts Ferry Union Elementary	50/71233-00-002	08/28/25	$ 6,418,770	$ 6,418,770	
Beaumont Unified	Riverside	Mountain View Middle	50/66993-00-026	09/03/25	$ 1,885,296	$ -	
Beaumont Unified	Riverside	San Gorgonio Middle	50/66993-00-027	09/03/25	$ 1,885,296	$ -	
Chico Unified	Butte	Emma Wilson Elementary	50/61424-00-015	09/09/25	$ 998,693	$ -	
Vacaville Unified	Solano	Jean Callison Elementary	50/70573-00-006	09/09/25	$ 1,207,924	$ -	
Lucia Mar Unified	San Luis Obispo	Shell Beach Elementary	50/68759-00-011	09/26/25	$ 2,901,101	$ -	
Corning Union High	Tehama	Corning High	50/71506-00-003	09/26/25	$ 1,309,891	$ -	
Willow Creek Elementary	Siskiyou	Willow Creek Elementary	50/70490-00-001	09/29/25	$ 1,372,283	$ 1,372,283	
Sutter Union High	Sutter	Sutter High	50/71449-00-004	09/30/25	$ 21,327	$ 21,327	
Chico Unified	Butte	Marigold Elementary	50/61424-00-016	10/02/25	$ 1,242,869	$ -	
Bella Vista Elementary	Shasta	Bella Vista Elementary	50/69872-00-003	10/02/25	$ 971,951	$ 971,951	
Walnut Valley Unified	Los Angeles	Cyrus J. Morris Elementary	50/73460-00-016	10/02/25	$ 901,632	$ -	
Walnut Valley Unified	Los Angeles	Collegewood Elementary	50/73460-00-017	10/02/25	$ 888,382	$ -	
Walnut Valley Unified	Los Angeles	Maple Hill Elementary	50/73460-00-018	10/02/25	$ 2,067,509	$ -	
Coalinga-Huron Unified	Fresno	Sunset Elementary	50/62125-00-009	10/03/25	$ 6,662,116	$ -	
Irvine Unified	Orange	Oak Creek Elementary	50/73650-01-003	10/08/25	$ 3,638,531	$ -	
Brittan Elementary	Sutter	Brittan Elementary	50/71357-00-003	10/15/25	$ 933,387	$ 933,387	
Lucia Mar Unified	San Luis Obispo	Paulding Middle	50/68759-00-012	10/27/25	$ 2,496,307	$ -	
Perris Elementary	Riverside	Sky View Elementary	50/67199-00-013	11/17/25	$ 9,046,346	$ -	
Susanville Elementary	Lassen	Meadow View Elementary	50/64196-00-001	11/18/25	$ 104,590	$ 104,590	
Lucerne Elementary	Lake	Lucerne Elementary	50/64048-00-002	11/20/25	$ 2,173,851	$ 2,173,851	
Magnolia Union Elementary	Imperial	Magnolia Union Elementary	50/63172-00-002	11/21/25	$ 51,990	$ 51,990	
Le Grand Union Elementary	Merced	Le Grand Elementary	50/65722-00-003	11/21/25	$ 31,694	$ 31,694	

SFP APPLICATIONS
New Construction School Facility Program
- Workload as of June 30, 2026 -

District	County	Site Name	Application Number	OPSC Date Received	Estimated State Grant (a)***	Financial Hardship (b)	Notification Occurred**
Indian Diggings Elementary	El Dorado	Indian Diggings Elementary	50/61895-00-001	12/02/25	$ 169,744	$ 169,744	
Hemet Unified	Riverside	Pleasant Valley Elementary	50/67082-00-029	12/09/25	$ 24,146,978	$ -	
Marin County Office of Education	Marin	Marin's Community	50/10215-00-002	12/16/25	$ 611,104	$ -	
Pleasanton Unified	Alameda	Valley View Elementary	50/75101-00-016	12/17/25	$ 2,378,653	$ -	
Turlock Unified	Stanislaus	Osborn Two-Way Immersion Academy	50/75739-00-008	12/17/25	$ 7,672,644	$ -	
Hesperia Unified	San Bernardino	Juniper Elementary	50/75044-00-057	12/31/25	$ 2,656,453	$ -	
Windsor Unified	Sonoma	Mattie Washburn Elementary	50/75358-00-017	01/02/26	$ 1,401,249	$ -	
Napa Valley Unified	Napa	Donaldson Way Elementary	50/66266-00-003	01/05/26	$ 2,199,507	$ -	
Manteca Unified	San Joaquin	Joshua Cowell Elementary	50/68593-00-032	01/05/26	$ 951,719	$ -	
Manteca Unified	San Joaquin	Brock Elliott Elementary	50/68593-00-033	01/05/26	$ 951,719	$ -	
Garfield Elementary	Humboldt	Garfield Elementary	50/62836-00-004	01/08/26	$ 1,352,910	$ -	
Millville Elementary	Shasta	Millville Elementary	50/70052-00-004	01/14/26	$ 145,792	$ 145,792	
Winters Joint Unified	Yolo	Waggoner Elementary	50/72702-00-003	02/05/26	$ 4,195,165	$ -	
Irvine Unified	Orange	Cadence Park	50/73650-04-006	02/09/26	$ 1,509,404	$ -	
Hesperia Unified	San Bernardino	Joshua Circle Elementary	50/75044-00-058	02/12/26	$ 1,484,608	$ -	
Hesperia Unified	San Bernardino	Mesa Grande Elementary	50/75044-00-059	02/12/26	$ 1,484,608	$ -	
Manteca Unified	San Joaquin	Nile Garden Elementary	50/68593-00-034	02/13/26	$ 8,223,894	$ -	
Hesperia Unified	San Bernardino	Hesperia High	50/75044-00-060	02/18/26	$ 6,140,163	$ -	
Carlsbad Unified	San Diego	Aviara Oaks Middle	50/73551-00-017	02/19/26	$ 2,981,824	$ -	
Shaffer Union Elementary	Lassen	Shaffer Elementary	50/64188-00-002	02/20/26	$ 525,595	$ 525,595	
Tamalpais Union High	Marin	Redwood High	50/65482-00-002	03/03/26	$ 1,100,278	$ -	
Kings County Office of Education	Kings	Hanford Hign	50/10165-00-022	03/16/26	$ 477,058	$ 477,058	
Kings County Office of Education	Kings	Lincoln Elementary	50/10165-00-023	03/16/26	$ 164,110	$ 164,110	
Kings County Office of Education	Kings	Shelly Baird	50/10165-00-024	03/16/26	$ 498,053	$ 498,053	
Kings County Office of Education	Kings	Early Learning Center	50/10165-00-025	03/16/26	$ 498,053	$ 498,053	
Kings County Office of Education	Kings	Armona Elementary	50/10165-00-026	03/16/26	$ 164,110	$ 164,110	
Kings County Office of Education	Kings	Lemoore High	50/10165-00-027	03/16/26	$ 119,264	$ 119,264	
Kings County Office of Education	Kings	Lemoore Elementary	50/10165-00-028	03/16/26	$ 82,055	$ 82,055	
Kings County Office of Education	Kings	Avenal High	50/10165-00-029	03/16/26	$ 119,264	$ 119,264	
Kings County Office of Education	Kings	Avenal Elementary	50/10165-00-030	03/16/26	$ 119,264	$ 119,264	
Mountain View Elementary	San Bernardino	New Haven Elementary	50/67785-00-004	03/20/26	$ 30,168,957	$ -	
Middletown Unified	Lake	Middletown Middle	50/64055-00-001	03/23/26	$ 1,534,799	$ -	
Downey Unified	Los Angeles	Rio San Gabriel Elementary	50/64451-00-024	03/23/26	$ 3,472,288	$ -	
Downey Unified	Los Angeles	Rio San Gabriel Elementary	50/64451-00-025	03/23/26	$ 6,682,000	$ -	
Hughson Unified	Stanislaus	Hughson High	50/75549-00-006	03/24/26	$ 1,263,745	$ -	
Alhambra Unified	Los Angeles	Alhambra High	50/75713-00-016	03/24/26	$ 6,863,980	$ -	
Hesperia Unified	San Bernardino	Hollyvale Elementary	50/75044-00-061	03/25/26	$ 2,213,584	$ -	
San Luis Coastal Unified	San Luis Obispo	Pacific Beach High	50/68809-00-006	03/26/26	$ 5,689,664	$ -	
Thermalito Union Elementary	Butte	Sierra Avenue Elementary	50/61549-00-007	04/02/26	$ 6,337,904	$ -	
Clovis Unified	Fresno	Terry Bradley Educational Center	50/62117-00-033	04/06/26	$ 1,084,914	$ -	
San Jacinto Unified	Riverside	San Jacinto High	50/67249-00-019	04/09/26	$ 7,280,280	$ -	
Chico Unified	Butte	Citrus Avenue Elementary	50/61424-00-017	04/10/26	$ 2,625,743	$ -	
Panama-Buena Vista Union	Kern	Mountain View TK-8	50/63362-00-044	04/23/26	$ 26,147,600	$ -	
Clovis Unified	Fresno	Terry Bradley Educational Center	50/62117-00-034	05/14/26	$ 1,084,914	$ -	
Berkeley Unified	Alameda	Longfellow Intermediate	51/61143-00-002	05/19/26	$ 29,765,966	$ -	
Freshwater Elementary	Humboldt	Freshwater Elementary	50/62828-00-002	05/20/26	$ 2,727,526	$ -	
Imperial County Office of Education	Imperial	Imperial Valley Center for Exceptional Children	50/10132-01-006	06/08/26	$ 14,831,388	$ 14,831,388	
Imperial County Office of Education	Imperial	Imperial Valley Center for Exceptional Children	50/10132-02-003	06/08/26	$ 14,831,388	$ 14,831,388	

SFP APPLICATIONS
New Construction School Facility Program
- Workload as of June 30, 2026 -

District	County	Site Name	Application Number	OPSC Date Received	Estimated State Grant (a)***	Financial Hardship (b)	Notification Occurred**
Imperial County Office of Education	Imperial	Imperial Valley Center for Exceptional Children	50/10132-03-011	06/08/26	$ 12,718,131	$ 12,718,131	
Durham Unified	Butte	Durham Elementary	50/61432-00-002	06/08/26	$ 4,148,089	$ -	
Elk Grove Unified	Sacramento	Cypress Grove	50/67314-00-053	06/15/26	$ 23,644,946	$ -	
Dublin Unified	Alameda	Shamrock Hills K-8	50/75093-00-018	06/15/26	$ 26,711,530	$ -	
Ferndale Unified	Humboldt	Ferndale Elementary	51/75374-00-001	06/24/26	$ 880,497	$ 880,497	
Plumas Lake Elementary	Yuba	Plumas Lake Middle	50/72744-00-006	06/29/26	$ 24,186,508	$ -	
Pleasanton Unified	Alameda	Walnut Grove Elementary	50/75101-00-017	06/29/26	$ 1,255,379	$ -	
					$ 1,545,292,642	**$ 100,915,815**	

Total number of applications: 343

Total amount (does not include duplicate submittals) $ 1,646,208,457

NEW CONSTRUCTION FUNDING SUB-TOTALS	**$ 1,514,646,180**	**$ 100,035,318**
NEW CONSTRUCTION FACILITY HARDSHIP - NON SEISMIC	**$ 30,646,463**	**$ 880,497**
NEW CONSTRUCTION FUNDING TOTAL		**$ 1,646,208,457**
NEW CONSTRUCTION FACILITY HARDSHIP - SEISMIC		**$ -**

(a) Represents estimated state share of project including excessive cost grants. Amounts shown have not been reviewed by OPSC for compliance with all School Facility Program requirements.

(b) Represents estimated financial hardship. Amounts shown have not been reviewed by OPSC for compliance with all School Facility Program requirements.

*Facility Hardship project requesting Seismic Mitigation Program funding.

** School districts have been notified that the Office of Public School Construction will begin processing the district's application in the near future.

*** Estimated State Grant is equal to the estimated State Share at the time the application was submitted.

**** This application has been identified as a duplicate submittal to a previously received application that has not yet been processed.

SFP APPLICATIONS
Modernization School Facility Program
- Workload as of June 30, 2026 -

District	County	Site Name	Application Number	OPSC Date Received	Estimated State Grant (a)***	Financial Hardship (b)	Notification Occurred**
Greenfield Union Elementary	Monterey	Mary Chapa Academy	57/66035-00-005	03/20/23	$ 6,429,682	$ -	09/02/25
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Verdugo Hills Senior High	57/64733-00-769	04/13/23	$ 11,877,649	$ -	09/02/25
Jurupa Unified	Riverside	Troth Street Elementary	57/67090-00-018	05/03/23	$ 4,862,874	$ -	10/01/25
Los Gatos-Saratoga Union High	Santa Clara	Saratoga High	57/69534-00-003	05/18/23	$ 1,377,679	$ -	11/03/25
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Benjamin Franklin Senior High	57/64733-00-778	06/06/23	$ 10,010,518	$ -	12/01/25
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Bonita Street Elementary	57/64733-54-029	06/06/23	$ 2,002,753	$ -	12/01/25
Pomona Unified	Los Angeles	Pomona High	57/64907-00-052	06/07/23	$ 10,068,962	$ -	12/01/25
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Dahlia Heights Elementary	57/64733-26-002	06/08/23	$ 4,255,015	$ -	12/01/25
San Benito High	San Benito	Hollister High	57/67538-00-010	06/08/23	$ 237,857	$ -	12/01/25
Pajaro Valley Unified	Santa Cruz	Rio del Mar Elementary	57/69799-00-028	06/08/23	$ 1,418,559	$ -	12/01/25
Pajaro Valley Unified	Santa Cruz	Aptos High	57/69799-00-029	06/08/23	$ 1,765,933	$ -	12/01/25
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Castle Heights Elementary	57/64733-00-779	06/09/23	$ 766,494	$ -	12/01/25
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Seventy-Fourth Street Elementary	57/64733-00-780	06/14/23	$ 789,462	$ -	12/01/25
Hemet Unified	Riverside	Ramona Elementary	57/67082-00-013	06/23/23	$ 2,361,103	$ -	12/01/25
Pomona Unified	Los Angeles	Ganesha High	57/64907-00-053	06/27/23	$ 7,000,534	$ -	12/01/25
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	South Gate Middle	57/64733-16-013	06/28/23	$ 1,611,380	$ -	12/01/25
Chino Valley Unified	San Bernardino	Oak Ridge Elementary	57/67678-00-037	07/03/23	$ 244,695	$ -	12/01/25
Lucia Mar Unified	San Luis Obispo	Judkins Middle	57/68759-00-026	07/03/23	$ 2,898,458	$ -	12/01/25
Moreno Valley Unified	Riverside	Sunnymead Middle	57/67124-00-029	07/05/23	$ 2,282,722	$ -	12/01/25
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Haddon Avenue Elementary	57/64733-28-018	07/06/23	$ 3,502,291	$ -	12/01/25
Saugus Union	Los Angeles	Charles Helmers Elementary	57/64998-00-012	07/07/23	$ 1,420,485	$ -	12/01/25
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Wonderland Avenue Elementary	57/64733-00-781	07/10/23	$ 5,030,116	$ -	12/01/25
Franklin-McKinley Elementary	Santa Clara	Sylvandale Middle	57/69450-00-015	07/13/23	$ 1,281,822	$ -	12/01/25
Encinitas Union Elementary	San Diego	Capri Elementary	57/68080-00-006	07/14/23	$ 952,330	$ -	12/01/25
Benicia Unified	Solano	Mary Farmar Elementary	57/70524-00-021	07/14/23	$ 559,912	$ -	12/01/25
Benicia Unified	Solano	Robert Semple Elementary	57/70524-00-022	07/14/23	$ 580,909	$ -	12/01/25
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Charles Leroy Lowman Special Education and C	57/64733-00-782	07/17/23	$ 1,191,248	$ -	12/01/25
Los Gatos-Saratoga Union High	Santa Clara	Los Gatos High	57/69534-00-004	07/17/23	$ 1,547,225	$ -	12/01/25
Sanger Unified	Fresno	Lone Star Elementary	57/62414-00-020	07/18/23	$ 1,317,455	$ -	12/01/25
Sanger Unified	Fresno	Washington Academic Middle	57/62414-00-021	07/18/23	$ 2,029,081	$ -	12/01/25
Sanger Unified	Fresno	Jackson Elementary	57/62414-00-022	07/18/23	$ 1,598,146	$ -	12/01/25
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Alain Leroy Locke 3 College Preparatory Acader	57/64733-00-783	07/18/23	$ 6,517,957	$ -	12/01/25
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Fifty-Fourth Street Elementary	57/64733-00-784	07/18/23	$ 2,544,023	$ -	12/01/25
Arcadia Unified	Los Angeles	Arcadia High	57/64261-00-011	07/20/23	$ 25,554,341	$ -	12/31/25
Walnut Creek Elementary	Contra Costa	Tice Creek	57/61812-00-007	07/24/23	$ 2,434,208	$ -	12/31/25
Walnut Creek Elementary	Contra Costa	Parkmead Elementary	57/61812-00-008	07/24/23	$ 814,031	$ -	12/31/25
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Independence Elementary	57/64733-00-785	07/24/23	$ 1,382,777	$ -	12/31/25
Perris Elementary	Riverside	Palms Elementary	57/67199-00-003	07/24/23	$ 968,667	$ -	12/31/25
Perris Elementary	Riverside	Good Hope Elementary	57/67199-00-004	07/25/23	$ 857,917	$ -	12/31/25
Perris Elementary	Riverside	Enchanted Hills Elementary	57/67199-00-005	07/25/23	$ 1,635,165	$ -	12/31/25
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Farmdale Elementary	57/64733-34-018	07/26/23	$ 437,576	$ -	12/31/25
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	James J. McBride Special Education Center	57/64733-42-020	07/27/23	$ 812,007	$ -	12/31/25
Encinitas Union Elementary	San Diego	Paul Ecke-Central Elementary	57/68080-00-007	07/31/23	$ 1,914,708	$ -	12/31/25
Hueneme Elementary	Ventura	Fred L. Williams Elementary	57/72462-00-009	07/31/23	$ 2,630,498	$ -	12/31/25
Hueneme Elementary	Ventura	Charles Blackstock Junior High	57/72462-00-010	07/31/23	$ 2,996,966	$ -	12/31/25
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Reseda Charter High	57/64733-39-010	08/01/23	$ 14,055,510	$ -	12/31/25
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Colfax Charter Elementary	57/64733-00-786	08/02/23	$ 4,683,474	$ -	12/31/25
Hemet Unified	Riverside	West Valley High	57/67082-00-014	08/02/23	$ 3,471,924	$ -	12/31/25

SFP APPLICATIONS
Modernization School Facility Program
- Workload as of June 30, 2026 -

District	County	Site Name	Application Number	OPSC Date Received	Estimated State Grant (a)***	Financial Hardship (b)	Notification Occurred**
Encinitas Union Elementary	San Diego	Olivenhain Pioneer Elementary	57/68080-00-008	08/02/23	$ 3,454,587	$ -	12/31/25
Encinitas Union Elementary	San Diego	Park Dale Lane Elementary	57/68080-00-009	08/02/23	$ 532,571	$ -	12/31/25
Fresno Unified	Fresno	Columbia Elementary	57/62166-00-149	08/03/23	$ 793,404	$ -	12/31/25
Saugus Union	Los Angeles	James Foster Elementary	57/64998-00-013	08/03/23	$ 3,266,549	$ -	12/31/25
Sanger Unified	Fresno	Lincoln Elementary	57/62414-00-023	08/04/23	$ 1,998,341	$ -	01/30/26
Sanger Unified	Fresno	Wilson Elementary	57/62414-00-024	08/04/23	$ 1,587,589	$ -	01/30/26
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Chatsworth Charter High	57/64733-00-787	08/04/23	$ 3,084,925	$ -	01/30/26
Saddleback Valley Unified	Orange	Foothill Ranch Elementary	57/73635-00-043	08/07/23	$ 12,547,828	$ -	01/30/26
Saugus Union	Los Angeles	Rosedell Elementary	57/64998-00-014	08/08/23	$ 2,832,373	$ -	01/30/26
Fowler Unified	Fresno	John Sutter Middle	57/62158-00-008	08/09/23	$ 4,008,267	$ -	01/30/26
Romoland Elementary	Riverside	Harvest Valley Elementary	57/67231-00-004	08/09/23	$ 2,502,566	$ -	01/30/26
Elk Grove Unified	Sacramento	Elk Grove High	57/67314-00-040	08/09/23	$ 6,947,875	$ -	01/30/26
Snowline Joint Unified	San Bernardino	Pinon Mesa Middle	57/73957-00-008	08/14/23	$ 5,696,765	$ 3,797,843	03/02/26
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	One Hundred Fifty-Sixth Street Elementary	57/64733-33-024	08/16/23	$ 153,984	$ -	03/02/26
Roseville Joint Union High	Placer	Granite Bay High	57/66928-00-008	08/16/23	$ 3,124,732	$ -	03/02/26
Sutter Union High	Sutter	Butte View High	57/71449-00-002	08/17/23	$ 82,382	$ 54,921	03/02/26
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Paul Revere Charter Middle	57/64733-21-037	08/18/23	$ 1,320,665	$ -	03/02/26
Monterey Peninsula Unified	Monterey	J. C. Crumpton Elementary	57/66092-00-045	08/18/23	$ 737,296	$ -	03/02/26
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Venice Senior High	57/64733-00-788	08/23/23	$ 8,494,608	$ -	03/02/26
Lowell Joint	Orange	Rancho-Starbuck Intermediate	57/64766-00-006	08/24/23	$ 6,981,766	$ -	03/02/26
Long Beach Unified	Los Angeles	Birney Elementary	57/64725-00-056	08/25/23	$ 6,173,097	$ -	03/02/26
Liberty Union High	Contra Costa	Liberty High	57/61721-00-006	08/28/23	$ 10,352,277	$ -	03/02/26
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Millikan Midsite	57/64733-00-789	08/28/23	$ 5,549,744	$ -	03/02/26
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Belmont Senior High	57/64733-11-016	08/28/23	$ 5,853,097	$ -	03/02/26
Fallbrook Union High	San Diego	Ivy High (Continuation)	57/68122-00-003	08/29/23	$ 1,120,216	$ -	03/02/26
Encinitas Union Elementary	San Diego	Ocean Knoll Elementary	57/68080-00-010	08/30/23	$ 922,570	$ -	03/02/26
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	First Street Elementary	57/64733-00-790	08/31/23	$ 339,185	$ -	03/02/26
Santa Ynez Valley Union High	Santa Barbara	Santa Ynez Valley Union High	57/69328-00-004	08/31/23	$ 2,224,667	$ -	03/02/26
Hueneme Elementary	Ventura	Art Haycox Elementary	57/72462-00-011	09/05/23	$ 3,217,155	$ -	03/02/26
Hueneme Elementary	Ventura	Julien Hathaway Elementary	57/72462-00-012	09/05/23	$ 2,712,663	$ -	03/02/26
Hueneme Elementary	Ventura	E. O. Green Junior High	57/72462-00-013	09/05/23	$ 1,485,671	$ -	03/02/26
Hueneme Elementary	Ventura	Ansgar Larsen Elementary	57/72462-00-014	09/05/23	$ 2,204,141	$ -	03/02/26
Hueneme Elementary	Ventura	Parkview Elementary	57/72462-00-015	09/05/23	$ 1,931,062	$ -	03/02/26
Long Beach Unified	Los Angeles	Emerson Parkside Academy	57/64725-00-057	09/06/23	$ 1,299,606	$ -	03/02/26
Kern County Office of Education	Kern	Claude W. Richardson Center	57/10157-00-001	09/08/23	$ 2,203,188	$ -	03/02/26
Castro Valley Unified	Alameda	Stanton Elementary	57/61150-00-039	09/08/23	$ 464,430	$ -	03/02/26
Wheatland Union High	Yuba	Wheatland Union High	57/72769-00-004	09/08/23	$ 3,249,771	$ -	03/02/26
Encinitas Union Elementary	San Diego	La Costa Heights Elementary	57/68080-00-011	09/11/23	$ 4,269,864	$ -	03/02/26
Encinitas Union Elementary	San Diego	Flora Vista Elementary	57/68080-00-012	09/11/23	$ 1,274,904	$ -	03/02/26
Encinitas Union Elementary	San Diego	Mission Estancia Elementary	57/68080-00-013	09/11/23	$ 2,868,523	$ -	03/02/26
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Hooper Avenue Elementary	57/64733-00-791	09/14/23	$ 3,146,167	$ -	03/02/26
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	John F. Kennedy High	57/64733-00-792	09/14/23	$ 21,141,957	$ -	03/02/26
Compton Unified	Los Angeles	Compton High	57/73437-01-045	09/14/23	$ 819,525	$ -	03/02/26
Pleasanton Unified	Alameda	Henry P. Mohr Elementary	57/75101-00-020	09/15/23	$ 1,049,800	$ -	04/01/26
Pleasanton Unified	Alameda	Walnut Grove Elementary	57/75101-00-021	09/15/23	$ 585,286	$ -	04/01/26
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Rudecinda Sepulveda Dodson Middle	57/64733-20-026	09/19/23	$ 7,637,674	$ -	04/01/26
Pleasanton Unified	Alameda	Harvest Park Middle	57/75101-00-022	09/19/23	$ 1,262,968	$ -	04/01/26
El Rancho Unified	Los Angeles	El Rancho High	57/64527-00-017	09/20/23	$ 2,417,247	$ -	04/01/26

SFP APPLICATIONS
Modernization School Facility Program
- Workload as of June 30, 2026 -

