

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

CALIFORNIA STATE ALLOCATION BOARD

PUBLIC MEETING

STATE CAPITOL 2040

SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95814

DATE: WEDNESDAY, MAY 23, 2018

TIME: 4:00 P.M.

Reported By: Mary C. Clark
Mary Clark Transcribing
4919 H Parkway
Sacramento, CA 95823
(916) 428-6439
marycclark13@comcast.net

1 APPEARANCES:

2 MEMBERS OF THE BOARD PRESENT:

3 JACQUELINE WONG-HERNANDEZ, Chief Deputy Director, Policy,
4 Department of Finance, designated representative for Michael
Cohen, Director, Department of Finance

5 JEFFREY McGUIRE, Chief Deputy Director, Department of General
6 Services, designated representative for Daniel Kim,
Director, Department of General Services

7 CESAR DIAZ, Appointee of Edmund G. Brown, Jr., Governor of
8 the State of California

9 JUAN MIRELES, Director, School Facilities and Transportation
10 Services Division, California Department of Education,
designated representative for Tom Torlakson, Superintendent
of Public Instruction

11 SENATOR BENJAMIN ALLEN

12 SENATOR JANET NGUYEN

13 SENATOR RICHARD PAN

14 ASSEMBLYMEMBER ADRIN NAZARIAN

15 ASSEMBLYMEMBER ROCKY CHAVEZ

16 ASSEMBLYMEMBER PATRICK O'DONNELL

17 REPRESENTATIVES OF THE STATE ALLOCATION BOARD PRESENT:

18 LISA SILVERMAN, Executive Officer

19 REPRESENTATIVES OF THE DEPARTMENT OF GENERAL SERVICES, OFFICE
20 OF PUBLIC SCHOOL CONSTRUCTION (OPSC) PRESENT:

LISA SILVERMAN, Executive Officer

21 BARBARA KAMPMEINERT, Deputy Executive Officer

22 REPRESENTATIVE OF THE DEPARTMENT OF GENERAL SERVICES,
23 OFFICE OF LEGAL SERVICES PRESENT:

24 TOM PATTON, Assistant Chief Counsel

25

P R O C E E D I N G S

1
2
3 CHAIRPERSON WONG-HERNANDEZ: I'd like to call the
4 State Allocation Board to order, please. Secretary, will
5 you call the roll? Oh, we only have five members. I would
6 love to start as a subcommittee. Thank you.

7 Okay. What's the first thing we can do as a
8 subcommittee today? **Executive Officer's Report?** Great.
9 Let's do that.

10 MS. SILVERMAN: Would just like to highlight
11 today, we have a few items to report out -- very few. I
12 just want to give the Board an opportunity to -- we have a
13 current filing round for certifications currently. That was
14 opened up on May 9th. It closes June 7th. So those
15 projects that submit a certification during the time period
16 from July 1st through December 31st, those certifications
17 will be valid and you have to have an unfunded approval as a
18 criteria for applying for the certification.

19 So we just want to highlight that and we also want
20 to give the Board an update on the priority funding
21 apportionments.

22 The Board took action on March 21st to allocate
23 over \$191 million in project approvals and we're making some
24 progress there. And so we have about \$121 million in
25 approvals that we have allocated so far.

1 So there is a deadline of June 19th and we want to
2 remind districts out there to provide the right
3 certifications and documentation in order to access the
4 cash.

5 And then the last thing we wanted to share is we
6 have --

7 CHAIRPERSON WONG-HERNANDEZ: Lisa, I'm sorry. Can
8 I interrupt you for just a minute --

9 MS. SILVERMAN: Yes.

10 CHAIRPERSON WONG-HERNANDEZ: -- because we do have
11 a quorum. Let's just establish a quorum and then we can
12 kind of -- we can continue.

