Resolution: 2016-04-03

State of California
State Allocation Board
School Facility Program

This Resolution of the State Allocation Board (hereafter referred to as the "Board") is applicable to the appropriate sections of the Education Code and is described and filed in the office of the Executive Officer and will be made available to all interested parties as the Resolution pertains to the documents attached hereto. Said documents were acted upon by the Board at its meeting on April 20, 2016.

WHEREAS, the Board has previously approved or determined to be approvable a number of projects for construction or modernization eligibility of facilities for school districts and is making apportionments and/or unfunded approvals for the grant amounts for projects that meet the Board's criteria for the apportionment of grants pursuant to Education Code Sections 17072.10, 17078.52, 17078.70, 17079 or 17074.10 or Board Regulations 1859.81.1 or 1859.83;

WHEREAS, Treasury Regulations Section 1.150-2 require the State (on whose behalf the Board is acting) to declare its reasonable intent to provide grant funding to school districts, in accordance with Board policy and law, for costs of the projects with proceeds of State bonds;

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED:

1. This Resolution is adopted by the Board for the purposes of establishing compliance with Treasury Regulations Section 1.150-2, and this Resolution does not bind the Board to make any additional apportionment, or bind the State to incur any indebtedness.

2. The Board anticipates that some or all of the school districts listed on the list of "Projects" referred to in this Resolution will pay certain capital expenditures in connection with some or all of the project costs prior to the issuance of bonds by the State to pay for the grants for the projects. The reimbursement of such costs is consistent with the State's budgetary and financial circumstances, and in accordance with Board policy, as no other funds or accounts of the State have been budgeted or are available to pay the costs of the projects on either a short-term or a long-term basis.

3. The Board, acting on behalf of the State, hereby declares that it is the State's official intent to use proceeds of general obligation bonds that may become available for such purpose, consistent with the requirements of law that are in effect at the time the funds are available, to provide grants in accordance with applicable laws and regulations.

4. This Resolution shall be continuously available for inspection by the general public during normal business hours at the offices of the Board at 707 3rd Street, West Sacramento, California, commencing within one week after the date of enactment of this Resolution.

5. Any eligibility determination does not constitute a commitment of future funding by the Board.

I, the undersigned, duly authorized as the Executive Officer of the State Allocation Board, do hereby certify the foregoing to be true and correct, and that this Resolution was adopted at a meeting of said Board on April 20, 2016 at Sacramento, California.

Lisa Silverman
Executive Officer
State Allocation Board

REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER
State Allocation Board Meeting, April 20, 2016

REMOVAL OF SCHOOL FACILITY PROGRAM HIGH PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE GRANTS

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To present School Facility Program (SFP) projects on the Unfunded List to the State Allocation Board (Board) for the removal of High Performance Incentive (HPI) grants.

DESCRIPTION

As of January 1, 2015, the Board no longer has the statutory authority to approve HPI grants pursuant to Education Code (EC) Section 17070.965. Prior to the enactment of this statute, the Office of Public School Construction (OPSC) processed and the Board approved applications for new construction and modernization funding that included requests for HPI grants. As bond authority was not available at the time of approval, these applications were placed on the Unfunded List. Since the Board can no longer approve HPI grants, the applications are now being presented to the Board with the previously approved HPI grants removed.

AUTHORITY

EC Section 17070.965 states:

> On or after January 1, 2015, the board shall not approve funding for incentive grants to promote the use of designs and materials in new construction and modernization projects that include the attributes of high-performance schools, including, but not limited to, the elements set forth in Section 17070.96.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.2 states:

> "Unfunded List" means an information list of unfunded projects, with the exception of the unfunded list defined below as "Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans)".

STAFF ANALYSIS/STATEMENTS

EC Section 17070.965 states that "on or after January 1, 2015, the board shall not approve funding for incentive grants to promote the use of designs and materials in new construction and modernization projects that include the attributes of high-performance schools…." Therefore, Staff is presenting the funding applications in the Attachment for removal of the previously approved HPI grants. Since bond authority was not allocated to these projects, there will be no corresponding adjustment to the status of funds.

The Attachment reflects the funding applications being presented for unfunded approval in receipt of an Approved Application by OPSC. The unfunded approval of the applications as reflected on the Attachment does not constitute a guarantee of future funding or bond authority. The State cautions any kind of reliance on the fact that an application is placed on this list.

RECOMMENDATION

Approve the amended grant amounts for the projects listed on the Attachment.

ATTACHMENT

REMOVAL OF SCHOOL FACILITY PROGRAM HIGH PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE GRANTS
State Allocation Board Meeting, April 20, 2016

County	School District	Application Number	Program	Approval	Received Date	SAB Unfunded Approval	Total Previously Authorized	Total Reduction Amount	New Total Project Cost/Authorized
FRESNO	FRESNO UNIFIED	57/62166-00-137	Modernization	G	5/15/2012	12/12/2012	8,150,092.00	669,057.00	7,481,035.00
ORANGE	ANAHEIM CITY	57/66423-00-030	Modernization	G	5/17/2012	12/12/2012	9,095,413.00	765,558.00	8,329,855.00
SAN MATEO	BELMONT-REDWOOD SHORES ELEM.	57/68866-00-009	Modernization	G	7/5/2012	1/23/2013	2,798,252.00	475,867.00	2,322,385.00
ALAMEDA	OAKLAND UNIFIED	57/61259-00-070	Modernization	G	7/20/2012	3/20/2013	875,683.00	440,093.00	435,590.00
CONTRA COSTA	WEST CONTRA COSTA UNIFIED	57/61796-00-045	Modernization	G	7/23/2012	3/20/2013	4,004,893.00	552,950.00	3,451,943.00
FRESNO	FRESNO UNIFIED	57/62166-00-139	Modernization	G	7/30/2012	3/20/2013	3,362,752.00	494,669.00	2,868,083.00
FRESNO	FRESNO UNIFIED	50/62166-00-024	New Construction	G	10/9/2012	5/22/2013	32,525,982.00	1,154,496.00	31,371,486.00
CONTRA COSTA	WEST CONTRA COSTA UNIFIED	57/61796-00-047	Modernization	G	10/11/2012	5/22/2013	2,091,328.00	513,110.00	1,578,218.00
ORANGE	NEWPORT-MESA UNIFIED	50/66597-00-015	New Construction	G	10/17/2012	5/22/2013	14,178,428.00	761,112.00	13,417,316.00
ORANGE	NEWPORT-MESA UNIFIED	57/66597-00-032	Modernization	G	10/17/2012	5/22/2013	1,508,433.00	440,666.00	1,067,767.00
MENDOCINO	MENDOCINO COUNTY OFC. OF ED.	57/10231-00-001	Modernization	G	10/22/2012	5/22/2013	734,832.00	427,589.00	307,243.00
KERN	BAKERSFIELD CITY ELEMENTARY	50/63321-00-026	New Construction	G	10/24/2012	5/22/2013	31,859,820.00	912,962.00	30,946,858.00
KERN	BAKERSFIELD CITY ELEMENTARY	50/63321-00-027	New Construction	G	10/24/2012	5/22/2013	20,988,384.00	891,138.00	20,097,246.00
FRESNO	FRESNO UNIFIED	50/62166-00-025	New Construction	G	10/25/2012	5/22/2013	2,072,270.00	35,442.00	2,036,828.00
FRESNO	FRESNO UNIFIED	50/62166-00-026	New Construction	G	10/25/2012	5/22/2013	1,109,978.00	16,670.00	1,093,308.00
ORANGE	NEWPORT-MESA UNIFIED	50/66597-00-018	New Construction	G	10/26/2012	5/22/2013	13,472,390.00	1,036,658.00	12,435,732.00
ORANGE	NEWPORT-MESA UNIFIED	57/66597-00-033	Modernization	G	10/26/2012	5/22/2013	3,962,622.00	608,932.00	3,353,690.00
SAN MATEO	BELMONT-REDWOOD SHORES ELEM.	57/68866-00-010	Modernization	G	10/30/2012	5/22/2013	1,496,118.00	436,585.00	1,059,533.00
						TOTALS	154,287,670.00	10,633,554.00	143,654,116.00

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No:	57/62166-00-137	**County:**	Fresno
School District:	Fresno Unified	**School Name:**	Herbert Hoover High

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project:	High School	**Application Filing Basis:**	Site Specific
Pupils Assigned Under 50 Yrs: K-6:			
7-8:			
9-12:	549		
Non-Severe:	67		
Severe:	87		
Financial Hardship Requested:	No	**Recommended Acres:**	50.9
Alternative Education School:	No	**Existing Acres:**	51.52

ADJUSTED GRANT DATA

Modernization Grant - Under 50 Yrs	$	4,248,813.00
Fire Detection Alarm		105,621.00
Prevailing Wage Monitoring		6,723.00
Accessibility/Fire Code Req.		127,464.00
Total State Share (60%)		4,488,621.00
District Share (40%)		2,992,414.00
Total Project Cost	$	**7,481,035.00**

PROJECT FINANCING

State Share		
This Project	$	4,488,621.00
District Share		
Cash Contribution		2,992,414.00
Financial Hardship		
Total Project Cost	$	**7,481,035.00**

HISTORY OF PROJECT COST AND APPORTIONMENT

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
Modernization/Additional Grant	**112-012**		$ 4,489,222.00	$ (601.00)	$ (601.00)
High Performance	**112-700**		400,833.00	(400,833.00)	(400,833.00)
District Share					
Cash Contribution			3,260,037.00	(267,623.00)	
Total			**$ 8,150,092.00**	**$ (669,057.00)**	**$ (401,434.00)**

Pursuant to School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.95 this application has been approved and placed on the Unfunded List. This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future State funding and should not be relied on in any manner as any kind of representation or indication of future State funding. The State cautions any kind of reliance on the fact that an application is placed on this list.

Assembly Bill 436 (Solorio), Chapter 378, Statutes of 2011, requires school district to notify the Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) of School Facility Program projects with a public works contract awarded on or after January 1, 2012 and on or before June 19, 2014. Except for projects exempt to Labor Code Section 1771.3(b)(3), the DIR is required to provide prevailing wage monitoring services for the projects.

The District shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The High Performance Incentive (HPI) Grant in the amount of $400,833 has been removed, pursuant to Senate Bill 869, Chapter 39, Statutes of 2014. As a result of the removal of the HPI grant, the Prevailing Wage Monitoring grant has also been reduced by $601.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No:	57/66423-00-030	**County:**	Orange
School District:	Anaheim City	**School Name:**	Madison Elementary

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project:		Elementary School	**Application Filing Basis:**		Site Specific
Pupils Assigned Under 50 Yrs:	**K-6:**	215	**Pupils Assigned Over 50 Yrs:**	**K-6:**	496
	7-8:			**7-8:**	
	9-12:			**9-12:**	
	Non-Severe:	13		**Non-Severe:**	30
	Severe:	3		**Severe:**	8
Financial Hardship Requested:		No	**Recommended Acres:**		16.9
Alternative Education School:		No	**Existing Acres:**		9.02

ADJUSTED GRANT DATA

Modernization Grant - Under 50 Yrs	**$**	908,172.00
Modernization Grant - Over 50 Yrs		2,927,244.00
Fire Detection Alarm		94,595.00
Prevailing Wage Monitoring		7,486.00
Urban/Security/Impacted Site		659,607.00
Site Development		141,020.00
Accessibility/Fire Code Req.		259,789.00
Total State Share (60%)		4,997,913.00
District Share (40%)		3,331,942.00
Total Project Cost	**$**	**8,329,855.00**

PROJECT FINANCING

State Share		
This Project	**$**	4,997,913.00
District Share		
Cash Contribution		3,331,942.00
Financial Hardship		
Total Project Cost	**$**	**8,329,855.00**

HISTORY OF PROJECT COST AND APPORTIONMENT

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
Modernization/Additional Grant	**112-012**		**$** 4,998,601.00	**$** (688.00)	**$** (688.00)
High Performance	**112-700**		458,647.00	(458,647.00)	(458,647.00)
District Share					
Cash Contribution			3,638,165.00	(306,223.00)	
Total			**$ 9,095,413.00**	**$ (765,558.00)**	**$ (459,335.00)**

Pursuant to School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.95 this application has been approved and placed on the Unfunded List. This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future State funding and should not be relied on in any manner as any kind of representation or indication of future State funding. The State cautions any kind of reliance on the fact that an application is placed on this list.

Assembly Bill 436 (Solorio), Chapter 378, Statutes of 2011, requires school district to notify the Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) of School Facility Program projects with a public works contract awarded on or after January 1, 2012 and on or before June 19, 2014. Except for projects exempt to Labor Code Section 1771.3(b)(3), the DIR is required to provide prevailing wage monitoring services for the projects.

The District shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The High Performance Incentive (HPI) Grant in the amount of $458,647 has been removed, pursuant to Senate Bill 869, Chapter 39, Statutes of 2014. As a result of the removal of the HPI grant, the Prevailing Wage Monitoring grant has also been reduced by $688.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No:	57/68866-00-009	**County:**	San Mateo
School District:	Belmont-Redwood Shores Elementary	**School Name:**	Ralston Intermediate

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project:		Middle School	**Application Filing Basis:**	Site Specific
Pupils Assigned Over 50 Years:	**K-6:**			
	7-8:	137		
	9-12:			
	Non-Severe:	36		
	Severe:			
Financial Hardship Requested:		No	**Recommended Acres:**	15
Alternative Education School:		No	**Existing Acres:**	14.87

ADJUSTED GRANT DATA

Modernization Grant - Over 50 Yrs	1,143,184.00
Fire Detection Alarm	24,331.00
Prevailing Wage Monitoring	2,087.00
Site Development	1,530.00
Accessibility/Fire Code Req.	222,299.00
Total State Share (60%)	1,393,431.00
District Share (40%)	928,954.00
Total Project Cost	**$ 2,322,385.00**

PROJECT FINANCING

State Share		
This Project	**$**	1,393,431.00
District Share		
Cash Contribution		928,954.00
Financial Hardship		
Total Project Cost	**$**	**2,322,385.00**

HISTORY OF PROJECT COST AND APPORTIONMENT

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
Modernization/Additional Grant	**112-012**		**$** 1,393,855.00	**$** (424.00)	**$** (424.00)
High Performance	**112-700**		285,096.00	(285,096.00)	(285,096.00)
District Share					
Cash Contribution			1,119,301.00	(190,347.00)	
Total			**$ 2,798,252.00**	**$ (475,867.00)**	**$ (285,520.00)**

Pursuant to School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.95 this application has been approved and placed on the Unfunded List. This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future State funding and should not be relied on in any manner as any kind of representation or indication of future State funding. The State cautions any kind of reliance on the fact that an application is placed on this list.

Assembly Bill 436 (Solorio), Chapter 378, Statutes of 2011, requires school district to notify the Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) of School Facility Program projects with a public works contract awarded on or after January 1, 2012 and on or before June 19, 2014. Except for projects exempt to Labor Code Section 1771.3(b)(3), the DIR is required to provide prevailing wage monitoring services for the projects.

The District shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The High Performance Incentive (HPI) Grant in the amount of $285,096 has been removed, pursuant to Senate Bill 869, Chapter 39, Statutes of 2014. As a result of the removal of the HPI grant, the Prevailing Wage Monitoring grant has also been reduced by $424.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No:	57/61259-00-070	**County:**	Alameda
School District:	Oakland Unified	**School Name:**	Washington Elementary

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project:		Elementary School	**Application Filing Basis:**		Site Specific
Pupils Assigned Under 50 Yrs:	**K-6:**	25	**Pupils Assigned Over 50 Yrs:**	**K-6:**	25
	7-8:			**7-8:**	
	9-12:			**9-12:**	
	Non-Severe:			**Non-Severe:**	
	Severe:			**Severe:**	
Financial Hardship Requested:		No	**Recommended Acres:**		4
Alternative Education School:		No	**Existing Acres:**		8

ADJUSTED GRANT DATA

Modernization Grant - Under 50 Yrs	$	92,825.00
Modernization Grant - Over 50 Yrs		128,925.00
Fire Detection Alarm		5,950.00
Prevailing Wage Monitoring		391.00
Small Size Project		26,610.00
Accessibility/Fire Code Req.		6,653.00
Total State Share (60%)		261,354.00
District Share (40%)		174,236.00
Total Project Cost	$	**435,590.00**

PROJECT FINANCING

State Share		
This Project	$	261,354.00
District Share		
Cash Contribution		174,236.00
Financial Hardship		
Total Project Cost	$	**435,590.00**

HISTORY OF PROJECT COST AND APPORTIONMENT

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
Modernization/Additional Grant	**112-012**		$ 261,750.00	$ (396.00)	$ (396.00)
	112-700		263,660.00	(263,660.00)	(263,660.00)
District Share					
Cash Contribution			350,273.00	(176,037.00)	
Total			$ **875,683.00**	$ **(440,093.00)**	$ **(264,056.00)**

Pursuant to School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.95 this application has been approved and placed on the Unfunded List. This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future State funding and should not be relied on in any manner as any kind of representation or indication of future State funding. The State cautions any kind of reliance on the fact that an application is placed on this list.

Assembly Bill 436 (Solorio), Chapter 378, Statutes of 2011, requires school district to notify the Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) of School Facility Program projects with a public works contract awarded on or after January 1, 2012 and on or before June 19, 2014. Except for projects exempt to Labor Code Section 1771.3(b)(3), the DIR is required to provide prevailing wage monitoring services for the projects.

The District shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The High Performance Incentive (HPI) Grant in the amount of $263,660 has been removed, pursuant to Senate Bill 869, Chapter 39, Statutes of 2014. As a result of the removal of the HPI grant, the Prevailing Wage Monitoring grant has also been reduced by $396.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No:	57/61796-00-045	**County:**	Contra Costa
School District:	West Contra Costa Unified	**School Name:**	Gompers (Samuel) Continuation

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project:	High School	**Application Filing Basis:**	Site Specific
Pupils Assigned Over 50 Years: K-6:			
7-8:			
9-12:	261		
Non-Severe:			
Severe:			
Financial Hardship Requested:	No	**Recommended Acres:**	4.31
Alternative Education School:	No	**Existing Acres:**	3.15

ADJUSTED GRANT DATA

Modernization Grant - Over 50 Yrs	1,864,062.00
Fire Detection Alarm	31,059.00
Prevailing Wage Monitoring	3,102.00
Accessibility/Fire Code Req.	55,922.00
Two Stop Elevators	99,172.00
Additional Elev. Stops	17,849.00
Total State Share (60%)	2,071,166.00
District Share (40%)	1,380,777.00
Total Project Cost	**$ 3,451,943.00**

PROJECT FINANCING

State Share		
This Project	**$**	2,071,166.00
District Share		
Cash Contribution		1,380,777.00
Financial Hardship		
Total Project Cost	**$**	**3,451,943.00**

HISTORY OF PROJECT COST AND APPORTIONMENT

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
Modernization/Additional Grant	**112-012**		**$** 2,071,663.00	**$** (497.00)	**$** (497.00)
High Performance	**112-700**		331,273.00	(331,273.00)	(331,273.00)
District Share					
Cash Contribution			1,601,957.00	(221,180.00)	
Total			**$ 4,004,893.00**	**$ (552,950.00)**	**$ (331,770.00)**

Pursuant to School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.95 this application has been approved and placed on the Unfunded List. This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future State funding and should not be relied on in any manner as any kind of representation or indication of future State funding. The State cautions any kind of reliance on the fact that an application is placed on this list.

Assembly Bill 436 (Solorio), Chapter 378, Statutes of 2011, requires school district to notify the Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) of School Facility Program projects with a public works contract awarded on or after January 1, 2012 and on or before June 19, 2014. Except for projects exempt to Labor Code Section 1771.3(b)(3), the DIR is required to provide prevailing wage monitoring services for the projects.