District	County	Site Name	Application Number	OPSC Date Received	Estimated State Grant (a)***	Financial Hardship (b)	Notification Occurred**
San Leandro Unified	Alameda	John Muir Middle	57/61291-00-028	09/21/23	$ 431,416	$ -	04/01/26
Templeton Unified	San Luis Obispo	Templeton High	57/68841-00-007	09/25/23	$ 750,950	$ -	04/01/26
Vallejo City Unified	Solano	Annie Pennycook Elementary	57/70581-00-026	09/25/23	$ 476,165	$ -	04/01/26
Vallejo City Unified	Solano	Johnston Cooper Elementary	57/70581-00-028	09/25/23	$ 1,693,927	$ -	04/01/26
Vallejo City Unified	Solano	Dan Mini Elementary	57/70581-00-029	09/25/23	$ 2,840,893	$ -	04/01/26
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	University High School Charter	57/64733-21-038	09/27/23	$ 3,568,880	$ -	04/01/26
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Grand View Boulevard Elementary	57/64733-00-793	09/29/23	$ 3,083,406	$ -	04/01/26
Palm Springs Unified	Riverside	Della S. Lindley Elementary	57/67173-00-014	10/03/23	$ 4,931,233	$ -	04/01/26
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Robert F. Kennedy Elementary	57/64733-00-794	10/04/23	$ 4,301,306	$ -	04/01/26
Ukiah Unified	Mendocino	Pomolita Middle	57/65615-00-011	10/04/23	$ 3,192,858	$ -	04/01/26
Ukiah Unified	Mendocino	South Valley High	57/65615-00-012	10/04/23	$ 1,521,639	$ -	04/01/26
Pierce Joint Unified	Colusa	Pierce High	57/61614-00-010	10/05/23	$ 1,844,997	$ -	04/01/26
Ukiah Unified	Mendocino	Eagle Peak Middle	57/65615-00-013	10/06/23	$ 2,231,715	$ -	04/01/26
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Downtown Business High	57/64733-00-795	10/11/23	$ 1,872,302	$ -	04/01/26
Red Bluff Union Elementary	Tehama	Jackson Heights Elementary	57/71621-00-003	10/11/23	$ 2,333,183	$ -	04/01/26
Red Bluff Union Elementary	Tehama	William M. Metteer Elementary	57/71621-00-004	10/11/23	$ 1,298,530	$ -	04/01/26
Red Bluff Union Elementary	Tehama	Vista Preparatory Academy	57/71621-00-005	10/11/23	$ 3,055,438	$ -	04/01/26
Temecula Valley Unified	Riverside	Chaparral High	57/75192-00-022	10/13/23	$ 9,321,701	$ -	04/01/26
Val Verde Unified	Riverside	Vista Verde Middle	57/75242-00-014	10/13/23	$ 1,437,270	$ -	04/01/26
Novato Unified	Marin	Novato High	57/65417-00-031	10/16/23	$ 600,562	$ -	04/01/26
Novato Unified	Marin	Lu Sutton Elementary	57/65417-00-032	10/16/23	$ 396,046	$ -	04/01/26
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Sunland Elementary	57/64733-00-796	10/18/23	$ 1,149,668	$ -	04/01/26
Greenfield Union Elementary	Monterey	Oak Avenue Elementary	57/66035-00-006	10/18/23	$ 1,359,206	$ -	04/01/26
Martinez Unified	Contra Costa	John Swett Elementary	57/61739-00-013	10/19/23	$ 1,570,807	$ -	04/01/26
Fontana Unified	San Bernardino	Sequoia Middle	57/67710-00-029	10/19/23	$ 1,761,468	$ -	04/01/26
Beverly Hills Unified	Los Angeles	Beverly Hills High	57/64311-00-008	10/20/23	$ 590,432	$ -	04/01/26
Chico Unified	Butte	McManus (John A.) Elementary	57/61424-00-012	10/23/23	$ 3,544,236	$ -	04/01/26
Beverly Hills Unified	Los Angeles	Beverly Vista Middle	57/64311-00-009	10/26/23	$ 1,236,723	$ -	04/01/26
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Paseo del Rey Fundamental	57/64733-42-021	10/26/23	$ 1,896,897	$ -	04/01/26
Santa Rosa High	Sonoma	Santa Rosa High	57/70920-00-015	10/27/23	$ 3,909,074	$ -	04/01/26
Saucelito Elementary	Tulare	Saucelito Elementary	57/72108-00-001	10/27/23	$ 61,164	$ 40,776	04/01/26
Alameda Unified	Alameda	Amelia Earhart Elementary	57/61119-00-026	10/30/23	$ 844,135	$ -	04/01/26
Azusa Unified	Los Angeles	Center Middle	57/64279-00-031	10/30/23	$ 2,378,515	$ -	04/01/26
Fountain Valley Elementary	Orange	Plavan (Urbain H.) Elementary	57/66498-00-022	10/30/23	$ 1,981,595	$ -	04/01/26
Santa Rosa Elementary	Sonoma	Brook Hill Elementary	57/70912-00-012	10/30/23	$ 1,166,636	$ -	04/01/26
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Griffith Junior High School Magnet	57/64733-00-797	10/31/23	$ 802,408	$ -	04/01/26
Fresno Unified	Fresno	Yosemite Middle	57/62166-00-150	11/06/23	$ 2,442,457	$ -	04/01/26
Lynwood Unified	Los Angeles	Rosa Parks Elementary	57/64774-00-018	11/08/23	$ 695,448	$ -	04/01/26
Lynwood Unified	Los Angeles	Mark Twain Elementary	57/64774-00-019	11/08/23	$ 1,066,904	$ -	04/01/26
El Rancho Unified	Los Angeles	North Park Academy of the Arts	57/64527-00-019	11/09/23	$ 1,757,869	$ -	04/01/26
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Taft Charter High	57/64733-00-798	11/09/23	$ 15,964,764	$ -	04/01/26
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Woodlake Avenue Elementary	57/64733-00-799	11/09/23	$ 2,695,889	$ -	04/01/26
Santa Ana Unified	Orange	Jefferson Elementary	57/66670-00-070	11/09/23	$ 1,805,345	$ -	04/01/26
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Balboa Gifted/High Ability Magnet Elementary	57/64733-00-800	11/13/23	$ 894,338	$ -	04/01/26
Benicia Unified	Solano	Benicia High	57/70524-00-023	11/14/23	$ 3,516,129	$ -	04/01/26
El Rancho Unified	Los Angeles	Rivera Middle	57/64527-00-020	11/15/23	$ 1,994,551	$ -	04/01/26
Mill Valley Elementary	Marin	Strawberry Point Elementary	57/65391-00-010	11/16/23	$ 734,372	$ -	04/01/26
Moreno Valley Unified	Riverside	Valley View High	57/67124-00-030	11/16/23	$ 25,711,427	$ -	04/01/26

SFP APPLICATIONS
Modernization School Facility Program
- Workload as of June 30, 2026 -

District	County	Site Name	Application Number	OPSC Date Received	Estimated State Grant (a)***	Financial Hardship (b)	Notification Occurred**
Moreno Valley Unified	Riverside	Box Springs Elementary	57/67124-00-031	11/16/23	$ 184,697	$ -	04/01/26
Moreno Valley Unified	Riverside	Sugar Hill Elementary	57/67124-00-032	11/16/23	$ 4,445,489	$ -	04/01/26
Santa Rosa High	Sonoma	Maria Carrillo High	57/70920-00-016	11/16/23	$ 4,961,408	$ -	04/01/26
Pleasanton Unified	Alameda	Donlon Elementary	57/75101-00-023	11/16/23	$ 832,752	$ -	04/01/26
Pleasanton Unified	Alameda	Harvest Park Middle	57/75101-00-024	11/16/23	$ 462,792	$ -	04/01/26
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Andasol Avenue Elementary	57/64733-00-801	11/17/23	$ 962,271	$ -	04/01/26
Siskiyou Union High	Siskiyou	Mount Shasta High	57/70466-00-004	11/20/23	$ 1,141,342	$ -	04/01/26
Siskiyou Union High	Siskiyou	Weed High	57/70466-00-005	11/20/23	$ 1,154,394	$ -	04/01/26
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Fair Avenue Elementary	57/64733-22-016	11/21/23	$ 1,803,265	$ -	04/01/26
Lynwood Unified	Los Angeles	Janie P. Abbott Elementary	57/64774-00-020	11/21/23	$ 1,174,953	$ -	04/01/26
Lynwood Unified	Los Angeles	Will Rogers Elementary	57/64774-00-021	11/21/23	$ 2,875,684	$ -	04/01/26
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Thomas Starr King Middle School Film and Med	57/64733-00-802	11/22/23	$ 2,382,521	$ -	04/01/26
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Second Street Elementary	57/64733-00-803	11/28/23	$ 636,900	$ -	04/01/26
Anaheim Union High	Orange	Orangeview Junior High	57/66431-07-002	11/29/23	$ 7,413,986	$ -	04/01/26
Mt. Diablo Unified	Contra Costa	Ayers Elementary	57/61754-00-097	11/30/23	$ 547,893	$ -	04/01/26
Mt. Diablo Unified	Contra Costa	Sequoia Elementary	57/61754-00-098	11/30/23	$ 133,683	$ -	04/01/26
Mt. Diablo Unified	Contra Costa	Sun Terrace Elementary	57/61754-00-099	11/30/23	$ 549,138	$ -	04/01/26
Mt. Diablo Unified	Contra Costa	Valley View Middle	57/61754-00-100	11/30/23	$ 270,227	$ -	04/01/26
Anaheim Union High	Orange	Cypress High	57/66431-08-001	12/06/23	$ 6,930,180	$ -	04/01/26
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Albion Street Elementary	57/64733-00-804	12/07/23	$ 1,288,082	$ -	04/01/26
San Lorenzo Valley Unified	Santa Cruz	SLVUSD Charter	57/69807-00-005	12/08/23	$ 800,489	$ -	05/01/26
Millville Elementary	Shasta	Millville Elementary	57/70052-00-004	12/08/23	$ 8,875	$ 5,916	05/01/26
Fontana Unified	San Bernardino	Oleander Elementary	57/67710-00-030	12/11/23	$ 1,241,353	$ -	05/01/26
Alhambra Unified	Los Angeles	Garfield Elementary	57/75713-00-037	12/12/23	$ 5,425,980	$ -	05/01/26
Hueneme Elementary	Ventura	Sunkist Elementary	57/72462-00-016	12/13/23	$ 1,965,916	$ -	05/01/26
Hueneme Elementary	Ventura	Hollywood Beach Elementary	57/72462-00-017	12/13/23	$ 714,248	$ -	05/01/26
West Contra Costa Unified	Contra Costa	Lake Elementary	57/61796-00-056	12/14/23	$ 3,027,337	$ -	05/01/26
West Contra Costa Unified	Contra Costa	Hercules High	57/61796-00-057	12/14/23	$ 2,397,009	$ -	05/01/26
West Contra Costa Unified	Contra Costa	Hercules Middle	57/61796-00-058	12/14/23	$ 2,512,365	$ -	05/01/26
Tehama County Department of Education	Tehama	Gerber Elementary	57/10520-00-001	12/15/23	$ 130,923	$ 87,282	05/01/26
Palo Alto Unified	Santa Clara	Palo Verde Elementary	57/69641-00-034	12/20/23	$ 732,592	$ -	05/01/26
Palo Alto Unified	Santa Clara	Ellen Fletcher Middle	57/69641-00-035	12/20/23	$ 1,113,846	$ -	05/01/26
Colton Joint Unified	San Bernardino	Terrace Hills Middle	57/67686-00-028	12/26/23	$ 2,877,321	$ -	05/01/26
Capistrano Unified	Orange	Dana Hills High	57/66464-00-029	01/02/24	$ 13,307,712	$ -	05/01/26
Hueneme Elementary	Ventura	Richard Bard Elementary	57/72462-00-018	01/03/24	$ 992,010	$ -	05/01/26
Fremont Union High	Santa Clara	Homestead High	57/69468-00-020	01/09/24	$ 3,071,357	$ -	05/01/26
Temecula Valley Unified	Riverside	Chaparral High	57/75192-00-023	01/09/24	$ 5,415,464	$ -	05/01/26
Murrieta Valley Unified	Riverside	Avaxat Elementary	57/75200-00-013	01/12/24	$ 633,127	$ -	05/01/26
Murrieta Valley Unified	Riverside	Alta Murrieta Elementary	57/75200-00-014	01/12/24	$ 1,139,604	$ -	05/01/26
Vacaville Unified	Solano	Alamo Elementary	57/70573-00-020	01/15/24	$ 3,297,274	$ -	05/01/26
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Thomas Starr King Middle School Film and Med	57/64733-00-805	01/16/24	$ 2,366,078	$ -	05/01/26
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Alexandria Avenue Elementary	57/64733-00-806	01/16/24	$ 953,663	$ -	05/01/26
Delphic Elementary	Siskiyou	Delphic Elementary	57/70227-00-001	01/16/24	$ 45,645	$ 30,430	05/01/26
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Norwood Street Elementary	57/64733-00-807	01/17/24	$ 4,762,209	$ -	05/01/26
Middletown Unified	Lake	Coyote Valley Elementary	57/64055-00-004	01/18/24	$ 1,390,315	$ -	05/01/26
Waterford Unified	Stanislaus	Richard M. Moon Primary	57/75572-00-007	01/19/24	$ 4,013,253	$ -	05/01/26
Flournoy Union Elementary	Tehama	Flournoy Elementary	57/71530-00-001	01/22/24	$ 41,763	$ 27,842	05/01/26
Fresno Unified	Fresno	Addams Elementary	57/62166-00-151	01/25/24	$ 1,505,136	$ -	05/01/26

SFP APPLICATIONS
Modernization School Facility Program
- Workload as of June 30, 2026 -

District	County	Site Name	Application Number	OPSC Date Received	Estimated State Grant (a)***	Financial Hardship (b)	Notification Occurred**
Newman-Crows Landing Unified	Stanislaus	Orestimba High	57/73601-00-005	01/25/24	$ 1,432,425	$ -	05/01/26
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Annandale Elementary	57/64733-00-808	01/26/24	$ 1,473,555	$ -	05/01/26
Fremont Unified	Alameda	William Hopkins Junior High	57/61176-00-057	01/29/24	$ 1,017,944	$ -	05/01/26
Chula Vista Elementary	San Diego	Allen (Ella B.) Elementary	57/68023-00-021	01/30/24	$ 3,190,075	$ -	05/01/26
Chula Vista Elementary	San Diego	Vista Square Elementary	57/68023-00-022	01/30/24	$ 2,233,053	$ -	05/01/26
Mammoth Unified	Mono	Mammoth High	57/73692-00-003	01/31/24	$ 321,989	$ -	06/02/26
Red Bluff Union Elementary	Tehama	Vista Preparatory Academy	57/71621-00-006	02/01/24	$ 4,316,865	$ -	06/02/26
Red Bluff Union Elementary	Tehama	William M. Metteer Elementary	57/71621-00-007	02/01/24	$ 3,220,508	$ -	06/02/26
Red Bluff Union Elementary	Tehama	Jackson Heights Elementary	57/71621-00-008	02/01/24	$ 3,358,217	$ -	06/02/26
Washington Unified	Fresno	West Fresno Elementary	57/76778-00-005	02/01/24	$ 1,068,504	$ -	06/02/26
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Emerson (Ralph Waldo) Junior M	57/64733-21-039	02/05/24	$ 641,170	$ -	06/02/26
Snowline Joint Unified	San Bernardino	Phelan Elementary	57/73957-00-005	02/05/24	$ 6,658,638	$ -	06/02/26
Alhambra Unified	Los Angeles	Mark Keppel High	57/75713-00-038	02/05/24	$ 3,759,536	$ -	06/02/26
Alhambra Unified	Los Angeles	Alhambra High	57/75713-00-039	02/05/24	$ 13,898,152	$ -	06/02/26
Hayward Unified	Alameda	Fairview Elementary	57/61192-00-010	02/07/24	$ 3,374,810	$ -	06/02/26
Hayward Unified	Alameda	Glassbrook Elementary	57/61192-00-011	02/07/24	$ 3,024,191	$ -	06/02/26
Hayward Unified	Alameda	Martin Luther King, Jr. Middle	57/61192-00-012	02/07/24	$ 4,514,850	$ -	06/02/26
Hayward Unified	Alameda	Tyrrell Elementary	57/61192-00-013	02/07/24	$ 3,639,030	$ -	06/02/26
Hayward Unified	Alameda	Park Elementary	57/61192-00-014	02/07/24	$ 3,151,794	$ -	06/02/26
Hayward Unified	Alameda	Hayward High	57/61192-00-015	02/07/24	$ 15,819,567	$ -	06/02/26
Hayward Unified	Alameda	Tennyson High	57/61192-00-016	02/07/24	$ 12,937,708	$ -	06/02/26
Hayward Unified	Alameda	Eldridge Elementary	57/61192-00-017	02/07/24	$ 1,807,505	$ -	06/02/26
Hayward Unified	Alameda	Cesar Chavez Middle	57/61192-00-018	02/07/24	$ 3,246,511	$ -	06/02/26
Hayward Unified	Alameda	Southgate Elementary	57/61192-00-019	02/07/24	$ 3,898,272	$ -	06/02/26
Hayward Unified	Alameda	Eden Gardens Elementary	57/61192-00-020	02/07/24	$ 3,068,852	$ -	06/02/26
Hayward Unified	Alameda	Ruus Elementary	57/61192-00-021	02/07/24	$ 2,418,077	$ -	06/02/26
Hayward Unified	Alameda	Schafer Park Elementary	57/61192-00-022	02/07/24	$ 4,096,056	$ -	06/02/26
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	San Gabriel Avenue Elementary	57/64733-00-809	02/07/24	$ 1,587,781	$ -	06/02/26
Anderson Valley Unified	Mendocino	Anderson Valley Junior-Senior High	57/65540-00-005	02/07/24	$ 600,372	$ -	06/02/26
Hayward Unified	Alameda	Brenkwitz High	57/61192-00-007	02/08/24	$ 1,547,920	$ -	06/02/26
Hayward Unified	Alameda	Burbank Elementary	57/61192-00-008	02/08/24	$ 3,416,845	$ -	06/02/26
Hayward Unified	Alameda	East Avenue Elementary	57/61192-00-009	02/08/24	$ 1,807,505	$ -	06/02/26
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Erwin Elementary	57/64733-00-810	02/08/24	$ 2,990,311	$ -	06/02/26
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Charles Leroy Lowman Special Education	57/64733-00-811	02/08/24	$ 1,917,876	$ -	06/02/26
Castle Rock Union Elementary	Shasta	Castle Rock Elementary	57/69922-00-002	02/08/24	$ 75,539	$ 50,359	06/02/26
Visalia Unified	Tulare	Redwood High	57/72256-00-039	02/08/24	$ 5,255,670	$ -	06/02/26
Campbell Union High	Santa Clara	Westmont High	57/69401-00-027	02/09/24	$ 965,178	$ -	07/01/26
Lynwood Unified	Los Angeles	Washington Elementary	57/64774-00-022	02/14/24	$ 1,721,061	$ -	07/01/26
Wilsona Elementary	Los Angeles	Vista San Gabriel Elementary	57/65151-00-003	02/15/24	$ 4,656,489	$ -	07/01/26
Tulare City	Tulare	Maple Elementary	57/72231-00-008	02/15/24	$ 987,711	$ -	07/01/26
Fontana Unified	San Bernardino	Palmetto Elementary	57/67710-00-031	02/21/24	$ 1,548,431	$ -	07/01/26
El Rancho Unified	Los Angeles	Birney Tech Academy	57/64527-00-022	02/23/24	$ 225,131	$ -	07/01/26
Saddleback Valley Unified	Orange	Robinson Elementary	57/73635-00-044	02/23/24	$ 6,088,356	$ -	07/01/26
Vacaville Unified	Solano	Sierra Vista Elementary	57/70573-00-021	02/27/24	$ 1,212,050	$ -	07/01/26
Pittsburg Unified	Contra Costa	Parkside Elementary	57/61788-00-015	03/04/24	$ 3,885,927	$ -	07/01/26
Pittsburg Unified	Contra Costa	Pittsburg Senior High	57/61788-00-016	03/04/24	$ 39,357,439	$ -	07/01/26
Yreka Union High	Siskiyou	Yreka High	57/70516-00-005	03/05/24	$ 3,496,268	$ -	07/01/26
Tulare City	Tulare	Garden Elementary	57/72231-00-009	03/06/24	$ 1,020,315	$ -	07/01/26

SFP APPLICATIONS
Modernization School Facility Program
- Workload as of June 30, 2026 -

District	County	Site Name	Application Number	OPSC Date Received	Estimated State Grant (a)***	Financial Hardship (b)	Notification Occurred**
Saint Helena Unified	Napa	Saint Helena Primary	57/66290-00-005	03/07/24	$ 537,701	$ -	07/01/26
Val Verde Unified	Riverside	Mead Valley Elementary	57/75242-00-016	03/12/24	$ 557,508	$ -	07/01/26
Val Verde Unified	Riverside	Tomas Rivera Middle	57/75242-00-017	03/12/24	$ 1,373,397	$ -	07/01/26
San Bernardino City Unified	San Bernardino	Sierra High	57/67876-00-123	03/13/24	$ 4,096,904	$ -	07/01/26
Sausalito Marin City	Marin	Martin Luther King, Jr., Academy	57/65474-00-002	03/14/24	$ 988,217	$ -	
Albany City Unified	Alameda	Cornell Elementary	57/61127-00-008	03/15/24	$ 1,734,546	$ -	
Wilsona Elementary	Los Angeles	Challenger Middle	57/65151-00-004	03/20/24	$ 6,682,198	$ -	
Moreno Valley Unified	Riverside	Canyon Springs High	57/67124-00-033	03/21/24	$ 7,131,205	$ -	
New Haven Unified	Alameda	Hillview Crest Elementary	57/61242-00-010	03/22/24	$ 2,934,869	$ -	
Palm Springs Unified	Riverside	Nellie N. Coffman Middle	57/67173-00-015	03/22/24	$ 1,767,322	$ -	
Manteca Unified	San Joaquin	Neil Hafley Elementary	57/68593-00-017	03/25/24	$ 921,677	$ -	
El Monte Union High	Los Angeles	El Monte High	57/64519-00-006	03/26/24	$ 3,503,780	$ -	
Lawndale Elementary	Los Angeles	Will Rogers Middle	57/64691-00-018	03/26/24	$ 3,504,719	$ -	
Hemet Unified	Riverside	West Valley High	57/67082-00-015	03/27/24	$ 1,077,493	$ -	
Hemet Unified	Riverside	Hemet High	57/67082-00-016	03/27/24	$ 3,553,024	$ -	
Greenfield Union	Kern	Greenfield Middle	57/63503-00-012	03/28/24	$ 2,673,425	$ 1,782,283	
Jefferson Union High	San Mateo	Oceana High	57/68924-00-010	03/28/24	$ 374,506	$ -	
Napa Valley Unified	Napa	American Canyon Middle	57/66266-00-044	03/29/24	$ 4,071,676	$ -	
Folsom-Cordova Unified	Sacramento	Folsom Middle	57/67330-21-010	04/01/24	$ 9,915,860	$ -	
Kings County Office of Education	Kings	Lincoln Elementary	57/10165-00-004	04/02/24	$ 80,380	$ 53,587	
Saugus Union	Los Angeles	Cedarcreek Elementary	57/64998-00-015	04/02/24	$ 1,633,732	$ -	
Ducor Union Elementary	Tulare	Ducor Union Elementary	57/71894-00-002	04/02/24	$ 223,634	$ 149,089	
Konocti Unified	Lake	Obsidian Middle	57/64022-00-018	04/05/24	$ 873,339	$ -	
Acalanes Union High	Contra Costa	Las Lomas High	57/61630-00-008	04/08/24	$ 2,661,903	$ -	
Acalanes Union High	Contra Costa	Miramonte High	57/61630-00-009	04/08/24	$ 1,459,851	$ -	
Kings County Office of Education	Kings	Armona Elementary	57/10165-00-005	04/09/24	$ 22,966	$ 15,310	
Lynwood Unified	Los Angeles	Lindbergh Elementary	57/64774-00-023	04/11/24	$ 1,409,398	$ -	
Long Beach Unified	Los Angeles	Avalon K-12	57/64725-00-058	04/12/24	$ 3,601,143	$ -	
Saint Helena Unified	Napa	Robert Louis Stevenson Intermediate	57/66290-00-006	04/12/24	$ 3,329,611	$ -	
Semitropic Elementary	Kern	Semitropic Elementary	57/63768-00-002	04/15/24	$ 30,058	$ 20,039	
Beverly Hills Unified	Los Angeles	Beverly Vista Middle	57/64311-00-010	04/15/24	$ 3,508,908	$ -	
Anaheim Union High	Orange	Western High	57/66431-07-003	04/15/24	$ 5,170,035	$ -	
Manteca Unified	San Joaquin	Sierra High	57/68593-00-018	04/15/24	$ 875,945	$ -	
Manteca Unified	San Joaquin	McParland (George) Elementary	57/68593-00-019	04/16/24	$ 6,438,816	$ -	
Hayward Unified	Alameda	Bret Harte Middle	57/61192-00-023	04/17/24	$ 3,670,848	$ -	
Hayward Unified	Alameda	Longwood Elementary	57/61192-00-024	04/17/24	$ 3,394,240	$ -	
Hayward Unified	Alameda	Palma Ceia Elementary	57/61192-00-025	04/17/24	$ 3,056,092	$ -	
Durham Unified	Butte	Durham Intermediate	57/61432-00-003	04/17/24	$ 2,079,663	$ -	
El Monte Union High	Los Angeles	Mountain View High	57/64519-00-007	04/17/24	$ 1,667,215	$ -	
Novato Unified	Marin	Hamilton Meadow Park Elementary	57/65417-00-033	04/17/24	$ 1,633,447	$ -	
Novato Unified	Marin	San Marin High	57/65417-00-034	04/17/24	$ 835,534	$ -	
Parlier Unified	Fresno	Parlier High	57/62364-00-013	04/18/24	$ 6,572,710	$ 2,735,990	
Gridley Unified	Butte	Gridley High	57/75507-00-006	04/18/24	$ 4,476,843	$ -	
Saugus Union	Los Angeles	Plum Canyon Elementary	57/64998-00-016	04/23/24	$ 5,416,747	$ -	
French Gulch-Whiskeytown Elementary	Shasta	French Gulch-Whiskeytown Elementary	57/69997-00-001	04/25/24	$ 240,064	$ -	
Folsom-Cordova Unified	Sacramento	Gold Ridge Elementary	57/67330-00-012	04/29/24	$ 3,877,955	$ -	
Forestville Union Elementary	Sonoma	Forestville Elementary	57/70680-00-002	04/30/24	$ 1,510,556	$ -	
Duarte Unified	Los Angeles	Duarte High	57/64469-00-016	05/01/24	$ 3,157,570	$ -	

SFP APPLICATIONS
Modernization School Facility Program
- Workload as of June 30, 2026 -

District	County	Site Name	Application Number	OPSC Date Received	Estimated State Grant (a)***	Financial Hardship (b)	Notification Occurred**
Simi Valley Unified	Ventura	Santa Susana High	57/72603-00-037	05/02/24	$ 6,045,235	$ -	
El Monte Union High	Los Angeles	El Monte High	57/64519-00-008	05/06/24	$ 3,093,022	$ -	
Jefferson Union High	San Mateo	Jefferson High	57/68924-00-011	05/06/24	$ 8,040,163	$ -	
Jefferson Union High	San Mateo	Terra Nova High	57/68924-00-012	05/06/24	$ 3,046,798	$ -	
Hueneme Elementary	Ventura	Hueneme Elementary	57/72462-00-019	05/06/24	$ 3,277,648	$ -	
Hueneme Elementary	Ventura	Sunkist Elementary	57/72462-00-020	05/06/24	$ 745,494	$ -	
Roseville Joint Union High	Placer	Oakmont High	57/66928-00-009	05/08/24	$ 1,267,795	$ -	
Roseville Joint Union High	Placer	Woodcreek High	57/66928-00-010	05/08/24	$ 1,545,649	$ -	
Chino Valley Unified	San Bernardino	Hidden Trails Elementary	57/67678-00-038	05/08/24	$ 784,814	$ -	
Pomona Unified	Los Angeles	La Verne Science and Technology Charter	57/64907-00-054	05/10/24	$ 1,497,730	$ -	
Pacific Elementary	Santa Cruz	Pacific Elementary	57/69781-00-001	05/10/24	$ 1,354,324	$ 902,883	
William S. Hart Union High	Los Angeles	William S. Hart High	57/65136-00-014	05/13/24	$ 3,834,269	$ -	
William S. Hart Union High	Los Angeles	Saugus High	57/65136-00-015	05/13/24	$ 1,482,891	$ -	
San Juan Unified	Sacramento	Mariemont Elementary	57/67447-00-088	05/13/24	$ 3,770,648	$ -	
San Juan Unified	Sacramento	Sierra Oaks K-8	57/67447-00-089	05/13/24	$ 1,726,825	$ -	
Cutler-Orosi Joint Unified	Tulare	Orosi High	57/71860-00-014	05/13/24	$ 5,045,443	$ 3,363,629	
Middletown Unified	Lake	Middletown High	57/64055-00-005	05/14/24	$ 743,650	$ -	
Pomona Unified	Los Angeles	Emerson Middle	57/64907-00-055	05/16/24	$ 1,763,835	$ -	
Greenfield Union	Kern	Leon H. Ollivier Middle	57/63503-00-013	05/17/24	$ 3,769,899	$ -	
Manteca Unified	San Joaquin	New Haven Elementary	57/68593-00-020	05/20/24	$ 786,049	$ -	
Manteca Unified	San Joaquin	East Union High	57/68593-00-021	05/20/24	$ 8,104,373	$ -	
William S. Hart Union High	Los Angeles	Valencia High	57/65136-00-016	05/21/24	$ 3,109,605	$ -	
Lemon Grove	San Diego	San Miguel Elementary	57/68205-00-011	05/21/24	$ 1,187,773	$ -	
Manteca Unified	San Joaquin	Nile Garden Elementary	57/68593-00-022	05/21/24	$ 5,076,021	$ -	
Fontana Unified	San Bernardino	West Randall Elementary	57/67710-00-032	05/22/24	$ 1,484,436	$ -	
Fallbrook Union High	San Diego	Fallbrook High	57/68122-00-004	05/22/24	$ 16,521,287	$ -	
Pond Union Elementary	Kern	Pond Elementary	57/63719-00-001	05/23/24	$ 262,227	$ 174,818	
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Abraham Lincoln Senior High	57/64733-08-009	05/24/24	$ 5,034,667	$ -	
Mill Valley Elementary	Marin	Edna Maguire Elementary	57/65391-00-011	05/28/24	$ 926,360	$ -	
Palm Springs Unified	Riverside	Desert Learning Academy	57/67173-00-016	05/28/24	$ 3,414,378	$ -	
Simi Valley Unified	Ventura	Simi Valley High	57/72603-00-038	05/28/24	$ 3,748,046	$ -	
Vineland Elementary	Kern	Vineland Elementary	57/63834-00-003	05/29/24	$ 311,060	$ 207,373	
Vineland Elementary	Kern	Sunset	57/63834-00-004	05/29/24	$ 296,228	$ 197,485	
Downey Unified	Los Angeles	Doty (Wendy Lopour) Middle	57/64451-00-041	05/29/24	$ 1,095,892	$ -	
Lynwood Unified	Los Angeles	Lynwood High	57/64774-00-024	05/29/24	$ 3,260,471	$ -	
Forestville Union Elementary	Sonoma	Forestville Elementary	57/70680-00-003	05/29/24	$ 2,518,003	$ -	
Piner-Olivet Union Elementary	Sonoma	Olivet Elementary	57/70870-00-005	05/29/24	$ 802,069	$ -	
Sundale Union Elementary	Tulare	Sundale Elementary	57/72173-00-003	05/29/24	$ 234,308	$ 156,205	
Elk Grove Unified	Sacramento	James Rutter Middle	57/67314-00-041	05/30/24	$ 1,255,601	$ -	
Los Nietos	Los Angeles	Aeolian Elementary	57/64758-00-011	05/31/24	$ 6,393,454	$ -	
Elk Grove Unified	Sacramento	Pleasant Grove Elementary	57/67314-00-042	06/03/24	$ 978,830	$ -	
Perris Elementary	Riverside	Perris Elementary	57/67199-00-006	06/04/24	$ 5,272,533	$ -	
Elk Grove Unified	Sacramento	Isabelle Jackson Elementary	57/67314-00-043	06/05/24	$ 2,480,163	$ -	
Long Beach Unified	Los Angeles	Gompers Elementary	57/64725-00-059	06/07/24	$ 5,768,462	$ -	
Long Beach Unified	Los Angeles	Stanford Middle	57/64725-00-060	06/11/24	$ 1,870,286	$ -	
Pomona Unified	Los Angeles	Ganesha High	57/64907-00-056	06/12/24	$ 3,424,380	$ -	
San Bruno Park Elementary	San Mateo	Allen (Decima M.) Elementary	57/69013-00-009	06/12/24	$ 4,211,221	$ -	
Vacaville Unified	Solano	Jean Callison Elementary	57/70573-00-022	06/13/24	$ 1,537,616	$ -	