13 MS. SILVERMAN: Yeah.

14 CHAIRPERSON WONG-HERNANDEZ: Okay. Very good.

15 MS. JONES: Senator Allen.

16 Senator Nguyen.

17 Senator Pan.

18 Assemblymember Nazarian.

19 Assemblymember Chavez.

20 ASSEMBLYMEMBER CHAVEZ: Here.

21 MS. JONES: Assemblymember O'Donnell.

22 ASSEMBLYMEMBER O'DONNELL: Here.

23 MS. JONES: Juan Mireles.

24 MR. MIRELES: Here.

25 MS. JONES: Cesar Diaz.

1 MR. DIAZ: Here.

2 MS. JONES: Jeffrey McGuire.

3 MR. MCGUIRE: Here.

4 MS. JONES: Jacqueline Wong-Hernandez.

5 CHAIRPERSON WONG-HERNANDEZ: Here.

6 MS. JONES: We have a quorum.

7 CHAIRPERSON WONG-HERNANDEZ: Thank you. Thank
8 you.

9 MS. SILVERMAN: No, no. Absolutely. The last
10 item to report is a joint agency workshop. So we have three
11 workshops coming up. We have one on May 30th in Whittier,
12 California, and that will be focused on small school
13 district outreach.

14 We have two other workshops, May 30th and May 31st
15 in Van Nuys and Riverside, respectively, and those will be
16 focused on career tech education. And with that, open it up
17 to the Board.

18 CHAIRPERSON WONG-HERNANDEZ: Great. Questions or
19 comments from Board members on the Executive Officer's
20 report.

21 ASSEMBLYMEMBER O'DONNELL: I have --

22 CHAIRPERSON WONG-HERNANDEZ: Yes.

23 ASSEMBLYMEMBER O'DONNELL: I'd like to have us
24 agendize at a future meeting a discussion about what the CCI
25 adjustments are and what are -- the CCI adjustments are

1 appropriate at the time of the reapportionment.

2 Last September, I believe it was, we -- I asked a
3 question regarding the grant level during approval for
4 apportionment of projects on the unfunded list and I
5 appreciated the response from staff, but there was still no
6 background information on the agenda.

7 So I just want to make sure that, one, we comply
8 with the law and, two, the Board members had sufficient and
9 accurate information regarding that matter.

10 So specifically what I'm asking is that staff
11 provide the following at a future meeting -- again a future
12 meeting so that it is agendized and a legal discussion.
13 What are the applicable laws, one. Two, what are past Board
14 practices and, three, are there any legal opinions regarding
15 that. Thank you.

16 CHAIRPERSON WONG-HERNANDEZ: Great. Does anyone
17 else have -- go ahead. Were you going to say something?

18 MR. MIRELES: -- support the request, Madam Chair.

19 CHAIRPERSON WONG-HERNANDEZ: Okay. I think that
20 will make sense. I've been talking to staff and
21 specifically to our legal counsel about this issue over the
22 past couple of weeks and I think we probably all do need to
23 get grounded and have a discussion together and make sure
24 that we understand all of the questions that Assemblymember
25 O'Donnell laid out.

1 So I'm going to continue that conversation with
2 counsel about what's the most appropriate way to do that and
3 we'll get that taken care of at a future Board meeting.

4 ASSEMBLYMEMBER O'DONNELL: Great. So again, at a
5 future Board meeting. Thank you. So it will be agendized
6 at a future Board meeting. Thank you.

7 CHAIRPERSON WONG-HERNANDEZ: Okay. Any public
8 comment on the Executive Officer's report? Okay. Seeing
9 none, we'll go back on the agenda to the **Minutes**. Sorry.
10 So hopefully everyone's had an opportunity to review the
11 Minutes. Any questions or comments from Board members?

12 ASSEMBLYMEMBER CHAVEZ: Move approval.

13 MR. DIAZ: Second.

14 CHAIRPERSON WONG-HERNANDEZ: Have a motion and a
15 second. Any public comment on the Minutes? Seeing none --

16 MS. JONES: Assemblymember Chavez.

17 ASSEMBLYMEMBER CHAVEZ: Yes.

18 MS. JONES: Assemblymember O'Donnell.

19 ASSEMBLYMEMBER O'DONNELL: I'll abstain. I was
20 not present.

21 MS. JONES: Okay. Juan Mireles.

22 MR. MIRELES: Aye.

23 MS. JONES: Cesar Diaz.

24 MR. DIAZ: Aye.

25 MS. JONES: Jeffrey McGuire.

1 MR. MCGUIRE: Aye.

2 MS. JONES: Jacqueline Wong-Hernandez.

3 CHAIRPERSON WONG-HERNANDEZ: Aye. And we'll leave
4 the roll open for members to add on. Okay. So moving on.

5 MS. SILVERMAN: **Consent.** That's ready for your
6 approval.

7 CHAIRPERSON WONG-HERNANDEZ: So any questions or
8 comments on the Consent Agenda from Board? Any public
9 comment on the Consent Agenda? Okay. Then --