The District shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The High Performance Incentive (HPI) Grant in the amount of $331,273 has been removed, pursuant to Senate Bill 869, Chapter 39, Statutes of 2014. As a result of the removal of the HPI grant, the Prevailing Wage Monitoring grant has also been reduced by $497.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No:	57/62166-00-139	**County:**	Fresno
School District:	Fresno Unified	**School Name:**	Design Science Early College High

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project:	High School	**Application Filing Basis:**	Site Specific
Pupils Assigned Under 50 Yrs: K-6:			
7-8:			
9-12:	254		
Non-Severe:			
Severe:			
Financial Hardship Requested:	No	**Recommended Acres:**	19.2
Alternative Education School:	No	**Existing Acres:**	3.68

ADJUSTED GRANT DATA		PROJECT FINANCING	
Modernization Grant - Under 50 Yrs	$ 1,305,814.00	**State Share**	
Prevailing Wage Monitoring	2,577.00		
Urban/Security/Impacted Site	373,285.00	**This Project**	$ 1,720,850.00
Accessibility/Fire Code Req.	39,174.00	**District Share**	
Total State Share (60%)	1,720,850.00	**Cash Contribution**	1,147,233.00
District Share (40%)	1,147,233.00	**Financial Hardship**	
Total Project Cost	$ **2,868,083.00**	**Total Project Cost**	$ **2,868,083.00**

HISTORY OF PROJECT COST AND APPORTIONMENT

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
Modernization/Additional Grant	**112-012**		$ 1,721,295.00	$ (445.00)	$ (445.00)
High Performance	**112-700**		296,356.00	(296,356.00)	(296,356.00)
District Share					
Cash Contribution			1,345,101.00	(197,868.00)	
Total			$ **3,362,752.00**	$ **(494,669.00)**	$ **(296,801.00)**

Pursuant to School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.95 this application has been approved and placed on the Unfunded List. This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future State funding and should not be relied on in any manner as any kind of representation or indication of future State funding. The State cautions any kind of reliance on the fact that an application is placed on this list.

Assembly Bill 436 (Solorio), Chapter 378, Statutes of 2011, requires school district to notify the Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) of School Facility Program projects with a public works contract awarded on or after January 1, 2012 and on or before June 19, 2014. Except for projects exempt to Labor Code Section 1771.3(b)(3), the DIR is required to provide prevailing wage monitoring services for the projects.

The District shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The High Performance Incentive (HPI) Grant in the amount of $296,356 has been removed, pursuant to Senate Bill 869, Chapter 39, Statutes of 2014. As a result of the removal of the HPI grant, the Prevailing Wage Monitoring grant has also been reduced by $445.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No: 50/62166-00-024
School District: Fresno Unified
County: Fresno
School Name: Rutherford B. Gaston Sr. Middle

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project:	Middle School
K-6:	918
7-8:	
9-12:	
Non-Severe:	
Severe:	9
Application Filing Basis:	District Wide
Number of Classrooms:	36
Master Acres:	23.1
Existing Acres:	8.45
Proposed Acres:	13.73
Recommended Acres:	21.9
Facility Hardship (a):	No
Financial Hardship Requested:	No
Alternative Education School:	No
Addition to Existing Site:	Yes

ADJUSTED GRANT DATA

New Construction Grant	$	9,197,982.00
Fire Detection Alarm		10,557.00
Sprinkler System		155,214.00
Prevailing Wage Monitoring		19,583.00
Site Acquisition		2,864,408.00
Service Site		2,123,967.00
Off-Site		498,272.00
Utilities		36,369.00
General Site		779,391.00
Total State Share (50%)		15,685,743.00
District Share (50%)		15,685,743.00
Total Project Cost	$	**31,371,486.00**

PROJECT FINANCING

State Share		
This Project	$	15,685,743.00
District Share		
Cash Contribution		15,685,743.00
Financial Hardship		
Total Project Cost	$	**31,371,486.00**

HISTORY OF PROJECT COST AND APPORTIONMENT

	Fund Code	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share				
New Construction/Add. Grant	**112-012**	$ 15,686,463.00	$ (720.00)	$ (720.00)
High Performance	**112-700**	576,528.00	(576,528.00)	(576,528.00)
District Share				
Cash Contribution		16,262,991.00	(577,248.00)	
Total		$ **32,525,982.00**	$ **(1,154,496.00)**	$ **(577,248.00)**

Pursuant to School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.95 this application has been approved and placed on the Unfunded List. This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future State funding and should not be relied on in any manner as any kind of representation or indication of future State funding. The State cautions any kind of reliance on the fact that an application is placed on this list.

Assembly Bill 436 (Solorio), Chapter 378, Statutes of 2011, requires school districts to notify the Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) of School Facility Program projects with a public works contract awarded on or after January 1, 2012. Except for projects exempt pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.3(b)(3), the DIR is required to provide prevailing wage monitoring services for the projects.

The District shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

This project is a Use of Grants under Regulation Section 1859.77.3(b).

The High Performance Incentive (HPI) Grant in the amount of $576,528 has been removed, pursuant to Senate Bill 869, Chapter 39, Statutes of 2014. As a result of the removal of the HPI grant, the Prevailing Wage Monitoring grant has also been reduced by $720.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No:	57/61796-00-047	**County:**	Contra Costa
School District:	West Contra Costa Unified	**School Name:**	Coronado Elementary

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project:		Elementary School	**Application Filing Basis:**		Site Specific
Pupils Assigned Under 50 Yrs:	**K-6:**	0	**Pupils Assigned Over 50 Yrs:**	**K-6:**	123
	7-8:			**7-8:**	
	9-12:			**9-12:**	
	Non-Severe:			**Non-Severe:**	
	Severe:			**Severe:**	
Financial Hardship Requested:		No	**Recommended Acres:**		9.9
Alternative Education School:		No	**Existing Acres:**		3.5

ADJUSTED GRANT DATA

Modernization Grant - Over 50 Yrs	634,311.00
Fire Detection Alarm	14,637.00
Prevailing Wage Monitoring	1,418.00
Small Size Project	25,372.00
Urban/Security/Impacted Site	152,992.00
Accessibility/Fire Code Req.	19,029.00
Two Stop Elevators	99,172.00
Total State Share (60%)	946,931.00
District Share (40%)	631,287.00
Total Project Cost	**$ 1,578,218.00**

PROJECT FINANCING

State Share	
This Project	**$** 946,931.00
District Share	
Cash Contribution	631,287.00
Financial Hardship	
Total Project Cost	**$ 1,578,218.00**

HISTORY OF PROJECT COST AND APPORTIONMENT

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
Modernization/Additional Grant	**112-012**		**$** 947,392.00	**$** (461.00)	**$** (461.00)
High Performance	**112-700**		307,405.00	(307,405.00)	(307,405.00)
District Share					
Cash Contribution			836,531.00	(205,244.00)	
Total			**$ 2,091,328.00**	**$ (513,110.00)**	**$ (307,866.00)**

Pursuant to School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.95 this application has been approved and placed on the Unfunded List. This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future State funding and should not be relied on in any manner as any kind of representation or indication of future State funding. The State cautions any kind of reliance on the fact that an application is placed on this list.

Assembly Bill 436 (Solorio), Chapter 378, Statutes of 2011, requires school district to notify the Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) of School Facility Program projects with a public works contract awarded on or after January 1, 2012 and on or before June 19, 2014. Except for projects exempt to Labor Code Section 1771.3(b)(3), the DIR is required to provide prevailing wage monitoring services for the projects.

The District shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The High Performance Incentive (HPI) Grant in the amount of $307,405 has been removed, pursuant to Senate Bill 869, Chapter 39, Statutes of 2014. As a result of the removal of the HPI grant, the Prevailing Wage Monitoring grant has also been reduced by $461.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No: 50/66597-00-015
School District: Newport-Mesa Unified
County: Orange
School Name: Costa Mesa High

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project:	High School
K-6:	
7-8:	
9-12:	243
Non-Severe:	26
Severe:	
Application Filing Basis:	District Wide
Number of Classrooms:	10
Master Acres:	56.2
Existing Acres:	49.45
Proposed Acres:	
Recommended Acres:	50
Facility Hardship (a):	No
Financial Hardship Requested:	No
Alternative Education School:	No
Addition to Existing Site:	Yes

ADJUSTED GRANT DATA

New Construction Grant	$	3,664,263.00
Fire Detection Alarm		7,202.00
Prevailing Wage Monitoring		8,375.00
Service Site		2,585,452.00
Off-Site		89,974.00
Utilities		353,392.00
Total State Share (50%)		6,708,658.00
District Share (50%)		6,708,658.00
Total Project Cost	$	**13,417,316.00**

PROJECT FINANCING

State Share		
This Project	$	6,708,658.00
District Share		
Cash Contribution		6,708,658.00
Financial Hardship		
Total Project Cost	$	**13,417,316.00**

HISTORY OF PROJECT COST AND APPORTIONMENT

	Fund Code	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share				
New Construction/Add. Grant	**112-012**	$ 6,709,133.00	$ (475.00)	$ (475.00)
High Performance	**112-700**	380,081.00	(380,081.00)	(380,081.00)
District Share				
Cash Contribution		7,089,214.00	(380,556.00)	
Total		$ **14,178,428.00**	$ **(761,112.00)**	$ **(380,556.00)**

Pursuant to School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.95 this application has been approved and placed on the Unfunded List. This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future State funding and should not be relied on in any manner as any kind of representation or indication of future State funding. The State cautions any kind of reliance on the fact that an application is placed on this list.

Assembly Bill 436 (Solorio), Chapter 378, Statutes of 2011, requires school districts to notify the Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) of School Facility Program projects with a public works contract awarded on or after January 1, 2012. Except for projects exempt pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.3(b)(3), the DIR is required to provide prevailing wage monitoring services for the projects.

The District shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The High Performance Incentive (HPI) Grant in the amount of $380,081 has been removed, pursuant to Senate Bill 869, Chapter 39, Statutes of 2014. As a result of the removal of the HPI grant, the Prevailing Wage Monitoring grant has also been reduced by $475.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No:	57/66597-00-032	**County:**	Orange
School District:	Newport-Mesa Unified	**School Name:**	Costa Mesa High

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project:	High School	**Application Filing Basis:**	Site Specific
Pupils Assigned Over 50 Years: K-6:			
7-8:			
9-12:			
Non-Severe:			
Severe:	24		
Financial Hardship Requested:	No	**Recommended Acres:**	47.9
Alternative Education School:	No	**Existing Acres:**	49.45

ADJUSTED GRANT DATA

Modernization Grant - Over 50 Yrs	394,488.00
Fire Detection Alarm	7,968.00
Prevailing Wage Monitoring	960.00
Small Size Project	47,339.00
Site Development	78,898.00
Accessibility/Fire Code Req.	11,835.00
Two Stop Elevators	99,172.00
Total State Share (60%)	640,660.00
District Share (40%)	427,107.00
Total Project Cost	**$ 1,067,767.00**

PROJECT FINANCING

State Share	
This Project	**$** 640,660.00
District Share	
Cash Contribution	427,107.00
Financial Hardship	
Total Project Cost	**$ 1,067,767.00**

HISTORY OF PROJECT COST AND APPORTIONMENT

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
Modernization/Additional Grant	**112-012**		**$** 641,056.00	**$** (396.00)	**$** (396.00)
High Performance	**112-700**		264,004.00	(264,004.00)	(264,004.00)
District Share					
Cash Contribution			603,373.00	(176,266.00)	
Total			**$ 1,508,433.00**	**$ (440,666.00)**	**$ (264,400.00)**

Pursuant to School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.95 this application has been approved and placed on the Unfunded List. This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future State funding and should not be relied on in any manner as any kind of representation or indication of future State funding. The State cautions any kind of reliance on the fact that an application is placed on this list.

Assembly Bill 436 (Solorio), Chapter 378, Statutes of 2011, requires school district to notify the Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) of School Facility Program projects with a public works contract awarded on or after January 1, 2012 and on or before June 19, 2014. Except for projects exempt to Labor Code Section 1771.3(b)(3), the DIR is required to provide prevailing wage monitoring services for the projects.

The District shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The High Performance Incentive (HPI) Grant in the amount of $264,004 has been removed, pursuant to Senate Bill 869, Chapter 39, Statutes of 2014. As a result of the removal of the HPI grant, the Prevailing Wage Monitoring grant has also been reduced by $396.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No:	57/10231-00-001	**County:**	Mendocino
School District:	Mendocino County Office Of Education	**School Name:**	Juvenile Hall/Community

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project:	High School	**Application Filing Basis:**	Site Specific
Pupils Assigned Under 50 Yrs: K-6:			
7-8:			
9-12:	30		
Non-Severe:			
Severe:			
Financial Hardship Requested:	No	**Recommended Acres:**	0.7
Alternative Education School:	No	**Existing Acres:**	0.62

ADJUSTED GRANT DATA

Modernization Grant - Under 50 Yrs	$	154,230.00
Project Assistance		3,135.00
Fire Detection Alarm		3,570.00
Prevailing Wage Monitoring		276.00
Small Size Project		18,508.00
Accessibility/Fire Code Req.		4,627.00
Total State Share (60%)		184,346.00
District Share (40%)		122,897.00
Total Project Cost	$	**307,243.00**

PROJECT FINANCING

State Share		
This Project	$	184,346.00
District Share		
Cash Contribution		122,897.00
Financial Hardship		
Total Project Cost	$	**307,243.00**

HISTORY OF PROJECT COST AND APPORTIONMENT

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
Modernization/Additional Grant	**112-012**		$ 184,730.00	$ (384.00)	$ (384.00)
High Performance	**112-700**		256,169.00	(256,169.00)	(256,169.00)
District Share					
Cash Contribution			293,933.00	(171,036.00)	
Total			**$ 734,832.00**	**$ (427,589.00)**	**$ (256,553.00)**

Pursuant to School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.95 this application has been approved and placed on the Unfunded List. This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future State funding and should not be relied on in any manner as any kind of representation or indication of future State funding. The State cautions any kind of reliance on the fact that an application is placed on this list.

Assembly Bill 436 (Solorio), Chapter 378, Statutes of 2011, requires school district to notify the Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) of School Facility Program projects with a public works contract awarded on or after January 1, 2012 and on or before June 19, 2014. Except for projects exempt to Labor Code Section 1771.3(b)(3), the DIR is required to provide prevailing wage monitoring services for the projects.

The District shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The High Performance Incentive (HPI) Grant in the amount of $256,169 has been removed, pursuant to Senate Bill 869, Chapter 39, Statutes of 2014. As a result of the removal of the HPI grant, the Prevailing Wage Monitoring grant has also been reduced by $384.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No: 50/63321-00-026
School District: Bakersfield City Elementary
County: Kern
School Name: Paul L. Cato Middle

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project:	Middle School
K-6:	
7-8:	1053
9-12:	
Non-Severe:	52
Severe:	
Application Filing Basis:	District Wide
Number of Classrooms:	43
Master Acres:	24.9
Existing Acres:	
Proposed Acres:	26.45
Recommended Acres:	23.1
Facility Hardship (a):	No
Financial Hardship Requested:	No
Alternative Education School:	No
Addition to Existing Site:	No

ADJUSTED GRANT DATA

New Construction Grant	$	11,811,228.00
Fire Detection Alarm		19,669.00
Sprinkler System		223,379.00
Prevailing Wage Monitoring		19,318.00
Site Acquisition		1,389,291.00
Service Site		561,146.00
Off-Site		397,081.00
General Site		1,052,317.00
Total State Share (50%)		15,473,429.00
District Share (50%)		15,473,429.00
Total Project Cost	$	**30,946,858.00**

PROJECT FINANCING

State Share		
This Project	$	15,473,429.00
District Share		
Cash Contribution		15,473,429.00
Financial Hardship		
Total Project Cost	$	**30,946,858.00**

HISTORY OF PROJECT COST AND APPORTIONMENT

	Fund Code	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share				
New Construction/Add. Grant	**112-012**	$ 15,473,999.00	$ (570.00)	$ (570.00)
High Performance	**112-700**	455,911.00	(455,911.00)	(455,911.00)
District Share				
Cash Contribution		15,929,910.00	(456,481.00)	
Total		$ **31,859,820.00**	$ **(912,962.00)**	$ **(456,481.00)**

Pursuant to School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.95 this application has been approved and placed on the Unfunded List. This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future State funding and should not be relied on in any manner as any kind of representation or indication of future State funding. The State cautions any kind of reliance on the fact that an application is placed on this list.

Assembly Bill 436 (Solorio), Chapter 378, Statutes of 2011, requires school districts to notify the Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) of School Facility Program projects with a public works contract awarded on or after January 1, 2012. Except for projects exempt pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.3(b)(3), the DIR is required to provide prevailing wage monitoring services for the projects.

The District shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The High Performance Incentive (HPI) Grant in the amount of $455,911 has been removed, pursuant to Senate Bill 869, Chapter 39, Statutes of 2014. As a result of the removal of the HPI grant, the Prevailing Wage Monitoring grant has also been reduced by $570.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No: 50/63321-00-027
School District: Bakersfield City Elementary
County: Kern
School Name: Dr. Douglas K. Fletcher Elementary

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project:	Elementary School
K-6:	725
7-8:	
9-12:	
Non-Severe:	39
Severe:	
Application Filing Basis:	District Wide
Number of Classrooms:	32
Master Acres:	15
Existing Acres:	
Proposed Acres:	14.11
Recommended Acres:	11.8
Facility Hardship (a):	No
Financial Hardship Requested:	No
Alternative Education School:	No
Addition to Existing Site:	No

ADJUSTED GRANT DATA

New Construction Grant	$	7,783,994.00
Fire Detection Alarm		9,301.00
Sprinkler System		132,433.00
Prevailing Wage Monitoring		12,545.00
Site Acquisition		828,099.00
Service Site		318,665.00
Off-Site		264,455.00
General Site		699,131.00
Total State Share (50%)		10,048,623.00
District Share (50%)		10,048,623.00
Total Project Cost	$	**20,097,246.00**

PROJECT FINANCING

State Share		
This Project	$	10,048,623.00
District Share		
Cash Contribution		10,048,623.00
Financial Hardship		
Total Project Cost	$	**20,097,246.00**

HISTORY OF PROJECT COST AND APPORTIONMENT

	Fund Code	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share				
New Construction/Add. Grant	**112-012**	$ 10,049,179.00	$ (556.00)	$ (556.00)
High Performance	**112-700**	445,013.00	(445,013.00)	(445,013.00)
District Share				
Cash Contribution		10,494,192.00	(445,569.00)	
Total		$ **20,988,384.00**	$ **(891,138.00)**	$ **(445,569.00)**

Pursuant to School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.95 this application has been approved and placed on the Unfunded List. This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future State funding and should not be relied on in any manner as any kind of representation or indication of future State funding. The State cautions any kind of reliance on the fact that an application is placed on this list.

Assembly Bill 436 (Solorio), Chapter 378, Statutes of 2011, requires school districts to notify the Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) of School Facility Program projects with a public works contract awarded on or after January 1, 2012. Except for projects exempt pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.3(b)(3), the DIR is required to provide prevailing wage monitoring services for the projects.

The District shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The High Performance Incentive (HPI) Grant in the amount of $445,013 has been removed, pursuant to Senate Bill 869, Chapter 39, Statutes of 2014. As a result of the removal of the HPI grant, the Prevailing Wage Monitoring grant has also been reduced by $556.

SAB Meeting: **April 20, 2016** **New Construction - Amended Adjusted Grant Approval**

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No: 50/62166-00-025
School District: Fresno Unified
County: Fresno
School Name: Design Science Early College High

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project:	High School
K-6:	
7-8:	
9-12:	38
Non-Severe:	
Severe:	
Application Filing Basis:	District Wide
Number of Classrooms:	2
Master Acres:	27.1
Existing Acres:	3.68
Proposed Acres:	
Recommended Acres:	19.2
Facility Hardship (a):	No
Financial Hardship Requested:	No
Alternative Education School:	No
Addition to Existing Site:	Yes

ADJUSTED GRANT DATA

New Construction Grant	**$**	498,522.00
Fire Detection Alarm		988.00
Prevailing Wage Monitoring		1,271.00
Multi-Level Constr.	2Crs.	59,823.00
Service Site		43,272.00
Off-Site		2,869.00
Utilities		2,474.00
Small Size Project		59,823.00
Urban/Security/Impacted Site		349,372.00
Total State Share (50%)		1,018,414.00
District Share (50%)		1,018,414.00
Total Project Cost	**$**	**2,036,828.00**

PROJECT FINANCING

State Share		
This Project	**$**	1,018,414.00
District Share		
Cash Contribution		1,018,414.00
Financial Hardship		
Total Project Cost	**$**	**2,036,828.00**

HISTORY OF PROJECT COST AND APPORTIONMENT

	Fund Code	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share				
New Construction/Add. Grant	**112-012**	**$** 1,018,437.00	**$** (23.00)	**$** (23.00)
High Performance	**112-700**	17,698.00	(17,698.00)	(17,698.00)
District Share				
Cash Contribution		1,036,135.00	(17,721.00)	
Total		**$ 2,072,270.00**	**$ (35,442.00)**	**$ (17,721.00)**

Pursuant to School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.95 this application has been approved and placed on the Unfunded List. This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future State funding and should not be relied on in any manner as any kind of representation or indication of future State funding. The State cautions any kind of reliance on the fact that an application is placed on this list.

