Resolution: 2014-03-02

State of California
State Allocation Board
School Facility Program

This Resolution of the State Allocation Board (hereafter referred to as the "Board") is applicable to the appropriate sections of the Education Code and is described and filed in the office of the Executive Officer and will be made available to all interested parties as the Resolution pertains to the documents attached hereto. Said documents were acted upon by the Board at its meeting on March 26, 2014.

WHEREAS, the Board has previously approved or determined to be approvable a number of projects for construction or modernization eligibility of facilities for school districts and is making apportionments and/or unfunded approvals for the grant amounts for projects that meet the Board's criteria for the apportionment of grants pursuant to Education Code Sections 17072.10, 17078.52, 17078.70, 17079 or 17074.10 or Board Regulations 1859.81.1 or 1859.83;

WHEREAS, Treasury Regulations Section 1.150-2 require the State (on whose behalf the Board is acting) to declare its reasonable intent to provide grant funding to school districts, in accordance with Board policy and law, for costs of the projects with proceeds of State bonds;

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED:

1. This Resolution is adopted by the Board for the purposes of establishing compliance with Treasury Regulations Section 1.150-2, and this Resolution does not bind the Board to make any additional apportionment, or bind the State to incur any indebtedness.

2. The Board anticipates that some or all of the school districts listed on the list of "Projects" referred to in this Resolution will pay certain capital expenditures in connection with some or all of the project costs prior to the issuance of bonds by the State to pay for the grants for the projects. The reimbursement of such costs is consistent with the State's budgetary and financial circumstances, and in accordance with Board policy, as no other funds or accounts of the State have been budgeted or are available to pay the costs of the projects on either a short-term or a long-term basis.

3. The Board, acting on behalf of the State, hereby declares that it is the State's official intent to use proceeds of general obligation bonds that may become available for such purpose, consistent with the requirements of law that are in effect at the time the funds are available, to provide grants in accordance with applicable laws and regulations.

4. This Resolution shall be continuously available for inspection by the general public during normal business hours at the offices of the Board at 707 3rd Street, West Sacramento, California, commencing within one week after the date of enactment of this Resolution.

5. Any eligibility determination does not constitute a commitment of future funding by the Board.

I, the undersigned, duly authorized as the Executive Officer of the State Allocation Board, do hereby certify the foregoing to be true and correct, and that this Resolution was adopted at a meeting of said Board on March 26, 2014 at Sacramento, California.

Lisa Silverman
Executive Officer
State Allocation Board

REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER
State Allocation Board Meeting, March 26, 2014

SCHOOL FACILITY PROGRAM

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To adjust the baseline eligibility for new construction and modernization projects under the Leroy F. Greene School Facilities Act of 1998.

DESCRIPTION

The districts listed on Attachment A have received eligibility approval for new construction. There has been a change in their baseline in accordance with the California Code of Regulations relating to the Leroy F. Greene School Facilities Act of 1998, Section 1859.51.

The districts listed on Attachment B have received eligibility approval for modernization. There has been a change in their baseline in accordance with the California Code of Regulations relating to the Leroy F. Greene School Facilities Act of 1998, Section 1859.61.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve an adjustment to the new construction eligibility baseline determination as shown on Attachment A.

2. Approve an adjustment to the modernization eligibility baseline determination as shown on Attachment B.

3. Provide that this approval of adjusted eligibility determination does not constitute a commitment for future funding by the State Allocation Board.

KEY TO ADJUSTMENTS: **NEW CONSTRUCTION ONLY:**

(a) Reduced by the number of pupils provided grants in a new construction School Facility Program (SFP) project and by the number of pupils that received a Preliminary Apportionment pursuant to Section 1859.140 or a Preliminary Charter School Apportionment pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.162.2.

(b) Reduced by the number of pupils housed, based on the loading standards pursuant to Ed. Code Section 17071.25(a)(2)(A), in a new construction LPP project funded under the provisions of the LPP pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.12 or 1859.13.

(c) Reduced by the number of pupils housed in additional classrooms constructed or purchased based on the loading standards, pursuant to Ed. Code Section 17071.25(a)(2)(A), in a modernization SFP project.

(d) Adjusted as a result of audit findings made pursuant to Regulation Sections 1859.90 and 1859.105.

(e) Increased/decreased by changes in projected enrollment in subsequent enrollment reporting years, except as provided in (j) below.

(f) Adjusted as a result of errors or omissions by the district or by the Office of Public School Construction (OPSC).

(g) Adjusted as result of amendments to the SFP Regulations that affect the eligibility.

(h) Increased by the number of pupils eligible for grants pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.82(a).

(i) Reduced by the number of pupils housed in classrooms provided after the baseline eligibility was determined by the State Allocation Board, with 12 exceptions at Regulation Section 1859.51(i).

(j) For Small School Districts, following a 3-year period after the district's eligibility was approved by the Board, decreased by any reduction in projected enrollment, and by any increase in pupils in the latest CDE operational grant report per Ed. Code Sec. 42268.

(k) Adjusted for any changes in classroom inventory as a result of a reorganization election.

(l) For classroom loading standards adopted by the Board for non-severely and severely disabled individuals with exceptional needs.

(m) As directed by the SAB due to finding a Material Inaccuracy pursuant to Section 1859.104.1.

(n) Increased by the number of pupils that received a Preliminary Apportionment that was rescinded pursuant to Section 1859.148 or a Preliminary Charter School Apportionment that was rescinded pursuant to Section 1859.166.

(o) Adjusted for operational grant changes as determined/provided by the California Department of Education.

(p) For High School Attendance Area (HSAA) districts with Preliminary Apportionments within the 2002 or 2004 Critically Overcrowded School Facilities Account, adjusted in accordance with Regulation Section 1859.51(p)(1), (2), or (3).

(q) Adjusted by the difference between the Alternative Enrollment Projection for the current enrollment reporting year and the projected enrollment determined pursuant to Section 1859.42 for the current enrollment reporting year, or by the eligibility remaining from this calculation that can no longer be utilized if the funds made available pursuant to EC Section 17071.75(a)(1)(A) have been exhausted.

(r) Adjusted per Ed. Code Sec. 17071.75(b)(2) by the number of pupils housed, based on the loading standards per Ed. Code Sec. 17071.25(a)(2)(A), in any classroom(s) where title was relinquished to the School District receiving the transferred classrooms.

MODERNIZATION ONLY:

(a) Reduced by the number of pupils provided grants in a modernization SFP project or CSFP Rehabilitation project at the specific site.

(b) Reduced by the number of pupils housed, based on the loading standard pursuant to EC Section 17071.25(a)(2), in a modernization LPP project funded under the LPP pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.14 and 1859.15.

(c) Increased by changes in projected enrollment in subsequent enrollment reporting years.

(d) (1) Increased for additional facilities not previously modernized with State funds, that become 25 years old, if permanent, or 20 years old, if portable or, (2) as a result of audit findings made pursuant to Regulation Sections 1859.90 and 1859.105.

(e) Adjusted as a result of errors or omissions by the district or by the OPSC.

(f) Adjusted as result of amendments to the Subgroup 5.5 Regulations that affect the eligibility.

(g) For classroom loading standards adopted by the Board for non-severely and severely disabled individuals with exceptional needs.

(h) As directed by the SAB due to finding a Material Inaccuracy pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.104.1.

(i) Increased for facilities previously modernized with State funds, which qualify for an additional modernization apportionment pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.78.8.

(j) Adjusted as a result of the Reconfiguration of an existing high school under the Small High School Program.

(k) Decreased for facilities that were deemed eligible for modernization pursuant to Regulation Sections 1859.60 and 1859.61(d) and subsequently replaced, or will be replaced under a signed contract for construction or acquisition of facilities, in a project funded by the district without participation from the State.

(l) Adjusted as a result of replaced eligible portables funded with the Overcrowding Relief Grant, per Ed. Code Section 17079 et seq.

(m) Adjusted as a result of classrooms demolished and replaced pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.82.

District	Attendance Area	County	50	Adj.	K-6	7-8	9-12	Severe	Severe
Fairfield-Suisun Unified	Districtwide	Solano	70540-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					907	-286	-129	-297	144
Adjustment Per This Item				f	63	0	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					970	-286	-129	-297	144

District	School Name	County	App. Number 57	Type of Adj.	K-6	7-8	9-12	Non-Severe	Severe
Alhambra Unified	Alhambra High	Los Angeles	75713-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					0	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				I	0	0	-270	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				I	0	0	-135	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					0	0	-405	0	0
Alhambra Unified	Baldwin (Martha) Elementary	Los Angeles	75713-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					575	189	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				I	-187	0	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					388	189	0	0	0
Alhambra Unified	Brightwood Elementary	Los Angeles	75713-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					98	-134	0	19	6
Adjustment Per This Item				I	-79	0	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					19	-134	0	19	6
Alhambra Unified	Century High (Cont)	Los Angeles	75713-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					0	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				I	0	0	-135	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					0	0	-135	0	0
Alhambra Unified	Emery Park Elementary	Los Angeles	75713-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					0	-37	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				I	-25	0	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					-25	-37	0	0	0
Alhambra Unified	Fremont Elementary	Los Angeles	75713-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					0	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				I	-25	0	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					-25	0	0	0	0
Alhambra Unified	Garfield Elementary	Los Angeles	75713-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					300	25	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				I	-256	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				I	-25	0	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					19	25	0	0	0
Alhambra Unified	Granada Elementary	Los Angeles	75713-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					44	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				I	-16	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				I	-9	0	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					19	0	0	0	0

District	School Name	County	App. Number 57	Type of Adj.	K-6	7-8	9-12	Non-Severe	Severe
Alhambra Unified	Marguerita Elementary	Los Angeles	75713-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					44	16	0	2	1
Adjustment Per This Item				I	-206	0	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					-162	16	0	2	1
Alhambra Unified	Mark Keppel High	Los Angeles	75713-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					0	0	118	32	6
Adjustment Per This Item				I	0	0	-459	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					0	0	-341	32	6
Alhambra Unified	Northrup (William) Elementary	Los Angeles	75713-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					513	201	0	8	0
Adjustment Per This Item				I	-188	0	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					325	201	0	8	0
Alhambra Unified	Park Elementary	Los Angeles	75713-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					151	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				I	-29	0	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					122	0	0	0	0
Alhambra Unified	Ramona Elementary	Los Angeles	75713-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					200	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				I	-25	0	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					175	0	0	0	0
Baldwin Park Unified	Sierra Vista Junior High	Los Angeles	64287-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					0	236	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				I	0	-216	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					0	20	0	0	0
Centinela Valley Union High	Leuzinger High	Los Angeles	64352-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					0	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				I	0	0	-1188	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					0	0	-1188	0	0
El Monte City	Columbia Elementary	Los Angeles	64501-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					0	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				I	0	-250	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					0	-250	0	0	0
El Monte City	Wright Elementary	Los Angeles	64501-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					0	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				I	0	-256	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					0	-256	0	0	0

District	School Name	County	App. Number 57	Type of Adj.	K-6	7-8	9-12	Non-Severe	Severe
El Monte Union High	Rosemead High	Los Angeles	64519-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					0	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				I	0	0	-272	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					0	0	-272	0	0
Emery Unified	Anna Yates Elementary	Alameda	61168-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					-65	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				I	-31	0	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					-96	0	0	0	0
Fresno Unified	Aynesworth Elementary	Fresno	62166-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					0	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				I	-200	0	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					-200	0	0	0	0
Fresno Unified	Centennial Elementary	Fresno	62166-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					0	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				I	-164	0	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					-164	0	0	0	0
Fresno Unified	Design Science Early College High	Fresno	62166-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					0	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				I	0	0	-241	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					0	0	-241	0	0
Fresno Unified	Easterby Elementary	Fresno	62166-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					225	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				I	-172	0	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					53	0	0	0	0
Fresno Unified	Fresno High	Fresno	62166-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					0	0	53	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				I	0	0	-486	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					0	0	-433	0	0
Fresno Unified	Mayfair Elementary	Fresno	62166-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					14	0	0	0	1
Adjustment Per This Item				I	-175	0	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					-161	0	0	0	1
Fresno Unified	Roosevelt High	Fresno	62166-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					0	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				I	0	0	-297	-13	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					0	0	-297	-13	0

District	School Name	County	App. Number 57	Type of Adj.	K-6	7-8	9-12	Non-Severe	Severe
Fresno Unified	Rowell Elementary	Fresno	62166-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					305	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				I	-148	0	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					157	0	0	0	0
Fresno Unified	Wilson Elementary	Fresno	62166-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					72	0	0	34	8
Adjustment Per This Item				I	-160	0	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					-88	0	0	34	8
Fresno Unified	Winchell Elementary	Fresno	62166-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					721	0	0	14	0
Adjustment Per This Item				I	-200	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				I	-79	0	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					442	0	0	14	0
Glendale Unified	Balboa Elementary	Los Angeles	64568-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					0	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				I	0	0	-275	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					0	0	-275	0	0
Glendale Unified	Columbus Elementary	Los Angeles	64568-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					0	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				e	136	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				I	0	0	-350	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					136	0	-350	0	0
Glendale Unified	Fremont (John C.) Elementary	Los Angeles	64568-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					0	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				I	0	0	-325	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					0	0	-325	0	0
Glendale Unified	Glendale Senior High	Los Angeles	64568-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					0	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				I	0	0	-297	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				I	0	0	-270	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				I	0	0	-27	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					0	0	-594	0	0
Glendale Unified	Hoover (Herbert) Senior High	Los Angeles	64568-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					0	0	1	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				I	0	0	-189	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					0	0	-188	0	0

District	School Name	County	App. Number 57	Type of Adj.	K-6	7-8	9-12	Non-Severe	Severe
Glendale Unified	Jefferson (Thomas) Elementary	Los Angeles	64568-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					0	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				I	0	0	-143	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					0	0	-143	0	0
Glendale Unified	Keppel (Mark) Elementary	Los Angeles	64568-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					0	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				I	0	0	-213	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					0	0	-213	0	0
Glendale Unified	La Crescenta Elementary	Los Angeles	64568-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					0	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				I	0	0	-189	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					0	0	-189	0	0
Glendale Unified	Lincoln (Abraham) Elementary	Los Angeles	64568-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					0	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				I	0	0	-118	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					0	0	-118	0	0
Glendale Unified	Mann (Horace) Elementary	Los Angeles	64568-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					0	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				I	0	0	-150	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					0	0	-150	0	0
Glendale Unified	Muir (John) Elementary	Los Angeles	64568-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					0	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				I	0	0	-150	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					0	0	-150	0	0
Glendale Unified	Roosevelt Junior High	Los Angeles	64568-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					0	-493	0	0	-2
Adjustment Per This Item				e	0	493	0	0	2
Adjustment Per This Item				I	0	0	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					0	-432	0	0	0
Glendale Unified	Verdugo Woodlands Elementary	Los Angeles	64568-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					0	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				I	0	0	-212	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					0	0	-212	0	0

District	School Name	County	App. Number 57	Type of Adj.	K-6	7-8	9-12	Non-Severe	Severe
Glendale Unified	White (R. D.) Elementary	Los Angeles	64568-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					0	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				I	0	0	-226	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					0	0	-226	0	0
Inglewood Unified	Hudnall (Claude) Elementary	Los Angeles	64634-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					178	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				I	-200	0	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					-22	0	0	0	0
Inglewood Unified	Payne (Buelah) Elementary	Los Angeles	64634-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					225	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				I	-350	0	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					-125	0	0	0	0
Lake Tahoe Unified	Bijou Elementary	El Dorado	61903-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					0	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				I	-225	0	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					-225	0	0	0	0
Lake Tahoe Unified	South Tahoe High	El Dorado	61903-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					0	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				I	0	0	-373	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					0	0	-373	0	0
Lake Tahoe Unified	South Tahoe Middle	El Dorado	61903-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					0	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				I	0	-263	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					0	-263	0	0	0
Long Beach Unified	Roosevelt Elementary	Los Angeles	64725-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					450	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				I	-268	0	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					182	0	0	0	0
Los Angeles Unified	Burbank (Luther) Junior High	Los Angeles	64733-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					0	983	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				I	0	-216	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					0	767	0	0	0
Los Angeles Unified	Gage (Henry T.) Junior High	Los Angeles	64733-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					0	1043	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				I	0	-125	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					0	918	0	0	0

District	School Name	County	App. Number 57	Type of Adj.	K-6	7-8	9-12	Non-Severe	Severe
Los Angeles Unified	Garfield (James A.) Senior High	Los Angeles	64733-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					0	0	2656	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				I	0	0	-150	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					0	0	2506	0	0
Los Angeles Unified	Humphreys Avenue Elementary	Los Angeles	64733-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					580	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				I	-200	0	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					380	0	0	0	0
Los Angeles Unified	Huntington Drive Elementary	Los Angeles	64733-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					0	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				I	-108	0	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					-108	0	0	0	0
Los Angeles Unified	Kennedy (Robert F.) Elementary	Los Angeles	64733-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					538	0	0	4	2
Adjustment Per This Item				I	-100	0	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					438	0	0	4	2
Los Angeles Unified	Miles Avenue Elementary	Los Angeles	64733-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					746	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				I	-27	0	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					719	0	0	0	0
Los Angeles Unified	Norwood Street Elementary	Los Angeles	64733-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					534	0	0	12	6
Adjustment Per This Item				I	-54	0	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					480	0	0	12	6
Luther Burbank Elementary	Luther Burbank Elementary	Santa Clara	69542-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					0	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				I	-125	0	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					-125	0	0	0	0
Modesto City High	Grace M. Davis High	Stanislaus	71175-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					0	0	2168	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				I	0	0	-81	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					0	0	2087	0	0
Oxnard Elementary	Driffill Elementary	Ventura	72538-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					0	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				I	-100	0	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					-100	0	0	0	0

District	School Name	County	App. Number 57	Type of Adj.	K-6	7-8	9-12	Non-Severe	Severe
Oxnard Elementary	Elm Street Elementary	Ventura	72538-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					235	0	0	1	23
Adjustment Per This Item				I	-50	0	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					185	0	0	1	23
Oxnard Elementary	Rose Avenue Elementary	Ventura	72538-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					0	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				I	-163	0	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					-163	0	0	0	0
Pittsburg Unified	Pittsburg Senior High	Contra Costa	61788-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					0	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				I	0	0	-230	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					0	0	-230	0	0
Rancho Santa Fe Elementary	R. Roger Rowe Elementary	San Diego	68312-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					0	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				I	-125	0	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					-125	0	0	0	0
Rancho Santa Fe Elementary	R. Roger Rowe Middle	San Diego	68312-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					0	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				I	0	-54	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					0	-54	0	0	0
Rowland Unified	Oswalt (Stanley G.) Elementary	Los Angeles	73452-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					525	91	0	13	0
Adjustment Per This Item				I	-110	0	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					415	91	0	13	0
San Bernardino City Unified	Arrowview Middle	San Bernardino	67876-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					0	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				I	0	-81	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					0	-81	0	0	0
San Bernardino City Unified	Del Vallejo Middle	San Bernardino	67876-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					0	233	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				I	0	-162	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				I	0	-81	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				I	0	-27	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					0	-37	0	0	0

District	School Name	County	App. Number 57	Type of Adj.	K-6	7-8	9-12	Non-Severe	Severe
San Bernardino City Unified	Golden Valley Middle	San Bernardino	67876-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					0	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				I	0	-81	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				I	0	-27	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					0	-108	0	0	0
San Bernardino City Unified	Hillside Elementary	San Bernardino	67876-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					0	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				I	-25	0	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					-25	0	0	0	0
San Bernardino City Unified	Lankershim Elementary	San Bernardino	67876-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					0	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				I	-161	0	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					-161	0	0	0	0
San Bernardino City Unified	Lincoln Elementary	San Bernardino	67876-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					0	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				I	-225	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				I	-75	0	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					-300	0	0	0	0
San Bernardino City Unified	Lytle Creek Elementary	San Bernardino	67876-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					0	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				I	-75	0	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					-75	0	0	0	0
San Bernardino City Unified	Muscoy Elementary	San Bernardino	67876-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					49	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				I	-25	0	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					24	0	0	0	0
San Bernardino City Unified	North Park Elementary	San Bernardino	67876-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					64	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				I	-50	0	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					14	0	0	0	0
San Bernardino City Unified	Riley Elementary	San Bernardino	67876-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					0	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				I	-100	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				I	-75	0	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					-175	0	0	0	0

District	School Name	County	App. Number 57	Type of Adj.	K-6	7-8	9-12	Non-Severe	Severe
San Bernardino City Unified	Shandin Hills Middle	San Bernardino	67876-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					0	348	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				I	0	-81	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					0	267	0	0	0
San Bernardino City Unified	Vermont Elementary	San Bernardino	67876-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					0	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				I	-50	0	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					-50	0	0	0	0
San Bernardino City Unified	Wilson Elementary	San Bernardino	67876-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					0	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				I	-175	0	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					-175	0	0	0	0
San Diego Unified	Emerson/Bandini Elementary	San Diego	68338-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					372	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				I	-114	0	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					258	0	0	0	0
San Diego Unified	Euclid Elementary	San Diego	68338-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					65	0	0	-5	-1
Adjustment Per This Item				I	-141	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				I	-141	0	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					-217	0	0	-5	-1
San Diego Unified	Hoover Senior High	San Diego	68338-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					0	0	53	0	-7
Adjustment Per This Item				I	0	0	-540	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					0	0	-487	0	-7
San Diego Unified	Point Loma Senior High	San Diego	68338-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					0	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				I	0	0	-198	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					0	0	-198	0	0
San Diego Unified	San Diego Senior High	San Diego	68338-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					0	0	1215	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				I	0	0	-404	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					0	0	811	0	0

District	School Name	County	App. Number 57	Type of Adj.	K-6	7-8	9-12	Non-Severe	Severe
San Diego Unified	Zamorano (Agustin Vincente)	San Diego	68338-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					550	0	0	17	9
Adjustment Per This Item				I	-218	0	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					332	0	0	17	9
San Francisco Unified	Monroe Elementary	San Francisco	68478-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					400	0	0	13	0
Adjustment Per This Item				I	-175	0	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					225	0	0	13	0
San Jose Unified	Trace (Merritt) Elementary	Santa Clara	69666-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					0	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				I	-250	0	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					-250	0	0	0	0
San Leandro Unified	San Leandro High	Alameda	61291-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					0	0	321	-61	13
Adjustment Per This Item				I	0	0	-405	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					0	0	-84	-61	13
Sweetwater Union High	National City Junior High	San Diego	68411-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					0	-279	0	43	6
Adjustment Per This Item				I	0	-27	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				I	0	-27	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					0	-333	0	43	6
Sweetwater Union High	Southwest Senior High	San Diego	68411-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					0	0	278	8	3
Adjustment Per This Item				I	0	0	-486	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					0	0	-208	8	3
Sweetwater Union High	Sweetwater Union High	San Diego	68411-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					0	0	609	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				I	0	0	-297	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				I	0	0	-270	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					0	0	42	0	0
Walnut Valley Unified	Chaparral Middle	Los Angeles	73460-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					0	1096	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				I	0	-211	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					0	885	0	0	0

District	School Name	County	App. Number 57	Type of Adj.	K-6	7-8	9-12	Non-Severe	Severe
West Contra Costa Unified	Dover Elementary	Contra Costa	61796-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					0	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				I	-233	0	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					-233	0	0	0	0

REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER
State Allocation Board Meeting, March 26, 2014

CHARTER SCHOOL FACILITIES PROGRAM GRANT INCREASES

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To present the State Allocation Board (Board) with the option of providing an adjustment to the Charter School Facilities Program (CSFP) preliminary apportionment amounts for new construction projects, in accordance with Education Code (EC) Section 17072.11.

