Resolution: 2014-01-01

State of California
State Allocation Board
School Facility Program

This Resolution of the State Allocation Board (hereafter referred to as the "Board") is applicable to the appropriate sections of the Education Code and is described and filed in the office of the Executive Officer and will be made available to all interested parties as the Resolution pertains to the documents attached hereto. Said documents were acted upon by the Board at its meeting on January 22, 2014.

WHEREAS, the Board has previously approved or determined to be approvable a number of projects for construction or modernization eligibility of facilities for school districts and is making apportionments and/or unfunded approvals for the grant amounts for projects that meet the Board's criteria for the apportionment of grants pursuant to Education Code Sections 17072.10, 17078.52, 17078.70, 17079 or 17074.10 or Board Regulations 1859.81.1 or 1859.83;

WHEREAS, Treasury Regulations Section 1.150-2 require the State (on whose behalf the Board is acting) to declare its reasonable intent to provide grant funding to school districts, in accordance with Board policy and law, for costs of the projects with proceeds of State bonds;

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED:

1. This Resolution is adopted by the Board for the purposes of establishing compliance with Treasury Regulations Section 1.150-2, and this Resolution does not bind the Board to make any additional apportionment, or bind the State to incur any indebtedness.

2. The Board anticipates that some or all of the school districts listed on the list of "Projects" referred to in this Resolution will pay certain capital expenditures in connection with some or all of the project costs prior to the issuance of bonds by the State to pay for the grants for the projects. The reimbursement of such costs is consistent with the State's budgetary and financial circumstances, and in accordance with Board policy, as no other funds or accounts of the State have been budgeted or are available to pay the costs of the projects on either a short-term or a long-term basis.

3. The Board, acting on behalf of the State, hereby declares that it is the State's official intent to use proceeds of general obligation bonds that may become available for such purpose, consistent with the requirements of law that are in effect at the time the funds are available, to provide grants in accordance with applicable laws and regulations.

4. This Resolution shall be continuously available for inspection by the general public during normal business hours at the offices of the Board at 707 3rd Street, West Sacramento, California, commencing within one week after the date of enactment of this Resolution.

5. Any eligibility determination does not constitute a commitment of future funding by the Board.

I, the undersigned, duly authorized as the Executive Officer of the State Allocation Board, do hereby certify the foregoing to be true and correct, and that this Resolution was adopted at a meeting of said Board on January 22, 2014 at Sacramento, California.

Lisa Silverman
Executive Officer
State Allocation Board

REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER
State Allocation Board Meeting, January 22, 2014

INDEX ADJUSTMENT ON THE ASSESSMENT FOR DEVELOPMENT

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To report the index adjustment on the assessment for development which may be levied pursuant to Education Code Section 17620.

DESCRIPTION

The law requires the maximum assessment for development be adjusted every two years by the change in the Class B construction cost index, as determined by the State Allocation Board (Board) at its January meeting. This item requests that the Board make the adjustment it considers appropriate.

AUTHORITY

Education Code Section 17620(a)(1) states the following: "The governing board of any school district is authorized to levy a fee, charge, dedication, or other requirement against any construction within the boundaries of the district, for the purpose of funding the construction or reconstruction of school facilities, subject to any limitations set forth in Chapter 4.9 (commencing with Section 65995) of Division 1 of Title 7 of the Government Code."

Government Code Section 65995(b)(3) states the following: "The amount of the limits set forth in paragraphs (1) and (2) shall be increased in 2000, and every two years thereafter, according to the adjustment for inflation set forth in the statewide cost index for class B construction, as determined by the State Allocation Board at its January meeting, which increase shall be effective as of the date of that meeting."