Assembly Bill 436 (Solorio), Chapter 378, Statutes of 2011, requires school districts to notify the Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) of School Facility Program projects with a public works contract awarded on or after January 1, 2012. Except for projects exempt pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.3(b)(3), the DIR is required to provide prevailing wage monitoring services for the projects.

The District shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The High Performance Incentive (HPI) Grant in the amount of $17,698 has been removed, pursuant to Senate Bill 869, Chapter 39, Statutes of 2014. As a result of the removal of the HPI grant, the Prevailing Wage Monitoring grant has also been reduced by $23.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No: 50/62166-00-026
School District: Fresno Unified
County: Fresno
School Name: Fresno High

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project:	High School
K-6:	
7-8:	
9-12:	27
Non-Severe:	
Severe:	
Application Filing Basis:	District Wide
Number of Classrooms:	1
Master Acres:	63.4
Existing Acres:	34.5
Proposed Acres:	
Recommended Acres:	63.4
Facility Hardship (a):	No
Financial Hardship Requested:	No
Alternative Education School:	No
Addition to Existing Site:	Yes

ADJUSTED GRANT DATA

New Construction Grant	**$**	354,213.00
Fire Detection Alarm		702.00
Prevailing Wage Monitoring		682.00
Multi-Level Constr.	1Crs.	42,506.00
Service Site		14,763.00
Off-Site		4,258.00
Utilities		1,612.00
Small Size Project		42,506.00
Urban/Security/Impacted Site		85,412.00
Total State Share (50%)		546,654.00
District Share (50%)		546,654.00
Total Project Cost	**$**	**1,093,308.00**

PROJECT FINANCING

State Share		
This Project	**$**	546,654.00
District Share		
Cash Contribution		546,654.00
Financial Hardship		
Total Project Cost	**$**	**1,093,308.00**

HISTORY OF PROJECT COST AND APPORTIONMENT

	Fund Code	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share				
New Construction/Add. Grant	**112-012**	**$** 546,665.00	**$** (11.00)	**$** (11.00)
High Performance	**112-700**	8,324.00	(8,324.00)	(8,324.00)
District Share				
Cash Contribution		554,989.00	(8,335.00)	
Total		**$ 1,109,978.00**	**$ (16,670.00)**	**$ (8,335.00)**

Pursuant to School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.95 this application has been approved and placed on the Unfunded List. This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future State funding and should not be relied on in any manner as any kind of representation or indication of future State funding. The State cautions any kind of reliance on the fact that an application is placed on this list.

Assembly Bill 436 (Solorio), Chapter 378, Statutes of 2011, requires school districts to notify the Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) of School Facility Program projects with a public works contract awarded on or after January 1, 2012. Except for projects exempt pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.3(b)(3), the DIR is required to provide prevailing wage monitoring services for the projects.

The District shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The High Performance Incentive (HPI) Grant in the amount of $8,324 has been removed, pursuant to Senate Bill 869, Chapter 39, Statutes of 2014. As a result of the removal of the HPI grant, the Prevailing Wage Monitoring grant has also been reduced by $11.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No: 50/66597-00-018
School District: Newport-Mesa Unified
County: Orange
School Name: Corona Del Mar High

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project:	High School
K-6:	
7-8:	2
9-12:	295
Non-Severe:	
Severe:	
Application Filing Basis:	District Wide
Number of Classrooms:	11
Master Acres:	74.5
Existing Acres:	31.28
Proposed Acres:	
Recommended Acres:	62
Facility Hardship (a):	No
Financial Hardship Requested:	No
Alternative Education School:	No
Addition to Existing Site:	Yes

ADJUSTED GRANT DATA

New Construction Grant		$	3,890,729.00
Fire Detection Alarm			7,704.00
Prevailing Wage Monitoring			7,763.00
Multi-Level Constr.	17Crs.		466,887.00
Service Site			717,857.00
Off-Site			22,319.00
Utilities			90,022.00
Urban/Security/Impacted Site			1,014,585.00
Total State Share (50%)			6,217,866.00
District Share (50%)			6,217,866.00
Total Project Cost		$	**12,435,732.00**

PROJECT FINANCING

State Share		
This Project	$	6,217,866.00
District Share		
Cash Contribution		6,217,866.00
Financial Hardship		
Total Project Cost	$	**12,435,732.00**

HISTORY OF PROJECT COST AND APPORTIONMENT

	Fund Code		Previously Authorized		Authorized This Action		Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share							
New Construction/Add. Grant	**112-012**	$	6,218,513.00	$	(647.00)	$	(647.00)
High Performance	**112-700**		517,682.00		(517,682.00)		(517,682.00)
District Share							
Cash Contribution			6,736,195.00		(518,329.00)		
Total		$	**13,472,390.00**	$	**(1,036,658.00)**	$	**(518,329.00)**

Pursuant to School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.95 this application has been approved and placed on the Unfunded List. This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future State funding and should not be relied on in any manner as any kind of representation or indication of future State funding. The State cautions any kind of reliance on the fact that an application is placed on this list.

Assembly Bill 436 (Solorio), Chapter 378, Statutes of 2011, requires school districts to notify the Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) of School Facility Program projects with a public works contract awarded on or after January 1, 2012. Except for projects exempt pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.3(b)(3), the DIR is required to provide prevailing wage monitoring services for the projects.

The District shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The High Performance Incentive (HPI) Grant in the amount of $517,682 has been removed, pursuant to Senate Bill 869, Chapter 39, Statutes of 2014. As a result of the removal of the HPI grant, the Prevailing Wage Monitoring grant has also been reduced by $647.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No:	57/66597-00-033	**County:**	Orange
School District:	Newport-Mesa Unified	**School Name:**	Corona Del Mar High

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project:		High School	**Application Filing Basis:**	Site Specific
Pupils Assigned Over 50 Years:	**K-6:**			
	7-8:	91		
	9-12:	102		
	Non-Severe:			
	Severe:	27		
Financial Hardship Requested:		No	**Recommended Acres:**	47.14
Alternative Education School:		No	**Existing Acres:**	31.28

ADJUSTED GRANT DATA

Modernization Grant - Over 50 Yrs	1,668,779.00
Fire Detection Alarm	31,931.00
Prevailing Wage Monitoring	3,014.00
Site Development	159,255.00
Accessibility/Fire Code Req.	50,063.00
Two Stop Elevators	99,172.00
Total State Share (60%)	2,012,214.00
District Share (40%)	1,341,476.00
Total Project Cost	**$ 3,353,690.00**

PROJECT FINANCING

State Share		
This Project	**$**	2,012,214.00
District Share		
Cash Contribution		1,341,476.00
Financial Hardship		
Total Project Cost	**$**	**3,353,690.00**

HISTORY OF PROJECT COST AND APPORTIONMENT

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
Modernization/Additional Grant	**112-012**		$ 2,012,761.00	$ (547.00)	$ (547.00)
High Performance	**112-700**		364,812.00	(364,812.00)	(364,812.00)
District Share					
Cash Contribution			1,585,049.00	(243,573.00)	
Total			**$ 3,962,622.00**	**$ (608,932.00)**	**$ (365,359.00)**

Pursuant to School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.95 this application has been approved and placed on the Unfunded List. This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future State funding and should not be relied on in any manner as any kind of representation or indication of future State funding. The State cautions any kind of reliance on the fact that an application is placed on this list.

Assembly Bill 436 (Solorio), Chapter 378, Statutes of 2011, requires school district to notify the Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) of School Facility Program projects with a public works contract awarded on or after January 1, 2012 and on or before June 19, 2014. Except for projects exempt to Labor Code Section 1771.3(b)(3), the DIR is required to provide prevailing wage monitoring services for the projects.

The District shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The High Performance Incentive (HPI) Grant in the amount of $364,812 has been removed, pursuant to Senate Bill 869, Chapter 39, Statutes of 2014. As a result of the removal of the HPI grant, the Prevailing Wage Monitoring grant has also been reduced by $547.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No:	57/68866-00-010	**County:**	San Mateo
School District:	Belmont-Redwood Shores Elementary	**School Name:**	Fox Elementary

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project:		Elementary School	**Application Filing Basis:**	Site Specific
Pupils Assigned Under 50 Yrs:	**K-6:**	110		
	7-8:			
	9-12:			
	Non-Severe:			
	Severe:			
Financial Hardship Requested:		No	**Recommended Acres:**	7.3
Alternative Education School:		No	**Existing Acres:**	14.82

ADJUSTED GRANT DATA

Modernization Grant - Under 50 Yrs	$	408,430.00
Fire Detection Alarm		13,090.00
Prevailing Wage Monitoring		952.00
Small Size Project		16,337.00
Accessibility/Fire Code Req.		196,911.00
Total State Share (60%)		635,720.00
District Share (40%)		423,813.00
Total Project Cost	$	**1,059,533.00**

PROJECT FINANCING

State Share		
This Project	$	635,720.00
District Share		
Cash Contribution		423,813.00
Financial Hardship		
Total Project Cost	$	**1,059,533.00**

HISTORY OF PROJECT COST AND APPORTIONMENT

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
Modernization/Additional Grant	**112-012**		$ 636,112.00	$ (392.00)	$ (392.00)
High Performance	**112-700**		261,559.00	(261,559.00)	(261,559.00)
District Share					
Cash Contribution			598,447.00	(174,634.00)	
Total			$ **1,496,118.00**	$ **(436,585.00)**	$ **(261,951.00)**

Pursuant to School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.95 this application has been approved and placed on the Unfunded List. This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future State funding and should not be relied on in any manner as any kind of representation or indication of future State funding. The State cautions any kind of reliance on the fact that an application is placed on this list.

Assembly Bill 436 (Solorio), Chapter 378, Statutes of 2011, requires school district to notify the Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) of School Facility Program projects with a public works contract awarded on or after January 1, 2012 and on or before June 19, 2014. Except for projects exempt to Labor Code Section 1771.3(b)(3), the DIR is required to provide prevailing wage monitoring services for the projects.

The District shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The High Performance Incentive (HPI) Grant in the amount of $261,559 has been removed, pursuant to Senate Bill 869, Chapter 39, Statutes of 2014. As a result of the removal of the HPI grant, the Prevailing Wage Monitoring grant has also been reduced by $392.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……50/10058-00-001 School District:…..……..…….Calaveras COE

County:…….……..……Calaveras School Name:……..…..……Mountain Ranch Community

Financial Hardship……..…..……....Yes

Qualifying Financial Hardship Criteria: SFP Regulation Section 1859.81(c)(3).

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. This is a Financial Hardship project and has savings in the amount of $231,129. SFP Regulation Section 1859.103 states that "the State's portion of any savings declared by the district or determined by the OPSC by audit must be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant of that project … any interest earned on a financial hardship project not expended on eligible project expenditures will be treated as savings and will be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant for that project." The District has concurred with the findings and agrees to return the State funds in the amount of $231,129.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP New Construction	$ 2,294,408	$ (115,565)	$ 2,178,843
SFP Site Acquistion	319,750	0	319,750
SFP Site Other	25,000	0	25,000
SFP Service Site	517,128	0	517,128
SFP General Site	218,990	0	218,990
SFP Offsite	281,823	0	281,823
SFP Utilities	13,681	0	13,681
SFP New School	71,214	0	71,214
SFP Financial Hardship	4,075,554	(115,564)	3,959,990
SFP Project Assistance	5,168	0	5,168
SFP DTSC Fee	19,503	0	19,503
SFP Site Hazardous Removal	242,083	0	242,083
SFP Fire Detection/Alarm	4,568	0	4,568
SFP Fire Sprinklers	39,652	0	39,652
SFP Labor Compliance Program	22,585	0	22,585
Total State Apportionment	$ 8,151,107	$ (231,129)	$ 7,919,978
Financing			
State (SFP)	$ 4,075,554	$ (115,565)	$ 3,959,989
Financial Hardship (SFP)	4,075,553	(115,564)	3,959,989
Total Project Costs	$ 8,151,107	$ (231,129)	$ 7,919,978

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $231,129 in the total project cost from $8,151,107 to $7,919,978.
2. Approve a decrease of $115,565 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2004-Mar.; 055-500] from $4,075,554 to $3,959,989.
3. Approve a decrease of $115,564 in the Financial Hardship Contribution [Bonds/2004-Mar.; 055-500] from $4,075,553 to $3,959,989.
4. Require the District to return State funds in the amount of $231,129.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……50/67686-00-030 School District:……..Colton Joint Unified

County:……San Bernardino School Name:……..Joe Baca Middle

Financial Hardship……No

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. The District incurred additional eligible expenditures per SFP Regulation Section 1859.74 and 1859.106 and may receive additional Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC) costs in the amount of $685. Per SFP Regulation Section 1859.106(d), "Any adjustments made pursuant to this Section will be made only if sufficient bond authority is available for the adjustment. If an Unfunded List has been created by the Board, then any adjustments made pursuant to this Section will be placed on the Unfunded List." If sufficient bond authority becomes available in the future, this project shall be funded in order of the receipt of an Approved Application for funding per SFP Regulation 1859.93.1. The District has concurred with these findings and is eligible for an additional apportionment in the amount of $342.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP New Construction	$ 11,192,758	$ 0	$ 11,192,758
SFP Site Acquisition	912,500	0	912,500
SFP Site Other	36,500	0	36,500
SFP Service Site	1,195,011	0	1,195,011
SFP General Site	919,374	0	919,374
SFP Offsite	669,151	0	669,151
SFP Utilities	38,902	0	38,902
SFP Site DTSC Fee	4,020	342	4,362
SFP Fire Detection Alarm	14,291	0	14,291
SFP Fire Sprinklers	196,646	0	196,646
SFP Labor Compliance	68,750	0	68,750
Total State Apportionment	$ 15,247,903	$ 342	$ 15,248,245
Financing			
District Contribution	$ 15,247,903	$ 343	$ 15,248,246
State (SFP)	15,247,903	342	15,248,245
Total Project Costs	$ 30,495,806	$ 685	$ 30,496,491

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve an increase of $685 in the total project cost from $30,495,806 to $30,496,491.
2. Approve an increase of $343 in the District Contribution from $15,247,903 to $15,248,246.
3. Approve an increase of $342 in the State Apportionment from $15,247,903 to $15,248,245.
4. Approve and place this increase on the Unfunded List for this project per School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.106(d). This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future State funding and should not be relied on in any manner as any kind of representation or indication of future State funding. The State cautions any kind of reliance on the fact that an application is placed on this list.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……..50/67082-00-008
School District:…..……..……Hemet Unified
County:……..…….……..Riverside
School Name:…..……..…..……..…Tahquitz High
Financial Hardship……..…Yes
Date of Financial Hardship Status……March 13, 2001

Qualifying Financial Hardship Criteria: SFP Regulation 1859.81(c)(1).

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. A review of expenditures reported by the District indicates that per SFP Regulation Sections 1859.74 and 1859.106 the District incurred additional eligible expenditures of $1,923 for Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC) costs. However, the District was over-funded for hazardous waste costs in the amount of $30,608. As a result, the District has concurred with these findings and agrees to return the State funds in the amount of $14,343.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP New Construction	$ 23,689,176	$ 0	$ 23,689,176
SFP Site Acquisition	3,106,250	0	3,106,250
SFP Site Other	124,250	0	124,250
SFP Service Site	5,014,555	0	5,014,555
SFP Offsite	1,398,585	0	1,398,585
SFP Utilities	154,820	0	154,820
SFP Financial Hardship	3,748,320	0	3,748,320
SFP Site DTSC Fee	18,240	961	19,201
SFP Site Hazardous Removal	15,304	(15,304)	0
SFP Fire Detection/Alarm	53,000	0	53,000
SFP Fire Sprinklers	401,852	0	401,852
SFP Energy	1,184,459	0	1,184,459
SFP Labor Compliance Program	141,386	0	141,386
Total State Apportionment	$ 39,050,197	$ (14,343)	$ 39,035,854
Financing			
District Contribution	$ 31,553,557	$ (14,342)	$ 31,539,215
State (SFP)	39,050,197	(14,343)	39,035,854
Total Project Costs	$ 70,603,754	$ (28,685)	$ 70,575,069

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $28,685 in the total project cost from $70,603,754 to $70,575,069.
2. Approve a decrease of $14,342 in the District Contribution from $31,553,557 to $31,539,215.
3. Approve a decrease of $14,343 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2004-Mar.; 055-500] from $39,050,197 to $39,035,854.
4. Require the District to return State funds in the amount of $14,343.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……..……50/68965-00-001 School District:…..……Menlo Park City Elementary

County:……..……..……..San Mateo School Name:…..……Encinal Elementary

Financial Hardship……No

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. The District incurred additional eligible expenditures per SFP Regulation Sections 1859.74 and 1859.106 and may receive additional Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC) funding in the amount of $1,685. Per SFP Regulation Section 1859.106(d), "Any adjustments made pursuant to this Section will be made only if sufficient bond authority is available for the adjustment. If an Unfunded List has been created by the Board, then any adjustments made pursuant to this Section will be placed on the Unfunded List." If sufficient bond authority becomes available in the future, this project shall be funded in order of the receipt of an Approved Application for funding per SFP Regulation 1859.93.1. The District has concurred with these findings and is eligible for additional funding in the amount of $842.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP New Construction	$ 4,450,275	$ 0	$ 4,450,275
SFP Service Site	923,004	0	923,004
SFP Offsite	184,397	0	184,397
SFP Utilities	31,242	0	31,242
SFP Project Assistance	5,653	0	5,653
SFP Site DTSC Fee	6,119	842	6,961
SFP Site Hazardous Removal	44,736	0	44,736
SFP Fire Detection/Alarm	5,225	0	5,225
Total State Apportionment	$ 5,650,651	$ 842	$ 5,651,493
Financing			
District Contribution	$ 5,650,651	$ 843	$ 5,651,494
State (SFP)	5,650,651	842	5,651,493
Total Project Costs	$ 11,301,302	$ 1,685	$ 11,302,987

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve an increase of $1,685 in the total project cost from $11,301,302 to $11,302,987.
2. Approve an increase of $843 in the District Contribution from $5,650,651 to $5,651,494.
3. Approve an increase of $842 in the State Apportionment from $5,650,651 to $5,651,493.
4. Approve and place this increase on the Unfunded List for this project per School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.106(d). This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future State funding and should not be relied on in any manner as any kind of representation or indication of future State funding. The State cautions any kind of reliance on the fact that an application is placed on this list.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……..50/69120-00-007 School District:……..……..…..Santa Maria-Bonita

County:……..……..……...Santa Barbara School Name:……..……..…… Westgate Ranch Elementary

Financial Hardship……..……Yes Date of Financial Hardship Status:.....March 7, 2002

Qualifying Financial Hardship Criteria: SFP Regulation 1859.81(c)(3).

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. This is a Financial Hardship project and has savings in the amount of $9,588. SFP Regulation Section 1859.103 states that "the State's portion of any savings declared by the district or determined by the OPSC by audit must be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant of that project … any interest earned on a financial hardship project not expended on eligible project expenditures will be treated as savings and will be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant for that project." Further, the District incurred additional eligible expenditures per SFP Regulation Section 1859.106 and may receive additional Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC) costs in the amount of $9,381. The District has concurred with these findings and agrees to return State funds in the amount of $9,588 and is eligible for an additional apportionment in the amount of $9,381. The net amount to be returned to the State is $207.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP New Construction	$ 4,961,358	$ (9,485)	$ 4,951,873
SFP SDC Therapy	39,360	0	39,360
SFP Site Acquisition	1,500,000	0	1,500,000
SFP Site Other	60,000	0	60,000
SFP Service Site	103,286	0	103,286
SFP Offsite	12,003	0	12,003
SFP Utilities	23,217	0	23,217
SFP Urban Security	396,909	0	396,909
SFP Financial Hardship	7,179,094	(103)	7,178,991
SFP Therapy Toilet	79,200	0	79,200
SFP Site DTSC Fee	3,761	9,381	13,142
Total State Apportionment	$ 14,358,188	$ (207)	$ 14,357,981
Financing			
State (SFP)	$ 7,179,094	$ (104)	$ 7,178,990
Financial Hardship	7,179,094	(103)	7,178,991
Total Project Costs	$ 14,358,188	$ (207)	$ 14,357,981

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $207 in the total project cost from $14,358,188 to $14,357,981.
2. Approve a decrease of $104 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2002-Nov.; 047-500] from $7,179,094 to $7,178,990.
3. Approve a decrease of $103 in the Financial Hardship Contribution [Bonds/2002-Nov.; 047-500] from $7,179,094 to $7,178,991.
4. Require the District to return State funds in the amount of $207.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……..50/69120-00-008

School District:……..…… ...……..Santa Maria-Bonita

County:……..……..……..Santa Barbara

School Name:……..……..Liberty II – Sanchez Elementary

Financial Hardship……..……Yes

Date of Financial Hardship Status:.....March 7, 2002

Qualifying Financial Hardship Criteria: SFP Regulation 1859.81(c)(3).