DESCRIPTION

A one-time six percent increase to the new construction per pupil grants, as authorized by statute, was approved by the Board in May 2008. However, the CSFP preliminary apportionment amounts did not receive a corresponding increase. This item proposes an update to the most recent School Facility Program (SFP) grants to increase the CSFP preliminary apportionment amounts.

AUTHORITY

EC Section 17072.10(b) states, "The board annually shall adjust the per-unhoused-pupil apportionment to reflect construction cost changes, as set forth in the statewide cost index for class B construction as determined by the board."

EC Section 17072.11(a)(3) states, "The board shall conduct an analysis of the relationship between the per-unhoused-pupil grant eligibility determined under this article and the per-pupil cost of new school construction for elementary, middle, and high school pupils."

EC Section 17072.11(b) states, "On or after January 1, 2008, the board shall increase or decrease the per-unhoused-pupil grant eligibility by amounts it deems necessary to cause the grants to correspond to costs of new school construction, provided that the increase in any fiscal year pursuant to this section shall not exceed 6 percent."

SFP Regulation Section 1859.71 states the following:

> The new construction per-unhoused pupil amount, as provided in Education Code Section 17072.10(a), will be adjusted annually based on the change in the Class B Construction Cost Index as approved by the Board each January. The base Class B Construction Cost Index shall be 1.30 and the first adjustment shall be January, 1999.
>
> The new construction per-unhoused-pupil grant amount, as provided by Education Code Section 17072.10(a), may be increased by an additional amount not to exceed six percent in a fiscal year, or decreased, based on the analysis of the current cost to build schools as reported on the Project Information Worksheet (New 09/07) which shall be submitted with the Forms SAB 50-05 and 50-06 and as approved by the Board.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.163.1 states, "(a)(1) The amounts shown below for each pupil, based on the project capacity, included in a Preliminary Charter School Application:
(A) $5,227 for each elementary school pupil.
(B) $5,534 for each middle school pupil.
(C) $7,226 for each high school pupil…..
The amounts shown in (a)(1) shall be adjusted annually in the manner prescribed in Section 1859.71."

(Continued on Page Two)

BACKGROUND

Assembly Bill (AB) 127, Chapter 35, Statutes of 2006 (Perata/Nunez) increased the New Construction Grant by seven percent for elementary and middle school projects and four percent for high school projects beginning July 1, 2006. AB 127 also authorized the Board, beginning January 1, 2008, to annually increase or decrease the new construction per pupil base grant amount based on an analysis of the current costs to build a school. An increase can be up to six percent, pursuant to EC Section 17072.11(b). At the May 2008 meeting, the Board approved Staff's recommendation to increase the new construction per pupil grant increase by six percent for the elementary, middle, and high school grade levels.

However, this increase was not applied to the CSFP preliminary apportionment amounts for new construction projects. The CSFP preliminary apportionment amounts were initially established in 2002 based upon the SFP new construction per pupil grant, with an additional amount for fire code requirements built in to the base grant. These grants are adjusted annually to reflect changes to the Construction Cost Index (CCI), as prescribed in SFP Regulation Section 1859.163.1. The CSFP grants have been less than the new construction grants since that time because they were not given the grant adjustment in May 2008.

STAFF ANALYSIS/STATEMENTS

Since the CSFP preliminary apportionment amounts are based upon the SFP new construction pupil grant, it appears that it would have been appropriate to provide the May 2008 grant increase to the CSFP preliminary apportionment amounts. Staff completed an analysis of how the CSFP preliminary apportionment amounts would have changed each year had the grant increase been applied.

The following chart shows what the current CSFP preliminary apportionment amounts are, as approved by the Board at its January 2014 meeting, as well as what the grants would be had the 2008 adjustment been applied to the CSFP preliminary apportionment amounts:

CSFP - Preliminary Apportionment Amounts	Current Adjusted Grant Per Pupil Effective 1-1-14	Proposed Adjusted Grant Per Pupil Effective 2-26-14
Charter School Elementary	$9,405	$9,967
Charter School Middle	$9,956	$10,553
Charter School High	$13,003	$13,785

RECOMMENDATION

Approve the increase to the CSFP preliminary apportionment amounts, as shown on the Attachment.

ATTACHMENT

SCHOOL FACILITY PROGRAM GRANTS
State Allocation Board Meeting, March 26, 2014

Grant Amount Adjustments

New Construction / Modernization / Joint-Use	Regulation Section	Current Adjusted Grant Per Pupil Effective 1-1-14	Current Adjusted Grant Per Pupil Effective 3-26-14
Therapy/Multipurpose Room/Other (per square foot)	1859.72 1859.73.2 1859.77.3 1859.82 1859.125 1859.125.1	$162	$162
Toilet Facilities (per square foot)	1859.72 1859.73.2 1859.82 1859.125 1859.125.1	$292	$292
New Construction Only			
Parking Spaces	1859.76	$12,615	$12,615
General Site Grant (per acre for additional acreage being acquired)	1859.76	$16,122	$16,122
Project Assistance (for school district with less than 2,500 pupils)	1859.73.1	$5,986	$5,986
Modernization Only			
Two-stop Elevator	1859.83	$100,898	$100,898
Additional Stop	1859.83	$18,160	$18,160
Project Assistance (for school district with less than 2,500 pupils)	1859.78.2	$3,190	$3,190
Facility Hardship / Rehabilitation			
Current Replacement Cost - Other (per square foot)	1859.2	$323	$323
Current Replacement Cost - Toilets (per square foot)	1859.2	$582	$582
Interim Housing – Financial Hardship (per classroom)	1859.81	$33,249	$33,249
Charter School Facilities Program - Preliminary Apportionment Amounts			
Charter School Elementary	1859.163.1	$9,405	$9,967
Charter School Middle	1859.163.1	$9,956	$10,553
Charter School High	1859.163.1	$13,003	$13,785
Charter School Special Day Class - Severe	1859.163.1	$29,966	$29,966
Charter School Special Day Class - Non-Severe	1859.163.1	$20,039	$20,039

REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER
State Allocation Board Meeting, March 26, 2014

SCHOOL FACILITY PROGRAM
2014 ANNUAL ADJUSTMENT TO AMENDED UNFUNDED APPROVALS
(Regulation Section 1859.95)

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To present to the State Allocation Board (Board) a list of School Facility Program (SFP) applications that were presented at the January 23, 2013 Board meeting and are receiving the annual adjustment of 1.74 percent based on the Marshall & Swift Eight California Cities Index.

DESCRIPTION

The projects listed on the Attachment represent those projects presented at the January 22, 2014 Board meeting and which will receive the 1.74 percent increase in the form of an unfunded approval. These projects will maintain a State Allocation Board approval of January 22, 2014 on the Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans).

AUTHORITY

For New Construction funding, Education Code Section 17072.10(b) states, "The board annually shall adjust the per-unhoused-pupil apportionment to reflect construction cost changes, as set forth in the statewide cost index for class B construction as determined by the board."

For Modernization funding, Education Code Section 17074.10(b) states, "The board shall annually adjust the factors set forth in subdivision (a) according to the adjustment set forth in the statewide cost index for class B construction, as determined by the board."

SFP Regulation Section 1859.2 defines an Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans) as an information list of unfunded projects that was created due to the State's inability to provide interim financing from the Pooled Money Investment Account (AB 55 loans) to fund school construction projects as declared in the Department of Finance Budget Letter #33 issued on December 18, 2008.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.71 states, "The new construction per-unhoused-pupil grant amount, as provided by Education Code Section 17072.10(b), will be adjusted annually based on the change in the Class B Construction Cost Index as approved by the Board each January. . . . ."

SFP Regulation Section 1859.78 states, "The modernization per-unhoused-pupil grant amount, as provided by Education Code Section 17074.10(a), will be adjusted annually based on the change in the Class B Construction Cost Index as approved by the Board each January."

BACKGROUND

At its January 2014 meeting, the Board approved the adoption of the Marshall & Swift Eight California Cities Index for applying the 1.74 percent increase to SFP projects for calendar year 2014. Staff is presenting the list of project applications that were presented to the Board at its January 2014 meeting reflecting the Board's action of the adoption of the 1.74 percent increase based on the Marshall & Swift Eight California Cities Index. The unfunded approval of the applications reflected on the Attachment does not constitute a guarantee of future funding.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve the 1.74 percent increase for the SFP project applications as presented on the Attachment.

2. Provide that these project applications maintain a State Allocation Board approval date of January 22, 2014 on the Unfunded List.

3. Provide that these unfunded approvals do not constitute a guarantee of future funding.

ATTACHMENT
AMENDED UNFUNDED APPROVALS - CCI INCREASE
State Allocation Board Meeting, March 26, 2014

County	District	School Site	Application Number	Received Date	Grant Amount
LOS ANGELES	ACTON-AGUA DULCE UNIFED	VASQUEZ HIGH	50/75309-00-001	8/29/2012	$198,258
SANTA CRUZ	SANTA CRUZ COUNTY OFFICE OF ED.	GREEN VALLEY COMMUNITY	50/10447-00-002	8/29/2012	$312,168
SAN BERNARDINO	SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY OFFICE OF ED.	BEAR VALLEY	50/10363-03-085	9/11/2012	$5,281
SAN BERNARDINO	SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY OFFICE OF ED.	EAST VALLEY COMMUNITY DAY	50/10363-04-037	9/11/2012	$33,480
CONTRA COSTA	PITTSBURG UNIFED	MARINA ELEMENTARY	50/61788-00-010	9/18/2012	$1,525
SAN DIEGO	GROSSMONT UNION HIGH	EL CAJON VALLEY HIGH	51/68130-13-001	10/15/2013	$57,334
				New Construction:	$550,712
				Facility Hardship:	$57,334
				Total:	$608,046

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No: 50/75309-00-001
School District: Acton-Agua Dulce Unified
County: Los Angeles
School Name: Vasquez High

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project:	High School
K-6:	73
7-8:	
9-12:	566
Non-Severe:	
Severe:	9
Application Filing Basis:	District Wide
Number of Classrooms:	25
Master Acres:	41.2
Existing Acres:	31.85
Proposed Acres:	
Recommended Acres:	29.1
Facility Hardship (a):	No
Financial Hardship Requested:	No
Alternative Education School:	No
Addition to Existing Site:	Yes

ADJUSTED GRANT DATA

New Construction Grant		$	8,529,492.00
Project Assistance			5,986.00
Fire Detection Alarm			16,919.00
Sprinkler System			136,326.00
Prevailing Wage Monitoring			19,290.00
SDC Therapy Toilet	188 Sq. Ft.		54,144.00
SDC Therapy Other	744 Sq. Ft.		117,552.00
Service Site			4,804,022.00
Off-Site			536,572.00
Utilities			1,231,381.00
Total State Share (50%)			15,451,684.00
District Share (50%)			15,451,684.00
Total Project Cost		$	**30,903,368.00**

PROJECT FINANCING

State Share		
This Project	$	15,451,684.00
District Share		
Cash Contribution		14,471,305.00
Financial Hardship		980,379.00
Total Project Cost	$	**30,903,368.00**

HISTORY OF PROJECT COST AND APPORTIONMENT

	Fund Code	Proposition		Previously Authorized		Authorized This Action		Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share								
New Construction/Add. Grant	**955-500**	**55**	$	14,319,518.00	$	151,787.00	$	151,787.00
New Construction/Add. Grant	**119-501**	**1A**		980,379.00				
District Share								
Cash Contribution				14,319,518.00		(828,592.00)		
Financial Hardship	**047-501**	**47**		980,379.00				
Total			$	**30,599,794.00**	$	**(676,805.00)**	$	**151,787.00**

Funding Sources: Proposition 47 Bonds/2002-Nov.; Proposition 55 Bonds/2004-Mar.; Proposition 1A Bonds/1998-Nov.
Pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009, this application has been approved and placed on the Unfunded List.
This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future State funding.

Assembly Bill 436 (Solorio), Chapter 378, Statutes of 2011, requires school districts to notify the Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) of School Facility Program projects with a public works contract awarded on or after January 1, 2012. Except for projects exempt pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.3(b)(3), the DIR is required to provide prevailing wage monitoring services for the projects.

The District shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

This project is a Use of Grants under Regulation Section 1859.77.3(b).

This project is being adjusted for the current year Construction Cost Index adjusment and shall maintain the SAB approval date of January 22, 2014.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No: 50/10447-00-002
School District: Santa Cruz County Office Of Education
County: Santa Cruz
School Name: Green Valley Community School

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project:	High School
K-6:	
7-8:	27
9-12:	270
Non-Severe:	
Severe:	
Application Filing Basis:	District Wide
Number of Classrooms:	11
Master Acres:	8
Existing Acres:	
Proposed Acres:	1.57
Recommended Acres:	8
Facility Hardship (a):	No
Financial Hardship Requested:	Yes
Alternative Education School:	Yes
Addition to Existing Site:	No

ADJUSTED GRANT DATA

New Construction Grant		$	3,886,947.00
Project Assistance			5,986.00
Fire Detection Alarm			7,479.00
Sprinkler System			60,966.00
Prevailing Wage Monitoring			19,465.00
Multi-Level Constr.	11Crs.		466,434.00
Site Acquisition			681,978.00
Service Site			211,737.00
Off-Site			16,213.00
Utilities			34,388.00
Urban/Security/Impacted Site			2,414,043.00
Total State Share (50%)			7,805,636.00
District Share (50%)			7,805,636.00
Total Project Cost		$	**15,611,272.00**

PROJECT FINANCING

State Share		
This Project	$	7,805,636.00
District Share		
Cash Contribution		41,307.00
Financial Hardship		7,764,329.00
Total Project Cost	$	**15,611,272.00**

HISTORY OF PROJECT COST AND APPORTIONMENT

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
New Construction/Add. Grant	**955-500**	**55**	$ 6,650,754.00	$ 117,150.00	$ 117,150.00
New Construction/Add. Grant	**055-500**	**55**	1,017,542.00		
New Construction/Add. Grant	**057-500**	**1D**	20,190.00		
District Share					
Cash Contribution			41,307.00		
Financial Hardship	**955-500**	**55**	6,609,447.00	117,150.00	117,150.00
Financial Hardship	**055-500**	**55**	1,017,542.00		
Financial Hardship	**057-500**	**1D**	20,190.00		
Total			$ **15,376,972.00**	$ **234,300.00**	$ **234,300.00**

Funding Source: Proposition 55 Bonds/2004-Mar.; Proposition 1D Bonds/2006.Nov.

Pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009, this application has been approved and placed on the Unfunded List. This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future State funding.

Amounts shown for financial hardship assistance are subject to adjustment as a result of a review of the District's financial records pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.81(a) at the time of apportionment.

Assembly Bill 436 (Solorio), Chapter 378, Statutes of 2011, requires school districts to notify the Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) of School Facility Program projects with a public works contract awarded on or after January 1, 2012. Except for projects exempt pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.3(b)(3), the DIR is required to provide prevailing wage monitoring services for the projects.

The District shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The District qualifies for financial hardship pursuant to SFP Regulation Section 1859.81(c)(3). The District qualifies as a County Superintendent of Schools and has demonstrated it is financially unable to provide all or a part of the matching funds.

This project is being adjusted for the current year Construction Cost Index adjustment and shall maintain the SAB approval date of January 22, 2014.

STATE ALLOCATION BOARD
APPROVAL ❖ ❖ ❖ March 26, 2014

SAB Meeting: **March 26, 2014** **New Construction - Amended Separate Design**

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No: 50/10363-03-085 **County:** San Bernardino
School District: San Bernardino County Office Of Education **School Name:** Bear Valley
High School Attendance Area: Desert Mountain

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project:	High School	**Application Filing Basis:**	High School Attendance Area
Pupils Assigned K-6:		**Number of Classrooms:**	2
7-8:	27	**Existing Acres:**	
9-12:	27	**Proposed Acres:**	
Non-Severe:		**Facility Hardship (a):**	No
Severe:		**Addition to Existing Site:**	No
Financial Hardship Requested:	Yes		

GRANT DATA

Estimated Total Grant		Separate Design Grant	
New Construction Grant	$ 643,626.00	**Total Design (40% of Grant)**	$ 257,450.00
Total State Share (50%)	643,626.00		
District Share (50%)	643,626.00	**Total Design**	$ **257,450.00**
Total Estimated Cost	$ 1,287,252.00	**Total State Share (50%)**	128,725.00
		District Share (50%)	128,725.00
		District Cash Contribution	$
		Financial Hardship	$ 128,725.00

DESIGN PROJECT FINANCING

State Share	
New Construction Design Grant	$ 128,725.00
District Share	
Cash Contribution	
Financial Hardship	128,725.00
Total Design Cost	$ **257,450.00**

HISTORY OF PROJECT COST AND APPORTIONMENT

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
New Construction Design Grant	**955-500**	**55**	$ 126,527.50	$ 2,197.50	$ 2,197.50
District Share					
Cash Contribution					
Financial Hardship	**955-500**	**55**	126,527.50	2,197.50	2,197.50
Total			$ **253,055.00**	$ **4,395.00**	$ **4,395.00**

Funding Source: Proposition 55 Bonds/2004- Mar.
Pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009, this application has been approved and placed on the Unfunded List.
This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future State funding.

Amounts shown for financial hardship assistance are subject to adjustment as a result of a review of the District's financial records pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.81(a) at the time of apportionment.

Assembly Bill 436 (Solorio), Chapter 378, Statutes of 2011, requires school districts to notify the Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) of School Facility Program projects with a public works contract awarded on or after January 1, 2012. Except for projects exempt pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.3(b)(3), the DIR is required to provide prevailing wage monitoring services for the projects.

The District shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The District qualifies for financial hardship pursuant to SFP Regulation Section 1859.81(c)(3). The District qualifies as a County Superintendent of Schools and has demonstrated it is financially unable to provide all or a part of the matching funds.

The project is being adjusted for the current year Construction Cost Index adjustment and shall maintain the SAB approval date of January 22, 2014.

SAB Meeting: **March 26, 2014** **New Construction - Amended Separate Design**

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No: 50/10363-04-037 **County:** San Bernardino
School District: San Bernardino County Office Of Education **School Name:** East Valley Community Day
High School Attendance Area: Dese East Valley

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project:	High School	**Application Filing Basis:**	High School Attendance Area
Pupils Assigned K-6:		**Number of Classrooms:**	10
7-8:		**Existing Acres:**	
9-12:	270	**Proposed Acres:**	
Non-Severe:		**Facility Hardship (a):**	No
Severe:		**Addition to Existing Site:**	No
Financial Hardship Requested:	Yes		

GRANT DATA

Estimated Total Grant			**Separate Design Grant**		
New Construction Grant	$	3,603,690.00	**Total Design (40% of Grant)**	$	1,441,476.00
Total State Share (50%)		3,603,690.00			
District Share (50%)		3,603,690.00	**Total Design**	$	**1,441,476.00**
Total Estimated Cost	$	7,207,380.00	**Total State Share (50%)**		720,738.00
			District Share (50%)		720,738.00
			District Cash Contribution	$	
			Financial Hardship	$	720,738.00

DESIGN PROJECT FINANCING

State Share		
New Construction Design Grant	$	720,738.00
District Share		
Cash Contribution		
Financial Hardship		720,738.00
Total Design Cost	$	**1,441,476.00**

HISTORY OF PROJECT COST AND APPORTIONMENT

	Fund Code	Proposition		Previously Authorized		Authorized This Action		Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share								
New Construction Design Grant	**955-500**	**55**	$	708,426.00	$	12,312.00	$	12,312.00
District Share								
Cash Contribution								
Financial Hardship	**955-500**	**55**		708,426.00		12,312.00		12,312.00
Total			$	**1,416,852.00**	$	**24,624.00**	$	**24,624.00**

Funding Source: Proposition 55 Bonds/2004-Mar.
Pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009, this application has been approved and placed on the Unfunded list.
This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future State funding.

Amounts shown for financial hardship assistance are subject to adjustment as a result of a review of the District's financial records pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.81(a) at the time of apportionment.