SFP APPLICATIONS
Modernization School Facility Program
- Workload as of June 30, 2026 -

District	County	Site Name	Application Number	OPSC Date Received	Estimated State Grant (a)***	Financial Hardship (b)	Notification Occurred**
Vacaville Unified	Solano	Browns Valley Elementary	57/70573-00-023	06/13/24	$ 1,515,009	$ -	
Vacaville Unified	Solano	Cooper Elementary	57/70573-00-024	06/13/24	$ 1,268,706	$ -	
Santa Rosa Elementary	Sonoma	Luther Burbank Elementary	57/70912-00-013	06/13/24	$ 2,079,903	$ -	
Calistoga Joint Unified	Napa	Calistoga Elementary	57/66241-00-004	06/20/24	$ 1,561,034	$ -	
Anaheim Union High	Orange	Western High	57/66431-07-004	06/20/24	$ 5,742,973	$ -	
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Thirty-Second Street USC Performing Arts	57/64733-00-812	06/24/24	$ 689,422	$ -	
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Cienega Elementary	57/64733-00-813	06/24/24	$ 2,801,053	$ -	
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	John Marshall Senior High	57/64733-12-013	06/24/24	$ 8,447,399	$ -	
Pomona Unified	Los Angeles	Kingsley Elementary	57/64907-00-057	06/24/24	$ 713,214	$ -	
Pomona Unified	Los Angeles	Alcott Elementary	57/64907-00-058	06/24/24	$ 1,240,321	$ -	
Pomona Unified	Los Angeles	Kellogg Polytechnic Elementary	57/64907-00-059	06/24/24	$ 1,518,633	$ -	
Pomona Unified	Los Angeles	Harrison Elementary	57/64907-00-060	06/24/24	$ 2,140,524	$ -	
Pomona Unified	Los Angeles	Philadelphia Elementary	57/64907-00-061	06/24/24	$ 813,922	$ -	
Pomona Unified	Los Angeles	Simons Middle	57/64907-00-062	06/24/24	$ 1,848,017	$ -	
Pomona Unified	Los Angeles	Golden Springs Elementary	57/64907-00-063	06/24/24	$ 888,951	$ -	
Pomona Unified	Los Angeles	Arroyo Elementary	57/64907-00-065	06/24/24	$ 780,697	$ -	
Elk Grove Unified	Sacramento	Isabelle Jackson Elementary	57/67314-00-044	06/24/24	$ 1,868,364	$ -	
Modesto City High	Stanislaus	Thomas Downey High	57/71175-00-004	06/27/24	$ 2,175,074	$ -	
Hacienda la Puente Unified	Los Angeles	William Workman High	57/73445-00-034	06/27/24	$ 1,632,759	$ -	
Hacienda la Puente Unified	Los Angeles	Glen A. Wilson High	57/73445-00-035	07/01/24	$ 2,681,714	$ -	
Saugus Union	Los Angeles	Highlands Elementary	57/64998-00-017	07/02/24	$ 3,745,954	$ -	
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Academy for Enriched Sciences	57/64733-00-814	07/03/24	$ 1,874,241	$ -	
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Langdon Avenue Elementary	57/64733-00-815	07/08/24	$ 6,860,282	$ -	
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Ivanhoe Elementary	57/64733-00-816	07/08/24	$ 1,944,064	$ -	
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Harbor City Elementary	57/64733-00-817	07/08/24	$ 1,915,874	$ -	
San Mateo-Foster City	San Mateo	Foster City Elementary	57/69039-00-014	07/08/24	$ 4,214,401	$ -	
Vista Unified	San Diego	Bobier Elementary	57/68452-00-017	07/09/24	$ 3,937,478	$ -	
Roseville Joint Union High	Placer	Granite Bay High	57/66928-00-011	07/10/24	$ 4,379,725	$ -	
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Johnnie Cochran Jr. Middle	57/64733-00-818	07/15/24	$ 2,193,744	$ -	
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Robert Hill Lane Elementary	57/64733-00-819	07/17/24	$ 1,679,909	$ -	
Rio Bravo-Greeley Union Elementary	Kern	Rio Bravo-Greeley Elementary	57/73544-00-003	07/18/24	$ 2,041,912	$ -	
East Whittier City Elementary	Los Angeles	East Whittier Middle	57/64485-00-014	07/22/24	$ 1,872,627	$ -	
Fremont Unified	Alameda	Centerville Junior High	57/61176-00-058	07/25/24	$ 8,982,793	$ -	
Fremont Unified	Alameda	Thornton Junior High	57/61176-00-059	07/25/24	$ 11,164,649	$ -	
San Jacinto Unified	Riverside	San Jacinto Leadership Academy - Magnet	57/67249-00-009	07/25/24	$ 1,199,898	$ -	
Fontana Unified	San Bernardino	Fontana High	57/67710-00-033	07/26/24	$ 9,893,532	$ -	
Tamalpais Union High	Marin	Archie Williams High	57/65482-00-006	07/29/24	$ 2,783,339	$ -	
Tamalpais Union High	Marin	Tamalpais High	57/65482-00-007	07/29/24	$ 2,057,916	$ -	
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Bandini Street Elementary	57/64733-00-820	07/31/24	$ 1,643,219	$ -	
Vallejo City Unified	Solano	Glen Cove Elementary	57/70581-00-030	07/31/24	$ 3,224,387	$ -	
Vallejo City Unified	Solano	Grace Patterson Elementary	57/70581-00-031	07/31/24	$ 2,048,028	$ -	
Vallejo City Unified	Solano	Joseph H. Wardlaw Elementary	57/70581-00-032	07/31/24	$ 5,244,483	$ -	
Vallejo City Unified	Solano	Steffan Manor Elementary	57/70581-00-033	07/31/24	$ 1,194,843	$ -	
Konocti Unified	Lake	East Lake Elementary	57/64022-00-019	08/01/24	$ 592,728	$ -	
Standard Elementary	Kern	Highland Elementary	57/63792-00-004	08/05/24	$ 967,094	$ -	
Paradise Unified	Butte	Cedarwood Elementary	57/61531-00-011	08/06/24	$ 288,465	$ -	
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Hillside Elementary	57/64733-00-821	08/06/24	$ 3,084,957	$ -	
San Juan Unified	Sacramento	Arcade Fundamental Middle	57/67447-00-090	08/06/24	$ 6,434,207	$ -	

SFP APPLICATIONS
Modernization School Facility Program
- Workload as of June 30, 2026 -

District	County	Site Name	Application Number	OPSC Date Received	Estimated State Grant (a)***	Financial Hardship (b)	Notification Occurred**
Standard Elementary	Kern	Wingland Elementary	57/63792-00-005	08/07/24	$ 1,414,998	$ -	
Long Beach Unified	Los Angeles	Gant Elementary	57/64725-00-061	08/07/24	$ 6,868,245	$ -	
Santa Paula Unified	Ventura	Santa Paula High	57/76828-00-007	08/07/24	$ 9,397,683	$ -	
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Montara Avenue Elementary	57/64733-00-822	08/08/24	$ 1,605,657	$ -	
Placerville Union Elementary	El Dorado	Edwin Markham Middle	57/61952-00-004	08/09/24	$ 243,181	$ -	
Long Beach Unified	Los Angeles	Helen Keller Middle	57/64725-00-062	08/12/24	$ 487,338	$ -	
Keyes Union	Stanislaus	Barbara Spratling Middle	57/71134-00-003	08/14/24	$ 922,403	$ -	
Keyes Union	Stanislaus	Keyes Elementary	57/71134-00-004	08/14/24	$ 1,701,513	$ -	
Pomona Unified	Los Angeles	Ganesha High	57/64907-00-066	08/16/24	$ 2,810,590	$ -	
Hemet Unified	Riverside	Whittier Elementary	57/67082-00-017	08/16/24	$ 4,553,992	$ -	
Pleasanton Unified	Alameda	Amador Valley High	57/75101-00-025	08/16/24	$ 1,815,491	$ -	
Pleasanton Unified	Alameda	Thomas S. Hart Middle	57/75101-00-026	08/16/24	$ 754,866	$ -	
Grossmont Union High	San Diego	Chaparral High	57/68130-00-040	08/20/24	$ 280,075	$ -	
Grossmont Union High	San Diego	Helix High	57/68130-00-041	08/20/24	$ 2,098,020	$ -	
Willows Unified	Glenn	Willows Intermediate	57/62661-00-008	08/21/24	$ 2,345,432	$ -	
Manteca Unified	San Joaquin	Manteca High	57/68593-00-023	08/22/24	$ 22,038,683	$ -	
Pleasanton Unified	Alameda	Foothill High	57/75101-00-027	08/22/24	$ 2,033,494	$ -	
Fresno Unified	Fresno	Del Mar Elementary	57/62166-00-152	08/23/24	$ 1,384,886	$ -	
Vallecitos Elementary	San Diego	Vallecitos Elementary	57/68437-00-001	08/23/24	$ 173,627	$ 115,751	
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Heliotrope Avenue Elementary	57/64733-00-823	08/26/24	$ 806,160	$ -	
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Chase Street Elementary	57/64733-00-824	08/26/24	$ 842,267	$ -	
Greenfield Union Elementary	Monterey	Mary Chapa Academy	57/66035-00-007	08/28/24	$ 1,860,000	$ 1,240,000	
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Eagle Rock High	57/64733-00-825	08/29/24	$ 13,016,714	$ -	
Sweetwater Union High	San Diego	Eastlake High	57/68411-00-053	08/29/24	$ 9,197,423	$ -	
Simi Valley Unified	Ventura	Royal High	57/72603-00-039	08/29/24	$ 1,172,443	$ -	
Woodland Joint Unified	Yolo	Beamer Elementary	57/72710-00-017	08/29/24	$ 873,886	$ -	
Woodland Joint Unified	Yolo	Cache Creek High	57/72710-00-018	08/29/24	$ 1,491,096	$ -	
Woodland Joint Unified	Yolo	Dingle Elementary	57/72710-00-019	08/29/24	$ 1,118,839	$ -	
Woodland Joint Unified	Yolo	Woodland Prairie Elementary	57/72710-00-020	08/29/24	$ 2,379,796	$ -	
Grossmont Union High	San Diego	Granite Hills High	57/68130-00-042	08/30/24	$ 310,348	$ -	
Placerville Union Elementary	El Dorado	Louisiana Schnell Elementary	57/61952-00-005	09/04/24	$ 544,482	$ -	
Woodland Joint Unified	Yolo	Plainfield Elementary	57/72710-00-021	09/04/24	$ 369,239	$ -	
Dixon Unified	Solano	Anderson (Linford L.) Elementary	57/70532-00-006	09/05/24	$ 4,707,886	$ -	
Hacienda la Puente Unified	Los Angeles	Los Altos High	57/73445-00-036	09/05/24	$ 5,295,626	$ -	
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Joseph Le Conte Middle	57/64733-00-826	09/09/24	$ 940,271	$ -	
Merced Union High	Merced	Golden Valley High	57/65789-00-012	09/11/24	$ 3,459,983	$ -	
Elk Grove Unified	Sacramento	Samuel Jackman Middle	57/67314-00-045	09/13/24	$ 2,517,320	$ -	
Elk Grove Unified	Sacramento	Prairie Elementary	57/67314-00-046	09/13/24	$ 2,371,975	$ -	
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Betty Plasencia Elementary	57/64733-11-017	09/17/24	$ 6,516,759	$ -	
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Barton Hill Elementary	57/64733-00-827	09/18/24	$ 768,590	$ -	
Monterey Peninsula Unified	Monterey	Marina Vista Elementary	57/66092-00-046	09/18/24	$ 636,606	$ -	
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Patrick Henry Middle	57/64733-00-828	09/20/24	$ 4,340,766	$ -	
Mount Pleasant Elementary	Santa Clara	Mt. Pleasant Elementary	57/69617-00-001	09/23/24	$ 3,829,286	$ -	
Valley Home Joint Elementary	Stanislaus	Valley Home Elementary	57/71324-00-001	09/24/24	$ 307,978	$ 205,319	
Anaheim Union High	Orange	South Junior High	57/66431-02-001	09/25/24	$ 1,898,130	$ -	
Modesto City Elementary	Stanislaus	La Loma Junior High	57/71167-00-008	09/25/24	$ 1,554,310	$ -	
Dublin Unified	Alameda	Frederiksen Elementary	57/75093-00-017	09/25/24	$ 939,170	$ -	
Fountain Valley Elementary	Orange	Fulton (Harry C.) Middle	57/66498-00-023	09/26/24	$ 821,918	$ -	

SFP APPLICATIONS
Modernization School Facility Program
- Workload as of June 30, 2026 -

District	County	Site Name	Application Number	OPSC Date Received	Estimated State Grant (a)***	Financial Hardship (b)	Notification Occurred**
Fountain Valley Elementary	Orange	Gisler (Robert) Elementary	57/66498-00-024	09/26/24	$ 612,487	$ -	
Fountain Valley Elementary	Orange	Masuda (Kazuo) Middle	57/66498-00-025	09/26/24	$ 352,667	$ -	
Fountain Valley Elementary	Orange	Tamura (Hisamatsu) Elementary	57/66498-00-026	09/26/24	$ 656,570	$ -	
Washington Colony Elementary	Fresno	Washington Colony Elementary	57/62513-00-002	09/27/24	$ 1,587,778	$ 1,058,519	
Pomona Unified	Los Angeles	Lexington Elementary	57/64907-00-067	10/01/24	$ 1,125,581	$ -	
Pomona Unified	Los Angeles	Lincoln Elementary	57/64907-00-068	10/02/24	$ 732,490	$ -	
Pomona Unified	Los Angeles	La Verne Science and Technology Charter	57/64907-00-069	10/02/24	$ 340,732	$ -	
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	One Hundred Ninth Street Elementary	57/64733-00-829	10/03/24	$ 746,632	$ -	
Manteca Unified	San Joaquin	East Union High	57/68593-00-024	10/03/24	$ 2,794,265	$ -	
Buellton Union Elementary	Santa Barbara	Oak Valley Elementary	57/69138-00-004	10/03/24	$ 1,119,133	$ -	
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	John Burroughs Middle	57/64733-00-830	10/04/24	$ 15,434,448	$ -	
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	San Miguel Elementary	57/64733-00-831	10/04/24	$ 5,817,883	$ -	
Anaheim Union High	Orange	Anaheim High	57/66431-01-005	10/04/24	$ 2,368,338	$ -	
Lewiston Elementary	Trinity	Lewiston Elementary	57/71746-00-001	10/04/24	$ 1,516,256	$ 1,010,837	
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Susan Miller Dorsey Senior High	57/64733-00-832	10/07/24	$ 2,397,619	$ -	
Anaheim Union High	Orange	Brookhurst Junior High	57/66431-06-001	10/07/24	$ 1,223,163	$ -	
Anaheim Union High	Orange	Western High	57/66431-07-005	10/07/24	$ 6,929,400	$ -	
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Noble Avenue Elementary	57/64733-00-833	10/08/24	$ 1,109,450	$ -	
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Victory Boulevard Elementary	57/64733-22-017	10/08/24	$ 4,357,811	$ -	
Etiwanda Elementary	San Bernardino	Carleton P. Lightfoot Elementary	57/67702-00-004	10/08/24	$ 3,828,090	$ -	
Etiwanda Elementary	San Bernardino	East Heritage Elementary	57/67702-00-005	10/08/24	$ 3,349,579	$ -	
Colton Joint Unified	San Bernardino	Grand Terrace High	58/67686-00-004	10/08/24	$ 1,034,001	$ -	
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	San Fernando Senior High	57/64733-73-007	10/09/24	$ 904,088	$ -	
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Russell Elementary	57/64733-15-014	10/10/24	$ 2,345,384	$ -	
Elk Grove Unified	Sacramento	Elk Grove High	57/67314-00-047	10/11/24	$ 23,428,076	$ -	
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Normandie Avenue Elementary	57/64733-00-834	10/14/24	$ 7,547,946	$ -	
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Angeles Mesa Elementary	57/64733-00-835	10/14/24	$ 1,327,465	$ -	
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Washington Irving Middle School Math, Music a	57/64733-12-014	10/14/24	$ 3,617,871	$ -	
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Clover Avenue Elementary	57/64733-21-040	10/14/24	$ 159,829	$ -	
Pomona Unified	Los Angeles	Marshall Middle	57/64907-00-070	10/14/24	$ 1,439,170	$ -	
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Baldwin Hills Elementary	57/64733-23-007	10/15/24	$ 1,532,115	$ -	
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Amestoy Elementary	57/64733-33-025	10/16/24	$ 1,091,161	$ -	
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Alexander Hamilton Senior High	57/64733-00-837	10/18/24	$ 25,497,690	$ -	
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Sixty-Eight Street Elementary	57/64733-00-838	10/18/24	$ 1,462,508	$ -	
Etiwanda Elementary	San Bernardino	Summit Intermediate	57/67702-00-006	10/18/24	$ 7,455,396	$ -	
Santa Barbara Unified	Santa Barbara	Washington Elementary	57/76786-00-022	10/18/24	$ 1,580,332	$ -	
Pittsburg Unified	Contra Costa	Parkside Elementary	57/61788-00-014	10/21/24	$ 139,907	$ -	
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Fishburn Avenue Elementary	57/64733-00-839	10/21/24	$ 866,424	$ -	
Modesto City Elementary	Stanislaus	Sonoma Elementary	57/71167-00-009	10/21/24	$ 3,758,432	$ -	
Modesto City Elementary	Stanislaus	Mark Twain Junior High	57/71167-00-010	10/21/24	$ 3,858,419	$ -	
Pomona Unified	Los Angeles	Pantera Elementary	57/64907-00-071	10/22/24	$ 1,274,141	$ -	
Mountain View Whisman	Santa Clara	Benjamin Bubb Elementary	57/69591-00-010	10/22/24	$ 1,792,384	$ -	
Mountain View Whisman	Santa Clara	Amy Imai Elementary	57/69591-00-011	10/22/24	$ 1,301,239	$ -	
Mountain View Whisman	Santa Clara	Edith Landels Elementary	57/69591-00-012	10/22/24	$ 1,498,791	$ -	
Mountain View Whisman	Santa Clara	Isaac Newton Graham Middle	57/69591-00-013	10/22/24	$ 3,010,805	$ -	
Long Beach Unified	Los Angeles	Lakewood High	57/64725-00-063	10/23/24	$ 8,982,170	$ -	
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Logan Academy of Global Ecology	57/64733-00-840	10/23/24	$ 1,707,000	$ -	
Monterey Peninsula Unified	Monterey	Los Arboles Middle	57/66092-00-047	10/23/24	$ 1,310,443	$ -	

SFP APPLICATIONS
Modernization School Facility Program
- Workload as of June 30, 2026 -

District	County	Site Name	Application Number	OPSC Date Received	Estimated State Grant (a)***	Financial Hardship (b)	Notification Occurred**
Walnut Creek Elementary	Contra Costa	Walnut Creek Intermediate	57/61812-00-009	10/24/24	$ 9,933,644	$ -	
Las Virgenes Unified	Los Angeles	Round Meadow Elementary	57/64683-00-012	10/24/24	$ 5,302,901	$ -	
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Manchester Avenue Elementary	57/64733-15-015	10/24/24	$ 743,184	$ -	
Wiseburn Unified	Los Angeles	Wiseburn Middle	57/76869-00-001	10/24/24	$ 2,209,280	$ -	
Merced Union High	Merced	Livingston High	57/65789-00-013	10/25/24	$ 3,346,598	$ -	
Saint Helena Unified	Napa	Saint Helena Elementary	57/66290-00-007	10/25/24	$ 1,355,044	$ -	
Conejo Valley Unified	Ventura	Colina Middle	57/73759-00-061	10/25/24	$ 1,754,428	$ -	
Conejo Valley Unified	Ventura	Sequoia Middle	57/73759-00-062	10/25/24	$ 3,258,224	$ -	
Wiseburn Unified	Los Angeles	Del Aire Elementary	57/76869-00-002	10/25/24	$ 1,375,538	$ -	
Wiseburn Unified	Los Angeles	Aviation Elementary	57/76869-00-003	10/25/24	$ 694,969	$ -	
Wiseburn Unified	Los Angeles	Hollyglen Elementary	57/76869-00-004	10/25/24	$ 748,202	$ -	
San Diego Unified	San Diego	Taft Middle	57/68338-00-294	10/26/24	$ 8,803,299	$ -	
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Seventh Street Elementary	57/64733-20-027	10/28/24	$ 1,308,105	$ -	
San Rafael City Elementary	Marin	Coleman Elementary	57/65458-00-018	10/28/24	$ 3,105,103	$ -	
San Rafael City Elementary	Marin	Glenwood Elementary	57/65458-00-019	10/28/24	$ 2,839,167	$ -	
Santa Rita Union Elementary	Monterey	Gavilan View Middle	57/66191-00-006	10/28/24	$ 8,090,855	$ -	
Palm Springs Unified	Riverside	Landau Elementary	57/67173-00-017	10/28/24	$ 4,500,330	$ -	
San Diego Unified	San Diego	Miramar Ranch Elementary	57/68338-00-295	10/28/24	$ 7,011,785	$ -	
San Diego Unified	San Diego	Zamorano Elementary	57/68338-00-296	10/28/24	$ 10,495,347	$ -	
San Diego Unified	San Diego	Ericson Elementary	57/68338-00-297	10/28/24	$ 9,251,218	$ -	
Camptonville Elementary	Yuba	Camptonville Elementary	57/72728-00-001	10/28/24	$ 78,307	$ 52,205	
Fremont Unified	Alameda	American High	57/61176-00-060	10/29/24	$ 29,874,303	$ -	
Martinez Unified	Contra Costa	Morello Park Elementary	57/61739-00-014	10/29/24	$ 3,334,095	$ -	
West Contra Costa Unified	Contra Costa	Collins Elementary	57/61796-00-059	10/29/24	$ 5,194,881	$ -	
West Contra Costa Unified	Contra Costa	Shannon Elementary	57/61796-00-060	10/29/24	$ 3,577,258	$ -	
Las Virgenes Unified	Los Angeles	Calabasas High	57/64683-00-013	10/29/24	$ 4,549,428	$ -	
Long Beach Unified	Los Angeles	Tincher Preparatory	57/64725-00-064	10/29/24	$ 11,325,166	$ -	
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Audubon Middle	57/64733-00-841	10/29/24	$ 1,085,027	$ -	
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Marianna Avenue Elementary	57/64733-00-842	10/29/24	$ 799,186	$ -	
Pomona Unified	Los Angeles	Madison Elementary	57/64907-00-072	10/29/24	$ 961,892	$ -	
San Rafael City Elementary	Marin	Venetia Valley K-8	57/65458-00-020	10/29/24	$ 2,451,166	$ -	
Calistoga Joint Unified	Napa	Calistoga Junior/Senior High	57/66241-00-005	10/29/24	$ 2,333,311	$ -	
Saint Helena Unified	Napa	Saint Helena High	57/66290-00-008	10/29/24	$ 6,461,456	$ -	
Palm Springs Unified	Riverside	Sunny Sands Elementary	57/67173-00-018	10/29/24	$ 6,176,936	$ -	
Elk Grove Unified	Sacramento	Sheldon High	57/67314-00-048	10/29/24	$ 19,343,051	$ -	
Elk Grove Unified	Sacramento	Laguna Creek High	57/67314-00-049	10/29/24	$ 14,660,158	$ -	
Fontana Unified	San Bernardino	South Tamarind Elementary	57/67710-00-034	10/29/24	$ 1,577,972	$ -	
San Diego Unified	San Diego	Boone Elementary	57/68338-00-298	10/29/24	$ 6,775,719	$ -	
San Diego Unified	San Diego	Hickman Elementary	57/68338-00-299	10/29/24	$ 5,786,796	$ -	
San Diego Unified	San Diego	San Diego High	57/68338-00-300	10/29/24	$ 30,636,323	$ -	
San Diego Unified	San Diego	Clark Middle	57/68338-00-301	10/29/24	$ 4,881,476	$ -	
San Diego Unified	San Diego	Chesterton Elementary	57/68338-00-302	10/29/24	$ 4,931,856	$ -	
San Diego Unified	San Diego	Cubberley Elementary	57/68338-00-303	10/29/24	$ 2,488,259	$ -	
San Diego Unified	San Diego	Hamilton Elementary	57/68338-00-304	10/29/24	$ 12,517,854	$ -	
San Diego Unified	San Diego	Correia Middle	57/68338-00-305	10/29/24	$ 7,287,811	$ -	
San Diego Unified	San Diego	Mason Elementary	57/68338-00-306	10/29/24	$ 2,552,060	$ -	
San Diego Unified	San Diego	Clairemont Canyons Academy	57/68338-00-307	10/29/24	$ 5,997,341	$ -	
San Diego Unified	San Diego	Lewis Middle	57/68338-00-308	10/29/24	$ 2,552,060	$ -	

SFP APPLICATIONS
Modernization School Facility Program
- Workload as of June 30, 2026 -

District	County	Site Name	Application Number	OPSC Date Received	Estimated State Grant (a)***	Financial Hardship (b)	Notification Occurred**
San Diego Unified	San Diego	Linda Vista Elementary	57/68338-00-309	10/29/24	$ 5,250,863	$ -	
San Diego Unified	San Diego	Dailard Elementary	57/68338-00-310	10/29/24	$ 4,619,229	$ -	
San Diego Unified	San Diego	Hoover High	57/68338-00-311	10/29/24	$ 26,662,994	$ -	
San Diego Unified	San Diego	Bay Park Elementary	57/68338-00-312	10/29/24	$ 3,885,511	$ -	
San Diego Unified	San Diego	Alcott Elementary	57/68338-00-313	10/29/24	$ 3,885,511	$ -	
San Diego Unified	San Diego	Holmes Elementary	57/68338-00-314	10/29/24	$ 3,885,511	$ -	
San Diego Unified	San Diego	Spreckels Elementary	57/68338-00-315	10/29/24	$ 5,455,028	$ -	
San Diego Unified	San Diego	Canyon Hills High	57/68338-00-316	10/29/24	$ 20,628,505	$ -	
Fremont Union High	Santa Clara	Lynbrook High	57/69468-00-021	10/29/24	$ 2,359,856	$ -	
Big Springs Union Elementary	Siskiyou	Big Springs Elementary	57/70185-00-003	10/29/24	$ 28,154	$ 18,769	
Milpitas Unified	Santa Clara	Pearl Zanker Elementary	57/73387-00-015	10/29/24	$ 5,649,938	$ -	
Milpitas Unified	Santa Clara	Anthony Spangler Elementary	57/73387-00-016	10/29/24	$ 5,640,631	$ -	
Dublin Unified	Alameda	Dublin Elementary	57/75093-00-018	10/29/24	$ 840,119	$ -	
Mountain Empire Unified	San Diego	Potrero Elementary	58/68213-00-001	11/25/25	$ 109,192	$ 72,795	
Mountain Empire Unified	San Diego	Campo Elementary	58/68213-00-002	11/25/25	$ 123,945	$ 82,630	
Mountain Empire Unified	San Diego	Pine Valley	58/68213-00-004	11/25/25	$ 8,333	$ 5,555	
Mountain Empire Unified	San Diego	Descanso Elementary	58/68213-00-005	11/25/25	$ 224,279	$ 149,519	
Mountain Empire Unified	San Diego	Mountain Empire High	58/68213-00-006	02/26/26	$ 260,997	$ 173,998	
Anderson Valley Unified	Mendocino	Anderson Valley Junior-Senior	58/65540-00-004*	04/14/26	$ -	$ -	
Piner-Olivet Union Elementary	Sonoma	Olivet Elementary	58/70870-00-001	05/28/26	$ 765,043	$ -	
					$ 1,868,742,656	**$ 18,039,959**	
Total number of applications:	**548**			**Total amount**	**$**	**1,886,782,615**	

MODERNIZATION FUNDING SUBTOTALS	**$ 1,866,216,866**	**$ 17,555,462**
MODERNIZATION FACILITY HARDSHIP - NON SEISMIC	**$ 2,525,790**	**$ 484,497**
TOTAL MODERNIZATION FUNDING	**$**	**1,886,782,615**
MODERNIZATION FACILITY HARDSHIP - SEISMIC	**$ -**	**$ -**

(a) Represents estimated 60% state share of project including excessive cost grants. Sesimic Mitigation Program projects represents the estimated 50% state share of project. Amounts shown have not been reviewed by OPSC for compliance with all School Facility Program requirements.