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. This is a Financial Hardship project and has savings in the amount of $955. SFP Regulation Section 1859.103 states that "the State's portion of any savings declared by the district or determined by the OPSC by audit must be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant of that project … any interest earned on a financial hardship project not expended on eligible project expenditures will be treated as savings and will be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant for that project." Further, review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. The District incurred additional eligible expenditures per SFP Regulation Section 1859.74 and 1859.106 and may receive additional Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC) costs in the amount of $2,893. Per SFP Regulation Section 1859.106(d), "Any adjustments made pursuant to this Section will be made only if sufficient bond authority is available for the adjustment. If an Unfunded List has been created by the Board, then any adjustments made pursuant to this Section will be placed on the Unfunded List." If sufficient bond authority becomes available in the future, this project shall be funded in order of the receipt of an Approved Application for funding per SFP Regulation 1859.93.1. The District has concurred with these findings and agrees to return State funds in the amount of $955 and is eligible for an additional apportionment in the amount of $2,893. The net amount to be returned to the District is $1,938.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP New Construction	$ 4,638,794	$ (1,924)	$ 4,636,870
SFP SDC Therapy	98,880	0	98,880
SFP Site Acquisition	612,000	0	612,000
SFP Site Other	25,000	0	25,000
SFP Service Site	296,506	0	296,506
SFP Offsite	22,836	0	22,836
SFP Utilities	46,134	0	46,134
SFP Urban Security	371,104	0	371,104
SFP Financial Hardship	6,314,597	969	6,315,566
SFP Therapy Toilet	91,680	0	91,680
SFP Site DTSC Fee	7,363	2,893	10,256
SFP Fire Detection/Alarm	25,140	0	25,140
SFP Site Fire Sprinklers	79,160	0	79,160
Total State Apportionment	$ 12,629,194	$ 1,938	$ 12,631,132
Financing			
State (SFP)	$ 6,314,597	$ 969	$ 6,315,566
Financial Hardship	6,314,597	969	6,315,566
Total Project Costs	$ 12,629,194	$ 1,938	$ 12,631,132

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve an increase of $1,938 in the total project cost from $12,629,194 to $12,631,132.
2. Approve an increase of $969 in the State Apportionment from $6,314,597 to $6,315,566.
3. Approve an increase of $969 in the Financial Hardship Contribution from $6,314,597 to $6,315,566.
4. Approve and place this increase on the Unfunded List for this project per School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.106(d). This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future State funding and should not be relied on in any manner as any kind of representation or indication of future State funding. The State cautions any kind of reliance on the fact that an application is placed on this list.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……..50/10488-00-028 School District:…..……Solano County Office of Education

County:……..……...…..Solano School Name:……....Golden Hills Educational Center

Financial Hardship……Yes Date of Financial Hardship Status…….September 16, 2010

Qualifying Financial Hardship Criteria: SFP Regulation 1859.81(c)(3).

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. This is a Financial Hardship project and has savings in the amount of $43,155. SFP Regulation Section 1859.103 states that "the State's portion of any savings declared by the district or determined by the OPSC by audit must be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant of that project…any interest earned on a financial hardship project not expended on eligible project expenditures will be treated as savings and will be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant for that project." The District has returned State funds in the amount of $43,319.75, of which $164.75 will be returned to the District. The District has concurred with these findings.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP New Construction	$ 1,986,120	$ (21,577)	$ 1,964,543
SFP Service Site	205,001	0	205,001
SFP Utilities	81,935	0	81,935
SFP Small School	79,445	0	79,445
SFP Financial Hardship	2,291,297	(21,578)	2,269,719
SFP Project Assistance	5,498	0	5,498
SFP Fire Detection/Alarm	3,888	0	3,888
SFP Labor Compliance Program	19,158	0	19,158
Total State Apportionment	$ 4,672,342	$ (43,155)	$ 4,629,187
Financing			
District Contribution	$ 89,748	$ 0	$ 89,748
State (SFP)	2,381,045	(21,577)	2,359,468
Financial Hardship (SFP)	2,291,297	(21,578)	2,269,719
Total Project Costs	$ 4,762,090	$ (43,155)	$ 4,718,935

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $43,155 in the total project cost from $4,762,090 to $4,718,935.
2. Approve a decrease of $21,577 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2002-Nov.; 047-500] from $2,381,045 to $2,359,468.
3. Approve a decrease of $21,578 in the Financial Hardship Contribution [Bonds/2002-Nov.; 047-500] from $2,291,297 to $2,269,719.
4. Recognize that the District has already returned State funds in the amount of $43,319.75.
5. Require the State to return funds to the District in the amount of $164.75.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……51/67215-00-001 School District:……Riverside Unified

County:……Riverside School Name:……Hawthorne Elementary

Financial Hardship……No

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To present grant adjustments from site sale proceeds pursuant to School Facility Program (SFP) Facility Hardship Regulations.

DESCRIPTION

At the August 2005 State Allocation Board (SAB) meeting, the SAB approved the District's request to abandon and replace the facilities and site at the Hawthorne Elementary School, including a requirement to sell the site and apply 50 percent of the proceeds toward the project. In August 2015, the SAB approved the District's request to remit to the State 50 percent of the appraised value of the site in lieu of selling it. In October 2015, the District returned $615,000 to the State in lieu of site sale proceeds.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP New Construction	$ 5,730,840.00	$ (615,000)	$ 5,115,840.00
SFP SDC Therapy Area	136,620.00	0	136,620.00
SFP SDC Therapy - Toilet Area	73,160.00	0	73,160.00
SFP Fire Detection Alarm	9,179.00	0	9,179.00
SFP Sprinkler System	107,441.00	0	107,441.00
SFP Labor Compliance	39,996.00	0	39,996.00
SFP Site Acquisition	527,205.00	0	527,205.00
SFP Service Site	744,114.00	0	744,114.00
SFP Off-site	132,122.00	0	132,122.00
SFP Utilities	40,896.00	0	40,896.00
SFP General Site	479,249.00	0	479,249.00
Total State Apportionment	$ 8,020,822.00	$ (615,000)	$ 7,405,822.00
Financing			
District Contribution	$ 8,020,822.00	$ (615,000)	$ 7,405,822.00
State (SFP)	8,020,822.00	(615,000)	7,405,822.00
Total Project Costs	$ 16,041,644.00	$ (1,230,000)	$ 14,811,644.00

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $1,230,000 in the total project cost from $16,041,644 to $14,811,644.
2. Approve a decrease of $615,000 in the District Contribution from $8,020,822 to $7,405,822.
3. Approve a decrease of $615,000 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2004-Mar.; 055-500] from $8,020,822 to $7,405,822.
4. Recognize that the District has already returned State funds in the amount of $615,000.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……..54/69179-00-002
School District:……..……..……College Elementary
County:……..…..Santa Barbara
School Name:…..……..……..Santa Ynez Valley Charter
Financial Hardship……No

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. This is a Charter School Facilities Program (CSFP) project and has savings in the amount of $32,574. SFP Regulation Section 1859.169 .1 states that projects funded under the CSFP do not generate savings and remaining funds must be returned to the State. Further, the District incurred additional eligible expenditures per SFP Regulation Section 1859.106 and may receive additional Hazardous Removal costs in the amount of $16,774. The District has concurred with these findings and agrees to return State funds in the amount of $16,287 and is eligible for an additional apportionment in the amount of $8,387. The net amount to be returned to the State is $7,900.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP Modernization	$ 587,486	$ (16,287)	$ 571,199
SFP Urban Security	116,490	0	116,490
SFP Fire Code Compliance	17,625	0	17,625
SFP Site Hazardous Removal	0	8,387	8,387
Total State Apportionment	$ 721,601	$ (7,900)	$ 713,701
Financing			
District Contribution	$ 721,601	$ (7,900)	$ 713,701
State (SFP)	721,601	(7,900)	713,701
Total Project Costs	$ 1,443,202	$ (15,800)	$ 1,427,402

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $15,800 in the total project cost from $1,443,202 to $1,427,402.
2. Approve a decrease of $7,900 in the District Contribution from $721,601 to $713,701.
3. Approve a decrease of $7,900 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2006-Nov.; 057-540] from $721,601 to $713,701.
4. Require the District to return State funds in the amount of $7,900.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……..54/61259-00-001 School District: ….....Oakland Unified

County:……..……..Alameda School Name:……...Oakland Military Institute

Financial Hardship:……..……No

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions and adjustments to close out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions are necessary to close out this completed project. The District incurred additional eligible expenditures per SFP Regulation Sections 1859.74 and 1859.106 and may receive additional hazardous waste materials removal funding in the amount of $56,020. SFP Regulation Section 1859.106(d), "Any adjustments made pursuant to this Section will be made only if sufficient bond authority is available for the adjustment. If an Unfunded List has been created by the Board, then any adjustments made pursuant to SFP Regulation Section 1859.106(d) will be placed on the Unfunded List." The District has concurred with these findings and is eligible for additional funding in the amount of $56,020.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
Hazardous Waste Removal	$ 0	$ 28,010	$ 28,010
Rehabilitation	1,007,876	0	1,007,876
Urban Security	324,363	0	324,363
Fire Code Compliance	30,236	0	30,236
Elevator 2 stop	67,460	0	67,460
High Performance	271,972	0	271,972
CSFA Lease amount*	1,604,640	(28,010)	1,576,630
Total State Apportionment	$ 3,306,547	$ 0	$ 3,306,547
Financing			
District Contribution	$ 97,267	$ 56,020	$ 153,287
State (SFP)	1,701,907	28,010	1,729,917
CSFA Lease amount*	1,604,640	(28,010)	1,576,630
Total Project Costs	$ 3,403,814	$ 56,020	$ 3,459,834

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve an increase of $56,020 in the total project cost from $3,403,814 to $3,459,834.
2. Approve an increase of $28,010 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2006-Nov.; 057-540] from $1,701,907 to $1,729,917.
3. Approve a decrease of $28,010 in the California School Finance Authority (CSFA) lease amount [Bonds/2006-Nov.; 057-540] from $1,604,640 to $1,576,630.
4. Approve an accounting adjustment to the District contribution to reflect expenditure in excess of the grant by $28,010.
5. Approve an increase of $56,020 in the District contribution from $97,267 to $153,287.

*To avoid placement on an unfunded list, the District has agreed to apply an amount of $28,010 due the District towards the outstanding CSFA Lease balance, and increase the District's contribution by $28,010.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……55/67314-00-001 School District:…..……..Elk Grove Unified

County:……..……Sacramento School Name:……..Pinkerton MS & Cosumnes Oaks High

Financial Hardship……No

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. This is a Career Technical Education Facilities Program project and has savings in the amount of $776,025. SFP Regulation Section 1859.199 states "an applicant district may not retain savings realized by a Career Technical Education Facilities Project." The District has concurred with the findings and agrees to return the State funds in the amount of $388,013.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP New Construction	$ 2,109,916	$ (388,013)	$ 1,721,903
SFP Service Site	29,584	0	29,584
SFP CTE Equipment	358,326	0	358,326
Total State Apportionment	$ 2,497,826	$ (388,013)	$ 2,109,813
Financing			
District Contribution	$ 2,497,826	$ (388,012)	$ 2,109,814
State (SFP)	2,497,826	(388,013)	2,109,813
Total Project Costs	$ 4,995,652	$ (776,025)	$ 4,219,627

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $776,025 in the total project cost from $4,995,652 to $4,219,627.
2. Approve a decrease of $388,012 in the District Contribution from $2,497,826 to $2,109,814.
3. Approve a decrease of $388,013 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2006-Nov.; 057-600] from $2,497,826 to $2,109,813.
4. Require the District to return State funds in the amount of $388,013.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……..59/61424-00-001 School District:…..……Chico Unified
County:……..……...…...Butte School Name:…..……...Chico Senior High
Financial Hardship…….....No

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. This is a Career Technical Education Facilities Program project and has savings in the amount of $227,813. SFP Regulation Section 1859.199 states "an applicant district may not retain savings realized by a Career Technical Education Facilities Project." The District has concurred with the findings and agrees to return the State funds in the amount of $113,907.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP Modernization	$ 888,222	$ (113,907)	$ 774,315
SFP CTE Equipment	75,001	0	75,001
Total State Apportionment	$ 963,223	$ (113,907)	$ 849,316
Financing			
District Contribution	$ 963,223	$ (113,906)	$ 849,317
State (SFP)	963,223	(113,907)	849,316
Total Project Costs	$ 1,926,446	$ (227,813)	$ 1,698,633

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $227,813 in the total project cost from $1,926,446 to $1,698,633.
2. Approve a decrease of $113,906 in the District Contribution from $963,223 to $849,317.
3. Approve a decrease of $113,907 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2006-Nov.; 057-600] from $963,223 to $849,316.
4. Require the District to return State funds in the amount of $113,907.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……..59/66670-00-006 School District:……..……..……...…Santa Ana Unified

County:……..……..……Orange School Name:……..……Valley High

Financial Hardship……No

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. This is a Career Technical Education Facilities Program project and has savings in the amount of $110,255. SFP Regulation Section 1859.199 states "an applicant district may not retain savings realized by a Career Technical Education Facilities Project." The District has concurred with the findings and agrees to return State funds in the amount of $55,127.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP CTE Equipment	$ 222,286	(55,127)	$ 167,159
Total State Apportionment	$ 222,286	$ (55,127)	$ 167,159
Financing			
District Contribution	$ 222,286	$ (55,128)	$ 167,158
State (SFP)	222,286	(55,127)	167,159
Total Project Costs	$ 444,572	$ (110,255)	$ 334,317

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $110,255 in the total project cost from $444,572 to $334,317.
2. Approve a decrease of $55,128 in the District Contribution from $222,286 to $167,158.
3. Approve a decrease of $55,127 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2006-Nov.; 057-600] from $222,286 to $167,159.
4. Require the District to return State funds in the amount of $55,127.

REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER
State Allocation Board Meeting, April 20, 2016

CHARTER SCHOOL FACILITIES PROGRAM
EXTENSION TO CONVERSION DEADLINE

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To present Ocean Charter School's (Charter School) request for a one-year extension to the conversion deadline for their new construction project (Application Number 54/64733-00-073).

DESCRIPTION

Charter schools participating in the Charter School Facilities Program (CSFP) must convert a preliminary apportionment (PA) within a four-year period. This item requests a one-year extension to the conversion deadline for Ocean Charter School, as provided for in regulation.

AUTHORITY

See Attachment A.

BACKGROUND

The CSFP provides PAs (reservations of bond authority) for new construction and rehabilitation projects. The PA for a CSFP project must be converted within a four-year period to an adjusted grant apportionment meeting all the School Facilities Program (SFP) criteria, unless a single one-year extension is granted.

Charter schools may request the State Allocation Board (Board) approve a one-year extension. The request will be approved when a charter school meets one of the following sets of criteria:

- The charter school has provided evidence of both of the following:
    - The California Department of Education (CDE) has made a contingent or final approval of the proposed site; and,
    - The Division of the State Architect (DSA) has confirmed that the final plans for the project have been submitted to the DSA for review and approval.
- Other evidence satisfactory to the Board justifying the extension.

STAFF ANALYSIS/COMMENTS

The Charter School's current conversion deadline is May 2, 2016. The Charter School is requesting an extension to this deadline due to delays caused by difficulties in identifying a suitable school site. The Charter School has identified a site and is currently working on the California Department of Education approval process. The Charter School has also started work on construction drawings. Staff has reviewed the Charter School's request (Attachment B), which provides satisfactory evidence to justify the extension. Staff supports the Charter School's request for a one-year extension to the conversion deadline. The new conversion deadline would be May 2, 2017.

RECOMMENDATION

Approve the Charter School's request for a single one-year extension to its conversion deadline. Require that the PA for Ocean Charter School be converted to a final apportionment by May 2, 2017, or it will be rescinded and the bond authority returned to the CSFP.

ATTACHMENT A

AUTHORITY

School Facility Program (SFP) Section 1859.166. Time Limit on Preliminary Charter School Apportionment.

(a) A complete request to convert a Preliminary Charter School Apportionment to a Final Charter School Apportionment pursuant to Section 1859.165 shall be made within four years of the date of the Preliminary Charter School Apportionment unless the Charter School received approval of an extension pursuant to Section 1859.166.1.
(b) If (a) is not met, and the Charter School has not received an advance release of funds as provided in Section 1859.164.2, the following will occur:
(1) the Preliminary Charter School Apportionment shall be rescinded and any remaining Preliminary Charter School Apportionment, not released to the Charter School, shall be transferred to the Unrestricted Charter School Fund within the appropriate Charter School Facility Account, and;
(2) the SFP New Construction Eligibility will be increased by the number of unhoused pupils pursuant to Section 1859.162.2 for the school district that physically contains the Charter School within its geographical boundaries.
(c) If (a) is not met, and the Charter School has received an advance release of funds as provided in Section 1859.164.2, the following will occur:
(1) The Preliminary Charter School Apportionment shall be rescinded and any remaining Preliminary Charter School Apportionment, not released to the Charter School, shall be transferred to the Unrestricted Charter School Fund within the appropriate Charter School Facility Account, and;
(2) Funds released pursuant to Section 1859.164.2(a) shall be reduced to cost incurred and closed-out pursuant to Section 1859.106 with a corresponding SFP new construction baseline eligibility adjustment for the pupils assigned to the Preliminary Charter School Application. The adjustment to the baseline eligibility shall be based on the ratio of the number of unhoused pupils pursuant to Section 1859.162.2 and the project capacity which generated the project funding to the eligible expenditures. Any funds released are subject to the fifty percent local matching share requirement as required by EC 17078.54(d). Funds returned pursuant to Section 1859.106 shall be transferred to the Unrestricted Charter School Fund within the appropriate Charter School Facility Account, and;
(3) Funds released pursuant to Section 1859.164.2(b) shall be subject to the provisions of Section 1859.171.

SFP Section 1859.166.1. Preliminary Charter School Apportionment Time Limit Extension.

A Charter School that has received a Preliminary Charter School Apportionment may request a single one-year extension of the time limit prescribed in Section 1859.166(a). The Board shall approve the request provided the criteria in (a) or (b) are met:
(a) The Charter School has provided evidence of both of the following:
(1) The CDE has made a contingent or final approval of the proposed site; and,
(2) The DSA has confirmed that the final plans for the project have been submitted to the DSA for review and approval.
(b) Other evidence satisfactory to the Board justifying the extension.

ATTACHMENT B

Erin Cunneen
Office of Public School Construction
707 Third Street
West Sacramento, California, 95605

March 10, 2016

Dear Erin,

Ocean Charter School requests that our Charter School Facilities Program preliminary apportionment, application number 54/64733-00-073 be extended for one year starting May 2, 2016 to May 2, 2017.

We have made the following substantial progress on our project located at 12870 Panama Street, Los Angeles:

- CDE has reviewed the site through their 4.0 process and has rated this site as the best available site and that Ocean may proceed with further evaluation of the site.

- Ocean has completed a Geo Hazards/Title 5 safety study on the site, which concluded that there were no safety issues.

- Our Environmental Consultant has completed a review of all toxic related studies and testing provided by the seller of the property including a No Further Action Letter by the Regional Water Board and is ready to submit to DTSC and request a NFA from them.

- Our CEQA consultant is completing an initial study for a Negative Declaration/EIR on the site.

