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CHAIRPERSON ORTEGA: Good morning, everyone. I’ll call to order the November 25th meeting of the State Allocation Board. Ms. Jones, if you could call the roll.


ASSEMBLYMEMBER BUCHANAN: Here.

MS. JONES: Assemblymember Hagman.

ASSEMBLYMEMBER HAGMAN: Here.

MS. JONES: Assemblymember Nazarian.

ASSEMBLYMEMBER NAZARIAN: Present.

MS. JONES: Esteban Almanza.

MR. ALMANZA: Here.

MS. JONES: Jeannie Oropeza.

MS. OROPEZA: Here.

MS. JONES: Cesar Diaz.

MR. DIAZ: Here.

MS. JONES: And Eraina Ortega.

CHAIRPERSON ORTEGA: Here.

MS. JONES: We have a quorum.

CHAIRPERSON ORTEGA: Thank you very much. Thank you everyone for coming to this meeting that we kind of scheduled short notice. The Minutes I think are the first item. Lisa.
ASSEMBLYMEMBER BUCHANAN: Move approval.

CHAIRPERSON ORTEGA: I don’t think we have any changes to the Minutes. We have a motion from Ms. Buchanan.

MS. OROPEZA: Second.

CHAIRPERSON ORTEGA: And a second. All in favor.

(Ayes)

CHAIRPERSON ORTEGA: The Minutes are adopted. And Item No. 3 is the Executive Officer’s Statement.

MS. SILVERMAN: Yeah. We have a few items to share today -- this morning. And first is the update on the priority in funding apportionments.

The Board took action in August for $100.2 million and we wanted just to give the Board an update. There’s only two projects that didn’t come in. Everyone else accessed the cash.

So the two projects will receive their first strike and one of them is a facility hardship project. And so they’ll still have a priority status, but again, it’s important for them to provide certification during the open round, and another is a charter project.

So again, we’ll be working with all those folks that have an unfunded approval to submit a cert during the current round.

The next item again is to mention that we have a priority certification round open currently. And again,
those folks who have an unfunded approval and those who are receiving unfunded approvals today will be eligible to participate.

The certification round closes December 11th and they’ll be valid for January 1st through June 30th, 2015.

And we also wanted to highlight that we have a new website that we wanted feature. We’ve been working closely with the Department of Education, the Division of State Architect, and our office to come up with one-stop web portal and we also got some feedback from a good colleague here, Bill -- Mr. Savidge and some other districts as far as how resourceful or useful the information is.

So again, we just launched that just a few weeks ago.

And the last item we wanted to update is the Overcrowded Relief Grant Program.

In August, the Board took action to leave the round open, and so consequently, we do have $20 million in project unfunded approvals being moved forward in the Consent Agenda. One is for Long Beach and they’ll have a whole complement of their project, and the other project is Santa Ana and which Santa Ana was short about a million dollars, so as we go through and find out whether or not we have additional proceeds coming back to the Overcrowded Relief Grant Program, they will be eligible to get a full
complement as well on their project.

So that’s all I have to report.

CHAIRPERSON ORTEGA: Okay. Any questions? Any public comment on any of those items? Seeing none, we’ll move onto the Consent Agenda.

I’m going to propose that we add the items under Tabs 9, 10, and 11 which are the reports on the annual legislative update, the Small High School Program Status report, and the surplus site sales proceeds report to the Consent Agenda, if there isn’t any objection.

MR. DIAZ: So moved.

ASSEMBLYMEMBER BUCHANAN: So move.

CHAIRPERSON ORTEGA: Moved by Mr. Diaz and seconded by Ms. Buchanan.

Any public comment on any of the items on the Consent Calendar including the Tabs 9, 10, and 11?

No public comment. All in favor.

(Ayes)

CHAIRPERSON ORTEGA: Any opposed or abstentions?

None. Consent Calendar is adopted.

Item 5 is the Status of Fund Release.

MS. SILVERMAN: Yeah. On page 106, again wanted to highlight just for the month of October, we have released $9.9 million, but again we had just an update the last several weeks.
Just in relationship to the hundred million dollars that we were apportioning in August, again a good portion of those proceeds will be reflected in the next month’s update -- in January. Excuse me.

So about $90 plus million has and will be activated in the next few weeks.

CHAIRPERSON ORTEGA: Okay.

MS. SILVERMAN: And that’s it as far as Status of Fund Releases.

