

OFFICE OF PUBLIC SCHOOL CONSTRUCTION STAKEHOLDER MEETING
November 30, 2021

Proposed Revision to the Facility Inspection Tool

PURPOSE

To discuss proposed revisions to the Facility Inspection Tool (FIT).

BACKGROUND

Senate Bill (SB) 550 (Chapter 900, Statutes of 2004 - Vasconcellos) established the good repair standard in response to the settlement agreement in the case of *Williams vs. California*, which enshrined the right to “clean, safe and functional” school facilities for California students. A school facility in “good repair” was defined as “maintained in a manner that assures that it is clean, safe, and functional as determined pursuant to an interim evaluation instrument developed by the Office of Public School Construction...”

Subsequent legislation, Assembly Bill (AB) 607 (Chapter 704, Statutes of 2006 – Goldberg) provided the statutory definition of good repair and required the Office of Public School Construction (OPSC) to develop a permanent evaluation instrument for school facilities to incorporate a component ranking and facility scoring. A school facility in “good repair” was defined as “maintained in a manner that assures that it is clean, safe, and functional as determined pursuant to a school facility inspection and evaluation instrument developed by the Office of Public School Construction and approved by the Board or a local evaluation instrument that meets the same criteria.”

The permanent evaluation instrument, the FIT, was approved by the State Allocation Board (Board) in June 2007. The FIT is intended to be used for a visual inspection of core facility areas in potential need of repair. Furthermore, under AB 607, “the school facility inspection and evaluation instrument and local evaluation instruments that meet the minimum criteria of this subdivision shall not require capital enhancements beyond the standards to which the facility was designed and constructed.”

In May 2009, the Board approved revisions to the FIT which created better calculations to measure a school’s state of repair and provide a more accurate representation of the condition of a school site in its yearly School Accountability Report Card (SARC). Additionally, to promote the regular maintenance of core components deficiencies in school facilities that occur more regularly are now weighed more heavily, thus having a greater impact on a schools overall FIT score.

The FIT is a visual inspection tool to be used by school officials, county offices of education (COE), students, teachers, and parents to aid in ensuring that all California school children have access to clean, safe, and functional school facilities. The current FIT includes eight sections with 15 categories and a rating system to evaluate each facilities component, and a mechanism to determine the overall condition of the school.

BACKGROUND (cont.)

SB 129 (Chapter 69, Budget Act of 2021 – Skinner) requires the OPSC to consult with stakeholders and consider current standards for school facilities, including, but not limited to, the Association of Physical Plant Administrator’s Operational Guidelines for Educational Facilities, and both local and state public health guidance and standards. The Board is required to adopt an updated Facility Inspection Tool prior to June 30, 2022, for use beginning July 1, 2022.

AUTHORITY

See Attachment A.

DESCRIPTION

The existing structure of the FIT includes 15 categories which align with the components required to be evaluated in statute. To improve the scoring system, the revised FIT was approved in May 2009 to create groupings of the 15 categories into eight sections. A workgroup of experts developed a list of the characteristic necessary for a user-friendly and functional evaluation tool. Among these desired characteristics are the following: a tool that is easily understood and easy to use at on-site inspections; a rating system that is simple to calculate and easy to understand and interpret, and a format that allows for maximum flexibility, comments, and feedback. The revised FIT changed the weighting that the various categories of facility components have on the overall score. Categories with deficiencies that tend to occur more often are weighted more heavily, thus having greater influence on the overall rating. Since 2009, the FIT structure uses percentage scales to determine category rankings and overall scoring but includes methodology to eliminate situations in which schools with notable deficiencies can receive a “good” or “exemplary” rating.

“Good repair”, as defined by EC Section 17002(d)(1), means “the facility is maintained in a manner that assures that it is clean, safe, and functional.” As part of the school accountability report card, school districts and county offices of education are required to make specified assessments of school conditions including the safety, cleanliness, and adequacy of school facilities and needed maintenance to ensure good repair. In addition, beginning with the 2005/2006 fiscal year, school districts and county offices of education must certify that a facility inspection system has been established to ensure that each of its facilities is maintained in good repair to participate in the School Facility Program and the Deferred Maintenance Program. This tool is intended to assist school districts and county offices of education in that determination.

STAFF ANALYSIS/STATEMENTS***Current Version of the Facility Inspection Tool***

The current FIT includes eight scored sections made up of 15 categories, a rating system to evaluate each facilities component, and a mechanism to determine the overall scope and condition of the school. The current version of the FIT is on Attachment B.

STAFF ANALYSIS/STATEMENTS (cont.)

As shown below, the eight main sections are; Systems, Interior, Cleanliness, Electrical, Restrooms/Fountains, Safety, Structural, and External.

PART III: CATEGORY TOTALS AND RANKING (round all calculations to two decimal places)															Fill Table and Calculate Rating		
TOTAL NUMBER OF AREAS EVALUATED ↓	CATEGORY TOTALS	A. SYSTEMS			B. INTERIOR	C. CLEANLINESS		D. ELECTRICAL	E. RESTROOMS/FOUNTAINS		F. SAFETY		G. STRUCTURAL		H. EXTERNAL		
		GAS LEAKS	MECH/HVAC	SEWER	INTERIOR SURFACES	OVERALL CLEANLINESS	PEST/VERMIN INFESTATION	ELECTRICAL	RESTROOMS	SINKS/ FOUNTAINS	FIRE SAFETY	HAZARDOUS MATERIALS	STRUCTURAL DAMAGE	ROOFS	PLAYGROUND/ SCHOOL GROUNDS	WINDOWS/DOORS/ GATES/FENCES	
		Number of "OK"s:															
		Number of "D"s:															
		Number of "X"s:															
Number of N/A's:																	
Percent of System in Good Repair Number of "OK"s divided by (Total Areas - "N/A"s) *																	
Total Percent per Category (average of above) *																	
Rank (Circle one) GOOD = 90%-100% FAIR = 75%-89.99% POOR = 0%-74.99%																	

The 15 categories, within the sections, are scored based in part on the following and outlined in detail in Part I of the Facility Inspection Tool Worksheet.

FIT - Part I – Good Repair Standard:*Systems*

Gas Leaks – Gas systems and pipes appear safe, functional, and free of leaks. No gas odor is detected.

Mechanic – Heating, ventilation, and air conditioning (HVAC) systems are functional and unobstructed.

Sewer – Sewer line stoppage is not evident, and no major leaks or odors are present.

Interior

Interior Surfaces – Walls, ceilings and flooring appear to be clean, safe, functional and without hazard.

Cleanliness

Overall Cleanliness – School grounds, buildings, common areas, restrooms, and individual rooms appear to have been cleaned regularly.

Pest/Vermin Infestation – No evidence of pest or vermin infestation is evident.

Electrical

Electrical – No portions of the school has a power failure. Electrical systems, components and equipment appear to be working properly and are in in safe condition to use.

STAFF ANALYSIS/STATEMENTS (cont.)*Restrooms/Fountains*

Restrooms – Restrooms appear to be accessible, clean, functional and in compliance with SB 892.

Sinks/Fountains – Sinks and fountains appear to be accessible, functional, and safe to use. No moss, mold, algae, or excessive leaks appear to be evident.

Safety

Fire Safety – Emergency equipment and systems appear to be functioning properly and fire equipment is clearly visible in required areas.

Hazardous Materials – There does not appear to be evidence of exposed hazardous material that could pose a health risk to pupils or staff. Hazardous materials appear to be properly stored or contained.

Structural

Structural Damage – Structural damage that has or could create hazardous or uninhabitable conditions is not evident.

Roofs – Roofs, gutters, roof drains and down spouts appear to be functioning properly and appear to be free of damage.

External

Playground/School Grounds – Playground equipment and school grounds should appear to be clean, safe, and functional.

Windows/Doors/Gates/Fences (Interior and Exterior) – Conditions that pose a safety and or security risk are not evident.

In evaluating each area or space, the user should review each of the 15 categories identified in the Good Repair Standard and make a determination of whether a particular area is in good repair. Once the determination is made, it should be recorded on the Evaluation Detail, as follows:

OK	No Deficiency - Good Repair: Mark "OK" if all statements in the Good Repair Standard are true, and there is no indication of a deficiency in the specific category.
D	Deficiency: Mark "D" if one or more statement(s) in the Good Repair Standard for the specific category is not true, or if there is other clear evidence of the need for repair.
X	Extreme Deficiency: Indicate "X" if the area has a deficiency that is considered an "Extreme Deficiency" in the Good Repair Standard or there is a condition that qualifies as an extreme deficiency but is not noted in the Good Repair Standard.
NA	Not Applicable: If the Good Repair Standard category (building system or component) does not exist in the area evaluated, mark "NA".

STAFF ANALYSIS/STATEMENTS (cont.)**FIT - Part II – Maintenance Detail:**

As a school facility inspection is conducted, these determinations should be made and marked on the Evaluation Detail page.

Below is an example of a completed Evaluation Detail page.

CATEGORY AREA	1 GAS LEAKS	2 MECH/HVAC	3 SEWER	4 INTERIOR SURFACES	5 OVERALL CLEANLINESS	6 PEST/VERMIN INFESTATION	7 ELECTRICAL	8 RESTROOM	9 SINKS/ FOUNTAINS	10 FIRE SAFETY	11 HAZARDOUS MATERIALS	12 STRUCTURAL DAMAGE	13 ROOFS	14 PLAYGROUND/ SCHOOL GROUNDS	15 WINDOWS/ DOORS/ GATES/FENCES
CR 1	OK	OK	OK	OK	D	OK	OK	NA	NA	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS: 5: very dirty floors														
CR 4	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	NA	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:														
CR 7	OK	OK	OK	D	OK	OK	D	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS: 4: portable wall ripped, base cap missing 7: unsafe wiring (NE corner)														
CR 8	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:														
CR 13	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	D	OK	OK	D	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS: 7: missing switch plate 10: no fire extinguisher														
Multi-purpose Room	OK	OK	OK	D	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	D	OK	D
	COMMENTS: 4: loose wall board 13: roof leaks near stage 15: nonfunctioning door (stage)														
Kitchen	OK	OK	OK	OK	D	OK	OK	NA	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS: 5: dirty walls														
Staff Lounge	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:														
CR 20	OK	OK	OK	D	OK	OK	D	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS: 4: peeling wall covering 7: missing switch plate														
CR 15	OK	OK	OK	D	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS: 4: hole in ceiling tile, hole in wall														
CR 27	OK	OK	OK	D	OK	OK	X	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS: 4: broken ceiling tile 7: exposed wire hanging from ceiling														
CR 30	OK	OK	OK	D	OK	OK	OK	NA	OK	OK	D	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS: 4: sagging ceiling tiles 11: peeling paint outside, mold inside														
CR 32	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:														

Once the inspector completes the site inspection and fills out the Evaluation Details page, they will then complete the Totals and Ranking page of the FIT based on the data recorded in Part II.

FIT - Part III – Totals and Ranking:

Next the inspector will total the number of areas evaluated at the site and count all of the spaces deemed in good repair, deficient, extremely deficient, or not applicable under each of the 15 categories. The inspector will then continue through the worksheet calculations to determine the final average percentage of the sites eight sections and the overall school rating based on their inspection. Note that an extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a “poor” ranking for that category and a zero for the “Total Percent per Category”. If using the Excel version of the FIT provided by the OPSC, these totals will calculate automatically.

STAFF ANALYSIS/STATEMENTS (cont.)

Below is an example of a completed Category Total and Ranking page based upon the data recorded in the previous example.

PART III: CATEGORY TOTALS AND RANKING (round all calculations to two decimal places)															Fill Table and Calculate Rating																
TOTAL NUMBER OF AREAS EVALUATED ↓	CATEGORY TOTALS	A. SYSTEMS			B. INTERIOR	C. CLEANLINESS		D. ELECTRICAL	E. RESTROOMS/FOUNTAINS		F. SAFETY		G. STRUCTURAL	H. EXTERNAL																	
		GAS LEAKS	MECH/HVAC	SEWER	INTERIOR SURFACES	OVERALL CLEANLINESS	PEST/VERMIN INFESTATION	ELECTRICAL	RESTROOMS	SINKS/ FOUNTAINS	FIRE SAFETY	HAZARDOUS MATERIALS	STRUCTURAL DAMAGE	ROOFS	PLAYGROUND/ SCHOOL GROUNDS	WINDOWS/DOORS/ GATES/FENCES															
Number of "OK"s:		6	4	6	6	6	6	5	6	6	6	6	6	5	3	6															
Number of "D"s:		0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0															
Number of "X"s:		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0															
Number of N/A's:		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0															
Percent of System in Good Repair Number of "OK"s divided by (Total Areas - "N/A"s)		100.00%	66.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	83.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	83.00%	100.00%	100.00%															
Total Percent per Category (average of above)		87.00%			100.00%	100.00%		83.00%	100.00%		100.00%		0.00%		100.00%																
Rank (Circle one) GOOD = 90%-100% FAIR = 75%-89.99% POOR = 0%-74.99%		Fair			Good	Good		Fair	GOOD		Good		Poor		Good																
<p>*Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category and a zero for "Total Percent per Category".</p> <p>OVERALL RATING: DETERMINE AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF 8 CATEGORIES ABOVE → 84.00% SCHOOL RATING** → Fair</p> <p>**For School Rating, apply the Percentage Range below to the average percentage determined above, taking into account the rating Description below.</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>PERCENTAGE</th> <th>DESCRIPTION</th> <th>RATING</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>99%-100%</td> <td>The school meets most or all standards of good repair. Deficiencies noted, if any, are not significant and/or impact a very small area of the school.</td> <td>EXEMPLARY</td> </tr> <tr> <td>90%-98.99%</td> <td>The school is maintained in good repair with a number of non-critical deficiencies noted. These deficiencies are isolated, and/or resulting from minor wear and tear, and/or in the process of being mitigated.</td> <td>GOOD</td> </tr> <tr> <td>75%-89.99%</td> <td>The school is not in good repair. Some deficiencies noted are critical and/or widespread. Repairs and/or additional maintenance are necessary in several areas of the school site.</td> <td>FAIR</td> </tr> <tr> <td>0%-74.99%</td> <td>The school facilities are in poor condition. Deficiencies of various degrees have been noted throughout the site. Major repairs and maintenance are necessary throughout the campus.</td> <td>POOR</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>																	PERCENTAGE	DESCRIPTION	RATING	99%-100%	The school meets most or all standards of good repair. Deficiencies noted, if any, are not significant and/or impact a very small area of the school.	EXEMPLARY	90%-98.99%	The school is maintained in good repair with a number of non-critical deficiencies noted. These deficiencies are isolated, and/or resulting from minor wear and tear, and/or in the process of being mitigated.	GOOD	75%-89.99%	The school is not in good repair. Some deficiencies noted are critical and/or widespread. Repairs and/or additional maintenance are necessary in several areas of the school site.	FAIR	0%-74.99%	The school facilities are in poor condition. Deficiencies of various degrees have been noted throughout the site. Major repairs and maintenance are necessary throughout the campus.	POOR
PERCENTAGE	DESCRIPTION	RATING																													
99%-100%	The school meets most or all standards of good repair. Deficiencies noted, if any, are not significant and/or impact a very small area of the school.	EXEMPLARY																													
90%-98.99%	The school is maintained in good repair with a number of non-critical deficiencies noted. These deficiencies are isolated, and/or resulting from minor wear and tear, and/or in the process of being mitigated.	GOOD																													
75%-89.99%	The school is not in good repair. Some deficiencies noted are critical and/or widespread. Repairs and/or additional maintenance are necessary in several areas of the school site.	FAIR																													
0%-74.99%	The school facilities are in poor condition. Deficiencies of various degrees have been noted throughout the site. Major repairs and maintenance are necessary throughout the campus.	POOR																													
<p>COMMENTS AND RATING EXPLANATION: Extreme deficiency rating in one area of the schools roof significantly drops the overall school rating of this test site.</p>																															

The completed FIT can then be used to evaluate and report the condition of a school site in Part III.

Proposed Changes to the Facility Inspection Tool

SB 129 (Chapter 69, Budget Act of 2021 – Skinner) requires the OPSC to consult with stakeholders and consider current standards for school facilities, including, but not limited to, the Association of Physical Plant Administrator's (APPA) Operational Guidelines for Educational Facilities, and both local and state public health guidance and standards.

Overall Cleanliness

OPSC has reviewed two publications from APPA, the *Operational Guidelines for Educational Facilities: Custodial* and the *Operational Guidelines for Educational Facilities: Maintenance*. As the guidelines note, "clean" is highly subjective and can be difficult to define. However, a basic premise of the guidelines is that the frequency of tasks correlates to a resulting level of clean. Based on those standards and considerations, OPSC is suggesting the following potential changes as it relates to Overall Cleanliness category.

Currently, Overall Cleanliness evaluates if a building or room appears to have been cleaned regularly. Restrooms, drinking fountains, and food preparation or serving areas are evaluated to determine if they have been cleaned each school day in session.

STAFF ANALYSIS/STATEMENTS (cont.)

When completing the Evaluation Detail for Overall Cleanliness, OPSC proposes a more prescriptive approach to determining if a facility is “OK”, and if a facility has a “*Deficiency*”, or an “*Extreme Deficiency*”. This is similar to APPA’s definition of “Appearance Levels” for major items such as floors, restroom fixtures, and horizontal surfaces.

Below is an overview of the range of cleanliness descriptions and how it could apply to the Evaluation Detail scoring. These descriptions could be included in the instructions to the FIT, as shown in Attachment C.

- An area marked as “OK” should appear to be clean with minimal dirt, dust, or grime buildup. Floors and carpets should appear to have been swept or cleaned within the last week. Light fixtures and all bulbs are working properly. Facilities are adequately stocked and odor free.
- An area marked with a “Deficiency” would appear to not have been cleaned in the last two weeks and carpet may look dull, matted, or stained. Corners of the room may have a recognizable amount of dirt or grime buildup. Floors do not appear to have been swept or vacuumed in two weeks. Some light fixtures are dirty and fewer than five percent of the bulbs have burned out. Daily trash has not been taken out.
- An area marked as having “Extreme Deficiency” would appear to be dirty, dingy, or scuffed with an evident buildup of dust, dirt, stains, or trash. Floors have not been swept or vacuumed in over two weeks. Light fixtures are dirty and more than five percent of the bulbs have burned out. There is trash overflow and the area being evaluated has a foul odor.