- Ocean has entered into a purchase agreement for the property and escrow is projected to close at the end of April 2016.

- An Architect has been retained, schematic plans have been completed and construction drawings have started.

12606 Culver Blvd., Los Angeles, CA 90066 phone 310 827-5511 fax 310 827-2012
7400 W. Manchester Ave. Los Angeles, CA 90045 phone 310 348-9050 fax 310 348-9085
www.oceancs.org

- Ocean has submitted a Grant request to LAUSD to provide additional funding for the project, which is up to 50% of the project costs. We expect LAUSD board approval on April 12, 2016.

- LAUSD will be the lead agency for approving CEQA and will exempt the project from local zoning during the approval process.

- A Geo Technical study and soil boring on the site has already been completed. We are waiting for the report to be completed.

- The site has been surveyed.

Please accept this work on the site as evidence to satisfy OPSC and the SAB to justify the extension.

Thank you very much for your help in this matter.

Sincerely,

Stephanie Edwards
Executive Director, Ocean Charter School

12606 Culver Blvd., Los Angeles, CA 90066 phone 310 827-5511 fax 310 827-2012
7400 W. Manchester Ave. Los Angeles, CA 90045 phone 310 348-9050 fax 310 348-9085
www.oceancs.org

REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER
State Allocation Board Meeting, April 20, 2016

CHARTER SCHOOL REQUEST TO TRANSFER TITLE TO PROJECT FACILITIES

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To present Ocean Charter School's (Charter School) request to retain its previous approval to hold title to the project facilities and site for Office of Public School Construction (OPSC) application number 54/64733-00-073.

DESCRIPTION

The Charter School was previously approved by the State Allocation Board (Board) to hold title to the project facilities and site. At the January 2016 meeting, the Charter School was approved to transfer the right to hold title back to the Los Angeles Unified School District (District) in order to qualify for additional funding offered by the District. The Charter School now requests to maintain approval to hold title.

AUTHORITY

See Attachment A.

BACKGROUND

Education Code (EC) Section 17078.63 states that title to project facilities and sites funded by the Charter School Facility Program (CSFP) may be held by the school district in which the project is located, a local governmental entity, or the charter school. If a charter school wishes to hold title, a request to hold title must be made, unless the Agreements were executed prior to January 1, 2010. When a charter school holds title, the following additional security provisions must be recorded in the chain of title for the property:

- A lien on the property on behalf of the Board,
- A restrictive covenant requiring that the facilities be used for public school purposes,
- A remainder interest reverting to the local school district or the Board in the event the district disclaims the interest.

The Charter School was approved to hold title in April 2012. At the January 2016 meeting, the right to hold title was given to the District to allow the Charter School to qualify for additional funding from the District. The Charter School will receive funding from the District after all funds from the CSFP project have been released. In order to move forward with site acquisition, the Charter School plans to apply for bridge financing until CSFP funds are released and is requesting to maintain title to the site for this purpose. The Charter School intends to resubmit a request to transfer title back to the District once the bridge financing is paid off in order to qualify for the additional funding from the District. Agreements were executed in 2012, which identify the Charter School as holding title to the project facilities. Revised Agreements with the District as title holder have not been executed.

STAFF ANALYSIS / STATEMENTS

The Charter School's request is included as Attachment B. Board approval of this request is contingent upon all of the security provisions being applied, as required by the EC. If the charter school does not allow for the recording of the lien, restrictive covenant, or remainder interest, staff will present an item to a future Board meeting recommending revocation of the original 2012 approval.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Allow the Charter School to retain the previous approval to hold title to the project facilities and site.

2. Direct staff to present an item recommending revocation of this Board approval should the charter school fail to implement all of the security provisions set forth in the EC Section 17078.63(a)(3)(B).

AUTHORITY

Education Code Section 17078.63:
(a) Prior to the release of funds for an application submitted pursuant to paragraph (2) of subdivision (b) of Section 17078.53 for site acquisition or new construction final apportionments, applicants shall provide one of the following:

(1) Documentary evidence that the school district in which the facility is to be physically located holds title to the project facilities in trust for the benefit of the state public school system.

(2) Documentary evidence that a local governmental entity, including, but not limited to, a county board of education, a city, a county, or a city and county, holds title to the project facilities in trust for the benefit of the state public school system, subject to both of the following conditions:

(A) Consistent with the prohibition in Section 6 of Article IX of the California Constitution regarding governance of public schools, a city, county, city and county, or other local governmental entity not included within the public school system that holds title pursuant to this paragraph shall not exercise any control over the operation of the charter school.

(B) The following shall be recorded in the chain of title for the property:

(i) A restrictive covenant specifying that the facility shall be used only for public school purposes as authorized in the California Constitution and statute.

(ii) A remainder interest to the school district in which the facility is physically located or, if the school district disclaims the interest to the facility, to the board. The remainder interest shall be triggered when the facility is no longer needed for charter school purposes and shall then be subject to paragraphs (2) to (6), inclusive, of subdivision (b) of Section 17078.62.

(3) (A) A request that the charter school be authorized to hold fee simple title to the subject property in trust for the benefit of the state public school system, on which a lien shall be recorded in favor of the board for the total amount of funds allocated pursuant to this article, including any loan received in lieu of a local matching share pursuant to Section 17078.57. The charter school shall include with the request a statement outlining the reasons why ownership of the project facilities is not vested with an entity set forth in paragraph (1) or (2). Prior to releasing any project funds, the board shall make findings that the applicant has submitted all of the information required by this paragraph.

(B) The following shall be recorded in the chain of title for the property:

(i) A restrictive covenant specifying that the facility shall be used only for public school purposes as authorized in the California Constitution and statute.

(ii) A remainder interest to the school district in which the facility is physically located or, if the school district disclaims the interest to the facility, to the board. The remainder interest shall be triggered when the facility is no longer needed for charter school purposes and shall then be subject to paragraphs (2) to (6), inclusive, of subdivision (b) of Section 17078.62.

(b) A charter school may request a school district to transfer title to project facilities to an entity authorized by paragraph (2) or (3) of subdivision (a) if the school district entered into an agreement, prior to January 1, 2010, to hold title to those facilities. A school district that receives a request pursuant to this subdivision may transfer the title to the entity designated in the request pursuant to terms and conditions mutually agreed upon by the district and the charter school.

(c) The board may adopt regulations to implement this section.

…
School Facility Program (SFP) Regulation Section 1859.2., "Definitions."
For the purpose of these regulations, the terms set forth below shall have the following meanings, subject to the provisions of the Act:
…
"Charter School" shall mean a school established pursuant to Education Code, Title 2, Division 4, Part 26.8, Section 47600, et seq.

“Charter School Agreements” mean a memorandum of understanding, a funding agreement and a use agreement as established by the California School Finance Authority (CSFA).
…
“Facility” means all or a portion of any real property, site improvements, utilities and/or buildings or other improvements contained in the project.
…
“Final Charter School Apportionment” shall mean a Preliminary Charter School Apportionment that has been converted to a Final Charter School Apportionment in accordance with Section 1859.165.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.172., “Title to Project Facilities.”
(a) Prior to the release of funds for site acquisition or new construction Final Charter School Apportionments, a charter school that has received a Preliminary Charter School Apportionment must provide one of the following:
(1) Documentary evidence that the school district in which the project is physically located holds title to the project facilities,
(2) Documentary evidence that a local governmental entity holds title pursuant to all of the requirements set forth in Education Code Section 17078.63(a)(2), or
(3) A written request that the charter school be authorized to hold fee simple title to the subject property signed by an authorized charter school representative pursuant to all of requirements set forth in Education Code Section 17078.63(a)(3). The written request must include a statement justifying the reasons why ownership will not be vested with an entity described in (a)(1) and why ownership will not be vested with an entity described in (a)(2). The written request must also include the statement:
I certify, as the charter school representative, that the information reported in this document is true and correct.
(b) A charter school may request that a school district transfer title to project facilities to a local governmental entity or the charter school itself if prior to January 1, 2010 the school district entered into an agreement to hold title to the project facilities. The transfer of title shall only take place if the school district and charter school mutually agree to a title transfer. Prior to the transfer of title, the charter school must notify the OPSC in writing that a title transfer request is being made, enter into new Charter School Agreements with the State and a local governmental entity if applicable, and demonstrate that all of the necessary conditions for holding title pursuant to Education Code Section 17078.63 will be complied with. For purposes of title transfer pursuant to Education Code Section 17078.63(b)(1) the charter school shall not be required to provide the written request outlined in section (a)(3) above.

ATTACHMENT B

Ocean Charter School

February 19, 2016

Erin Cunneen
Office Of Public School Construction
707 Third Street
West Sacramento, Ca. 95605

Dear Erin,

Ocean Charter School respectfully requests to maintain ownership of property purchased through their State Funded project # 54/64733-00-073.

As you know, recently we requested that LAUSD own the property since we are working with the district on a Augmentation Grant. However, we are also working with a bank to provide a Bridge loan to gap the time when our conversion application is made and the state funding is received. The bank is requesting they put a lien on the property until property is paid off. We were not aware of the Bank's desire for a lien when we originally asked for LAUSD to retain ownership.

Once the bridge loan is paid off, we will then need to ask for LAUSD to have ownership before their Augmentation funds can be received for the project. LAUSD's Charter Augmentation Grant has been increased from 35% of the project cost to 50% which will greatly assist in our project.

Thank you very much for your assistance.

Sincerely,

Kristy Mack-Fett

Kristy Mack-Fett
Director

12606 Culver Blvd., Los Angeles, CA 90066 phone 310 827-5511 fax 310 827-2012
7400 W. Manchester Ave. Los Angeles, CA 90045 phone 310 348-9050 fax 310 348-9085
www.oceancharterschool.org

Non-Conforming Buildings

(Continued Use)

REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER
State Allocation Board Meeting, April 20, 2016

EXEMPTION FOR INDEPENDENT STUDY FACILITIES

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To present the San Joaquin County Office of Education's (COE) request, as shown on the Attachment, for an exemption from the definition of "school buildings" for certain facilities used for independent study.

DESCRIPTION

The COE operates an independent study program at a facility that is not located at a regular school site and is requesting an exemption from the definition of "School building," as described in Education Code (EC) Section 17283, for the facility.

EC Section 17289 authorizes any school district or county office of education to request an exemption from the State Allocation Board (Board) for a building or structure or portion of a building or structure used for independent study from the definition of "school buildings." These buildings do not have to meet the Field Act requirements but must comply with local health and safety standards.

The COE has certified that it has satisfied the legal requirements under EC Section 17289 for the exemption.

AUTHORITY

EC Section 17283 states: " "School building" as used in this article means and includes any building used, or designed to be used, for elementary or secondary school purposes and constructed, reconstructed, altered, or added to, by the state or any city or city and county, or by any political subdivision or by any school district of any kind within the state, or by any regional occupational center or program created by or authorized to act by an agreement under joint exercise of power, or by the United States government, or any agency thereof."

EC Section 17289 states "In order to provide alternative, community-based educational opportunities through independent study, any school district or county office of education may request an exemption from the State Allocation Board for a building or structure, or portion of a building or structure, from the definition of "school buildings" within the meaning of Section 17283. The exemptions may be granted for no longer than two years and exemptions are renewable. An exemption may only be granted if the school district or county office of education demonstrates to the satisfaction of the State Allocation Board all of the following:

(a) The building or structure, or portion of building or structure, satisfies all of the following:
(1) It is not located on a regular schoolsite.
(2) It complies with all applicable local building standards and all relevant local health and safety standards in the community in which it is located.
(3) It is used for independent study.
(4) It serves fewer than 25 pupils enrolled in kindergarten or any of the grades 1 to 12, inclusive, at any one time in the building or structure, or in a portion of a building or structure where the remainder of the building or structure is not used for instructional purposes.
(b) The use of the building or structure is critical to providing an effective alternative, community-based program.
(c) The use of other buildings or structures that would meet seismic safety standards for school facilities is not practical."

(Continued on Page Two)

STAFF ANALYSIS/STATEMENTS

The COE has provided certification that it has met the criteria as stated in EC Section 17289. An exemption has been requested for one year.

RECOMMENDATION

Approve the COE's request for an exemption from the definition of "school buildings" for the sites listed on the Attachment from July 1, 2015 through June 30, 2016.

ATTACHMENT

EXEMPTION FOR INDEPENDENT STUDY FACILITIES

San Joaquin County Office of Education
[91/10397-00-000]

Site	I.D. No.
Ambition 321 Sun West Place Manteca, CA 95337	39-10397-0000000
Career Readiness 800 Douglas Road Stockton, CA 95207	39-10397-0000000
Dream Academy 4635 Georgetown Place, Suite B Stockton, CA 95207	39-10397-0000000
FOD North 2020 West Swain Road Stockton, CA 95207	39-10397-0000000
Frontier I 2200 North El Dorado Street Stockton, CA 95204	39-10397-0000000
Frontier II 4635 Georgetown Place, Suite B Stockton, CA 95207	39-10397-0000000
Odyssey 725 North El Dorado Street Stockton, CA 95202	39-10397-0000000

Emergency Repair Program

REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER
State Allocation Board Meeting, April 20, 2016

EMERGENCY REPAIR PROGRAM GRANT ADJUSTMENTS

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To correct grant apportionments for the attached listing of Emergency Repair Program (ERP) projects.

DESCRIPTION

The Local Educational Agencies (LEAs) listed on the Attachment have submitted the required *Expenditure Reports* (Form SAB 61-04) upon completion of their projects. The State Allocation Board (Board) previously approved adjustments to the project apportionments in recognition of reported project savings and/or the accrual of interest. The Attachment includes 130 ERP applications that require minor adjustments to the apportionment, savings, and/or interest amounts previously recognized and approved by the Board. The adjustments will not result in any amounts due by school districts.

The purpose of this item is to request the Board make adjustments, as listed on the Attachment, to the apportionment, savings, and interest amounts previously recognized and approved by the Board.

AUTHORITY

California Code of Regulations (CCR) Title 2, Section 1859.330, states in part, that when expenditures have been determined to be less than the ERP funded grant, the apportionment for ERP funding should be reduced (adjusted) by the amount of the savings and any interest earned.

CCR Title 2, Section 1859.324.1(a), states in part, that when adjustments to apportionments are established, collection procedures must be initiated.

RECOMMENDATION

Approve the adjustments for the ERP applications listed on the Attachment.

ATTACHMENT

EMERGENCY REPAIR PROGRAM GRANT ADJUSTMENTS
State Allocation Board Meeting, April 20, 2016