And as far as Status of Funds -- and that’s actually on page 110 -- there is a series of closeout activities and rescissions and savings being returned back to the program, and that’s in your left-hand column.

So $1.9 million has come back into the program collectively in new construction and career tech and modernization, and a complement of projects being presented for unfunded approvals, we have 19 and a half million dollars also being presented. Charters will be presented as well tonight.

We had some conversions as well that we wanted to reflect in the High Performance Incentive Grant, also part of the agenda.

And so again, just to remind, we have about $32.2 million remaining in the High Performance Incentive Grant Program along with a small fraction in Career Tech
Education and those proceeds will be moved over effective January 1st as part of the budget.

And the following page is 111. We wanted to highlight that there is rescission of a few projects that districts have requested to have their projects in the Emergency Repair Program voluntarily rescind, and those four projects will be reflected and a million dollars will be awarded back in January.

So again, I know you had taken some action a few weeks ago to present Emergency Repair Program projects, but some of them had a slight haircut, didn’t have a full complement of funds. So we’ll be awarding that million plus dollars to those projects. And that’s it.

CHAIRPERSON ORTEGA: Any questions/comments from the Board members? Any public comment on either of those items? Okay. Those are not action items, so we’ll move to Tab 7, the Charter Preliminary Apportionments.

MR. WATANABE: Good morning. Michael Watanabe, OPSC.

In November 2013, as a result of authority returning to the Charter School Facilities Program, the Board created a new filing round that began April 1st, 2014, and continued through May 30th, 2014.

In total, the OPSC received 72 applications of which 61 remained eligible for possible apportionments.
At the March 2014 meeting, the Board did take action to have the hundred million available in authority. The Board set aside 7.6 million for projects that received approvals back in 2008, but we never gave them their 6 percent add-on for their new construction pupil grant adjustment. So we took 7.6 off the top.

In addition, the Board also took action to reserve 5.3 million for the administration of the program.

In total, that left 89.9 available for funding for this round.

We did exceed authority of the 89. A total of 62 applications requested approximately 650 million in bond authority from our program.

Being oversubscribed, statute requires us to do an even distribution throughout the state.

On stamped page 126, we outline how that scoring matrix kind of rolled out, and in total, we were able to fund the first five projects on that list. They’re shown larger on page 124. Those five projects are shown for a total 89.9 million.

I want to point out that the last project for Santa Ana Science and Arts Academy, they’re actually eligible for 25 million, but we don’t have enough to fully fund them. They accepted a partial preliminary apportionment at this time for 17 million.
In March, the Board did say that these applications received are valid for another eight months after today’s Board meeting, so if any authority returns to the program during that eight months, it would be available to make them whole.

If we receive enough to make them whole, we’d continue down that funding list.

After the eight months over, any authority not used for the program will be available for future projects that were approved but want to convert higher.

Any questions?

CHAIRPERSON ORTEGA: Go ahead, Ms. Oropeza.

MS. OROPEZA: So you said prior to allocating any money, you make people whole that previously received an apportionment; is that correct?

MR. WATANABE: We’ll make this project whole because they’re a part of the 2014 filing round and then we’ll keep going down that list on page 126 until the eight months is expired.

At that point, we send all the applications that were unfunded back to the charters.

ASSEMBLYMEMBER BUCHANAN: I think all of us have received both through OPSC and individually considerable information on the YPI Charter School situation.

So what I’d like to do is make a motion to accept
the staff’s recommendation and also ask separately, not as part of the motion, that you work with YPI between now and the January Board meeting to determine if there's some sort of resolution that you can work out to their situation so they’re able to move forward with their construction because I don’t -- I’m not prepared to take money away from any of the schools to which we’ve allocated funds. I don’t think that’s the direction we want to go.

But I do have some sympathy to a school that’s in the middle of a project and seeing the costs go up. So --

Mr. Diaz: Second.

Ms. Oropeza: And I have one more question after she’s done.

Chairperson Ortega: And we will take public comment on this item as well.

Ms. Oropeza: At our briefing, I understood that you believe that there would be quite a bit of rescissions in this program. Can you talk to us about that?

Mr. Watanabe: Historically, about half the projects that receive preliminary apportionments have not moved forward with their project. So if they don’t move forward in their four-year timeline, that authority would return to the program.

Additionally, for the next 30 years as school districts make their repayments back through the lease
payments, we’ll continue to get authority continuously.