(b) Represents estimated financial hardship. Amounts shown have not been reviewed by OPSC for compliance with all School Facility Program requirements.

*Facility Hardship project requesting Seismic Mitigation Program funding.

**School districts have been notified that the Office of Public School Construction will begin processing the district's application in the near future.

*** Estimated State Grant is equal to the estimated State Share at the time the application was submitted.

School Facility Program Applications within Bond Authority*
- in millions of dollars, as of June 30, 2026 -



*The projects on this report only represent completed applications that are awaiting Office of Public School Construction (OPSC) processing and scheduling to the State Allocation Board. It does not reflect the OPSC's complete workload or guarantee the project is within available bond authority.

** Includes Facility Hardship Non-Seismic applications (as applicable)

CHARTER SCHOOL FACILITIES PROGRAM

Submitted Applications for Preliminary Apportionment (Forms SAB 50-09) - as of October 31, 2025

County	District	Charter School	Application Number	Type	Date App Recieved	Estimated Total Reserved Preliminary Apportionment
Santa Clara	Alum Rock Union Elementary	Alpha: Blanca Alvarado Rehabilitation	54/69369-00-005	Rehabilitation	7/3/2025	$16,953,862.00
Santa Clara	Alum Rock Union Elementary	Alpha: Blanca Alvarado	54/69369-00-006	New Construction	7/3/2025	$6,279,646.00
Sacramento	Natomas Unified	Westlake High School	54/75283-00-014	New Construction	7/3/2025	$43,903,238.00
Los Angeles	Los Angeles Unified	Animo Jackie Robinson Charter High	54/64733-00-105	New Construction	7/3/2025	$76,130,092.89
Solano	Vallejo City Unified	ELITE Public Schools New School Site and School	54/70581-00-008	New Construction	8/20/2025	$44,161,250.00
San Diego	Cajon Valley Union Elementary	EJE Elementary addition	54/67991-00-004	New Construction	8/28/2025	$4,902,576.00
Los Angeles	Pasadena Unified	Pasadena Rosebud Academy	54/64881-00-001	New Construction	9/18/2025	$45,280,265.97
Sacramento	Natomas Unified	NCS- Star Academy	54/75283-00-015	New Construction	9/24/2025	$6,098,658.00
Sacramento	Natomas Unified	NCS- Blackrock	54/75283-00-016	Rehabilitation	9/24/2025	$1,646,326.00
San Diego	South Bay Union Elementary	Nestor Language Academy Charter	54/68395-00-001	New Construction	9/25/2025	$31,203,164.00
San Diego	South Bay Union Elementary	Nestor Language Academy Charter	54/68395-00-002	Rehabilitation	9/25/2025	$14,596,618.00
Solano	Dixon Unified	Dixon Montessori Charter School New Constructionbilitation	54/70532-00-001	New Construction	9/29/2025	$6,253,496.00
Solano	Dixon Unified	Dixon Montessori Charter School Rehabilitation	54/70532-00-002	Rehabilitation	9/29/2025	$25,168,829.00
Butte	Chico Unified	Sherwood Montessori School	54/61424-00-008	New Construction	9/30/2025	$5,444,052.00
Butte	Chico Unified	Sherwood Montessori School	54/61424-00-009	Rehabilitation	9/30/2025	$3,313,232.00
Butte	Chico Unified	Chico Country Day School	54/61424-00-010	Rehabilitation	9/30/2025	$2,058,126.00
Marin	Novato Unified	Novato Charter School	54/65417-00-001	Rehabilitation	10/1/2025	$7,883,520.00
Los Angeles	Pasadena Unified	Aveson Global Leadership Academy	54/64881-00-002	New Construction	10/6/2025	$61,200,953.94
Los Angeles	Pasadena Unified	Aveson School of Leaders	54/64881-00-003	New Construction	10/6/2025	$46,515,439.94
Placer	Ackerman Charter (Formerly Ackerman E.S.)	Bowman Charter	54/66761-00-002	Rehabilitation	10/9/2025	$17,561,314.00
Placer	Ackerman Charter (Formerly Ackerman E.S.)	Bowman Charter	54/66761-00-003	New Construction	10/9/2025	$17,305,242.00
Los Angeles	Pasadena Unified	Alma Fuerte Public School	54/64881-00-004	New Construction	10/9/2025	$48,035,405.94
Los Angeles	Los Angeles Unified	Invictus Home	54/64733-00-106	New Construction	10/9/2025	$87,953,160.00
Sonoma	Piner-Olivet Union Elementary	Morrice Schaefer Charter	54/70870-00-001	Rehabilitation	10/15/2025	$7,168,050.00
Sonoma	Piner-Olivet Union Elementary	Piner-Olivet Charter	54/70870-00-002	Rehabilitation	10/15/2025	$4,291,840.00
Sonoma	Piner-Olivet Union Elementary	Olivet Charter	54/70870-00-003	Rehabilitation	10/15/2025	$3,982,250.00
Sonoma	Piner-Olivet Union Elementary	Olivet Charter	54/70870-00-004	New Construction	10/15/2025	$10,694,200.00
Sonoma	Piner-Olivet Union Elementary	Morrice Schaefer Charter	54/70870-00-005	New Construction	10/15/2025	$13,205,350.00
Riverside	Nuview Union	Nuview Bridge New Campus	54/67157-00-001	New Construction	10/16/2025	$43,267,679.00
Placer	Rocklin Unified	MMCA Expansion	54/75085-00-004	New Construction	10/21/2025	$11,667,450.00
Sonoma	Piner-Olivet Union Elementary	Village Charter School	54/70870-00-006	Rehabilitation	10/22/2025	$4,492,800.00
Sonoma	Piner-Olivet Union Elementary	Northwest Prep Charter	54/70870-00-007	Rehabilitation	10/22/2025	$4,439,074.00
Shasta	Shasta Union High	Redding School of the Arts HS	54/70136-02-002	New Construction	10/23/2025	$15,152,588.00
Butte	Chico Unified	Blue Oak Charter School	54/61424-00-011	New Construction	10/23/2025	$13,331,985.80
Sacramento	Natomas Unified	Natomas Pacific Pathways Prep	54/75283-00-017	New Construction	10/24/2025	$7,483,468.00
Sacramento	Natomas Unified	Natomas Pacific Pathways Prep	54/75283-00-018	Rehabilitation	10/24/2025	$10,224,758.00
Los Angeles	West Covina Unified	San Jose Charter Academy HVAC & Ventilation Rehabilitation	54/65094-00-002	Rehabilitation	10/27/2025	$17,688,411.00
Sonoma	Petaluma City Elementary	Valley Vista Public Waldorf	54/70854-00-001	New Construction	10/27/2025	$16,029,590.00
Sonoma	Petaluma City Elementary	Valley Vista Public Waldorf	54/70854-00-002	Rehabilitation	10/27/2025	$16,106,702.00
Sonoma	Petaluma City Elementary	Penngrove Elementary	54/70854-00-003	Rehabilitation	10/27/2025	$16,725,450.00
Sonoma	Petaluma Joint Union High	Mary Collins at Cherry Valley	54/70862-00-001	New Construction	10/27/2025	$4,283,164.00

CHARTER SCHOOL FACILITIES PROGRAM

Submitted Applications for Preliminary Apportionment (Forms SAB 50-09) - as of October 31, 2025

County	District	Charter School	Application Number	Type	Date App Recieved	Estimated Total Reserved Preliminary Apportionment
Sonoma	Petaluma Joint Union High	Mary Collins at Cherry Valley	54/70862-00-002	Rehabilitation	10/27/2025	$1,697,280.00
Los Angeles	Los Angeles Unified	MSA2	54/64733-37-001	New Construction	10/27/2025	$140,582,832.60
Los Angeles	Los Angeles Unified	MSA3	54/64733-54-001	New Construction	10/27/2025	$85,366,954.43
Los Angeles	Los Angeles Unified	MSA4	54/64733-24-001	New Construction	10/27/2025	$167,787,001.08
Los Angeles	Los Angeles Unified	MSA6	54/64733-31-001	New Construction	10/27/2025	$133,974,670.14
Los Angeles	Los Angeles Unified	MSA7	54/64733-41-003	New Construction	10/27/2025	$65,289,123.45
Sonoma	Santa Rosa Elementary	Cesar Chavez Language Academy	54/70912-00-005	Rehabilitation	10/27/2025	$31,024,646.00
Sonoma	Santa Rosa Elementary	Cesar Chavez Language Academy	54/70912-00-006	New Construction	10/27/2025	$7,168,050.00
Sonoma	Santa Rosa Elementary	Santa Rosa Charter School for the Arts	54/70912-00-007	Rehabilitation	10/27/2025	$3,982,250.00
Sonoma	Santa Rosa Elementary	SRFAC at SRMS	54/70912-00-008	Rehabilitation	10/27/2025	$22,300,600.00
Sonoma	Santa Rosa Elementary	SRFAC at SRMS	54/70912-00-009	New Construction	10/27/2025	$4,969,848.00
Sacramento	Natomas Unified	Leroy Greene Academy	54/75283-00-019	New Construction	10/28/2025	$5,825,313.00
Sacramento	Natomas Unified	Leroy Greene Academy	54/75283-00-020	Rehabilitation	10/28/2025	$1,951,434.00
Los Angeles	Los Angeles Unified	CWC-East Valley	54/64733-00-108	New Construction	10/28/2025	$32,645,043.48
Los Angeles	Los Angeles Unified	CWC Hollywood	54/64733-00-109	New Construction	10/28/2025	$18,709,462.98
Alameda	Oakland Unified	East Bay Innovation Academy	54/61259-09-013	New Construction	10/28/2025	$26,802,810.00
Los Angeles	Los Angeles Unified	Port of Los Angeles High School - New Facility	54/64733-00-110	New Construction	10/28/2025	$79,654,648.00
San Mateo	Redwood City Elementary	KIPP Excelencia New Construction	54/69005-00-001	New Construction	10/29/2025	$1,739,454.00
San Mateo	Redwood City Elementary	KIPP Excelencia Rehabilitation	54/69005-00-002	Rehabilitation	10/29/2025	$23,087,384.00
Alameda	San Lorenzo Unified	KIPP Summit New Construction	54/61309-00-004	New Construction	10/29/2025	$2,656,053.00
Alameda	San Lorenzo Unified	KIPP Summit Rehabilitation	54/61309-00-005	Rehabilitation	10/29/2025	$22,671,304.00
Santa Clara	Alum Rock Union Elementary	KIPP Heartwood Rehabilitation	54/69369-00-007	Rehabilitation	10/29/2025	$15,018,216.00
Santa Clara	Alum Rock Union Elementary	KIPP Prize New Construction	54/69369-00-008	New Construction	10/29/2025	$22,060,940.00
Santa Clara	Alum Rock Union Elementary	KIPP Prize Rehabilitation	54/69369-00-009	Rehabilitation	10/29/2025	$5,070,654.00
San Mateo	Ravenswood City Elementary	KIPP Valiant Rehabilitation	54/68999-00-001	Rehabilitation	10/29/2025	$23,895,924.00
Orange	Placentia-Yorba Linda Unified	MSA PLY	54/66647-00-001	New Construction	10/29/2025	$63,341,923.68
Sonoma	Old Adobe Union Elementary	Old Adobe Elementary Charter	54/70847-00-006	Rehabilitation	10/29/2025	$7,964,500.00
Sonoma	Old Adobe Union Elementary	Miwok Valley Elementary Charter	54/70847-00-007	Rehabilitation	10/29/2025	$21,530,976.00
Sonoma	Old Adobe Union Elementary	Loma Vista Immersion Academy	54/70847-00-008	Rehabilitation	10/29/2025	$14,336,100.00
Sonoma	Old Adobe Union Elementary	Sonoma Mountain Elementary Charter	54/70847-00-009	New Construction	10/29/2025	$5,597,448.00
Sonoma	Old Adobe Union Elementary	Sonoma Mountain Elementary Charter	54/70847-00-010	Rehabilitation	10/29/2025	$16,294,714.00
San Diego	Vista Unified	Guajome Park Academy	54/68452-00-001	Rehabilitation	10/29/2025	$24,781,800.00
Placer	Loomis Union Elementary	Loomis Basin Charter	54/66845-00-001	New Construction	10/29/2025	$28,324,847.85
Los Angeles	Los Angeles Unified	Stella High Charter Academy New Construction	54/64733-10-001	New Construction	10/29/2025	$62,599,380.04
Los Angeles	Los Angeles Unified	Stella Elementary Charter Academy New Construction	54/64733-10-002	New Construction	10/29/2025	$33,605,320.04
Alameda	Oakland Unified	Yu Ming Charter School	54/61259-21-004	New Construction	10/29/2025	$49,793,523.61
San Diego	San Marcos Unified	HTeNC TK	54/73791-00-002	New Construction	10/29/2025	$2,909,394.00
Placer	Western Placer Unified	John Adams Academy-Lincoln Expansion	54/66951-00-001	New Construction	10/29/2025	$39,702,084.00
Orange	Anaheim Union High	MSA OC Anaheim High	54/66431-01-001	New Construction	10/29/2025	$30,267,534.21
Orange	Anaheim Elementary	MSA OC Anaheim Elementary	54/66423-00-001	New Construction	10/29/2025	$30,393,836.21
Los Angeles	Los Angeles Unified	Gabriella Charter	54/64733-00-111	New Construction	10/29/2025	$64,996,368.88

CHARTER SCHOOL FACILITIES PROGRAM

Submitted Applications for Preliminary Apportionment (Forms SAB 50-09) - as of October 31, 2025

County	District	Charter School	Application Number	Type	Date App Recieved	Estimated Total Reserved Preliminary Apportionment
Los Angeles	Los Angeles Unified	Gabriella Charter 2	54/64733-00-112	New Construction	10/29/2025	$71,432,970.88
Sacramento	San Juan Unified	Gateway Upgrade	54/67447-05-001	New Construction	10/29/2025	$1,189,384.00
Sacramento	Sacramento City Unified	Capitol Collegiate Academy	54/67439-00-010	New Construction	10/30/2025	$9,876,348.00
Sacramento	Sacramento City Unified	Capitol Collegiate Academy	54/67439-00-011	Rehabilitation	10/30/2025	$18,889,380.00
San Diego	San Diego Unified	Darnall modernization	54/68338-03-001	Rehabilitation	10/30/2025	$14,442,273.00
San Diego	San Diego Unified	Keiller Mod/New	54/68338-02-005	Rehabilitation	10/30/2025	$18,442,779.00
San Diego	San Diego Unified	Holly Drive New and Mod	54/68338-02-006	New Construction	10/30/2025	$1,064,795.00
San Diego	San Diego Unified	Holly Drive Modernization	54/68338-02-007	Rehabilitation	10/30/2025	$3,849,120.00
San Diego	San Diego Unified	Tubman New Construction	54/68338-03-002	New Construction	10/30/2025	$1,681,158.00
San Diego	San Diego Unified	Tubman Modernization	54/68338-03-003	Rehabilitation	10/30/2025	$10,592,079.00
San Diego	San Diego Unified	King Chavez Community High	54/68338-02-008	New Construction	10/30/2025	$1,798,078.00
San Diego	San Diego Unified	King Chavez Rehab	54/68338-02-009	Rehabilitation	10/30/2025	$21,304,628.00
San Diego	San Diego Unified	Iftin Mod	54/68338-03-004	Rehabilitation	10/30/2025	$11,080,939.00
San Diego	San Diego Unified	Iftin New	54/68338-03-005	New Construction	10/30/2025	$4,332,509.00
Sacramento	Twin Rivers Unified	Futures High School	54/76505-04-002	New Construction	10/30/2025	$2,957,520.00
Yolo	Davis Joint Unified	Da Vinci Charter	54/72678-00-002	Rehabilitation	10/30/2025	$1,047,103.00
Riverside	Perris Union High	CMI-Rehabilitation	54/67207-00-002	Rehabilitation	10/30/2025	$28,990,153.00
Riverside	Perris Union High	CMI Addition	54/67207-00-003	New Construction	10/30/2025	$30,447,619.00
Sacramento	Twin Rivers Unified	HLA-Project	54/76505-04-003	New Construction	10/30/2025	$1,333,648.00
Yuba	Marysville Joint Unified	Marysville Charter Academy for the Arts	54/72736-00-002	Rehabilitation	10/30/2025	$14,577,240.00
Sacramento	Sacramento City Unified	Bowling Green Charter School	54/67439-00-012	Rehabilitation	10/30/2025	$17,109,410.00
Glenn	Willows Unified	Walden Academy Charter	54/62661-00-001	New Construction	10/30/2025	$4,446,456.00
Yolo	Washington Unified	EPIC Upgrade	54/72694-00-004	Rehabilitation	10/30/2025	$429,453.00
Orange	Orange Unified	MSA OC Orange	54/66621-00-001	New Construction	10/30/2025	$49,755,401.21
Orange	Irvine Unified	MSA OC Irvine	54/73650-00-001	New Construction	10/30/2025	$38,847,293.73
Sacramento	Twin Rivers Unified	Community Outreach	54/76505-03-004	New Construction	10/30/2025	$1,408,036.00
Madera	Madera Unified	Liberty Charter School Expansion	54/65243-00-001	New Construction	10/30/2025	$25,043,766.00
Humboldt	Arcata Elementary	Coastal Grove Charter	54/62679-00-001	Rehabilitation	10/30/2025	$4,288,238.00
Humboldt	Arcata Elementary	Coastal Grove Charter	54/62679-00-002	New Construction	10/30/2025	$1,021,225.00
Orange	Saddleback Valley Unified	MSA OC Saddleback	54/73635-00-001	New Construction	10/30/2025	$49,446,700.21
San Diego	Chula Vista Elementary	Mueller Charter School New Construction	54/68023-03-004	New Construction	10/30/2025	$2,957,712.00
San Diego	Chula Vista Elementary	Mueller Charter School Rehabilitation	54/68023-03-005	Rehabilitation	10/30/2025	$13,879,796.00
Sonoma	Petaluma Joint Union High	Dual Language Immersion Academy Charter	54/70862-00-003	New Construction	10/30/2025	$11,266,148.00
Nevada	Grass Valley Elementary	YRCS K-12 Expansion, Current Campus	54/66332-00-005	New Construction	10/30/2025	$12,264,413.60
					Total	**$2,783,948,696.79**

Career Technical Education Facilities Program

Cycle 7 Submitted Applications as of June 30, 2026

Applicant	County	Site Name	Application Number	OPSC Date Received	Estimated State Grant	Estimated Loan Amount	Total Funding Requested
Anaheim Union High	Orange	Katella High	59/66431-02-002	3/3/2026	$1,500,000.00	$0.00	$1,500,000.00
Anaheim Union High	Orange	Magnolia High	59/66431-05-002	3/3/2026	$1,500,000.00	$0.00	$1,500,000.00
Anaheim Union High	Orange	Magnolia High	59/66431-05-003	3/6/2026	$1,500,000.00	$0.00	$1,500,000.00
Anderson Valley Unified	Mendocino	Anderson Valley Junior/Senior High	55/65540-00-001	4/14/2026	$3,000,000.00	$0.00	$3,000,000.00
Anderson Valley Unified	Mendocino	Anderson Valley Junior/Senior High	55/65540-00-002	4/14/2026	$1,898,405.00	$0.00	$1,898,405.00
Antelope Valley Union High	Los Angeles	Antelope Valley High	55/64246-00-001	6/8/2026	$3,000,000.00	$0.00	$3,000,000.00
Antioch Unified	Contra Costa	Deer Valley High	59/61648-00-001	6/30/2026	$602,677.00	$0.00	$602,677.00
Arcadia Unified	Los Angeles	Arcadia High	55/64261-00-004	5/22/2026	$3,000,000.00	$0.00	$3,000,000.00
Arcadia Unified	Los Angeles	Arcadia High	55/64261-00-005	5/22/2026	$3,000,000.00	$0.00	$3,000,000.00
Arcadia Unified	Los Angeles	Arcadia High	55/64261-00-006	5/22/2026	$1,500,000.00	$0.00	$1,500,000.00
Bear Valley Unified	San Bernardino	Big Bear High	55/67637-00-001	6/8/2026	$2,463,019.00	$0.00	$2,463,019.00
Campbell Union High	Santa Clara	Branham High	55/69401-01-001	4/3/2026	$1,298,658.00	$0.00	$1,298,658.00
Campbell Union High	Santa Clara	Del Mar High	55/69401-02-001	4/3/2026	$1,434,055.00	$0.00	$1,434,055.00
Campbell Union High	Santa Clara	Leigh High	55/69401-03-001	4/3/2026	$2,290,555.00	$0.00	$2,290,555.00
Campbell Union High	Santa Clara	Prospect High	55/69401-04-001	4/3/2026	$1,467,486.00	$0.00	$1,467,486.00
Campbell Union High	Santa Clara	Westmont High	55/69401-05-001	4/3/2026	$1,600,986.00	$0.00	$1,600,986.00
Chowchilla Union High	Madera	Chowchilla Union High	55/65201-00-002	5/4/2026	$2,321,784.00	$0.00	$2,321,784.00
Cloverdale Unified	Sonoma	Cloverdale High	55/70656-00-001	6/23/2026	$3,000,000.00	$0.00	$3,000,000.00
Cloverdale Unified	Sonoma	Cloverdale High	55/70656-00-002	6/23/2026	$3,000,000.00	$0.00	$3,000,000.00
Clovis Unified	Fresno	Terry Bradley Educational Center	55/62117-00-015	4/17/2026	$886,642.00	$0.00	$886,642.00
Clovis Unified	Fresno	Terry Bradley Educational Center	55/62117-00-016	4/20/2026	$1,246,627.00	$0.00	$1,246,627.00
Colton Joint Unified	San Bernardino	Grand Terrace High	55/67686-00-002	6/26/2026	$3,000,000.00	$0.00	$3,000,000.00
Colton Joint Unified	San Bernardino	Grand Terrace High	55/67686-00-003	6/26/2026	$3,000,000.00	$0.00	$3,000,000.00
Corning Union High	Tehama	Corning High	55/71506-00-002	6/26/2026	$1,759,110.00	$0.00	$1,759,110.00
Corning Union High	Tehama	Corning High	59/71506-00-001	6/26/2026	$679,983.00	$0.00	$679,983.00
Corning Union High	Tehama	Corning High	59/71506-00-002	6/26/2026	$1,422,092.00	$0.00	$1,422,092.00
Cutler-Orosi Joint Unified	Tulare	Orosi High	55/71860-00-001	6/4/2026	$3,000,000.00	$0.00	$3,000,000.00
Cutler-Orosi Joint Unified	Tulare	Orosi High	55/71860-00-002	6/4/2026	$3,000,000.00	$0.00	$3,000,000.00
Cutler-Orosi Joint Unified	Tulare	Orosi High	55/71860-00-003	6/4/2026	$3,000,000.00	$0.00	$3,000,000.00
Cutler-Orosi Joint Unified	Tulare	Orosi High	55/71860-00-004	6/4/2026	$3,000,000.00	$0.00	$3,000,000.00
Cuyama Joint Unified	Santa Barbara	Cuyama Valley High	59/75010-00-001	4/14/2026	$105,000.00	$0.00	$105,000.00
Dinuba Unified	Tulare	Dinuba High	55/75531-00-008	6/29/2026	$2,954,674.00	$2,954,674.00	$5,909,348.00
Dinuba Unified	Tulare	Dinuba High	55/75531-00-009	6/29/2026	$3,000,000.00	$3,000,000.00	$6,000,000.00
Dinuba Unified	Tulare	Dinuba High	55/75531-00-010	6/29/2026	$1,740,605.00	$1,740,605.00	$3,481,210.00
Dos Palos-Oro Loma Joint Unified	Merced	Dos Palos High	55/75317-00-004	6/24/2026	$2,398,722.00	$0.00	$2,398,722.00
Dos Palos-Oro Loma Joint Unified	Merced	Dos Palos High	59/75317-00-001	6/24/2026	$1,321,601.00	$0.00	$1,321,601.00
Dos Palos-Oro Loma Joint Unified	Merced	Dos Palos High	59/75317-00-002	6/24/2026	$449,621.00	$0.00	$449,621.00
Dublin Unified	Alameda	Dublin High	55/75093-00-004	6/29/2026	$536,019.00	$0.00	$536,019.00
Durham Unified	Butte	Durham High	55/61432-00-001	5/20/2026	$3,000,000.00	$0.00	$3,000,000.00
Durham Unified	Butte	Durham High	59/61432-00-001	5/20/2026	$1,500,000.00	$0.00	$1,500,000.00
Eastern Sierra Unified	Mono	Coleville High	55/73368-00-001	6/25/2026	$3,000,000.00	$3,000,000.00	$6,000,000.00
Eden Area ROP	Alameda	Eden Area ROP	59/74013-00-004	6/25/2026	$489,239.00	$0.00	$489,239.00
Elk Grove Unified	Sacramento	Valley High	55/67314-00-015	6/22/2026	$542,422.00	$0.00	$542,422.00
Elk Grove Unified	Sacramento	Elk Grove High	55/67314-00-016	6/22/2026	$2,054,101.00	$0.00	$2,054,101.00
Elk Grove Unified	Sacramento	Florin High	59/67314-00-001	6/22/2026	$1,250,103.00	$0.00	$1,250,103.00
Fallbrook Union High	San Diego	Fallbrook High	55/68122-00-001	5/20/2026	$3,000,000.00	$0.00	$3,000,000.00
Ferndale Unified	Humboldt	Ferndale High	55/75374-00-001	5/18/2026	$3,000,000.00	$0.00	$3,000,000.00
Firebaugh-Las Deltas Unified	Fresno	Firebaugh High	59/73809-00-005	6/30/2026	$187,044.00	$0.00	$187,044.00
Firebaugh-Las Deltas Unified	Fresno	Firebaugh High	59/73809-00-006	6/30/2026	$282,795.00	$0.00	$282,795.00
Firebaugh-Las Deltas Unified	Fresno	Firebaugh High	59/73809-00-007	6/30/2026	$184,975.00	$0.00	$184,975.00
Firebaugh-Las Deltas Unified	Fresno	Firebaugh High	59/73809-00-008	6/30/2026	$107,669.00	$0.00	$107,669.00
Fontana Unified	San Bernardino	Oak Grove Preparatory Academy	55/67710-00-003	6/29/2026	$2,833,549.00	$0.00	$2,833,549.00
Fontana Unified	San Bernardino	Oak Grove Preparatory Academy	55/67710-00-004	6/29/2026	$1,139,388.00	$0.00	$1,139,388.00
Fontana Unified	San Bernardino	Oak Grove Preparatory Academy	55/67710-00-005	6/29/2026	$3,000,000.00	$0.00	$3,000,000.00
Fontana Unified	San Bernardino	Fontana High	59/67710-00-001	6/29/2026	$1,500,000.00	$0.00	$1,500,000.00
Fontana Unified	San Bernardino	Birch High	59/67710-00-002	6/29/2026	$1,400,135.00	$0.00	$1,400,135.00
Fowler Unified	Fresno	Fowler High	55/62158-00-002	6/29/2026	$3,000,000.00	$0.00	$3,000,000.00
Fremont Unified	Alameda	Irvington High	55/61176-00-005	6/30/2026	$3,000,000.00	$0.00	$3,000,000.00
Fresno Unified	Fresno	Edison High	55/62166-00-018	6/29/2026	$3,000,000.00	$0.00	$3,000,000.00
Fresno Unified	Fresno	Edison High	55/62166-00-019	6/29/2026	$3,000,000.00	$0.00	$3,000,000.00
Fresno Unified	Fresno	Farber School of Credit Attainment	55/62166-00-020	6/29/2026	$2,257,875.00	$0.00	$2,257,875.00