Facility and Staffing Information

OPSC is also recommending the adoption of additional Header sections in Part II of the Evaluation Detail section and Part III of the Totals and Ranking section of the FIT to provide additional data and context to a school site’s facility inspection.

- In Part II, OPSC proposes an additional reporting box to record the Square Footage of each area being evaluated. It is reasonable to assume that the larger the facility, the greater the level of effort to maintain a facility in “good repair”. The additional information can provide perspective to the user.
- In Part III, OPSC proposes new reporting sections, including “Total Site Square Footage”, “Number of Maintenance Staff Assigned on Site”, and “Site Enrollment”. Like facility size, it is reasonable to assume that the number of facilities staff and the number of students using facility effect the level of effort to maintain a facility in “good repair”.

STAFF ANALYSIS/STATEMENTS (cont.)

“Site Square Footage” will be defined using the School Facility Program definition as “the enclosed area measured from the outside face of exterior structural walls of the building. For interior areas or portions of the building areas, the enclosed area shall be measured from the centerline of the interior demising wall.

Stakeholder Feedback

In anticipation of this meeting, several stakeholders have already submitted suggestions for possible inclusion in the FIT.

Stakeholder #1 - Attachment D1 contains additional items to review for cleanliness such as high touch surfaces and exterior grounds. The stakeholder also proposes an additional worksheet for just the evaluation of cleanliness. OPSC has created Attachment D2 to demonstrate how the additional worksheet could be included in the FIT.

As shown below, the user would answer Yes/No for each detail. Then based on quantity or a percentage, the cleanliness of a facility could be rated as “OK”, “Deficiency”, or “Extreme Deficiency”. This rating would be recorded on Part II.

FIT - Part IIa – Cleanliness Detail:

Cleanliness Detail	Wing A	Wing B	Multi-Purpose Room	Library	Administration
Floors are swept, vacuumed, and mopped	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Floors are free of spots, stains, and build up	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Walls and doors are free of spots, and grime, especially high touch areas	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
Furniture, boards, baseboards, windowsills, and other horizontal surfaces are dusted	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes
Light fixtures are working and clean	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes
Trash cans are empty and clean	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes
Floors and furniture are free of gum	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Classroom sinks are clean	Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes	Yes
Desks and counters are cleaned/disinfected	Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes	Yes

STAFF ANALYSIS/STATEMENTS (cont.)

Part IIa corresponds to Column 5 “Overall Cleanliness” on Tab II, Column 5. Part IIa provides an opportunity to look at up to 18 different cleanliness details for each building area on a school campus to give a more comprehensive assessment of each area. Scoring for each building area will be made as follows:

- Any cleanliness detail marked as “N/A” will not be scored.
- For each building area with less 0-2 cleanliness details marked “No”, a mark of “OK” will be recorded for that building area on Part II Maintenance Detail for Column 5 “Overall Cleanliness”.
- For each building area with less 3-5 cleanliness details marked “No”, a mark of “D” will be recorded for that building area on Part II Maintenance Detail for Column 5 “Overall Cleanliness”.
- For each building area with 6 or more cleanliness details marked “No” a mark of “X” will be recorded for that building area on Part II Maintenance Detail for Column 5 “Overall Cleanliness”.

Stakeholder #2 - Attachment E1 adds another column to the Evaluation Detail that describes characteristics of the evaluated area. This could include grades served, traffic volume, space usage, etc. to provide additional context to a school site’s facility usage. The stakeholder also proposes a change to the rating of the school from “Good”, “Fair”, and “Poor” to the use of traditional letter grade based on the number of “OK” ratings.

OPSC has highlighted Attachment E2 with the stakeholder’s proposed changes for convenience.

DISCUSSION

OPSC is asking for stakeholder feedback on OPSC’s proposed changes as well as the other proposals submitted by stakeholders.

CLOSING REMARKS/NEXT STEPS

OPSC will review all feedback and schedule a second meeting to review an updated proposal for consideration. Once we have scheduled this meeting, we will notify all interested parties through our email notification system. If you would like to subscribe to our email list, please visit this link:

https://public.govdelivery.com/accounts/CADGS/subscriber/topics?qsp=CADGS_4

Any stakeholder wishing to provide feedback should email OPSCCommunications@dgs.ca.gov by end of day, December 12, 2021.

ATTACHMENT A

AUTHORITY

Education Code (EC) Section 1240

The county superintendent of schools shall do all of the following:

- (a) Superintend the schools of that county.
- (b) Maintain responsibility for the fiscal oversight of each school district in that county pursuant to the authority granted by this code.
- (c) (1) Visit and examine each school in the county at reasonable intervals to observe its operation and to learn of its problems. The county superintendent of schools annually may present a report of the state of the schools in the county, and of the county office of education, including, but not limited to, observations from visiting the schools, to the board of education and the board of supervisors of the county.
- (2) (A) For fiscal years 2004–05 to 2006–07, inclusive, to the extent that funds are appropriated for purposes of this paragraph, the county superintendent, or their designee, annually shall submit a report, at a regularly scheduled November board meeting, to the governing board of each school district under their jurisdiction, the county board of education of the county, and the board of supervisors of the county describing the state of the schools in the county or of the county office of education that are ranked in deciles 1 to 3, inclusive, of the 2003 base Academic Performance Index (API), as described in subdivision (b) of Section 17592.70, and shall include, among other things, observations from visiting the schools and determinations for each school regarding the status of all of the circumstances listed in subparagraph (I) and teacher misassignments and teacher vacancies. As a condition for receipt of funds, the county superintendent, or their designee, shall use a standardized template to report the circumstances listed in subparagraph (I) and teacher misassignments and teacher vacancies, unless the current annual report being used by the county superintendent, or their designee, already includes those details for each school.
- (B) Commencing with the 2007–08 fiscal year, the county superintendent, or their designee, annually shall submit a report, at a regularly scheduled November board meeting, to the governing board of each school district under their jurisdiction, the county board of education of the county, and the board of supervisors of the county describing the state of the schools in the county or of the county office of education that are ranked in deciles 1 to 3, inclusive, of the 2006 base API, pursuant to former Section 52056, as that section read on June 30, 2013. The annual report shall include the determinations for each school made by the county superintendent, or their designee, regarding the status of all of the circumstances listed in subparagraph (I) and teacher misassignments and teacher vacancies, and the county superintendent, or their designee, shall use a standardized template to report the circumstances listed in subparagraph (I) and teacher misassignments and teacher vacancies, unless the current annual report being used by the county superintendent, or their designee, already includes those details with the same level of specificity that is otherwise required by this subdivision. For purposes of this section, schools ranked in deciles 1 to 3, inclusive, on the 2006 base API shall include schools determined by the department to meet either of the following:
 - (i) The school meets all of the following criteria:
 - (I) Does not have a valid base API score for 2006.
 - (II) Is operating in fiscal year 2007–08 and was operating in fiscal year 2006–07 during the Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program testing period.

(III) Has a valid base API score for 2005 that was ranked in deciles 1 to 3, inclusive, in that year.

(ii) The school has an estimated base API score for 2006 that would be in deciles 1 to 3, inclusive.

(C) The department shall estimate an API score for any school meeting the criteria of subclauses (I) and (II) of clause (i) of subparagraph (B) and not meeting the criteria of subclause (III) of clause (i) of subparagraph (B), using available test scores and weighting or corrective factors it deems appropriate. The department shall post the API scores on its internet website on or before May 1.

(D) For purposes of this section, references to schools ranked in deciles 1 to 3, inclusive, on the 2006 base API shall exclude schools operated by county offices of education pursuant to Section 56140, as determined by the department.

(E) (i) Commencing with the 2010–11 fiscal year and every third year thereafter, the Superintendent shall identify a list of schools ranked in deciles 1 to 3, inclusive, of the API for which the county superintendent, or their designee, annually shall submit a report, at a regularly scheduled November board meeting, to the governing board of each school district under their jurisdiction, the county board of education of the county, and the board of supervisors of the county that describes the state of the schools in the county or of the county office of education that are ranked in deciles 1 to 3, inclusive, of the base API, as defined in clause (ii).

(ii) For the 2010–11 fiscal year, the list of schools ranked in deciles 1 to 3, inclusive, of the base API shall be updated using the criteria set forth in clauses (i) and (ii) of subparagraph (B), subparagraph (C), and subparagraph (D), as applied to the 2009 base API and thereafter shall be updated every third year using the criteria set forth in clauses (i) and (ii) of subparagraph (B), subparagraph (C), and subparagraph (D), as applied to the base API of the year preceding the third year consistent with clause (i).

(iii) The annual report shall include the determinations for each school made by the county superintendent, or their designee, regarding the status of all of the circumstances listed in subparagraph (I) and teacher misassignments and teacher vacancies, and the county superintendent, or their designee, shall use a standardized template to report the circumstances listed in subparagraph (I) and teacher misassignments and teacher vacancies, unless the current annual report being used by the county superintendent, or their designee, already includes those details with the same level of specificity that is otherwise required by this subdivision.

(F) The county superintendent of the Counties of Alpine, Amador, Del Norte, Mariposa, Plumas, and Sierra, and the City and County of San Francisco shall contract with another county office of education or an independent auditor to conduct the required visits and make all reports required by this paragraph.

(G) On a quarterly basis, the county superintendent, or their designee, shall report the results of the visits and reviews conducted that quarter to the governing board of the school district at a regularly scheduled meeting held in accordance with public notification requirements. The results of the visits and reviews shall include the determinations of the county superintendent, or their designee, for each school regarding the status of all of the circumstances listed in subparagraph (I) and teacher misassignments and teacher vacancies. If the county superintendent, or their designee, conducts no visits or reviews in a quarter, the quarterly report shall report that fact.

(H) The visits made pursuant to this paragraph shall be conducted at least annually and shall meet the following criteria:

- (i) Minimize disruption to the operation of the school.
- (ii) Be performed by individuals who meet the requirements of Section 45125.1.
- (iii) Consist of not less than 25 percent unannounced visits in each county. During unannounced visits in each county, the county superintendent shall not demand access to documents or specific school personnel. Unannounced visits shall only be used to observe the condition of school repair and maintenance, and the sufficiency of instructional materials, as defined by Section 60119.
- (l) The priority objective of the visits made pursuant to this paragraph shall be to determine the status of all of the following circumstances:
 - (i) Sufficient textbooks, as defined in Section 60119 and as specified in subdivision (i).
 - (ii) The condition of a facility that poses an emergency or urgent threat to the health or safety of pupils or staff, as described in school district policy or paragraph (1) of subdivision (c) of Section 17592.72.
 - (iii) The accuracy of data reported on the school accountability report card with respect to the availability of sufficient textbooks and instructional materials, as defined by Section 60119, and the safety, cleanliness, and adequacy of school facilities, including good repair, as required by Sections 17014, 17032.5, 17070.75, and 17089.
- (J) The county superintendent may make the status determinations described in subparagraph (l) during a single visit or multiple visits. In determining whether to make a single visit or multiple visits for this purpose, the county superintendent shall take into consideration factors such as cost-effectiveness, disruption to the schoolsite, deadlines, and the availability of qualified reviewers.
- (K) If the county superintendent determines that the condition of a facility poses an emergency or urgent threat to the health or safety of pupils or staff as described in school district policy or paragraph (1) of subdivision (c) of Section 17592.72, or is not in good repair, as specified in subdivision (d) of Section 17002 and required by Sections 17014, 17032.5, 17070.75, and 17089, the county superintendent, among other things, may do any of the following:
 - (i) Return to the school to verify repairs.
 - (ii) Prepare a report that specifically identifies and documents the areas or instances of noncompliance if the school district has not provided evidence of successful repairs within 30 days of the visit of the county superintendent or, for major projects, has not provided evidence that the repairs will be conducted in a timely manner. The report may be provided to the governing board of the school district. If the report is provided to the school district, it shall be presented at a regularly scheduled meeting held in accordance with public notification requirements. The county superintendent shall post the report on the internet website of the county superintendent. The report shall be removed from the internet website when the county superintendent verifies the repairs have been completed.

...

EC Section 17002

The following terms wherever used or referred to in this chapter, shall have the following meanings, respectively, unless a different meaning appears from the context:

- (a) "Apportionment" means a reservation of funds necessary to finance the cost of any project approved by the board for lease to an applicant school district.
- (b) "Board" means the State Allocation Board.
- (c) "Cost of project" includes, but is not limited to, the cost of all real estate property rights, and easements acquired, and the cost of developing the site and streets and utilities immediately adjacent thereto, the cost of construction, reconstruction, or modernization of buildings and the furnishing and equipping, including the purchase of educational technology hardware, of those buildings, the supporting wiring and cabling, and the technological modernization of existing buildings to support that hardware, the cost of plans, specifications, surveys, and estimates of costs, and other expenses that are necessary or incidental to the financing of the project. For purposes of this section, "educational technology hardware" includes, but is not limited to, computers, telephones, televisions, and video recording equipment.
- (d) (1) "Good repair" means the facility is maintained in a manner that assures that it is clean, safe, and functional as determined pursuant to a school facility inspection and evaluation instrument developed by the Office of Public School Construction and approved by the board or a local evaluation instrument that meets the same criteria. Until the school facility inspection and evaluation instrument is approved by the board, "good repair" means the facility is maintained in a manner that assures that it is clean, safe, and functional as determined by the interim evaluation instrument developed by the Office of Public School Construction or a local evaluation instrument that meets the same criteria as the interim evaluation instrument. The school facility inspection and evaluation instrument and local evaluation instruments that meet the minimum criteria of this subdivision shall not require capital enhancements beyond the standards to which the facility was designed and constructed. In order to provide that school facilities are reviewed to be clean, safe, and functional, the school facility inspection and evaluation instrument and local evaluation instruments shall include at least the following criteria:
 - (A) Gas systems and pipes appear and smell safe, functional, and free of leaks.
 - (B) Mechanical systems, including heating, ventilation, and air-conditioning systems, satisfy the following:
 - (i) Are functional and unobstructed.
 - (ii) Appear to supply adequate amount of air to all classrooms, work spaces, and facilities.
 - (iii) Maintain interior temperatures within normally acceptable ranges.
 - (C) Doors and windows are intact, functional, and open, close, and lock as designed, unless there is a valid reason they should not function as designed.
 - (D) Fences and gates are intact, functional, and free of holes and other conditions that could present a safety hazard to pupils, staff, or others. Locks and other security hardware function as designed.
 - (E) Interior surfaces, including walls, floors, and ceilings, are free of safety hazards from tears, holes, missing floor and ceiling tiles, torn carpet, water damage, or other cause. Ceiling tiles are intact. Surfaces display no evidence of mold or mildew.
 - (F) Hazardous and flammable materials are stored properly. No evidence of peeling, chipping, or cracking paint is apparent. No indicators of mold, mildew, or asbestos exposure are evident. There is no apparent evidence of hazardous materials that may pose a threat to the health and safety of pupils or staff.

(G) Structures, including posts, beams, supports for portable classrooms and ramps, and other structural building members appear intact, secure, and functional as designed. Ceilings and floors are not sloping or sagging beyond their intended design. There is no visible evidence of severe cracks, dry rot, mold, or damage that undermines structural components.

(H) Fire sprinklers, fire extinguishers, emergency alarm systems, and all emergency equipment and systems appear to be functioning properly. Fire alarm pull stations are clearly visible. Fire extinguishers are current and placed in all required areas, including every classroom and assembly area. Emergency exits are clearly marked and unobstructed.

(I) Electrical systems, components, and equipment, including switches, junction boxes, panels, wiring, outlets, and light fixtures, are securely enclosed, properly covered and guarded from pupil access, and appear to be working properly.

(J) Lighting appears to be adequate and working properly. Lights do not flicker, dim, or malfunction, and there is no unusual hum or noise from light fixtures. Exterior lights onsite appear to be working properly.

(K) No visible or odorous indicators of pest or vermin infestation are evident.

(L) Interior and exterior drinking fountains are functional, accessible, and free of leaks. Drinking fountain water pressure is adequate. Fountain water is clear and without unusual taste or odor, and moss, mold, or excessive staining is not evident.

(M) Restrooms and restroom fixtures satisfy the following:

(i) Are functional.

(ii) Appear to be maintained and stocked with supplies regularly.

(iii) Appear to be accessible to pupils during the schoolday.

(iv) Appear to be in compliance with Section 35292.5.

(N) The sanitary sewer system controls odor as designed, displays no signs of stoppage, backup, or flooding, in the facilities or on school grounds, and appears to be functioning properly.

(O) Roofs, gutters, roof drains, and downspouts appear to be functioning properly and are free of visible damage and evidence of disrepair when observed from the ground inside and outside the building.

(P) The school grounds do not exhibit signs of drainage problems, such as visible evidence of flooded areas, eroded soil, water damage to asphalt playgrounds or parking areas, or clogged storm drain inlets.

(Q) Playground equipment and exterior fixtures, seating, tables, and equipment are functional and free of significant cracks, trip hazards, holes, deterioration that affects functionality or safety, and other health and safety hazards.

(R) School grounds, fields, walkways, and parking lot surfaces are free of significant cracks, trip hazards, holes, deterioration that affects functionality or safety, and other health and safety hazards.

(S) Overall cleanliness of the school grounds, buildings, common areas, and individual rooms demonstrates that all areas appear to have been cleaned regularly and are free of accumulated refuse and unabated graffiti. Restrooms, drinking fountains, and food preparation or serving areas appear to have been cleaned each day that the school is in session.