Assembly Bill 436 (Solorio), Chapter 378, Statutes of 2011, requires school districts to notify the Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) of School Facility Program projects with a public works contract awarded on or after January 1, 2012. Except for projects exempt pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.3(b)(3), the DIR is required to provide prevailing wage monitoring services for the projects.

The District shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The District qualifies for financial hardship pursuant to SFP Regulation Section 1859.81(c)(3). The District qualifies as a County Superintendent of Schools and has demonstrated it is financially unable to provide all or a part of the matching funds.

This project is being adjusted for the current year Construction Cost Index adjustment and shall maintain the SAB approval date of January 22, 2014.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No: 50/61788-00-010
School District: Pittsburg Unified
County: Contra Costa
School Name: Marina Elementary

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project:	Elementary School
K-6:	8
7-8:	
9-12:	
Non-Severe:	
Severe:	
Application Filing Basis:	District Wide
Number of Classrooms:	1
Master Acres:	12.1
Existing Acres:	3.62
Proposed Acres:	0.28
Recommended Acres:	11.5
Facility Hardship (a):	No
Financial Hardship Requested:	No
Alternative Education School:	No
Addition to Existing Site:	Yes

ADJUSTED GRANT DATA

New Construction Grant	$	79,368.00
Fire Detection Alarm		88.00
Prevailing Wage Monitoring		1,090.00
Site Acquisition		782,991.00
Small Size Project		9,524.00
Total State Share (50%)		873,061.00
District Share (50%)		873,061.00
Total Project Cost	$	**1,746,122.00**

PROJECT FINANCING

State Share		
This Project	$	873,061.00
District Share		
Cash Contribution		873,061.00
Financial Hardship		
Total Project Cost	$	**1,746,122.00**

HISTORY OF PROJECT COST AND APPORTIONMENT

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
New Construction/Add. Grant	**955-500**	**55**	$ 871,536.00	$ 1,525.00	$ 1,525.00
District Share					
Cash Contribution			871,536.00	1,525.00	
Total			$ **1,743,072.00**	$ **3,050.00**	$ **1,525.00**

Funding Source: Proposition 55 Bonds/2004-Mar.
Pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009, this application has been approved and placed on the Unfunded List.
This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future State funding.

Assembly Bill 436 (Solorio), Chapter 378, Statutes of 2011, requires school districts to notify the Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) of School Facility Program projects with a public works contract awarded on or after January 1, 2012. Except for projects exempt pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.3(b)(3), the DIR is required to provide prevailing wage monitoring services for the projects.

The District shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

This project is being adjusted for the current year Construction Cost Index adjustment and shall maintain the SAB approval date of January 22, 2014.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No: 51/68130-13-001
School District: Grossmont Union High School District
County: San Diego
School Name: El Cajon Valley High

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project:	High School
K-6:	
7-8:	
9-12:	
Non-Severe:	
Severe:	
Application Filing Basis:	District Wide
Number of Classrooms:	1
Master Acres:	
Existing Acres:	36.8
Proposed Acres:	
Recommended Acres:	49.6
Facility Hardship (a):	Yes
Financial Hardship Requested:	No
Alternative Education School:	No
Addition to Existing Site:	No

ADJUSTED GRANT DATA

Prevailing Wage Monitoring		$	4,346.00
Service Site			237,120.00
Fac. Hardship Toilets	6701 Sq. Ft.		1,956,692.00
Fac. Hardship Other	7919 Sq. Ft.		1,282,878.00
Total State Share (50%)			3,481,036.00
District Share (50%)			3,481,036.00
Total Project Cost		$	**6,962,072.00**

PROJECT FINANCING

State Share		
This Project	$	3,481,036.00
District Share		
Cash Contribution		3,481,036.00
Financial Hardship		
Total Project Cost	$	**6,962,072.00**

HISTORY OF PROJECT COST AND APPORTIONMENT

	Fund Code	Proposition		Previously Authorized		Authorized This Action		Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share								
New Construction/Add. Grant	**957-505**	**1D**	$	3,423,702.00	$	57,334.00	$	57,334.00
District Share								
Cash Contribution				3,423,702.00		57,334.00		
Total			$	**6,847,404.00**	$	**114,668.00**	$	**57,334.00**

Funding Source: Proposition 1D Bonds/2006-Nov.
Pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009, this application has been approved and placed on the Unfunded List.
This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future State funding.

Assembly Bill 436 (Solorio), Chapter 378, Statutes of 2011, requires school districts to notify the Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) of School Facility Program projects with a public works contract awarded on or after January 1, 2012. Except for projects exempt pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.3(b)(3), the DIR is required to provide prevailing wage monitoring services for the projects.

The District shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

Provide that the State portion of any and all project savings realized from the funding of this facility hardship/new construction project will be returned to the State.

This project is being adjusted for the current year Construction Cost Index adjustment and shall maintain the SAB approval date of January 22, 2014.

SAB Meeting: **March 26, 2014** **Modernization - Amended Adjusted Grant Approval**

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No:	58/70177-00-001	**County:**	Sierra
School District:	Sierra Plumas Joint Unified	**School Name:**	Loyalton High

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project:	High School	**Application Filing Basis:**	Site Specific
Pupils Assigned Under 50 Yrs: K-6:			
7-8:			
9-12:			
Non-Severe:			
Severe:			
Financial Hardship Requested:	No	**Recommended Acres:**	21.2
Alternative Education School:	No	**Existing Acres:**	14.5

ADJUSTED GRANT DATA

Rehabilitation	$	1,011,161.00
Prevailing Wage Monitoring		1,529.00
Project Assistance		3,135.00
Total State Share (60%)		1,015,825.00
District Share (40%)		677,217.00
Total Project Cost	$	**1,693,042.00**

PROJECT FINANCING

State Share		
This Project	$	1,015,825.00
District Share		
Cash Contribution		677,217.00
Total Project Cost	$	**1,693,042.00**

HISTORY OF PROJECT COST AND APPORTIONMENT

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
Modernization/Additional Grant	**957-570**	**1D**	$ 1,012,425.00	$ 3,400.00	$ 3,400.00
District Share					
Cash Contribution			1,012,425.00	(335,208.00)	
Total			$ **2,024,850.00**	$ **(331,808.00)**	$ **3,400.00**

Funding Source: Proposition 1D Bonds/2006-Nov.

Errors or Ommissions: Staff requests adjustments from the previous approval (November 25, 2013 SAB meeting) to include $3,135 in the Project Assistance grant that had been inadvertently omitted and, consequently, an increase of $265 to the Prevailing Wage Monitoring grant. Additionally, the State share and District cash contribution have been corrected to reflect 60 percent and 40 percent, respectively, rather than 50 percent and 50 percent.

Pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009, this application has been approved and placed on the Unfunded List.
This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future State funding.

Assembly Bill 436 (Solorio), Chapter 378, Statutes of 2011, requires school districts to notify the Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) of School Facility Program projects with a public works contract awarded on or after January 1, 2012. Except for projects exempt pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.3(b)(3), the DIR is required to provide prevailing wage monitoring services for the projects.

The District shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No:	58/71761-00-001	**County:**	Trinity
School District:	Trinity Center Elementary	**School Name:**	Trinity Center Elementary

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project:	Elementary School	**Application Filing Basis:**	Site Specific
Pupils Assigned Under 50 Yrs: K-6:			
7-8:			
9-12:			
Non-Severe:			
Severe:			
Financial Hardship Requested:	Yes	**Recommended Acres:**	
Alternative Education School:	No	**Existing Acres:**	

ADJUSTED GRANT DATA

Rehabilitation	$	201,976.00
Prevailing Wage Monitoring		505.00
Total State Share (60%)		202,481.00
District Share (40%)		134,988.00
Total Project Cost	$	**337,469.00**

PROJECT FINANCING

State Share		
This Project	$	202,481.00
District Share		
Cash Contribution		
Financial Hardship		134,988.00
Total Project Cost	$	**337,469.00**

HISTORY OF PROJECT COST AND APPORTIONMENT

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	State Apportionment This Action
State Share					
Modernization/Additional Grant	**947-570**	**47**	$ 202,481.00	$ (202,481.00)	
Modernization/Additional Grant	**047-570**	**47**		202,481.00	$ 202,481.00
District Share					
Cash Contribution					
Financial Hardship	**947-570**	**47**	134,988.00	(134,988.00)	
Financial Hardship	**047-570**	**47**		134,988.00	134,988.00
Total			$ **337,469.00**	$ **0.00**	$ **337,469.00**

Funding Sources: Proposition 47 Bonds/2002-Nov.
This approval reinstates the District's July 10, 2013 apportionment. Pursuant to the Board's action on March 26, 2014, the District is required to submit a complete Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05) on or before June 24, 2014; otherwise, the apportionment will be rescinded without further Board action and will receive a new Unfunded Approval date of June 24, 2014.

The Form SAB 50-05 submittal must contain an original signature from a designated District Representative and must be physically received by the Office of Public School Construction at 707 Third Street, West Sacramento, CA 95605 prior to the close of business on June 24, 2014.

Assembly Bill 436 (Solorio), Chapter 378, Statutes of 2011, requires school districts to notify the Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) of School Facility Program projects with a public works contract awarded on or after January 1, 2012. Except for projects exempt pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.3(b)(3), the DIR is required to provide prevailing wage monitoring services for the projects.

The District shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The District qualifies for financial hardship pursuant to SFP Regulation Section 1859.81(c)(4). The District has demonstrated it is financially unable to provide all or part of the matching funds and is levying the developer fees or equal alternative revenue source justified by law. The District's total bonding capacity (October 3, 2012) is $5 million or less.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……...50/10116-00-001

School District:……...Glenn County Office of Education (COE)

County:……..……...Glenn

School Name:…..……...Willows Intermediate

Financial Hardship……..Yes

Date of Financial Hardship Status:……..June 4, 2003

Qualifying Financial Hardship Criteria: SFP Regulation 1859.81(c)(3).

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close-out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the COE has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. This is a Financial Hardship project and has savings in the amount of $44,492 and interest earned of $527.33 due after three years. School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.103 states that "the State's portion of any savings declared by the district or determined by the OPSC by audit must be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant of that project … any interest earned on a financial hardship project not expended on eligible project expenditures will be treated as savings and will be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant for that project." The COE has concurred with the findings and agreed to return State funds in the amount of $45,019.33.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP New Construction	$ 340,634	$ (22,246)	$ 318,388
SFP SDC Therepy	28,783	0	28,783
SFP Service Site	11,783	0	11,783
SFP Utilities	7,003	0	7,003
SFP Small School	40,876	0	40,876
SFP Financial Hardship	455,648	(22,246)	433,402
SFP SDC Therepy Toilet	11,484	0	11,484
SFP Project Assistance	4,134	0	4,134
SFP Fire Detection/Alarm	1,970	0	1,970
SFP Fire Sprinklers	981	0	981
SFP Labor Compliance Program	8,000	0	8,000
Total State Apportionment	$ 911,296	$ (44,492)	$ 866,804
Financing			
State (SFP)	$ 455,648	$ (22,246)	$ 433,402
Financial Hardship (SFP)	455,648	(22,246)	433,402
Total Project Costs	$ 911,296	$ (44,492)	$ 866,804

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $44,492 in the total project cost from $911,296 to $866,804.
2. Approve a decrease of $22,246 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2002-Nov.; 047-500] from $455,648 to $433,402.
3. Approve a decrease of $22,246 in the Financial Hardship Contribution [Bonds/2002-Nov.; 047-500] from $455,648 to $433,402.
4. Require the COE to return State funds in the amount of $44,492.
5. Require the COE to return interest in the amount of $527.33.

STATE ALLOCATION BOARD
APPROVAL ❖ ❖ ❖ March 26, 2014

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……...50/10116-00-002

School District:……...Glenn County Office of Education (COE)

County:……...Glenn

School Name:…….....Willows High

Financial Hardship……..Yes

Date of Financial Hardship Status:……..June 4, 2003

Qualifying Financial Hardship Criteria: SFP Regulation 1859.81(c)(3).

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close-out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the COE has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. This is a Financial Hardship project and has savings in the amount of $33,149 and interest earned of $537.02 due after three years. School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.103 states that "the State's portion of any savings declared by the district or determined by the OPSC by audit must be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant of that project … any interest earned on a financial hardship project not expended on eligible project expenditures will be treated as savings and will be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant for that project." The COE has concurred with the findings and agreed to return State funds in the amount of $33,686.02.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP New Construction	$ 340,634	$ (16,575)	$ 324,059
SFP SDC Therepy	28,783	0	28,783
SFP Service Site	2,468	0	2,468
SFP Utilities	3,845	0	3,845
SFP Small School	40,876	0	40,876
SFP Financial Hardship	443,175	(16,574)	426,601
SFP SDC Therepy Toilet	11,484	0	11,484
SFP Project Assistance	4,134	0	4,134
SFP Fire Detection/Alarm	1,970	0	1,970
SFP Fire Sprinklers	981	0	981
SFP Labor Compliance Program	8,000	0	8,000
Total State Apportionment	$ 886,350	$ (33,149)	$ 853,201
Financing			
State (SFP)	$ 443,175	$ (16,575)	$ 426,600
Financial Hardship (SFP)	443,175	(16,574)	426,601
Total Project Costs	$ 886,350	$ (33,149)	$ 853,201

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $33,149 in the total project cost from $886,350 to $853,201.
2. Approve a decrease of $16,575 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2002-Nov.; 047-500] from $443,175 to $426,600.
3. Approve a decrease of $16,574 in the Financial Hardship Contribution [Bonds/2002-Nov.; 047-500] from $443,175 to $426,601.
4. Require the COE to return State funds in the amount of $33,149.
5. Require the COE to return interest in the amount of $537.02.

STATE ALLOCATION BOARD
APPROVAL ❖ ❖ ❖ March 26, 2014

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……...50/10116-00-003

School District:……Glenn County Office of Education (COE)

County:……..……...Glenn

School Name:…..……..Princeton Elementary

Financial Hardship……..Yes

Date of Financial Hardship Status:……..June 4, 2003

Qualifying Financial Hardship Criteria: SFP Regulation 1859.81(c)(3).

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close-out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the COE has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. This is a Financial Hardship project and has savings in the amount of $40,801 and interest earned of $660.99 due after three years. School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.103 states that "the State's portion of any savings declared by the district or determined by the OPSC by audit must be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant of that project … any interest earned on a financial hardship project not expended on eligible project expenditures will be treated as savings and will be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant for that project." The COE has concurred with the findings and agreed to return State funds in the amount of $41,461.99.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP New Construction	$ 167,375	$ (20,401)	$ 146,974
SFP Service Site	1,211	0	1,211
SFP Utilities	4,679	0	4,679
SFP Small School	20,085	0	20,085
SFP Financial Hardship	206,290	(20,400)	185,890
SFP Project Assistance	4,134	0	4,134
SFP Fire Detection/Alarm	806	0	806
SFP Labor Compliance Program	8,000	0	8,000
Total State Apportionment	$ 412,580	$ (40,801)	$ 371,779
Financing			
State (SFP)	$ 206,290	$ (20,401)	$ 185,889
Financial Hardship (SFP)	206,290	(20,400)	185,890
Total Project Costs	$ 412,580	$ (40,801)	$ 371,779

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $40,801 in the total project cost from $412,580 to $371,779.
2. Approve a decrease of $20,401 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2002-Nov.; 047-500] from $206,290 to $185,889.
3. Approve a decrease of $20,400 in the Financial Hardship Contribution [Bonds/2002-Nov.; 047-500] from $206,290 to $185,890.
4. Require the COE to return State funds in the amount of $40,801.
5. Require the COE to return interest in the amount of $660.99.

STATE ALLOCATION BOARD
APPROVAL ❖ ❖ ❖ March 26, 2014

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……...50/10116-00-004

School District:……...Glenn County Office of Education (COE)

County:……..……...Glenn

School Name:…..……Plaza Elementary

Financial Hardship……..Yes

Date of Financial Hardship Status:……..June 4, 2003

Qualifying Financial Hardship Criteria: SFP Regulation 1859.81(c)(3).

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close-out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the COE has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. This is a Financial Hardship project and has savings in the amount of $2,763 and interest earned of $44.76 due after three years. School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.103 states that "the State's portion of any savings declared by the district or determined by the OPSC by audit must be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant of that project … any interest earned on a financial hardship project not expended on eligible project expenditures will be treated as savings and will be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant for that project." The COE has concurred with the findings and agreed to return State funds in the amount of $2,807.76.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP New Construction	$ 329,363	$ (1,382)	$ 327,981
SFP Service Site	2,947	0	2,947
SFP Small School	39,524	0	39,524
SFP Financial Hardship	385,421	(1,381)	384,040
SFP Project Assistance	3,997	0	3,997
SFP Fire Detection/Alarm	1,590	0	1,590
SFP Labor Compliance Program	8,000	0	8,000
Total State Apportionment	$ 770,842	$ (2,763)	$ 768,079
Financing			
State (SFP)	$ 385,421	$ (1,382)	$ 384,039
Financial Hardship (SFP)	385,421	(1,381)	384,040
Total Project Costs	$ 770,842	$ (2,763)	$ 768,079

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $2,763 in the total project cost from $770,842 to $768,079.
2. Approve a decrease of $1,382 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2002-Nov.; 047-500] from $385,421 to $384,039.
3. Approve a decrease of $1,381 in the Financial Hardship Contribution [Bonds/2002-Nov.; 047-500] from $385,421 to $384,040.
4. Require the COE to return State funds in the amount of $2,763.
5. Require the COE to return interest in the amount of $44.76.

STATE ALLOCATION BOARD
APPROVAL ❖ ❖ ❖ March 26, 2014

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……..……...50/10116-00-007 School District:……...Glenn County Office of Education (COE)
County:……..……..……...Glenn School Name:…..……Willows Community High
Financial Hardship……..Yes Date of Financial Hardship Status:……..June 4, 2003
Qualifying Financial Hardship Criteria: SFP Regulation 1859.81(c)(3).

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close-out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the COE has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. This is a Financial Hardship project and has savings in the amount of $9,401 and interest earned of $152.30 due after three years. School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.103 states that "the State's portion of any savings declared by the district or determined by the OPSC by audit must be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant of that project … any interest earned on a financial hardship project not expended on eligible project expenditures will be treated as savings and will be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant for that project." The COE has concurred with the findings and agreed to return State funds in the amount of $9,553.30.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP New Construction	$ 907,465	$ (4,701)	$ 902,764
SFP Site Acquisition	100,000	0	100,000
SFP Site Other	25,000	0	25,000
SFP Service Site	170,324	0	170,324
SFP Offsite	126,989	0	126,989
SFP Utilities	51,416	0	51,416
SFP Small School	36,299	0	36,299
SFP New School	304,476	0	304,476
SFP Financial Hardship	1,764,760	(4,700)	1,760,060
SFP Project Assistance	4,134	0	4,134
SFP Site DTSC Fee	750	0	750
SFP Fire Detection/Alarm	4,810	0	4,810
SFP Sprinklers	15,665	0	15,665
SFP Labor Compliance Program	17,432	0	17,432
Total State Apportionment	$ 3,529,520	$ (9,401)	$ 3,520,119
Financing			
State (SFP)	$ 1,764,760	$ (4,701)	$ 1,760,059
Financial Hardship (SFP)	1,764,760	(4,700)	1,760,060
Total Project Costs	$ 3,529,520	$ (9,401)	$ 3,520,119

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $9,401 in the total project cost from $3,529,520 to $3,520,119.
2. Approve a decrease of $4,701 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2002-Nov.; 047-500] from $1,764,760 to $1,760,059.
3. Approve a decrease of $4,700 in the Financial Hardship Contribution [Bonds/2002-Nov.; 047-500] from $1,764,760 to $1,760,060 .
4. Require the COE to return State funds in the amount of $9,401.
5. Require the COE to return interest in the amount of $152.30.

STATE ALLOCATION BOARD
APPROVAL ❖ ❖ ❖ March 26, 2014

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……..50/10116-00-008
School District:…….Glenn County Office of Education (COE)
County:……...Glenn
School Name:……...Orland Community
Financial Hardship……..Yes
Date of Financial Hardship Status:……..June 4, 2003
Qualifying Financial Hardship Criteria: SFP Regulation 1859.81(c)(3).

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close-out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the COE has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. This is a Financial Hardship project and has savings in the amount of $348,460 and interest earned of $4,130.03 due after three years. School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.103 states that "the State's portion of any savings declared by the district or determined by the OPSC by audit must be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant of that project … any interest earned on a financial hardship project not expended on eligible project expenditures will be treated as savings and will be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant for that project." The COE has concurred with the findings and agreed to return State funds in the amount of $352,590.03.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP New Construction	$ 892,714	$ (174,230)	$ 718,484
SFP Site Acquisition	214,500	0	214,500
SFP Site Other	25,000	0	25,000
SFP Service Site	113,707	0	113,707
SFP Offsite	7,222	0	7,222
SFP Utilities	37,929	0	37,929
SFP Small School	35,709	0	35,709
SFP New School	495,937	0	495,937
SFP Financial Hardship	1,865,171	(174,230)	1,690,941
SFP Project Assistance	4,134	0	4,134
SFP Site DTSC Fee	750	0	750
SFP Fire Detection/Alarm	4,740	0	4,740
SFP Sprinklers	15,418	0	15,418
SFP Labor Compliance Program	17,411	0	17,411
Total State Apportionment	$ 3,730,342	$ (348,460)	$ 3,381,882
Financing			
State (SFP)	$ 1,865,171	$ (174,230)	$ 1,690,941
Financial Hardship (SFP)	1,865,171	(174,230)	1,690,941
Total Project Costs	$ 3,730,342	$ (348,460)	$ 3,381,882

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $348,460 in the total project cost from $3,730,342 to $3,381,882.
2. Approve a decrease of $174,230 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2002-Nov.; 047-500] from $1,865,171 to $1,690,941.
3. Approve a decrease of $174,230 in the Financial Hardship Contribution [Bonds/2002-Nov.; 047-500] from $1,865,171 to $1,690,941.
4. Require the COE to return State funds in the amount of $348,460.
5. Require the COE to return interest in the amount of $4,130.03.