Career Technical Education Facilities Program

Cycle 7 Submitted Applications as of June 30, 2026

Applicant	County	Site Name	Application Number	OPSC Date Received	Estimated State Grant	Estimated Loan Amount	Total Funding Requested
Fresno Unified	Fresno	Sunnyside High	55/62166-00-021	6/29/2026	$3,000,000.00	$0.00	$3,000,000.00
Fresno Unified	Fresno	Sunnyside High	55/62166-00-022	6/29/2026	$3,000,000.00	$0.00	$3,000,000.00
Fresno Unified	Fresno	Sunnyside High	55/62166-00-023	6/29/2026	$3,000,000.00	$0.00	$3,000,000.00
Fresno Unified	Fresno	Duncan (Erma) Polytechnical High	59/62166-00-006	6/29/2026	$385,402.00	$0.00	$385,402.00
Gateway Unified	Shasta	Central Valley High	59/75267-00-001	5/22/2026	$1,500,000.00	$0.00	$1,500,000.00
Gateway Unified	Shasta	Central Valley High	59/75267-00-002	5/22/2026	$1,500,000.00	$0.00	$1,500,000.00
Gilroy Unified	Santa Clara	Gilroy High	55/69484-00-004	6/29/2026	$3,000,000.00	$0.00	$3,000,000.00
Gilroy Unified	Santa Clara	Gilroy High	59/69484-00-002	6/29/2026	$1,500,000.00	$0.00	$1,500,000.00
Golden Plains Unified	Fresno	Tranquility High	55/75234-00-001	6/30/2026	$3,000,000.00	$0.00	$3,000,000.00
Gridley Unified	Butte	Gridley High	55/75507-00-002	6/25/2026	$3,000,000.00	$0.00	$3,000,000.00
Gridley Unified	Butte	Gridley High	55/75507-00-003	6/25/2026	$3,000,000.00	$0.00	$3,000,000.00
Gridley Unified	Butte	Gridley High	59/75507-00-001	6/25/2026	$1,500,000.00	$0.00	$1,500,000.00
Hamilton Unified	Glenn	Hamilton High	55/76562-00-001	6/1/2026	$3,000,000.00	$0.00	$3,000,000.00
Hanford Joint Union High	Kings	Sierra Pacific High	55/63925-00-005	2/24/2026	$3,000,000.00	$0.00	$3,000,000.00
Hughson Unified	Stanislaus	Hughson High	55/75549-00-001	6/16/2026	$2,985,000.00	$0.00	$2,985,000.00
Konocti Unified	Lake	Lower Lake High	55/64022-00-002	5/27/2026	$1,500,000.00	$0.00	$1,500,000.00
Lammersville Joint Unified	San Joaquin	Mountain House High	55/76760-00-001	6/29/2026	$2,602,583.00	$0.00	$2,602,583.00
Lammersville Joint Unified	San Joaquin	Mountain House High	55/76730-00-002	6/29/2026	$981,998.00	$0.00	$981,998.00
Lindsay Unified	Tulare	Lindsay Senior High	55/71993-00-003	6/30/2026	$3,000,000.00	$0.00	$3,000,000.00
Los Alamitos Unified	Orange	Los Alamitos High	55/73924-00-003	5/21/2026	$2,284,686.00	$0.00	$2,284,686.00
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Thirty-Second Street USC Performing Arts	55/64733-00-033	4/8/2026	$3,000,000.00	$0.00	$3,000,000.00
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Venice Senior High	55/64733-00-034	6/18/2026	$2,150,666.00	$0.00	$2,150,666.00
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Abraham Lincoln Senior High	55/64733-08-001	6/25/2026	$3,000,000.00	$0.00	$3,000,000.00
Lucia Mar Unified	San Luis Obispo	Central Coast New Tech High	55/68759-00-008	5/20/2026	$1,325,233.00	$0.00	$1,325,233.00
Lucia Mar Unified	San Luis Obispo	Nipomo High	55/68759-00-009	5/20/2026	$1,500,000.00	$0.00	$1,500,000.00
Lucia Mar Unified	San Luis Obispo	Arroyo Grande High	55/68759-00-010	5/20/2026	$1,385,857.00	$0.00	$1,385,857.00
Lucia Mar Unified	San Luis Obispo	Arroyo Grande High	55/68759-00-011	5/20/2026	$3,000,000.00	$0.00	$3,000,000.00
Lynwood Unified	Los Angeles	Lynwood High	59/64774-00-004	6/30/2026	$1,500,000.00	$0.00	$1,500,000.00
Lynwood Unified	Los Angeles	Lynwood High	59/64774-00-005	6/30/2026	$660,047.00	$0.00	$660,047.00
Madera Unified	Madera	Matilda Torres High	55/65243-00-009	3/4/2026	$995,581.00	$0.00	$995,581.00
Maxwell Unified	Colusa	Maxwell High	55/61606-00-001	6/25/2026	$3,000,000.00	$0.00	$3,000,000.00
Merced Union High	Merced	Atwater High	55/65789-00-004	6/16/2026	$3,000,000.00	$0.00	$3,000,000.00
Merced Union High	Merced	Atwater High	55/65789-00-005	6/18/2026	$3,000,000.00	$0.00	$3,000,000.00
Merced Union High	Merced	Atwater High	55/65789-00-006	6/18/2026	$166,124.00	$0.00	$166,124.00
Merced Union High	Merced	Livingston High	55/65789-00-007	6/22/2026	$3,000,000.00	$0.00	$3,000,000.00
Merced Union High	Merced	Merced High	55/65789-00-008	6/22/2026	$1,784,060.00	$0.00	$1,784,060.00
Merced Union High	Merced	Merced High	55/65789-00-009	6/22/2026	$1,151,800.00	$0.00	$1,151,800.00
Merced Union High	Merced	Atwater High	55/65789-00-010	6/22/2026	$2,293,590.00	$0.00	$2,293,590.00
Merced Union High	Merced	El Capitan High	55/65789-00-011	6/22/2026	$3,000,000.00	$0.00	$3,000,000.00
Merced Union High	Merced	Livingston High	55/65789-00-012	6/22/2026	$3,000,000.00	$0.00	$3,000,000.00
Merced Union High	Merced	Livingston High	55/65789-00-013	6/22/2026	$3,000,000.00	$0.00	$3,000,000.00
Merced Union High	Merced	Atwater High	59/65789-00-004	6/22/2026	$219,951.00	$0.00	$219,951.00
Merced Union High	Merced	Buhach Colony High	59/65789-00-005	6/22/2026	$393,874.00	$0.00	$393,874.00
Merced Union High	Merced	Merced High	59/65789-00-006	6/22/2026	$33,146.00	$0.00	$33,146.00
Metro Ed. District JPA ROC/P	Santa Clara	Silicon Valley Career Technical Education	59/40360-00-019	6/24/2026	$1,500,000.00	$0.00	$1,500,000.00
Metro Ed. District JPA ROC/P	Santa Clara	Silicon Valley Career Technical Education	59/40360-00-020	6/24/2026	$1,500,000.00	$0.00	$1,500,000.00
Metro Ed. District JPA ROC/P	Santa Clara	Silicon Valley Career Technical Education	59/40360-00-021	6/24/2026	$494,218.00	$0.00	$494,218.00
Metro Ed. District JPA ROC/P	Santa Clara	Silicon Valley Career Technical Education	59/40360-00-022	6/24/2026	$1,500,000.00	$0.00	$1,500,000.00
Modesto City High	Stanislaus	Gregori High	55/71175-00-007	6/26/2026	$2,499,040.00	$0.00	$2,499,040.00
Monterey Peninsula Unified	Monterey	Monterey High	59/66092-00-006	6/17/2026	$1,250,000.00	$0.00	$1,250,000.00
Moreno Valley Unified	Riverside	March Mountain High	59/67124-00-004	3/10/2026	$1,500,000.00	$0.00	$1,500,000.00
Moreno Valley Unified	Riverside	March Mountain High	59/67124-00-005	3/10/2026	$515,557.00	$0.00	$515,557.00
Moreno Valley Unified	Riverside	March Mountain High	59/67124-00-006	3/10/2026	$738,870.00	$0.00	$738,870.00
Moreno Valley Unified	Riverside	March Mountain High	59/67124-00-007	3/11/2026	$529,622.00	$0.00	$529,622.00
Moreno Valley Unified	Riverside	Moreno Valley High	59/67124-00-008	3/11/2026	$1,499,542.00	$0.00	$1,499,542.00
Moreno Valley Unified	Riverside	Vista Del Lago High	59/67124-00-009	3/11/2026	$1,500,000.00	$0.00	$1,500,000.00
Newman-Crows Landing Unified	Stanislaus	Orestimba High	55/73601-00-002	6/30/2026	$3,000,000.00	$0.00	$3,000,000.00
Ojai Unified	Ventura	Nordhoff High	59/72520-00-001	6/29/2026	$1,039,313.00	$0.00	$1,039,313.00
Palm Springs Unified	Riverside	Cathedral City High	59/67173-00-001	6/4/2026	$1,489,923.00	$0.00	$1,489,923.00
Paradise Unified	Butte	Paradise Senior High	59/61531-00-001	6/29/2026	$752,677.00	$0.00	$752,677.00
Parlier Unified	Fresno	Parlier High	55/62364-00-002	4/16/2026	$3,000,000.00	$0.00	$3,000,000.00

Career Technical Education Facilities Program

Cycle 7 Submitted Applications as of June 30, 2026

Applicant	County	Site Name	Application Number	OPSC Date Received	Estimated State Grant	Estimated Loan Amount	Total Funding Requested
Patterson Joint Unified	Stanislaus	Patterson High	59/71217-00-001	6/16/2026	$1,500,000.00	$0.00	$1,500,000.00
Pleasanton Unified	Alameda	Village High	55/75101-01-001	6/2/2026	$1,500,000.00	$0.00	$1,500,000.00
Pleasanton Unified	Alameda	Foothill High	55/75101-02-001	6/2/2026	$3,000,000.00	$0.00	$3,000,000.00
Pleasanton Unified	Alameda	Amador Valley High	59/75101-00-003	6/2/2026	$678,031.00	$0.00	$678,031.00
Porterville Unified	Tulare	Harmony Magnet Academy	55/75523-00-008	6/25/2026	$2,070,019.00	$0.00	$2,070,019.00
Porterville Unified	Tulare	Porterville High	55/75523-00-009	6/25/2026	$3,000,000.00	$0.00	$3,000,000.00
Poway Unified	San Diego	Poway High	55/68296-00-009	6/24/2026	$1,163,728.00	$0.00	$1,163,728.00
Red Bluff Joint Union High	Tehama	Red Bluff High	55/71639-00-003	6/23/2026	$3,000,000.00	$3,000,000.00	$6,000,000.00
Red Bluff Joint Union High	Tehama	Red Bluff High	55/71639-00-004	6/23/2026	$3,000,000.00	$3,000,000.00	$6,000,000.00
Reef-Sunset Unified	Kings	Avenal High	55/73932-00-002	6/29/2026	$3,000,000.00	$0.00	$3,000,000.00
Reef-Sunset Unified	Kings	Avenal High	55/73932-00-003	6/29/2026	$2,309,004.00	$0.00	$2,309,004.00
River Delta Joint Unified	Sacramento	Rio Vista High	55/67413-00-002	6/16/2026	$1,676,986.00	$0.00	$1,676,986.00
Riverdale Joint Unified	Fresno	Riverdale High	55/75408-00-001	6/25/2026	$2,994,982.00	$0.00	$2,994,982.00
Riverdale Joint Unified	Fresno	Riverdale High	59/75408-00-001	6/25/2026	$1,497,323.00	$0.00	$1,497,323.00
Riverside Unified	Riverside	Polytechnic High	59/67215-00-002	4/21/2026	$1,500,000.00	$0.00	$1,500,000.00
Riverside Unified	Riverside	Ramona High	59/67215-00-003	4/21/2026	$1,500,000.00	$0.00	$1,500,000.00
Riverside Unified	Riverside	North (John W.) High	59/67215-00-004	4/30/2026	$1,500,000.00	$0.00	$1,500,000.00
Salinas Union High	Monterey	Everett Alvarez High	55/66159-00-002	6/18/2026	$3,000,000.00	$0.00	$3,000,000.00
San Benito High	San Benito	Hollister High (aka San Benito High)	55/67538-00-002	6/29/2026	$3,000,000.00	$0.00	$3,000,000.00
San Benito High	San Benito	Hollister High (aka San Benito High)	55/67538-00-003	6/29/2026	$3,000,000.00	$0.00	$3,000,000.00
San Gabriel Unified	Los Angeles	Gabrielino High	59/75291-00-001	6/29/2026	$1,500,000.00	$0.00	$1,500,000.00
Sanger Unified	Fresno	Kings River High (Cont.)	55/62414-00-002	3/18/2026	$1,500,000.00	$0.00	$1,500,000.00
Sanger Unified	Fresno	Sanger High	55/62414-00-003	3/18/2026	$1,788,809.00	$0.00	$1,788,809.00
Sanger Unified	Fresno	Sanger High	55/62414-00-004	3/18/2026	$550,903.00	$0.00	$550,903.00
Sanger Unified	Fresno	Sanger Educational Complex	55/62414-00-005	3/18/2026	$565,792.00	$0.00	$565,792.00
Sanger Unified	Fresno	Sanger Educational Complex	55/62414-00-006	3/18/2026	$1,410,692.00	$0.00	$1,410,692.00
Sanger Unified	Fresno	Sanger Educational Complex	55/62414-00-007	3/18/2026	$1,739,557.00	$0.00	$1,739,557.00
Sanger Unified	Fresno	Sanger Educational Complex	55/62414-00-008	3/18/2026	$330,904.00	$0.00	$330,904.00
Sanger Unified	Fresno	Sanger West High	55/62414-00-009	3/19/2026	$584,108.00	$0.00	$584,108.00
Sanger Unified	Fresno	Kings River High (Cont.)	55/62414-00-010	3/19/2026	$1,500,000.00	$0.00	$1,500,000.00
Santa Ana Unified	Orange	Santa Ana High	55/66670-00-007	5/18/2026	$3,000,000.00	$0.00	$3,000,000.00
Santa Ana Unified	Orange	Century High	59/66670-00-010	5/18/2026	$1,500,000.00	$0.00	$1,500,000.00
Santa Ana Unified	Orange	Santa Ana High	59/66670-00-011	5/18/2026	$1,500,000.00	$0.00	$1,500,000.00
Santa Ana Unified	Orange	Valley High	59/66670-00-012	5/18/2026	$1,053,175.00	$0.00	$1,053,175.00
Santa Barbara Unified	Santa Barbara	San Marcos High	55/76786-00-006	6/25/2026	$3,000,000.00	$0.00	$3,000,000.00
Selma Unified	Fresno	Selma High	55/62430-00-004	4/20/2026	$3,000,000.00	$0.00	$3,000,000.00
Selma Unified	Fresno	Selma High	59/62430-00-001	4/20/2026	$1,496,400.00	$0.00	$1,496,400.00
Shasta Union High	Shasta	Foothill High	55/70136-01-001	6/29/2026	$3,000,000.00	$0.00	$3,000,000.00
Shasta Union High	Shasta	Shasta High	55/70136-04-001	6/29/2026	$3,000,000.00	$0.00	$3,000,000.00
Soledad Unified	Monterey	Soledad High	55/75440-00-003	6/4/2026	$3,000,000.00	$0.00	$3,000,000.00
Soledad Unified	Monterey	Soledad High	59/75440-00-002	6/4/2026	$1,500,000.00	$0.00	$1,500,000.00
Southern Kern Unified	Kern	Rosamond High	55/63776-00-001	6/29/2026	$3,000,000.00	$0.00	$3,000,000.00
Southern Kern Unified	Kern	Rosamond High	55/63776-00-002	6/29/2026	$3,000,000.00	$0.00	$3,000,000.00
Southern Kern Unified	Kern	Rosamond High	55/63776-00-003	6/29/2026	$3,000,000.00	$0.00	$3,000,000.00
Stockton Unified	San Joaquin	Cesar Chavez High	59/68676-00-002	6/30/2026	$1,500,000.00	$0.00	$1,500,000.00
Stockton Unified	San Joaquin	Edison High	59/68676-00-003	6/30/2026	$1,500,000.00	$0.00	$1,500,000.00
Twin Rivers Unified	Sacramento	Grant Union High	55/76505-00-001	6/30/2026	$3,000,000.00	$0.00	$3,000,000.00
Visalia Unified	Tulare	El Diamante High	55/72256-00-004	6/10/2026	$2,615,615.00	$0.00	$2,615,615.00
Visalia Unified	Tulare	Mt Whitney High	59/72256-00-004	6/10/2026	$1,400,573.00	$0.00	$1,400,573.00
Visalia Unified	Tulare	Redwood High	59/72256-00-005	6/10/2026	$831,596.00	$0.00	$831,596.00
Washington Unified	Fresno	Washington High	59/76778-00-003	6/17/2026	$1,500,000.00	$0.00	$1,500,000.00
West Sonoma County Union High	Sonoma	Analy High	55/70607-00-001	5/19/2026	$3,000,000.00	$0.00	$3,000,000.00
West Sonoma County Union High	Sonoma	Analy High	55/70607-00-002	5/19/2026	$3,000,000.00	$0.00	$3,000,000.00
Wheatland Union High	Yuba	Wheatland Union High	55/72769-00-003	6/10/2026	$3,000,000.00	$0.00	$3,000,000.00
Wheatland Union High	Yuba	Wheatland Union High	59/72769-00-001	6/10/2026	$1,500,000.00	$0.00	$1,500,000.00
Winters Joint Unified	Yolo	Winters High	55/72702-00-001	6/30/2026	$3,000,000.00	$3,000,000.00	$6,000,000.00
Total					**$350,474,173.00**	**$19,695,279.00**	**$370,169,452.00**

Career Technical Education Facilities Program

Cycle 7 Submitted Applications as of June 30, 2026

Applicant	County	Site Name	Application Number	OPSC Date Received	Estimated State Grant	Estimated Loan Amount	Total Funding Requested

Summary of CTE Funding	Total (in Dollars)
New Construction	$ 304,227,278.00
Modernization	$ 65,942,174.00
Total CTEFP	$ 370,169,452.00

*** OPSC has not yet reviewed the total grant requested and the total number of projects may exceed available bond authority. Placement on this list does not confirm funding.**

**** Please note that some CTEFP funding applications listed above for Cycle 7 were received with requested State grant amounts in excess of $3,000,000.00 for New Construction funding and $1,500,000.00 for Modernization funding. All CTEFP funding applications for Cycle 7 that requested State grant amounts in excess of the maximum allowable amounts listed above were reduced to $3,000,000.00 for New Construction funding applications and $1,500,000.00 for Modernization funding applications.**

INFORMATION ITEM

CALIFORNIA PRESCHOOL, TRANSITIONAL KINDERGARTEN AND FULL-DAY KINDERGARTEN FACILITIES GRANT PROGRAM
(as of April 30, 2026)

The applications on this list represent California Preschool, Transitional Kindergarten and Full-Day Kindergarten Facilities Grant Program projects in alphabetical order received through April 30, 2026. These applications have been received and are currently being reviewed by the Office of Public School Construction.

Published monthly in the SAB Agenda.

This report is also located on the OPSC Website

California Preschool, Transitional Kindergarten and Full-Day Kindergarten Facilities Grant Program
- Submitted Applications as of April 30, 2026 -

District	County	Site Name	Application Number	OPSC Date Received	Estimated State Grant	Estimated Financial Hardship**
Aromas-San Juan USD	San Benito	San Juan Elementary	70/75259-00-001	4/1/2026	$ 2,525,496.00	
Buckeye Union Elementary	El Dorado	Oak Meadow Elementary	70/61838-00-001	4/30/2026	$ 2,509,726.00	
Burnt Ranch Elementary	Trinity	Burnt Ranch Elementary	70/71662-00-001	4/30/2026	$ 846,612.00	$ 282,204.00
Butteville Union Elementary	Siskiyou	Butteville Elementary	70/70201-00-001	4/30/2026	$ 1,683,664.00	$ 561,221.00
Central Unified	Fresno	William Saroyan Elementary	71/73965-00-001	4/30/2026	$ 1,661,496.00	
Central Unified	Fresno	Harvest Elementary	71/73965-00-002	4/30/2026	$ 1,943,809.00	
Central Unified	Fresno	Norman Liddell Elementary	71/73965-00-003	4/30/2026	$ 1,457,856.00	
Ceres Unified	Stanislaus	Walter White Elementary	70/71043-00-006	4/27/2026	$ 1,403,503.00	
Colton Joint Unified	San Bernardino	Wilson Elementary	70/67686-00-018	4/30/2026	$ 3,367,327.00	
Compton Unified	Los Angeles	Dickison Elementary	70/73437-00-002	4/30/2026	$ 1,683,664.00	
Corning Union Elementary	Tehama	Olive View Elementary	71/71498-00-001	4/30/2026	$ 546,640.00	
Corning Union Elementary	Tehama	Olive View Elementary	70/71498-00-002	4/30/2026	$ 5,004,398.00	
Dos Palos-Oro Loma Joint Unified	Merced	Dos Palos Elementary	70/75317-00-001	4/24/2026	$ 5,612,213.00	
Earlimart Elementary	Tulare	Earlimart Elementary	70/71902-00-003	4/29/2026	$ 7,872,153.00	$ 3,372,346.00
Fairfax Elementary	Kern	Virginia Avenue Elementary	70/63461-00-001	4/30/2026	$ 1,407,833.00	
Ferndale Unified	Humboldt	Ferndale Elementary	70/75374-00-001	4/30/2026	$ 1,698,719.00	$ 566,240.00
Fontana Unified	San Bernardino	Randall Pepper Elementary	71/67710-00-033	4/30/2026	$ 546,640.00	
General Shafter	Kern	General Shafter	70/63487-00-002	4/29/2026	$ 846,612.00	
Greenfield Union	Kern	Kendrick (W. A.) Elementary	70/63503-00-024	4/27/2026	$ 6,173,434.00	
Greenfield Union	Kern	Valle Verde Elementary	70/63503-00-023	4/27/2026	$ 8,137,708.00	
Hanford Elementary	Kings	New Simas Elementary	70/63917-00-006	4/30/2026	$ 2,239,391.00	
Hilmar Unified	Merced	Merquin Elementary	70/65698-00-003	4/21/2026	$ 856,888.00	
Imperial Unified	Imperial	Hulse (Ben) Elementary	70/63164-00-003	4/27/2026	$ 3,647,939.00	$ 1,964,275.00
Inglewood Unified	Los Angeles	Bennet-Kew School	70/64634-00-005	4/30/2026	$ 4,989,342.00	
King City Union	Monterey	Santa Lucia Elementary	70/66050-00-002	4/30/2026	$ 4,172,840.00	
Lake Elementary	Glenn	Lake Elementary	70/62596-00-002	4/27/2026	$ 1,698,719.00	$ 566,240.00
Lindsay Unified	Tulare	Kennedy Elementary	70/71993-00-014	4/28/2026	$ 4,489,770.00	$ 2,244,886.00
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Franklin Elementary	70/64733-00-005	4/28/2026	$ 32,045,550.00	
Los Banos Unified	Merced	Los Banos Early Education Complex	70/65755-00-004	4/28/2026	$ 6,734,655.00	$ 2,244,885.00
Los Molinos Unified	Tehama	Los Molinos Elementary	70/71571-00-001	4/30/2026	$ 1,979,331.00	$ 1,408,072.00
Monterey Peninsula Unified	Monterey	J.C. Crumpton Elementary	70/66092-00-003	4/30/2026	$ 1,683,663.00	
New Hope Elementary	San Joaquin	New Hope Elementary	70/68619-00-001	4/22/2026	$ 856,888.00	$ 285,629.00
North County Joint Union Elementary	San Benito	Spring Grove Elementary	70/67504-00-002	4/7/2026	$ 2,540,551.00	
Oroville City Elementary	Butte	Ophir Elementary	70/61507-00-001	4/24/2026	$ 4,209,160.00	
Palo Verde Union Elementary	Tulare	Palo Verde Elementary	70/72033-00-001	4/30/2026	$ 1,678,170.00	$ 559,390.00
Peninsula Union	Humboldt	Peninsula Union	70/62984-00-001	4/30/2026	$ 841,832.00	$ 280,611.00
Pittsburg Unified	Contra Costa	Willow Cove Elementary	70/61788-00-004	4/30/2026	$ 5,274,372.00	
Reef Sunset Unified	Kings	Avenal Elementary	70/73932-00-007	4/22/2026	$ 8,433,375.00	$ 2,811,124.00
Richland Union Elementary	Kern	Golden Oak Elementary	70/63575-00-003	4/29/2026	$ 5,627,268.00	
Rio Dell Elementary	Humboldt	Eagle Prairie Elementary	70/63008-00-001	4/30/2026	$ 1,122,443.00	$ 1,122,443.00
Robla Elementary	Sacramento	Glenwood Elementary	70/67421-00-011	4/28/2026	$ 5,907,878.00	$ 1,969,293.00
Rockford Elementary	Tulare	Rockford Elementary	70/72090-00-001	4/29/2026	$ 1,411,020.00	$ 846,612.00
San Lucas Union Elementary	Monterey	San Lucas Elementary	70/66183-00-001	4/24/2026	$ 1,418,109.00	$ 1,418,109.00
Scotia Union Elementary	Humboldt	Murphy (Stanwood A.) Elementary	70/63024-00-001	4/30/2026	$ 856,888.00	$ 285,629.00
Summerville Elementary	Tuolumne	Summerville Elementary	70/72405-00-003	4/30/2026	$ 1,698,719.00	$ 566,240.00
Sundale Union Elementary	Tulare	Sundale Elementary	70/72173-00-001	4/30/2026	$ 1,683,664.00	$ 561,221.00

California Preschool, Transitional Kindergarten and Full-Day Kindergarten Facilities Grant Program
- Submitted Applications as of April 30, 2026 -

District	County	Site Name	Application Number	OPSC Date Received	Estimated State Grant	Estimated Financial Hardship**
Tehama County Office of Education	Tehama	New Severe SDC Site	70/10520-00-001	4/30/2026	$ 1,698,719.00	$ 566,240.00
Terra Bella Union Elementary	Tulare	Terra Bella Elementary	70/72199-00-001	4/30/2026	$ 2,540,551.00	$ 846,850.00
Trinity Alps Unified	Trinity	Weaverville Elementary	70/76513-00-001	4/30/2026	$ 2,540,551.00	
Turlock Unified	Stanislaus	Osborn Elementary	70/75739-00-001	4/30/2026	$ 2,473,035.00	
Twin Rivers Unified	Sacramento	Woodridge Elementary	70/76505-00-007	4/28/2026	$ 1,663,114.50	
Vallecitos Elementary	San Diego	Vallecitos Elementary	70/68437-00-001	4/27/2026	$ 1,698,719.00	$ 566,240.00
Westmorland Union Elementary	Imperial	Westmorland Elementary	70/63230-00-001	4/22/2026	$ 1,418,109.00	$ 846,850.00
Westwood Unified	Lassen	Westwood High	70/64204-00-002	4/27/2026	$ 1,418,109.00	$ 846,850.00
Westwood Unified	Lassen	Fletcher Walker Elementary	70/64204-00-001	4/27/2026	$ 1,418,109.00	$ 846,850.00
Yosemite Unified	Madera	Rivergold Elementary	70/76414-00-002	4/30/2026	$ 856,888.00	$ 285,629.00
Yosemite Unified	Madera	Coarsegold Elementary	70/76414-00-001	4/30/2026	$ 856,888.00	$ 285,629.00

ESTIMATED STATE SHARE	**$**	**183,610,720.50**
ESTIMATED FINANCIAL HARDSHIP REQUEST	**$**	**29,007,808.00**
CALIFORNIA PRESCHOOL, TRANSITIONAL KINDERGARTEN AND FULL-DAY KINDERGARTEN TOTAL*	**$**	**212,618,528.50**

*This list is inclusive of all CA Preschool, Transitional Kindergarten and Full-Day Kindergarten Facilities Grant Program (Program) applications received by OPSC for the April 2026 Filing Round. OPSC has not yet reviewed the applications; therefore, the total grant request may exceed available expenditure authority. Placement on this list does not confirm eligibility for funding nor the amount.