MS. OROPEZA: And how much do you estimate that will be roughly?

MR. WATANABE: I don’t think we can predict that number.

MS. SILVERMAN: Yeah. We have several projects that are timeline to conversion in May and also October and November. Key issue of that timeline is they have an extension.

They have the ability to extend the project for another year. We do know there are some projects that are moving through DSA right now currently. We did hear some feedback in March that was likely a project that won’t move forward. So that’s probably a big outcome there.

So again, we’ll keep the Board updated as projects start to move forward and give you some more information as we proceed.

CHAIRPERSON ORTEGA: And this round was created because of funds that returned back to the program.

MS. SILVERMAN: Correct.

CHAIRPERSON ORTEGA: There’s a strong sense that history will show that funds will return back to the program.

MS. SILVERMAN: Right.

CHAIRPERSON ORTEGA: Are there any other questions
from the Board members? If not, let’s take public comment on this. I know I have at least one person, Mr. Duenas, who would like to speak on this item, and if there’s anyone else, please come forward.

MR. DUENAS: Good morning, members of the Board. My name is Ruben Duenas. I’m the Chief Operations Officer for YPI Charter Schools.

After listening to the conversation and it’s a holiday week and I don’t want to take too much time, I just wanted to thank you for the consideration that you’ve given to our concerns and our project and we look forward -- will continue to work with you and with the staff to try to find a solution for our project.

I had a much longer conversation, but I think you’ve already addressed that and I don’t want to take any more time.

CHAIRPERSON ORTEGA: Thank you.

MR. DUENAS: So thank you very much for your time and we appreciate your support.

CHAIRPERSON ORTEGA: Any other public comment on this item? Okay. Any other questions on the -- okay. We have a motion and a second on the floor. So do we need to call the roll on this or --

MS. JONES: Only if you want.

CHAIRPERSON ORTEGA: I think we can just say all
in favor.

(Ayes)

CHAIRPERSON ORTEGA: Any opposed or abstentions?
No. All right. Passes unanimously.

And Item 8 is the Livermore Valley Joint Unified, Alameda, issue.

MR. RYAN: Good morning. Joel Ryan from OPSC.

We’re presenting the Board with information that we received regarding two Livermore Valley School District projects.

In March 2010, the Alameda County Superior Court found that the district’s construction practices violated the competitive bidding requirements. The reason the Board should know is that these projects received state funding.

There were two high school projects which received approximately $5 million apiece from the state.

The district did not agree with the court finding. The district worked with its legal counsel at the time and felt that everything that it did was proper.

The district isn’t going to appeal the ruling, but it will not do its construction in this way in the future.

The reason that we’re bringing it to you today is that we haven’t seen this before. Our regulations don’t really address what happens when there is a violation of California code that’s not Education Code.
So we’re seeking Board direction today and leaving it in your hands.

CHAIRPERSON ORTEGA: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Ryan. So this item does not require action. What it does, the staff are seeking the Board’s direction, so what is the rule of the Board. Mr. Diaz.

MR. DIAZ: Thank you. I did read the item and was briefed on it yesterday by staff.

I think members of the Board can understand how I feel a lot about labor compliance and the public contract code, but I think that being said, the court has ruled and the district has acknowledged that it must move forward with future projects under the contract code, in compliance with that.

So I think that my recommendation for this Board will be for no further action and to basically approve them getting their funding.

CHAIRPERSON ORTEGA: Okay. Any other comments from the Board? Any public comment on this item? Okay. Seeing none, thank you, Mr. Ryan. We’re down to Item 12.

MS. SILVERMAN: Workload reports for the next 90 days and --

CHAIRPERSON ORTEGA: Okay.

ASSEMBLYMEMBER HAGMAN: I bet those reports get smaller and smaller --
ASSEMBLYMEMBER BUCHANAN: When I looked at the funding, a hundred percent, 97 percent, so -- and that may impact the charter schools coming in because people are beginning to realize it’s now or never.

CHAIRPERSON ORTEGA: And then Item 13, just a series of informational items including the calendar for next year. That’s it; right?

MS. SILVERMAN: That’s it.

CHAIRPERSON ORTEGA: Okay. Any other comments? Thank you, Board members, for getting one more in before the -- any other public comment on any items? Seeing none, the meeting’s adjourned.

(Whereupon, at 10:27 a.m. the proceedings were adjourned.)
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