10 MR. DIAZ: Move.

11 ASSEMBLYMEMBER CHAVEZ: Second.

12 CHAIRPERSON WONG-HERNANDEZ: Motion to approve and
13 a second. And then can I just say without objection then?
14 That one or take a roll. I think we should take -- let's
15 take the roll.

16 MS. JONES: Okay. Assemblymember Chavez.

17 ASSEMBLYMEMBER CHAVEZ: Aye.

18 MS. JONES: Assemblymember O'Donnell.

19 ASSEMBLYMEMBER O'DONNELL: Aye.

20 MS. JONES: Juan Mireles.

21 MR. MIRELES: Aye.

22 MS. JONES: Cesar Diaz.

23 MR. DIAZ: Aye.

24 MS. JONES: Jeffrey McGuire.

25 MR. MCGUIRE: Aye.

1 MS. JONES: Jacqueline Wong-Hernandez.

2 CHAIRPERSON WONG-HERNANDEZ: Aye.

3 MS. JONES: That one passes. We want to --

4 CHAIRPERSON WONG-HERNANDEZ: Yeah. We'll leave it
5 open for now. Okay. So next item.

6 MS. SILVERMAN: Yeah. The **Financial Reports**.

7 Draw your attention to page 99. We wanted to give the Board
8 an update. As I shared with you in the Executive Officer's
9 Statement, we have been aggressively processing fund
10 releases, but just in the few weeks of April, we have
11 processed \$68.3 million and that's on page 99.

12 And if we move forward to page 101, just want to
13 highlight to the Board in the Consent Agenda there was
14 \$89.4 million in consent items, so -- for your approval and
15 so that represents 39 projects. So wanted to highlight that
16 as far as what's in the financials.

17 And we also wanted to share that there was also a
18 few disbursements this month related to the Emergency Repair
19 Program. So we have \$1.1 million and 11 additional projects
20 we're funding, and then -- under the Emergency Repair
21 Program and we also had a recovery of nearly \$1 million in
22 savings and that represents seven projects. So additional
23 funds have been disbursed in the Emergency Repair Program.

24 And with that, I'll open up to any questions.

25 CHAIRPERSON WONG-HERNANDEZ: Thank you. Any

1 questions from the Board? Any public comment on this item?

2 Okay. So the next item we have are appeals. Welcome.

3 MR. WATANABE: Good afternoon. Michael Watanabe.

4 I'm Chief of Program Services for the OPSC. I'm here to

5 present the appeal for the **Muroc Joint Unified School**

6 **District**. They have two appeals as part of this request.

7 The first request is to request an expedited

8 processing of their unfunded approval for the Bailey

9 modernization project and approval to allow them to use

10 funding generated on their Bailey seismic mitigation project

11 funding for the TK-12 centralized library project.

12 A little background on this project: This project

13 is one of those Department of Defense base schools that the

14 Board revisited back in 2010. The Department of Defense has

15 a federal program. They went around and evaluated all 160

16 schools on military installations throughout the United

17 States.

18 What they found is the Branch Elementary campus

19 which is on Edwards Air Force Base down in Kern County was

20 the eighth worst school on the list. The Desert

21 Junior/Senior High was found to be the 31st school.

22 So the DOD set aside funding for these base school

23 projects and as part of the federal program, they require a

24 20 percent local match.

25 On the bottom of page 120, we map out what the

1 needs of the district are for this particular project. The
2 federal program for this project is worth about 150 million
3 of which the feds would stick 120 million towards the
4 project contingent on the district coming up with 30 million
5 of their share.

6 The district has 18 million available through the
7 reopening of their Bailey modernization project and through
8 these approvals as well as three items in Consent that
9 you've already approved will provide them bond authority
10 towards their \$12 million shortfall.