BACKGROUND

There are three levels that may be levied for developer's fees. The fees are levied on a per-square foot basis. The lowest fee, Level I, is assessed if the district conducts a Justification Study that establishes the connection between the development coming into the district and the assessment of fees to pay for the cost of the facilities needed to house future students. The Level II fee is assessed if a district makes a timely application to the Board for new construction funding, conducts a School Facility Needs Analysis pursuant to Government Code Section 65995.6, and satisfies at least two of the requirements listed in Government Code Section 65995.5(b)(3). The Level III fee is assessed when State bond funds are exhausted; the district may impose a developer's fee up to 100 percent of the School Facility Program new construction project cost.

In 2010, the Board did not adjust the fee because the Class B construction index had decreased, which kept it at the 2008 rate of $2.97 per square foot for Residential and $.47 per square foot for Commercial/ Industrial. In 2012, the Board approved an increase based on the change in the Class B construction index according to the Marshall & Swift (M&S) Eight California Cities Index.

(Continued on Page Two)

STAFF ANALYSIS/STATEMENTS

The assessment for development fees for 2008, 2010, 2012 and 2014 are shown below for information. According to the M&S Eight California Cities Index and Ten Western States Index and the Lee Saylor Index, the cost index for Class B construction increased by 4.93, 5.38 and 2.13 percent respectively during the period of January 2012 through December 2013, requiring the assessment for development fees to be adjusted as follows beginning January 2014:

Eight California Cities Index Maximum Level I Assessment Per Square Foot

	2008	2010	2012	2014
Residential	$2.97	$2.96	$3.20	$3.36
Commercial/Industrial	$0.47	$0.47	$0.51	$0.54

Ten Western States Index Maximum Level I Assessment Per Square Foot

	2008	2010	2012	2014
Residential	$2.97	$3.00	$3.20	$3.37
Commercial/Industrial	$0.47	$0.47	$0.50	$0.53

Lee Saylor Index Maximum Level I Assessment Per Square Foot

	2008	2010	2012	2014
Residential	$2.86	$2.98	$3.14	$3.21
Commercial/Industrial	$0.46	$0.48	$0.51	$0.52

The M&S Eight California Cities Index fits most appropriately for the construction projects in California. Additionally, it will provide more assessment collection to school districts than the alternate indices.

RECOMMENDATION

Increase the 2014 maximum Level I assessment for development in the amount of 4.93 percent using the M&S Eight California Cities Index to be effective immediately.

REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER
State Allocation Board Meeting, January 22, 2014

ANNUAL ADJUSTMENT TO SCHOOL FACILITY PROGRAM GRANTS

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To adopt the annual adjustment in the School Facility Program (SFP) grants based on the change in construction costs pursuant to the Education Code (EC) and SFP Regulations.

DESCRIPTION

This item presents the State Allocation Board (Board) with the annual adjustment to the SFP grants based on the statewide cost index for Class B construction. Each year the Board adjusts the SFP grants to reflect construction cost changes. In January 2012, the Board adopted the Marshall & Swift (M&S) Eight California Cities index for 2012 and future years. This item presents the 2014 annual adjustment to SFP grants based on the M&S Eight California Cities index.

AUTHORITY

For New Construction grant, EC Section 17072.10(b) states, "The board annually shall adjust the per-unhoused-pupil apportionment to reflect construction cost changes, as set forth in the statewide cost index for class B construction as determined by the board."

EC Section 17072.11(a)(3) states, "The board shall conduct an analysis of the relationship between the per-unhoused-pupil grant eligibility determined under this article and the per-pupil cost of new school construction for elementary, middle, and high school pupils."

For Modernization funding, EC Section 17074.10(b) states, "The board shall annually adjust the factors set forth in subdivision (a) according to the adjustment for inflation set forth in the statewide cost index for class B construction, as determined by the board."

SFP Regulations Section 1859.71 states, "The new construction per-unhoused-pupil grant amount, as provided by Education Code Section 17072.10(a), will be adjusted annually based on the change in the Class B Construction Cost Index as approved by the Board each January. The new construction per-unhoused-pupil grant amount, as provided by Education Code Section 17072.10(a), may be increased by an additional amount not to exceed six percent in a fiscal year, or decreased, based on the analysis of the current cost to build schools as reported on the Project Information Worksheet (New 09/07) which shall be submitted with the Forms SAB 50-05 and 50-06 and as approved by the Board."