STATE ALLOCATION BOARD
APPROVAL ❖ ❖ ❖ March 26, 2014

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……...50/10124-00-001
County:……..……Humboldt
Financial Hardship……..Yes
School District:…....Humboldt County Office of Education (COE)
School Name:……...…Eureka Educational Resource Center
Date of Financial Hardship Status:…….…….April 22, 2005
Qualifying Financial Hardship Criteria: SFP Regulation 1859.81(c)(3).

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close-out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the COE has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. This is a Financial Hardship project and has savings in the amount of $840,465 and interest earned of $20,463.48 due after three years. School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.103 states that "the State's portion of any savings declared by the district or determined by the OPSC by audit must be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant of that project … any interest earned on a financial hardship project not expended on eligible project expenditures will be treated as savings and will be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant for that project." The COE has concurred and has already returned State funds in the amount of $860,928.48.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP New Construction	$ 506,875	$ (420,233)	$ 86,642
SFP Site Acquisition	595,510	0	595,510
SFP Site Other	25,000	0	25,000
SFP Service Site	142,082	0	142,082
SFP General Site	68,010	0	68,010
SFP Off Site	19,743	0	19,743
SFP Utilities	13,018	0	13,018
SFP Small School	90,435	0	90,435
SFP New School	670,442	0	670,442
SFP Financial Hardship	1,971,086	(420,232)	1,550,854
SFP Labor Compliance Program	0	0	0
SFP Project Assistance	5,333	0	5,333
SFP DTSC Fee	750	0	750
SFP Fire Detection/Alarm	1,106	0	1,106
SFP Fire Sprinklers	14,141	0	14,141
SFP Labor Compliance Program	17,662	0	17,662
Total State Apportionment	$ 4,141,193	$ (840,465)	$ 3,300,728
Financing			
State (SFP)	$ 2,170,107	$ (420,233)	$ 1,749,874
Financial Hardship (SFP)	1,971,086	(420,232)	1,550,854
District Contribution	199,021	0	199,021
Total Project Costs	$ 4,340,214	$ (840,465)	$ 3,499,749

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $840,465 in the total project cost from $4,340,214 to $3,499,749.
2. Approve a decrease of $420,233 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2002-Nov.; 047-500 ($289,895.50); Bonds/2004-Mar.; 055-500 ($130,337.50)] from $2,170,107 to $1,749,874.
3. Approve a decrease of $420,232 in the Financial Hardship Contribution [Bonds/2002-Nov.; 047-500] from $1,971,086 to $1,550,854.
4. Recognize that the COE has already returned State funds in the amount of $840,465.
5. Recognize that the COE has already returned interest in the amount of $20,463.48.

STATE ALLOCATION BOARD
APPROVAL ❖ ❖ ❖ March 26, 2014

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……..50/64709-00-005
School District:…..……..Lennox Elementary
County:……..……..……..……Los Angeles
School Name:……..……Jefferson Elementary
Financial Hardship:……..……..……..Yes
Date of Financial Hardship Status:……April 27, 2004
Qualifying Financial Hardship Criteria: SFP Regulation 1859.81(c)(1)

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. The District incurred additional eligible expenditures per SFP Regulation Section 1859.74 and 1859.106 and may receive an additional Department of Toxic Substances Control grant in the amount of $1,596. The District was over-apportioned for the relocation assistance grant in the amount of $20,069. The District concurs with the findings and agrees to return the State funds in the amount of $18,473.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP New Construction	$ 338,446	$ 0	$ 338,446
SFP Site Acquisition	1,320,000	0	1,320,000
SFP Site Relocation	391,860	(10,035)	381,825
SFP Site Other	52,800	0	52,800
SFP Serivce Site	129,109	0	129,109
SFP Offsite	5,644	0	5,644
SFP Small School	40,614	0	40,614
SFP Financial Hardship	2,289,184	(9,236)	2,279,948
SFP Site DTSC Fee	750	798	1,548
SFP Fire Detection/Alarm	1,712	0	1,712
SFP Labor Compliance Program	8,189	0	8,189
SFP Excessive Hazmat Removal	60	0	60
Total State Apportionment	$ 4,578,368	$ (18,473)	$ 4,559,895
Financing			
State (SFP)	$ 2,289,184	$ (9,237)	$ 2,279,947
Financial Hardship (SFP)	2,289,184	(9,236)	2,279,948
Total Project Costs	$ 4,578,368	$ (18,473)	$ 4,559,895

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $18,473 in the total project cost from $4,578,368 to $4,559,895.
2. Approve a decrease of $9,237 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2002-Nov.; 047-500] from $2,289,184 to $2,279,947.
3. Approve a decrease of $9,236 in the Financial Hardship Contribution [Bonds/2002-Nov.; 047-500] from $2,289,184 to $2,279,948.
4. Require the District to return State funds in the amount of $18,473.

STATE ALLOCATION BOARD
APPROVAL ❖ ❖ ❖ March 26, 2014

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:…….50/64733-00-089 School District:…..……...Los Angeles Unified

County:…….……..Los Angeles School Name:….……Hesby Elementary

Financial Hardship:……...No

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. The District incurred additional eligible expenditures per SFP Regulation Sections 1859.74 and 1859.106 and may receive an additional Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC) grant in the amount of $187. The District has concurred with the findings and is eligible for funding in the amount of $93.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP New Construction	$ 3,834,880	$ 0	$ 3,834,880
SFP Site Acquisition	6,675,000	0	6,675,000
SFP Site Other	267,000	0	267,000
SFP Service Site	528,616	0	528,616
SFP Offsite	16,019	0	16,019
SFP Utilities	23,307	0	23,307
SFP Site DTSC Fee	2,245	93	2,338
SFP Fire Detection/Alarm	6,656	0	6,656
SFP Labor Compliance Program	26,184	0	26,184
Total State Apportionment	$ 11,379,907	$ 93	$ 11,380,000
Financing			
District Contribution	$ 11,379,907	$ 94	$ 11,380,001
State (SFP)	11,379,907	93	11,380,000
Total Project Costs	$ 22,759,814	$ 187	$ 22,760,001

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve an increase of $187 in the total project cost from $22,759,814 to $22,760,001.
2. Approve an increase of $94 in the District contribution from $11,379,907 to $11,380,001.
3. Approve an increase of $93 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2004-Mar.; 055-500] from $11,379,907 to $11,380,000.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……..50/64733-00-095
School District:…..……Los Angeles Unified
County:……..……..……Los Angeles
School Name:……...Belmont New Primary Center #12
Financial Hardship……...No

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. The District incurred additional eligible expenditures per SFP Regulation Sections 1859.74 and 1859.106 and may receive an additional Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC) grant in the amount of $1,726. The District has concurred with these findings and is eligible for an additional apportionment in the amount of $863.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP New Construction	$ 2,996,000	$ 0	$ 2,996,000
SFP Multi Level Construction	339,936	0	339,936
SFP Site Acquistion	2,017,500	0	2,017,500
SFP Site Other	80,700	0	80,700
SFP Service Site	1,077,170	0	1,077,170
SFP Offsite	71,920	0	71,920
SFP Utilities	78,622	0	78,622
SFP Site DTSC Fee	6,777	863	7,640
SFP Site Hazardous Removal	42,743	0	42,743
SFP Fire Detection/Alarm	5,200	0	5,200
SFP Fire Sprinklers	64,400	0	64,400
SFP Labor Compliance Program	27,213	0	27,213
Total State Apportionment	$ 6,808,181	$ 863	$ 6,809,044
Financing			
District Contribution	$ 6,808,181	$ 863	$ 6,809,044
State (SFP)	6,808,181	863	6,809,044
Total Project Costs	$ 13,616,362	$ 1,726	$ 13,618,088

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve an increase of $1,726 in the total project cost from $13,616,362 to $13,618,088.
2. Approve an increase of $863 in the District Contribution from $6,808,181 to $6,809,044.
3. Approve an increase of $863 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2004-Mar.; 055-500] from $6,808,181 to $6,809,044.

STATE ALLOCATION BOARD
APPROVAL ❖ ❖ ❖ March 26, 2014

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……...50/10314-00-007

School District:……...Placer County Office of Education (COE)

County:……..……..……..Placer

School Name:…..……Quail Glen Elementary

Financial Hardship……..Yes

Date of Financial Hardship Status:……..March 28, 2002

Qualifying Financial Hardship Criteria: SFP Regulation 1859.81(c)(3).

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close-out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the COE has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close-out this completed project. This is a Financial Hardship project and has savings in the amount of $7,992 and interest earned of $474.29 due after three years. School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.103 states that "the State's portion of any savings declared by the district or determined by the OPSC by audit must be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant of that project … any interest earned on a financial hardship project not expended on eligible project expenditures will be treated as savings and will be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant for that project." The COE has concurred and has already returned State funds in the amount of $8,466.29.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP New Construction	$ 266,772	$ (3,996)	$ 262,776
SFP SDC Therepy	84,316	0	84,316
SFP Service Site	23,632	0	23,632
SFP Offsite	1,261	0	1,261
SFP Small School	41,582	0	41,582
SFP Financial Hardship	604,261	(3,996)	600,265
SFP SDC Therepy Toilet	86,724	0	86,724
SFP Project Assistance	4,134	0	4,134
SFP Fire Detection/Alarm	2,900	0	2,900
SFP Fire Sprinklers	3,678	0	3,678
SFP Labor Compliance Program	9,516	0	9,516
Total State Apportionment	$ 1,128,776	$ (7,992)	$ 1,120,784
Financing			
State (SFP)	$ 524,515	$ (3,996)	$ 520,519
Financial Hardship (SFP)	604,261	(3,996)	600,265
Total Project Costs	$ 1,128,776	$ (7,992)	$ 1,120,784

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $7,992 in the total project cost from $1,128,776 to $1,120,784.
2. Approve a decrease of $3,996 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2002-Nov.; 047-500] from $524,515 to $520,519.
3. Approve a decrease of $3,996 in the Financial Hardship Contribution [Bonds/2002-Nov.; 047-500] from $604,261 to $600,265.
4. Recognize that the COE has already returned State funds in the amount of $7,992.
5. Recognize that the COE has already returned interest in the amount of $474.29.

STATE ALLOCATION BOARD
APPROVAL March 26, 2014

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……50/67843-00-006 School District:…..……...Redlands Unified

County:……..……San Bernardino School Name:…..……..Citrus Valley High School #3

Financial Hardship…….……No

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close-out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. Per SFP Regulation Section 1859.106, a grant adjustment for the value of the Relocation costs, DTSC fees, and Hazardous Waste Removal and the actual amount paid must be made. The District received $21,130 for DTSC Fee and reported $21,663 in eligible expenditures. The District received $34,510 for Site Hazardous Removal and reported $14,631 in eligible expenditures. The District received $122,374 for Site Relocation and reported $53,773 in eligible expenditures. The District has concurred with the findings and agreed to return the State funds in the amount of $43,973.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP New Construction	$ 21,151,463	$ 0	$ 21,151,463
SFP SDC Therapy	219,620	0	219,620
SFP Site Acquisition	5,430,500	0	5,430,500
SFP Site Relocation	61,187	(34,301)	26,886
SFP Site Other	217,220	0	217,220
SFP Service Site	3,179,453	0	3,179,453
SFP General Site	1,627,329	0	1,627,329
SFP Offsite	1,318,890	0	1,318,890
SFP Utilities	39,862	0	39,862
SFP SDC Therapy Toilet	192,256	0	192,256
SFP Site DTSC Fee	10,565	267	10,832
SFP Hazardous Removal	17,255	(9,939)	7,316
SFP Fire Detection/Alarm	44,765	0	44,765
SFP Fire Sprinklers	337,092	0	337,092
SFP Labor Compliance Program	126,243	0	126,243
Total State Apportionment	$ 33,973,700	$ (43,973)	$ 33,929,727
Financing			
District Contribution	$ 33,973,700	$ (43,974)	$ 33,929,726
State (SFP)	33,973,700	(43,973)	33,929,727
Total Project Costs	$ 67,947,400	$ (87,947)	$ 67,859,453

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $87,947 in the total project cost from $67,947,400 to $67,859,453.
2. Approve a decrease of $43,974 in the District Contribution from $33,973,700 to $33,929,726.
3. Approve a decrease of $43,973 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2004-Mar.; 055-500] from $33,973,700 to $33,929,727.
4. Require the District to return State funds in the amount of $43,973.

STATE ALLOCATION BOARD
APPROVAL ❖ ❖ ❖ March 26, 2014

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……50/10405-00-012
School District:.....San Luis Obispo County Office of Education
County:……..San Luis Obispo
School Name:…….....4th and Pine (Loma Vista) Community
Financial Hardship:……Yes
Date of Financial Hardship Status:……December 31, 2002
Qualifying Financial Hardship Criteria: SFP Regulation 1859.81(c)(3)

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the County Office of Education (COE) has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. This is a Financial Hardship project and has savings in the amount of $17,345. School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.103 states that "the State's portion of any savings declared by the COE or determined by the OPSC by audit must be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant of that project … any interest earned on a financial hardship project not expended on eligible project expenditures will be treated as savings and will be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant for that project." The COE has concurred with the findings and has returned State funds in the amount of $17,345.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP New Construction	$ 676,325.00	$ (8673.00)	$ 667,652.00
SFP Service Site	145,554.00	0.00	145,554.00
SFP Utilities	28,316.00	0.00	28,316.00
SFP Small School	81,159.00	0.00	81,159.00
SFP New School	1,884,867.00	0.00	1,884,867.00
SFP Financal Hardship	2,838,672.87	(8672.00)	2,830,000.87
SFP Project Assistance	4,134.00	0.00	4,134.00
SFP Fire Detection/Alarm	3,810.00	0.00	3,810.00
SFP Fire Sprinklers	11,885.00	0.00	11,885.00
SFP Labor Compliance Program	20,675.00	0.00	20,675.00
Total State Apportionment	$ 5,695,397.87	$ (17345.00)	$ 5,678,052.87
Financing			
State (SFP)	$ 2,856,725.00	$ (8673.00)	$ 2,848,052.00
Financial Hardship (SFP)	2,838,672.87	(8672.00)	2,830,000.87
Total Project Costs	$ 5,695,397.87	$ (17345.00)	$ 5,678,052.87

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $17,345 in the total project cost from $5,713,450 to $5,696,105.
2. Approve a decrease of $8,673 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2002-Nov.; 047-500] from $2,856,725 to $2,848,052.
3. Approve a decrease of $8,672 in the Financial Hardship Contribution [Bonds/2002-Nov.; 047-500] from $2,838,672.87 to $2,830,000.87.
4. Recognize the COE has already returned State funds in the amount of $17,345.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:…….50/61804-02-004 School District:…...……San Ramon Valley Unified
County:……Contra Costa School Name:…….....Gale Ranch Middle
Financial Hardship:……...No

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close-out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. The District incurred additional eligible expenditures per SFP Regulation Sections 1859.74 and 1859.106 and may receive an additional Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC) grant in the amount of $466. The District has concurred with these findings and is eligible for funding in the amount of $233.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP New Construction	$ 8,833,860	$ 0	$ 8,833,860
SFP Site Acquisition	15,750,000	0	15,750,000
SFP Site Other	630,000	0	630,000
SFP Service Site	1,740,274	0	1,740,274
SFP General Site	756,321	0	756,321
SFP Offsite	1,074,725	0	1,074,725
SFP Utilities	91,108	0	91,108
SFP Site DTSC Fee	4,561	233	4,794
SFP Fire Detection/Alarm	13,230	0	13,230
SFP Fire Sprinklers	167,265	0	167,265
Total State Apportionment	$ 29,061,344	$ 233	$ 29,061,577
Financing			
District Contribution	$ 29,061,344	$ 233	$ 29,061,577
State (SFP)	29,061,344	233	29,061,577
Total Project Costs	$ 58,122,688	$ 466	$ 58,123,154

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve an increase of $466 in the total project cost from $58,122,688 to $58,123,154.
2. Approve an increase of $233 in the District contribution from $29,061,344 to $29,061,577.
3. Approve an increase of $233 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2006-Nov.; 057-500] from $29,061,344 to $29,061,577.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……56/75713-00-005
School District:…..……..Alhambra Unified
County:…….……..Los Angeles
School Name:…..……...Brightwood Elementary
Financial Hardship……No

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close-out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. This is an Overcrowding Relief Grant project and has savings of $814,036.69. School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.184.1 states that "grants for Overcrowding Relief Grant projects shall be limited to eligible expenditures, up to the State Apportionment for the project…" The District has concurred and has already returned State funds in the amount of $407,018.69.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP New Construction	$ 1,471,986	$ (407,018.69)	$ 1,064,967.31
SFP Service Site	58,955	0	58,955.00
SFP Utilities	11,133	0	11,133.00
SFP Small School	58,879	0	58,879.00
SFP Fire Detection/Alarm	1,910	0	1,910.00
Total State Apportionment	$ 1,602,863	$ (407,018.69)	$ 1,195,844.31
Financing			
District Contribution	$ 1,602,863	$ (407,018.00)	$ 1,195,845.00
State (SFP)	1,602,863	(407,018.69)	1,195,844.31
Total Project Costs	$ 3,205,726	$ (814,036.69)	$ 2,391,689.31

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $814,036.69 in the total project cost from $3,205,726 to $2,391,689.31.
2. Approve a decrease of $407,018 in the District Contribution from $1,602,863 to $1,195,845.
3. Approve a decrease of $407,018.69 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2006-Nov.; 057-531] from $1,602,863 to $1,195,844.31.
4. Recognize that the District has already returned State funds in the amount of $407,018.69.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……56/75713-00-006 School District:…..……..Alhambra Unified

County:…….……..Los Angeles School Name:…..…….....Garfield Elementary

Financial Hardship……No

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close-out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. This is an Overcrowding Relief Grant project and has savings of $1,630,903.46. School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.184.1 states that "grants for Overcrowding Relief Grant projects shall be limited to eligible expenditures, up to the State Apportionment for the project…" The District has concurred and has already returned State funds in the amount of $815,451.46.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP New Construction	$ 2,442,204	$ (815,451.46)	$ 1,626,752.54
SFP Multi Level Construction	293,064	0	293,064.00
SFP Service Site	113,585	0	113,585.00
SFP Utilities	41,472	0	41,472.00
SFP Urban Security	1,323,128	0	1,323,128.00
SFP Fire Detection/Alarm	3,140	0	3,140.00
Total State Apportionment	$ 4,216,593	$ (815,451.46)	$ 3,401,141.54
Financing			
District Contribution	$ 4,216,593	$ (815,452.00)	$ 3,401,141.00
State (SFP)	4,216,593	(815,451.46)	3,401,141.54
Total Project Costs	$ 8,433,186	$ (1,630,903.46)	$ 6,802,282.54

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $1,630,903.46 in the total project cost from $8,433,186 to $6,802,282.54.
2. Approve a decrease of $815,452 in the District Contribution from $4,216,593 to $3,401,141.
3. Approve a decrease of $815,451.46 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2006-Nov.; 057-531] from $4,216,593 to $3,401,141.54.
4. Recognize that the District has already returned State funds in the amount of $815,451.46.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……56/64352-00-001 School District:…..……...Centinela Valley High

County:…….……..Los Angeles School Name:…..……..Leuzinger High

Financial Hardship……No

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close-out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. This is an Overcrowding Relief Grant project and has savings of $3,128,887.01. School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.184.1 states that "grants for Overcrowding Relief Grant projects shall be limited to eligible expenditures, up to the State Apportionment for the project…" The District has concurred and has already returned State funds in the amount of $1,564,443.01.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP New Construction	$ 13,967,316.00	$ (1,564,443.01)	$ 12,402,872.99
SFP Multi Level Construction	1,676,078.00	0	1,676,078.00
SFP Service Site	513,976.00	0	513,976.00
SFP Utilities	74,645.00	0	74,645.00
SFP Facility Hardship Other	851,370.00	0	851,370.00
SFP Urban Secruity	4,228,549.00	0	4,228,549.00
SFP Fire Detection/Alarm	27,324.00	0	27,324.00
Total State Apportionment	$ 21,339,258.00	$ (1,564,443.01)	$ 19,774,814.99
Financing			
District Contribution	$ 21,339,258.00	$ (1,564,444.00)	$ 19,774,814.00
State (SFP)	21,339,258.00	(1,564,443.01)	19,774,814.99
Total Project Costs	$ 42,678,516.00	$ (3,128,887.01)	$ 39,549,628.99

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $3,128,887.01 in the total project cost from $42,678,516 to $39,549,628.99.
2. Approve a decrease of $1,564,444 in the District Contribution from $21,339,258 to $19,774,814.
3. Approve a decrease of $1,564,443.01 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2006-Nov.; 057-531] from $21,339,258 to $19,774,814.99.
4. Recognize that the District has already returned State funds in the amount of $1,564,443.01.

STATE ALLOCATION BOARD
APPROVAL ❖ ❖ ❖ March 26, 2014

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……...57/73619-00-001

School District:…….....Gustine Unified

County:……..……..……Merced

School Name:…..……Gustine Elementary

Financial Hardship……..Yes

Date of Financial Hardship Status:……December 7, 2000

Qualifying Financial Hardship Criteria: SFP Regulation 1859.81(c)(1).