11 So there's two topics for the Board's
12 consideration. The first is the expedited approval of the
13 Bailey modernization project.

14 The application came in in 2017, but as of today,
15 we have about 646 applications that need to be processed
16 ahead of them. So they are asking to be processed ahead of
17 those other projects.

18 I just wanted to point out that we have sufficient
19 bond authority in the Modernization Program, that nobody
20 loses bond authority by processing this one out of line. We
21 have processed the app as a result of the appeal as a need
22 to provide the information.

23 Regulations do specify that we process in date
24 order received. The Board prioritizes facility hardship
25 projects first and then modernization in date order

1 received.

2 Given the unique circumstances of this district --
3 the Board does have authority through statute -- broad
4 authority through 17075.35 to determine the eligibility of
5 school districts. The Board can change the regs for this
6 project.

7 However, given the unique circumstance of just the
8 project needing the advanced processing, the availability of
9 federal funding, we think it's appropriate in this case. If
10 the Board were to change regulations on a nonemergency
11 process, we feel there won't be enough time for those regs
12 to be approved before they have a risk of losing their DOD
13 funding.

14 The second topic for the Board's consideration is
15 the use of the funding on this Bailey library. They qualify
16 for the program to replace this library on the existing
17 campus, but as part of their military installation project,
18 they're required to resolve a number of design and
19 curriculum. And the centralized TK-12 concept they're
20 building on campus helps them meet that design need.

21 Regulations don't specifically require them to
22 replace the building on site, but that's how we've
23 specifically always treated the Modernization Program. It's
24 very site specific. The Facility Hardship Program is
25 resolving health and safety to those students, so we expect

1 them to resolve it on that campus.

2 Had they moved the campus -- the building
3 somewhere else in the district, we might have an issue with
4 that.

5 So we have on page 140 the map where those two
6 libraries are, where the existing Bailey library is and
7 where the planned centralized library is. The library
8 funding -- they will combine with the Branch Elementary
9 School library that's also being replaced to build a larger
10 building on campus. That library will serve the entire K-12
11 campus.

12 Given the proximity of the locations, they have
13 built-in plans so that those kids on the Bailey campus can
14 access it. On the map, there is a dotted line between the
15 two campuses. Had those been the exact same campus, we
16 wouldn't have an issue with it, but because they are named
17 two different campuses and they are two different school
18 sites, we feel it's appropriate if the Board
19 administratively approve it versus staff approve it.

20 So we present both options separately for the
21 Board. On page 124, first, is for the accelerated
22 processing of the Bailey mod project and second is for --
23 Option 1 is for the approval of the centralized library
24 concept for the district. I'd be happy to answer any
25 questions and the district is here as well.

1 CHAIRPERSON WONG-HERNANDEZ: So thank you for your
2 work on this. I really appreciate you outlining why this is
3 a unique set of circumstances and on both topics for this
4 district.

5 Are there any questions from Board members or
6 comment? Okay. Well, I'm comfortable as a Board
7 entertaining a motion if the Board is interested in --

8 ASSEMBLYMEMBER O'DONNELL: Move.

9 CHAIRPERSON WONG-HERNANDEZ: Thank you,
10 Mr. Donnell -- in approving this appeal.

11 MR. DIAZ: Second.

12 CHAIRPERSON WONG-HERNANDEZ: We have a motion and
13 a second. Is there any public comment on this appeal or is
14 the district here? Okay.

15 MR. WATANABE: The district is here if you have
16 questions.

17 CHAIRPERSON WONG-HERNANDEZ: Okay. The district's
18 here for questions. Otherwise, we can just move forward.
19 All right. Okay.