County	School District	Site	Application Number	Current LEA Total State Apportionment	Current Application Savings	Current Application Interest	Adjustment to LEA Total State Apportionment	Adjustment to Application Savings	Adjustment to Application Interest	Final LEA Total State Apportionment	Final Application Savings	Final Application Interest
COLUSA	PIERCE JOINT UNIFIED	Pierce High	61/61614-00-0006	111,549.03	37,035.00	2,074.00	(0.03)	-	-	111,549.00	37,035.00	2,074.00
COLUSA	PIERCE JOINT UNIFIED	Pierce High	61/61614-00-0014	494,125.00	12,326.00	2,399.00	-	-	(0.13)	494,125.00	12,326.00	2,398.87
CONTRA COSTA	JOHN SWETT UNIFIED	Swett (John) High	61/61697-00-0006	36,098.00	56,296.81	644.00	0.19	-	(0.08)	36,098.19	56,296.81	643.92
CONTRA COSTA	JOHN SWETT UNIFIED	Swett (John) High	61/61697-00-0009	90,981.41	1,159.00	400.00	(0.41)	-	(0.18)	90,981.00	1,159.00	399.82
CONTRA COSTA	JOHN SWETT UNIFIED	Swett (John) High	61/61697-00-0016	3,669,281.24	308,696.00	21,547.00	(0.24)	-	(0.17)	3,669,281.00	308,696.00	21,546.83
CONTRA COSTA	WEST CONTRA COSTA UNIFIED	De Anza Senior High	61/61796-00-0111	73,751.00	59,236.00	1,977.00	-	-	(0.01)	73,751.00	59,236.00	1,976.99
CONTRA COSTA	WEST CONTRA COSTA UNIFIED	Pinole Valley High	61/61796-00-0112	617,163.00	402,965.00	10,586.00	-	-	0.21	617,163.00	402,965.00	10,586.21
CONTRA COSTA	WEST CONTRA COSTA UNIFIED	Transition Learning Center	61/61796-00-0113	390,385.00	444,720.00	10,555.00	-	-	(0.33)	390,385.00	444,720.00	10,554.67
CONTRA COSTA	WEST CONTRA COSTA UNIFIED	Fairmont Elementary	61/61796-00-0114	20,040.00	48,716.00	918.00	-	-	0.44	20,040.00	48,716.00	918.44
CONTRA COSTA	WEST CONTRA COSTA UNIFIED	Coronado Elementary	61/61796-00-0115	902,341.68	303,593.00	16,843.00	0.32	-	0.02	902,342.00	303,593.00	16,843.02
CONTRA COSTA	WEST CONTRA COSTA UNIFIED	Castro Elementary	61/61796-00-0116	22,503.00	39,699.00	903.00	-	-	(0.39)	22,503.00	39,699.00	902.61
CONTRA COSTA	WEST CONTRA COSTA UNIFIED	Crespi Junior High	61/61796-00-0150	1,724,642.00	681,255.00	8,157.00	-	-	0.10	1,724,642.00	681,255.00	8,157.10
CONTRA COSTA	WEST CONTRA COSTA UNIFIED	Adams Middle	61/61796-00-0151	727,191.46	505,021.00	4,050.00	(0.46)	-	(0.06)	727,191.00	505,021.00	4,049.94
CONTRA COSTA	WEST CONTRA COSTA UNIFIED	Coronado Elementary	61/61796-00-0155	152,904.66	328,846.00	7,090.00	0.34	-	0.12	152,905.00	328,846.00	7,090.12
FRESNO	GOLDEN PLAINS UNIFIED	Cantua Elementary	61/75234-00-0008	41,959.80	2,738.00	2,286.00	0.20	-	0.65	41,960.00	2,738.00	2,286.65
FRESNO	PARLIER UNIFIED	Parlier High	61/62364-00-0001	267,129.00	147,769.00	9,091.00	-	-	0.23	267,129.00	147,769.00	9,091.23
FRESNO	PARLIER UNIFIED	Martinez (John C.) Elem	61/62364-00-0002	213,316.00	428,016.00	14,768.00	-	-	(0.04)	213,316.00	428,016.00	14,767.96
FRESNO	PARLIER UNIFIED	Chavez (Cesar E.) Elementary	61/62364-00-0003	302,133.00	249,967.00	12,085.00	-	-	0.58	302,133.00	249,967.00	12,085.58
FRESNO	PARLIER UNIFIED	Brletic (Mathew J.) Elementary	61/62364-00-0004	201,160.00	4,421.00	4,007.00	-	-	0.51	201,160.00	4,421.00	4,007.51
FRESNO	PARLIER UNIFIED	Brletic (Mathew J.) Elementary	61/62364-00-0005	130,801.00	11,560.00	2,825.00	-	-	(0.61)	130,801.00	11,560.00	2,824.39
FRESNO	PARLIER UNIFIED	Brletic (Mathew J.) Elementary	61/62364-00-0006	122,892.00	61,171.00	2,956.00	-	-	(0.62)	122,892.00	61,171.00	2,955.38
FRESNO	PARLIER UNIFIED	Parlier High	61/62364-00-0007	475,379.00	253,996.00	12,501.00	-	-	0.21	475,379.00	253,996.00	12,501.21
FRESNO	WASHINGTON COLONY ELEMENTARY	Washington Colony Elementary	61/62513-00-0003	189,825.65	58,535.00	6,265.00	0.35	-	0.62	189,826.00	58,535.00	6,265.62
FRESNO	WEST FRESNO ELEMENTARY	West Fresno Elementary	61/62174-00-0002	88,003.00	1,069.00	17.00	-	-	(0.86)	88,003.00	1,069.00	16.14
FRESNO	WEST FRESNO ELEMENTARY	West Fresno Elementary	61/62174-00-0004	35,190.93	7,977.00	599.00	0.07	-	(14.00)	35,191.00	7,977.00	585.00
FRESNO	WEST PARK ELEMENTARY	West Park Elementary	61/62539-00-0002	198,971.35	115,864.00	4,093.00	(0.35)	-	(0.35)	198,971.00	115,864.00	4,092.65
FRESNO	WESTSIDE ELEMENTARY	Westside Elementary	61/62547-00-0001	76,000.00	500.00	13.00	-	-	(0.50)	76,000.00	500.00	12.50
HUMBOLDT	KLAMATH-TRINITY JOINT UNIFIED	Hoopa Valley High	61/62901-00-0029	927,401.00	100,551.32	30,257.28	(0.32)	-	-	927,400.68	100,551.32	30,257.28
IMPERIAL	HEBER ELEMENTARY	Heber Elementary	61/63131-00-0005	96,812.00	254,006.00	5,518.00	-	-	0.48	96,812.00	254,006.00	5,518.48
KERN	BAKERSFIELD CITY ELEMENTARY	Curran Middle	61/63321-00-0005	661,358.90	53,301.00	21,266.00	0.10	-	0.08	661,359.00	53,301.00	21,266.08
KINGS	CORCORAN JOINT UNIFIED	John Muir Middle	61/63891-00-0001	942,165.00	144,459.26	39,604.00	(0.26)	-	-	942,164.74	144,459.26	39,604.00
KINGS	CORCORAN JOINT UNIFIED	Corcoran High	61/63891-00-0006	336,798.00	114,982.00	6,043.00	-	-	0.24	336,798.00	114,982.00	6,043.24
KINGS	CORCORAN JOINT UNIFIED	Corcoran High	61/63891-00-0007	161,331.00	99,760.00	5,242.00	-	-	(0.09)	161,331.00	99,760.00	5,241.91
KINGS	CORCORAN JOINT UNIFIED	Corcoran High	61/63891-00-0008	738,512.00	200,243.00	10,519.00	-	-	(0.03)	738,512.00	200,243.00	10,518.97
KINGS	CORCORAN JOINT UNIFIED	Mark Twain Elementary	61/63891-00-0009	227,283.00	8,582.00	1,081.00	-	-	0.33	227,283.00	8,582.00	1,081.33
KINGS	HANFORD JOINT UNION HIGH	Hanford West High	61/63925-00-0030	9,380.00	-	195.00	-	-	(0.32)	9,380.00	-	194.68
LAKE	KONOCTI UNIFIED	Oak Hill Middle	61/64022-00-0066	20,290.00	69.00	1.00	-	-	(1.00)	20,290.00	69.00	-
LOS ANGELES	CENTINELA VALLEY UNION HIGH	Lawndale High	61/64352-00-0011	220,675.00	391.00	4,666.00	-	-	0.20	220,675.00	391.00	4,666.20
LOS ANGELES	CENTINELA VALLEY UNION HIGH	Lawndale High	61/64352-00-0012	435,334.00	2,685.00	8,653.00	-	-	0.29	435,334.00	2,685.00	8,653.29
LOS ANGELES	COMPTON UNIFIED	Clinton, William Jefferson	61/73437-00-0011	40,526.00	6,751.00	1,211.00	-	-	(0.79)	40,526.00	6,751.00	1,210.21
LOS ANGELES	COMPTON UNIFIED	Compton High	61/73437-00-0017	1,089,229.90	10,276.00	25,819.00	0.10	-	(0.40)	1,089,230.00	10,276.00	25,818.60
LOS ANGELES	COMPTON UNIFIED	Centennial High	61/73437-00-0018	582,314.58	101,527.00	16,503.00	0.42	-	0.78	582,315.00	101,527.00	16,503.78
LOS ANGELES	COMPTON UNIFIED	Anderson Elementary	61/73437-00-0023	3,148.00	23,832.00	723.00	(1.00)	-	(0.25)	3,147.00	23,832.00	722.75
LOS ANGELES	COMPTON UNIFIED	King (Martin Luther) Elementar	61/73437-00-0025	68,424.76	47,833.00	2,407.00	0.24	-	0.51	68,425.00	47,833.00	2,407.51
LOS ANGELES	COMPTON UNIFIED	Lincoln Elementary	61/73437-00-0049	49,393.28	1,607.00	1,013.00	(0.28)	-	(0.09)	49,393.00	1,607.00	1,012.91
LOS ANGELES	COMPTON UNIFIED	Longfellow Elementary	61/73437-00-0054	49,897.83	44,503.00	1,286.00	0.17	-	(0.19)	49,898.00	44,503.00	1,285.81
LOS ANGELES	COMPTON UNIFIED	Roosevelt Middle	61/73437-00-0056	21,196.17	35,419.00	771.00	(0.17)	-	(0.14)	21,196.00	35,419.00	770.86
LOS ANGELES	COMPTON UNIFIED	Rosecrans Elementary	61/73437-00-0061	325,133.00	183.00	5,018.00	-	-	(0.14)	325,133.00	183.00	5,017.86
LOS ANGELES	COMPTON UNIFIED	Walton Middle	61/73437-00-0066	128,760.00	32,098.00	2,534.00	-	-	(0.48)	128,760.00	32,098.00	2,533.52
LOS ANGELES	COMPTON UNIFIED	Whaley Middle	61/73437-00-0067	229,545.00	(2,166.00)	2,991.00	-	-	(0.79)	229,545.00	(2,166.00)	2,990.21
LOS ANGELES	COMPTON UNIFIED	Whaley Middle	61/73437-00-0068	209,588.80	67,669.00	4,283.00	0.20	-	0.63	209,589.00	67,669.00	4,283.63
LOS ANGELES	LENNOX ELEMENTARY	Lennox Middle	61/64709-00-0010	3,661,728.93	(39,661.00)	43,609.00	(39,660.93)	-	(0.17)	3,622,068.00	(39,661.00)	43,608.83
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	Huntington Park Senior High	61/64733-00-3184	194,923.40	33,975.00	2,513.00	(0.40)	-	(0.68)	194,923.00	33,975.00	2,512.32
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	Huntington Park Senior High	61/64733-00-3185	35,265.47	57,930.00	726.00	(0.47)	-	(0.77)	35,265.00	57,930.00	725.23
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	Bell Senior High	61/64733-00-3189	37,577.29	11,486.00	142.00	(0.29)	-	(0.05)	37,577.00	11,486.00	141.95
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	Bell Senior High	61/64733-00-3195	20,452.00	39,982.00	640.00	-	-	(0.37)	20,452.00	39,982.00	639.63
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	Banning (Phineas) Senior High	61/64733-00-3199	350,077.61	57,242.00	2,714.00	0.39	-	0.38	350,078.00	57,242.00	2,714.38
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	Washington (George) Preparatory High	61/64733-00-3202	189,082.75	32,651.00	898.00	0.25	-	0.70	189,083.00	32,651.00	898.70

ATTACHMENT

EMERGENCY REPAIR PROGRAM GRANT ADJUSTMENTS
State Allocation Board Meeting, April 20, 2016

County	School District	Site	Application Number	Current LEA Total State Apportionment	Current Application Savings	Current Application Interest	Adjustment to LEA Total State Apportionment	Adjustment to Application Savings	Adjustment to Application Interest	Final LEA Total State Apportionment	Final Application Savings	Final Application Interest
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	Los Angeles Senior High	61/64733-00-3433	182,652.75	5,440.00	1,596.00	0.25	-	0.39	182,653.00	5,440.00	1,596.39
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	Los Angeles Senior High	61/64733-00-3437	386,043.00	31,668.00	6,482.00	-	-	0.26	386,043.00	31,668.00	6,482.26
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	Los Angeles Senior High	61/64733-00-3438	56,688.06	551.00	180.00	(0.06)	-	(0.38)	56,688.00	551.00	179.62
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	Crenshaw Senior High	61/64733-00-3439	1,201,299.00	193,665.00	15,653.00	-	-	(0.66)	1,201,299.00	193,665.00	15,652.34
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	Crenshaw Senior High	61/64733-00-3440	190,920.80	3,318.00	1,827.00	0.20	-	0.61	190,921.00	3,318.00	1,827.61
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	Fremont (John C.) Senior High	61/64733-00-3709	54,578.43	11,698.00	172.00	(0.43)	-	(0.08)	54,578.00	11,698.00	171.92
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	Fremont (John C.) Senior High	61/64733-00-3710	91,142.57	60,422.00	1,146.00	0.43	-	0.69	91,143.00	60,422.00	1,146.69
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	Fremont (John C.) Senior High	61/64733-00-3711	30,588.72	9,983.00	100.00	0.28	-	0.54	30,589.00	9,983.00	100.54
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	Fremont (John C.) Senior High	61/64733-00-3713	115,852.16	86,969.00	1,946.00	(0.16)	-	(0.08)	115,852.00	86,969.00	1,945.92
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	Crenshaw Senior High	61/64733-00-3715	260,503.33	14,644.00	93.00	(0.33)	-	(0.45)	260,503.00	14,644.00	92.55
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	Jordan (David Starr) Senior High	61/64733-00-3730	403,008.16	26,737.00	3,505.00	(0.16)	-	(0.57)	403,008.00	26,737.00	3,504.43
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	Jordan (David Starr) Senior High	61/64733-00-3732	15,234.38	32,589.00	412.00	(0.38)	-	(0.82)	15,234.00	32,589.00	411.18
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	Jordan (David Starr) Senior High	61/64733-00-3733	25,546.57	3,588.00	186.00	0.43	-	0.37	25,547.00	3,588.00	186.37
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	Jordan (David Starr) Senior High	61/64733-00-3735	164,805.16	59,603.00	843.00	(0.16)	-	(0.26)	164,805.00	59,603.00	842.74
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	Jordan (David Starr) Senior High	61/64733-00-3736	31,874.47	11,313.00	193.00	(0.47)	-	(0.85)	31,874.00	11,313.00	192.15
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	Locke (Alain Leroy) Senior High	61/64733-00-3740	238,397.00	3,232.00	2,318.00	-	-	0.14	238,397.00	3,232.00	2,318.14
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	Manual Arts Senior High	61/64733-00-3743	25,280.00	14,390.00	38.00	-	-	(0.30)	25,280.00	14,390.00	37.70
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	Roosevelt (Theodore) Senior High	61/64733-00-3750	207,247.00	26,424.00	1,667.00	-	-	(0.44)	207,247.00	26,424.00	1,666.56
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	Belmont Senior High	61/64733-00-3753	40,860.00	28,225.00	209.00	-	-	(0.60)	40,860.00	28,225.00	208.40
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	Belmont Senior High	61/64733-00-3754	81,952.00	16,856.00	183.00	-	-	0.11	81,952.00	16,856.00	183.11
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	Belmont Senior High	61/64733-00-3756	29,988.00	227,956.00	3,979.00	-	-	0.65	29,988.00	227,956.00	3,979.65
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	Belmont Senior High	61/64733-00-3758	188,292.00	18,831.00	572.00	-	-	(0.49)	188,292.00	18,831.00	571.51
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	Jefferson (Thomas) Senior High	61/64733-00-3764	26,410.59	60,758.00	1,042.00	0.41	-	0.38	26,411.00	60,758.00	1,042.38
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	Locke (Alain Leroy) Senior High	61/64733-00-3765	269,124.00	16,310.00	2,114.00	-	-	(0.54)	269,124.00	16,310.00	2,113.46
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	Garfield (James A.) Senior High	61/64733-00-3769	645,253.00	21,718.00	4,641.00	-	-	0.47	645,253.00	21,718.00	4,641.47
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	Jefferson (Thomas) Senior High	61/64733-00-3770	138,061.63	99,611.00	3,021.00	0.37	-	0.39	138,062.00	99,611.00	3,021.39
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	Jefferson (Thomas) Senior High	61/64733-00-3774	49,401.00	126,978.00	2,271.00	-	-	0.27	49,401.00	126,978.00	2,271.27
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	Jefferson (Thomas) Senior High	61/64733-00-3775	23,982.00	7,109.00	159.00	-	-	0.72	23,982.00	7,109.00	159.72
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	Wilson (Woodrow) Senior High	61/64733-00-3778	1,165,947.00	906,679.00	24,225.00	-	-	0.97	1,165,947.00	906,679.00	24,225.97
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	Wilson (Woodrow) Senior High	61/64733-00-3783	1,039,727.00	398,250.00	21,310.00	-	-	(0.14)	1,039,727.00	398,250.00	21,309.86
LOS ANGELES	MOUNTAIN VIEW ELEMENTARY	Maxson Elementary	61/64816-00-0013	422,528.53	4,520.00	7,509.00	0.47	-	1.00	422,529.00	4,520.00	7,510.00
LOS ANGELES	PASADENA UNIFIED	Cleveland Elementary	61/64881-00-0008	116,614.00	31,469.00	3,640.00	(1.00)	-	(0.14)	116,613.00	31,469.00	3,639.86
LOS ANGELES	PASADENA UNIFIED	Washington Accelerated Elementary	61/64881-00-0009	211,812.00	1,311.00	5,555.00	-	-	(0.93)	211,812.00	1,311.00	5,554.07
LOS ANGELES	PASADENA UNIFIED	Washington Accelerated Elementary	61/64881-00-0011	80,086.00	21,965.00	3,195.00	-	-	0.41	80,086.00	21,965.00	3,195.41
LOS ANGELES	PASADENA UNIFIED	Washington Accelerated Elementary	61/64881-00-0012	45,000.00	17,776.00	1,955.00	-	-	0.09	45,000.00	17,776.00	1,955.09
LOS ANGELES	PASADENA UNIFIED	Washington Accelerated Elementary	61/64881-00-0013	325,284.00	92,328.00	10,410.00	-	-	(0.47)	325,284.00	92,328.00	10,409.53
LOS ANGELES	PASADENA UNIFIED	Pasadena High	61/64881-00-0015	7,950.00	26,233.00	1,409.00	-	-	(0.73)	7,950.00	26,233.00	1,408.27
LOS ANGELES	PASADENA UNIFIED	Pasadena High	61/64881-00-0016	64,246.00	34,253.00	4,512.00	-	-	0.36	64,246.00	34,253.00	4,512.36
LOS ANGELES	PASADENA UNIFIED	Altadena Elementary	61/64881-00-0020	52,934.72	25,548.00	979.00	0.28	-	0.71	52,935.00	25,548.00	979.71
LOS ANGELES	PASADENA UNIFIED	Altadena Elementary	61/64881-00-0021	8,616.59	3,416.00	178.00	0.41	-	0.80	8,617.00	3,416.00	178.80
LOS ANGELES	PASADENA UNIFIED	Altadena Elementary	61/64881-00-0022	58,512.49	3,887.00	735.00	(0.49)	-	(0.76)	58,512.00	3,887.00	734.24
MERCED	MERCED CITY ELEMENTARY	Wright (Charles) Elementary	61/65771-00-0009	635,423.29	138,117.00	2,026.00	(0.29)	-	(0.31)	635,423.00	138,117.00	2,025.69
ORANGE	ORANGE UNIFIED	Yorba Middle	61/66621-00-0024	69,975.00	193.90	1.68	0.42	(0.32)	(1.68)	69,975.42	193.58	-
ORANGE	SANTA ANA UNIFIED	Saddleback High	61/66670-00-0002	3,213,911.67	(21,414.00)	92,292.00	(21,413.67)	-	0.19	3,192,498.00	(21,414.00)	92,292.19
ORANGE	SANTA ANA UNIFIED	Valley High	61/66670-00-0009	2,391,183.00	463,090.00	49,896.00	-	-	(0.21)	2,391,183.00	463,090.00	49,895.79
ORANGE	SANTA ANA UNIFIED	Carr (Gerald P.) Intermediate	61/66670-00-0010	171,502.00	621.00	1,587.00	-	-	0.62	171,502.00	621.00	1,587.62
ORANGE	SANTA ANA UNIFIED	Carr (Gerald P.) Intermediate	61/66670-00-0013	532,637.37	51,256.00	6,677.00	(0.37)	-	0.10	532,637.00	51,256.00	6,677.10
ORANGE	SANTA ANA UNIFIED	Valley High	61/66670-00-0016	61,450.00	9,860.00	312.00	-	-	(0.06)	61,450.00	9,860.00	311.94
SACRAMENTO	SACRAMENTO CITY UNIFIED	Warren (Earl) Elementary	61/67439-00-0090	35,849.00	669.95	91.11	0.05	-	-	35,849.05	669.95	91.11
SACRAMENTO	SACRAMENTO CITY UNIFIED	Sacramento Charter High	61/67439-00-0130	631,163.00	27,408.00	7,768.00	-	-	(0.16)	631,163.00	27,408.00	7,767.84
SAN DIEGO	SAN DIEGO UNIFIED	Madison Senior High	61/68338-00-0232	981,000.00	68,782.00	22,144.00	-	-	(0.16)	981,000.00	68,782.00	22,143.84
SAN JOAQUIN	LODI UNIFIED	Lodi Middle	61/68585-00-0044	823,212.00	(95.00)	28,524.00	(95.00)	-	(0.28)	823,117.00	(95.00)	28,523.72
SAN JOAQUIN	LODI UNIFIED	Clairmont Elementary	61/68585-00-0063	2,379,592.02	190,056.00	54,253.00	(0.02)	-	(0.02)	2,379,592.00	190,056.00	54,252.98
SAN JOAQUIN	LODI UNIFIED	Bear Creek High	61/68585-00-0064	4,429,444.47	2,618.00	91,580.00	(0.47)	-	(0.47)	4,429,444.00	2,618.00	91,579.53
SAN JOAQUIN	LODI UNIFIED	Delta Sierra Middle	61/68585-00-0072	72,811.50	636.00	1,011.00	(0.50)	-	(0.50)	72,811.00	636.00	1,010.50
SAN JOAQUIN	TRACY JOINT UNIFIED	Monte Vista Middle	61/75499-00-0006	34,680.00	56,985.00	611.00	-	-	0.40	34,680.00	56,985.00	611.40
SAN JOAQUIN	TRACY JOINT UNIFIED	North Elementary	61/75499-00-0007	38,472.00	29,167.00	451.00	-	-	(0.39)	38,472.00	29,167.00	450.61
SONOMA	BELLEVUE UNION ELEMENTARY	Kawana Elementary	61/70615-00-0004	27,809.67	8,893.33	78.00	-	-	0.01	27,809.67	8,893.33	78.01

ATTACHMENT

EMERGENCY REPAIR PROGRAM GRANT ADJUSTMENTS
State Allocation Board Meeting, April 20, 2016

County	School District	Site	Application Number	Current LEA Total State Apportionment	Current Application Savings	Current Application Interest	Adjustment to LEA Total State Apportionment	Adjustment to Application Savings	Adjustment to Application Interest	Final LEA Total State Apportionment	Final Application Savings	Final Application Interest
SONOMA	ROSELAND ELEMENTARY	Roseland Elementary	61/70904-00-0004	16,025.00	14,494.00	165.00	-	-	(0.06)	16,025.00	14,494.00	164.94
TULARE	ALTA VISTA ELEMENTARY	Alta Vista Elementary	61/71811-00-0009	302,169.00	84,315.00	3,688.00	-	-	(0.47)	302,169.00	84,315.00	3,687.53
TULARE	ALTA VISTA ELEMENTARY	Alta Vista Elementary	61/71811-00-0010	101,681.00	11,454.00	1,215.00	-	-	(0.57)	101,681.00	11,454.00	1,214.43
TULARE	CUTLER-OROSI JOINT UNIFIED	El Monte Jr. High	61/71860-00-0109	975,508.00	(28,828.00)	31,122.00	(28,828.00)	-	(0.18)	946,680.00	(28,828.00)	31,121.82
TULARE	CUTLER-OROSI JOINT UNIFIED	Cutler Elementary	61/71860-00-0110	469,473.00	(11,578.00)	15,764.00	(11,578.00)	-	0.21	457,895.00	(11,578.00)	15,764.21
TULARE	CUTLER-OROSI JOINT UNIFIED	Orosi High	61/71860-00-0111	237,399.00	(7,317.00)	7,325.00	(7,317.00)	-	0.08	230,082.00	(7,317.00)	7,325.08
TULARE	LINDSAY UNIFIED	Lincoln Elementary	61/71993-00-0052	68,031.00	21,268.96	3,691.42	0.04	-	-	68,031.04	21,268.96	3,691.42
TULARE	PIXLEY UNION ELEMENTARY	Pixley Elementary	61/72041-00-0004	147,694.83	1,630.17	1,672.44	-	-	(2.00)	147,694.83	1,630.17	1,670.44
TULARE	PLEASANT VIEW ELEMENTARY	Pleasant View Elementary	61/72058-00-0014	85,313.00	15,172.00	3,848.00	-	-	(0.18)	85,313.00	15,172.00	3,847.82
TULARE	WOODLAKE UNION ELEMENTARY	Woodlake Valley Middle	61/72272-00-0005	105,606.62	-	1,655.00	0.38	-	0.49	105,607.00	-	1,655.49
TULARE	WOODLAKE UNION ELEMENTARY	Woodlake Valley Middle	61/72272-00-0006	150,602.18	-	2,360.00	(0.18)	-	0.11	150,602.00	-	2,360.11
TULARE	WOODLAKE UNION ELEMENTARY	Castle Rock Elementary	61/72272-00-0007	127,665.80	4,892.00	2,078.00	0.20	-	(0.30)	127,666.00	4,892.00	2,077.70
TULARE	WOODLAKE UNION HIGH	Woodlake High	61/72280-00-0008	132,669.17	35,682.00	3,350.00	(0.17)	-	(0.59)	132,669.00	35,682.00	3,349.41
TULARE	WOODLAKE UNION HIGH	Woodlake High	61/72280-00-0009	165,664.73	-	3,296.00	0.27	-	0.40	165,665.00	-	3,296.40

REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER
State Allocation Board Meeting, April 20, 2016

WAIVERS OF THE SUBSTANTIAL ENROLLMENT REQUIREMENT

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To present two requests to waive the substantial enrollment requirement and subsequent increase in district building capacity under the School Facility Program (SFP).