** This column represents estimated Financial Hardship amounts that have not been reviewed by OPSC for compliance with the Program regulations.

This report was updated on June 8, 2026 to reflect an additional application submitted on April 30, 2026 that was inadvertently omitted on previous versions of this report.

SCHOOL DISTRICT EXTENSION REQUESTS

As a result of prior emergency proclamations, the State Allocation Board (Board) previously approved regulations to provide the Executive Officer with the authority, during a proclaimed emergency by the Governor of the State of California, to grant program extensions. The Executive Officer must inform the Board of any action taken.

Two extension requests were received by the Office of Public School Construction since January 2026, which have been approved.

Total Number of Requests: 2

REQUESTS

Approved:	2
No Longer Needed:	0
Denied:	0
Pending:	0
	2

SCHOOL DISTRICT SIZE

Large:	1
Medium:	0
Small:	1
	2

APPROVED EXTENSIONS

Responses Received:	2
Responses Pending:	0
	2

TYPES OF PENDING REQUESTS

Eligibility & Funding Responses:	0
Expenditure Reports:	0
Fund Release:	0
Substantial Progress:	0
Audit Correspondence:	0
Accounts Receivables:	0
Full-Day Kindergarten:	0
	0

OPSC will continue to accept and review extension requests for any ongoing state of emergencies, such as wildfires or other natural disasters, for school districts directly impacted. School districts that are requesting an extension as a result of a state of emergency shall continue to submit the School District Extension Request Form.

ATTACHMENT
School District Time Extension Requests
State Allocation Board, August 5, 2026

District Size	District Name	Project/Site Name	Type of Request	Project Number	Category of Request	Description of Deliverable	Original Due Date	Requested Extension Date	Receipt Date for Requested Documents	Status
Large	Pasadena Unified	Districtwide New Construction Eligibility and Unhoused Pupil Certificatior	Funding		Eligibility & Funding Responses	Form SAB 50-01, 50-02, and 50-03; Unhoused Pupil Certification	1/30/2026	3/13/2026 for Eligibility Documents; 3/31/2026 for Governing Board Approved Certification	3/13/2026; 3/31/2026 for Governing Board-Approved Certification	Approved - Closed
Small	Odyssey Charter Schools	Odyssey Charter and Odyssey Charter South	Funding		Eligibility & Funding Responses	Form SAB 50-09 and CSFP Notification Deadline	10/30/2025	3/18/2026	3/16/2026; Requested Extension Date modified from 3/18/2026 to 3/16/2026, the first business day following the 30-day notification perioc	Approved - Closed

INFORMATION ITEM

SCHOOL FACILITY PROGRAM
APPLICATIONS RECEIVED BEYOND BOND AUTHORITY LIST
(as of June 30, 2026)

The applications on this list represent School Facility Program (SFP) Modernization projects in date order received that were received on or after October 30, 2024 through June 30, 2026. These applications have been received, but not reviewed, by the Office of Public School Construction (OPSC). This list is presented to the State Allocation Board for acknowledgement, but not approval pursuant to SFP Regulation Section 1859.95.1.

Published monthly in the SAB Agenda.

This report is also on the OPSC Website.

SFP APPLICATIONS
Modernization Applications Received Past Existing Authority - as of June 30, 2026

District	County	Site Name	Application Number	50-04 Date Received*	Estimated State Grant (a)	Estimated Financial Hardship (b)
Clovis Unified	Fresno	Fort Washington Elementary	57/62117-00-066	10/30/24	$ 4,351,262	$ -
Clovis Unified	Fresno	Dry Creek Elementary	57/62117-00-067	10/30/24	$ 6,616,216	$ -
Clovis Unified	Fresno	Kastner Intermediate	57/62117-00-068	10/30/24	$ 9,275,125	$ -
Clovis Unified	Fresno	Copper Hills Elementary	57/62117-00-069	10/30/24	$ 6,417,827	$ -
Clovis Unified	Fresno	Pinedale Elementary	57/62117-00-070	10/30/24	$ 3,981,193	$ -
Clovis Unified	Fresno	Century Elementary	57/62117-00-071	10/30/24	$ 4,611,447	$ -
Clovis Unified	Fresno	Clovis High	57/62117-00-072	10/30/24	$ 28,545,797	$ -
Fresno Unified	Fresno	Roosevelt High	57/62166-00-153	10/30/24	$ 17,851,192	$ -
El Monte Union High	Los Angeles	Arroyo High	57/64519-00-009	10/30/24	$ 11,136,772	$ -
Long Beach Unified	Los Angeles	Jordan High	57/64725-00-065	10/30/24	$ 23,120,438	$ -
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Ernest Lawrence Middle	57/64733-00-843	10/30/24	$ 3,127,934	$ -
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Rosemont Avenue Elementary	57/64733-00-844	10/30/24	$ 4,836,951	$ -
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Woodrow Wilson Senior High	57/64733-00-845	10/30/24	$ 1,205,039	$ -
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Fairfax Senior High	57/64733-00-846	10/30/24	$ 1,293,576	$ -
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Manual Arts Senior High	57/64733-00-847	10/30/24	$ 1,144,270	$ -
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Sunrise Elementary	57/64733-05-020	10/30/24	$ 808,803	$ -
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	South Gate Middle	57/64733-16-014	10/30/24	$ 5,008,679	$ -
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Huntington Park Senior High	57/64733-17-013	10/30/24	$ 20,805,275	$ -
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Huntington Park Senior High	57/64733-17-014	10/30/24	$ 10,742,511	$ -
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Huntington Park Senior High	57/64733-17-015	10/30/24	$ 7,434,222	$ -
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Canyon Charter Elementary	57/64733-47-013	10/30/24	$ 1,192,176	$ -
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Palisades Charter High	57/64733-47-014	10/30/24	$ 11,590,382	$ -
Norwalk-La Mirada Unified	Los Angeles	La Mirada High	57/64840-00-036	10/30/24	$ 626,237	$ -
Salinas Union High	Monterey	Everett Alvarez High	57/66159-00-003	10/30/24	$ 20,566,060	$ -
Anaheim Elementary	Orange	Sunkist Elementary	57/66423-00-035	10/30/24	$ 8,673,017	$ -
Sacramento City Unified	Sacramento	Nicholas Elementary	57/67439-00-079	10/30/24	$ 3,234,409	$ -
Encinitas Union Elementary	San Diego	Ocean Knoll Elementary	57/68080-00-014	10/30/24	$ 1,021,545	$ -
San Luis Coastal Unified	San Luis Obispo	Laguna Middle	57/68809-00-033	10/30/24	$ 1,031,010	$ -
Ravenswood City Elementary	San Mateo	Belle Haven Elementary	57/68999-00-019	10/30/24	$ 5,459,624	$ -
Mountain View-Los Altos Union High	Santa Clara	Mountain View High	57/69609-00-008	10/30/24	$ 5,893,518	$ -
Piner-Olivet Union Elementary	Sonoma	Morrice Schaefer Charter	57/70870-00-006	10/30/24	$ 673,382	$ -
Modesto City High	Stanislaus	Grace M. Davis High	57/71175-00-005	10/30/24	$ 3,820,068	$ -
Modesto City High	Stanislaus	Grace M. Davis High	57/71175-00-006	10/30/24	$ 2,801,664	$ -
Modesto City High	Stanislaus	Grace M. Davis High	57/71175-00-007	10/30/24	$ 1,510,811	$ -
Modesto City High	Stanislaus	Peter Johansen High	57/71175-00-008	10/30/24	$ 18,623,600	$ -
Rockford Elementary	Tulare	Rockford Elementary	57/72090-00-005	10/30/24	$ 1,203,660	$ 802,440
Milpitas Unified	Santa Clara	Rancho Milpitas Middle	57/73387-00-017	10/30/24	$ 6,445,330	$ -
Milpitas Unified	Santa Clara	Thomas Russell Middle	57/73387-00-018	10/30/24	$ 8,465,541	$ -
Rowland Unified	Los Angeles	Nogales High	57/73452-00-035	10/30/24	$ 1,682,476	$ -
Caruthers Unified	Fresno	Monroe Elementary	57/75598-00-005	10/30/24	$ 2,419,504	$ 1,613,003
Konocti Unified	Lake	Lower Lake High	57/64022-00-020	10/31/24	$ 5,309,325	$ -
Downey Unified	Los Angeles	Griffiths (Gordon) Middle	57/64451-00-042	10/31/24	$ 1,137,002	$ -
Downey Unified	Los Angeles	Sussman (Edward A.) Middle	57/64451-00-043	10/31/24	$ 2,079,323	$ -
Vacaville Unified	Solano	Vaca Pena Middle	57/70573-00-025	10/31/24	$ 1,436,098	$ -

SFP APPLICATIONS
Modernization Applications Received Past Existing Authority - as of June 30, 2026

District	County	Site Name	Application Number	50-04 Date Received*	Estimated State Grant (a)	Estimated Financial Hardship (b)
Vacaville Unified	Solano	Hemlock Elementary	57/70573-00-026	10/31/24	$ 518,780	$ -
Vacaville Unified	Solano	Orchard Elementary	57/70573-00-027	10/31/24	$ 847,706	$ -
Lindsay Unified	Tulare	John J. Cairns Continuation	57/71993-00-011	10/31/24	$ 1,064,580	$ -
Pomona Unified	Los Angeles	Lorbeer Middle	57/64907-00-073	11/04/24	$ 1,801,365	$ -
Pomona Unified	Los Angeles	Ranch Hills Elementary	57/64907-00-074	11/04/24	$ 1,877,585	$ -
Pomona Unified	Los Angeles	Garey High	57/64907-00-075	11/04/24	$ 2,438,766	$ -
Santa Cruz City High	Santa Cruz	Santa Cruz High	57/69823-00-015	11/04/24	$ 442,385	$ -
Los Nietos	Los Angeles	Ada S. Nelson Elementary	57/64758-00-012	11/08/24	$ 2,424,457	$ -
Chaffey Joint Union High	San Bernardino	Chaffey High	57/67652-00-009	11/11/24	$ 11,195,775	$ -
Salinas Union High	Monterey	Alisal High	57/66159-00-004	11/14/24	$ 23,380,494	$ -
Pond Union Elementary	Kern	Pond Elementary	57/63719-00-002****	11/15/24	$ 262,227	$ 174,818
Valley Home Joint Elementary	Stanislaus	Valley Home Elementary	57/71324-00-002****	11/15/24	$ 307,978	$ 205,319
Fresno Unified	Fresno	Addams Elementary	57/62166-00-154****	11/21/24	$ 8,143,960	$ -
Fresno Unified	Fresno	Columbia Elementary	57/62166-00-155****	11/21/24	$ 4,953,413	$ -
Fresno Unified	Fresno	Jackson Elementary	57/62166-00-156****	11/21/24	$ 2,871,068	$ -
Fresno Unified	Fresno	Del Mar Elementary	57/62166-00-157****	11/21/24	$ 5,283,286	$ -
Fresno Unified	Fresno	McLane High	57/62166-00-158****	11/21/24	$ 37,764,914	$ -
Fresno Unified	Fresno	Roosevelt High	57/62166-00-159****	11/21/24	$ 40,118,626	$ -
Fresno Unified	Fresno	Yosemite Middle	57/62166-00-160****	11/21/24	$ 6,694,695	$ -
Fresno Unified	Fresno	Roosevelt High	57/62166-00-161****	11/21/24	$ 40,279,055	$ -
Anderson Valley Unified	Mendocino	Anderson Valley Junior-Senior High	57/65540-00-006****	11/21/24	$ 3,029,981	$ -
Ukiah Unified	Mendocino	Calpella Elementary	57/65615-00-014	11/22/24	$ 1,213,000	$ -
Ukiah Unified	Mendocino	Frank Zeek Elementary	57/65615-00-015	11/22/24	$ 3,050,256	$ -
Grant Elementary	Shasta	Grant Elementary	57/70003-00-003	11/22/24	$ 242,473	$ 161,649
Exeter Unified	Tulare	Wilson Middle	57/76836-00-003	11/22/24	$ 2,088,005	$ -
Buellton Union Elementary	Santa Barbara	Jonata Middle	57/69138-00-005	11/25/24	$ 1,517,682	$ -
Caruthers Unified	Fresno	Monroe Elementary	57/75598-00-006****	11/25/24	$ 2,419,504	$ 1,613,003
San Bernardino City Unified	San Bernardino	Sierra High	57/67876-00-124****	11/26/24	$ 6,036,450	$ -
San Bernardino City Unified	San Bernardino	San Gorgonio High	57/67876-00-125****	11/26/24	$ 28,431,969	$ -
Simi Valley Unified	Ventura	Royal High	57/72603-00-040****	11/26/24	$ 25,811,268	$ -
Simi Valley Unified	Ventura	Simi Valley High	57/72603-00-041****	11/26/24	$ 24,852,742	$ -
Simi Valley Unified	Ventura	Santa Susana High	57/72603-00-042****	11/26/24	$ 13,119,375	$ -
Sanger Unified	Fresno	Lincoln Elementary	57/62414-00-025****	12/03/24	$ 3,812,692	$ -
Sanger Unified	Fresno	Jackson Elementary	57/62414-00-026****	12/03/24	$ 4,223,725	$ -
Sanger Unified	Fresno	Washington Academic Middle	57/62414-00-027****	12/03/24	$ 6,603,049	$ -
Sanger Unified	Fresno	Wilson Elementary	57/62414-00-028****	12/03/24	$ 4,571,500	$ -
Sanger Unified	Fresno	Lone Star Elementary	57/62414-00-029****	12/03/24	$ 4,289,466	$ -
Greenfield Union	Kern	Leon H. Ollivier Middle	57/63503-00-014****	12/03/24	$ 3,769,899	$ -
Greenfield Union	Kern	Greenfield Middle	57/63503-00-015****	12/03/24	$ 8,756,790	$ -
Hesperia Unified	San Bernardino	Canyon Ridge High	57/75044-00-025	12/10/24	$ 2,359,560	$ -
Grenada Elementary	Siskiyou	Grenada Elementary	57/70326-00-001	12/11/24	$ 204,782	$ 136,521
San Juan Unified	Sacramento	Katherine Johnson Middle	57/67447-05-001	01/07/25	$ 5,557,319	$ -
Colton Joint Unified	San Bernardino	Colton Middle	57/67686-00-029	01/08/25	$ 3,744,938	$ -
Riverdale Joint Unified	Fresno	Fipps Primary	57/75408-00-010****	01/09/25	$ 3,368,194	$ -

SFP APPLICATIONS
Modernization Applications Received Past Existing Authority - as of June 30, 2026

District	County	Site Name	Application Number	50-04 Date Received*	Estimated State Grant (a)	Estimated Financial Hardship (b)
Riverdale Joint Unified	Fresno	Riverdale Elementary	57/75408-00-011****	01/09/25	$ 5,187,803	$ -
Riverdale Joint Unified	Fresno	Riverdale High	57/75408-00-012****	01/09/25	$ 6,586,188	$ -
Hermosa Beach City Elementary	Los Angeles	Hermosa View Elementary	57/64600-00-012	01/10/25	$ 147,277	$ 98,185
Le Grand Union Elementary	Merced	Le Grand Elementary	57/65722-00-004	01/15/25	$ 391,338	$ 260,892
Vallecitos Elementary	San Diego	Vallecitos Elementary	57/68437-00-002	01/17/25	$ 173,627	$ 115,751
Tamalpais Union High	Marin	Redwood High	57/65482-00-008	01/21/25	$ 3,300,698	$ -
River Delta Joint Unified	Sacramento	D. H. White Elementary	57/67413-00-006	01/23/25	$ 2,583,084	$ -
Alhambra Unified	Los Angeles	San Gabriel High	57/75713-00-040	01/31/25	$ 8,795,807	$ -
Santa Rosa High	Sonoma	Montgomery High	57/70920-00-017	02/03/25	$ 7,696,282	$ -
Fountain Valley Elementary	Orange	Courreges (Roch) Elementary	57/66498-00-027	02/05/25	$ 353,786	$ -
Elk Grove Unified	Sacramento	Charles E. Mack Elementary	57/67314-00-050	02/05/25	$ 5,858,281	$ -
Tulare City	Tulare	Roosevelt Elementary	57/72231-00-014	02/11/25	$ 2,799,208	$ -
Tamalpais Union High	Marin	Redwood High	57/65482-00-009	02/12/25	$ 3,785,320	$ -
Wheatland Union High	Yuba	Wheatland Union High	57/72769-00-005	02/13/25	$ 1,246,978	$ -
Dublin Unified	Alameda	Murray Elementary	57/75093-00-019	02/14/25	$ 3,482,921	$ -
Sausalito Marin City	Marin	Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. Academy	57/65474-00-003	02/18/25	$ 930,166	$ -
Palm Springs Unified	Riverside	James Workman Middle	57/67173-00-019	02/19/25	$ 9,638,824	$ -
River Delta Joint Unified	Sacramento	Riverview Middle	57/67413-00-007	02/20/25	$ 1,749,721	$ -
Corning Union Elementary	Tehama	West Street Elementary	57/71498-00-004	02/21/25	$ 3,413,767	$ -
Pomona Unified	Los Angeles	Pomona High	57/64907-00-076	02/27/25	$ 1,669,223	$ -
Mesa Union Elementary	Ventura	Mesa Elementary	57/72470-00-003	02/27/25	$ 4,967,728	$ 3,311,819
Pleasanton Unified	Alameda	Pleasanton Middle	57/75101-00-028	02/28/25	$ 5,292,912	$ -
Lucia Mar Unified	San Luis Obispo	Ocean View Elementary	57/68759-00-027	03/05/25	$ 3,896,431	$ -
Covina-Valley Unified	Los Angeles	Ben Lomond Elementary	57/64436-00-012	03/06/25	$ 3,183,143	$ -
Simi Valley Unified	Ventura	Garden Grove Elementary	57/72603-00-043	03/06/25	$ 6,404,230	$ -
Simi Valley Unified	Ventura	Valley View Middle	57/72603-00-044	03/06/25	$ 2,030,529	$ -
Anaheim Union High	Orange	Anaheim High	57/66431-01-006	03/10/25	$ 2,748,541	$ -
San Bernardino City Unified	San Bernardino	Emmerton Elementary	57/67876-00-126	03/10/25	$ 7,517,032	$ -
Newport-Mesa Unified	Orange	Whittier Elementary	57/66597-00-056	03/11/25	$ 2,043,912	$ -
San Bernardino City Unified	San Bernardino	Davidson Elementary	57/67876-00-127	03/13/25	$ 3,032,143	$ -
San Bernardino City Unified	San Bernardino	Cajon High	57/67876-00-128	03/13/25	$ 36,113,550	$ -
Pomona Unified	Los Angeles	Barfield Elementary	57/64907-00-077	03/14/25	$ 1,310,673	$ -
Newport-Mesa Unified	Orange	Newport Elementary	57/66597-00-057	03/17/25	$ 3,498,254	$ -
Ravenswood City Elementary	San Mateo	Cesar Chavez Ravenswood Middle	57/68999-00-020****	03/19/25	$ 3,951,375	$ -
Ravenswood City Elementary	San Mateo	Belle Haven Elementary	57/68999-00-021****	03/19/25	$ 5,689,176	$ -
Gridley Unified	Butte	Gridley High	57/75507-00-007****	03/20/25	$ 3,449,374	$ -
Anderson Valley Unified	Mendocino	Anderson Valley Elementary	57/65540-00-007	03/24/25	$ 428,933	$ -
Kirkwood Elementary	Tehama	Kirkwood Elementary	57/71555-00-001	03/24/25	$ 67,883	$ 45,255
Ventura Unified	Ventura	Juanamaria Elementary	57/72652-00-022	03/24/25	$ 741,184	$ -
Ventura Unified	Ventura	E. P. Foster Elementary	57/72652-00-023	03/24/25	$ 1,306,757	$ -
Newport-Mesa Unified	Orange	Woodland Elementary	57/66597-00-058	03/25/25	$ 1,932,065	$ -
Ontario-Montclair	San Bernardino	Ray Wiltsey Middle	57/67819-00-041	03/25/25	$ 1,698,105	$ -
Tustin Unified	Orange	Columbus Tustin Middle	57/73643-00-029	03/25/25	$ 4,020,134	$ -
Black Oak Mine Unified	El Dorado	Northside Elementary	57/73783-00-010	03/25/25	$ 791,558	$ -

SFP APPLICATIONS
Modernization Applications Received Past Existing Authority - as of June 30, 2026

District	County	Site Name	Application Number	50-04 Date Received*	Estimated State Grant (a)	Estimated Financial Hardship (b)
Caliente Union Elementary	Kern	Piute Mountain Elementary	57/63388-00-001	03/26/25	$ 65,591	$ 43,727
Ventura Unified	Ventura	Lemon Grove	57/72652-00-024	03/27/25	$ 844,979	$ -
Sierra Unified	Fresno	Sierra High	57/75275-00-004	03/27/25	$ 1,728,169	$ 1,152,113
Foresthill Union Elementary	Placer	Foresthill Divide	57/66837-00-002	03/31/25	$ 140,282	$ 93,521
Foresthill Union Elementary	Placer	Foresthill Elementary	57/66837-00-003	03/31/25	$ 144,816	$ 96,544
Ventura Unified	Ventura	Buena High	57/72652-00-025	03/31/25	$ 2,355,930	$ -
Ventura Unified	Ventura	Loma Vista Elementary	57/72652-00-026	04/01/25	$ 888,655	$ -
Pomona Unified	Los Angeles	San Jose Elementary	57/64907-00-078	04/02/25	$ 761,852	$ -
Ravenswood City Elementary	San Mateo	Los Robles-Ronald McNair Academy	57/68999-00-022	04/02/25	$ 662,223	$ -
Ventura Unified	Ventura	Elmhurst Elementary	57/72652-00-027	04/02/25	$ 954,195	$ -
Claremont Unified	Los Angeles	El Roble Intermediate	57/64394-00-011	04/03/25	$ 609,486	$ -
Claremont Unified	Los Angeles	Chaparral Elementary	57/64394-00-012	04/03/25	$ 2,293,810	$ -
San Bernardino City Unified	San Bernardino	Indian Springs High	57/67876-00-129	04/03/25	$ 23,855,543	$ -
San Bernardino City Unified	San Bernardino	Muscoy Elementary	57/67876-00-130	04/03/25	$ 5,180,116	$ -
San Luis Coastal Unified	San Luis Obispo	Monarch Grove Elementary	57/68809-00-035	04/04/25	$ 2,420,548	$ -
Claremont Unified	Los Angeles	Condit Elementary	57/64394-00-013	04/07/25	$ 2,166,190	$ -
Pleasanton Unified	Alameda	Fairlands Elementary	57/75101-00-029	04/07/25	$ 888,357	$ -
Ventura Unified	Ventura	Balboa Middle	57/72652-00-028	04/08/25	$ 2,685,454	$ -
Ferndale Unified	Humboldt	Ferndale Elementary	57/75374-00-005	04/08/25	$ 417,766	$ 278,511
Ferndale Unified	Humboldt	Ferndale High	57/75374-00-006	04/08/25	$ 301,733	$ 201,155
Ventura Unified	Ventura	Pacific High	57/72652-00-029	04/10/25	$ 588,147	$ -
Johnstonville Elementary	Lassen	Johnstonville Elementary	57/64113-00-003	04/11/25	$ 271,736	$ 181,157
Claremont Unified	Los Angeles	Claremont High	57/64394-00-014	04/11/25	$ 1,687,388	$ -
Elk Grove Unified	Sacramento	Samuel Kennedy Elementary	57/67314-00-051	04/11/25	$ 3,744,128	$ -
Claremont Unified	Los Angeles	Sycamore Elementary	57/64394-00-015	04/16/25	$ 485,008	$ -
Pomona Unified	Los Angeles	Diamond Ranch High	57/64907-00-079	04/16/25	$ 11,229,666	$ -
Antelope Elementary	Tehama	Antelope Elementary	57/71472-00-003	04/16/25	$ 333,647	$ 222,431
Antelope Elementary	Tehama	Berrendos Middle	57/71472-00-004	04/16/25	$ 300,928	$ 200,619
East Whittier City Elementary	Los Angeles	Hillview Middle	57/64485-00-015	04/18/25	$ 1,555,090	$ -
Ontario-Montclair	San Bernardino	Vina Danks Middle	57/67819-00-042	04/21/25	$ 1,888,111	$ -
East Whittier City Elementary	Los Angeles	Orchard Dale Elementary	57/64485-00-016	04/22/25	$ 2,383,997	$ -
East Whittier City Elementary	Los Angeles	Evergreen Elementary	57/64485-00-017	04/22/25	$ 1,674,729	$ -
Happy Camp Union Elementary	Siskiyou	Happy Camp Union Elementary	57/70334-00-001	04/23/25	$ 1,443,942	$ 962,628
Hanford Elementary	Kings	Woodrow Wilson Junior High	57/63917-00-013	04/24/25	$ 3,215,004	$ -
Black Oak Mine Unified	El Dorado	Golden Sierra High	57/73783-00-011	04/24/25	$ 50,484	$ -
Chico Unified	Butte	Little Chico Creek Elementary	57/61424-00-013	04/28/25	$ 3,059,172	$ -
Elk Grove Unified	Sacramento	Florence Markofer Elementary	57/67314-00-052	04/28/25	$ 4,787,244	$ -
Santa Paula Unified	Ventura	Barbara Webster Elementary	57/76828-00-008	04/29/25	$ 3,221,609	$ -
Union Hill Elementary	Nevada	Union Hill Elementary	57/66407-00-002	04/30/25	$ 548,329	$ 365,553
Rio Bravo-Greeley Union Elementary	Kern	Rio Bravo Elementary	57/73544-00-004	04/30/25	$ 807,894	$ 538,596
Rio Bravo-Greeley Union Elementary	Kern	Rio Bravo-Greeley Elementary	57/73544-00-005****	04/30/25	$ 2,051,914	$ -
Tulelake Basin Joint Unified	Modoc	Tulelake Basin Elementary	57/73593-00-002	05/01/25	$ 408,585	$ 272,390
Tulelake Basin Joint Unified	Modoc	Tulelake High	57/73593-00-003	05/01/25	$ 372,618	$ 248,412
Elk Grove Unified	Sacramento	James A. McKee Elementary	57/67314-00-053	05/02/25	$ 3,773,322	$ -

SFP APPLICATIONS
Modernization Applications Received Past Existing Authority - as of June 30, 2026