20 MS. JONES: Senator Allen.

21 SENATOR ALLEN: Aye.

22 MS. JONES: Senator Nguyen.

23 SENATOR NGUYEN: Aye.

24 MS. JONES: Assemblymember Nazarian.

25 ASSEMBLYMEMBER NAZARIAN: Aye.

1 MS. JONES: Assemblymember Chavez.

2 ASSEMBLYMEMBER CHAVEZ: Aye.

3 MS. JONES: Assemblymember O'Donnell.

4 ASSEMBLYMEMBER O'DONNELL: Aye.

5 MS. JONES: Juan Mireles.

6 MR. MIRELES: Aye.

7 MS. JONES: Cesar Diaz.

8 MR. DIAZ: Aye.

9 MS. JONES: Jeffrey McGuire.

10 MR. MCGUIRE: Aye.

11 MS. JONES: Jacqueline Wong-Hernandez.

12 CHAIRPERSON WONG-HERNANDEZ: Aye.

13 MS. JONES: Motion carries.

14 CHAIRPERSON WONG-HERNANDEZ: Okay. We'll leave
15 that roll open for Senator Pan.

16 MS. JONES: Very good.

17 CHAIRPERSON WONG-HERNANDEZ: Thank you. Thanks.
18 We'll move onto our next appeal.

19 MS. KAMPMEINERT: The next appeal is for two
20 projects in **Mountain Valley Unified School District** in
21 Trinity County, one at Hayfork Elementary School and one at
22 Hayfork High School, and as a brief refresher, in August
23 2017, the Board did approve financial hardship assistance
24 under other evidence as well as design funding so that the
25 district could begin to address health and safety issues at

1 both campuses as a result of the discovery of mold in many
2 of the buildings.

3 And since that time, the district has gone before
4 its local voters in November of 2017 and they did pass a
5 local bond with a 65 percent approval rating and the bond
6 funds are intended to be used towards the district's local
7 matching share for the two projects.

8 They have also moved forward with the design funds
9 previously granted and have developed the construction
10 drawings for the mitigation and reconstruction work
11 necessary to fix the mold issue and the next step is for the
12 district to go out to bid and continue to work on the
13 project so that the students can return to impacted
14 facilities.

15 And that brings us to our current appeal request.
16 There are two, both at each campus. The first request from
17 the district is to be allowed to use the replacement funding
18 for which the projects qualify to do rehabilitation work and
19 they've determined that the replacement allowances provided
20 by the program will not be sufficient to actually replace
21 the buildings because of the extremely high cost of
22 construction due to the district's isolated location.

23 The district has no additional resources outside
24 the state funding and the required local match to make up
25 for any gaps in the actual costs to replace the facilities

1 and they do believe that the replacement funding amounts
2 will be sufficient to mitigate the issues if they were to do
3 rehabilitation work.

4 If the Board approves this piece of the district's
5 request, the buildings will be considered replaced and the
6 age of the buildings will be reset for purposes of
7 modernization eligibility.

8 The second issue is for an immediate apportionment
9 outside the priority funding process. The district has used
10 the previously approved design funds and all other available
11 local funds to move the projects along to the point that
12 they are at today.

13 They had originally anticipated being able to use
14 the funds from their local bonds to continue the projects
15 and keep them going while they participated in the priority
16 funding process and they have taken steps to issue the
17 bonds.

18 However, the bond issuance cannot take place at
19 this time due to a lawsuit against the Trinity County
20 elections office, and while the district believes the
21 lawsuit has no merit, it will take several months for the
22 issue to be fully resolved at which point the district will
23 be able to issue the bonds.

24 That time delay, however, is a large problem for
25 the district as not being able to move forward with

1 construction during the next few months will mean that they
2 will likely have a delay of construction for the entire next
3 school year as they won't be able to commence until summer
4 of 2019 because they're in an area with severe weather
5 conditions that only allows for a short window in which to
6 do the construction.

7 Staff does support both requests from the district
8 and I would note that if the Board does approve the options
9 on stamped page 154, the funding approval in Option 2 would
10 result in an immediate apportionment at today's meeting for
11 the elementary project with direction to staff to bring the
12 immediate apportionment for the high school project back to
13 a future meeting as part of a Consent Agenda. The high
14 school apportionment cannot be made today as the project
15 still needs final approval from the State Architect which is
16 anticipated in the near future.

17 With that, I'd be happy to answer any questions
18 and the district is also available for any questions.

19 CHAIRPERSON WONG-HERNANDEZ: Okay. Any questions
20 from Board members.

21 ASSEMBLYMEMBER CHAVEZ: Move approval.

22 MR. DIAZ: Second.

23 CHAIRPERSON WONG-HERNANDEZ: A motion and a
24 second. Any public comment on this item? Okay. Then let's
25 take a vote on approving both options.