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close-out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close-out this completed project. This is a Financial Hardship project and has savings in the amount of $1,151,155 and interest earned of $39,897.27 due after three years. School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.103 states that "the State's portion of any savings declared by the district or determined by the OPSC by audit must be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant of that project … any interest earned on a financial hardship project not expended on eligible project expenditures will be treated as savings and will be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant for that project." The District has concurred with the findings and agreed to return State funds in the amount of $1,191,052.27.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP Modernization	$ 1,113,054	$ (920,924)	$ 192,130
SFP Fire Code Compliance	33,392	0	33,392
SFP Financial Hardship	291,727	(230,231)	61,496
Project Assistance	2,087	0	2,087
Labor Compliance	18,377	0	18,377
Total State Apportionment	$ 1,458,637	$ (1,151,155)	$ 307,482
Financing			
State (SFP)	$ 1,166,910	$ (920,924)	$ 245,986
Financial Hardship (SFP)	291,727	(230,231)	61,496
Total Project Costs	$ 1,458,637	$ (1,151,155)	$ 307,482

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $1,151,155 in the total project cost from $1,458,637 to $307,482.
2. Approve a decrease of $920,924 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2002-Nov.; 047-571] from $1,166,910 to $245,986.
3. Approve a decrease of $230,231 in the Financial Hardship Contribution [Bonds/2002-Nov.; 047-571 ($91,145); Bonds/1998-Nov.; 119-617 ($139,086)] from $291,727 to $61,496.
4. Require the District to return State funds in the amount of $1,151,155.
5. Require the District to return interest in the amount of $39,897.27.

STATE ALLOCATION BOARD
APPROVAL ❖ ❖ ❖ March 26, 2014

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……...57/73619-00-003

School District:…….....Gustine Unified

County:……..……..……Merced

School Name:…..……Gustine Middle

Financial Hardship……..Yes

Date of Financial Hardship Status:……December 7, 2000

Qualifying Financial Hardship Criteria: SFP Regulation 1859.81(c)(1).

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close-out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close-out this completed project. This is a Financial Hardship project and has savings in the amount of $4,906 and interest earned of $128.96 due after three years. School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.103 states that "the State's portion of any savings declared by the district or determined by the OPSC by audit must be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant of that project … any interest earned on a financial hardship project not expended on eligible project expenditures will be treated as savings and will be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant for that project." The District has concurred with the findings and agreed to return State funds in the amount of $5,034.96.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP Modernization	$ 894,339	$ (3,925)	$ 890,414
SFP Fire Code Compliance	26,830	0	26,830
SFP Financial Hardship	234,507	(981)	233,526
Project Assistance	2,087	0	2,087
Labor Compliance	14,772	0	14,772
Total State Apportionment	$ 1,172,535	$ (4,906)	$ 1,167,629
Financing			
State (SFP)	$ 938,028	$ (3,925)	$ 934,103
Financial Hardship (SFP)	234,507	(981)	233,526
Total Project Costs	$ 1,172,535	$ (4,906)	$ 1,167,629

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $4,906 in the total project cost from $1,172,535 to $1,167,629.
2. Approve a decrease of $3,925 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2002-Nov.; 047-571] from $938,028 to $934,103.
3. Approve a decrease of $981 in the Financial Hardship Contribution [Bonds/2002-Nov.; 047-571] from $234,507 to $233,526.
4. Require the District to return State funds in the amount of $4,906.
5. Require the District to return interest in the amount of $128.96.

STATE ALLOCATION BOARD
APPROVAL ❖ ❖ ❖ March 26, 2014

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……...57/73619-00-004

School District:…….....Gustine Unified

County:……..……..……Merced

School Name:…..……..Gustine High

Financial Hardship……..Yes

Date of Financial Hardship Status:……December 7, 2000

Qualifying Financial Hardship Criteria: SFP Regulation 1859.81(c)(1).

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close-out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close-out this completed project. This is a Financial Hardship project and has savings in the amount of $124,229 and interest earned of $3,273.10 due after three years. School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.103 states that "the State's portion of any savings declared by the district or determined by the OPSC by audit must be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant of that project … any interest earned on a financial hardship project not expended on eligible project expenditures will be treated as savings and will be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant for that project." The District has concurred with the findings and agreed to return State funds in the amount of $127,502.10.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP Modernization	$ 1,201,122	$ (99,383)	$ 1,101,739
SFP Fire Code Compliance	36,034	0	36,034
SFP Financial Hardship	314,768	(24,846)	289,922
Project Assistance	2,087	0	2,087
Labor Compliance	19,828	0	19,828
Total State Apportionment	$ 1,573,839	$ (124,229)	$ 1,449,610
Financing			
State (SFP)	$ 1,259,071	$ (99,383)	$ 1,159,688
Financial Hardship (SFP)	314,768	(24,846)	289,922
Total Project Costs	$ 1,573,839	$ (124,229)	$ 1,449,610

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $124,229 in the total project cost from $1,573,839 to $1,449,610.
2. Approve a decrease of $99,383 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2002-Nov.; 047-571] from $1,259,071 to $1,159,688.
3. Approve a decrease of $24,846 in the Financial Hardship Contribution [Bonds/2002-Nov; 047-571] from $314,768 to $289,922.
4. Require the District to return State funds in the amount of $124,229.
5. Require the District to return interest in the amount of $3,273.10.

STATE ALLOCATION BOARD
APPROVAL ❖ ❖ ❖ March 26, 2014

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……...57/67876-00-087

School District:……....San Bernardino City Unified

County:…….……..……..San Bernardino

School Name:…..……..……....Shandin Hills Middle

Financial Hardship……..Yes

Date of Financial Hardship Status:…….December 10, 2001

Qualifying Financial Hardship Criteria: SFP Regulation 1859.81(c)(1).

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close-out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. This is a Financial Hardship project and has savings in the amount of $238,473 and interest earned of $5,513.67 due after three years. School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.103 states that "the State's portion of any savings declared by the district or determined by the OPSC by audit must be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant of that project … any interest earned on a financial hardship project not expended on eligible project expenditures will be treated as savings and will be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant for that project." The District has concurred and has already returned State funds in the amount of $243,986.67.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP Modernization	$ 3,162,456	$ (190,778)	$ 2,971,678
SFP Fire Code Compliance	94,874	0	94,874
SFP Labor Compliance Program	28,983	0	28,983
SFP Financial Hardship	821,578	(47,695)	773,883
Total State Apportionment	$ 4,107,891	$ (238,473)	$ 3,869,418
Financing			
State (SFP)	$ 3,286,313	$ (190,778)	$ 3,095,535
Financial Hardship (SFP)	821,578	(47,695)	773,883
Total Project Costs	$ 4,107,891	$ (238,473)	$ 3,869,418

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $238,473 in the total project cost from $4,107,891 to $3,869,418.
2. Approve a decrease of $190,778 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2002-Nov.; 047-571] from $3,286,313 to $3,095,535.
3. Approve a decrease of $47,695 in the Financial Hardship Contribution [Bonds/2002-Nov.; 047-571] from $821,578 to $773,883.
4. Recognize that the District has already returned State funds in the amount of $238,473.
5. Recognize that the District has already returned interest in the amount of $5,513.67.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

School District: ...COLUSA COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION County:……..……COLUSA

Application Number:……...……...…50/10066-00-005 School Name. ……PIERCE HIGH

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To present a School Facility Program (SFP) new construction project for rescission.

DESCRIPTION

The SFP New Construction Program provides qualified school districts funds on a 50/50 state and local sharing basis for eligible projects that add capacity to a school district. The District received design funding for this project at the December 12, 2007 State Allocation Board (Board) meeting. An adjusted grant apportionment was approved by the Board in the Priority in Funding round at the May 22, 2013 meeting; however, the District did not submit a complete *Fund Release Authorization* (Form SAB 50-05) within 90 days from the date of the apportionment. As a result, the project received a new unfunded approval date of August 20, 2013.

The District submitted documentation stating it would not be moving forward with the project listed below, and requested that the project be rescinded. This item rescinds the unfunded approval for the adjusted grant apportionment. An item will be presented at a future Board meeting to reduce the design funding to costs incurred and to return the appropriate pupils to the District's baseline eligibility.

Application No.	Unfunded Approval Date	State Share Unfunded Amount	Financial Hardship Unfunded Amount	Bond Fund
50/10066-00-005	08-20-2013	$460,840	$460,839	55

AUTHORITY

See Attachment.

RECOMMENDATION

Rescind the SFP unfunded state share and Financial Hardship amount of $921,679 [Bonds/2004-Mar.; 955-500] from $921,679 to $0.

ATTACHMENT

AUTHORITY

School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.107. Amending and Withdrawal of Applications.

A funding application that received an apportionment under Chapter 12 or Chapter 12.5 may not be rescinded and re-approved under the provisions of any amended law or administrative regulation unless specifically authorized by other applicable law.

A funding application, with the exception of funding applications identified in Subsection (a) below, that has received an approval pursuant to Section 1859.95, but has not received an apportionment, may receive an adjustment as allowed under Sections 1859.71, 1859.71.2(c), 1859.78.4(b) or 1859.78 at the time the apportionment is made. If the adjustment is a result of Sections 1859.71.2(c) or 1859.78.4(b), the district must submit an amended Form SAB 50-04. The amended application shall retain its OPSC processing date. No other adjustments may be made, including those resulting from changes to the regulations prior to final funding by the SAB. As an alternative, the application may be withdrawn and resubmitted for SAB approval under the provisions of any amended or new regulation that becomes effective prior to the apportionment for the project. The district must first request from the OPSC that the application be withdrawn and removed from the Unfunded List. The district may then resubmit the application to the OPSC under the provisions of the amended or new regulation once it is effective. The resubmitted application will receive a new processing date by the OPSC. School districts that have already received a site apportionment under Section 1859.81.1(a) may withdraw the application and file as an environmental hardship pursuant to Section 1859.75.1 without forfeiting their original site apportionment, provided that the new application does not exceed the amount already apportioned.

A funding application, with the exception of funding applications identified in Subsection (a) below, submitted to the OPSC that has not received an approval will receive funding under the provisions of the regulations that were in effect when the application was submitted to the OPSC and any funding adjustment authorized by Sections 1859.71.2(c) or 1859.78.4(b). If the funding adjustment is a result of Sections 1859.71.2(c) or 1859.78.4(b), the district must submit an amended Form SAB 50-04. The amended application shall retain its OPSC processing date.
At the option of the district, a funding application submitted to the OPSC that has not received an approval may be withdrawn and resubmitted for SAB approval under the provisions of any amended or new regulation once it is effective. The district must request that the application be withdrawn and removed from the OPSC workload list.
The resubmitted application will receive a new processing date by the OPSC.

At the option of the district, an Approved Application for Career Technical Education Facilities Project Funding submitted to OPSC prior to January 1, 2012 may be resubmitted for the purpose of requesting the funding as prescribed in Section 1859.71.6 or Section 1859.77.4, as applicable. To request that funding, the district must submit an amended Form SAB 50-10 at least 90 days prior to requesting an Apportionment pursuant to Section 1859.90.1 or 1859.90.2 or receiving an Apportionment pursuant to Section 1859.195. The amended application shall retain its original OPSC processing date.

(a) A district that submitted an Approved Application request for either a Modernization Adjusted Grant or a Separate Design Apportionment for a modernization project pursuant to Section 1859.81.1 that meets the criteria in (1) and (2) below must submit a new Form SAB 50-04 that meets the criteria in Subsections (b) or (c) no later than 60 calendar days after the effective date (September 16, 2002) of this Subsection:
(1) The Approved Application was received by the OPSC after April 29, 2002 but no later than the date this Subsection becomes effective (September 16, 2002).
(2) The Approved Application has not received an approval or has received an approval pursuant to Section 1859.95, but has not received an apportionment.
(b) The new Form SAB 50-04 identifies the same number of pupils assigned to the original project or a lesser amount that is not less than 37.5 percent of the pupils originally assigned to the project (round up). In this case, the district will be required to contribute additional funds to the project to meet the 40 percent district contribution required pursuant to Section 1859.79(b). If the project was approved as a financial hardship project under the provisions of Section 1859.81, the project shall maintain its financial hardship status, however, the district will be subject to a financial review pursuant to Section 1859.81(a) to determine if there are additional district funds available for the project.

ATTACHMENT

AUTHORITY (cont.)

(c) The new Form SAB 50-04 identifies a lesser number of pupils assigned to the project that does not exceed an amount determined by multiplying the pupils assigned to the original project by 37.5 percent (round down). In this case, the district will not be required to contribute additional funds to the project to meet the 40 percent district contribution required pursuant to Section 1859.79(b).

(d) If a new Form SAB 50-04 is submitted under the provisions of subsection (b), the Architect of Record or Design Professional certification made on the original Form SAB 50-04 will be accepted as satisfying the requirements of the new ` Form SAB 50-04.

(e) Any Approved Application request that meets the requirements of Subsection (a) will be withdrawn 60 calendar days after the date Subsection (a) becomes effective (September 16, 2002) if the district does not submit a new Form SAB 50-04 conforming to either Subsections (b) or (c).

Any application for eligibility determination that has received an approval may be amended to comply with provisions of an amended or new regulation once it is effective. The amended application will receive a new processing date by the OPSC.

Any application for eligibility determination that has not received an approval may be amended at any time to conform to an amended or new regulation. The application shall retain its OPSC processing date.

Any application for new construction eligibility determination that has received an approval must be amended to conform to Regulation Section 1859.51(l) prior to submittal of Form SAB 50-04.

Any application for new construction eligibility determination that has not received an approval must be amended to conform to Form SAB 50-02 and Form SAB 50-03 prior to submittal of Form SAB 50-04.

Any application for modernization eligibility determination that has received an approval must be amended to conform to Regulation Section 1859.61(g) prior to submittal of Form SAB 50-04.

Any application for modernization eligibility determination that has not received an approval must be amended to conform to Form SAB 50-03 prior to submittal of Form SAB 50-04.

Districts that have received an approval of eligibility on a HSAA or Super HSAA are not required to re-establish eligibility under the provisions of Section 1859.41(a).

Districts that have requested eligibility determination on a HSAA or Super HSAA that have not received an approval must comply with the provisions of Section 1859.41(a) prior to submittal of Form SAB 50-04. The amended eligibility application shall retain its original OPSC processing date.

A district that has received an approval of its eligibility determination on a district-wide, HSAA or Super HSAA basis, but received no New Construction Grant(s), may re-file on another eligibility determination basis provided it withdraws all previously submitted Form SAB 50-04 requests for New Construction Grant(s), including those on the Unfunded List.

Note: Authority cited: Sections 17070.35 and 17078.72(l), Education Code.

Reference: Sections 17070.35, 17070.63, 17074.15, 17074.16 and 17074.56, Education Code.

REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER
State Allocation Board Meeting, March 26, 2014

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

School District: ……..…..……SAN FRANCISCO UNIFIED County:……..……SAN FRANCISCO

Charter School…….… CITY ARTS & TECHNOLOGY HIGH

Application Number:……..…….……..…54/68478-28-001 School Name. ……CITY ARTS & TECHNOLOGY HIGH

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To present a Charter School Facilities Program (CSFP) project for rescission.

DESCRIPTION

The CSFP provides a charter school or school district filing on behalf of a charter to apply for funding for new construction projects and rehabilitation of district owned existing facilities that are at least 15 years old on a 50/50 state and local sharing basis for eligible projects. The Charter school received a Preliminary Apportionment for this project at the February 23, 2005 State Allocation Board (Board) meeting. On February 28, 2006, the Charter school received advanced funding for design in the amount of $1,287,519.

The District was unable to meet the deadline to convert the Preliminary Apportionment to a Final Apportionment. This item rescinds the unfunded approval for the Preliminary Apportionment. An item will be presented at a future Board meeting to reduce the design funding to costs incurred and to return the appropriate pupils to the Charter's baseline eligibility.

Application No.	Unfunded Approval Date	State Share Unfunded Amount	CSFP Lease Unfunded Amount	Bond Fund
54/68478-28-001	02/23/2005	$6,418,482.50	$6,418,482.50	55

AUTHORITY

See Attachment.

RECOMMENDATION

Rescind the SFP unfunded State Share and CSFA Lease amount of $12,836,965 [Bonds/2004-Mar.; 955-540] from $12,836,965 to $0.

STATE ALLOCATION BOARD
APPROVAL ❖ ❖ ❖ March 26, 2014

ATTACHMENT

AUTHORITY

School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.107. Amending and Withdrawal of Applications.

A funding application that received an apportionment under Chapter 12 or Chapter 12.5 may not be rescinded and re-approved under the provisions of any amended law or administrative regulation unless specifically authorized by other applicable law.

A funding application, with the exception of funding applications identified in Subsection (a) below, that has received an approval pursuant to Section 1859.95, but has not received an apportionment, may receive an adjustment as allowed under Sections 1859.71, 1859.71.2(c), 1859.78.4(b) or 1859.78 at the time the apportionment is made. If the adjustment is a result of Sections 1859.71.2(c) or 1859.78.4(b), the district must submit an amended Form SAB 50-04. The amended application shall retain its OPSC processing date. No other adjustments may be made, including those resulting from changes to the regulations prior to final funding by the SAB. As an alternative, the application may be withdrawn and resubmitted for SAB approval under the provisions of any amended or new regulation that becomes effective prior to the apportionment for the project. The district must first request from the OPSC that the application be withdrawn and removed from the Unfunded List. The district may then resubmit the application to the OPSC under the provisions of the amended or new regulation once it is effective. The resubmitted application will receive a new processing date by the OPSC. School districts that have already received a site apportionment under Section 1859.81.1(a) may withdraw the application and file as an environmental hardship pursuant to Section 1859.75.1 without forfeiting their original site apportionment, provided that the new application does not exceed the amount already apportioned.

A funding application, with the exception of funding applications identified in Subsection (a) below, submitted to the OPSC that has not received an approval will receive funding under the provisions of the regulations that were in effect when the application was submitted to the OPSC and any funding adjustment authorized by Sections 1859.71.2(c) or 1859.78.4(b). If the funding adjustment is a result of Sections 1859.71.2(c) or 1859.78.4(b), the district must submit an amended Form SAB 50-04. The amended application shall retain its OPSC processing date.
At the option of the district, a funding application submitted to the OPSC that has not received an approval may be withdrawn and resubmitted for SAB approval under the provisions of any amended or new regulation once it is effective. The district must request that the application be withdrawn and removed from the OPSC workload list.
The resubmitted application will receive a new processing date by the OPSC.

At the option of the district, an Approved Application for Career Technical Education Facilities Project Funding submitted to OPSC prior to January 1, 2012 may be resubmitted for the purpose of requesting the funding as prescribed in Section 1859.71.6 or Section 1859.77.4, as applicable. To request that funding, the district must submit an amended Form SAB 50-10 at least 90 days prior to requesting an Apportionment pursuant to Section 1859.90.1 or 1859.90.2 or receiving an Apportionment pursuant to Section 1859.195. The amended application shall retain its original OPSC processing date.

(a) A district that submitted an Approved Application request for either a Modernization Adjusted Grant or a Separate Design Apportionment for a modernization project pursuant to Section 1859.81.1 that meets the criteria in (1) and (2) below must submit a new Form SAB 50-04 that meets the criteria in Subsections (b) or (c) no later than 60 calendar days after the effective date (September 16, 2002) of this Subsection:
(1) The Approved Application was received by the OPSC after April 29, 2002 but no later than the date this Subsection becomes effective (September 16, 2002).
(2) The Approved Application has not received an approval or has received an approval pursuant to Section 1859.95, but has not received an apportionment.
(b) The new Form SAB 50-04 identifies the same number of pupils assigned to the original project or a lesser amount that is not less than 37.5 percent of the pupils originally assigned to the project (round up). In this case, the district will be required to contribute additional funds to the project to meet the 40 percent district contribution required pursuant to Section 1859.79(b). If the project was approved as a financial hardship project under the provisions of Section 1859.81, the project shall maintain its financial hardship status, however, the district will be subject to a financial review pursuant to Section 1859.81(a) to determine if there are additional district funds available for the project.

(c) The new Form SAB 50-04 identifies a lesser number of pupils assigned to the project that does not exceed an amount determined by multiplying the pupils assigned to the original project by 37.5 percent (round down). In this case, the district will not be required to contribute additional funds to the project to meet the 40 percent district contribution required pursuant to Section 1859.79(b).

(d) If a new Form SAB 50-04 is submitted under the provisions of subsection (b), the Architect of Record or Design Professional certification made on the original Form SAB 50-04 will be accepted as satisfying the requirements of the new ` Form SAB 50-04.

(e) Any Approved Application request that meets the requirements of Subsection (a) will be withdrawn 60 calendar days after the date Subsection (a) becomes effective (September 16, 2002) if the district does not submit a new Form SAB 50-04 conforming to either Subsections (b) or (c).

Any application for eligibility determination that has received an approval may be amended to comply with provisions of an amended or new regulation once it is effective. The amended application will receive a new processing date by the OPSC.

Any application for eligibility determination that has not received an approval may be amended at any time to conform to an amended or new regulation. The application shall retain its OPSC processing date.

Any application for new construction eligibility determination that has received an approval must be amended to conform to Regulation Section 1859.51(l) prior to submittal of Form SAB 50-04.

Any application for new construction eligibility determination that has not received an approval must be amended to conform to Form SAB 50-02 and Form SAB 50-03 prior to submittal of Form SAB 50-04.

Any application for modernization eligibility determination that has received an approval must be amended to conform to Regulation Section 1859.61(g) prior to submittal of Form SAB 50-04.

Any application for modernization eligibility determination that has not received an approval must be amended to conform to Form SAB 50-03 prior to submittal of Form SAB 50-04.

Districts that have received an approval of eligibility on a HSAA or Super HSAA are not required to re-establish eligibility under the provisions of Section 1859.41(a).

Districts that have requested eligibility determination on a HSAA or Super HSAA that have not received an approval must comply with the provisions of Section 1859.41(a) prior to submittal of Form SAB 50-04. The amended eligibility application shall retain its original OPSC processing date.

A district that has received an approval of its eligibility determination on a district-wide, HSAA or Super HSAA basis, but received no New Construction Grant(s), may re-file on another eligibility determination basis provided it withdraws all previously submitted Form SAB 50-04 requests for New Construction Grant(s), including those on the Unfunded List.

Note: Authority cited: Sections 17070.35 and 17078.72(l), Education Code.