(2) (A) On or before January 1, 2007, the Office of Public School Construction shall develop the school facility inspection and evaluation instrument and instructions for users. The school facility inspection and evaluation instrument and local evaluation instruments that meet the minimum criteria of this subdivision shall include a system that will evaluate each facility, based on the criteria listed in paragraph (1), on a scale of "good," "fair," or "poor," as developed by the Office of Public School Construction, and provide an overall summary of the conditions at each school on a scale of "exemplary," "good," "fair," or "poor."

(B) On or before July 1, 2007, the Office of Public School Construction, in consultation with county offices of education, shall define objective criteria for determining the overall summary of the conditions of schools.

(C) For purposes of this paragraph, “users” means local educational agencies that participate in either of the programs established pursuant to this chapter, Chapter 12.5 (commencing with Section 17070.10), or Section 17582.

(e) “Lease” includes a lease with an option to purchase.

(f) “Project” means the facility being constructed or acquired by the state for rental to the applicant school district and may include the reconstruction or modernization of existing buildings, construction of new buildings, the grading and development of sites, acquisition of sites therefor and any easements or rights-of-way pertinent thereto or necessary for its full use including the development of streets and utilities.

(g) “Property” includes all property, real, personal or mixed, tangible or intangible, or any interest therein necessary or desirable for carrying out the purposes of this chapter.

(Amended by Stats. 2009, Ch. 88, Sec. 20. (AB 176) Effective January 1, 2010.)

Senate Bill (SB) 129 (Chapter 69, Budget Act of 2021 – Skinner)

(a) The Office of Public School Construction shall consult with stakeholders such as local educational agency facilities staff, classified employees providing custodial services, certificated employees, local and state public health officials, and other experts in clean, safe, and functional school facilities. The Office of Public School Construction shall consider current standards for school facilities, including, but not limited to, the Association of Physical Plant Administrator’s Operational Guidelines for Educational Facilities and both local and state public health guidance and standards.

(c) The State Allocation Board shall adopt an updated Facility Inspection Tool prior to June 30, 2022, for use beginning July 1, 2022.

GENERAL INFORMATION

The Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) has been developed by the Office of Public School Construction to determine if a school facility is in “good repair” as defined by Education Code (EC) Section 17002(d)(1) and to rate the facility pursuant to EC Section 17002(d)(2). The tool is designed to identify areas of a school site that are in need of repair based upon a visual inspection of the site. In addition, the EC specifies the tool should not be used to require capital enhancements beyond the standards to which the facility was designed and constructed.

Good repair is defined to mean that the facility is maintained in a manner that ensures that it is clean, safe, and functional. As part of the school accountability report card, school districts and county offices of education are required to make specified assessments of school conditions including the safety, cleanliness, and adequacy of school facilities and needed maintenance to ensure good repair. In addition, beginning with the 2005/2006 fiscal year, school districts and county offices of education must certify that a facility inspection system has been established to ensure that each of its facilities is maintained in good repair in order to participate in the School Facility Program and the Deferred Maintenance Program. This tool is intended to assist school districts and county offices of education in that determination.

County superintendents are required to annually visit the schools in the county of his or her office as determined by EC Section 1240. Further, EC Section 1240(c)(2)(l), states the priority objective of the visits made shall be to determine the status of the condition of a facility that poses an emergency or urgent threat to the health or safety of pupils or staff as defined in district policy, or as defined by EC Section 17592.72(c) and the accuracy of data reported on the school accountability report card with the respect to the safety, cleanliness, and adequacy of school facilities, including good repair as required by EC Sections 17014, 17032.5, 17070.75, and 17089. This tool is also intended to assist county offices of education in performing these functions.

The EC also allows individual entities to adopt a local evaluation instrument to be used in lieu of the FIT provided the local instrument meets the criteria specified in EC Section 17002(d) and as implemented in the FIT. Any evaluation instrument adopted by the local educational agency for purpose of determining whether a school facility is maintained in good repair may include any number of additional items but must minimally include the criteria and rating scheme contained in the FIT.

USER INSTRUCTIONS

The FIT is comprised of three parts as follows:

Part I, Good Repair Standard outlines the school facility systems and components, as specified in EC Section 17002(d)(1), that should be considered in the inspection of a school facility to ensure it is maintained in a manner that assures it is clean, safe and functional. Each of the 15 sections in the Good Repair Standard provides a description of a minimum standard of good repair for various school facility categories. Each section also provides examples of clean, safe and functional conditions. The list of examples is not exhaustive. If an evaluator notes a condition that is not mentioned in the examples but constitutes a deficiency, the evaluator can note such deficiency in the applicable category as “other.”

Some of the conditions cited in the Good Repair Standard represent items that are critical to the health and safety of pupils and staff. Any deficiencies in these items require immediate attention and, if left unmitigated, could cause severe and immediate injury, illness or death of the occupants. They constitute extreme deficiencies and indicate that the particular building system evaluated failed to meet the standard of good repair at that school site. These critical conditions are identified with underlined text followed by an (X) on the Good Repair Standard. If the underlined statement is not true, then there is an extreme deficiency (to be marked as an “X” on the Evaluation Detail) resulting in a “poor” rating for the applicable category. It is important to note that the list of extreme deficiencies noted in the Good Repair Standard is not exhaustive. Any other deficiency not included in the criteria but meeting the definition above can be noted by the evaluator and generate a poor rating.

Part II, Evaluation Detail is a site inspection template to be used to evaluate the areas of a school on a category by category basis. The design of the inspection template allows for the determination of the scope of conditions across campus. In evaluating each area or space, the user should review each of the 15 categories identified in the Good Repair Standard and make a determination of whether a particular area is in good repair. Once the determination is made, it should be recorded on the Evaluation Detail, as follows:

OK	No Deficiency - Good Repair: Mark “OK” if all statements in the Good Repair Standard are true, and there is no indication of a deficiency in the specific category.
D	Deficiency: Mark “D” if one or more statement(s) in the Good Repair Standard for the specific category is not true, or if there is other clear evidence of the need for repair.
X	Extreme Deficiency: Indicate “X” if the area has a deficiency that is considered an “Extreme Deficiency” in the Good Repair Standard or there is a condition that qualifies as an extreme deficiency but is not noted in the Good Repair Standard.
NA	Not Applicable: If the Good Repair Standard category (building system or component) does not exist in the area evaluated, mark “NA”.

Below are suggested methods for evaluating various systems and areas:

- **Gas and Sewer** are major building systems that may span the entire school campus but may not be evident as applicable building systems in each classroom or common areas. However, because a deficiency in either of these systems could become evident and present a health and safety threat anywhere on campus, the user should not mark "NA" and should instead include an evaluation of these systems in each building space.
- **Roofs** can be easily evaluated for stand alone areas, such as portable classrooms. For permanent buildings containing several areas to be evaluated, roofs should be considered as parts of individual areas in order to accurately account for a scope of any roofing deficiency. For example, a 10 classroom building contains damaged gutters on one side of the building, spanning across five classrooms. Therefore, an evaluator should mark five classrooms as deficient in the roof category and the other five classrooms as in good repair, assuming there are no other visible deficiencies related to roofing.
- **Overall Cleanliness** is intended to be used to evaluate the cleanliness of each space. For example, a user should note a deficiency due to dirty surfaces in Overall Cleanliness, rather than **Interior Surfaces**. At the same time, the user should note such deficiency only in Overall Cleanliness in order to avoid accounting for such deficiency twice, i.e. in two sections.
- The tool is designed to evaluate stand-alone restrooms as separate areas. However, restrooms contained within other spaces, such as a kindergarten classroom or a library, can be evaluated as part of that area under Restrooms. If the area evaluated does not contain a restroom, Restrooms should be marked "NA."
- **Drinking fountains** can exist within individual classrooms or areas, right outside of classrooms or restrooms or other areas, or as stand alone fixtures on playgrounds and sports fields. If a drinking fountain or a set of fountains is located inside a building or immediately outside the area being evaluated, it should be included in the evaluation of that area under Drinking Fountains. If a fountain is located on the school grounds, it should be evaluated as part of that outside space. If there is no drinking fountain in the area evaluated, Drinking Fountains should be marked "NA."
- **Playgrounds/School Grounds**, should be evaluated as separate areas by dividing a campus into sections with defined borders. In this case, several sections of the good repair criteria would not apply to the evaluation, as they do not exist outside of physical building areas, such as **Structural Damage** and **Fire Safety**, for example.

Part III includes the **Category Totals and Ranking**, the **Overall Rating**, and a section for **Comments and Rating Explanation**.

Once the inspector completes the site inspection, he or she must total the number of areas evaluated. The inspector must also count all of the spaces deemed in good repair, deficient, extremely deficient, or not applicable under each of the 15 sections. Next, the evaluator must determine the condition of each section by taking the ratio of the number of areas deemed in good repair to the number of areas being evaluated (after subtracting non-applicable spaces from the total number of areas evaluated). If any of the 15 sections received a rating of extreme deficiency, the ratio (i.e., the percentage of good repair) for that section and the category the section is in should default to zero. The total percent per category (A through H) is determined by the total of all percentages of systems in good repair divided by the number of sections in that category. For example, to determine the total percent for the Structural category, add the percentages for the Structural Damage and Roof sections and divide the result by two.

Next, the overall school site score is determined by computing the average percentage rating of the eight categories (i.e., the total of all percentages divided by eight). Finally, the rater should determine the overall School Rating by applying the Percentage Range in the table provided in Part III to the average percentage calculated and taking into consideration the Rating Description provided in the same table.

*Although the FIT is designed to evaluate each school site within a reasonable range of facility conditions, it is possible that an evaluator may identify critical facility conditions that result in an Overall School Rating that does not reflect the urgency and severity of those deficiencies and/or does not match the rating's Description in Part III. In such instances, the evaluator may reduce the resulting school score by one or more grade categories and describe the reasons for the reduction in the space provided for Comments and Rating Explanation.

When completing Part III of the FIT, the instructor should note the date and time of the inspection as well as weather conditions and any other pertinent inspection information in the specific areas provided and utilize the Comments and Rating Explanation Section if needed.

PART I: GOOD REPAIR STANDARD

(X): If underlined statement is not true, then this is an extreme deficiency (marked as an "X") on the Evaluation Detail resulting in a "poor" rating for the applicable category.

Gas Leaks

Gas systems and pipes appear safe, functional, and free of leaks. Examples include but are not limited to the following:

- a. There is no odor that would indicate a gas leak. (X)
- b. Gas pipes are not broken and appear to be in good working order. (X)
- c. Other

Mechanical Systems

Heating, ventilation, and air conditioning systems (HVAC) as applicable are functional and unobstructed. Examples include but are not limited to the following:

- a. The HVAC system is operable. (X)
- b. The facilities are ventilated (via mechanical or natural ventilation).
- c. The ventilation units are unobstructed and vents and grills are without evidence of excessive dirt or dust.
- d. There appears to be an adequate air supply to all classrooms, work spaces, and facilities (i.e. no strong odor is present, air is not stuffy)
- e. Interior temperatures appear to be maintained within normally accepted ranges.
- f. The ventilation units are not generating any excessive noise or vibrations.
- g. Other

Sewer

Sewer line stoppage is not evident. Examples include but are not limited to the following:

- a. There are no obvious signs of flooding caused by sewer line back-up in the facilities or on the school grounds. (X)
- b. The sanitary system controls odors as designed.
- c. Other

Interior Surfaces (Floors, Ceilings, Walls, and Window Casings)

Interior surfaces appear to be clean, safe, and functional. Examples include but are not limited to the following:

- a. Walls are free of hazards from tears and holes.
- b. Flooring is free of hazards from torn carpeting, missing floor tiles, holes.
- c. Ceiling is free of hazards from missing ceiling tiles and holes.
- d. There is no evidence of water damage (e.g. no condensation, dampness, staining, warping, peeling, mineral deposits, etc.)
- e. Other

Overall Cleanliness

School grounds, buildings, common areas, and individual rooms appear to have been cleaned regularly. Examples include but are not limited to the following:

- a. Area(s) evaluated is free of accumulated refuse, dirt, and grime.
- b. Area(s) evaluated is free of unabated graffiti.
- c. Restrooms, drinking fountains, and food preparation or serving areas appear to have been cleaned each day that school is in session.
- d. Other

Pest/Vermin Infestation

Pest or vermin infestation are not evident. Examples include but are not limited to the following:

- a. There is no evidence of a major pest or vermin infestation. (X)
- b. There are no holes in the walls, floors, or ceilings.
- c. Rodent droppings or insect skins are not evident.
- d. Odor caused by a pest or vermin infestation is not evident.
- e. There are no live rodents observed.
- f. Other

Electrical (Interior and Exterior)

1. There is no evidence that any portion of the school has a power failure. (X)

2. *Electrical systems, components, and equipment appear to be working properly. Examples include but are not limited to the following:*

- a. There are no exposed electrical wires. Electrical equipment is properly covered and secured from pupil access. (X)
- b. Outlets, access panels, switch plates, junction boxes and fixtures are properly covered and secured from pupil access.
- c. Other

3. *Lighting appears to be adequate and working properly, including exterior lights. Examples include but are not limited to the following:*

- a. Lighting appears to be adequate.
- b. Lighting is not flickering.
- c. There is no unusual hum or noise from the light fixtures.
- d. Other

**FACILITY INSPECTION TOOL
SCHOOL FACILITY CONDITIONS EVALUATION**

(REV 05/09)

Page 4 of 6

Restrooms

Restrooms in the vicinity of the area being evaluated appear to be accessible during school hours, clean, functional and in compliance with SB 892 (EC Section 35292.5). The following are examples of compliance with SB 892:

- a. Restrooms are maintained and cleaned regularly.
- b. Restrooms are fully operational.
- c. Restrooms are stocked with toilet paper, soap, and paper towels.
- d. Restrooms are open during school hours.
- e. Other

Sinks/Fountains (Inside and Outside)

Drinking fountains appear to be accessible and functioning as intended. Examples include but are not limited to the following:

- a. Drinking fountains are accessible.
- b. Water pressure is adequate.
- c. A leak is not evident.
- d. There is no moss, mold, or excessive staining on the fixtures.
- e. The water is clear and without unusual taste or odor.
- f. Other

Fire Safety

The fire equipment and emergency systems appear to be functioning properly. Examples include but are not limited to the following:

- a. The fire sprinklers appear to be in working order (e.g., there are no missing or damaged sprinkler heads). (X)
- b. Emergency alarms appear to be functional. (X)
- c. Emergency exit signs function as designed, exits are unobstructed. (X)
- d. Fire extinguishers are current and placed in all required areas.
- e. Fire alarms pull stations are clearly visible.
- f. Other

Hazardous Materials (Interior and Exterior)

There does not appear to be evidence of hazardous materials that may pose a threat to pupils or staff. Examples include but are not limited to the following:

- a. Hazardous chemicals, chemical waste, and flammable materials are stored properly (e.g. locked and labeled properly). (X)
- b. Paint is not peeling, chipping, or cracking.
- c. There does not appear to be damaged tiles or other circumstances that may indicate asbestos exposure.
- d. Surfaces (including floors, ceilings, walls, window casings, HVAC grills) appear to be free of mildew, mold odor and visible mold.
- e. Other

Structural Damage

There does not appear to be structural damage that has created or could create hazardous or uninhabitable conditions. Examples include but are not limited to the following:

- a. Severe cracks are not evident. (X)
- b. Ceilings & floors are not sloping or sagging beyond their intended design. (X)
- c. Posts, beams, supports for portable classrooms, ramps, and other structural building members appear to be intact, secure and functional as designed. (X)
- d. There is no visible evidence of severe cracks, dry rot, mold, or damage that undermines the structural components. (X)
- e. Other

Roofs (observed from the ground, inside/outside the building)

Roof systems appear to be functioning properly. Examples include but are not limited to the following:

- a. Roofs, gutters, roof drains, and down spouts are free of visible damage.
- b. Roofs, gutters, roof drains, and down spouts are intact.
- c. Other

Playground/School Grounds

The playground equipment and school grounds in the vicinity of the area being evaluated appear to be clean, safe, and functional. Examples include but are not limited to the following:

- a. Significant cracks, trip hazards, holes and deterioration are not found.
- b. Open "S" hooks, protruding bolt ends, and sharp points/edges are not found in the playground equipment.
- c. Seating, tables, and equipment are functional and free of significant cracks.
- d. There are no signs of drainage problems, such as flooded areas, eroded soil, water damage to asphalt, or clogged storm drain inlets.
- e. Other

Windows/Doors/Gates/Fences (Interior and exterior)

Conditions that pose a safety and/or security risk are not evident. Examples include but are not limited to the following:

- a. There is no exposed broken glass accessible to pupils and staff. (X)
- b. Exterior doors and gates are functioning and do not pose a security risk. (X)
- c. Windows are intact and free of cracks.
- d. Windows are functional and open, close, and lock as designed, unless there is a valid reason they should not function as designed.
- e. Doors are intact.
- f. Doors are functional and open, close, and lock as designed, unless there is a valid reason they should not function as designed.
- g. Gates and fences appear to be functional.
- h. Gates and fences are intact and free of holes and other conditions that could present a safety hazard to pupils, staff, or others.
- i. Other

PART II: EVALUATION DETAIL

Date of Inspection: _____

School Name: _____

CATEGORY	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
AREA	GAS LEAKS	MECH/HVAC	SEWER	INTERIOR SURFACES	OVERALL CLEANLINESS	PEST/VERMIN INFESTATION	ELECTRICAL	RESTROOM	SINKS/ FOUNTAINS	FIRE SAFETY	HAZARDOUS MATERIALS	STRUCTURAL DAMAGE	ROOFS	PLAYGROUND/S CHOO L GROUNDS	WINDOWS/ DOORS/ GATES/FENCES
	COMMENTS:														
	COMMENTS:														
	COMMENTS:														
	COMMENTS:														
	COMMENTS:														
	COMMENTS:														
	COMMENTS:														
	COMMENTS:														
	COMMENTS:														
	COMMENTS:														

Marks: **OK** = Good Repair; **D** = Deficiency; **X** = Extreme Deficiency; **NA** = Not Applicable
 Use additional Area Lines as necessary.