1 MS. JONES: Okay. Senator Allen.
2 SENATOR ALLEN: Aye.
3 MS. JONES: Senator Nguyen.
4 SENATOR NGUYEN: Aye.
5 MS. JONES: Assemblymember Nazarian.
6 ASSEMBLYMEMBER NAZARIAN: Aye.
7 MS. JONES: Assemblymember Chavez.
8 ASSEMBLYMEMBER CHAVEZ: Aye.
9 MS. JONES: Assemblymember O'Donnell.
10 ASSEMBLYMEMBER O'DONNELL: Aye.
11 MS. JONES: Juan Mireles.
12 MR. MIRELES: Aye.
13 MS. JONES: Cesar Diaz.
14 MR. DIAZ: Aye.
15 MS. JONES: Jeffrey McGuire.
16 MR. MCGUIRE: Aye.
17 MS. JONES: Jacqueline Wong-Hernandez.
18 CHAIRPERSON WONG-HERNANDEZ: Aye.
19 MS. JONES: And Senator Pan, how do you vote on --
20 SENATOR PAN: Can you remind me what are we voting
21 on right now?
22 MS. JONES: We're voting on Mountain Valley's
23 appeal.
24 SENATOR PAN: Aye.
25 MS. JONES: Okay. Thank you. And that motion

1 does carry.

2 CHAIRPERSON WONG-HERNANDEZ: Great. Thank you.

3 Okay. I don't know if I -- did I mention Morongo already?

4 I think I may not have. Morongo was removed. I'm sorry.

5 So the other appeal that we had agendized
6 originally was **Morongo Unified School District** and they have
7 asked to have that request withdrawn. So we will not be
8 hearing that today.

9 And then any other business?

10 MS. SILVERMAN: Yeah. And the Morongo, they
11 requested a future meeting.

12 CHAIRPERSON WONG-HERNANDEZ: Okay. So it will be
13 heard at some point in the future.

14 MS. SILVERMAN: Absolutely.

15 CHAIRPERSON WONG-HERNANDEZ: Okay.

16 MS. SILVERMAN: Tab 7 is -- I want to direct your
17 attention to page 166 and we're presenting to the Board the
18 **Career Tech Education Facilities Program Unfunded Approvals.**

19 In August of 2017, last year, the Board had
20 authorized a filing round for 125 million and with that --
21 that came with some timelines that we had worked with
22 Department of Education and to that extent, there was \$500
23 million made available in Proposition 51.

24 So as part of the Attachment A1, we are presenting
25 73 projects for \$124.5 million and that is also listed on

1 page 168, 169.

2 So to give you some background, Career Tech
3 Education Program funds are a separate program and it's
4 not -- a district doesn't have to have eligibility in new
5 construction or modernization. It's a standalone grant.

6 So if you're seeking a new construction grant
7 add-on, the maximum grant is \$3 million and for
8 modernization is 1.5 million. It is a 50-50 participation,
9 so there is also a condition that there is no hardship --
10 financial hardship for this program.

11 So if a district doesn't have a match, they have
12 the ability to seek a loan. And in those cases, we'll do a
13 quick review and provide them a status report, whether or
14 not they're eligible for the loan component.

15 So in order to submit a funding application with
16 our office, you had to had a minimum score of 105 and that
17 score was determined by Department of Education. So we
18 received 175 applicants for the projects that estimate about
19 \$408 million.

20 So again, the program was very oversubscribed for
21 this filing round and so we ranked via the highest score, by
22 the locale, urban, suburban, and rural, and the highest
23 scores within those categories were actually structure for
24 the funding.

25 And so today, again, we wanted to highlight that

1 there are 73 applications that we're presenting for your
2 approval, but we still have residual funds left, about
3 \$467,000 to be exact, and then we will present those funds
4 to the next project in line and if they do not want to take
5 the funds as a result of that -- for instance, if their
6 project is worth \$1 million and we're only presenting them
7 467,000, then they'll be locked into that commitment of
8 467,000 and would not have the ability to come in for the
9 remaining part of their grant.

10 So we will continue to present the residual funds
11 and if there's no takers to those residual funds, then we'll
12 roll over those residual funds to the next filing round.