Reference: Sections 17070.35, 17070.63, 17074.15, 17074.16 and 17074.56, Education Code.

REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER
State Allocation Board Meeting, March 26, 2014

SCHOOL FACILITY PROGRAM
SUBSTANTIAL PROGRESS TIME EXTENSION

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To present the Contra Costa County Office of Education (COE) and Parlier Unified School District's request for a time extension to show substantial progress for a School Facility Program (SFP) project.

DESCRIPTION

The SFP regulations require that the COE and district which receive a design grant approval must demonstrate substantial progress has been made on the project within 18 months of receiving the fund release. Evidence of substantial progress can be demonstrated by showing any of the following:

1. Submittal of an approved New Construction or Modernization Adjusted Grant funding application.
2. Submittal of a district certification that the final building plans for the project have been submitted to and accepted by the Division of the State Architect (DSA) for review and approval.
3. Submittal of an approved separate site funding application pursuant to Section 1859.81.1(a) or an approved environmental hardship funding application pursuant to Section 1859.75.1.
4. Submittal to the Office of Public School Construction of evidence satisfactory to the State Allocation Board (SAB) detailing the reason(s) that plans have not been completed and accepted by the DSA.

AUTHORITY

Pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.105 (c)(4), sufficient evidence of substantial progress shall include other evidence satisfactory to the SAB detailing the reason(s) that plans have not been completed and accepted by the DSA. If the SAB determines that substantial progress has been made, the SAB shall condition its finding of substantial progress upon the COE's and District's commitment to complete and submit an Approved Adjusted Grant funding application within 18 months from the date of the SAB determination of substantial progress.

STAFF COMMENTS

The Attachment represents project applications in which the COE and District received a design grant apportionment and a subsequent fund release. The COE and District submitted an acceptable explanation of why a substantial progress extension is required. Staff recommends approval of the request for additional time to submit evidence that substantial progress has been met on the design grant.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve the COE's and District's request for a determination of substantial progress with regard to the SFP applications, as indicated on the Attachment.
2. Failure to comply with either requirement as indicated on the Attachment will result in the projects apportionments being reduced to costs incurred or rescinded.

ATTACHMENT

Substantial Progress Time Extension
SCHOOL FACILITY PROGRAM
State Allocation Board Meeting, March 26, 2014

	Project Number(s)	***County Office of Education / District / County / School Name(s)***	***Summary of District Narrative***	***Staff Recommendation(s)***
Design Grant	50/10074-99-006	Contra Costa County Office of Education Contra Costa Alternative Education-Martinez	The project received a Design Grant apportionment on June 27, 2012. The County Office of Education (COE) is currently leasing the Golden Gate Martinez site from Contra Costa County (County). The County advised the COE that they will be developing this site into an Emergency Operations Center in the next few years. The COE's efforts to identify a site to purchase have been unsuccessful, and therefore, design plans for the County Day School could not go forward. The COE intends to re-use plans from a similar project and retain the same architect for this project. The COE also has the opportunity to potentially enter into a long term site lease in conjunction with the Special Education Local Plan Area and/or local school district. Therefore, the COE is requesting an 18-month extension to demonstrate substantial progress.	1. Require the COE to submit acceptable plans to the DSA by January 16, 2015. 2. Require the COE to submit a complete and approvable Adjusted Grant funding application to the OPSC by July 16, 2015. If both conditions are not met by July 16, 2015, the OPSC will proceed to rescind or reduce this project to costs incurred.
Design Grant	57/62364-00-005	Parlier Unified Fresno Chavez (Cesar E.) Elementary	The project received a Design Grant apportionment on June 27, 2012. The District was delayed in proceeding with their design plans due to unprecedented upper level management turnover and the loss of institutional knowledge of those personnel that commenced with planning process. The master planning efforts were further delayed due to the conformance of the common core requirements of the new Local Control Funding Formula model and uncertainties of utilizing and leveraging Prop 39 monies. The District has completed the facilities master plan and has commenced the design phase and anticipates the architect will submit plans to DSA by June 2014. Therefore, the District is requesting an 18-month extension to demonstrate substantial progress.	3. Require the District to submit acceptable plans to the DSA by January 19, 2015. 4. Require the District to submit a complete and approvable Adjusted Grant funding application to the OPSC by July 19, 2015. If both conditions are not met by July 19, 2015, the OPSC will proceed to rescind or reduce this project to costs incurred.

REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER
State Allocation Board Meeting, March 26, 2014

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA	
School District: .....PLEASANTON UNIFIED	County: ……..……... ALAMEDA
Application Number:……..... 55/75101-00-001	School Names: ……. FOOTHILL HIGH
Total District Enrollment…… 14,932	Project Grade Level: ….…….…….. 9-12
District Eligibility:…….……K-6 143, 7-8 -323, 9-12 80, Non-Severe -2, Severe 100	

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To present the District's request to demonstrate under other evidence that substantial progress has been made.

DESCRIPTION

The District received a School Facility Program (SFP) Career Technical Education (CTE) grant apportionment on December 10, 2008, for the Foothill High School. Pursuant to the State Allocation Board (Board) meeting on November 4, 2009, all CTE projects from the second funding cycle were approved for an extension to the current 12-month plan approval date due to the statewide fiscal crisis. In addition, pursuant to SFP Regulation Section 1859.105(a)(1-4), the District was required to show that it had met substantial progress on this project by January 12, 2014.

BACKGROUND

The SFP regulations require that districts which receive an adjusted grant approval must demonstrate that substantial progress has been made on the project within 18 months of receiving the fund release. Evidence of substantial progress can be demonstrated by showing any of the following:

1. At least 75 percent of all site development work that is necessary prior to building construction activity is complete.
2. At least 90 percent of the building construction activities are under contract, unless the building construction activities are delayed as a result of necessary site development work.
3. All construction activities are at least 50 percent complete.
4. Other evidence satisfactory to the Board of circumstances beyond the control of the district that precludes substantial progress being met.

This new construction CTE Architectural and Structural Engineering Pathway project consists of constructing a 3,360 square foot Engineering and Design Academy, which includes two teaching stations, comprised of Computer Aided Design lab/engineering test lab/storage room/office and electric closet. The District received funds from the State on July 12, 2012. The District's progress thus far on the project is as follows:

- Coordinated final design and engineering documents for the building project.
- Submitted and received final building plan approval from the Division of the State Architect.
- Submitted and received final plan approval from the California Department of Education.
- Identified this project as a first priority in the District's Facilities Master Plan.
- Expended $170,610 on the project and will encumber an additional $147,000 of expenses.
- Submitted their annual Expenditure Report which reflects project is 12 percent complete.

(Continued on Page Two)

BACKGROUND (cont.)

The District planned to issue Certificates of Participation (COP) in order to cover the local matching share of the project; however, the State's fiscal crisis, slowdown in State funding, and decreased developer fee proceeds delayed the District's ability to fund its remaining local obligation on the project. Any developer fee proceeds received were required to pay existing debt service. Additionally, the District borrowed from alternative funds and has flexed its Deferred Maintenance Allowance to cover the existing debt service.

The District refinanced the existing COPs and is now collecting sufficient developer fees to cover the debt service without borrowing from other funds.

AUTHORITY

Pursuant to Education Code Section 17076.10(b) and the SFP Regulation Section 1859.105(a)(4) sufficient evidence of substantial progress shall include other evidence satisfactory to the SAB of circumstances beyond the control of the district that precludes substantial progress being met. If the SAB determines that no substantial progress toward completing the project has been made, the Board shall rescind/reduce the project to costs incurred. The District may file a new application for the project, subject to district eligibility and priority funding at the time of submittal.

STAFF ANALYSIS

This project application represents a District that has received a CTE adjusted grant apportionment. The District submitted an acceptable explanation of circumstances beyond the control of the District that precludes substantial progress being met. Staff recommends approval of the request that substantial progress has been met for this project.

RECOMMENDATION

Approve the District's request that substantial progress has been met on this project.

REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER
State Allocation Board Meeting, March 26, 2014

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA	
School District: .....PLEASANTON UNIFIED	County: ……..…….. ALAMEDA
Application Number:……... 55/75101-00-003	School Names: …… VILLAGE HIGH
Total District Enrollment…… 14,932	Project Grade Levels: …….…... 9-12
District Eligibility:…… K-6, 143, 7-8, -323, 9-12, 80, Non-Sev, -2, Severe, 100	

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To present the District's request to demonstrate under other evidence that substantial progress has been made.

DESCRIPTION

The District received a School Facility Program (SFP) Career Technical Education (CTE) grant apportionment on December 10, 2008, for the Village High School. Pursuant to the State Allocation Board (Board) meeting on November 4, 2009, all CTE projects from the second funding cycle were approved for an extension to the current 12-month plan approval date due to the statewide fiscal crisis. In addition, pursuant to SFP Regulation Section 1859.105(a)(1-4), the District was required to show that it had met substantial progress on this project by January 12, 2014.

BACKGROUND

The SFP regulations require that districts which receive an adjusted grant approval must demonstrate that substantial progress has been made on the project within 18 months of receiving the fund release. Evidence of substantial progress can be demonstrated by showing any of the following:

1. At least 75 percent of all site development work that is necessary prior to building construction activity is complete.
2. At least 90 percent of the building construction activities are under contract, unless the building construction activities are delayed as a result of necessary site development work.
3. All construction activities are at least 50 percent complete.
4. Other evidence satisfactory to the Board of circumstances beyond the control of the district that precludes substantial progress being met.

This new construction CTE Food Service and Hospitality Pathway project consists of constructing a 8,135 square foot Hospitality, Tourism and Recreation Building, which includes two teaching stations comprised of a commercial grade kitchen and a catering-dining area. The District received funds from the State on July 12, 2012. The District's progress thus far on the project is as follows:

- Coordinated final design and engineering documents.
- Submitted and received final building plan approval from the Division of the State Architect.
- Submitted and received final plan approval from the California Department of Education.
- Identified this project as a first priority in the District's Facilities Master Plan.
- Expended $328,988 on the project.
- Submitted their annual Expenditure Report which reflects project is 7 percent complete.

(Continued on Page Two)

BACKGROUND (cont.)

The District planned to issue Certificates of Participation (COP) in order to cover the local matching share of the project; however, the State's fiscal crisis, slowdown in State funding, and decreased developer fee proceeds delayed the District's ability to fund its remaining local obligation on the project. Any developer fee proceeds received were required to pay existing debt service. Additionally, the District borrowed from alternative funds and has flexed its Deferred Maintenance Allowance to cover the existing debt service.

The District refinanced the existing COPs and is now collecting sufficient developer fees to cover the debt service without borrowing from other funds.

AUTHORITY

Pursuant to Education Code Section 17076.10(b) and the SFP Regulation Section 1859.105(a)(4) sufficient evidence of substantial progress shall include other evidence satisfactory to the SAB of circumstances beyond the control of the district that precludes substantial progress being met. If the SAB determines that no substantial progress toward completing the project has been made, the Board shall rescind/reduce the project to costs incurred. The District may file a new application for the project, subject to district eligibility and priority funding at the time of submittal.

STAFF ANALYSIS

This project application represents a District that has received a CTE adjusted grant apportionment. The District submitted an acceptable explanation of circumstances beyond the control of the District that precludes substantial progress being met. Staff recommends approval of the request that substantial progress has been met for this project.

RECOMMENDATION

Approve the District's request that substantial progress has been met on this project.

REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER
State Allocation Board Meeting, March 26, 2014

SCHOOL FACILITY PROGRAM
SUBSTANTIAL PROGRESS REDUCTION TO COSTS INCURRED

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To present School Facility Program (SFP) project apportionments to be reduced to eligible costs incurred.

DESCRIPTION

The SFP projects listed on the Attachment are projects that received separate design or adjusted grant apportionments. The District and County Office of Education (COE) have not met the substantial progress requirement and have indicated that they will be unable to move forward with the SFP projects listed on the Attachment. The District and COE have requested that the apportionments be reduced to costs incurred to recognize eligible costs for the design and adjusted grant phases.

AUTHORITY

Pursuant to Education Code Section 17076.10(b), sufficient evidence of substantial progress for the separate design and adjusted grant apportionments shall be due 18 months from the date any funds were released to the District and COE. The SFP Regulation Section 1859.105 requires that when an apportionment is reduced to project costs incurred, all State funds not used to finance eligible expenditures are to be returned to the State Allocation Board (Board). The SFP Regulations also stipulate that interest earned on State funds, which is not used to finance eligible expenditures, is due to the Board.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Find that the District and COE are unable to meet the substantial progress requirements or indicated that they will be unable to move forward with the projects listed on the Attachment.
2. Reduce the State apportionments to costs incurred for the projects listed on the Attachment.
3. Direct Staff to adjust the District's and COE's baseline eligibility, according to the increases (identified in the "Pupils Returned to the District's Baseline" column) on the Attachment, once all funds due to the State have been received.

ATTACHMENT
SCHOOL FACILITY PROGRAM
SUBSTANTIAL PROGRESS REDUCTION TO COSTS INCURRED
State Allocation Board Meeting, March 26, 2014

	District/ County/ Application Number	Pupil Grant Adjustments: Original Pupils Assigned to Apportionment: Type of Pupils	Number of Pupils	Pupils Returned to the District's Baseline: Number of Pupils	Grant Adjustments: Original Apportionment	Interest	Amount of Eligible Expenditures	Reduction in Apportionment
Design Grant	Riverside County Office of Education Riverside 50/10330-98-026	Severe	36	29	$346,550	$11,739	$340,502	$17,787
Adjusted Grant	Claremont Unified Los Angeles 59/64394-00-001	N/A	N/A	N/A	$411,743	$15,834	$52,001	$375,576
	Claremont Unified Los Angeles 59/64394-00-002	N/A	N/A	N/A	$143,621	$5,524	$23,019	$126,126
							TOTAL	$519,489

REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER
State Allocation Board Meeting, March 26, 2014

SCHOOL FACILITY PROGRAM
CAREER TECHNICAL EDUCATION FACILITIES PROGRAM UNFUNDED APPROVALS

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To present an additional request for an unfunded approval under the third funding cycle of the School Facility Program (SFP) Career Technical Education Facilities Program (CTEFP).

DESCRIPTION

This item is requesting the State Allocation Board (Board) to approve an unfunded approval of $293,019 for placement on the Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans) for the CTEFP grant as shown on Attachments A and B.

AUTHORITY

Assembly Bill 127 (Chapter 35, Statutes of 2006-Nunez and Perata) established the CTEFP within the SFP. Education Code Section 17078.72 provides $500 million from the Kindergarten-University Public Education Facilities Bond Act of 2006 (Proposition 1D) for qualifying applicants to construct or modernize facilities and to purchase equipment for career technical education programs at high schools.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.90.2 states:

> The priority funding process allows the Board to distribute available funds to districts or charter schools that request, an Apportionment or an advance release of funds from a Preliminary Apportionment or Preliminary Charter School Apportionment, during specific 30-calendar day filling periods beginning with July 27, 2011 and continuing with the 2nd Wednesday of January and the 2nd Wednesday of July 2012. Requests submitted during the filling periods described above are valid until the next filing period begins. The specific 30-day calendar day filling periods subsequent to 2012 begin with January 9, 2013 and continue with the 2nd Wednesday of May and the 2nd Wednesday of November, each calendar year. Requests submitted during a filing period beginning with January 9, 2013 are valid until June 30, 2013. Requests submitted during a filling period beginning with the 2nd Wednesday of May are valid from July 1 until December 31 of that year. Requests submitted during a filing period beginning with the 2nd Wednesday in November are valid from January 1 until June 30 of the following year. Requests must be physically received by the OPSC by the 30th calendar day of each filing period to be considered valid….

SFP Regulation Section 1859.90.3 "applies only to applications for new construction, modernization, Facility Hardship pursuant to Section 1859.82 and rehabilitation pursuant to Section 1859.83(e), and specifically excludes applications for the Charter School Facilities and Career Technical Education Facilities Programs."

(Continued on Page Two)

AUTHORITY (cont.)

SFP Regulation Section 1859.193(d) states, "If the applicant meets the eligibility criteria in Section 1859.192, but does not have the necessary approvals from the DSA [Division of the State Architect] and/or the CDE [California Department of Education] at the time of apportionment, the Board may apportion funds for the Career Technical Education Facilities Project and reserve them for a period of up to 12 months."

SFP Regulation Section 1859.195(c) states, "The Board may apportion any or all remaining available Career Technical Education Facilities funds in subsequent cycles, as deemed necessary and practical by the Board."

SFP Regulation Section 1859.196(d) states, "For the third and any subsequent cycles, the Board shall apportion funds regardless of Service Region. (1) Funds shall be apportioned to the highest ranked project in each locale. In order to continue this funding process, one project from each locale must be apportioned. If there are no applications in a given locale(s), projects will be apportioned in the remaining locale(s)."

BACKGROUND

Under the CTEFP, a local educational agency (LEA) operating a comprehensive high school may construct new or modernize existing facilities for career technical education programs. A Joint Powers Authority (JPA) operating career technical education programs as of May 20, 2006 may modernize existing facilities. The LEA is not required to demonstrate that it has unhoused pupils or that buildings are older than 25 years to be eligible for the program. The maximum grant for a new construction project is $3 million. The maximum grant for a modernization project is $1.5 million. A district may submit multiple projects on the same school site.

A financial contribution toward the cost of the CTEFP project must be equal to the State's share (50/50 State and District funding). The local contribution toward the project cannot be waived. The LEA or JPA may request a loan for all or part of the local contribution if funds are not immediately available.

The first and second funding cycles were funded by Service Region. For the third and any subsequent cycles, funds are apportioned regardless of Service Region by geographic locale. Funds are apportioned to the highest ranked project in each Locale (urban, suburban, or rural as determined by the National Center for Educational Statistics). The third funding cycle which was presented at the October 2010 Board meeting was oversubscribed; a total of 174 applications were received. Therefore, at the October 2010 Board meeting, only 77 out of 174 projects received unfunded approvals totaling $93,688,429. Since October 2010, 38 additional projects received unfunded approvals totaling $42.6 million; five districts have chosen not to move forward with their seven applications. Currently, 54 projects remain unprocessed totaling approximately $75 million.

(Continued on Page Three)

BACKGROUND (cont.)

There is $3.5 million in bond authority from project rescissions and loan repayments that has returned to the program from previous funding cycles and is currently available for allocation. In May 2011, the Board approved an option to continue to provide unfunded approvals to applicants in the third funding cycle using all available bond authority.

STAFF ANALYSIS/STATEMENTS

Of the $500 million authorized for the CTEFP, $3.5 million is available to fund this project totaling $293,019 from the remaining applications submitted during the third cycle. Attachment A provides this application's numerical Score based on relevant career technical education curriculum and facility needs to increase student achievement as determined by the CDE and Locale.

The applicant on Attachment A has not requested a loan.

Fund Release Process
Upon receiving an unfunded approval, this project will be placed on the Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans). The District must participate in the priority funding process pursuant to SFP Regulation Section 1859.90.2 in order to receive an apportionment and must submit a *Fund Release Authorization* (Form SAB 50-05) within 90 days of receiving an apportionment. While a district is normally allowed 12 months from the date of apportionment to submit the required CDE and DSA approvals, the priority funding process limits the district to 90 days from the apportionment to submit a valid fund release. Districts are encouraged to submit plans and specifications for OPSC verification prior to submitting a request to participate in the priority funding process.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve an unfunded approval of $293,019 as shown on Attachments A and B.

2. Approve all special conditions as provided on Attachment B.

Attachment A
SCHOOL FACILITY PROGRAM CAREER TECHNICAL EDUCATION FACILITIES PROGRAM PROJECTS RECOMMENDED FOR UNFUNDED APPROVALS
State Allocation Board Meeting, March 26, 2014

Application Number	District/JPA Name	County	School	CDE Score	Locale	Reservation of Funds	Total Eligible Project Cost	Unfunded Approval	Loan Requested
55/66266-00-001	Napa Valley Unified	Napa	American Canyon High	128	**Suburban**	No	$ 586,038	$ 293,019	$0
								$ 293,019	

ATTACHMENT B

SAB Meeting: **March 26, 2014** **Career Technical Educational Facilities Program - Adjusted Grant Approval**

APPLICANT DATA

Application No:	55/66266-00-001	**Site Name:**	American Canyon High
County:	Napa	**Industry Sector:**	Engineering and Design
Applicant:	Napa Valley Unified School	**Pathway:**	Architectural and Struct Engineering

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project:	New Construction
Reservation of Funds:	Y
Loan Requested:	N
Qualifying SFP Project:	n/a
Number of CTE Classrooms:	2
SFP Classroom Allowance Deduction:	n/a

GRANT DATA

Eligible Project Costs (50%)		
Construction:	$	275,895.00
Equipment:		17,124.00
Site Development:		
SFP Deduction:		0.00
Total State Share (50%)		293,019.00
District Share (50%)		293,019.00
Total Project Costs	**$**	**586,038.00**

PROJECT FINANCING

	Fund Code	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share (50%)				
This Project	**957-600**	$	$ 293,019.00	$ 293,019.00
District Share (50%)				
Cash Contribution			$ 293,019.00	
Total Project Cost		$	586,038.00	$ 293,019.00

Funding Source: Proposition 1D Bonds/2006-Nov.

Pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009, this application has been approved and placed on the Unfunded List.
This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future State funding.

Pursuant to Section 1859.199, provide that the State portion of any and all project savings realized from the funding of a Career Technical Education Facilities Program project must be returned to the State.

Assembly Bill 436 (Solorio), Chapter 378, Statutes of 2011, requires school districts to notify the Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) of School Facility Program projects with a public works contract awarded on or after January 1, 2012. Except for projects exempt pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.3(b)(3), the DIR is required to provide prevailing wage monitoring services for the projects.