SCHOOL DISTRICT/COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION		COUNTY	
SCHOOL SITE		SCHOOL TYPE (GRADE LEVELS)	NUMBER OF CLASSROOMS ON SITE
INSPECTOR'S NAME	INSPECTOR'S TITLE	NAME OF DISTRICT REPRESENTATIVE ACCOMPANYING THE INSPECTOR(S) (IF APPLICABLE)	
TIME OF INSPECTION	WEATHER CONDITION AT TIME OF INSPECTION		

PART III: CATEGORY TOTALS AND RANKING (round all calculations to two decimal places)

TOTAL NUMBER OF AREAS EVALUATED ↓	CATEGORY TOTALS	A. SYSTEMS			B. INTERIOR	C. CLEANLINESS		D. ELECTRICAL	E. RESTROOMS/FOUNTAINS		F. SAFETY		G. STRUCTURAL		H. EXTERNAL	
		GAS LEAKS	MECH/HVAC	SEWER	INTERIOR SURFACES	OVERALL CLEANLINESS	PEST/VERMIN INFESTATION	ELECTRICAL	RESTROOMS	SINKS/ FOUNTAINS	FIRE SAFETY	HAZARDOUS MATERIALS	STRUCTURAL DAMAGE	ROOFS	PLAYGROUND/ SCHOOL GROUNDS	WINDOWS/DOORS/ GATES/FENCES
		Number of "OK"s:														
		Number of "D"s:														
		Number of "X"s:														
		Number of N/As:														
Percent of System in Good Repair Number of "OK"s divided by (Total Areas - "NA"s)*																
Total Percent per Category (average of above)*																
Rank (Circle one) GOOD = 90%-100% FAIR = 75%-89.99% POOR = 0%-74.99%																

*Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category and a zero for "Total Percent per Category".

OVERALL RATING:

DETERMINE AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF 8 CATEGORIES ABOVE	→		→	SCHOOL RATING**	
--	---	--	---	------------------------	--

**For School Rating, apply the Percentage Range below to the average percentage determined above, taking into account the rating Description below.

PERCENTAGE	DESCRIPTION	RATING
99%-100%	The school meets most or all standards of good repair. Deficiencies noted, if any, are not significant and/or impact a very small area of the school.	EXEMPLARY
90%-98.99%	The school is maintained in good repair with a number of non-critical deficiencies noted. These deficiencies are isolated, and/or resulting from minor wear and tear, and/or in the process of being mitigated.	GOOD
75%-89.99%	The school is not in good repair. Some deficiencies noted are critical and/or widespread. Repairs and/or additional maintenance are necessary in several areas of the school site.	FAIR
0%-74.99%	The school facilities are in poor condition. Deficiencies of various degrees have been noted throughout the site. Major repairs and maintenance are necessary throughout the campus.	POOR

COMMENTS AND RATING EXPLANATION:

GENERAL INFORMATION

The Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) has been developed by the Office of Public School Construction to determine if a school facility is in "good repair" as defined by Education Code (EC) Section 17002(d)(1) and to rate the facility pursuant to EC Section 17002(d)(2). The tool is designed to identify areas of a school site that are in need of repair based upon a visual inspection of the site. In addition, the EC specifies the tool should not be used to require capital enhancements beyond the standards to which the facility was designed and constructed.

Good repair is defined to mean that the facility is maintained in a manner that ensures that it is clean, safe, and functional. As part of the school accountability report card, school districts and county offices of education are required to make specified assessments of school conditions including the safety, cleanliness, and adequacy of school facilities and needed maintenance to ensure good repair. In addition, beginning with the 2005/2006 fiscal year, school districts and county offices of education must certify that a facility inspection system has been established to ensure that each of its facilities is maintained in good repair in order to participate in the School Facility Program and the Deferred Maintenance Program. This tool is intended to assist school districts and county offices of education in that determination.

County superintendents are required to annually visit the schools in the county of his or her office as determined by EC Section 1240. Further, EC Section 1240(c)(2)(I), states the priority objective of the visits made shall be to determine the status of the condition of a facility that poses an emergency or urgent threat to the health or safety of pupils or staff as defined in district policy, or as defined by EC Section 17592.72(c) and the accuracy of data reported on the school accountability report card with the respect to the safety, cleanliness, and adequacy of school facilities, including good repair as required by EC Sections 17014, 17032.5, 17070.75, and 17089. This tool is also intended to assist county offices of education in performing these functions.

The EC also allows individual entities to adopt a local evaluation instrument to be used in lieu of the FIT provided the local instrument meets the criteria specified in EC Section 17002(d) and as implemented in the FIT. Any evaluation instrument adopted by the local educational agency for purpose of determining whether a school facility is maintained in good repair may include any number of additional items but must minimally include the criteria and rating scheme contained in the FIT.

USER INSTRUCTIONS

The FIT is comprised of three parts as follows:

Part I, Good Repair Standard outlines the school facility systems and components, as specified in EC Section 17002(d)(1), that should be considered in the inspection of a school facility to ensure it is maintained in a manner that assures it is clean, safe and functional. Each of the 15 sections in the Good Repair Standard provides a description of a minimum standard of good repair for various school facility categories. Each section also provides examples of clean, safe and functional conditions. The list of examples is not exhaustive. If an evaluator notes a condition that is not mentioned in the examples but constitutes a deficiency, the evaluator can note such deficiency in the applicable category as "other."

Some of the conditions cited in the Good Repair Standard represent items that are critical to the health and safety of pupils and staff. Any deficiencies in these items require immediate attention and, if left unmitigated, could cause severe and immediate injury, illness or death of the occupants. They constitute extreme deficiencies and indicate that the particular building system evaluated failed to meet the standard of good repair at that school site. These critical conditions are identified with underlined text followed by an (X) on the Good Repair Standard. If the underlined statement is not true, then there is an extreme deficiency (to be marked as an "X" on the Evaluation Detail) resulting in a "poor" rating for the applicable category. It is important to note that the list of extreme deficiencies noted in the Good Repair Standard is not exhaustive. Any other deficiency not included in the criteria but meeting the definition above can be noted by the evaluator and generate a poor rating.

Part II, Evaluation Detail is a site inspection template to be used to evaluate the areas of a school on a category by category basis. The design of the inspection template allows for the determination of the scope of conditions across campus. In evaluating each area or space, the user should review each of the 15 categories identified in the Good Repair Standard and make a determination of whether a particular area is in good repair. Category C, should be evaluated based off of a sliding scale informed by custodial standards where applicable. Once the determination is made, it should be recorded on the Evaluation Detail, as follows:

OK	No Deficiency - Good Repair: Mark "OK" if all statements in the Good Repair Standard are true, and there is no indication of a deficiency in the specific category.
D	Deficiency: Mark "D" if one or more statement(s) in the Good Repair Standard for the specific category is not true, or if there is other clear evidence of the need for repair.
X	Extreme Deficiency: Indicate "X" if the area has a deficiency that is considered an "Extreme Deficiency" in the Good Repair Standard or there is a condition that qualifies as an extreme deficiency but is not noted in the Good Repair Standard.
NA	Not Applicable: If the Good Repair Standard category (building system or component) does not exist in the area evaluated, mark "NA".

Below are suggested methods for evaluating various systems and areas:

- **Gas and Sewer** are major building systems that may span the entire school campus but may not be evident as applicable building systems in each classroom or common areas. However, because a deficiency in either of these systems could become evident and present a health and safety threat anywhere on campus, the user should not mark "NA" and should instead include an evaluation of these systems in each building space.
- **Roofs** can be easily evaluated for stand alone areas, such as portable classrooms. For permanent buildings containing several areas to be evaluated, roofs should be considered as parts of individual areas in order to accurately account for a scope of any roofing deficiency. For example, a 10 classroom building contains damaged gutters on one side of the building, spanning across five classrooms. Therefore, an evaluator should mark five classrooms as deficient in the roof category and the other five classrooms as in good repair, assuming there are no other visible deficiencies related to roofing.
- **Overall Cleanliness** is intended to be used to evaluate the cleanliness of each space. For example, a user should note a deficiency due to dirty surfaces in Overall Cleanliness, rather than **Interior Surfaces**. At the same time, the user should note such deficiency only in Overall Cleanliness in order to avoid accounting for such deficiency twice, i.e. in two sections.
- The tool is designed to evaluate stand-alone restrooms as separate areas. However, restrooms contained within other spaces, such as a kindergarten classroom or a library, can be evaluated as part of that area under Restrooms. If the area evaluated does not contain a restroom, Restrooms should be marked "NA."
- **Drinking fountains** can exist within individual classrooms or areas, right outside of classrooms or restrooms or other areas, or as stand alone fixtures on playgrounds and sports fields. If a drinking fountain or a set of fountains is located inside a building or immediately outside the area being evaluated, it should be included in the evaluation of that area under Drinking Fountains. If a fountain is located on the school grounds, it should be evaluated as part of that outside space. If there is no drinking fountain in the area evaluated, Drinking Fountains should be marked "NA."
- **Playgrounds/School Grounds**, should be evaluated as separate areas by dividing a campus into sections with defined borders. In this case, several sections of the good repair criteria would not apply to the evaluation, as they do not exist outside of physical building areas, such as **Structural Damage** and **Fire Safety**, for example.

Part III includes the **Category Totals and Ranking**, the **Overall Rating**, and a section for **Comments and Rating Explanation**.

Once the inspector completes the site inspection, he or she must total the number of areas evaluated. The inspector must also count all of the spaces deemed in good repair, deficient, extremely deficient, or not applicable under each of the 15 sections. Next, the evaluator must determine the condition of each section by taking the ratio of the number of areas deemed in good repair to the number of areas being evaluated (after subtracting non-applicable spaces from the total number of areas evaluated). If any of the 15 sections received a rating of extreme deficiency, the ratio (i.e., the percentage of good repair) for that section and the category the section is in should default to zero. The total percent per category (A through H) is determined by the total of all percentages of systems in good repair divided by the number of sections in that category. For example, to determine the total percent for the Structural category, add the percentages for the Structural Damage and Roof sections and divide the result by two.

Next, the overall school site score is determined by computing the average percentage rating of the eight categories (i.e., the total of all percentages divided by eight). Finally, the rater should determine the overall School Rating by applying the Percentage Range in the table provided in Part III to the average percentage calculated and taking into consideration the Rating Description provided in the same table.

*Although the FIT is designed to evaluate each school site within a reasonable range of facility conditions, it is possible that an evaluator may identify critical facility conditions that result in an Overall School Rating that does not reflect the urgency and severity of those deficiencies and/or does not match the rating's Description in Part III. In such instances, the evaluator may reduce the resulting school score by one or more grade categories and describe the reasons for the reduction in the space provided for Comments and Rating Explanation.

When completing Part III of the FIT, the instructor should note the date and time of the inspection as well as weather conditions and any other pertinent inspection information in the specific areas provided and utilize the Comments and Rating Explanation Section if needed.

PART I: GOOD REPAIR STANDARD

(X): If underlined statement is not true, then this is an extreme deficiency (marked as an "X") on the Evaluation Detail resulting in a "poor" rating for the applicable category.

Gas Leaks

Gas systems and pipes appear safe, functional, and free of leaks. Examples include but are not limited to the following:

- a. There is no odor that would indicate a gas leak. (X)
- b. Gas pipes are not broken and appear to be in good working order. (X)
- c. Other

Mechanical Systems

Heating, ventilation, and air conditioning systems (HVAC) as applicable are functional and unobstructed. Examples include but are not limited to the following:

- a. The HVAC system is operable. (X)
- b. The facilities are ventilated (via mechanical or natural ventilation).
- c. The ventilation units are unobstructed and vents and grills are without evidence of excessive dirt or dust.
- d. There appears to be an adequate air supply to all classrooms, work spaces, and facilities (i.e. no strong odor is present, air is not stuffy)
- e. Interior temperatures appear to be maintained within normally accepted ranges.
- f. The ventilation units are not generating any excessive noise or vibrations.
- g. Other

Sewer

Sewer line stoppage is not evident. Examples include but are not limited to the following:

- a. There are no obvious signs of flooding caused by sewer line back-up in the facilities or on the school grounds. (X)
- b. The sanitary system controls odors as designed.
- c. Other

Interior Surfaces (Floors, Ceilings, Walls, and Window Casings)

Interior surfaces appear to be clean, safe, and functional. Examples include but are not limited to the following:

- a. Walls are free of hazards from tears and holes.
- b. Flooring is free of hazards from torn carpeting, missing floor tiles, holes.
- c. Ceiling is free of hazards from missing ceiling tiles and holes.
- d. There is no evidence of water damage (e.g. no condensation, dampness, staining, warping, peeling, mineral deposits, etc.)
- e. Other

Overall Cleanliness

School grounds, buildings, common areas, surfaces and individual rooms appear to have been cleaned regularly. Examples include but are not limited to the following:

- a. Restrooms, drinking fountains, and food preparation or serving areas appear to have been cleaned each day that school is in session.
- b. An area should appear to be clean with minimal dirt, dust, or buildup. Floors and carpets should appear to have been swept or cleaned within the last week. Light fixtures and all bulbs are working properly. Facilities area adequately stocked and odor free. (OK)
- c. An area marked as "Deficiency" would appear to not have been cleaned in the last two weeks and carpet may look dull, matted, or stained. Corners of the room may have a recognizable amount of dirt or grime buildup. Floors do not appear to have been swept or vacuumed in two weeks. Some light fixtures are dirty and fewer than five percent of the bulbs have burned out. Daily trash has not been taken out. (D)
- d. An area marked as having an "Extreme Deficiency" would appear to be dirty, dingy, or scuffed with an evident buildup of dust, dirt, stains, or trash. Floors have not been swept or vacuumed in over two weeks. Light fixtures are dirty and more than five percent of the bulbs have burned out. There is trash overflow and the area being evaluated has a foul odor. (X)
- e. Area(s) evaluated is free of unabated graffiti.
- f. Other

Pest/Vermin Infestation

Pest or vermin infestation are not evident.

- a. There is no evidence of a major pest or vermin infestation. (X)
- b. There are no holes in the walls, floors, or ceilings.
- c. Rodent droppings or insect skins are not evident.
- d. Odor caused by a pest or vermin infestation is not evident.
- e. There are no live rodents observed.
- f. Other

Electrical (Interior and Exterior)

1. There is no evidence that any portion of the school has a power failure. (X)

2. *Electrical systems, components, and equipment appear to be working properly.*

- a. There are no exposed electrical wires. Electrical equipment is properly covered and secured from pupil access. (X)
- b. Outlets, access panels, switch plates, junction boxes and fixtures are properly covered and secured from pupil access.
- c. Other

3. *Lighting appears to be adequate and working properly, including exterior lights.*

- a. Lighting appears to be adequate.
- b. Lighting is not flickering.
- c. There is no unusual hum or noise from the light fixtures.
- d. Other

Restrooms

Restrooms in the vicinity of the area being evaluated appear to be accessible during school hours, clean, functional and in compliance with SB 892 (EC Section 35292.5). The following are examples of compliance with SB 892:

- a. Restrooms are maintained and cleaned regularly.
- b. Restrooms are fully operational.
- c. Restrooms are stocked with toilet paper, soap, and paper towels.
- d. Restrooms are open during school hours.
- e. Other

Sinks/Fountains (Inside and Outside)

Drinking fountains appear to be accessible and functioning as intended.

- a. Drinking fountains are accessible.
- b. Water pressure is adequate.
- c. A leak is not evident.
- d. There is no moss, mold, or excessive staining on the fixtures.
- e. The water is clear and without unusual taste or odor.
- f. Other

Fire Safety

The fire equipment and emergency systems appear to be functioning properly. Examples

- a. The fire sprinklers appear to be in working order (e.g., there are no missing or damaged sprinkler heads). (X)
- b. Emergency alarms appear to be functional. (X)
- c. Emergency exit signs function as designed, exits are unobstructed. (X)
- d. Fire extinguishers are current and placed in all required areas.
- e. Fire alarms pull stations are clearly visible.
- f. Other

Hazardous Materials (Interior and Exterior)

There does not appear to be evidence of hazardous materials that may pose a threat to

- a. Hazardous chemicals, chemical waste, and flammable materials are stored properly (e.g. locked and labeled properly). (X)
- b. Paint is not peeling, chipping, or cracking.
- c. There does not appear to be damaged tiles or other circumstances that may indicate asbestos exposure.
- d. Surfaces (including floors, ceilings, walls, window casings, HVAC grills) appear to be free of mildew, mold odor and visible mold.
- e. Other

Structural Damage

There does not appear to be structural damage that has created or could create hazardous or uninhabitable conditions. Examples include but are not limited to the following:

- a. Severe cracks are not evident. (X)
- b. Ceilings & floors are not sloping or sagging beyond their intended design. (X)
- c. Posts, beams, supports for portable classrooms, ramps, and other structural building members appear to be intact, secure and functional as designed. (X)
- d. There is no visible evidence of severe cracks, dry rot, mold, or damage that undermines the structural components. (X)
- e. Other

Roofs (observed from the ground, inside/outside the building)

Roof systems appear to be functioning properly.

- a. Roofs, gutters, roof drains, and down spouts are free of visible damage.
- b. Roofs, gutters, roof drains, and down spouts are intact.
- c. Other

Playground/School Grounds

The playground equipment and school grounds in the vicinity of the area being evaluated

- a. Significant cracks, trip hazards, holes and deterioration are not found.
- b. Open "S" hooks, protruding bolt ends, and sharp points/edges are not found in the playground equipment.
- c. Seating, tables, and equipment are functional and free of significant cracks.
- d. There are no signs of drainage problems, such as flooded areas, eroded soil, water damage to asphalt, or clogged storm drain inlets.
- e. Other

Windows/Doors/Gates/Fences (Interior and exterior)

Conditions that pose a safety and/or security risk are not evident.