13 So with that, we wanted to share with you that the
14 item is ready for your approval to approve Attachment 1 for
15 73 projects for over \$124.5 million.

16 MR. MIRELES: Move to approve.

17 ASSEMBLYMEMBER O'DONNELL: Second.

18 CHAIRPERSON WONG-HERNANDEZ: We have a motion and
19 a second. Are there any questions from the Board or
20 comments? I just had one quick question. When is the next
21 filing round? Do we know that yet?

22 MS. SILVERMAN: There is a current filing round
23 that's open and Department of Education will accept
24 applications up until October 19th.

25 CHAIRPERSON WONG-HERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you.

1 MS. SILVERMAN: Um-hmm.

2 CHAIRPERSON WONG-HERNANDEZ: All right. Any
3 public comment on this item? Seeing none, we've got a
4 motion and a second.

5 MS. JONES: Okay. Senator Allen.

6 SENATOR ALLEN: Aye.

7 MS. JONES: Senator Nguyen.

8 SENATOR NGUYEN: Aye.

9 MS. JONES: Senator Pan.

10 SENATOR PAN: Aye.

11 MS. JONES: Assemblymember Nazarian.

12 ASSEMBLYMEMBER NAZARIAN: Aye.

13 MS. JONES: Assemblymember Chavez.

14 ASSEMBLYMEMBER CHAVEZ: Aye.

15 MS. JONES: Assemblymember O'Donnell.

16 ASSEMBLYMEMBER O'DONNELL: Aye.

17 MS. JONES: Juan Mireles.

18 MR. MIRELES: Aye.

19 MS. JONES: Cesar Diaz.

20 MR. DIAZ: Aye.

21 MS. JONES: Jeffrey McGuire.

22 MR. MCGUIRE: Aye.

23 MS. JONES: Jacqueline Wong-Hernandez.

24 CHAIRPERSON WONG-HERNANDEZ: Aye.

25 MS. JONES: And that motion carries.

1 CHAIRPERSON WONG-HERNANDEZ: Thank you. All
2 right. The reports.

3 MS. SILVERMAN: Yeah. We have the workload
4 reports. I'm not sure if the Senator had an opportunity to
5 vote on the other items.

6 CHAIRPERSON WONG-HERNANDEZ: We'll do that.

7 MS. SILVERMAN: The workload reports are --

8 CHAIRPERSON WONG-HERNANDEZ: Perfect. Just
9 workload reports. Does anybody have any comment or
10 questions on those that were in your packet? Okay. Any
11 public comment on any items under the purview of this Board
12 that were not agendized. All right. Seeing none, we are --
13 we are not quite adjourned.

14 MS. JONES: Yes.

15 CHAIRPERSON WONG-HERNANDEZ: The rest of you can
16 go.

17 MS. JONES: Senator Allen and Senator Nguyen and
18 Senator Pan, Assemblymember Nazarian, how do you vote on the
19 Minutes?

20 (Ayes)

21 MS. JONES: Thank you. How do you vote on the
22 Consent Calendar?

23 (Ayes)

24 MS. JONES: Great. Thank you. Muroc Joint
25 Unified, the first appeal.

1 (Ayes)

2 MS. JONES: Great. Thank you. And I think
3 everybody -- oh, Mountain Valley, Assemblymember Nazarian?

4 ASSEMBLYMEMBER NAZARIAN: Aye.

5 MS. JONES: Thank you. All right. We're caught
6 up.

7 (Whereupon, at 4:22 p.m. the proceedings were
8 adjourned.)

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

STATE OF CALIFORNIA)
) ss.
COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO)

I, Mary C. Clark, a Certified Electronic Court Reporter and Transcriber, Certified by the American Association of Electronic Reporters and Transcribers, Inc. (AAERT, Inc.), do hereby certify:

That the proceedings herein of the California State Allocation Board, Public Meeting, were duly reported and transcribed by me;

That the foregoing transcript is a true record of the proceedings as recorded;

That I am a disinterested person to said action.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have subscribed my name on
May 25, 2018.

Mary C. Clark
AAERT CERT*D-214
Certified Electronic Court
Reporter and Transcriber