For the assistance on Small School Districts' new construction projects, SFP Regulations Section 1859.73.1 and Section 1859.78.2 both state the amount will be adjusted annually based on the change in the Class B Construction Cost Index as approved by the Board each January.

SFP Regulations Section 1859.78 states, "The modernization per-unhoused-pupil grant amount, as provided by Education Code Section 17074.10(a), will be adjusted annually based on the change in the Class B Construction Cost Index as approved by the Board each January."

(Continued on Page Two)

AUTHORITY (cont.)

Government Code Section 66452.6 states:

(a)(1) An approved or conditionally approved tentative map shall expire 24 months after its approval or conditional approval, or after any additional period of time as may be prescribed by local ordinance, not to exceed an additional 12 months. However, if the subdivider is required to expend two hundred thirty-six thousand seven hundred ninety dollars ($236,790) or more to construct, improve, or finance the construction or improvement of public improvements outside the property boundaries of the tentative map, excluding improvements of public rights-of-way which abut the boundary of the property to be subdivided and which are reasonably related to the development of that property, each filing of a final map authorized by Section 66456.1 shall extend the expiration of the approved or conditionally approved tentative map by 36 months from the date of its expiration, as provided in this section, or the date of the previously filed final map, whichever is later. The extensions shall not extend the tentative map more than 10 years from its approval or conditional approval. . . .

(2) Commencing January 1, 2012, and each calendar year thereafter, the amount of two hundred thirty-six thousand seven hundred ninety dollars ($236,790) shall be annually increased by operation of law according to the adjustment for inflation set forth in the statewide cost index for class B construction, as determined by the State Allocation Board at its January meeting. The effective date of each annual adjustment shall be March 1. The adjusted amount shall apply to tentative and vesting tentative maps whose applications were received after the effective date of the adjustment.

BACKGROUND

Statute requires an annual adjustment to the per-unhoused-pupil grant amounts of the SFP based on the change in the statewide Class B Construction Cost Index (CCI) each January.

The Board has considered three indices in the past:

- M&S Eight California Cities
- M&S Ten Western States
- Lee Saylor Index (LSI)

The Board made the 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2013 adjustments according to the M&S Eight California Cities Index. At its January 2012 meeting, the Board expressed a preference for the M&S Eight California Cities index as the permanent index because it is the only Class B index that uses exclusively California cities to capture material and prevailing wage costs in California. The M&S Eight California Cities Index most accurately reflects the conditions under which districts will be building their schools with the SFP. The LSI uses data from up to 20 U.S. cities nationwide, only two of which (San Francisco and Los Angeles) are in California. As its title suggests, the M&S Ten Western States uses data from 10 western states.

Pursuant to Government Code Section 66452.6(a)(2) as set forth above, the Board's CCI adjustment determination also changes the threshold amount of public improvement costs that triggers an extension of the expiration of tentative maps. The Board's January 2013 CCI increase of 3.13 percent raised this threshold sum to $253,383 for the period of one year commencing March 1, 2013.

(Continued on Page Three)

STAFF ANALYSIS/STATEMENTS

The M&S Eight California Cities CCI increased by 1.74 percent during the period of January 1, 2013 through December 31, 2013. The figures shown on the Attachment reflect the calculated increase for all SFP grants.

The following chart shows the CCI for all three indices considered previously by the Board for reference purposes: M&S Eight California Cities, M&S Ten Western States and LSI.