- a. There is no exposed broken glass accessible to pupils and staff. (X)
- b. Exterior doors and gates are functioning and do not pose a security risk. (X)
- c. Windows are intact and free of cracks.
- d. Windows are functional and open, close, and lock as designed, unless there is a valid reason they should not function as designed.
- e. Doors are intact.
- f. Doors are functional and open, close, and lock as designed, unless there is a valid reason they should not function as designed.
- g. Gates and fences appear to be functional.
- h. Gates and fences are intact and free of holes and other conditions that could present a safety hazard to pupils, staff, or others.
- i. Other

ATTACHMENT C

(REV 05/09)

Page 5 of 6

PART II: EVALUATION DETAIL

Date of Inspection: _____

School Name: _____

Building / Area Name	Square Footage	1 GAS LEAKS	2 MECH/HVAC	3 SEWER	4 INTERIOR SURFACES	5 OVERALL CLEANLINESS	6 PEST/VERMIN INFESTATION	7 ELECTRICAL	8 RESTROOM	9 SINKS/ FOUNTAINS	10 FIRE SAFETY	11 HAZARDOUS MATERIALS	12 STRUCTURAL DAMAGE	13 ROOFS	14 PLAYGROUND/ SCHOOL GROUNDS	15 WINDOWS/ DOORS/ GATES/FENCES	
		COMMENTS:															
		COMMENTS:															
		COMMENTS:															
		COMMENTS:															
		COMMENTS:															
		COMMENTS:															
		COMMENTS:															
		COMMENTS:															
		COMMENTS:															

Marks: **OK** = Good Repair; **D** = Deficiency; **X** = Extreme Deficiency; **NA** = Not Applicable
 Use additional Area Lines as necessary.

ATTACHMENT C

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FACILITY INSPECTION TOOL(FIT)
SCHOOL FACILITY CONDITIONS EVALUATION
 (REV 05/09)

STATE ALLOCATION BOARD
 OFFICE OF PUBLIC SCHOOL CONSTRUCTION

Page 6 of 6

SCHOOL DISTRICT/COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION		COUNTY	
SCHOOL SITE		SCHOOL TYPE (GRADE LEVELS)	NUMBER OF CLASSROOMS ON SITE
INSPECTOR'S NAME	INSPECTOR'S TITLE	NAME OF DISTRICT REPRESENTATIVE ACCOMPANYING THE INSPECTOR(S) (IF APPLICABLE)	
TIME OF INSPECTION	NUMBER OF MAINTENANCE STAFF ON SITE	SITE ENROLLMENT	
TOTAL SITE SQUARE FOOTAGE 0.00	WEATHER CONDITION AT TIME OF INSPECTION		

PART III: CATEGORY TOTALS AND RANKING (round all calculations to two decimal places)

TOTAL NUMBER OF AREAS EVALUATED ↓	CATEGORY TOTALS	A. SYSTEMS			B. INTERIOR	C. CLEANLINESS		D. ELECTRICAL	E. RESTROOMS/FOUNTAINS		F. SAFETY		G. STRUCTURAL		H. EXTERNAL	
		GAS LEAKS	MECH/HVAC	SEWER	INTERIOR SURFACES	OVERALL CLEANLINESS	PEST/VERMIN INFESTATION	ELECTRICAL	RESTROOMS	SINKS/ FOUNTAINS	FIRE SAFETY	HAZARDOUS MATERIALS	STRUCTURAL DAMAGE	ROOFS	PLAYGROUND/ SCHOOL GROUNDS	WINDOWS/DOORS/ GATES/FENCES
	Number of "OK"s:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Number of "D"s:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Number of "X"s:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Number of N/As:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Percent of System in Good Repair Number of "OK"s divided by (Total Areas - "NA"s)*		100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	83.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Total Percent per Category (average of above)*		100.00%			100.00%	100.00%		100.00%	100.00%		100.00%		0.00%		100.00%	
Rank (Circle one) GOOD = 90%-100% FAIR = 75%-89.99% POOR = 0%-74.99%		Good			Good	Good		Good	Good		Good		Good		Good	

*Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category and a zero for "Total Percent per Category".

OVERALL RATING:	DETERMINE AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF 8 CATEGORIES ABOVE	100.00%	SCHOOL RATING**	Exemplary
-----------------	--	---------	-----------------	-----------

**For School Rating, apply the Percentage Range below to the average percentage determined above, taking into account the rating Description below.

PERCENTAGE	DESCRIPTION	RATING
99%-100%	The school meets most or all standards of good repair. Deficiencies noted, if any, are not significant and/or impact a very small area of the school.	EXEMPLARY
90%-98.99%	The school is maintained in good repair with a number of non-critical deficiencies noted. These deficiencies are isolated, and/or resulting from minor wear and tear, and/or in the process of being mitigated.	GOOD
75%-89.99%	The school is not in good repair. Some deficiencies noted are critical and/or widespread. Repairs and/or additional maintenance are necessary in several areas of the school site.	FAIR
0%-74.99%	The school facilities are in poor condition. Deficiencies of various degrees have been noted throughout the site. Major repairs and maintenance are necessary throughout the campus.	POOR

COMMENTS AND RATING EXPLANATION: Extreme deficiency rating in one area of the schools roof significantly drops the overall school rating of this test site.

GENERAL INFORMATION

The Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) has been developed by the Office of Public School Construction to determine if a school facility is in "good repair" as defined by Education Code (EC) Section 17002(d)(1) and to rate the facility pursuant to EC Section 17002(d)(2). The tool is designed to identify areas of a school site that are in need of repair based upon a visual inspection of the site. In addition, the EC specifies the tool should not be used to require capital enhancements beyond the standards to which the facility was designed and constructed.

Good repair is defined to mean that the facility is maintained in a manner that ensures that it is clean, safe, and functional. As part of the school accountability report card, school districts and county offices of education are required to make specified assessments of school conditions including the safety, cleanliness, and adequacy of school facilities and needed maintenance to ensure good repair. In addition, beginning with the 2005/2006 fiscal year, school districts and county offices of education must certify that a facility inspection system has been established to ensure that each of its facilities is maintained in good repair in order to participate in the School Facility Program and the Deferred Maintenance Program. This tool is intended to assist school districts and county offices of education in that determination.

County superintendents are required to annually visit the schools in the county of his or her office as determined by EC Section 1240. Further, EC Section 1240(c)(2)(I), states the priority objective of the visits made shall be to determine the status of the condition of a facility that poses an emergency or urgent threat to the health or safety of pupils or staff as defined in district policy, or as defined by EC Section 17592.72(c) and the accuracy of data reported on the school accountability report card with the respect to the safety, cleanliness, and adequacy of school facilities, including good repair as required by EC Sections 17014, 17032.5, 17070.75, and 17089. This tool is also intended to assist county offices of education in performing these functions.

The EC also allows individual entities to adopt a local evaluation instrument to be used in lieu of the FIT provided the local instrument meets the criteria specified in EC Section 17002(d) and as implemented in the FIT. Any evaluation instrument adopted by the local educational agency for purpose of determining whether a school facility is maintained in good repair may include any number of additional items but must minimally include the criteria and rating scheme contained in the FIT.

USER INSTRUCTIONS

The FIT is comprised of three parts as follows:

Part I, Good Repair Standard outlines the school facility systems and components, as specified in EC Section 17002(d)(1), that should be considered in the inspection of a school facility to ensure it is maintained in a manner that assures it is clean, safe and functional. Each of the 15 sections in the Good Repair Standard provides a description of a minimum standard of good repair for various school facility categories. Each section also provides examples of clean, safe and functional conditions. The list of examples is not exhaustive. If an evaluator notes a condition that is not mentioned in the examples but constitutes a deficiency, the evaluator can note such deficiency in the applicable category as "other."

Some of the conditions cited in the Good Repair Standard represent items that are critical to the health and safety of pupils and staff. Any deficiencies in these items require immediate attention and, if left unmitigated, could cause severe and immediate injury, illness or death of the occupants. They constitute extreme deficiencies and indicate that the particular building system evaluated failed to meet the standard of good repair at that school site. These critical conditions are identified with underlined text followed by an (X) on the Good Repair Standard. If the underlined statement is not true, then there is an extreme deficiency (to be marked as an "X" on the Evaluation Detail) resulting in a "poor" rating for the applicable category. It is important to note that the list of extreme deficiencies noted in the Good Repair Standard is not exhaustive. Any other deficiency not included in the criteria but meeting the definition above can be noted by the evaluator and generate a poor rating.

Part II, Evaluation Detail is a site inspection template to be used to evaluate the areas of a school on a category by category basis. The design of the inspection template allows for the determination of the scope of conditions across campus. In evaluating each area or space, the user should review each of the 15 categories identified in the Good Repair Standard and make a determination of whether a particular area is in good repair. Category C. should be evaluated based off of a sliding scale informed by cutodial standards where applicable. Once the determination is made, it should be recorded on the Evaluation Detail, as follows:

OK	No Deficiency - Good Repair: Mark "OK" if all statements in the Good Repair Standard are true, and there is no indication of a deficiency in the specific category.
D	Deficiency: Mark "D" if one or more statement(s) in the Good Repair Standard for the specific category is not true, or if there is other clear evidence of the need for repair.
X	Extreme Deficiency: Indicate "X" if the area has a deficiency that is considered an "Extreme Deficiency" in the Good Repair Standard or there is a condition that qualifies as an extreme deficiency but is not noted in the Good Repair Standard.
NA	Not Applicable: If the Good Repair Standard category (building system or component) does not exist in the area evaluated, mark "NA".

Below are suggested methods for evaluating various systems and areas:

- **Gas and Sewer** are major building systems that may span the entire school campus but may not be evident as applicable building systems in each classroom or common areas. However, because a deficiency in either of these systems could become evident and present a health and safety threat anywhere on campus, the user should not mark "NA" and should instead include an evaluation of these systems in each building space.
- **Roofs** can be easily evaluated for stand alone areas, such as portable classrooms. For permanent buildings containing several areas to be evaluated, roofs should be considered as parts of individual areas in order to accurately account for a scope of any roofing deficiency. For example, a 10 classroom building contains damaged gutters on one side of the building, spanning across five classrooms. Therefore, an evaluator should mark five classrooms as deficient in the roof category and the other five classrooms as in good repair, assuming there are no other visible deficiencies related to roofing.
- **Overall Cleanliness** is intended to be used to evaluate the cleanliness of each space. For example, a user should note a deficiency due to dirty surfaces in Overall Cleanliness, rather than **Interior Surfaces**. At the same time, the user should note such deficiency only in Overall Cleanliness in order to avoid accounting for such deficiency twice, i.e. in two sections.
- The tool is designed to evaluate stand-alone restrooms as separate areas. However, restrooms contained within other spaces, such as a kindergarten classroom or a library, can be evaluated as part of that area under Restrooms. If the area evaluated does not contain a restroom, Restrooms should be marked "NA."
- **Drinking fountains** can exist within individual classrooms or areas, right outside of classrooms or restrooms or other areas, or as stand alone fixtures on playgrounds and sports fields. If a drinking fountain or a set of fountains is located inside a building or immediately outside the area being evaluated, it should be included in the evaluation of that area under Drinking Fountains. If a fountain is located on the school grounds, it should be evaluated as part of that outside space. If there is no drinking fountain in the area evaluated, Drinking Fountains should be marked "NA."
- **Playgrounds/School Grounds**, should be evaluated as separate areas by dividing a campus into sections with defined borders. In this case, several sections of the good repair criteria would not apply to the evaluation, as they do not exist outside of physical building areas, such as **Structural Damage** and **Fire Safety**, for example.

Part III includes the **Category Totals and Ranking**, the **Overall Rating**, and a section for **Comments and Rating Explanation**.

Once the inspector completes the site inspection, he or she must total the number of areas evaluated. The inspector must also count all of the spaces deemed in good repair, deficient, extremely deficient, or not applicable under each of the 15 sections. Next, the evaluator must determine the condition of each section by taking the ratio of the number of areas deemed in good repair to the number of areas being evaluated (after subtracting non-applicable spaces from the total number of areas evaluated). If any of the 15 sections received a rating of extreme deficiency, the ratio (i.e., the percentage of good repair) for that section and the category the section is in should default to zero. The total percent per category (A through H) is determined by the total of all percentages of systems in good repair divided by the number of sections in that category. For example, to determine the total percent for the Structural category, add the percentages for the Structural Damage and Roof sections and divide the result by two.

Next, the overall school site score is determined by computing the average percentage rating of the eight categories (i.e., the total of all percentages divided by eight). Finally, the rater should determine the overall School Rating by applying the Percentage Range in the table provided in Part III to the average percentage calculated and taking into consideration the Rating Description provided in the same table.

*Although the FIT is designed to evaluate each school site within a reasonable range of facility conditions, it is possible that an evaluator may identify critical facility conditions that result in an Overall School Rating that does not reflect the urgency and severity of those deficiencies and/or does not match the rating's Description in Part III. In such instances, the evaluator may reduce the resulting school score by one or more grade categories and describe the reasons for the reduction in the space provided for Comments and Rating Explanation.

When completing Part III of the FIT, the instructor should note the date and time of the inspection as well as weather conditions and any other pertinent inspection information in the specific areas provided and utilize the Comments and Rating Explanation Section if needed.

PART I: GOOD REPAIR STANDARD

(X): If underlined statement is not true, then this is an extreme deficiency (marked as an "X") on the Evaluation Detail resulting in a "poor" rating for the applicable category.

Gas Leaks

Gas systems and pipes appear safe, functional, and free of leaks.

Examples include but are not limited to the following:

- a. There is no odor that would indicate a gas leak. (X)
- b. Gas pipes are not broken and appear to be in good working order. (X)
- c. Other

Mechanical Systems

Heating, ventilation, and air conditioning systems (HVAC) as applicable are functional and unobstructed. Examples include but are not limited to the following:

- a. The HVAC system is operable. (X)
- b. The facilities are ventilated (via mechanical or natural ventilation).
- c. The ventilation units are unobstructed and vents and grills are without evidence of excessive dirt or dust.
- d. There appears to be an adequate air supply to all classrooms, work spaces, and facilities (i.e. no strong odor is present, air is not stuffy)
- e. Interior temperatures appear to be maintained within normally accepted ranges.
- f. The ventilation units are not generating any excessive noise or vibrations.
- g. Other

Sewer

Sewer line stoppage is not evident. Examples include but are not limited to the following:

- a. There are no obvious signs of flooding caused by sewer line back-up in the facilities or on the school grounds. (X)
- b. The sanitary system controls odors as designed.
- c. Other

Interior Surfaces (Floors, Ceilings, Walls, and Window Casings)

Interior surfaces appear to be clean, safe, and functional. Examples include but are not limited to the following:

- a. Walls are free of hazards from tears and holes.
- b. Flooring is free of hazards from torn carpeting, missing floor tiles, holes.
- c. Ceiling is free of hazards from missing ceiling tiles and holes.
- d. There is no evidence of water damage (e.g. no condensation, dampness, staining, warping, peeling, mineral deposits, etc.)
- e. Other

Overall Cleanliness

School grounds, buildings, common areas, surfaces, high touch areas, exterior grounds, and individual rooms appear to have been cleaned regularly. Examples include but are

- a. Restrooms, drinking fountains, and food preparation or serving areas appear to have been cleaned each day that school is in session.
- b. An area should appear to be clean with minimal dirt, dust, or buildup. Floors and carpets should appear to have been swept or cleaned within the last week. Light fixtures and all bulbs are working properly. Facilities area adequately stocked and odor free. (OK)
- c. An area marked as "Deficiency" would appear to not have been cleaned in the last two weeks and carpet may look dull, matted, or stained. Corners of the room may have a recognizable amount of dirt or grime buildup. Floors do not appear to have been swept or vacuumed in two weeks. Some light fixtures are dirty and fewer than five percent of the bulbs have burned out. Daily trash has not been taken out. (D)
- d. An area marked as having an "Extreme Deficiency" would appear to be dirty, dingy, or scuffed with an evident buildup of dust, dirt, stains, or trash. Floors have not been swept or vacuumed in over two weeks. Light fixtures are dirty and more than five percent of the bulbs have burned out. There is trash overflow and the area being evaluated has a foul odor. (X)
- e. Area(s) evaluated is free of unabated graffiti.
- f. Other

Pest/Vermin Infestation

Pest or vermin infestation are not evident.

- a. There is no evidence of a major pest or vermin infestation. (X)
- b. There are no holes in the walls, floors, or ceilings.
- c. Rodent droppings or insect skins are not evident.
- d. Odor caused by a pest or vermin infestation is not evident.
- e. There are no live rodents observed.
- f. Other

Electrical (Interior and Exterior)

1. There is no evidence that any portion of the school has a power failure. (X)

2. *Electrical systems, components, and equipment appear to be working properly. Examples*

- a. There are no exposed electrical wires. Electrical equipment is properly covered and secured from pupil access. (X)
- b. Outlets, access panels, switch plates, junction boxes and fixtures are properly covered and secured from pupil access.
- c. Other

3. *Lighting appears to be adequate and working properly, including exterior lights. Examples*

- a. Lighting appears to be adequate.
- b. Lighting is not flickering.
- c. There is no unusual hum or noise from the light fixtures.
- d. Other

Restrooms

Restrooms in the vicinity of the area being evaluated appear to be accessible during school hours, clean, functional and in compliance with SB 892 (EC Section 35292.5). The following are examples of compliance with SB 892:

a. Restrooms are maintained and cleaned regularly (suggest this be changed to DAILY).

- b. Restrooms are fully operational.
- c. Restrooms are stocked with toilet paper, soap, and paper towels.
- d. Restrooms are open during school hours.
- e. Other

Sinks/Fountains (Inside and Outside)

Drinking fountains appear to be accessible and functioning as intended.