District	County	Site Name	Application Number	50-04 Date Received*	Estimated State Grant (a)	Estimated Financial Hardship (b)
Lakeside Union	Kern	Donald E. Suburu Elementary	57/63552-00-003	05/06/25	$ 1,095,481	$ 730,321
Lakeside Union	Kern	Lakeside Elementary	57/63552-00-004	05/06/25	$ 1,538,768	$ 1,025,845
Lancaster Elementary	Los Angeles	Sunnydale Elementary	57/64667-00-009	05/07/25	$ 677,060	$ -
Sunol Glen Unified	Alameda	Sunol Glen Elementary	57/75119-00-002	05/12/25	$ 999,212	$ -
Etiwanda Elementary	San Bernardino	Etiwanda Intermediate	57/67702-00-007	05/13/25	$ 7,350,090	$ -
Meadows Union	Imperial	Meadows Elementary	57/63198-00-002	05/15/25	$ 467,464	$ 311,643
Claremont Unified	Los Angeles	Mountain View Elementary	57/64394-00-016	05/15/25	$ 72,134	$ -
Vallejo City Unified	Solano	Vallejo High	57/70581-00-034	05/16/25	$ 1,862,763	$ -
Surprise Valley Joint Unified	Modoc	Surprise Valley Elementary	57/65896-00-003	05/22/25	$ 207,231	$ 138,154
Colton Joint Unified	San Bernardino	Bloomington High	57/67686-00-030	05/28/25	$ 6,314,475	$ -
Salinas Union High	Monterey	Salinas High	57/66159-00-005	05/29/25	$ 29,122,697	$ -
Capistrano Unified	Orange	Capistrano Valley High	57/66464-00-030	05/29/25	$ 11,140,931	$ -
Palermo Union Elementary	Butte	Helen M. Wilcox Elementary	57/61523-00-004	06/05/25	$ 557,251	$ 371,501
Palermo Union Elementary	Butte	Palermo Middle	57/61523-00-005	06/05/25	$ 538,913	$ 359,275
Konocti Unified	Lake	Lower Lake High	57/64022-00-021	06/06/25	$ 1,641,418	$ -
Konocti Unified	Lake	Burns Valley Elementary	57/64022-00-022	06/06/25	$ 851,736	$ -
San Bernardino City Unified	San Bernardino	San Andreas High	57/67876-00-131	06/09/25	$ 6,338,337	$ -
Stanislaus Union Elementary	Stanislaus	Stanislaus Elementary	57/71282-00-002	06/09/25	$ 3,282,634	$ -
Allensworth Elementary	Tulare	Allensworth Elementary	57/71795-00-002	06/10/25	$ 102,777	$ 68,518
Buttonwillow Union Elementary	Kern	Buttonwillow Elementary	57/63370-00-002	06/12/25	$ 606,833	$ 404,555
Colfax Elementary	Placer	Colfax Elementary	57/66795-00-001	06/19/25	$ 331,546	$ 221,031
Summerville Elementary	Tuolumne	Summerville Elementary	57/72405-00-004	06/23/25	$ 120,420	$ 80,280
Cotati-Rohnert Park Unified	Sonoma	Technology Middle	57/73882-00-014	06/26/25	$ 2,973,685	$ -
Union Hill Elementary	Nevada	Union Hill Elementary	57/66407-00-003	06/27/25	$ 100,466	$ 66,977
Twin Rivers Unified	Sacramento	Oakdale Elementary	57/76505-00-070	06/27/25	$ 677,837	$ -
Twin Rivers Unified	Sacramento	Del Paso Heights Elementary	57/76505-00-072	06/27/25	$ 1,222,169	$ -
Inglewood Unified	Los Angeles	Hudnall (Claude) Elementary	57/64634-00-008	06/30/25	$ 2,901,159	$ -
Inglewood Unified	Los Angeles	Highland Elementary	57/64634-00-009	06/30/25	$ 1,548,704	$ -
Scotts Valley Unified	Santa Cruz	Scotts Valley Middle	57/75432-00-003	07/01/25	$ 959,711	$ -
Scotts Valley Unified	Santa Cruz	Scotts Valley High	57/75432-00-004	07/01/25	$ 5,343,047	$ -
Ventura Unified	Ventura	Portola Elementary	57/72652-00-030	07/02/25	$ 2,116,399	$ -
Hamilton Unified	Glenn	Hamilton Elementary	57/76562-00-006	07/03/25	$ 605,284	$ -
El Rancho Unified	Los Angeles	El Rancho High	57/64527-00-028	07/07/25	$ 37,752,443	$ -
El Rancho Unified	Los Angeles	Durfee Elementary	57/64527-00-029	07/07/25	$ 2,878,740	$ -
El Rancho Unified	Los Angeles	South Ranchito Dual Language Academy	57/64527-00-030	07/07/25	$ 2,808,096	$ -
El Rancho Unified	Los Angeles	Rivera Elementary	57/64527-00-031	07/07/25	$ 3,867,755	$ -
El Rancho Unified	Los Angeles	North Ranchito Elementary	57/64527-00-032	07/07/25	$ 2,304,758	$ -
El Rancho Unified	Los Angeles	Magee Academy of Arts & Sciences	57/64527-00-033	07/07/25	$ 2,446,046	$ -
Portola Valley Elementary	San Mateo	Ormondale Elementary	57/68981-00-003	07/07/25	$ 2,686,361	$ -
Portola Valley Elementary	San Mateo	Corte Madera	57/68981-00-004	07/07/25	$ 2,812,982	$ -
Fruitvale Elementary	Kern	Columbia Elementary	57/63479-00-006	07/08/25	$ 2,651,213	$ -
Napa Valley Unified	Napa	Donaldson Way Elementary	57/66266-00-045	07/08/25	$ 3,608,971	$ -
Antelope Elementary	Tehama	Antelope Elementary	57/71472-00-005	07/08/25	$ 181,020	$ 120,680
Vallejo City Unified	Solano	Federal Terrace Elementary	57/70581-00-035	07/09/25	$ 5,207,792	$ -

SFP APPLICATIONS
Modernization Applications Received Past Existing Authority - as of June 30, 2026

District	County	Site Name	Application Number	50-04 Date Received*	Estimated State Grant (a)	Estimated Financial Hardship (b)
Vallejo City Unified	Solano	Lincoln Elementary	57/70581-00-036	07/09/25	$ 1,704,285	$ -
Ventura Unified	Ventura	Lincoln Elementary	57/72652-00-031	07/09/25	$ 696,341	$ -
Ventura Unified	Ventura	Citrus Glen Elementary	57/72652-00-032	07/10/25	$ 2,350,924	$ -
Claremont Unified	Los Angeles	Sumner Elementary	57/64394-00-017	07/11/25	$ 364,750	$ -
South Bay Union	San Diego	Emory Elementary	57/68395-00-012	07/11/25	$ 7,724,382	$ -
San Luis Coastal Unified	San Luis Obispo	Los Ranchos Elementary	57/68809-00-036	07/11/25	$ 4,182,562	$ -
San Luis Coastal Unified	San Luis Obispo	Bishop's Peak Elementary	57/68809-00-037	07/11/25	$ 2,745,780	$ -
Santa Rosa High	Sonoma	Rincon Valley Middle	57/70920-00-018	07/11/25	$ 9,265,298	$ -
Alvina Elementary	Fresno	Alvina Elementary Charter	57/61994-00-001	07/14/25	$ 2,112,832	$ -
El Nido Elementary	Merced	El Nido Elementary	57/65680-00-002	07/14/25	$ 167,996	$ 111,997
Salinas Union High	Monterey	North Salinas High	57/66159-00-006	07/15/25	$ 27,742,899	$ -
Covina-Valley Unified	Los Angeles	Covina High	57/64436-00-013	07/16/25	$ 17,978,722	$ -
El Rancho Unified	Los Angeles	Valencia Academy of the Arts	57/64527-00-034	07/16/25	$ 3,125,993	$ -
El Rancho Unified	Los Angeles	Rio Vista Elementary	57/64527-00-035	07/16/25	$ 2,357,741	$ -
El Rancho Unified	Los Angeles	STEAM Academy @ Burke	57/64527-00-036	07/16/25	$ 1,859,063	$ -
Solvang Elementary	Santa Barbara	Solvang Elementary	57/69336-00-004	07/16/25	$ 220,702	$ -
Ventura Unified	Ventura	Mound Elementary	57/72652-00-033	07/17/25	$ 1,336,456	$ -
Arcadia Unified	Los Angeles	Baldwin Stocker Elementary	57/64261-00-012	07/18/25	$ 832,551	$ -
Arcadia Unified	Los Angeles	Longley Way Elementary	57/64261-00-013	07/18/25	$ 637,397	$ -
Arcadia Unified	Los Angeles	Holly Avenue Elementary	57/64261-00-014	07/18/25	$ 602,536	$ -
Arcadia Unified	Los Angeles	Hugo Reid Primary	57/64261-00-015	07/18/25	$ 89,904	$ -
Dublin Unified	Alameda	Frederiksen Elementary	57/75093-00-020	07/18/25	$ 3,846,612	$ -
Scotts Valley Unified	Santa Cruz	Brook Knoll Elementary	57/75432-00-005	07/18/25	$ 2,479,104	$ -
Scotts Valley Unified	Santa Cruz	Vine Hill Elementary	57/75432-00-006	07/18/25	$ 3,519,650	$ -
Oakland Unified	Alameda	Glenview Elementary	57/61259-00-073	07/21/25	$ 3,983,667	$ -
Bakersfield City	Kern	Horace Mann Elementary	57/63321-00-020	07/21/25	$ 6,219,673	$ -
Kern High	Kern	Highland High	57/63529-00-014	07/21/25	$ 7,927,676	$ -
Walnut Creek Elementary	Contra Costa	Parkmead Elementary	57/61812-00-010	07/22/25	$ 3,178,976	$ -
Walnut Creek Elementary	Contra Costa	Buena Vista Elementary	57/61812-00-011	07/22/25	$ 4,254,958	$ -
Bakersfield City	Kern	Mt. Vernon Elementary	57/63321-00-021	07/22/25	$ 5,449,367	$ -
Bakersfield City	Kern	Wayside Elementary	57/63321-00-022	07/22/25	$ 5,141,505	$ -
Bakersfield City	Kern	Pioneer Drive Elementary	57/63321-00-023	07/22/25	$ 5,162,744	$ -
Bakersfield City	Kern	Washington Middle	57/63321-00-024	07/22/25	$ 5,163,696	$ -
Bakersfield City	Kern	Munsey Elementary	57/63321-00-025	07/22/25	$ 4,072,398	$ -
Bakersfield City	Kern	Roosevelt Elementary	57/63321-00-026	07/22/25	$ 4,273,405	$ -
Arcadia Unified	Los Angeles	Richard Henry Dana Middle	57/64261-00-016	07/22/25	$ 533,708	$ -
Luther Burbank	Santa Clara	Luther Burbank Elementary	57/69542-00-002	07/22/25	$ 845,463	$ -
Kern High	Kern	Shafter High	57/63529-00-015	07/23/25	$ 4,772,831	$ -
San Luis Coastal Unified	San Luis Obispo	C L Smith Elementary	57/68809-00-038	07/23/25	$ 2,756,760	$ -
Grant Elementary	Shasta	Grant Elementary	57/70003-00-003	07/23/25	$ 979,473	$ -
Bakersfield City	Kern	Fremont Elementary	57/63321-00-027	07/24/25	$ 6,411,020	$ -
Twin Rivers Unified	Sacramento	Creative Connections Arts Academy	57/76505-00-073	07/24/25	$ 322,373	$ -
Beverly Hills Unified	Los Angeles	Horace Mann Elementary	57/64311-00-011	07/25/25	$ 3,544,111	$ -
Twin Rivers Unified	Sacramento	Madison Elementary	57/76505-00-074	07/25/25	$ 711,761	$ -

SFP APPLICATIONS
Modernization Applications Received Past Existing Authority - as of June 30, 2026

District	County	Site Name	Application Number	50-04 Date Received*	Estimated State Grant (a)	Estimated Financial Hardship (b)
El Centro Elementary	Imperial	Harding Elementary	57/63123-00-016	07/28/25	$ 1,455,068	$ -
El Centro Elementary	Imperial	Wilson Jr. High	57/63123-00-017	07/28/25	$ 1,399,610	$ -
San Luis Coastal Unified	San Luis Obispo	Sinsheimer Elementary	57/68809-00-034	07/28/25	$ 3,622,173	$ -
San Luis Coastal Unified	San Luis Obispo	Hawthorne Elementary	57/68809-00-039	07/28/25	$ 3,578,414	$ -
San Luis Coastal Unified	San Luis Obispo	Del Mar Elementary	57/68809-00-040	07/28/25	$ 1,920,339	$ -
Palm Springs Unified	Riverside	Cathedral City High	57/67173-00-020	07/29/25	$ 15,189,692	$ -
San Luis Coastal Unified	San Luis Obispo	Los Osos Middle	57/68809-00-041	07/29/25	$ 3,907,228	$ -
Santa Rosa Elementary	Sonoma	Helen M. Lehman Elementary	57/70912-00-014	07/29/25	$ 2,808,698	$ -
Moorpark Unified	Ventura	Flory Academy of Sciences and Technology	57/73940-00-002	07/29/25	$ 1,553,755	$ -
San Lorenzo Valley Unified	Santa Cruz	Boulder Creek Elementary	57/69807-00-006	07/31/25	$ 884,366	$ -
Grant Elementary	Shasta	Grant Elementary	57/70003-00-004	07/31/25	$ 105,897	$ 70,598
Pleasant Valley Joint Union Elementary	San Luis Obispo	Pleasant Valley Elementary	57/68791-00-001	08/01/25	$ 53,401	$ 35,601
Heber Elementary	Imperial	Heber Elementary	57/63131-00-003	08/04/25	$ 2,508,614	$ -
Nuestro Elementary	Sutter	Nuestro Elementary	57/71423-00-002	08/06/25	$ 206,975	$ 137,983
Westside Elementary	Fresno	Westside Elementary	57/62547-00-002	08/07/25	$ 200,715	$ 133,810
Clear Creek Elementary	Nevada	Clear Creek Elementary	57/66324-00-003	08/08/25	$ 64,887	$ 43,258
Little Lake City Elementary	Los Angeles	Jersey Avenue Elementary	57/64717-00-019	08/12/25	$ 1,532,580	$ -
Santa Rosa High	Sonoma	Santa Rosa High	57/70920-00-019	08/12/25	$ 6,968,667	$ -
Twin Rivers Unified	Sacramento	Dry Creek Elementary	57/76505-00-075	08/12/25	$ 828,035	$ -
Susanville Elementary	Lassen	McKinley Elementary	57/64196-00-002	08/13/25	$ 501,425	$ 334,283
Saint Helena Unified	Napa	Saint Helena Primary	57/66290-00-009	08/13/25	$ 837,489	$ -
Twin Rivers Unified	Sacramento	Smythe Academy of Arts and Sciences	57/76505-00-076	08/13/25	$ 1,035,377	$ -
San Lorenzo Valley Unified	Santa Cruz	San Lorenzo Valley Elementary	57/69807-00-007	08/14/25	$ 1,145,356	$ -
Ventura Unified	Ventura	Will Rogers Elementary	57/72652-00-034	08/14/25	$ 1,218,669	$ -
Davis Joint Unified	Yolo	Robert E. Willett Elementary	57/72678-00-020	08/14/25	$ 5,621,661	$ -
Lake Elementary	Glenn	Lake Elementary	57/62596-00-003	08/15/25	$ 58,400	$ 38,933
Big Valley Joint Unified	Lassen	Big Valley Jr. Sr. High	57/64089-00-008	08/18/25	$ 269,840	$ 179,893
Richmond Elementary	Lassen	Richmond Elementary	57/64170-00-004	08/18/25	$ 120,170	$ 80,113
Rio Dell Elementary	Humboldt	Monument Middle	57/63008-00-002	08/20/25	$ 130,330	$ 86,887
Rio Dell Elementary	Humboldt	Eagle Prairie Elementary	57/63008-00-003	08/20/25	$ 295,879	$ 197,253
Junction Elementary	Shasta	Junction Elementary	57/70045-00-002	08/20/25	$ 391,731	$ 261,154
Ventura Unified	Ventura	De Anza Academy of Technology and the Arts	57/72652-00-035	08/20/25	$ 4,002,112	$ -
Newport-Mesa Unified	Orange	Eastbluff Elementary	57/66597-00-059	08/21/25	$ 2,317,399	$ -
Roseland	Sonoma	Sheppard Elementary	57/70904-00-004	08/21/25	$ 2,521,853	$ -
Black Oak Mine Unified	El Dorado	Northside Elementary	57/73783-00-012	08/21/25	$ 997,959	$ -
Mountain Empire Unified	San Diego	Mountain Empire Junior High	57/68213-00-006	08/22/25	$ 2,170,034	$ 1,446,689
Gratton Elementary	Stanislaus	Gratton Elementary	57/71084-00-003	08/22/25	$ 193,431	$ 128,954
Waterford Unified	Stanislaus	Waterford High	57/75572-00-008	08/25/25	$ 5,220,514	$ 3,480,343
Ventura Unified	Ventura	Cabrillo Middle	57/72652-00-036	08/27/25	$ 2,245,610	$ -
Calexico Unified	Imperial	Blanche Charles Elementary	57/63099-00-006	08/28/25	$ 2,714,911	$ -
Roberts Ferry Union Elementary	Stanislaus	Roberts Ferry Union Elementary	57/71233-00-002	08/28/25	$ 1,413,775	$ 942,517
Wasco Union High	Kern	Wasco Independence High	57/63859-00-003	08/29/25	$ 1,078,081	$ -
Oak Park Unified	Ventura	Red Oak Elementary	57/73874-00-007	09/03/25	$ 2,942,658	$ -
Oak Park Unified	Ventura	Oak Park High	57/73874-00-008	09/03/25	$ 1,827,384	$ -

SFP APPLICATIONS
Modernization Applications Received Past Existing Authority - as of June 30, 2026

District	County	Site Name	Application Number	50-04 Date Received*	Estimated State Grant (a)	Estimated Financial Hardship (b)
Oak Park Unified	Ventura	Oak View High	57/73874-00-009	09/03/25	$ 411,827	$ -
Cotati-Rohnert Park Unified	Sonoma	Lawrence E. Jones Middle	57/73882-00-015	09/03/25	$ 1,147,822	$ -
Ontario-Montclair	San Bernardino	Moreno Elementary	57/67819-00-043	09/05/25	$ 2,242,944	$ -
Union Elementary	Santa Clara	Guadalupe Elementary	57/69708-00-021	09/05/25	$ 633,570	$ -
Chico Unified	Butte	Emma Wilson Elementary	57/61424-00-014	09/09/25	$ 2,525,942	$ -
El Segundo Unified	Los Angeles	El Segundo Middle	57/64535-00-011	09/09/25	$ 1,512,624	$ -
El Segundo Unified	Los Angeles	Arena High (Continuation)	57/64535-00-012	09/09/25	$ 555,259	$ -
Le Grand Union High	Merced	Le Grand High	57/65730-00-002	09/09/25	$ 2,334,201	$ -
Twin Rivers Unified	Sacramento	Warren A. Allison Elementary	57/76505-00-077	09/09/25	$ 458,704	$ -
Twin Rivers Unified	Sacramento	Sierra View Elementary	57/76505-00-078	09/09/25	$ 937,232	$ -
Mark West Union Elementary	Sonoma	John B. Riebli Elementary	57/70805-00-003	09/10/25	$ 1,014,890	$ -
Pittsburg Unified	Contra Costa	Los Medanos Elementary	57/61788-00-017	09/11/25	$ 4,365,364	$ -
Gravenstein Union Elementary	Sonoma	Gravenstein Elementary	57/70714-00-005	09/11/25	$ 713,266	$ -
Oak Park Unified	Ventura	Medea Creek Middle	57/73874-00-010	09/13/25	$ 2,335,108	$ -
Cotati-Rohnert Park Unified	Sonoma	Thomas Page Academy	57/73882-00-016	09/15/25	$ 1,960,369	$ -
Cotati-Rohnert Park Unified	Sonoma	Richard Crane Elementary	57/73882-00-017	09/15/25	$ 1,756,619	$ -
Cotati-Rohnert Park Unified	Sonoma	Evergreen Elementary	57/73882-00-018	09/15/25	$ 4,276,674	$ -
Cotati-Rohnert Park Unified	Sonoma	Rancho Cotate High	57/73882-00-019	09/15/25	$ 3,185,353	$ -
Napa Valley Unified	Napa	Silverado Middle	57/66266-00-046	09/16/25	$ 10,448,318	$ -
Elk Grove Unified	Sacramento	Maeola E. Beitzel Elementary	57/67314-00-054	09/16/25	$ 3,890,603	$ -
Calexico Unified	Imperial	Mains Elementary	57/63099-00-007	09/17/25	$ 2,796,267	$ -
Calexico Unified	Imperial	Jefferson Elementary	57/63099-00-008	09/17/25	$ 2,331,373	$ -
Fresno Unified	Fresno	Powers-Ginsburg Elementary	57/62166-00-162	09/18/25	$ 2,036,893	$ -
Newport-Mesa Unified	Orange	Paularino Elementary	57/66597-00-060	09/18/25	$ 739,827	$ -
West Side Union Elementary	Sonoma	West Side Elementary	57/71001-00-001	09/18/25	$ 135,765	$ 90,510
Ventura Unified	Ventura	Junipero Serra Elementary	57/72652-00-037	09/18/25	$ 1,655,887	$ -
Davis Joint Unified	Yolo	North Davis Elementary	57/72678-00-021	09/18/25	$ 4,976,645	$ -
Oak Grove Union Elementary	Sonoma	Oak Grove Elementary/Willowside Middle	57/70839-00-003	09/19/25	$ 1,065,088	$ -
Modesto City Elementary	Stanislaus	Roosevelt Junior High	57/71167-00-011	09/19/25	$ 1,659,370	$ -
Woodville Union Elementary	Tulare	Woodville Elementary	57/72298-00-003	09/19/25	$ 114,345	$ 76,230
Las Virgenes Unified	Los Angeles	Willow Elementary	57/64683-00-014	09/22/25	$ 839,330	$ -
Las Virgenes Unified	Los Angeles	Agoura High	57/64683-00-015	09/22/25	$ 4,363,276	$ -
Las Virgenes Unified	Los Angeles	Arthur E. Wright Middle	57/64683-00-016	09/22/25	$ 4,363,276	$ -
Lucia Mar Unified	San Luis Obispo	Grover Heights Elementary	57/68759-00-028	09/22/25	$ 1,442,480	$ -
Lucia Mar Unified	San Luis Obispo	Branch Elementary	57/68759-00-029	09/22/25	$ 1,002,884	$ -
Lucia Mar Unified	San Luis Obispo	Shell Beach Elementary	57/68759-00-030	09/22/25	$ 1,290,562	$ -
Lucia Mar Unified	San Luis Obispo	Paulding Middle	57/68759-00-031	09/22/25	$ 2,632,805	$ -
San Mateo Union High	San Mateo	Burlingame High	57/69047-00-014	09/22/25	$ 15,929,622	$ -
Sanger Unified	Fresno	Washington Academic Middle	57/62414-00-030	09/23/25	$ 3,245,124	$ -
Calexico Unified	Imperial	William Moreno Junior High	57/63099-00-009	09/23/25	$ 5,506,337	$ -
Galt Joint Union Elementary	Sacramento	Valley Oaks Elementary	57/67348-00-006	09/25/25	$ 400,015	$ -
Lancaster Elementary	Los Angeles	Nancy Cory Elementary	57/64667-00-010	09/26/25	$ 4,487,714	$ -
Willow Creek Elementary	Siskiyou	Willow Creek Elementary	57/70490-00-001	09/29/25	$ 40,241	$ 26,827
Sutter Union High	Sutter	Sutter High	57/71449-00-004	09/30/25	$ 101,525	$ 67,683

SFP APPLICATIONS
Modernization Applications Received Past Existing Authority - as of June 30, 2026

District	County	Site Name	Application Number	50-04 Date Received*	Estimated State Grant (a)	Estimated Financial Hardship (b)
Bella Vista Elementary	Shasta	Bella Vista Elementary	57/69872-00-002	10/02/25	$ 144,588	$ 96,392
Kit Carson Union Elementary	Kings	Kit Carson Elementary	57/63958-00-002	10/03/25	$ 213,575	$ 142,383
Ontario-Montclair	San Bernardino	Central Language Academy	57/67819-00-044	10/03/25	$ 1,484,572	$ -
Calexico Unified	Imperial	Kennedy Gardens Elementary	57/63099-00-010	10/06/25	$ 3,183,394	$ -
Napa Valley Unified	Napa	Bel Aire Park Elementary	57/66266-00-047	10/07/25	$ 1,008,239	$ -
Napa Valley Unified	Napa	Napa High	57/66266-00-048	10/07/25	$ 3,061,845	$ -
Napa Valley Unified	Napa	Alta Heights Elementary	57/66266-00-049	10/07/25	$ 1,411,534	$ -
Napa Valley Unified	Napa	American Canyon Middle	57/66266-00-050	10/08/25	$ 1,681,289	$ -
Sacramento City Unified	Sacramento	Oak Ridge Elementary	57/67439-00-080	10/08/25	$ 5,469,412	$ -
Jamestown Elementary	Tuolumne	Jamestown Elementary	57/72363-00-001	10/09/25	$ 472,517	$ 315,011
Orange Unified	Orange	Serrano Elementary	57/66621-00-059	10/14/25	$ 1,005,960	$ -
Jefferson Union High	San Mateo	Westmoor High	57/68924-00-013	10/14/25	$ 20,634,848	$ -
Brittan Elementary	Sutter	Brittan Elementary	57/71357-00-004	10/15/25	$ 425,397	$ 283,598
Hacienda la Puente Unified	Los Angeles	Cedarlane Middle	57/73445-00-037	10/15/25	$ 5,494,319	$ -
Hacienda la Puente Unified	Los Angeles	Mesa Robles Elementary	57/73445-00-038	10/15/25	$ 3,117,274	$ -
Hacienda la Puente Unified	Los Angeles	Baldwin Academy	57/73445-00-039	10/15/25	$ 2,548,702	$ -
Hacienda la Puente Unified	Los Angeles	Los Molinos Elementary	57/73445-00-040	10/15/25	$ 2,460,393	$ -
Covina-Valley Unified	Los Angeles	Cypress Elementary	57/64436-00-014	10/17/25	$ 3,787,303	$ -
Covina-Valley Unified	Los Angeles	Rowland Avenue Elementary	57/64436-00-015	10/17/25	$ 4,050,877	$ -
Covina-Valley Unified	Los Angeles	Grovecenter Elementary	57/64436-00-016	10/17/25	$ 3,816,768	$ -
Covina-Valley Unified	Los Angeles	Workman Avenue Elementary	57/64436-00-017	10/17/25	$ 3,709,031	$ -
Wasco Union High	Kern	Wasco High	57/63859-00-004	10/22/25	$ 4,060,145	$ -
Ventura Unified	Ventura	Elmhurst Elementary	57/72652-00-038	10/22/25	$ 2,790,747	$ -
San Luis Coastal Unified	San Luis Obispo	Pacheco Elementary	57/68809-00-042	10/28/25	$ 4,566,582	$ -
Vallejo City Unified	Solano	Jesse M. Bethel High	57/70581-00-037	10/28/25	$ 11,373,063	$ -
Ontario-Montclair	San Bernardino	Edison Elementary	57/67819-00-045	10/29/25	$ 2,481,243	$ -
Ontario-Montclair	San Bernardino	Lehigh Elementary	57/67819-00-046	10/29/25	$ 934,913	$ -
San Mateo Union High	San Mateo	Mills High	57/69047-00-015	10/29/25	$ 13,389,584	$ -
Ventura Unified	Ventura	Sunset Elementary	57/72652-00-039	10/29/25	$ 1,073,485	$ -
San Mateo Union High	San Mateo	Capuchino High	57/69047-00-016	10/30/25	$ 6,354,544	$ -
San Mateo Union High	San Mateo	Aragon High	57/69047-00-017	10/30/25	$ 13,219,396	$ -
San Mateo Union High	San Mateo	Hillsdale High	57/69047-00-018	10/30/25	$ 5,273,178	$ -
Red Bluff Union Elementary	Tehama	Bidwell Elementary	57/71621-00-009	10/30/25	$ 2,569,724	$ -
Delhi Unified	Merced	El Capitan Elementary	57/75366-00-003	10/30/25	$ 1,508,308	$ -
San Mateo Union High	San Mateo	San Mateo High	57/69047-00-019	10/31/25	$ 12,429,634	$ -
West Sonoma County Union High	Sonoma	Analy High	57/70607-00-006	10/31/25	$ 14,064,561	$ -
Delhi Unified	Merced	Schendel Elementary	57/75366-00-004	10/31/25	$ 2,849,882	$ -
Big Springs Union Elementary	Siskiyou	Big Springs Elementary	57/70185-00-004	11/04/25	$ 67,883	$ 45,255
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Commonwealth Avenue Elementary	57/64733-00-848	11/05/25	$ 1,971,544	$ -
Calexico Unified	Imperial	Rockwood Elementary	57/63099-00-011	11/07/25	$ 3,084,887	$ -
Roseland	Sonoma	Roseland Elementary	57/70904-00-005	11/07/25	$ 4,923,312	$ -
Newport-Mesa Unified	Orange	Adams Elementary	57/66597-00-061	11/10/25	$ 1,323,834	$ -
Ventura Unified	Ventura	Academy of Technology & Leadership at Saticoy	57/72652-00-040	11/10/25	$ 2,887,164	$ -
Ventura Unified	Ventura	Mound Elementary	57/72652-00-041	11/12/25	$ 1,867,409	$ -

SFP APPLICATIONS
Modernization Applications Received Past Existing Authority - as of June 30, 2026