DESCRIPTION

Two districts, listed on the Attachment, have been reviewed by the State Allocation Board (Board) Waiver and Review Committee (Committee) and found to meet the requirements of the Board to receive a waiver from the adjustment to the existing school building capacity. The policy basis for each waiver is contained in the "waiver code" on the Attachment. The Committee recommends approval of the waiver requests.

AUTHORITY

Education Code Section 17017.7(c)
(c) "Substantial enrollment," for the purposes of this section, means enrollment of at least 30 percent of district pupils in kindergarten and grades 1 to 6, inclusive, or 40 percent of pupils in kindergarten and grades 1 to 12, inclusive, in the high school attendance area for which the school district is applying for new facilities. The calculation set forth in this subdivision, as to a self-certifying district, shall be made by the district, in accordance with any standards governing that calculation that are adopted by the board. The calculation shall be certified by the district to the board and used by the board for the purposes of this section. The self-certifying district shall maintain documentation of the calculation as may be required by the board, and the calculation shall be subject to subsequent audit as the board may direct. If a self-certifying district is found by the board to have materially misrepresented its pupil enrollment pursuant to this subdivision, the board may impose either or both of the penalties set forth in paragraphs (1) and (2) of subdivision (b) of Section 17041.2, in accordance with that section.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.35. Calculation of Existing School Building Capacity.
The district's existing school building capacity shall be determined by totaling the amount calculated in (a) and (b).
(a) With the exception of classrooms for Special Day Class pupils for which the multiplier is indicated on the Form SAB 50-02, multiply the number of available classrooms in the district, the HSAA or the Super HSAA by the following: 25 for each K-6 classroom and 27 for each 7-12 classroom. Available classrooms shall be determined by the reduction of classrooms identified in Section 1859.32 from the gross classroom inventory prepared pursuant to Section 1859.31 and the inclusion of portable classrooms as provided pursuant to Education Code Section 17071.30 (a) or (b).
(b) Multiply the K-6 pupil capacity of the elementary district, the unified district, the HSAA or the Super HSAA in a unified district as determined by the results of the calculations in (a) at the time of the initial determination of eligibility by six percent. When the elementary or unified district meets the Substantial Enrollment Requirement (SER) or qualifies for a waiver of the SER authorized by Education Code Sections 17017.6 and 17017.7(c), the amount reported in (b) shall be zero. For High School Districts, the amount reported in (b) shall be zero.

(Continued on Page Two)

BACKGROUND

The SFP requires that the existing school building capacity of a district be adjusted using the Board's priority one requirements for funding as those requirements existed under the Lease-Purchase Program. The relevant priority one requirement at that time was that a district has thirty-percent of their K-6 enrollment attending schools operating on a year-round calendar or accepts an increase in capacity equal to six percent of the district's K-6 students. Districts that did not meet the Multi-Track Year-Round Education (MTYRE) requirement could request a waiver of the reduction if they could demonstrate that certain financial or educational hardships existed that prevented the district from operating MTYRE programs.

As the district capacity increases, the net eligibility available in the SFP decreases.

STAFF ANALYSIS/STATEMENTS

The Board's Committee is comprised of the Supervising Field Representative of the California Department of Education's School Facilities and Transportation Services division. The Committee has reviewed the districts' requests and recommends the Board approve the requests.

RECOMMENDATION

Approve the requests as shown on the Attachment.

Attachment

WAIVER OF THE SUBSTANTIAL ENROLLMENT REQUIREMENT

2. Approved Waiver: ADA Less Than 300

DISTRICT	WAIVER CODE	LETTER DATE
Shiloh Elementary School District	SW	2/18/2016
Clay Joint Elementary School District	SW	2/18/2016

Approval Codes

1 = Insufficient number of pupils to load four classrooms
2 = ADA of 300 or less
3 = Meets YRE percentage requirements 17017.7 (c)
5 = High School District ADA of 1200 or fewer
6 = High School District met SER requirement, 17017.6
8 = Feasibility
9 = Denied
10 = Educational Hardship
11 = Financial Hardship

SW = SER Waiver
RW = Recertification of Waiver
S = SER Requirement
RS = Recertification of SER
SP = SER Partial
FS = Feasibility Study

Facility Hardship / Rehabilitation Program

REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER
State Allocation Board Meeting, April 20, 2016

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA	
School District: ……MAPLE ELEMENTARY	County:……KERN
Application Number:……58/63610-00-002	School Name:.....MAPLE ELEMENTARY
Total District Enrollment:……282	Project Grade Level:……K-8
Financial Hardship:……YES	

The District qualifies for financial hardship pursuant to School Facility Program (SFP) Regulation Section 1859.81(c)(4). The District has demonstrated it is financially unable to provide all or part of the matching funds and is levying the developer fees or equal alternative revenue source justified by law. The District's total bonding capacity as of November 18, 2015, is $5 million or less.

PURPOSE OF REPORT

Type of Request	State Allocation Board (Board) conceptual approval for a SFP Facility Hardship Seismic Mitigation Program (SMP) Rehabilitation project and for placement on the Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans) for design.
Total Estimated Project Cost	$2,084,793
Cost to the State	$2,070,698

DESCRIPTION

Description of Health and Safety Threat	Maple Elementary is a one-school school district in Shafter, California. The campus consists of three administration/classroom wings and a multi-purpose/cafeteria building all originally constructed in 1961. These buildings are of the Most Vulnerable Category 2 Building type. The Division of the State Architect (DSA) has concurred with the presence of the threat of a local or global collapse of the facility in the event of seismic activity, as outlined in the Eligibility Evaluation Reports filed by the District's structural engineer.
Scope of Project	This project consists of rehabilitation work with seismic upgrades and corresponding access compliance and fire and life safety upgrades to the existing buildings. The District has a pre-existing conceptual approval for a Facility Hardship project for work that consist of replacing the electrical and fire alarm systems, and replacing the roof in order to protect the integrity of the new systems. These projects will be completed concurrently.
Status of School Site	The buildings are currently occupied and rehabilitation work has not yet begun.

QUALIFYING CRITERIA

Government/State Level Concurrence	DSA approved both the administration/classroom wings and the multi-purpose/cafeteria building for SMP eligibility and also evaluated and approved the planned seismic mitigation work.

(Continued on Page Two)

QUALIFYING CRITERIA (cont.)

Staff Supports the District's Request	Yes
Site Visit Completed by Staff	Yes

AUTHORITY

See Attachment A.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve the District's request for conceptual approval for SMP rehabilation pursuant to the SFP Regulation Section 1859.82(a).

2. Provide that the District must file an Approved Application for funding within 18 months of this approval, pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.82(c).

3. Provide that this conceptual approval does not guarantee a commitment for funding by the Board. Any possible future funding will be subject to all governing laws and regulations, and the availability of funds.

4. Provide that the State portion of any and all savings which may be realized from the funding of this facility hardship project must be returned to the State.

5. Approve the District's request for an unfunded approval for a design apportionment in the amount of $416,948 as shown on Attachment B, pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.81.1(e)(2).

ATTACHMENT A

AUTHORITY

Education Code (EC) Section 17075.10(a) states:

> A school district may apply for hardship assistance in cases of extraordinary circumstances. Extraordinary circumstances may include, but are not limited to, the need to repair, reconstruct, or replace the most vulnerable school facilities that are identified as a Category 2 building, as defined in the report submitted pursuant to Section 17317, determined by the department to pose an unacceptable risk of injury to its occupants in the event of a seismic event.

EC Section 17075.10(b)(2) states:

> Funds for the purpose of seismic mitigation work or facility replacement pursuant to this section shall be allocated by the board on a 50-percent state share basis from funds reserved for that purpose in any bond approved by the voters after January 1, 2006. If the board determines that the seismic mitigation work of a school building would require funding that is greater than 50 percent of the funds required to construct a new facility, the school district shall be eligible for funding to construct a new facility under this chapter.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.81 states:

Except for Joint-Use Projects and Career Technical Education Facilities Projects, a district is eligible for financial hardship to fund all or a portion of its matching share requirement after demonstrating the requirements of (a), (c), and (d) below:

(a) The district is financially unable to provide all necessary matching funds for an eligible project. To determine this, an analysis shall be made of the district's financial records by the OPSC including data and records maintained by the CDE and the County Office of Education….

(b) From the funds deemed available as a matching contribution, the district may retain $19,776 per classroom in each enrollment reporting period for the cost to provide interim housing for the currently unhoused pupils of the district.…

(c) The district has made all reasonable efforts to fund its matching share of the project by demonstrating it is levying the developer fee justified under law or an alternative revenue source equal to or greater than the developer fee otherwise justified under law at the time of request for hardship and the district meets at least one of the following:

(1) The current outstanding bonded indebtedness of the district issued for the purpose of constructing school facilities in accordance with Education Code Section 17072.35 or 17074.25 as appropriate, at the time of request for financial hardship status, is at least 60 percent of the district's total bonding capacity. Outstanding bonded indebtedness includes that part of general obligation bonds, Mello-Roos Bonds, School Facility Improvement District Bonds and certificates of participation which the district is paying a debt service that was issued for capital outlay school facility purposes.

(2) The district had a successful registered voter bond election for at least the maximum amount allowed under Proposition 39 within the previous two years from the date of request for financial hardship status. The proceeds from the bond election that represent the maximum amount allowed under the provisions of Proposition 39 must be used to fund the district's matching share requirement for SFP project(s).

(3) It is a County Superintendent of Schools.

(4) The district's total bonding capacity at the time of the request for financial hardship status is $5 million or less.

(5) Other evidence of reasonable effort as approved by the SAB….

SFP Regulation Section 1859.81(e) permits design funding for a district that meets the financial hardship criteria in Section 1859.81 at, "an amount not to exceed 40 percent of the new construction grant less any district funds available for the project pursuant to Section 1859.81(a)…."

ATTACHMENT A

AUTHORITY (cont.)

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82 states, "A district is eligible for facility hardship funding to replace or construct new classrooms and related facilities if the district demonstrates there is an unmet need for pupil housing or the condition of the facilities, or the lack of facilities, is a threat to the health and safety of the pupils."

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82(a)(1) provides for Facility Hardship grant funding when, "The facilities are needed to ensure the health and safety of the pupils if the district can demonstrate to the satisfaction of the Board that the health and safety of the pupils is at risk. Factors to be considered by the Board shall include…seismic mitigation of the Most Vulnerable Category 2 Buildings as verified by the DSA…."

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82(a)(1)(A) states:

> If the request is for replacement facilities, a cost/benefit analysis must be prepared by the district and submitted to the OPSC that indicates the total costs to remain in the classroom or related facility and mitigate the problem is at least 50 percent of the Current Replacement Cost of the classroom or related facility. The cost/benefit analysis may include applicable site development costs as outlined in Section 1859.76. The cost/benefit analysis shall not include increased costs associated with high performance related costs or components, with the exception of those high performance components that were pre-existing in the classroom or related facility. If the cost to remain in the classroom or related facility is less than 50 percent of the Current Replacement Cost, the district may qualify for either grant below, as applicable:
> 1. Modernization Excessive Cost Hardship Grant for Rehabilitation Costs pursuant to Section 1859.83(e), or
> 2. A grant not to exceed 50 percent of the cost estimate that has been reviewed and approved by the OPSC and approved by the board for seismic rehabilitation.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82(a)(1)(B) states:

> If the request is for replacement facilities that included structural and/or seismic deficiencies, the cost/benefit analysis must also include a report from a licensed design professional identifying the minimum work necessary to obtain DSA approval. The report must contain a detailed cost estimate of the repairs. The cost/benefit analysis shall not include increased costs associated with high performance related costs or components, with the exception of those high performance components that were pre-existing in the classroom or related facility. The report and cost estimate shall be subject to review by the OPSC for conformance with the Saylor Current Construction Cost Publication and, at the OPSC's discretion, the DSA. For seismic deficiencies of the Most Vulnerable Category 2 Buildings, the report and the cost estimate for the minimum work necessary must be reviewed by the DSA.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82(a)(1)(C) states:

> The seismic mitigation projects must meet all of the following requirements:
> 1. The construction contract was executed on or after May 20, 2006;
> 2. The project funding provided shall be for the minimum work necessary to obtain DSA approval;
> 3. The building is designed for occupancy by students and staff; and
> 4. The DSA concurs with a report by a structural engineer, which identifies structural deficiencies that pose an unacceptable risk of injury to its occupants in a seismic event. If the unacceptable risk of injury is due to the presence of faulting, liquefaction or landslide, these hazards must be documented by a geologic hazards report prepared by an engineering geologist in accordance with California Building Code, Part 2, Chapter 18, section 1803A and with the concurrence of the California Geological Survey.

The structural engineer's report shall conform to the guidelines prepared by the DSA, in accordance with Education Code Section 17310.

ATTACHMENT A

AUTHORITY (cont.)

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82 further states:

A district may request a determination of eligibility for facility hardship funding in advance of project funding.

(c) A district seeking replaced facilities as a result of either (a) or (b) above must submit Form SAB 50-04 for the replaced facilities:

(1) Within 18 months if the replacement facilities will be located on the same site.

(2) Within 24 months if the replacement facilities will be located on a replacement site.

SAB Meeting: **April 20, 2016** **Seismic Mitigation Program - Separate Design**

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No:	58/63610-00-002	**County:**	Kern
School District:	Maple Elementary	**School Name:**	Maple Elementary

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project:	K-8	**Application Filing Basis:**	Site Specific
Pupils Assigned Under 50 Yrs: K-6:			
7-8:			
9-12:			
Non-Severe:			
Severe:			
Financial Hardship Requested:	Yes	**Recommended Acres:**	N/A
Alternative Education School:	No	**Existing Acres:**	N/A

ADJUSTED GRANT DATA

Estimated Total Grant

Seismic Rehabilitation	$ 1,035,865
Project Assistance	6,504
Total State Share (50%)	1,042,369
District Share (50%)	1,042,369
Total Project Cost	$ **2,084,738**

PROJECT FINANCING

Separate Design Grant

Total Design (40% of Grant)	$ 416,948
Design State Share (50%)	208,474
Design District Share (50%)	208,474
District Cash Contribution	14,040
Financial Hardship	194,434
Total Project Cost	$ **416,948**

HISTORY OF PROJECT COST AND APPORTIONMENT

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
New Construction/Additional Grant	**957-505**	**1D**		$ 208,474	$ 208,474
District Share					
Cash Contribution				14,040	
Financial Hardship	**957-505**	**1D**		194,434	194,434
Total			$	$ **416,948**	$ **402,908**

Funding Source: Proposition 1D Bonds/2006-Nov.

Pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009, this application has been approved and placed on the Unfunded List.
This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future State funding.

Please be advised that Labor Code (LC) Section 1773.3, as amended by Senate Bill 854, Chapter 28, Statutes of 2014, requires school districts that have School Facility Program SFP projects with an initial public works contract awarded on or after January 1, 2012, to notify the Department of Industrial Relations (DIR). The DIR must provide prevailing wage monitoring services for all such projects, except in the cases of: (1) the district operates a DIR-approved internal wage monitoring program; or (2) the district has entered into a collective bargaining agreement that includes the requirements specified in LC Section 1771.4(b)(2).

The District qualifies for financial hardship pursuant to SFP Regulation Section 1859.81(c)(4). The District has demonstrated it is financially unable to provide all or part of the matching funds and is levying the developer fees or equal alternative revenue source justified by law. The District's total bonding capacity as of November 18, 2015, is $5 million or less.

Amounts shown for financial hardship assistance are subject to adjustment as a result of a review of the district's financial records pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.81(a) at the time of the apportionment.

The District shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

Provide that the State portion of any and all project savings realized from the funding of this Seismic Mitigation Program project must be returned to the State.

STATE ALLOCATION BOARD
APPROVAL ❖ ❖ ❖ April 20, 2016

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

School District: ……..…OCEAN VIEW ELEMENTARY County:……..……..……..…ORANGE

Application Number:……...……58/66613-00-001 School Name:.....HOPE VIEW ELEMENTARY

Total District Enrollment:……..……..…...……..9,010 Project Grade Level:……...…...…..…K-6

Financial Hardship:……...…….……...…NO

PURPOSE OF REPORT

Type of Request	State Allocation Board (Board) approval for placement on the Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans) for a School Facility Program (SFP) Facility Hardship Rehabilitation project.
Total Project Cost	$4,700,613
Cost to the State	$2,820,368

DESCRIPTION

Description of Health and Safety Threat	Hope View Elementary in Orange County was originally constructed in 1967. During the course of a SFP-funded modernization project for the main building at Hope View, asbestos debris that was contained in asbestos fire retardant on steel structural supports was discovered above the ceiling and in the air ducts. A certified industrial hygienist determined that the asbestos contamination represented an imminent threat to the health of the students and staff at the school. As the asbestos abatement progressed, mold under wall coverings and vinyl baseboards and on drywall was discovered, and lead paint was revealed on the steel structural supports under the fireproofing. The industrial hygienist determined the mold and lead paint to present additional health and safety threats to the school's occupants. The California Department of Public Health (CDPH) Indoor Air Quality Section reviewed the findings from Health Science Associates and provided concurrence that the conditions presented a health and safety threat.
Scope of Project	As required in the industrial hygienist's report, rehabilitation work for the asbestos consisted of cleaning the existing asbestos debris and the removal/replacement of the asbestos fire retardant. Rehabilitation work for the mold consisted of removal of wall coverings, vinyl baseboards, and casework, cleaning, and replacement. Rehabilitation work for the lead paint consisted of spot abatements to facilitate welding that was required to correct structural deficiencies.
Status of School Site	All mitigation work has been completed and the building is occupied.

(Continued on Page Two)

QUALIFYING CRITERIA

Government/State Level Concurrence	The District has obtained concurrence with the hazards reported and with the proposed minimum work for rehabilitation from the CDPH.
Staff Supports the District's Request	Yes.
Site Visit Completed by Staff	Yes.

AUTHORITY

See Attachment A.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve the District's request for placement on the Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans) for Facility Hardship rehabilitation funding for the main building at Hope View Elementary, pursuant to SFP Regulation Section 1859.83(e), as provided on Attachment B.

2. Provide that the State portion of any and all project savings realized from the funding of this rehabilitation project must be returned to the State.

ATTACHMENT A

AUTHORITY

Education Code (EC) Section 17075.10(a) states:

> A school district may apply for hardship assistance in cases of extraordinary circumstances. Extraordinary circumstances may include, but are not limited to, the need to repair, reconstruct, or replace the most vulnerable school facilities that are identified as a Category 2 building, as defined in the report submitted pursuant to Section 17317, determined by the department to pose an unacceptable risk of injury to its occupants in the event of a seismic event.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82 states, "A district is eligible for facility hardship funding to replace or construct new classrooms and related facilities if the district demonstrates there is an unmet need for pupil housing or the condition of the facilities, or the lack of facilities, is a threat to the health and safety of the pupils.."