- a. Drinking fountains are accessible.
- b. Water pressure is adequate.
- c. A leak is not evident.
- d. There is no moss, mold, or excessive staining on the fixtures.
- e. The water is clear and without unusual taste or odor.
- f. Other

Fire Safety

The fire equipment and emergency systems appear to be functioning properly. Examples

- a. The fire sprinklers appear to be in working order (e.g., there are no missing or damaged sprinkler heads). (X)
- b. Emergency alarms appear to be functional. (X)
- c. Emergency exit signs function as designed, exits are unobstructed. (X)
- d. Fire extinguishers are current and placed in all required areas.
- e. Fire alarms pull stations are clearly visible.
- f. Other

Hazardous Materials (Interior and Exterior)

There does not appear to be evidence of hazardous materials that may pose a threat to

- a. Hazardous chemicals, chemical waste, and flammable materials are stored properly (e.g. locked and labeled properly). (X)
- b. Paint is not peeling, chipping, or cracking.
- c. There does not appear to be damaged tiles or other circumstances that may indicate asbestos exposure.
- d. Surfaces (including floors, ceilings, walls, window casings, HVAC grills) appear to be free of mildew, mold odor and visible mold.
- e. Other

Structural Damage

There does not appear to be structural damage that has created or could create hazardous or uninhabitable conditions. Examples include but are not limited to the following:

- a. Severe cracks are not evident. (X)
- b. Ceilings & floors are not sloping or sagging beyond their intended design. (X)
- c. Posts, beams, supports for portable classrooms, ramps, and other structural building members appear to be intact, secure and functional as designed. (X)
- d. There is no visible evidence of severe cracks, dry rot, mold, or damage that undermines the structural components. (X)
- e. Other

Roofs (observed from the ground, inside/outside the building)

Roof systems appear to be functioning properly.

- a. Roofs, gutters, roof drains, and down spouts are free of visible damage.
- b. Roofs, gutters, roof drains, and down spouts are intact.
- c. Other

Playground/School Grounds

The playground equipment and school grounds in the vicinity of the area being evaluated

- a. Significant cracks, trip hazards, holes and deterioration are not found.
- b. Open "S" hooks, protruding bolt ends, and sharp points/edges are not found in the playground equipment.
- c. Seating, tables, and equipment are functional and free of significant cracks.
- d. There are no signs of drainage problems, such as flooded areas, eroded soil, water damage to asphalt, or clogged storm drain inlets.
- e. Other

Windows/Doors/Gates/Fences (Interior and exterior)

Conditions that pose a safety and/or security risk are not evident.

- a. There is no exposed broken glass accessible to pupils and staff. (X)
- b. Exterior doors and gates are functioning and do not pose a security risk. (X)
- c. Windows are intact and free of cracks.
- d. Windows are functional and open, close, and lock as designed, unless there is a valid reason they should not function as designed.
- e. Doors are intact.
- f. Doors are functional and open, close, and lock as designed, unless there is a valid reason they should not function as designed.
- g. Gates and fences appear to be functional.
- h. Gates and fences are intact and free of holes and other conditions that could present a safety hazard to pupils, staff, or others.
- i. Other

(REV 05/09)

Page 5 of 6

PART II: MAINTENANCE EVALUATION DETAILDate of Inspection: 08/30/20School Name: Lincoln Elementary

Building / Area Name	Square Footage	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
		GAS LEAKS	MECH/HVAC	SEWER	INTERIOR SURFACES	OVERALL CLEANLINESS	PEST/VERMIN INFESTATION	ELECTRICAL	RESTROOM	SINKS/ FOUNTAINS	FIRE SAFETY	HAZARDOUS MATERIALS	STRUCTURAL DAMAGE	ROOFS	PLAYGROUND/ SCHOOL GROUNDS	WINDOWS/ DOORS/ GATES/FENCES
Wing A	8,640.00	OK	D	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
		COMMENTS:														
Wing B	5,760.00	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
		COMMENTS:														
MP	8,000.00	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	D	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	OK
		COMMENTS:														
Library	1,200.00	OK	D	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	X	NA	OK
		COMMENTS:														
Administration	1,000.00	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	OK
		COMMENTS:														
Cafeteria	2,500.00	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	OK
		COMMENTS:														
		COMMENTS:														
		COMMENTS:														
		COMMENTS:														
		COMMENTS:														
		COMMENTS:														

Marks: **OK** = Good Repair; **D** = Deficiency; **X** = Extreme Deficiency; **NA** = Not Applicable

Use additional Area Lines as necessary.

ATTACHMENT D1

Note - Overall Cleanliness would be removed from sheet II and moved here.

Building Area Name	Square Footage	Floors Swept / Vacuumed / Mopped	Floors Free of Spots, Stains, and Build Up	Walls/Doors Free of Spots & Grime, Esp High Touch Areas	Desks / Counters Cleaned / Disinfected	Furniture / Boards / Baseboards / Windowsills and Other Horizontal Surfaces Dusted and Clean	Light Fixtures Working and Clean	Classroom Sinks Clean	Trash Cans Empty and Clean	Floors/Furniture, etc. Free of Gum
Food Service: Kitchen										
Food Service: Dining Room										
Food Service: Exterior Dining Areas										
Rest Room 1										
Rest Room 2, etc										
Classroom 1										
Classroom 2, etc										
Hallways/Stairs										
Auditorium										
Library										
Gymnasium										
Showers										
Locker Rooms										
Grounds										
Offices										
Custodial Rooms										
Other										

Building Area Name	Water Fountains and Handles/Buttons Clean	Urinals/Toilets/Sinks Clean/Disinfected	Bathroom/Locker Room Mirrors and Hand Dryers Clean	Bathroom/ Locker Room Soap, Toilet Paper, and Paper Towels Stocked	Facilities Graffiti-Free	Grounds Free of Trash	Grounds/Plants Mowed/Trimmed	Custodial Rooms Clean/Organized	Custodial Equipment in Good Repair
Food Service: Kitchen									
Food Service: Dining Room									
Food Service: Exterior Dining Areas									
Rest Room 1									
Rest Room 2, etc									
Classroom 1									
Classroom 2, etc									
Hallways/Stairs									
Auditorium									
Library									
Gymnasium									
Showers									
Locker Rooms									
Grounds									
Offices									
Custodial Rooms									
Other									

FACILITY INSPECTION TOOL(FIT)
SCHOOL FACILITY CONDITIONS EVALUATION
 (REV 05/09)

SCHOOL DISTRICT/COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION		COUNTY	
SCHOOL SITE		SCHOOL TYPE (GRADE LEVELS)	NUMBER OF CLASSROOMS ON SITE & NUMBER OF RESTROOMS ON SITE
INSPECTOR'S NAME	INSPECTOR'S TITLE	NAME OF DISTRICT REPRESENTATIVE ACCOMPANYING THE INSPECTOR(S) (IF APPLICABLE)	
TIME OF INSPECTION	NUMBER OF MAINTENANCE STAFF ON SITE & AVERAGE NUMBER OF CUSTODIAL STAFF ON SITE DAILY	SITE ENROLLMENT	
TOTAL SITE (INCLUDING GROUNDS) SQUARE FOOTAGE & TOTAL BUILDING SQUARE FOOTAGE 27,100.00		WEATHER CONDITION AT TIME OF INSPECTION	

PART III: CATEGORY TOTALS AND RANKING (round all calculations to two decimal places)

TOTAL NUMBER OF AREAS EVALUATED ↓	CATEGORY TOTALS	A. SYSTEMS			B. INTERIOR	C. CLEANLINESS		D. ELECTRICAL	E. RESTROOMS/FOUNTAINS		F. SAFETY		G. STRUCTURAL		H. EXTERNAL	
		GAS LEAKS	MECH/HVAC	SEWER	INTERIOR SURFACES	OVERALL CLEANLINESS	PEST/VERMIN INFESTATION	ELECTRICAL	RESTROOMS	SINKS/ FOUNTAINS	FIRE SAFETY	HAZARDOUS MATERIALS	STRUCTURAL DAMAGE	ROOFS	PLAYGROUND/ SCHOOL GROUNDS	WINDOWS/DOORS/ GATES/FENCES
		6	4	6	6	6	6	5	6	6	6	6	6	5	3	6
		0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	Number of "X"s:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0
	Number of N/As:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0
Percent of System in Good Repair Number of "OK"s divided by (Total Areas - "NA"s)*		100.00%	66.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	83.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	83.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Total Percent per Category (average of above)*		87.00%			100.00%	100.00%		83.00%	100.00%		100.00%		0.00%		100.00%	
Rank (Circle one) GOOD = 90%-100% FAIR = 75%-89.99% POOR = 0%-74.99%		Fair			Good	Good		Fair	GOOD		Good		Poor		Good	

*Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category and a zero for "Total Percent per Category".

OVERALL RATING:

DETERMINE AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF 8 CATEGORIES ABOVE	→	84.00%	SCHOOL RATING**	→	Fair
--	---	--------	-----------------	---	------

**For School Rating, apply the Percentage Range below to the average percentage determined above, taking into account the rating Description below.

PERCENTAGE	DESCRIPTION	RATING
99%-100%	The school meets most or all standards of good repair. Deficiencies noted, if any, are not significant and/or impact a very small area of the school.	EXEMPLARY
90%-98.99%	The school is maintained in good repair with a number of non-critical deficiencies noted. These deficiencies are isolated, and/or resulting from minor wear and tear, and/or in the process of being mitigated.	GOOD
75%-89.99%	The school is not in good repair. Some deficiencies noted are critical and/or widespread. Repairs and/or additional maintenance are necessary in several areas of the school site.	FAIR
0%-74.99%	The school facilities are in poor condition. Deficiencies of various degrees have been noted throughout the site. Major repairs and maintenance are necessary throughout the campus.	POOR

COMMENTS AND RATING EXPLANATION:

Extreme deficiency rating in one area of the schools roof significantly drops the overall school rating of this test site.

GENERAL INFORMATION

The Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) has been developed by the Office of Public School Construction to determine if a school facility is in “good repair” as defined by Education Code (EC) Section 17002(d)(1) and to rate the facility pursuant to EC Section 17002(d)(2). The tool is designed to identify areas of a school site that are in need of repair based upon a visual inspection of the site. In addition, the EC specifies the tool should not be used to require capital enhancements beyond the standards to which the facility was designed and constructed.

Good repair is defined to mean that the facility is maintained in a manner that ensures that it is clean, safe, and functional. As part of the school accountability report card, school districts and county offices of education are required to make specified assessments of school conditions including the safety, cleanliness, and adequacy of school facilities and needed maintenance to ensure good repair. In addition, beginning with the 2005/2006 fiscal year, school districts and county offices of education must certify that a facility inspection system has been established to ensure that each of its facilities is maintained in good repair in order to participate in the School Facility Program and the Deferred Maintenance Program. This tool is intended to assist school districts and county offices of education in that determination.

County superintendents are required to annually visit the schools in the county of his or her office as determined by EC Section 1240. Further, EC Section 1240(c)(2)(I), states the priority objective of the visits made shall be to determine the status of the condition of a facility that poses an emergency or urgent threat to the health or safety of pupils or staff as defined in district policy, or as defined by EC Section 17592.72(c) and the accuracy of data reported on the school accountability report card with the respect to the safety, cleanliness, and adequacy of school facilities, including good repair as required by EC Sections 17014, 17032.5, 17070.75, and 17089. This tool is also intended to assist county offices of education in performing these functions.

The EC also allows individual entities to adopt a local evaluation instrument to be used in lieu of the FIT provided the local instrument meets the criteria specified in EC Section 17002(d) and as implemented in the FIT. Any evaluation instrument adopted by the local educational agency for purpose of determining whether a school facility is maintained in good repair may include any number of additional items but must minimally include the criteria and rating scheme contained in the FIT.

USER INSTRUCTIONS

The FIT is comprised of three parts as follows:

Part I, Good Repair Standard outlines the school facility systems and components, as specified in EC Section 17002(d)(1), that should be considered in the inspection of a school facility to ensure it is maintained in a manner that assures it is clean, safe and functional. Each of the 15 sections in the Good Repair Standard provides a description of a minimum standard of good repair for various school facility categories. Each section also provides examples of clean, safe and functional conditions. The list of examples is not exhaustive. If an evaluator notes a condition that is not mentioned in the examples but constitutes a deficiency, the evaluator can note such deficiency in the applicable category as “other.”

Some of the conditions cited in the Good Repair Standard represent items that are critical to the health and safety of pupils and staff. Any deficiencies in these items require immediate attention and, if left unmitigated, could cause severe and immediate injury, illness or death of the occupants. They constitute extreme deficiencies and indicate that the particular building system evaluated failed to meet the standard of good repair at that school site. These critical conditions are identified with underlined text followed by an (X) on the Good Repair Standard. If the underlined statement is not true, then there is an extreme deficiency (to be marked as an “X” on the Evaluation Detail) resulting in a “poor” rating for the applicable category. It is important to note that the list of extreme deficiencies noted in the Good Repair Standard is not exhaustive. Any other deficiency not included in the criteria but meeting the definition above can be noted by the evaluator and generate a poor rating.

Part II, Evaluation Detail is a site inspection template to be used to evaluate the areas of a school on a category by category basis. The design of the inspection template allows for the determination of the scope of conditions across campus. In evaluating each area or space, the user should review each of the 15 categories identified in the Good Repair Standard and make a determination of whether a particular area is in good repair. Category C. should be evaluated based off of a sliding scale informed by cutodial standards where applicable. Once the determination is made, it should be recorded on the Evaluation Detail, as follows:

OK	No Deficiency - Good Repair: Mark “OK” if all statements in the Good Repair Standard are true, and there is no indication of a deficiency in the specific category.
D	Deficiency: Mark “D” if one or more statement(s) in the Good Repair Standard for the specific category is not true, or if there is other clear evidence of the need for repair.
X	Extreme Deficiency: Indicate “X” if the area has a deficiency that is considered an “Extreme Deficiency” in the Good Repair Standard or there is a condition that qualifies as an extreme deficiency but is not noted in the Good Repair Standard.
NA	Not Applicable: If the Good Repair Standard category (building system or component) does not exist in the area evaluated, mark “NA”.

Below are suggested methods for evaluating various systems and areas:

- **Gas and Sewer** are major building systems that may span the entire school campus but may not be evident as applicable building systems in each classroom or common areas. However, because a deficiency in either of these systems could become evident and present a health and safety threat anywhere on campus, the user should not mark "NA" and should instead include an evaluation of these systems in each building space.
- **Roofs** can be easily evaluated for stand alone areas, such as portable classrooms. For permanent buildings containing several areas to be evaluated, roofs should be considered as parts of individual areas in order to accurately account for a scope of any roofing deficiency. For example, a 10 classroom building contains damaged gutters on one side of the building, spanning across five classrooms. Therefore, an evaluator should mark five classrooms as deficient in the roof category and the other five classrooms as in good repair, assuming there are no other visible deficiencies related to roofing.
- **Overall Cleanliness** is intended to be used to evaluate the cleanliness of each space. For example, a user should note a deficiency due to dirty surfaces in Overall Cleanliness, rather than **Interior Surfaces**. At the same time, the user should note such deficiency only in Overall Cleanliness in order to avoid accounting for such deficiency twice, i.e. in two sections.
- The tool is designed to evaluate stand-alone restrooms as separate areas. However, restrooms contained within other spaces, such as a kindergarten classroom or a library, can be evaluated as part of that area under Restrooms. If the area evaluated does not contain a restroom, Restrooms should be marked "NA."
- **Drinking fountains** can exist within individual classrooms or areas, right outside of classrooms or restrooms or other areas, or as stand alone fixtures on playgrounds and sports fields. If a drinking fountain or a set of fountains is located inside a building or immediately outside the area being evaluated, it should be included in the evaluation of that area under Drinking Fountains. If a fountain is located on the school grounds, it should be evaluated as part of that outside space. If there is no drinking fountain in the area evaluated, Drinking Fountains should be marked "NA."
- **Playgrounds/School Grounds**, should be evaluated as separate areas by dividing a campus into sections with defined borders. In this case, several sections of the good repair criteria would not apply to the evaluation, as they do not exist outside of physical building areas, such as **Structural Damage** and **Fire Safety**, for example.

Part III includes the **Category Totals and Ranking**, the **Overall Rating**, and a section for **Comments and Rating Explanation**.

Once the inspector completes the site inspection, he or she must total the number of areas evaluated. The inspector must also count all of the spaces deemed in good repair, deficient, extremely deficient, or not applicable under each of the 15 sections. Next, the evaluator must determine the condition of each section by taking the ratio of the number of areas deemed in good repair to the number of areas being evaluated (after subtracting non-applicable spaces from the total number of areas evaluated). If any of the 15 sections received a rating of extreme deficiency, the ratio (i.e., the percentage of good repair) for that section and the category the section is in should default to zero. The total percent per category (A through H) is determined by the total of all percentages of systems in good repair divided by the number of sections in that category. For example, to determine the total percent for the Structural category, add the percentages for the Structural Damage and Roof sections and divide the result by two.

Next, the overall school site score is determined by computing the average percentage rating of the eight categories (i.e., the total of all percentages divided by eight). Finally, the rater should determine the overall School Rating by applying the Percentage Range in the table provided in Part III to the average percentage calculated and taking into consideration the Rating Description provided in the same table.

*Although the FIT is designed to evaluate each school site within a reasonable range of facility conditions, it is possible that an evaluator may identify critical facility conditions that result in an Overall School Rating that does not reflect the urgency and severity of those deficiencies and/or does not match the rating's Description in Part III. In such instances, the evaluator may reduce the resulting school score by one or more grade categories and describe the reasons for the reduction in the space provided for Comments and Rating Explanation.

When completing Part III of the FIT, the instructor should note the date and time of the inspection as well as weather conditions and any other pertinent inspection information in the specific areas provided and utilize the Comments and Rating Explanation Section if needed.

PART I: GOOD REPAIR STANDARD

(X): If underlined statement is not true, then this is an extreme deficiency (marked as an "X") on the Evaluation Detail resulting in a "poor" rating for the applicable category.