District	County	Site Name	Application Number	50-04 Date Received*	Estimated State Grant (a)	Estimated Financial Hardship (b)
Ventura Unified	Ventura	Anacapa Middle	57/72652-00-042	11/12/25	$ 2,112,564	$ -
Grass Valley Elementary	Nevada	Grass Valley Charter	57/66332-00-005	11/13/25	$ 289,822	$ -
Whittier City	Los Angeles	Wallen L. Andrews Elementary	57/65110-00-028	11/14/25	$ 615,066	$ -
Newport-Mesa Unified	Orange	Everett A. Rea Elementary	57/66597-00-062	11/14/25	$ 1,906,025	$ -
Newport-Mesa Unified	Orange	California Elementary	57/66597-00-063	11/14/25	$ 1,237,004	$ -
Happy Valley Union Elementary	Shasta	Happy Valley Primary	57/70011-00-004	11/14/25	$ 47,065	$ 31,377
Happy Valley Union Elementary	Shasta	Happy Valley Elementary	57/70011-00-005	11/14/25	$ 105,109	$ 70,073
Susanville Elementary	Lassen	Meadow View Elementary	57/64196-00-003	11/18/25	$ 287,822	$ 191,881
Newport-Mesa Unified	Orange	Victoria Elementary	57/66597-00-064	11/18/25	$ 1,936,298	$ -
Brawley Union High	Imperial	Brawley Union High	57/63081-00-002	11/19/25	$ 4,824,177	$ -
Calexico Unified	Imperial	Dool Elementary	57/63099-00-012	11/21/25	$ 1,479,175	$ -
Magnolia Union Elementary	Imperial	Magnolia Union Elementary	57/63172-00-002	11/21/25	$ 3,259	$ 2,172
Napa Valley Unified	Napa	Vichy Elementary	57/66266-00-051	11/21/25	$ 1,228,219	$ -
Claremont Unified	Los Angeles	Sycamore Elementary	57/64394-00-018	11/24/25	$ 229,467	$ -
Napa Valley Unified	Napa	Redwood Middle	57/66266-00-052	11/24/25	$ 3,438,170	$ -
Claremont Unified	Los Angeles	Vista del Valle Elementary	57/64394-00-019	11/25/25	$ 119,195	$ -
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Birdielee V. Bright Elementary	57/64733-00-850	11/25/25	$ 3,697,321	$ -
Westwood Unified	Lassen	Fletcher Walker Elementary	57/64204-00-002	12/02/25	$ 121,973	$ 81,315
Mark Twain Union Elementary	Calaveras	Copperopolis Elementary	57/61572-00-003	12/04/25	$ 2,380,683	$ -
Mark Twain Union Elementary	Calaveras	Mark Twain Elementary	57/61572-00-004	12/04/25	$ 2,489,295	$ -
Westminster	Orange	Fryberger Elementary	57/66746-00-020	12/04/25	$ 5,008,659	$ -
Westminster	Orange	Jessie Hayden Elementary	57/66746-00-021	12/04/25	$ 5,786,157	$ -
Westminster	Orange	Meairs Elementary	57/66746-00-022	12/04/25	$ 5,406,031	$ -
Pleasanton Unified	Alameda	Henry P. Mohr Elementary	57/75101-00-030	12/05/25	$ 1,410,810	$ -
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Fifty-Second Street Elementary	57/64733-14-007	12/08/25	$ 1,564,274	$ -
San Lorenzo Valley Unified	Santa Cruz	San Lorenzo Valley Middle	57/69807-00-008	12/08/25	$ 1,610,335	$ -
Orinda Union	Contra Costa	Sleepy Hollow Elementary	57/61770-00-006	12/09/25	$ 472,382	$ -
Orinda Union	Contra Costa	Wagner Ranch Elementary	57/61770-00-007	12/09/25	$ 2,056,142	$ -
Anaheim Elementary	Orange	Franklin (Benjamin) Elementary	57/66423-00-036	12/10/25	$ 1,738,170	$ -
San Lorenzo Valley Unified	Santa Cruz	SLVUSD Charter	57/69807-00-009	12/10/25	$ 1,435,366	$ -
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Manual Arts Senior High	57/64733-00-851	12/11/25	$ 1,643,398	$ -
Fresno Unified	Fresno	Susan B. Anthony Elementary	57/62166-00-163	12/12/25	$ 913,608	$ -
Fresno Unified	Fresno	Scandinavian Middle	57/62166-00-164	12/15/25	$ 1,339,509	$ -
Fresno Unified	Fresno	Sunnyside High	57/62166-00-165	12/15/25	$ 2,481,741	$ -
Anaheim Elementary	Orange	Barton (Clara) Elementary	57/66423-00-037	12/17/25	$ 1,230,916	$ -
Pleasanton Unified	Alameda	Valley View Elementary	57/75101-00-031	12/17/25	$ 2,409,023	$ -
Fresno Unified	Fresno	Ezekiel Balderas Elementary	57/62166-00-166	12/18/25	$ 1,194,435	$ -
Fresno Unified	Fresno	Elizabeth Terronez Middle	57/62166-00-167	12/18/25	$ 1,305,348	$ -
San Lorenzo Valley Unified	Santa Cruz	San Lorenzo Valley High	57/69807-00-010	12/19/25	$ 2,036,621	$ -
Culver City Unified	Los Angeles	Culver City Middle	57/64444-00-022	12/23/25	$ 7,763,137	$ -
Culver City Unified	Los Angeles	Culver City High	57/64444-00-023	12/29/25	$ 16,128,922	$ -
Culver City Unified	Los Angeles	El Rincon Elementary	57/64444-00-024	12/29/25	$ 4,596,004	$ -
Manteca Unified	San Joaquin	Joshua Cowell Elementary	57/68593-00-025	01/05/26	$ 5,274,793	$ -
Manteca Unified	San Joaquin	Brock Elliott Elementary	57/68593-00-026	01/05/26	$ 5,919,459	$ -

SFP APPLICATIONS
Modernization Applications Received Past Existing Authority - as of June 30, 2026

District	County	Site Name	Application Number	50-04 Date Received*	Estimated State Grant (a)	Estimated Financial Hardship (b)
Hacienda la Puente Unified	Los Angeles	Sparks Middle	57/73445-00-041	01/05/26	$ 2,063,135	$ -
Hacienda la Puente Unified	Los Angeles	Grazide Elementary	57/73445-00-042	01/05/26	$ 1,958,247	$ -
Beaumont Unified	Riverside	Palm Innovation Academy	57/66993-00-002	01/06/26	$ 3,013,179	$ -
Campbell Union High	Santa Clara	Westmont High	57/69401-00-028	01/07/26	$ 1,565,074	$ -
Mountain View Elementary	Los Angeles	Willard F. Payne Elementary	57/64816-00-019	01/08/26	$ 800,372	$ -
Pomona Unified	Los Angeles	Westmont Elementary	57/64907-00-080	01/12/26	$ 904,598	$ -
Downey Unified	Los Angeles	John A Garcia Elementary	57/64451-00-044	01/21/26	$ 1,935,529	$ -
Sunnyvale	Santa Clara	Cherry Chase Elementary	57/69690-00-015	01/22/26	$ 5,894,496	$ -
Sunnyvale	Santa Clara	San Miguel Elementary	57/69690-00-016	01/22/26	$ 2,189,962	$ -
Union Elementary	Santa Clara	Lietz Elementary	57/69708-00-022	01/22/26	$ 1,293,639	$ -
Capistrano Unified	Orange	San Clemente High	57/66464-00-032	01/28/26	$ 11,080,964	$ -
Mill Valley Elementary	Marin	Edna Maguire Elementary	57/65391-00-012	02/03/26	$ 3,442,716	$ -
Mill Valley Elementary	Marin	Strawberry Point Elementary	57/65391-00-013	02/05/26	$ 1,675,888	$ -
Mill Valley Elementary	Marin	Tamalpais Valley Elementary	57/65391-00-014	02/05/26	$ 1,944,299	$ -
San Mateo Union High	San Mateo	Aragon High	57/69047-00-020	02/05/26	$ 5,024,522	$ -
San Mateo Union High	San Mateo	Capuchino High	57/69047-00-021	02/05/26	$ 7,028,042	$ -
Claremont Unified	Los Angeles	Claremont High	57/64394-00-020	02/06/26	$ 3,707,324	$ -
Pomona Unified	Los Angeles	Madison Elementary	57/64907-00-081	02/06/26	$ 2,583,468	$ -
Pomona Unified	Los Angeles	Kingsley Elementary	57/64907-00-082	02/06/26	$ 2,769,761	$ -
Whittier City	Los Angeles	Katherine Edwards Middle School STEAM & Dual	57/65110-00-029	02/06/26	$ 1,919,166	$ -
Modesto City High	Stanislaus	Fred C. Beyer High	57/71175-00-009	02/06/26	$ 2,611,749	$ -
Whittier City	Los Angeles	Walter F. Dexter Middle	57/65110-00-030	02/09/26	$ 3,219,554	$ -
Alisal Union	Monterey	Frank Paul Elementary	57/65961-00-009	02/09/26	$ 748,530	$ -
Buena Vista Elementary	Tulare	Buena Vista Elementary	57/71829-00-001	02/09/26	$ 213,738	$ 142,492
Grossmont Union High	San Diego	Steele Canyon High	57/68130-00-043	02/10/26	$ 17,886,307	$ -
Anderson Union High	Shasta	Anderson High	57/69856-00-005	02/10/26	$ 137,770	$ -
Modesto City High	Stanislaus	Fred C. Beyer High	57/71175-00-010	02/10/26	$ 2,967,710	$ -
Westminster	Orange	Anderson Elementary	57/66746-00-023	02/13/26	$ 6,090,400	$ -
Ventura Unified	Ventura	Buena High	57/72652-00-043	02/17/26	$ 4,460,402	$ -
Westwood Unified	Lassen	Westwood High	57/64204-00-004	02/18/26	$ 359,048	$ 239,365
Westminster	Orange	Finley Elementary	57/66746-00-024	02/19/26	$ 5,499,548	$ -
Jamul-Dulzura Union Elementary	San Diego	Oak Grove Middle	57/68155-00-004	02/19/26	$ 903,315	$ -
San Carlos Elementary	San Mateo	Heather Elementary	57/69021-00-012	02/19/26	$ 361,714	$ -
Fremont Union High	Santa Clara	Fremont High	57/69468-00-022	02/19/26	$ 28,076,198	$ -
Fremont Union High	Santa Clara	Monta Vista High	57/69468-00-023	02/19/26	$ 31,521,568	$ -
Manhattan Beach Unified	Los Angeles	Manhattan Beach Preschool	57/75333-00-014	02/19/26	$ 5,974,448	$ -
Shaffer Union Elementary	Lassen	Shaffer Elementary	57/64188-00-003	02/20/26	$ 194,724	$ 129,816
Patterson Joint Unified	Stanislaus	Patterson High	57/71217-00-016	02/20/26	$ 2,327,775	$ -
Barstow Unified	San Bernardino	Barstow Fine Arts Academy	57/67611-00-006	02/23/26	$ 4,481,642	$ -
Fremont Union High	Santa Clara	Cupertino High	57/69468-00-024	02/24/26	$ 29,416,880	$ -
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Chandler Elementary	57/64733-00-852	02/25/26	$ 1,680,475	$ -
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Humphreys Avenue Elementary	57/64733-00-853	02/25/26	$ 1,174,520	$ -
Modesto City High	Stanislaus	Fred C. Beyer High	57/71175-00-011	02/26/26	$ 7,405,381	$ -
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Mary McLeod Bethune Middle	57/64733-15-016	03/04/26	$ 10,565,508	$ -

SFP APPLICATIONS
Modernization Applications Received Past Existing Authority - as of June 30, 2026

District	County	Site Name	Application Number	50-04 Date Received*	Estimated State Grant (a)	Estimated Financial Hardship (b)
Mountain View Elementary	Los Angeles	Twin Lakes Elementary	57/64816-00-020	03/05/26	$ 2,535,659	$ -
Gilroy Unified	Santa Clara	South Valley Middle	57/69484-00-010	03/05/26	$ 2,375,717	$ -
Sanger Unified	Fresno	John S. Wash Elementary	57/62414-00-031	03/09/26	$ 1,273,783	$ -
Wright Elementary	Sonoma	Robert L. Stevens Elementary	57/71035-00-004	03/10/26	$ 3,781,498	$ -
Lake Elsinore Unified	Riverside	Elsinore Elementary	57/75176-00-008	03/10/26	$ 4,039,266	$ -
Laguna Beach Unified	Orange	Laguna Beach High	57/66555-00-006	03/12/26	$ 8,178,508	$ -
Taft Union High	Kern	Taft Union High	57/63818-00-001	03/16/26	$ 12,580,263	$ -
Mountain View Elementary	Los Angeles	Maxson Elementary	57/64816-00-021	03/16/26	$ 1,026,054	$ -
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Mar Vista Elementary	57/64733-00-854	03/17/26	$ 2,756,405	$ -
Fremont Union High	Santa Clara	Lynbrook High	57/69468-00-025	03/18/26	$ 24,287,026	$ -
Arcadia Unified	Los Angeles	Highland Oaks Elementary	57/64261-00-017	03/20/26	$ 641,786	$ -
Newport-Mesa Unified	Orange	Killybrooke Elementary	57/66597-00-065	03/20/26	$ 2,227,645	$ -
East Whittier City Elementary	Los Angeles	Granada Middle	57/64485-00-018	03/23/26	$ 1,592,431	$ -
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Charles W. Barrett Elementary	57/64733-00-855	03/23/26	$ 3,372,948	$ -
Napa Valley Unified	Napa	Willow Elementary	57/66266-00-053	03/23/26	$ 758,927	$ -
Napa Valley Unified	Napa	Snow Elementary	57/66266-00-054	03/23/26	$ 688,702	$ -
Newport-Mesa Unified	Orange	Newport Harbor High	57/66597-00-066	03/23/26	$ 3,615,494	$ -
Jurupa Unified	Riverside	Jurupa Valley High	57/67090-00-019	03/23/26	$ 1,718,720	$ -
Woodland Joint Unified	Yolo	Woodland Senior High	57/72710-00-022	03/23/26	$ 1,528,426	$ -
Inglewood Unified	Los Angeles	Beulah Payne P-8 STEAM Academy	57/64634-00-010	03/24/26	$ 3,822,910	$ -
Alisal Union	Monterey	Fremont Elementary	57/65961-00-010	03/24/26	$ 6,534,734	$ -
Hughson Unified	Stanislaus	Hughson High	57/75549-00-006	03/24/26	$ 997,616	$ -
Napa Valley Unified	Napa	Shearer Elementary	57/66266-00-055	03/25/26	$ 878,097	$ -
Napa Valley Unified	Napa	McPherson Elementary	57/66266-00-056	03/25/26	$ 1,142,507	$ -
Hacienda la Puente Unified	Los Angeles	Grandview Elementary	57/73445-00-043	03/25/26	$ 1,034,326	$ -
Lompoc Unified	Santa Barbara	Lompoc High	57/69229-00-018	03/26/26	$ 647,040	$ -
Woodland Joint Unified	Yolo	Freeman Elementary	57/72710-00-023	03/26/26	$ 1,196,476	$ -
San Luis Coastal Unified	San Luis Obispo	Pacific Beach High	57/68809-00-043	03/27/26	$ 792,008	$ -
San Luis Coastal Unified	San Luis Obispo	Morro Bay High	57/68809-00-044	03/27/26	$ 6,340,928	$ -
Sunnyvale	Santa Clara	Sunnyvale Middle	57/69690-00-017	03/27/26	$ 4,201,535	$ -
Twin Rivers Unified	Sacramento	Grant Union High	57/76505-00-079	03/30/26	$ 5,322,377	$ -
Twin Rivers Unified	Sacramento	Smythe Academy of Arts and Sciences	57/76505-00-080	03/30/26	$ 1,150,712	$ -
Twin Rivers Unified	Sacramento	Woodridge Elementary	57/76505-00-081	03/30/26	$ 940,407	$ -
Twin Rivers Unified	Sacramento	Foothill High	57/76505-00-082	03/30/26	$ 1,310,249	$ -
Twin Rivers Unified	Sacramento	Pioneer Elementary	57/76505-00-083	03/30/26	$ 235,447	$ -
Twin Rivers Unified	Sacramento	Rio Linda High	57/76505-00-084	03/30/26	$ 2,884,308	$ -
Twin Rivers Unified	Sacramento	Westside Elementary	57/76505-00-085	03/30/26	$ 539,264	$ -
Woodland Joint Unified	Yolo	Lee Middle	57/72710-00-024	03/31/26	$ 1,652,843	$ -
Ventura Unified	Ventura	Ventura High	57/72652-00-044	04/01/26	$ 2,874,421	$ -
Chico Unified	Butte	Citrus Avenue Elementary	57/61424-00-015	04/02/26	$ 4,429,394	$ -
Duarte Unified	Los Angeles	Maxwell Academy (PK-8)	57/64469-00-017	04/03/26	$ 2,305,594	$ -
Moreno Valley Unified	Riverside	Creekside Elementary	57/67124-00-034	04/12/26	$ 4,984,275	$ -
Oakland Unified	Alameda	Roosevelt Middle	57/61259-00-074	04/13/26	$ 12,167,762	$ -
Colusa Unified	Colusa	George T. Egling Middle	57/61598-00-004	04/15/26	$ 1,039,946	$ -

SFP APPLICATIONS
Modernization Applications Received Past Existing Authority - as of June 30, 2026

District	County	Site Name	Application Number	50-04 Date Received*	Estimated State Grant (a)	Estimated Financial Hardship (b)
Union Elementary	Santa Clara	Noddin Elementary	57/69708-00-023	04/15/26	$ 581,420	$ -
Ventura Unified	Ventura	Buena High	57/72652-00-045	04/15/26	$ 744,221	$ -
Colusa Unified	Colusa	Colusa High	57/61598-00-005	04/16/26	$ 992,058	$ -
Santa Maria-Bonita	Santa Barbara	Alvin Elementary	57/69120-00-012	04/17/26	$ 1,716,606	$ -
Hacienda la Puente Unified	Los Angeles	La Puente High	57/73445-00-044	04/17/26	$ 2,788,856	$ -
Lodi Unified	San Joaquin	Clyde W. Needham Elementary	57/68585-00-021	04/20/26	$ 2,902,571	$ -
Igo, Ono, Platina Union Elementary	Shasta	Igo-Ono Elementary	57/70029-00-002	04/20/26	$ 41,243	$ 27,495
Shasta Union Elementary	Shasta	Shasta Elementary	57/70128-00-002	04/20/26	$ 136,712	$ 91,141
Inglewood Unified	Los Angeles	Kelso (William H.) Elementary	57/64634-00-011	04/22/26	$ 3,066,799	$ -
Santa Paula Unified	Ventura	Santa Paula High	57/76828-00-009	04/22/26	$ 4,267,448	$ -
Encinitas Union Elementary	San Diego	Park Dale Lane Elementary	57/68080-00-015	04/24/26	$ 1,736,976	$ -
Sunnyvale	Santa Clara	Vargas Elementary	57/69690-00-018	04/24/26	$ 3,394,522	$ -
Sunnyvale	Santa Clara	Columbia Middle	57/69690-00-019	04/24/26	$ 1,554,299	$ -
Saddleback Valley Unified	Orange	Trabuco Hills High	57/73635-00-045	04/24/26	$ 3,279,833	$ -
Mountain View Elementary	Los Angeles	Parkview Elementary	57/64816-00-022	04/28/26	$ 2,677,267	$ -
Union Elementary	Santa Clara	Dartmouth Middle	57/69708-00-024	05/04/26	$ 7,047,912	$ -
Fruitvale Elementary	Kern	Endeavour Elementary	57/63479-00-007	05/12/26	$ 4,744,599	$ -
Claremont Unified	Los Angeles	El Roble Intermediate	57/64394-00-021	05/12/26	$ 1,876,841	$ -
Panama-Buena Vista Union	Kern	Earl Warren Junior High	57/63362-00-007	05/13/26	$ 6,568,022	$ -
Colton Joint Unified	San Bernardino	Colton High	57/67686-00-031	05/14/26	$ 5,569,805	$ -
Panama-Buena Vista Union	Kern	O. J. Actis Junior High	57/63362-00-008	05/19/26	$ 4,717,219	$ -
Beverly Hills Unified	Los Angeles	Beverly Hills High	57/64311-00-012	05/19/26	$ 28,272,815	$ -
Winters Joint Unified	Yolo	Winters High	57/72702-00-007	05/19/26	$ 7,932,338	$ -
Winters Joint Unified	Yolo	Waggoner Elementary	57/72702-00-008	05/19/26	$ 2,894,994	$ -
Los Nietos	Los Angeles	Rancho Santa Gertrudes Elementary	57/64758-00-013	05/20/26	$ 2,954,863	$ -
San Luis Coastal Unified	San Luis Obispo	Laguna Middle	57/68809-00-045	05/20/26	$ 6,492,685	$ -
Petaluma City Elementary	Sonoma	Penngrove Elementary	57/70854-00-008	05/22/26	$ 3,101,356	$ -
Oakland Unified	Alameda	Claremont Middle	57/61259-00-075	05/28/26	$ 7,245,121	$ -
Hacienda la Puente Unified	Los Angeles	Newton Middle	57/73445-00-045	05/29/26	$ 3,660,284	$ -
Los Angeles Unified	Los Angeles	Lockwood Avenue Elementary	57/64733-00-856	06/01/26	$ 1,405,264	$ -
Chualar Union	Monterey	Chualar Elementary	57/65995-00-001	06/05/26	$ 2,498,687	$ 1,665,791
Newport-Mesa Unified	Orange	Corona del Mar High	57/66597-00-067	06/05/26	$ 2,471,306	$ -
Durham Unified	Butte	Durham Elementary	57/61432-00-004	06/08/26	$ 3,317,771	$ -
North Cow Creek Elementary	Shasta	North Cow Creek Elementary	57/70078-00-002	06/08/26	$ 1,240,935	$ -
Washington Unified	Fresno	Washington High	57/76778-00-006	06/09/26	$ 1,559,543	$ -
Fresno Unified	Fresno	Wawona K-8	57/62166-00-168	06/10/26	$ 1,604,017	$ -
Fresno Unified	Fresno	Edison Computech	57/62166-00-169	06/10/26	$ 1,107,432	$ -
Hanford Elementary	Kings	Monroe Elementary	57/63917-00-014	06/10/26	$ 6,882,523	$ -
Livingston Union	Merced	Campus Park Elementary	57/65748-00-006	06/11/26	$ 3,032,554	$ -
Newport-Mesa Unified	Orange	Sonora Elementary	57/66597-00-068	06/12/26	$ 1,552,448	$ -
Newport-Mesa Unified	Orange	Newport Heights Elementary	57/66597-00-069	06/12/26	$ 652,154	$ -
Folsom-Cordova Unified	Sacramento	Folsom High	57/67330-00-014	06/12/26	$ 9,963,000	$ -
Mountain View Elementary	Los Angeles	Monte Vista Elementary	57/64816-00-023	06/15/26	$ 2,446,165	$ -
Las Virgenes Unified	Los Angeles	Sumac Elementary	57/64683-00-017	06/16/26	$ 937,870	$ -

SFP APPLICATIONS
Modernization Applications Received Past Existing Authority - as of June 30, 2026

District	County	Site Name	Application Number	50-04 Date Received*	Estimated State Grant (a)	Estimated Financial Hardship (b)
Las Virgenes Unified	Los Angeles	Lindero Canyon Middle	57/64683-00-018	06/16/26	$ 1,100,371	$ -
Azusa Unified	Los Angeles	Clifford D. Murray Elementary	57/64279-00-032	06/17/26	$ 1,561,470	$ -
Azusa Unified	Los Angeles	Magnolia Elementary	57/64279-00-033	06/17/26	$ 2,138,122	$ -
Pacific Union Elementary	Humboldt	Pacific Union Elementary	57/62976-00-002	06/22/26	$ 1,232,655	$ -
Whittier City	Los Angeles	Mill School and Technology Academy	57/65110-00-031	06/22/26	$ 927,185	$ -
East Whittier City Elementary	Los Angeles	Leffingwell Elementary	57/64485-00-019	06/23/26	$ 3,873,902	$ -
East Whittier City Elementary	Los Angeles	East Whittier Middle	57/64485-00-020	06/23/26	$ 9,763,306	$ -
Petaluma Joint Union High	Sonoma	Petaluma High	57/70862-00-007	06/23/26	$ 10,718,672	$ -
Pleasanton Unified	Alameda	Thomas S. Hart Middle	57/75101-00-032	06/24/26	$ 6,553,639	$ -
Santa Barbara Unified	Santa Barbara	Harding University Partnership	57/76786-00-023	06/25/26	$ 1,423,539	$ -
Durham Unified	Butte	Durham High	57/61432-00-005	06/26/26	$ 2,127,308	$ -
South Fork Union	Kern	South Fork Elementary	57/63784-00-001	06/26/26	$ 2,950,048	$ -
Southern Kern Unified	Kern	Rosamond High Early College Campus	57/63776-00-005	06/29/26	$ 7,292,472	$ -
Pleasanton Unified	Alameda	Foothill High	57/75101-00-033	06/29/26	$ 20,252,497	$ -
					$ 2,048,412,100	$ 26,828,729
Total number of applications: 586			**Total amount (does not include duplicate submittals):**			**$ 2,075,240,829**

* Some applications have not been Acknowledged by the SAB
**** These applications have been identified as duplicate submittals to previously received applications that have not yet been processed.

INFORMATION ITEM

FACILITY HARDSHIP/REHABILITATION APPROVALS WITHOUT FUNDING

As of June 24, 2026

FACILITY HARDSHIP PROGRAM CONCEPTUAL AND DESIGN APPROVALS

As of the June 26, 2026, State Allocation Board Meeting

CONCEPTUAL APPROVAL

School District	County	Program	Application Number	School Site Name	SAB Approval Date	Funding Application Due Date	Estimated State Grant
Camptonville Union Elementary	Yuba	Facility Hardship	58/72728-00-001[1]	Camptonville Elementary	2/26/2025	TBD	$ 75,000
Berkeley Unified	Alameda	Seismic Mitigation	51/61143-00-001[2]	Berkeley High	10/22/2025	TBD	$ 42,018,571
						Estimated Total Need (State Share)	$ 42,093,571

UNFUNDED APPROVAL FOR DESIGN

School District	County	Program	Application Number	School Site Name	SAB Approval Date	Funding Application Due Date	Estimated State Grant
Mountain Empire Unified	San Diego	Facility Hardship	51/68213-00-002[3]	Potrero Elementary	2/25/2026	TBD	$ 1,902,248
Mountain Empire Unified	San Diego	Facility Hardship	51/68213-00-003[3]	Campo Elementary	2/25/2026	TBD	$ 225,720
Mountain Empire Unified	San Diego	Facility Hardship	51/68213-00-004[3]	Clover Flat Elementary	2/25/2026	TBD	$ 1,615,798
Mountain Empire Unified	San Diego	Facility Hardship	51/68213-00-005[3]	JCS - Pine Valley	2/25/2026	TBD	$ 631,936
Mountain Empire Unified	San Diego	Facility Hardship	51/68213-00-006[3]	Descanso Elementary	2/25/2026	TBD	$ 1,938,696
						Estimated Total Need (State Share)	$ 6,314,398

DESIGN APPORTIONMENT

School District	County	Program	Application Number	School Site Name	SAB Approval Date	Funding Application Due Date	Estimated State Grant
Mountain Empire Unified	San Diego	Facility Hardship	51/68213-00-001[4]	Mountain Empire High	4/23/2025	10/23/2026	$ 14,278,622
						Estimated Total Need (State Share)	$ 14,278,622

Facility Hardship Program Estimated Total Need (State Share)	$ 62,686,591

[1] Conceptual approval was provided pursuant to SFP Regulation Section 1859.82.3(a) for non-seismic Facility Hardship projects. The School District must submit a corresponding funding application for this project within the timelines required by SFP Regulation Section 1859.82.1. Conceptual approval does not reserve bond authority.

[2]Conceptual approval was provided pursuant to SFP Regulation Section 1859.82.3(b) for Seismic Mitigation projects. The School District must submit a corresponding funding application for this project within the timelines required by SFP Regulation Section 1859.82.1. Conceptual approval does not reserve bond authority.

[3]Unfunded approval for design funding was provided on 2/25/2026. Pursuant to SFP Regulation Section 1859.82.1(f) for non-seismic Facility Hardship projects, the Approved Application for full grant funding is due 18 months from the date of Apportionment. For projects that have received design approval, the design funding amount previously approved is included in the Estimated State Grant amount.

[4]Design apportionment was provided pursuant to SFP Regulation Section 1859.82.1(f) for non-seismic Facility Hardship projects. For projects that have received design approval, the design funding amount previously approved is included in the Estimated State Grant amount.