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82(a)(1) provides for Facility Hardship grant funding when:

> The facilities are needed to ensure the health and safety of the pupils if the district can demonstrate to the satisfaction of the Board that the health and safety of the pupils is at risk. Factors to be considered by the Board shall include the close proximity to a major freeway, airport, electrical facility, high power transmission lines, dam, pipeline, industrial facility, adverse air quality emission or other health and safety risks, including structural deficiencies required by the DSA to be repaired, seismic mitigation of the Most Vulnerable Category 2 Buildings as verified by the DSA, traffic safety or because the pupils reside in remote areas of the district and transportation to existing facilities is not possible or poses a health and safety risk.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82(a)(1)(A) states:

> If the request is for replacement facilities, a cost/benefit analysis must be prepared by the district and submitted to the OPSC that indicates the total costs to remain in the classroom or related facility and mitigate the problem is at least 50 percent of the Current Replacement Cost of the classroom or related facility. The cost/benefit analysis may include applicable site development costs as outlined in Section 1859.76. The cost/benefit analysis shall not include increased costs associated with high performance related costs or components, with the exception of those high performance components that were pre-existing in the classroom or related facility. If the cost to remain in the classroom or related facility is less than 50 percent of the Current Replacement Cost, the district may qualify for either grant below, as applicable:
>
> 1. Modernization Excessive Cost Hardship Grant for Rehabilitation Costs pursuant to Section 1859.83(e), or
> 2. A grant not to exceed 50 percent of the cost estimate that has been reviewed and approved by the OPSC and approved by the board for seismic rehabilitation.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.83(e) provides for:

> Excessive Cost for rehabilitation of facilities the Board has determined are a health and safety risk to the pupils pursuant to Section 1859.82(a)(1) and the cost/benefit analysis to mitigate the problem and remain in the facility is less than 50 percent of the Current Replacement Cost of the facility. The cost/benefit analysis shall not include increased costs associated with high performance related costs or components, with the exception of those high performance components that were pre-existing in the classroom or related facility. If the district qualifies, the district is eligible for funding of Rehabilitation Costs as a modernization project. …. If the Approved Application is received after April 29, 2002, the grant amount provided is 60 percent of the amount of the cost estimate required in Section 1859.82(a)(1) that has been reviewed by the OPSC and approved by the Board. An additional grant may be provided for high performance incentive pursuant to Section 1859.77.4 provided that the high performance points attained are related to the scope of the Facility Hardship project. For any project funded in whole or in part from Proposition 47 or Proposition 55 for which the construction contract is awarded prior to January 1, 2012, the district may be eligible for the funding provided to initiate and enforce a LCP as prescribed in Section 1859.78.1(a). For any project for which the construction contract is awarded on or after January 1, 2012 the grant may be adjusted in the manner prescribed in Section 1859.78.1(b) and subject to the limitations established in Section 1859.78.1(c).

SAB Meeting: **April 20, 2016** **Rehabilitation - Adjusted Grant Approval**

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No:	58/66613-00-001	**County:**	Orange
School District:	Ocean View Elementary	**School Name:**	Hope View Elementary

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project:	Elementary	**Application Filing Basis:**	Site Specific
Pupils Assigned Under 50 Yrs: K-6:			
7-8:			
9-12:			
Non-Severe:			
Severe:			
Financial Hardship Requested:	No	**Recommended Acres:**	11.7
Alternative Education School:	No	**Existing Acres:**	13.7

ADJUSTED GRANT DATA	
Rehabilitation/Mitigation	$ 2,820,368.00
Total State Share (60%)	2,820,368.00
District Share (40%)	1,880,245.00
Total Project Cost	$ **4,700,613.00**

PROJECT FINANCING	
State Share	
This Project	$ 2,820,368.00
District Share	
Cash Contribution	1,880,245.00
Total Project Cost	$ **4,700,613.00**

HISTORY OF PROJECT COST AND APPORTIONMENT

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
Modernization/Additional Grant	**919-611**	**1A**	$	$ 32,744.00	$ 32,744.00
Modernization/Additional Grant	**919-612**	**1A**		914,184.83	914,184.83
Modernization/Additional Grant	**919-617**	**1A**		378,389.82	378,389.82
Modernization/Additional Grant	**957-570**	**1D**		1,495,049.35	1,495,049.35
District Share					
Cash Contribution				1,880,245.00	
Total			$	$ **4,700,613.00**	$ **2,820,368.00**

Funding Source: Proposition 1A Bonds/1998-Nov.; Proposition 1D Bonds/2006-Nov.

Pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009, this application has been approved and placed on the Unfunded List.
This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future State funding.

Senate Bill 854, Chapter 28, Statutes of 2014 repealed Labor Code (LC) Section 1771.3 which required school districts to provide payment to Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) for prevailing wage monitoring. As a result, school districts awarding a construction contract on or after June 20, 2014 are not mandated to provide payment for DIR monitoring and enforcement of prevailing wage requirements. Projects with an initial public works contract awarded on or after June 20, 2014 are not eligible to receive an additional grant for prevailing wage monitoring; however, school districts are still required to notify DIR within five days of initial contract award pursuant to LC Section 1773.3(a)(1).

The District shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

Provide that the State portion of any and all project savings realized from the funding of this facility hardship program project must be returned to the State.

REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER
State Allocation Board Meeting, April 20, 2016

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA	
School District: .....SANTA BARBARA UNIFIED	County:.....SANTA BARBARA
Application Number:.....51/76786-00-001	School Name: .....SANTA BARBARA HIGH
Total District Enrollment:..... 15,593	Project Grade Level: 9-12
Financial Hardship: ..... NO	

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To present the District's request for a 12-month time extension to submit a complete Seismic Mitigation Program (SMP) funding application for the previously approved conceptual approval for the demolition and replacement of the Peabody Stadium at Santa Barbara High.

DESCRIPTION

The District received a conceptual approval for the demolition and replacement of the Peabody Stadium at Santa Barbara High with an estimate cost of approximately $5.62 million under the School Facility Program (SFP) regulations at the June 2014 State Allocation Board (Board) meeting. This approval required the District to submit a complete funding application by December 25, 2015. The District is requesting a 12-month time extension to this deadline to allow time to make necessary modifications to existing plans that have been submitted to the Division of the State Architect (DSA).

AUTHORITY

See Attachment 1.

BACKGROUND

At the June 2014 Board meeting, the District was approved for the demolition and replacement of the Peabody Stadium in the same location at Santa Barbara High School. The approval required the District to submit a complete funding application along with all of the required approvals by DSA and the California Department of Education by December 25, 2015. The extension request was received prior to the due date (Attachments 2 and 3).

STAFF ANALYSIS/STATEMENTS

The District submitted the plans to DSA on March 20, 2015. However, added infrastructure and utilities including the replacement of a failing antiquated storm drain that passes under the playing field have increased the project cost to more than what was originally anticipated. The District is looking into reducing any unnecessary scope of work that is not required while ensuring that all required work is completed. The District is currently working with DSA on receiving approvals to the addendum and to the existing plans. It is expected that the plans and the addendum will receive DSA approval by April 2016.

Due to the above-mentioned circumstances, Staff supports the District's request.

(Continued on Page Two)

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Grant the district a 12-month time extension from December 25, 2015 until December 25, 2016, for the submittal of a complete SMP funding application for the Santa Barbara High School stadium.

2. Provide that all other provisions contained in the District's original approval from June 25, 2014 shall remain in full effect, as shown on Attachment 3.

ATTACHMENT 1

AUTHORITY

Education Code (EC) Section 17075.10(a) states:

> A school district may apply for hardship assistance in cases of extraordinary circumstances. Extraordinary circumstances may include, but are not limited to, the need to repair, reconstruct, or replace the most vulnerable school facilities that are identified as a Category 2 building, as defined in the report submitted pursuant to Section 17317, determined by the department to pose an unacceptable risk of injury to its occupants in the event of a seismic event.

EC Section 17075.10(b)(2) states:

> Funds for the purpose of seismic mitigation work or facility replacement pursuant to this section shall be allocated by the board on a 50-percent state share basis from funds reserved for that purpose in any bond approved by the voters after January 1, 2006. If the board determines that the seismic mitigation work of a school building would require funding that is greater than 50 percent of the funds required to construct a new facility, the school district shall be eligible for funding to construct a new facility under this chapter.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82 states: "A district is eligible for facility hardship funding to replace or construct new classrooms and related facilities if the district demonstrates there is an unmet need for pupil housing or the condition of the facilities, or the lack of facilities, is a threat to the health and safety of the pupils."

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82 states in part:

> "…A district may request a determination of eligibility for facility hardship funding in advance of project funding.
> (c) A district seeking replaced facilities as a result of either (a) or (b) above must submit Form SAB 50-04 [Application for Funding] for the replaced facilities:
>
> (1) Within 18 months if the replacement facilities will be located on the same site.
> (2) Within 24 months if the replacement facilities will be located on a replacement site.
>
> If an approved Application for the replaced facility is not accepted within the time periods identified in (c)(1) or (c)(2) above, the Board shall re-review the criteria submitted by the district for replacement of the facility prior to apportionment of the replaced facility."

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82(a)(1) provides for Facility Hardship grant funding when, "The facilities are needed to ensure the health and safety of the pupils if the district can demonstrate to the satisfaction of the Board that the health and safety of the pupils is at risk. Factors to be considered by the Board shall include…seismic mitigation of the Most Vulnerable Category 2 Buildings as verified by the DSA…."

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82(a)(1)(A) states:

> If the request is for the replacement facilities, a cost/benefit analysis must be prepared by the district and submitted to the [Office of Public School Construction] OPSC that indicates the total costs to remain in the classroom or related facility and mitigate the problem is at least 50 percent of the Current Replacement Cost of the classroom or related facility. The cost/benefit analysis may include applicable site development costs as outlined in Section 1859.76. If the cost to remain in the classroom or related facility is less than 50 percent of the Current Replacement Cost, the district may qualify for a Modernization Excessive Cost Hardship Grant for rehabilitation costs pursuant to Section 1859.83(e) or a grant not to exceed 50 percent of the cost estimate that has been reviewed and approved by the OPSC and approved by the board for seismic rehabilitation.

AUTHORITY (Cont.)

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82(a)(1)(B) states:

If the request is for replacement facilities that included structural and/or seismic deficiencies, the cost/benefit analysis must also include from a licensed design professional identifying the minimum work necessary to obtain DSA approval. The report must contain a detailed cost estimate of the repairs. The cost/benefit analysis shall not include increased costs associated with high performance related costs or components, with the exception of those high performance components that were pre-existing in the classroom or related facility. The report and cost estimate shall be subject to review by the OPSC for conformance with the Saylor Current Construction Cost Publication and, at the OPSC's discretion, the DSA. For seismic deficiencies of the Most Vulnerable Category 2 Buildings, the report and the cost estimate for the minimum work necessary must be reviewed by the DSA.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82(a)(1)(C) states:

The seismic mitigation projects must meet all of the following requirements:

1. The construction contract was executed on or after May 20, 2006;
2. The project funding provided shall be for the minimum work necessary to obtain DSA approval;
3. The building is designed for occupancy by students and staff; and
4. The DSA concurs with a report by a structural engineer, which identifies structural deficiencies that pose an unacceptable risk or injury to its occupants in a seismic event. If the unacceptable risk of injury is due to the presence of faulting, liquefaction or landslide, these hazards must be documented by a geologic hazards report prepared by an engineering geologist in accordance with California Building Code, Part 2, Chapter 18, section 1803A and with the concurrence of the California Geological Survey.

The structural engineer's report shall conform to the guidelines prepared by the DSA, in accordance with Education Code Section 17310.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.95.1(a) states:

When the Board has Insufficient Bond Authority to apportion the School District's funding request on the Form SAB 50-04, the following will apply:

(1) The Office of Public School Construction (OPSC) will receive and determine if the Form SAB 50-04 is an Approved Application. To be placed on the Applications Received Beyond Bond Authority List, the Approved Application for funding shall be accompanied by a school board resolution, as specified in paragraph (b) of this Section. The OPSC will not determine if the Approved Application is ready for Apportionment.

ATTACHMENT 2

Santa Barbara Unified
Every child, every chance, every day.

720 Santa Barbara Street
Santa Barbara, CA 93101
Phone: 805.963.4338
TDD: 805.966.7734
SBUnified.org

October 19, 2015

Ms. Hannah Konnoff
Project Manager, Seismic Mitigation Program
Office of Public School Construction
707 Third Street
West Sacramento, CA 95605

Subject: Santa Barbara Unified School District
Santa Barbara High SMP Project 51/76786-00-001
Conceptual Approval Extension Request

Dear Ms. Konnoff:

On June 25, 2014 the Santa Barbara Unified School District received a conceptual approval for the Santa Barbara High (Peabody Stadium) Seismic Mitigation Program Replacement Project 51/76786-00-001.

The Santa Barbara Unified School District has been working to complete the Peabody Stadium seismic project plans; however, additional requirements were placed upon the project and costs became more than anticipated. It became necessary to review the plans for potential cost saving measures and make revisions as appropriate

The District is presently making the necessary revisions to the original plans and will re-submit them to the Division of the State Architect. The District is anticipating DSA approval at the end of January 2016 with construction starting in April of 2016.

The Santa Barbara Unified School District is respectfully requesting a 12 month extension to the Santa Barbara High School SMP Replacement Project conceptual approval.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any questions or require any additional information.

Very Truly Yours,

David J. Hetyonk
Director Facilities and Operations

cc: School Facility Consultants
Kelly Coultrup, TELACU

The Santa Barbara Unified School District does not discriminate in employment against properly qualified and eligible individuals by reason of their actual or perceived race, religion, color, national origin, ancestry, age, marital status, pregnancy, physical or mental disability, medical condition, genetic information, veteran status, gender, gender identity, gender expression, sex, or sexual orientation.

REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER
State Allocation Board Meeting, June 25, 2014

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA	
School District: .....SANTA BARBARA UNIFIED	County:.....SANTA BARBARA
Application Number:..... 51/76786-00-001	School Name:.....SANTA BARBARA HIGH
Total District Enrollment:.....15,518	Project Grade Level:.....9-12
Financial Hardship:.....NO	

PURPOSE OF REPORT

Type of Request	State Allocation Board (Board) conceptual approval for a School Facility Program (SFP) Facility Hardship Seismic Mitigation Program (SMP) replacement project.
Estimated Total Project Cost	$ 5,621,582
Estimated Cost to the State	$ 2,810,791

DESCRIPTION

Description of Health and Safety Threat	The Peabody Stadium at Santa Barbara High School, in Santa Barbara, California was originally built in 1926 and is the Most Vulnerable Category 2 Building Type. The Division of the State Architect (DSA) has concurred with the presence of the threat of a local or global collapse of the facility in the event of seismic activity, as outlined in the Eligibility Evaluation Report filed by the District's structural engineer.
Scope of Project	The Peabody Stadium consists of outdoor, concrete, grandstand seating with team rooms, restrooms and storage space underneath. The eligible enclosed area includes 1,108 square feet of "toilet" facilities and 4,314 square feet of "other" facilities. The project is currently in the planning and pre-design stage, but ultimately the entire stadium will be demolished and reconstructed in the same location.
Status of School Site	The Peabody Stadium is currently open for use by students and the public.

QUALIFYING CRITERIA

Government/State Level Concurrence	DSA has approved the Peabody Stadium for SMP eligibility, has evaluated and approved the planned seismic mitigation work.
Site Visit Completed by Staff	Staff has accepted reports by the industry specialist and DSA approval in lieu of a site visit.
Staff Supports the District's Request	Yes

AUTHORITY

(Continued on Page Two)

See Attachment A.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Provide that the potential grant amount will be based on an adjusted square footage calculation not to exceed 1,108 square feet of "toilet" facilities and 4,314 square feet of "other" facilities as well as applicable site development.

2. Provide that the District must file an Approved Application for funding within 18 months of this approval, pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.82(c).

3. Provide that this conceptual approval does not guarantee a commitment for funding by the Board. Any possible future funding will be subject to all governing laws and regulations, and the availability of funds.

4. Provide that the State portion of any and all savings which may be realized from the funding of this SMP project must be returned to the State.

ATTACHMENT A

AUTHORITY

Education Code (EC) Section 17075.10(a) states:

> A school district may apply for hardship assistance in cases of extraordinary circumstances. Extraordinary circumstances may include, but are not limited to, the need to repair, reconstruct, or replace the most vulnerable school facilities that are identified as a Category 2 building, as defined in the report submitted pursuant to Section 17317, determined by the department to pose an unacceptable risk of injury to its occupants in the event of a seismic event.

EC Section 17075.10(b)(2) states:

> Funds for the purpose of seismic mitigation work or facility replacement pursuant to this section shall be allocated by the board on a 50-percent state share basis from funds reserved for that purpose in any bond approved by the voters after January 1, 2006. If the board determines that the seismic mitigation work of a school building would require funding that is greater than 50 percent of the funds required to construct a new facility, the school district shall be eligible for funding to construct a new facility under this chapter.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82 states, "A district is eligible for facility hardship funding to replace or construct new classrooms and related facilities if the district demonstrates there is an unmet need for pupil housing or the condition of the facilities, or the lack of facilities, is a threat to the health and safety of the pupils."

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82(a)(1) provides for Facility Hardship grant funding when, "The facilities are needed to ensure the health and safety of the pupils if the district can demonstrate to the satisfaction of the Board that the health and safety of the pupils is at risk. Factors to be considered by the Board shall include…seismic mitigation of the Most Vulnerable Category 2 Buildings as verified by the DSA…."

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82(a)(1)(A) states:

> If the request is for replacement facilities, a cost/benefit analysis must be prepared by the district and submitted to the OPSC that indicates the total costs to remain in the classroom or related facility and mitigate the problem is at least 50 percent of the Current Replacement Cost of the classroom or related facility. The cost/benefit analysis may include applicable site development costs as outlined in Section 1859.76. The cost/benefit analysis shall not include increased costs associated with high performance related costs or components, with the exception of those high performance components that were pre-existing in the classroom or related facility. If the cost to remain in the classroom or related facility is less than 50 percent of the Current Replacement Cost, the district may qualify for either grant below, as applicable:
>
> 1. Modernization Excessive Cost Hardship Grant for Rehabilitation Costs pursuant to Section 1859.83(e), or
> 2. A grant not to exceed 50 percent of the cost estimate that has been reviewed and approved by the OPSC and approved by the board for seismic rehabilitation.

ATTACHMENT A

AUTHORITY (cont.)

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82(a)(1)(B) states:

If the request is for replacement facilities that included structural and/or seismic deficiencies, the cost/benefit analysis must also include a report from a licensed design professional identifying the minimum work necessary to obtain DSA approval. The report must contain a detailed cost estimate of the repairs. The cost/benefit analysis shall not include increased costs associated with high performance related costs or components, with the exception of those high performance components that were pre-existing in the classroom or related facility. The report and cost estimate shall be subject to review by the OPSC for conformance with the Saylor Current Construction Cost Publication and, at the OPSC's discretion, the DSA. For seismic deficiencies of the Most Vulnerable Category 2 Buildings, the report and the cost estimate for the minimum work necessary must be reviewed by the DSA.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82(a)(1)(C) states:

The seismic mitigation projects must meet all of the following requirements:

1. The construction contract was executed on or after May 20, 2006;
2. The project funding provided shall be for the minimum work necessary to obtain DSA approval;
3. The building is designed for occupancy by students and staff; and
4. The DSA concurs with a report by a structural engineer, which identifies structural deficiencies that pose an unacceptable risk of injury to its occupants in a seismic event. If the unacceptable risk of injury is due to the presence of faulting, liquefaction or landslide, these hazards must be documented by a geologic hazards report prepared by an engineering geologist in accordance with California Building Code, Part 2, Chapter 18, section 1803A and with the concurrence of the California Geological Survey.

The structural engineer's report shall conform to the guidelines prepared by the DSA, in accordance with Education Code Section 17310.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.95.1(a) states:

When the Board has Insufficient Bond Authority to apportion the School District's funding request on the Form SAB 50-04, the following will apply:

(1) The Office of Public School Construction (OPSC) will receive and determine if the Form SAB 50-04 is an Approved Application. To be placed on the Applications Received Beyond Bond Authority List, the Approved Application for funding shall be accompanied by a school board resolution, as specified in paragraph (b) of this Section. The OPSC will not determine if the Approved Application is ready for Apportionment.