Gas Leaks

Gas systems and pipes appear safe, functional, and free of leaks. Examples include but are not limited to the following:

- a. There is no odor that would indicate a gas leak. (X)
- b. Gas pipes are not broken and appear to be in good working order. (X)
- c. Other

Mechanical Systems

Heating, ventilation, and air conditioning systems (HVAC) as applicable are functional and unobstructed. Examples include but are not limited to the following:

- a. The HVAC system is operable. (X)
- b. The facilities are ventilated (via mechanical or natural ventilation).
- c. The ventilation units are unobstructed and vents and grills are without evidence of excessive dirt or dust.
- d. There appears to be an adequate air supply to all classrooms, work spaces, and facilities (i.e. no strong odor is present, air is not stuffy)
- e. Interior temperatures appear to be maintained within normally accepted ranges.
- f. The ventilation units are not generating any excessive noise or vibrations.
- g. Other

Sewer

Sewer line stoppage is not evident. Examples include but are not limited to the following:

- a. There are no obvious signs of flooding caused by sewer line back-up in the facilities or on the school grounds. (X)
- b. The sanitary system controls odors as designed.
- c. Other

Interior Surfaces (Floors, Ceilings, Walls, and Window Casings)

Interior surfaces appear to be clean, safe, and functional. Examples include but are not limited to the following:

- a. Walls are free of hazards from tears and holes.
- b. Flooring is free of hazards from torn carpeting, missing floor tiles, holes.
- c. Ceiling is free of hazards from missing ceiling tiles and holes.
- d. There is no evidence of water damage (e.g. no condensation, dampness, staining, warping, peeling, mineral deposits, etc.)
- e. Other

Overall Cleanliness

School grounds, buildings, common areas, surfaces, high touch areas, exterior grounds, and individual rooms appear to have been cleaned regularly.

See Tab IIa "Cleanliness Detail" for instructions on how to record marks for Overall Cleanliness. Each Building Area will be evaluated on it's Overall Cleanliness by the number of Cleanliness Details have been completed at the time of inspection. Scoring will correspond to a mark of "OK", "D", or "X".

Pest/Vermin Infestation

Pest or vermin infestation are not evident.

- a. There is no evidence of a major pest or vermin infestation. (X)
- b. There are no holes in the walls, floors, or ceilings.
- c. Rodent droppings or insect skins are not evident.
- d. Odor caused by a pest or vermin infestation is not evident.
- e. There are no live rodents observed.
- f. Other

Electrical (Interior and Exterior)

- 1. There is no evidence that any portion of the school has a power failure. (X)

- 2. *Electrical systems, components, and equipment appear to be working properly. Examples*

- a. There are no exposed electrical wires. Electrical equipment is properly covered and secured from pupil access. (X)
- b. Outlets, access panels, switch plates, junction boxes and fixtures are properly covered and secured from pupil access.
- c. Other

- 3. *Lighting appears to be adequate and working properly, including exterior lights.*

- a. Lighting appears to be adequate.
- b. Lighting is not flickering.
- c. There is no unusual hum or noise from the light fixtures.
- d. Other

Restrooms

Restrooms in the vicinity of the area being evaluated appear to be accessible during school hours, clean, functional and in compliance with SB 892 (EC Section 35292.5). The following are examples of compliance with SB 892:

- a. Restrooms are maintained and cleaned regularly (suggest this be changed to DAILY).
- b. Restrooms are fully operational.
- c. Restrooms are stocked with toilet paper, soap, and paper towels.
- d. Restrooms are open during school hours.
- e. Other

Sinks/Fountains (Inside and Outside)

Drinking fountains appear to be accessible and functioning as intended.

- a. Drinking fountains are accessible.
- b. Water pressure is adequate.
- c. A leak is not evident.
- d. There is no moss, mold, or excessive staining on the fixtures.
- e. The water is clear and without unusual taste or odor.
- f. Other

Fire Safety

The fire equipment and emergency systems appear to be functioning properly. Examples

- a. The fire sprinklers appear to be in working order (e.g., there are no missing or damaged sprinkler heads). (X)
- b. Emergency alarms appear to be functional. (X)
- c. Emergency exit signs function as designed, exits are unobstructed. (X)
- d. Fire extinguishers are current and placed in all required areas.
- e. Fire alarms pull stations are clearly visible.
- f. Other

Hazardous Materials (Interior and Exterior)

There does not appear to be evidence of hazardous materials that may pose a threat to

- a. Hazardous chemicals, chemical waste, and flammable materials are stored properly (e.g. locked and labeled properly). (X)
- b. Paint is not peeling, chipping, or cracking.
- c. There does not appear to be damaged tiles or other circumstances that may indicate asbestos exposure.
- d. Surfaces (including floors, ceilings, walls, window casings, HVAC grills) appear to be free of mildew, mold odor and visible mold.
- e. Other

Structural Damage

There does not appear to be structural damage that has created or could create hazardous or uninhabitable conditions. Examples include but are not limited to the following:

- a. Severe cracks are not evident. (X)
- b. Ceilings & floors are not sloping or sagging beyond their intended design. (X)
- c. Posts, beams, supports for portable classrooms, ramps, and other structural building members appear to be intact, secure and functional as designed. (X)
- d. There is no visible evidence of severe cracks, dry rot, mold, or damage that undermines the structural components. (X)
- e. Other

Roofs (observed from the ground, inside/outside the building)

Roof systems appear to be functioning properly.

- a. Roofs, gutters, roof drains, and down spouts are free of visible damage.
- b. Roofs, gutters, roof drains, and down spouts are intact.
- c. Other

Playground/School Grounds

The playground equipment and school grounds in the vicinity of the area being evaluated

- a. Significant cracks, trip hazards, holes and deterioration are not found.
- b. Open "S" hooks, protruding bolt ends, and sharp points/edges are not found in the playground equipment.
- c. Seating, tables, and equipment are functional and free of significant cracks.
- d. There are no signs of drainage problems, such as flooded areas, eroded soil, water damage to asphalt, or clogged storm drain inlets.
- e. Other

Windows/Doors/Gates/Fences (Interior and exterior)

Conditions that pose a safety and/or security risk are not evident.

- a. There is no exposed broken glass accessible to pupils and staff. (X)
- b. Exterior doors and gates are functioning and do not pose a security risk. (X)
- c. Windows are intact and free of cracks.
- d. Windows are functional and open, close, and lock as designed, unless there is a valid reason they should not function as designed.
- e. Doors are intact.
- f. Doors are functional and open, close, and lock as designed, unless there is a valid reason they should not function as designed.
- g. Gates and fences appear to be functional.
- h. Gates and fences are intact and free of holes and other conditions that could present a safety hazard to pupils, staff, or others.
- i. Other

(REV 05/09)

Page 5 of 6

PART II: MAINTENANCE EVALUATION DETAILDate of Inspection: 08/30/20School Name: Lincoln Elementary

Building Area Name	Square Footage	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
		GAS LEAKS	MECH/HVAC	SEWER	INTERIOR SURFACES	OVERALL CLEANLINESS	PEST/VERMIN INFESTATION	ELECTRICAL	RESTROOM	SINKS/ FOUNTAINS	FIRE SAFETY	HAZARDOUS MATERIALS	STRUCTURAL DAMAGE	ROOFS	PLAYGROUND/ SCHOOL GROUNDS	WINDOWS/ DOORS/ GATES/FENCES
Wing A	8,640.00	OK	D	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
		COMMENTS:														
Wing B	5,760.00	OK	OK	OK	OK	D	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
		COMMENTS:														
MP	8,000.00	OK	OK	OK	OK	X	OK	D	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	OK
		COMMENTS:														
Library	1,200.00	OK	D	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	X	NA	OK
		COMMENTS:														
Administration	1,000.00	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	OK
		COMMENTS:														
Cafeteria	2,500.00	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	OK
		COMMENTS:														
North Playground	N/A	NA	NA	NA	NA	OK	OK	NA	NA	OK	NA	OK	NA	NA	OK	NA
		COMMENTS:														
		COMMENTS:														
		COMMENTS:														
		COMMENTS:														
		COMMENTS:														

Marks: **OK** = Good Repair; **D** = Deficiency; **X** = Extreme Deficiency; **NA** = Not Applicable

Use additional Area Lines as necessary.

ATTACHMENT D2

For each Building Area Name indicate if the Cleanliness Detail has been completed by entering "Yes" or "No" in each column, enter "N/A" if Cleanliness Detail does not apply. Building areas should correspond to the listing on Tab II "MAINTENANCE Evaluation".

Scoring:

Any Cleanliness Detail marked N/A will not be scored.

Mark "OK" on Tab II, Column 5 for each building area, if 0-2 Cleanliness Details are marked "No" for a given Building Area.

Mark "D" on Tab II, Column 5 for each building area, if 3-5 Cleanliness Details are marked "No" for a given Building Area.

Mark "X" on Tab II, Column 5 for each building area, if 5 or more Cleanliness Details are marked "No" for a given Building Area.

Cleanliness Detail	Building Area Name						
	Wing A	Wing B	Multi-Purpose Room	Library	Administration	Cafeteria	North Playground
Floors are swept, vacuumed, and mopped	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A
Floors are free of spots, stains, and build up	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A
Walls and doors are free of spots, and grime, especially high touch areas	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A
Furniture, boards, baseboards, windowsills, and other horizontal surfaces are dusted and clean	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A
Light fixtures are working and clean	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A
Trash cans are empty and clean	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A
Floors and furniture are free of gum	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A
Classroom sinks are clean	Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A
Desks and counters are cleaned disinfected	Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A
Water fountains, including handles and buttons are clean	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Urinals, toilets, and sinks are clean and disinfected	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A
Bathroom and/or locker room mirrors and hand dryers clean	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A
Bathroom and/or locker room soap, toilet paper, and paper towels stocked	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A
Facilities are graffiti free	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Grounds are free of trash	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Yes
Grounds and plants are mowed and trimmed	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Yes
Custodial rooms are clean and organized	N/A	N/A	Yes	N/A	Yes	Yes	N/A
Custodial equipment is in good repair	N/A	N/A	Yes	N/A	Yes	Yes	N/A

a. Restrooms, drinking fountains, and food preparation or serving areas

appear to have been cleaned each day that school is in session.

b. An area should appear to be clean with minimal dirt, dust, or buildup.

Floors and carpets should appear to have been swept or cleaned within the last week.

Light fixtures and all bulbs are working properly. Facilities area adequately stocked and odor free. (OK)

c. An area marked as "Deficiency" would appear to not have been cleaned in

the last two weeks and carpet may look dull, matted, or stained. Corners of

the room may have a recognizable amount of dirt or grime buildup. Floors do

not appear to have been swept or vacuumed in two weeks. Some light

fixtures are dirty and fewer than five percent of the bulbs have burned out.

Daily trash has not been taken out. (D)

d. An area marked as having an "Extreme Deficiency" would appear to be

dirty, dingy, or scuffed with an evident buildup of dust, dirt, stains, or trash.

Floors have not been swept or vacuumed in over two weeks. Light fixtures

are dirty and more than five percent of the bulbs have burned out. There is

trash overflow and the area being evaluated has a foul odor. (X)

e. Area(s) evaluated is free of unabated graffiti.

f. Other

FACILITY INSPECTION TOOL(FIT)
SCHOOL FACILITY CONDITIONS EVALUATION
 (REV 05/09)

SCHOOL DISTRICT/COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION		COUNTY	
SCHOOL SITE		SCHOOL TYPE (GRADE LEVELS)	NUMBER OF CLASSROOMS ON SITE & NUMBER OF RESTROOMS ON SITE
INSPECTOR'S NAME	INSPECTOR'S TITLE	NAME OF DISTRICT REPRESENTATIVE ACCOMPANYING THE INSPECTOR(S) (IF APPLICABLE)	
TIME OF INSPECTION	NUMBER OF MAINTENANCE STAFF ON SITE & AVERAGE NUMBER OF CUSTODIAL STAFF ON SITE DAILY	SITE ENROLLMENT	
TOTAL SITE (INCLUDING GROUNDS) SQUARE FOOTAGE & TOTAL BUILDING SQUARE FOOTAGE 27,100.00		WEATHER CONDITION AT TIME OF INSPECTION	

PART III: CATEGORY TOTALS AND RANKING (round all calculations to two decimal places)

TOTAL NUMBER OF AREAS EVALUATED ↓	CATEGORY TOTALS	A. SYSTEMS			B. INTERIOR	C. CLEANLINESS		D. ELECTRICAL	E. RESTROOMS/FOUNTAINS		F. SAFETY		G. STRUCTURAL		H. EXTERNAL	
		GAS LEAKS	MECH/HVAC	SEWER	INTERIOR SURFACES	OVERALL CLEANLINESS	PEST/VERMIN INFESTATION	ELECTRICAL	RESTROOMS	SINKS/ FOUNTAINS	FIRE SAFETY	HAZARDOUS MATERIALS	STRUCTURAL DAMAGE	ROOFS	PLAYGROUND/ SCHOOL GROUNDS	WINDOWS/DOORS/ GATES/FENCES
		6	4	6	6	6	6	5	6	6	6	6	6	5	3	6
		0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	Number of "X"s:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0
	Number of N/As:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0
Percent of System in Good Repair Number of "OK"s divided by (Total Areas - "NA"s)*		100.00%	66.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	83.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	83.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Total Percent per Category (average of above)*		87.00%			100.00%	100.00%		83.00%	100.00%		100.00%		0.00%		100.00%	
Rank (Circle one) GOOD = 90%-100% FAIR = 75%-89.99% POOR = 0%-74.99%		Fair			Good	Good		Fair	GOOD		Good		Poor		Good	

*Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category and a zero for "Total Percent per Category".

OVERALL RATING:

DETERMINE AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF 8 CATEGORIES ABOVE	→	84.00%	SCHOOL RATING**	→	Fair
--	---	--------	-----------------	---	------

**For School Rating, apply the Percentage Range below to the average percentage determined above, taking into account the rating Description below.

PERCENTAGE	DESCRIPTION	RATING
99%-100%	The school meets most or all standards of good repair. Deficiencies noted, if any, are not significant and/or impact a very small area of the school.	EXEMPLARY
90%-98.99%	The school is maintained in good repair with a number of non-critical deficiencies noted. These deficiencies are isolated, and/or resulting from minor wear and tear, and/or in the process of being mitigated.	GOOD
75%-89.99%	The school is not in good repair. Some deficiencies noted are critical and/or widespread. Repairs and/or additional maintenance are necessary in several areas of the school site.	FAIR
0%-74.99%	The school facilities are in poor condition. Deficiencies of various degrees have been noted throughout the site. Major repairs and maintenance are necessary throughout the campus.	POOR

COMMENTS AND RATING EXPLANATION:

Extreme deficiency rating in one area of the schools roof significantly drops the overall school rating of this test site.

GENERAL INFORMATION

The Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) has been developed by the Office of Public School Construction to determine if a school facility is in “good repair” as defined by Education Code (EC) Section 17002(d)(1) and to rate the facility pursuant to EC Section 17002(d)(2). The tool is designed to identify areas of a school site that are in need of repair based upon a visual inspection of the site. In addition, the EC specifies the tool should not be used to require capital enhancements beyond the standards to which the facility was designed and constructed.

Good repair is defined to mean that the facility is maintained in a manner that ensures that it is clean, safe, and functional. As part of the school accountability report card, school districts and county offices of education are required to make specified assessments of school conditions including the safety, cleanliness, and adequacy of school facilities and needed maintenance to ensure good repair. In addition, beginning with the 2005/2006 fiscal year, school districts and county offices of education must certify that a facility inspection system has been established to ensure that each of its facilities is maintained in good repair in order to participate in the School Facility Program and the Deferred Maintenance Program. This tool is intended to assist school districts and county offices of education in that determination.

County superintendents are required to annually visit the schools in the county of **his or her their** office as determined by EC Section 1240. Further, EC Section 1240(c)(2)(I), states the priority objective of the visits made shall be to determine the status of the condition of a facility that poses an emergency or urgent threat to the health or safety of pupils or staff as defined in district policy, or as defined by EC Section 17592.72(c) and the accuracy of data reported on the school accountability report card with the respect to the safety, cleanliness, and adequacy of school facilities, including good repair as required by EC Sections 17014, 17032.5, 17070.75, and 17089. This tool is also intended to assist county offices of education in performing these functions.

The EC also allows individual entities to adopt a local evaluation instrument to be used in lieu of the FIT provided the local instrument meets the criteria specified in EC Section 17002(d) and as implemented in the FIT. Any evaluation instrument adopted by the local educational agency for purpose of determining whether a school facility is maintained in good repair may include any number of additional items but must minimally include the criteria and rating scheme contained in the FIT.

USER INSTRUCTIONS

The FIT is comprised of three parts as follows:

Part I, Good Repair Standard outlines the school facility systems and components, as specified in EC Section 17002(d)(1), that should be considered in the inspection of a school facility to ensure it is maintained in a manner that assures it is clean, safe and functional. Each of the 15 sections in the Good Repair Standard provides a description of a minimum standard of good repair for various school facility categories. Each section also provides examples of clean, safe and functional conditions. The list of examples is not exhaustive. If an evaluator notes a condition that is not mentioned in the examples but constitutes a deficiency, the evaluator can note such deficiency in the applicable category as “other” and shall describe the deficiency in the space provided for Comments and Rating Explanation.

Some of the conditions cited in the Good Repair Standard represent items that are critical to the health and safety of pupils and staff. Any deficiencies in these items require immediate attention and, if left unmitigated, could cause severe and immediate injury, illness or death of the occupants. They constitute extreme deficiencies and indicate that the particular building system evaluated failed to meet the standard of good repair at that school site. These critical conditions are identified with underlined text followed by an (X) on the Good Repair Standard. If the underlined statement is not true, then there is an extreme deficiency (to be marked as an “X” on the Evaluation Detail) resulting in a “poor” rating for the applicable category. It is important to note that the list of extreme deficiencies noted in the Good Repair Standard is not exhaustive. Any other deficiency not included in the criteria but meeting the definition above can be noted by the evaluator and generate a poor rating.