The District shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

Non-Conforming Buildings

(Continued Use)

REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER
State Allocation Board Meeting, March 26, 2014

EXEMPTION FOR INDEPENDENT STUDY FACILITIES

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To present the Los Banos Unified School District's (District) request, as shown on the Attachment, for an exemption from the definition of "School Buildings" for certain facilities used for independent study.

DESCRIPTION

The District operates independent study programs at facilities that are not located at regular school sites and is requesting an exemption from the definition of "School Buildings," as described in Education Code (EC) Section 17283, for the facility.

Senate Bill 1563, Chapter 1089, Statutes of 1989 authorizes any school district or county office of education to request an exemption from the State Allocation Board (Board) for a building or structure or portion of a building or structure used for independent study from the definition of "School Building." These buildings do not have to meet the Field Act requirements but must comply with local health and safety standards.

The District has certified that it has satisfied the legal requirements under EC Section 17289 for the exemption.

AUTHORITY

EC Section 17283 states: "School Building" as used in this article means and includes any building used, or designed to be used, for elementary or secondary school purposes and constructed, reconstructed, altered, or added to, by the state or any city or city and county, or by any political subdivision or by any school district of any kind within the state, or by any regional occupational center or program created by or authorized to act by an agreement under joint exercise of power, or by the United States government, or any agency thereof."

EC Section 17289 states "In order to provide alternative, community-based educational opportunities through independent study, any school district or county office of education may request an exemption from the State Allocation Board for a building or structure, or portion of a building or structure, from the definition of "school buildings" within the meaning of Section 17283. The exemptions may be granted for no longer than two years and exemptions are renewable. An exemption may only be granted if the school district or county office of education demonstrates to the satisfaction of the State Allocation Board all of the following:

(a) The building or structure, or portion of building or structure, satisfies all of the following:
(1) It is not located on a regular schoolsite.
(2) It complies with all applicable local building standards and all relevant local health and safety standards in the community in which it is located.
(3) It is used for independent study.
(4) It serves fewer than 25 pupils enrolled in kindergarten or any of the grades 1 to 12, inclusive, at any one time in the building or structure, or in a portion of a building or structure where the remainder of the building or structure is not used for instructional purposes.
(b) The use of the building or structure is critical to providing an effective alternative, community-based program.
(c) The use of other buildings or structures that would meet seismic safety standards for school facilities is not practical."

(Continued on Page Two)

STAFF ANALYSIS/STATEMENTS

The District has provided certification that it has met the criteria as stated in EC Section 17289. An exemption has been requested for two years.

RECOMMENDATION

Approve the District's request for an exemption from the definition of "School Buildings" for the sites listed on the Attachment from January 1, 2014 through December 31, 2015.

ATTACHMENT

EXEMPTION FOR INDEPENDENT STUDY FACILITIES

Los Banos Unified School District – 91/65755-00-000

Site	I.D. No.
265 Mercey Springs Rd., Suite C Los Banos, CA 93635	24- 65755-0119073
265 Mercey Springs Rd., Suite D Los Banos, CA 93635	24-65755-0119073

Unused Sites Program

REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER
State Allocation Board Meeting, March 26, 2014

UNUSED SITES

EXHIBIT/APPL. NO. 92/67686-00-00

Colton Joint Unified School District – San Bernardino County

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To present the District's request for the State Allocation Board (Board) to make a correction to the District's Unused Sites.

DESCRIPTION

The District was assessed unused site fees in both the 2010/11 and 2011/12 Fiscal Years and is currently being assessed an unused site fee for the 2012/13 Fiscal Year for the Valley Blvd., Cactus Ave. Site 2 site. The District recently submitted documentation indicating that this site was being used for construction of school facilities and should have been deleted; however, the Cedar, Santa Ana Site 2 was deleted in error. Therefore, the District is entitled to a refund for the Valley Blvd., Cactus Ave. Site 2 and should be charged an Annual Payment for each of the three Fiscal Years for the Cedar, Santa Ana Site 2 as shown on the Attachment.

AUTHORITY

Pursuant to Education Code Section (EC) 17223(a) "Whenever a school district has either begun to use an unused site or has sold that site within two years of the date, the Controller, pursuant to Section 17222, has deducted a certified nonuse payment from the district's State School fund apportionment, the State Allocation Board shall certify that fact to the Controller. The Controller shall then cease to withhold any additional payments and shall return to the district from the State School Site Utilization Fund the payments, without interest, which had been withheld for the particular site…"

BACKGROUND

The District submitted a request to delete the Valley Blvd., Cactus Ave. Site 2 beginning in the 2010/11 Fiscal Year. Instead, the Cedar, Santa Ana Site 2 was deleted. The District should not have been charged unused site assessment fees for the Valley Blvd., Cactus Ave. Site 2 site but should be charged an unused site fee for the Cedar, Santa Ana Site 2 site.

STAFF ANALYSIS/STATEMENTS

The District has provided documentation to support its request.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve the District's request for a refund of the 2010/11 Fiscal Year Unused Site Assessment fee in the amount of $28,501, a refund of the 2011/12 Fiscal Year Unused Site Assessment fee in the amount of $28,501 and a refund of the 2012/13 Fiscal Year Unused Site Assessment fee in the amount of $28,786 and notify the State Controller's Office to refund the Unused Site Assessment fee as indicated.

2. Deduct a total of $64,715 in Unused Site Assessment fees for Fiscal Years 2010/11, 2011/12 and 2012/13 for the Cedar, Santa Ana Site 2 and notify the State Controller's Office to deduct these fees as indicated.

ATTACHMENT

ASSESSMENT OF FEES FOR UNUSED SCHOOL SITES

2010/11 Fiscal Year

COUNTY CDS # DISTRICT SITE		ASSESSED VALUE	ANNUAL PAYMENT
SAN BERNARDINO			
67686	COLTON JOINT UNIFIED		
	CEDAR, SANTA ANA SITE 2	$2,150,028	$21,500

2011/12 Fiscal Year

COUNTY CDS # DISTRICT SITE		ASSESSED VALUE	ANNUAL PAYMENT
SAN BERNARDINO			
67686	COLTON JOINT UNIFIED		
	CEDAR, SANTA ANA SITE 2	$2,150,028	$21,500

2012/13 Fiscal Year

COUNTY CDS # DISTRICT SITE		ASSESSED VALUE	ANNUAL PAYMENT
SAN BERNARDINO			
67686	COLTON JOINT UNIFIED		
	CEDAR, SANTA ANA SITE 2	$2,171,528	$21,715

ADDED UNUSED SCHOOL SITES

COUNTY CDS # DISTRICT SITE		ADD SITE - REASON
SAN BERNARDINO		
67686	COLTON JOINT UNIFIED	
	CEDAR, SANTA ANA SITE 2	Correction

DELETED UNUSED SCHOOL SITES

SAN BERNARDINO		
67686	COLTON JOINT UNIFIED	
	VALLEY BLVD., CACTUS AVE. SITE 2	Construction of School Facilities – September 2010

Facility Hardship / Rehabilitation Program

REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER
State Allocation Board Meeting, March 26, 2014

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA	
School District: ……..…...LONG BEACH UNIFIED	County:…….…….....LOS ANGELES
Application Number:…….…… 51/64725-00-001	School Name:…….....HILL JUNIOR HIGH
Total District Enrollment:…….……82,256	Project Grade Level:……...…….…….....6-8
Financial Hardship:……..…….…….…….....……..……NO	

PURPOSE OF REPORT

Type of Request	State Allocation Board (Board) conceptual approval for a School Facility Program (SFP) Facility Hardship Seismic Mitigation Program replacement project.
Estimated Total Project Cost	$9,239,596
Estimated Cost to the State	$4,619,798

DESCRIPTION

Description of Health and Safety Threat	Hill Junior High in Long Beach, California, is located on a site that is at risk for strong ground shaking in a seismic event. The Hill Junior High Gymnasium, originally built in 1959, is of the most vulnerable Category 2 construction type. In 2012, LPA Inc., an integrated design firm, performed a structural evaluation of the Gymnasium and determined the structure to be at risk of collapse during a seismic event due to both ground shaking and liquefaction. The Geological Hazards Assessment prepared by Petra Geotechnical, Inc. notes, "the conclusion that lateral displacements on the order of two feet [that] might accompany a liquefaction event would suggest that the gymnasium building could experience significant damage…." The California Geological Survey (CGS) has concurred with the liquefaction hazard identified in the geologic hazards assessment, and the Division of the State Architect (DSA) has concurred with the structural engineer's report and the scope of work required to abate the hazards.
Scope of Project	The scope of the project includes the demolition and replacement of the Gymnasium at another location on the same site that is not subject to the liquefaction hazard.
Status of School Site	The Gymnasium is currently in use.

QUALIFYING CRITERIA

Government/State Level Concurrence	DSA has approved the gymnasium eligibility evaluation and the replacement option analysis. CGS has provided concurrence with the liquefaction hazard identified in the geologic hazards assessment.

(Continued on Page Two)

QUALIFYING CRITERIA(cont.)

Site Visit Completed by Staff	Staff has accepted the report by the industry specialist and DSA's concurrence in lieu of a site visit.
Staff Supports the District's Request	Yes

AUTHORITY

See Attachment.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Provide that the potential grant amount will be based on adjusted square footage calculation not to exceed 10,807 square feet of "other" facilities, 5,762 square feet of toilet facilities (including gymnasium shower and locker space), and applicable site development.

2. Provide that the District must file an Approved Application for funding within 18 months of this approval, pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.82 (c).

3. Provide that this conceptual approval does not guarantee a commitment for funding by the Board. Any possible future funding will be subject to all governing laws and regulations, and the availability of funds.

4. Provide that the District's modernization eligibility will be adjusted as a result of square footage demolished and replaced pursuant to SFP Regulation Section 1859.61(l) and SFP Regulation Section 1859.82 upon receipt of an Unfunded Approval by the Board.

5. Provide that the State portion of any and all savings which may be realized from the funding of this facility hardship project must be returned to the State.

ATTACHMENT

AUTHORITY

Education Code (EC) Section 17075.10(a) states:

A school district may apply for hardship assistance in cases of extraordinary circumstances. Extraordinary circumstances may include, but are not limited to, the need to repair, reconstruct, or replace the most vulnerable school facilities that are identified as a Category 2 building, as defined in the report submitted pursuant to Section 17317, determined by the department to pose an unacceptable risk of injury to its occupants in the event of a seismic event.

EC Section 17075.10(b)(2) states:

Funds for the purpose of seismic mitigation work or facility replacement pursuant to this section shall be allocated by the board on a 50-percent state share basis from funds reserved for that purpose in any bond approved by the voters after January 1, 2006. If the board determines that the seismic mitigation work of a school building would require funding that is greater than 50 percent of the funds required to construct a new facility, the school district shall be eligible for funding to construct a new facility under this chapter.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.61(l) provides adjustments to the Modernization Baseline Eligibility as a result of classrooms demolished and replaced pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.82.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82 states, "A district is eligible for facility hardship funding to replace or construct new classrooms and related facilities if the district demonstrates there is an unmet need for pupil housing or the condition of the facilities, or the lack of facilities, is a threat to the health and safety of the pupils."

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82(a)(1) provides for Facility Hardship grant funding when, "The facilities are needed to ensure the health and safety of the pupils if the district can demonstrate to the satisfaction of the Board that the health and safety of the pupils is at risk. Factors to be considered by the Board shall include…seismic mitigation of the Most Vulnerable Category 2 Buildings as verified by the DSA…."

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82(a)(1)(A) states:

If the request is for replacement facilities, a cost/benefit analysis must be prepared by the district and submitted to the [Office of Public School Construction] OPSC that indicates the total costs to remain in the classroom or related facility and mitigate the problem is at least 50 percent of the Current Replacement Cost of the classroom or related facility. The cost/benefit analysis may include applicable site development costs as outlined in Section 1859.76. If the cost to remain in the classroom or related facility is less than 50 percent of the Current Replacement Cost, the district may qualify for a Modernization Excessive Cost Hardship Grant for rehabilitation costs pursuant to Section 1859.83 (e) or a grant not to exceed 50 percent of the cost estimate that has been reviewed and approved by the OPSC and approved by the board for seismic rehabilitation.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82(a)(1)(B) states:

If the request is for replacement facilities that included structural and/or seismic deficiencies, the cost/benefit analysis must also include a report from a licensed design professional identifying the minimum work necessary to obtain DSA approval. The report must contain a detailed cost estimate of the repairs. The cost/benefit analysis shall not include increased costs associated with high performance related costs or components, with the exception of those high performance components that were pre-existing in the classroom or related facility. The report and cost estimate shall be subject to review by the OPSC for conformance with the Saylor Current Construction Cost Publication and, at the OPSC's discretion, the DSA. For seismic deficiencies of the Most Vulnerable Category 2 Buildings, the report and the cost estimate for the minimum work necessary must be reviewed by the DSA.

ATTACHMENT

AUTHORITY (cont.)

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82(a)(1)(C) states:

The seismic mitigation projects must meet all of the following requirements:

1. The construction contract was executed on or after May 20, 2006;
2. The project funding provided shall be for the minimum work necessary to obtain DSA approval;
3. The building is designed for occupancy by students and staff; and
4. The DSA concurs with a report by a structural engineer, which identifies structural deficiencies that pose an unacceptable risk of injury to its occupants in a seismic event. If the unacceptable risk of injury is due to the presence of faulting, liquefaction or landslide, these hazards must be documented by a geologic hazards report prepared by an engineering geologist in accordance with California Building Code, Part 2, Chapter18, section1803A and with the concurrence of the California Geological Survey.

The structural engineer's report shall conform to the guidelines prepared by the DSA, in accordance with Education Code Section 17310.

REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER
State Allocation Board Meeting, March 26, 2014

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA	
School District: ……..LONG BEACH UNIFIED	County:…….…….....LOS ANGELES
Application Number:…….…… 51/64725-00-002	School Name:…….....HAMILTON JUNIOR HIGH
Total District Enrollment:…….……82,256	Project Grade Level:……...…….…….....6-8
Financial Hardship:……..…….…….…….....……..……NO	

PURPOSE OF REPORT

Type of Request	State Allocation Board (Board) conceptual approval for a School Facility Program (SFP) Facility Hardship Seismic Mitigation Program replacement project.
Estimated Total Project Cost	$9,157,813
Estimated Cost to the State	$4,578,906

DESCRIPTION

Description of Health and Safety Threat	Hamilton Junior High in Long Beach, California, is located on a site that is at risk for strong ground shaking in a seismic event. The Hamilton Junior High Gymnasium, originally built in 1952, is of the most vulnerable Category 2 construction type. In 2012, LPA Inc., an integrated design firm, performed a structural evaluation of the Gymnasium and determined the structure to be at risk of collapse during a seismic event due to both ground shaking and liquefaction. The Geological Hazards Assessment prepared by Petra Geotechnical, Inc. notes, "the thickness of the non-liquefiable layers above the liquefiable zone appears to be insufficient to prevent the surface manifestation of liquefaction (such as sand boils, ground fissures, etc.)" The California Geological Survey (CGS) has concurred with the liquefaction hazard identified in the geologic hazards assessment, and the Division of the State Architect (DSA) has concurred with the structural engineer's report and the scope of work required to abate the hazards.
Scope of Project	The scope of the project includes the demolition and replacement of the Gymnasium at another location on the same site that is not subject to the liquefaction hazard.
Status of School Site	The Gymnasium is currently in use.

QUALIFYING CRITERIA

Government/State Level Concurrence	DSA has approved the gymnasium eligibility evaluation and the replacement option analysis. CGS has provided concurrence with the liquefaction hazard identified in the geologic hazards assessment.

(Continued on Page Two)

QUALIFYING CRITERIA(cont.)

Site Visit Completed by Staff	Staff has accepted the report by the industry specialist and DSA's concurrence in lieu of a site visit.
Staff Supports the District's Request	Yes

AUTHORITY

See Attachment.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Provide that the potential grant amount will be based on adjusted square footage calculation not to exceed 11,351 square feet "other" facilities, 5,356 square feet of toilet facilities (including gymnasium shower and locker space), and applicable site development.

2. Provide that the District must file an Approved Application for funding within 18 months of this approval, pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.82 (c).

3. Provide that this conceptual approval does not guarantee a commitment for funding by the Board. Any possible future funding will be subject to all governing laws and regulations, and the availability of funds.

4. Provide that the District's modernization eligibility will be adjusted as a result of square footage demolished and replaced pursuant to SFP Regulation Section 1859.61(l) and SFP Regulation Section 1859.82 upon receipt of an Unfunded Approval by the Board.

5. Provide that the State portion of any and all savings which may be realized from the funding of this facility hardship project must be returned to the State.

ATTACHMENT

AUTHORITY

Education Code (EC) Section 17075.10(a) states:

A school district may apply for hardship assistance in cases of extraordinary circumstances. Extraordinary circumstances may include, but are not limited to, the need to repair, reconstruct, or replace the most vulnerable school facilities that are identified as a Category 2 building, as defined in the report submitted pursuant to Section 17317, determined by the department to pose an unacceptable risk of injury to its occupants in the event of a seismic event.

EC Section 17075.10(b)(2) states:

Funds for the purpose of seismic mitigation work or facility replacement pursuant to this section shall be allocated by the board on a 50-percent state share basis from funds reserved for that purpose in any bond approved by the voters after January 1, 2006. If the board determines that the seismic mitigation work of a school building would require funding that is greater than 50 percent of the funds required to construct a new facility, the school district shall be eligible for funding to construct a new facility under this chapter.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.61(l) provides adjustments to the Modernization Baseline Eligibility as a result of classrooms demolished and replaced pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.82.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82 states, "A district is eligible for facility hardship funding to replace or construct new classrooms and related facilities if the district demonstrates there is an unmet need for pupil housing or the condition of the facilities, or the lack of facilities, is a threat to the health and safety of the pupils."

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82(a)(1) provides for Facility Hardship grant funding when, "The facilities are needed to ensure the health and safety of the pupils if the district can demonstrate to the satisfaction of the Board that the health and safety of the pupils is at risk. Factors to be considered by the Board shall include…seismic mitigation of the Most Vulnerable Category 2 Buildings as verified by the DSA…."

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82(a)(1)(A) states:

If the request is for replacement facilities, a cost/benefit analysis must be prepared by the district and submitted to the [Office of Public School Construction] OPSC that indicates the total costs to remain in the classroom or related facility and mitigate the problem is at least 50 percent of the Current Replacement Cost of the classroom or related facility. The cost/benefit analysis may include applicable site development costs as outlined in Section 1859.76. If the cost to remain in the classroom or related facility is less than 50 percent of the Current Replacement Cost, the district may qualify for a Modernization Excessive Cost Hardship Grant for rehabilitation costs pursuant to Section 1859.83 (e) or a grant not to exceed 50 percent of the cost estimate that has been reviewed and approved by the OPSC and approved by the board for seismic rehabilitation.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82(a)(1)(B) states:

If the request is for replacement facilities that included structural and/or seismic deficiencies, the cost/benefit analysis must also include a report from a licensed design professional identifying the minimum work necessary to obtain DSA approval. The report must contain a detailed cost estimate of the repairs. The cost/benefit analysis shall not include increased costs associated with high performance related costs or components, with the exception of those high performance components that were pre-existing in the classroom or related facility. The report and cost estimate shall be subject to review by the OPSC for conformance with the Saylor Current Construction Cost Publication and, at the OPSC's discretion, the DSA. For seismic deficiencies of the Most Vulnerable Category 2 Buildings, the report and the cost estimate for the minimum work necessary must be reviewed by the DSA.

ATTACHMENT

AUTHORITY (cont.)

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82(a)(1)(C) states:

The seismic mitigation projects must meet all of the following requirements:

1. The construction contract was executed on or after May 20, 2006;
2. The project funding provided shall be for the minimum work necessary to obtain DSA approval;
3. The building is designed for occupancy by students and staff; and
4. The DSA concurs with a report by a structural engineer, which identifies structural deficiencies that pose an unacceptable risk of injury to its occupants in a seismic event. If the unacceptable risk of injury is due to the presence of faulting, liquefaction or landslide, these hazards must be documented by a geologic hazards report prepared by an engineering geologist in accordance with California Building Code, Part 2, Chapter18, section1803A and with the concurrence of the California Geological Survey.

The structural engineer's report shall conform to the guidelines prepared by the DSA, in accordance with Education Code Section 17310.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

School District: ……..MONROVIA UNIFIED	County:……..……..……LOS ANGELES
Application Number:…….…… 58/64790-00-001	School Name:…….….MONROVIA HIGH
Total District Enrollment:…….…….5,936	Project Grade Level:……...…….….....9-12
Financial Hardship:……..……..……..……..……NO	

PURPOSE OF REPORT

Type of Request	State Allocation Board (Board) approval for placement on the Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans) for a School Facility Program (SFP) Facility Hardship Seismic Mitigation Program (SMP) rehabilitation project.
Total Project Cost	$ 249,580
Cost to the State	$ 124,790

DESCRIPTION

Description of Health and Safety Threat	The Music Building (Building O) at Monrovia High is a Most Vulnerable Category 2 Building type. This is a ten-classroom, two-story concrete and masonry building built in 1970. In December 2012, a structural evaluation was performed on Building O which has identified critical deficiencies in the building's wall anchorage systems. The Division of the State Architect (DSA) has concurred with the presence of the threat of a local or global collapse of the facility in the event of seismic activity, as outlined in the Eligibility Evaluation Report which was filed by the District's structural engineer.
Scope of Project	The scope of the project includes the seismic rehabilitation of Building O through the addition of out-of-plane anchorage and cross ties.
Status of School Site	Building O is currently occupied, and mitigation work has not yet begun.

QUALIFYING CRITERIA

Government/State Level Concurrence	DSA has approved Building O for SMP eligibility, evaluated and approved the planned seismic mitigation work, and issued plan approval.
Site Visit Completed by Staff	Staff has accepted reports by the industry specialist and DSA approvals in lieu of a site visit.
Staff Supports the District's Request	Yes

(Continued on Page Two)

AUTHORITY

See Attachment A.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve the District's request for placement on the Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans) for rehabilitation funding for Building O on the Monrovia High site, pursuant to the SFP Regulation Section 1859.82, as provided on Attachment B.

2. Provide that the State portion of any and all savings which may be realized from the funding of this Seismic Mitigation Program project must be returned to the State.

ATTACHMENT A

AUTHORITY
(As of July 1, 2013)

Education Code (EC) Section 17075.10(a) states:

> A school district may apply for hardship assistance in cases of extraordinary circumstances. Extraordinary circumstances may include, but are not limited to, the need to repair, reconstruct, or replace the most vulnerable school facilities that are identified as a Category 2 building, as defined in the report submitted pursuant to Section 17317, determined by the department to pose an unacceptable risk of injury to its occupants in the event of a seismic event.

EC Section 17075.10(b)(2) states:

> Funds for the purpose of seismic mitigation work or facility replacement pursuant to this section shall be allocated by the board on a 50-percent state share basis from funds reserved for that purpose in any bond approved by the voters after January 1, 2006. If the board determines that the seismic mitigation work of a school building would require funding that is greater than 50 percent of the funds required to construct a new facility, the school district shall be eligible for funding to construct a new facility under this chapter.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82 states, "A district is eligible for facility hardship funding to replace or construct new classrooms and related facilities if the district demonstrates there is an unmet need for pupil housing or the condition of the facilities, or the lack of facilities, is a threat to the health and safety of the pupils."

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82(a)(1) provides for Facility Hardship grant funding when "The facilities are needed to ensure the health and safety of the pupils if the district can demonstrate to the satisfaction of the Board that the health and safety of the pupils is at risk. Factors to be considered by the Board shall include…seismic mitigation of the Most Vulnerable Category 2 Buildings as verified by the DSA…."

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82(a)(1)(A) states:

> If the request is for replacement facilities, a cost/benefit analysis must be prepared by the district and submitted to the [Office of Public School Construction] OPSC that indicates the total costs to remain in the classroom or related facility and mitigate the problem is at least 50 percent of the Current Replacement Cost of the classroom or related facility. The cost/benefit analysis may include applicable site development costs as outlined in Section 1859.76. If the cost to remain in the classroom or related facility is less than 50 percent of the Current Replacement Cost, the district may qualify for a Modernization Excessive Cost Hardship Grant for rehabilitation costs pursuant to Section 1859.83 (e) or a grant not to exceed 50 percent of the cost estimate that has been reviewed and approved by the OPSC and approved by the board for seismic rehabilitation.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82(a)(1)(B) states:

> If the request is for replacement facilities that included structural and/or seismic deficiencies, the cost/benefit analysis must also include a report from a licensed design professional identifying the minimum work necessary to obtain DSA approval. The report must contain a detailed cost estimate of the repairs. The report and cost estimate shall be subject to review by the OPSC for conformance with the Saylor Current Construction Cost Publication and, at the OPSC's discretion, the DSA. For seismic deficiencies of the Most Vulnerable Category 2 Buildings, the report and the cost estimate for the minimum work necessary must be reviewed by the DSA.

ATTACHMENT A

AUTHORITY (cont.)

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82(a)(1)(C) states:

The seismic mitigation projects must meet all of the following requirements:

1. The construction contract was executed on or after May 20, 2006;
2. The project funding provided shall be for the minimum work necessary to obtain DSA approval;
3. The building is designed for occupancy by students and staff; and
4. The DSA concurs with a report by a structural engineer, which identifies structural deficiencies that pose an unacceptable risk of injury to its occupants in a seismic event. If the unacceptable risk of injury is due to the presence of faulting, liquefaction or landslide, these hazards must be documented by a geologic hazards report prepared by an engineering geologist in accordance with California Building Code, Part 2, Chapter 18, section 1803A and with the concurrence of the California Geological Survey.

The structural engineer's report shall conform to the guidelines prepared by the DSA, in accordance with Education Code Section 17310.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No:	58/64790-00-001	**County:**	Los Angeles
School District:	Monrovia Unified	**School Name:**	Monrovia High

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project:	High School	**Application Filing Basis:**	Site Specific
Pupils Assigned Under 50 Yrs: K-6:			
7-8:			
9-12:			
Non-Severe:			
Severe:			
Financial Hardship Requested:	No	**Recommended Acres:**	47
Alternative Education School:	No	**Existing Acres:**	27

ADJUSTED GRANT DATA

Seismic Rehabilitation	$	124,634.00
Prevailing Wage Monitoring		156.00
Total State Share (50%)		124,790.00
District Share (50%)		124,790.00
Total Project Cost	$	**249,580.00**

PROJECT FINANCING

State Share		
This Project	$	124,790.00
District Share		
Cash Contribution		124,790.00
Financial Hardship		
Total Project Cost	$	**249,580.00**

HISTORY OF PROJECT COST AND APPORTIONMENT

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
New Construction/Additional Grant	**957-505**	**1D**		$ 124,790.00	$ 124,790.00
District Share					
Cash Contribution				124,790.00	
Total			$	$ **249,580.00**	$ **124,790.00**

Funding Source: Proposition 1D Bonds/2006-Nov.
Pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009, this application has been approved and placed on the Unfunded List.
This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future State funding.

Assembly Bill 436 (Solorio), Chapter 378, Statutes of 2011, requires school districts to notify the Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) of School Facility Program projects with a public works contract awarded on or after January 1, 2012. Except for projects exempt pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.3(b)(3), the DIR is required to provide prevailing wage monitoring services for the projects.

Provide that the State portion of any and all project savings realized from the funding of a Seismic Mitigation Program project must be returned to the State.

The District shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER
State Allocation Board Meeting, March 26, 2014

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA	
School District: ..... SCOTIA UNION ELEMENTARY	County:.....HUMBOLDT
Application Number:.....58/63024-00-004	School Name: ..... STANWOOD MURPHY
Total District Enrollment:..... 238	Project Grade Level: K-8
Financial Hardship: .....YES	

The District qualified for financial hardship pursuant to School Facility Program (SFP) Regulation Section 1859.81(c)(4). The District has demonstrated it is financially unable to provide all or part of the matching funds and is levying the developer fees or equal alternative revenue source justified by law. The District's total bonding capacity at the time of its request for financial hardship funding was $5 million or less.

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To present the District's request (Attachment A) for a 12-month time extension to submit a complete SFP funding application for the previously approved conceptual approval for a Facility Hardship Rehabilitation project at Murphy Elementary.

DESCRIPTION

The District received a conceptual approval for rehabilitation work for the Gymnasium Building at Murphy Elementary under the SFP Facility Hardship Regulations at the October 2012 State Allocation Board (Board) meeting, for a total project cost of approximately $4.1 million, as well as an unfunded approval for a financial hardship design apportionment totaling $583,703 (Attachment B). The conceptual approval required the District to submit a complete SFP application for full funding within 18 months by April 24, 2014. The District is requesting a 12-month time extension to this deadline.

AUTHORITY

School Facility Program (SFP) Regulation Section 1859.82 states, "A district is eligible for facility hardship funding to replace or construct new classrooms and related facilities if the district demonstrates there is an unmet need for pupil housing or the condition of the facilities, or the lack of facilities, is a threat to the health and safety of the pupils."

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82(a)(1) provides for facility hardship grant funding when:

> The facilities are needed to ensure the health and safety of the pupils if the district can demonstrate to the satisfaction of the Board that the health and safety of the pupils is at risk. Factors to be considered by the Board shall include the close proximity to a major freeway, airport, electrical facility, high power transmission lines, dam, pipeline, industrial facility, adverse air quality emission or other health and safety risks, including structural deficiencies required by the DSA to be repaired, seismic mitigation of the Most Vulnerable Category 2 Buildings as verified by the DSA, traffic safety or because the pupils reside in remote areas of the district and transportation to existing facilities is not possible or poses a health and safety risk.

(Continued on Page Two)

AUTHORITY (cont.)

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82 further states:

A district may request a determination of eligibility for facility hardship funding in advance of project funding.

(c) A district seeking replaced facilities as a result of either (a) or (b) above must submit Form SAB (50-04 (Application for Funding) for the replaced facilities:

(1) Within 18 months if the replacement facilities will be located on the same site.

(2) Within 24 months if the replacement facilities will be located on a replacement site.

If an Approved Application for the replaced facility is not accepted within the time periods identified in (c)(1) or (c)(2) above, the Board shall re-review the criteria submitted by the district for replacement of the facility prior to apportionment of the replaced facility.

BACKGROUND

At the October 2012 Board meeting, the District was granted conceptual approval for a facility hardship project to replace the boiler system for the Gymnasium Building at Murphy Elementary School, and to make upgrades required by the Division of the State Architect (DSA) for structural safety, fire and life safety, and accessibility. The Board also issued an unfunded approval for a financial hardship design apportionment. This approval was for 18 months, and will expire as of April 24, 2014. The unfunded approval was converted to a design apportionment in May 2013 through the priority funding process. The District was delayed due to an unexpected need to replace the boiler system for the main school structure and complications that arose with that project.

STAFF ANALYSIS/STATEMENTS

The District received Design funds for this project in June 2013, and has recently submitted its required preliminary rehabilitation report to DSA. The first substantial progress report for the Design apportionment will be due to the Office of Public School Construction by June 3, 2014. The District states that it will have all the documents required in order to submit an *Application for Funding* (Form SAB 50-04) by January or February 2015. Therefore, the District has submitted a letter requesting a 12-month time extension of the deadline for submittal of a Form SAB 50-04 for full funding. Staff supports the District's request for a 12-month time extension to April 24, 2015.

Financial Hardship Status

The District's financial hardship approval has expired. In order to qualify for financial hardship for the full grant funding phase for this project, the District will be required to submit a new request for financial hardship approval at least 90 days prior to submitting a full grant funding application.

Bond Authority

Any future funding application will be subject to bond authority and funds available.

(Continued on Page Three)

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Grant the District a 12-month time extension for the conceptual approval to rehabilitate the gym complex at Stanwood Murphy School due health and safety issues pursuant to the SFP Regulation Section 1859.82(a) and 1859.83(e), as shown on Attachment B. This extension will change the due date from April 24, 2014 until April 24, 2015 for the submittal of a complete funding application

2. Provide that this conceptual approval does not guarantee a commitment for funding by the Board. Any possible future funding will be subject to all governing laws and regulations, and the availability of funds.

3. Provide that the State portion of any and all savings which may be realized from the funding of this facility hardship project must be returned to the State

ATTACHMENT A

SCOTIA UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT
P.O. BOX 217
SCOTIA, CA 95565
PHONE: (707) 764-2212 FAX: (707) 764-5111

Ronan Collver
Superintendent/Principal

HOME OF THE SCOTIA BEARS

December 5, 2013

To: DGS Facility Hardship Team

Through: Hannah Konnoff, Program Services Analyst

From: Ronan Collver, Superintendent, Scotia Union Elementary Sch.

Re: Extension Request, Application Number 58/63024-00-004

Dear Colleagues,

The Scotia School District is in receipt of a letter from Mr. Thayne Gunther dated November 5, 2013 where in the District is required to submit a complete funding package for our 58/63024-00-004 Gym Design Project to OPSC by April 24, 2014.

The Scotia School District has encountered circumstances which have made previously planned progress on the Gym project impossible, as explained in the following andhe District, Architect and Staff are requesting an EXTENSION for this Design Phase.

HISTORY: Please recall that prior to 2008, the town of Scotia, Scotia Elementary School and gym were owned by the Pacific Lumber Company. That Company filed for Bankruptcy in 2007. In the spring of 2008 the State awarded the District money to purchase the school and the gym from the Company along with money to remodel the school. The School sales contract included a written commitment by the company to provide the School/Gym steam heat from the Company Co-Generation Plant and electricity until such time as the school had the resources and State approval to divorce its-self from the Company power sources.

PRIMARY PROGRESS PROBLEMS:
In the fall of 2011 the District received an award from the State of California to begin planning, seek approval and move independently from the Pacific Lumber Energy system. Our Architect designed a steam generation plant to be built next to our school to provide steam heat to the main campus. These plans were submitted to DSA in January of

2012 and we prepared to wait the several months for changes and approval. Suddenly in mid January of 2012 the Pacific Lumber Company sold the Co-Generation Plant to an independent owner operator. Soon after, Superintendent Lampp, received a letter from the Attorney representing the Community and the new Power Plant owner informing us that all steam to the school, gym and town would be shut off on the first of April, 2012.

Needless to say we were in a panic when considering the possibility of having to shut school down for several months in the Spring. Working with our Architect and our Engineer, a new design and a system heating water with a series of tank-less water heaters was envisioned and designed. The system's cost was sufficiently low so that DSA's approval could be limited and the system was installed in February of 2012.

The cost of the system was a fraction of the allocated money and we have discovered that it's operating costs are considerably less, two great advantages. HOWEVER the marriage of this New system, with the old plus long distance computer monitoring/adjusting, the Project Manager's failure to address Labor Compliance issues, and some unanticipated installation flaws resulted in ongoing flooding of ceilings and some instructional areas.

This has been a 12 month long, though now solved problem and the complexity of the marriage of old and new has proven workable. I have just sent the Department of Labor the District's County Recorded "Notice of Completion" for this project and we will be submitting to OPSC the Form 50-05 in the near future. Unfortunately our gym still remains unheated and subject to deterioration.

ARCHITECT'S STATEMENT: "During the time line since purchase of the school/gym we were also actively pursuing development of an alternative heating system for the Rec. Gym Center Facility, but it could not be installed due to DSA requirements to upgrade the entire facility prior to the inclusion of this work.

Since the Gym Complex had not been part of the school site it had never been approved with school construction standards. Now our design and engineering team is required to prepare, submit and have approved through DSA, the rehab of the existing non conforming building's application prior to submittal of construction documents. We started some of this work during the purchase period and have since commenced with this phase of our documentation. Due to time intensive nature of research, verification and re-testing of existing systems on the Facility, we feel it is necessary to request an extension of the funding application date.
In order to provide a status update, and assurance that we are moving forward on the project, a preliminary projection of our anticipated timeline is as follows:

1. Submittal to DSA of rehabilitation of existing non-conforming building documentation-Early January of 2014
2. DSA review period (estimated 6 weeks): Response to submittal-Early March 2014.

3. **Respond to rehabilitation review and resubmit (estimated 30 days)—Early April 2014**

4. **DSA re-review and approval of rehabilitation documents (estimated at 30 days) –May 2014**
5. **Utilize input from review to finalize required testing and perform testing for inclusion in construction documents. (Estimated at 60 days).**
6. **Finalize and submit to DSA construction documents-July 2014**
7. **DSA review period (estimated at 8 weeks), response to submittal-September 2014**
8. **Respond to review and schedule back-check appointment-October 2014**
9. **Documents approved and available for Bidding-November 2014**
10. **Receive bids/project costs-January 2015**
11. **Submit documentation (50-04) seeking fund release Jan.-Feb. 2015"**

Mark Gaxiola, AIA
Matson & Vallerga Architects, Inc.
3224 T Street
Eureka, Ca.

These explanations of the school's unexpected heating issues combined with the extraordinary requirements regarding submittals to DSA combine to support the District's Extension Request on this VITAL SCHOOL, COMMUNITY PROJECT. Your consideration is most appreciated.

Sincerely,

Ronan Collver, Superintendent/Principal

cc. Mark Gaxiola AIA, Architect
Board of Trustees
Thayne Gunther, Project Manager, OPSC
Dennis J. Hanson, Project Manager

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA	
School District: ……..……..SCOTIA UNION	County:…….……..…HUMBOLDT
Application Number:…….…… 58/63024-00-004	School Name:……STANWOOD MURPHY
Total District Enrollment:…….……234	Project Grade Level:……...…….……...K-8
Financial Hardship:……..…..……...……..…YES	

PURPOSE OF REPORT

Type of Request	State Allocation Board amended conceptual approval for a School Facility Program (SFP) Facility Hardship rehabilitation project and unfunded approval for design.
Estimated Total Project Cost	$ 4,052,500
Estimated Cost to the State	$ 4,052,500

DESCRIPTION

Description of Health and Safety Threat	The gym complex for Stanwood Murphy School was built in 1959. On February 23, 2011 the State Allocation Board (SAB) granted conceptual approval for the replacement of the boiler heating system for both the main classroom building and gym complex at Stanwood Murphy school. The District received Division of the State Architect (DSA) plan approval for the boiler for the classroom building in early 2012. However, DSA is unable to approve the plans for the boiler for the gym complex due to required structural and seismic upgrades as well as ancillary work that was not included in the plans. At the request of the District the OPSC administratively split the original project into two applications enabling the District to receive an unfunded approval at the August 2012 SAB meeting for the boiler for the classroom building. The District is requesting an amended conceptual approval for the gym complex to include the DSA required structural safety, fire and life safety, and accessibility components, as well as the previously approved boiler system.
Scope of Project	The project scope includes replacing the boiler system in the gym complex as well as the DSA required structural safety, fire and life safety, and accessibility upgrades.
Status of School Site	The gym complex is closed to students and staff until the required rehabilitation work is completed.

QUALIFYING CRITERIA

Government/State Level Concurrence	The Humboldt County Department of Health and Human Services provided concurrence for the necessity of replacing the boiler heating system and DSA guided the District in the necessary rehabilitation for the gym to be able to be operational again.

(Continued on Page Two)

Site Visit Completed by Staff	Staff accepted photographs and reports by industry specialists in lieu of a site visit.
Staff Supports the District's Request	Yes

AUTHORITY

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82 states, "A district may request a determination of eligibility for facility hardship funding in advance of project funding"

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82(a)(1) provides for Facility Hardship grant funding when, "…the district can demonstrate to the satisfaction of the Board that the health and safety of the pupils is at risk, Factors to be considered by the Board shall include the close proximity to a major freeway, airport, electrical facility, high power transmission lines, dam, pipeline, industrial facility, adverse air quality emission or other health and safety risks, including structural deficiencies required by the DSA to be repaired, seismic mitigation of the Most Vulnerable Category 2 Buildings as verified by the DSA, traffic safety or because the pupils reside in remote areas of the district and transportation to existing facilities is not possible or poses a health and safety risk."

SFP Regulation Section 1859.83 "Excessive Cost Hardship Grant" states in part, "In addition to any other funding authorized by these Regulations, a district is eligible for funding as a result of unusual circumstances that created excessive project costs beyond the control of the district."

SFP Regulation Section 1859.83(e) provides for excessive costs "for rehabilitation of facilities the Board has determined are a health and safety risk to the pupils pursuant to Section 1859.82(a)(1) and the cost/benefit analysis to mitigate the problem and remain in the facility is less than 50 percent of the Current Replacement Cost of the facility. If the district qualifies, the district is eligible for funding of rehabilitation costs as a modernization project." The funding is based upon the cost breakdown provided rather than the replacement square footage calculation. If the Approved Application is received after April 29, 2002, the grant amount provided is 60 percent of the eligible project costs which have been reviewed by the OPSC and approved by the Board.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve the District's request for conceptual approval to rehabilitate the gym complex at Stanwood Murphy School due health and safety issues pursuant to the SFP Regulation Section 1859.82(a) and 1859.83(e).

2. Provide that the District must file a complete SFP new construction funding application for the facility hardship replacement finding application within 18 months of this approval, pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.82 (c).

3. Provide that this conceptual approval does not guarantee a commitment for funding by the Board. Any possible future funding will be subject to all governing laws and regulations, and the availability of funds.

4. Provide that the State portion of any and all savings which may be realized from the funding of this facility hardship project must be returned to the State.

5. Approve the unfunded approval for design funding in the amount of $583,073 as shown on the attachment.

ATTACHMENT B

SAB Meeting: **October 24, 2012** **Modernization - Separate Design**

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No: 58/63024-00-004 **County:** Humboldt
School District: Scotia Union Elementary **School Name:** Stanwood Murphy

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project: 9-12 School **Application Filing Basis:** Site Specific
Pupils Assigned Under 50 Yrs: **K-6:**
7-8:
9-12:
Non-Severe:
Severe:
Financial Hardship Requested: Yes

GRANT DATA

Estimated Total Grant		**Separate Design Grant**	
Rehabilitation	$ 2,431,500.00	**Total Design (25% of Grant)**	$ 607,875.00
Total State Share (60%)	2,431,500.00	**Design State Share (60%)**	364,725.00
District Share (40%)	1,621,000.00	**Design District Share (40%)**	243,150.00
Total Project Cost	$ **4,052,500.00**	**District Cash Contribution**	0.00
		Financial Hardship	$ 243,150.00

DESIGN PROJECT FINANCING

State Share	
Modernization Design	$ 364,725.00
District Share	
Cash Contribution	24,802.00
Financial Hardship	218,348.00
Total Design Cost	$ **607,875.00**

HISTORY OF PROJECT COST AND APPORTIONMENT

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
Modernization Design Grant	**957-570**	**1D**	$	$ 364,725.00	$ 364,725.00
District Share					
Cash Contribution				24,802.00	
Financial Hardship	**957-570**	**1D**		218,348.00	218,348.00
Total			$	$ **607,875.00**	$ **583,073.00**

Funding Source: Proposition 1D Bonds/2006-Nov.
Pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009, this application has been approved and placed on the Unfunded List.
This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future State funding.
The District shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

Assembly Bill 436 (Solorio), Chapter 378, Statutes of 2011, requires school districts to notify the Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) of School Facility Program projects with a public works contract awarded on or after January 1, 2012. Except for projects exempt pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.3(b)(3), the DIR is required to provide prevailing wage monitoring services for the projects.

Provide that the State portion of any and all project savings realized from the funding of this facility hardship rehabilitation project must be returned to the State.

Amounts shown for financial hardship assistance are subject to adjustment as a result of a review of the District's financial records pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.81(a) at the time of apportionment.

STATE ALLOCATION BOARD
APPROVAL ❖ ❖ ❖ October 24, 2012