Part II, Evaluation Detail is a site inspection template to be used to evaluate the areas of a school on a category by category basis. The design of the inspection template allows for the determination of the scope of conditions across campus. In evaluating each area or space, the user should review each of the 15 categories identified in the Good Repair Standard and make a determination of whether a particular area is in good repair. Category C, should be evaluated based off of a sliding scale informed by cutodial standards where applicable. Once the determination is made, it should be recorded on the Evaluation Detail, as follows:

OK	No Deficiency - Good Repair: Mark “OK” if all statements in the Good Repair Standard are true, and there is no indication of a deficiency in the specific category.
D	Deficiency: Mark “D” if one or more statement(s) in the Good Repair Standard for the specific category is not true, or if there is other clear evidence of the need for repair.
X	Extreme Deficiency: Indicate “X” if the area has a deficiency that is considered an “Extreme Deficiency” in the Good Repair Standard or there is a condition that qualifies as an extreme deficiency but is not noted in the Good Repair Standard.
NA	Not Applicable: If the Good Repair Standard category (building system or component) does not exist in the area evaluated, mark “NA”.

Below are suggested methods for evaluating various systems and areas:

- **Gas and Sewer** are major building systems that may span the entire school campus but may not be evident as applicable building systems in each classroom or common areas. However, because a deficiency in either of these systems could become evident and present a health and safety threat anywhere on campus, the user should not mark "NA" and should instead include an evaluation of these systems in each building space.
- **Roofs** can be easily evaluated for stand alone areas, such as portable classrooms. For permanent buildings containing several areas to be evaluated, roofs should be considered as parts of individual areas in order to accurately account for a scope of any roofing deficiency. For example, a 10 classroom building contains damaged gutters on one side of the building, spanning across five classrooms. Therefore, an evaluator should mark five classrooms as deficient in the roof category and the other five classrooms as in good repair, assuming there are no other visible deficiencies related to roofing.
- **Overall Cleanliness** is intended to be used to evaluate the cleanliness of each space. For example, a user should note a deficiency due to dirty **or unsanitary** surfaces in Overall Cleanliness, rather than **Interior Surfaces**. At the same time, the user should note such deficiency only in Overall Cleanliness in order to avoid accounting for such deficiency twice, i.e. in two sections.
- The tool is designed to evaluate stand-alone restrooms as separate areas. However, restrooms contained within other spaces, such as a kindergarten classroom or a library, can be evaluated as part of that area under Restrooms. If the area evaluated does not contain a restroom, Restrooms should be marked "NA."
- **Drinking fountains** can exist within individual classrooms or areas, right outside of classrooms or restrooms or other areas, or as stand alone fixtures on playgrounds and sports fields. If a drinking fountain or a set of fountains is located inside a building or immediately outside the area being evaluated, it should be included in the evaluation of that area under Drinking Fountains. If a fountain is located on the school grounds, it should be evaluated as part of that outside space. If there is no drinking fountain in the area evaluated, Drinking Fountains should be marked "NA."
- **Playgrounds/School Grounds**, should be evaluated as separate areas by dividing a campus into sections with defined borders. In this case, several sections of the good repair criteria would not apply to the evaluation, as they do not exist outside of physical building areas, such as **Structural Damage** and **Fire Safety**, for example.

Part III includes the **Category Totals and Ranking**, the **Overall Rating**, and a section for **Comments and Rating Explanation**.

Once the inspector completes the site inspection, **he or she they** must total the number of areas evaluated. The inspector must also count all of the spaces deemed in good repair, deficient, extremely deficient, or not applicable under each of the 15 sections. Next, the evaluator must determine the condition of each section by taking the ratio of the number of areas deemed in good repair to the number of areas being evaluated (after subtracting non-applicable spaces from the total number of areas evaluated). If any of the 15 sections received a rating of extreme deficiency, the ratio (i.e., the percentage of good repair) for that section and the category the section is in should default to zero. The total percent per category (A through H) is determined by the total of all percentages of systems in good repair divided by the number of sections in that category. For example, to determine the total percent for the Structural category, add the percentages for the Structural Damage and Roof sections and divide the result by two.

Next, the overall school site score is determined by computing the average percentage rating of the eight categories (i.e., the total of all percentages divided by eight). Finally, the rater should determine the overall School Rating by applying the Percentage Range in the table provided in Part III to the average percentage calculated and taking into consideration the Rating Description provided in the same table.

*Although the FIT is designed to evaluate each school site within a reasonable range of facility conditions, it is possible that an evaluator may identify critical facility conditions that result in an Overall School Rating that does not reflect the urgency and severity of those deficiencies and/or does not match the rating's Description in Part III. In such instances, the evaluator may reduce the resulting school score by one or more grade categories and describe the reasons for the reduction in the space provided for Comments and Rating Explanation.

When completing Part III of the FIT, the instructor should note the date and time of the inspection as well as weather conditions and any other pertinent inspection information in the specific areas provided and utilize the Comments and Rating Explanation Section if needed.

PART I: GOOD REPAIR STANDARD

(X): If underlined statement is not true, then this is an extreme deficiency (marked as an "X") on the Evaluation Detail resulting in a "poor" rating for the applicable category.

Gas Leaks

Gas systems and pipes appear safe, functional, and free of leaks. Examples include but are not limited to the following:

- a. There is no odor that would indicate a gas leak. (X)
- b. Gas pipes are not broken and appear to be in good working order. (X)
- c. Other

Mechanical Systems

Heating, ventilation, and air conditioning systems (HVAC) as applicable are functional and unobstructed. Examples include but are not limited to the following:

- a. The HVAC system is operable. (X)
- b. The facilities are ventilated (via mechanical or natural ventilation).
- c. The ventilation units are unobstructed and vents and grills are without evidence of excessive dirt or dust.
- d. There appears to be an adequate air supply to all classrooms, work spaces, and facilities (i.e. no strong odor is present, air is not stuffy)
- e. Interior temperatures appear to be maintained within normally accepted ranges.
- f. The ventilation units are not generating any excessive noise or vibrations.
- g. Other

Sewer

Sewer line stoppage is not evident. Examples include but are not limited to the following:

- a. There are no obvious signs of flooding caused by sewer line back-up in the facilities or on the school grounds. (X)
- b. The sanitary system controls odors as designed.
- c. Other

Interior Surfaces (Floors, Ceilings, Walls, and Window Casings)

Interior surfaces appear to be clean, safe, and functional. Examples include but are not limited to the following:

- a. Walls are free of hazards from tears and holes.
- b. Flooring is free of hazards from torn carpeting, missing floor tiles, holes.
- c. Ceiling is free of hazards from missing ceiling tiles and holes.
- d. There is no evidence of water damage (e.g. no condensation, dampness, staining, warping, peeling, mineral deposits, etc.)
- e. Other

Overall Cleanliness

School grounds, buildings, common areas, surfaces and individual rooms appear to have been cleaned regularly. Examples include but are not limited to the following:

- a. Restrooms, drinking fountains, workspaces, and food preparation or serving areas appear to have been cleaned and sanitized each day that school is in session.
- b. An area should appear to be clean with minimal dirt, dust, or buildup. Floors and carpets should appear to have been swept or cleaned within the last week. Light fixtures and all bulbs are working properly. Facilities area adequately stocked and odor free. (OK)
- c. An area marked as "Deficiency" would appear to not have been cleaned in the last two weeks and carpet may look dull, matted, or stained. Corners of the room may have a recognizable amount of dirt or grime buildup. Floors do not appear to have been swept or vacuumed in two weeks. Some light fixtures are dirty and fewer than five percent of the bulbs have burned out. Daily trash has not been taken out. (D)
- d. An area marked as having an "Extreme Deficiency" would appear to be dirty, dingy, or scuffed with an evident buildup of dust, dirt, stains, or trash. Floors have not been swept or vacuumed in over two weeks. Light fixtures are dirty and more than five percent of the bulbs have burned out. There is trash overflow and the area being evaluated has a foul odor. (X)
- e. Area(s) evaluated is free of unabated graffiti.
- f. Other

Pest/Vermin Infestation

Pest or vermin infestation are not evident.

- a. There is no evidence of a major pest or vermin infestation. (X)
- b. There are no holes in the walls, floors, or ceilings.
- c. Rodent droppings or insect skins are not evident.
- d. Odor caused by a pest or vermin infestation is not evident.
- e. There are no live rodents observed.
- f. Other

Electrical (Interior and Exterior)

1. There is no evidence that any portion of the school has a power failure. (X)

2. *Electrical systems, components, outlets, and equipment appear to be working properly. Examples include but are not limited to the following:*

- a. There are no exposed electrical wires. Electrical equipment is properly covered and secured from pupil access. (X)
- b. Outlets, access panels, switch plates, junction boxes and fixtures are properly covered and secured from pupil access.
- c. Other

3. *Lighting appears to be adequate and working properly, including exterior lights. Examples*

- a. Lighting appears to be adequate.
- b. Lighting is not flickering.
- c. There is no unusual hum or noise from the light fixtures.
- d. Other

Restrooms

Restrooms in the vicinity of the area being evaluated appear to be accessible during school hours, clean, functional and in compliance with SB 892 (EC Section 35292.5) and AB 367 (EC Section 35292.6). The following are examples of compliance with SB 892 and AB 367:

- a. Restrooms are maintained and cleaned regularly.
- b. Restrooms are fully operational.
- c. Restrooms are stocked with toilet paper, **menstrual products**, soap, and paper towels.
- d. Restrooms are open during school hours.
- e. Other

Sinks/Fountains (Inside and Outside)

Drinking fountains appear to be accessible and functioning as intended. Examples include but are not limited to the following:

- a. Drinking fountains are accessible.
- b. Water pressure is adequate.
- c. A leak is not evident.
- d. There is no moss, mold, or excessive staining on the fixtures.
- e. The water is clear and without unusual taste or odor.
- f. Other

Fire Safety

The fire equipment and emergency systems appear to be functioning properly. Examples include but are not limited to the following:

- a. The fire sprinklers appear to be in working order (e.g., there are no missing or damaged sprinkler heads). (X)
- b. Emergency alarms appear to be functional. (X)
- c. Emergency exit signs function as designed, exits are unobstructed. (X)
- d. Fire extinguishers are current and placed in all required areas.
- e. Fire alarms pull stations are clearly visible.
- f. Other

Hazardous Materials (Interior and Exterior)

There does not appear to be evidence of hazardous materials that may pose a threat to pupils or staff. Examples include but are not limited to the following:

- a. Hazardous chemicals, chemical waste, and flammable materials are stored properly (e.g. locked and labeled properly). (X)
- b. Paint is not peeling, chipping, or cracking.
- c. There does not appear to be damaged tiles or other circumstances that may indicate asbestos exposure.
- d. Surfaces (including floors, ceilings, walls, window casings, HVAC grills) appear to be free of mildew, mold odor and visible mold.
- e. Other

Structural Damage

There does not appear to be structural damage that has created or could create hazardous or uninhabitable conditions. Examples include but are not limited to the following:

- a. Severe cracks are not evident. (X)
- b. Ceilings & floors are not sloping or sagging beyond their intended design. (X)
- c. Posts, beams, supports for portable classrooms, ramps, and other structural building members appear to be intact, secure and functional as designed. (X)
- d. There is no visible evidence of severe cracks, dry rot, mold, or damage that undermines the structural components. (X)
- e. Other

Roofs (observed from the ground, inside/outside the building)

Roof systems appear to be functioning properly. Examples include but are not limited to the following:

- a. Roofs, gutters, roof drains, and down spouts are free of visible damage.
- b. Roofs, gutters, roof drains, and down spouts are intact.
- c. Other

Playground/School Grounds

The playground equipment and school grounds in the vicinity of the area being evaluated appear to be clean, safe, and functional. Examples include but are not limited to the following:

- a. Significant cracks, trip hazards, holes and deterioration are not found.
- b. Open "S" hooks, protruding bolt ends, and sharp points/edges are not found in the playground equipment.
- c. Seating, tables, and equipment are functional and free of significant cracks.
- d. There are no signs of drainage problems, such as flooded areas, eroded soil, water damage to asphalt, or clogged storm drain inlets.
- e. Other

Windows/Doors/Gates/Fences (Interior and exterior)

Conditions that pose a safety and/or security risk are not evident.

- a. There is no exposed broken glass accessible to pupils and staff. (X)
- b. Exterior doors and gates are functioning and do not pose a security risk. (X)
- c. Windows are intact and free of cracks.
- d. Windows are functional and open, close, and lock as designed, unless there is a valid reason they should not function as designed.
- e. Doors are intact.
- f. Doors are functional and open, close, and lock as designed, unless there is a valid reason they should not function as designed.
- g. Gates and fences appear to be functional.
- h. Gates and fences are intact and free of holes and other conditions that could present a safety hazard to pupils, staff, or others.
- i. Other

PART II: EVALUATION DETAIL

Date of Inspection: 08/30/20

School Name: Lincoln Elementary

Building / Area Name	Area Characteristics (grade level of students served, traffic volume, special education students served, etc.)	Square Footage	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
			GAS LEAKS	MECH/HVAC	SEWER	INTERIOR SURFACES	OVERALL CLEANLINESS	PEST/VERMIN INFESTATION	ELECTRICAL	RESTROOM	SINKS/ FOUNTAINS	FIRE SAFETY	HAZARDOUS MATERIALS	STRUCTURAL DAMAGE	ROOFS	PLAYGROUND/S CHOO! GROUND	WINDOWS/ DOORS/ GATES/FENCES
Wing A	K-6th Grade Students	8,640.00	OK	D	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
			COMMENTS:														
Wing B	7-8th Grade Students	5,760.00	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
			COMMENTS:														
MP	Special Education Students	8,000.00	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	D	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	OK
			COMMENTS:														
Library	All Students, Heavily Trafficked	1,200.00	OK	D	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	X	NA	OK
			COMMENTS:														
Administration	Low foot traffic	1,000.00	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	OK
			COMMENTS:														
Cafeteria	All Students, Heavily Trafficked	2,500.00	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	OK
			COMMENTS:														
			COMMENTS:														
			COMMENTS:														
			COMMENTS:														
			COMMENTS:														
			COMMENTS:														

Marks: **OK** = Good Repair; **D** = Deficiency; **X** = Extreme Deficiency; **NA** = Not Applicable
 Use additional Area Lines as necessary.

SCHOOL DISTRICT/COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION		COUNTY	
SCHOOL SITE		SCHOOL TYPE (GRADE LEVELS)	NUMBER OF CLASSROOMS ON SITE
INSPECTOR'S NAME	INSPECTOR'S TITLE	NAME OF DISTRICT REPRESENTATIVE ACCOMPANYING THE INSPECTOR(S) (IF APPLICABLE)	
		NAME OF CUSTODIAL / MAINTENANCE REPRESENTATIVE ACCOMPANYING THE INSPECTOR(S) (IF APPLICABLE)	
TIME OF INSPECTION	NUMBER OF FTE MAINTENANCE STAFF ON SITE AVERAGE NUMBER OF MAINTENANCE STAFF ON SITE DAILY	SITE ENROLLMENT	
TOTAL SITE SQUARE FOOTAGE <div style="text-align: right;">27,100.00</div>	WEATHER CONDITION AT TIME OF INSPECTION		

PART III: CATEGORY TOTALS AND RANKING (round all calculations to two decimal places)

TOTAL NUMBER OF AREAS EVALUATED ↓	CATEGORY TOTALS	A. SYSTEMS			B. INTERIOR	C. CLEANLINESS		D. ELECTRICAL	E. RESTROOMS/FOUNTAINS		F. SAFETY		G. STRUCTURAL		H. EXTERNAL	
		GAS LEAKS	MECH/HVAC	SEWER	INTERIOR SURFACES	OVERALL CLEANLINESS	PEST/VERMIN INFESTATION	ELECTRICAL	RESTROOMS	SINKS/ FOUNTAINS	FIRE SAFETY	HAZARDOUS MATERIALS	STRUCTURAL DAMAGE	ROOFS	PLAYGROUND/ SCHOOL GROUNDS	WINDOWS/DOORS/ GATES/FENCES
		6	4	6	6	6	6	5	6	6	6	6	6	5	3	6
		0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	Number of "X"s:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0
	Number of N/As:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0
Percent of System in Good Repair Number of "OK"s divided by (Total Areas - "NA"s)*		100.00%	66.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	83.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	83.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Total Percent per Category (average of above)*		87.00%			100.00%	100.00%		83.00%	100.00%		100.00%		0.00%		100.00%	
Rank (Circle one) A = 90%-100% B = 80%-89.99% C = 70%-79.99% D = 65%-69.99% F = 0%-64.99%		B			A	A		B	A		A		F		A	

*Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category and a zero for "Total Percent per Category".

OVERALL RATING:	DETERMINE AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF 8 CATEGORIES ABOVE	→	84.00%	SCHOOL RATING**	→	Fair
------------------------	--	---	--------	-----------------	---	------

**For School Rating, apply the Percentage Range below to the average percentage determined above, taking into account the rating Description below.

PERCENTAGE	DESCRIPTION	RATING
90%-100%	The school meets most or all standards of good repair. Deficiencies noted, if any, are not significant and/or impact a very small area of the school.	A
80%-89.99%	The school is maintained in good repair with a number of non-critical deficiencies noted. These deficiencies are isolated, and/or resulting from minor wear and tear, and/or in the process of being mitigated.	B
70%-79.99%	The school is not in good repair. Some deficiencies noted are critical and/or widespread. Repairs and/or additional maintenance are necessary in several areas of the school site.	C
65%-69.99%	The school facilities are in poor condition. Deficiencies of various degrees have been noted throughout the site. Major repairs and maintenance are necessary throughout the campus.	D
0%-64.99%	The school facilities are in extremely poor condition. Deficiencies of significant degrees have been noted throughout the site. Substantial repairs and maintenance are necessary throughout the campus.	F

COMMENTS AND RATING EXPLANATION: Extreme deficiency rating in one area of the schools roof significantly drops the overall school rating of this test site.