	Marshall and Swift 8 California Cities	Marshall and Swift 10 Western States	Lee Saylor Index
Percentage Change	1.74% Increase	2.62% Increase	0.9429% Increase

			Resulting Base Grants		
	Regulation Section	Current Adjusted Grant Per Pupil Effective 1-1-13	Current Grant Per Pupil Effective 1-1-14	Current Grant Per Pupil Effective 1-1-14	Current Grant Per Pupil Effective 1-1-14
Elementary	1859.71	$9,751	$9,921	$10,006	$9,843
Middle	1859.71	$10,312	$10,491	$10,582	$10,409
High	1859.71	$13,119	$13,347	$13,463	$13,243
Special Day Class – Severe	1859.71.1	$27,396	$27,873	$28,114	$27,654
Special Day Class – Non-Severe	1859.71.1	$18,321	$18,640	$18,801	$18,494

In addition, the 1.74 percent CCI increase would raise the threshold amount for Government Code Section 66452.6(a)(2) from $253,383 to $257,792 for the period of one year commencing March 1, 2014.

RECOMMENDATION

Adopt an increase of 1.74 percent for 2014 SFP grants based on the M&S Eight California Cities CCI.

ATTACHMENT

(Rev. 1)

ANNUAL ADJUSTMENT TO SCHOOL FACILITY PROGRAM GRANTS
State Allocation Board Meeting, January 22, 2014

Grant Amount Adjustments

		Regulation Section	Current Adjusted Grant Per Pupil Effective 1-1-13	Current Adjusted Grant Per Pupil Effective 1-1-14
New Construction	Elementary	1859.71	$9,751	$9,921
	Middle	1859.71	$10,312	$10,491
	High	1859.71	$13,119	$13,347
	Special Day Class – Severe	1859.71.1	$27,396	$27,873
	Special Day Class – Non-Severe	1859.71.1	$18,321	$18,640
	Automatic Fire Detection/Alarm System – Elementary	1859.71.2	$11	$11
	Automatic Fire Detection/Alarm System – Middle	1859.71.2	$17	$17
	Automatic Fire Detection/Alarm System – High	1859.71.2	$26	$26
	Automatic Fire Detection/Alarm System – Special Day Class – Severe	1859.71.2	$51	$52
	Automatic Fire Detection/Alarm System – Special Day Class – Non-Severe	1859.71.2	$34	$35
	Automatic Sprinkler System – Elementary	1859.71.2	$164	$167
	Automatic Sprinkler System – Middle	1859.71.2	$195	$198
	Automatic Sprinkler System – High	1859.71.2	$202	$206
	Automatic Sprinkler System – Special Day Class – Severe	1859.71.2	$518	$527
	Automatic Sprinkler System – Special Day Class – Non-Severe	1859.71.2	$347	$353
Modernization	Elementary	1859.78	$3,713	$3,778
	Middle	1859.78	$3,928	$3,996
	High	1859.78	$5,141	$5,230
	Special Day Class - Severe	1859.78.3	$11,829	$12,035
	Special Day Class – Non-Severe	1859.78.3	$7,914	$8,052
	State Special School – Severe	1859.78	$19,721	$20,064
	Automatic Fire Detection/Alarm System – Elementary	1859.78.4	$119	$121
	Automatic Fire Detection/Alarm System – Middle	1859.78.4	$119	$121
	Automatic Fire Detection/Alarm System – High	1859.78.4	$119	$121
	Automatic Fire Detection/Alarm System – Special Day Class – Severe	1859.78.4	$332	$338
	Automatic Fire Detection/Alarm System – Special Day Class – Non-Severe	1859.78.4	$223	$227
	Over 50 Years Old – Elementary	1859.78.6	$5,157	$5,247
	Over 50 Years Old – Middle	1859.78.6	$5,456	$5,551
	Over 50 Years Old – High	1859.78.6	$7,142	$7,266
	Over 50 Years Old – Special Day Class – Severe	1859.78.6	$16,437	$16,723
	Over 50 Years Old – Special Day Class – Non-Severe	1859.78.6	$10,992	$11,183
	Over 50 Years Old – State Special School – Severe	1859.78.6	$27,396	$27,873

(Continued on Page Two)

ANNUAL ADJUSTMENT TO SCHOOL FACILITY PROGRAM GRANTS
January 2014

Grant Amount Adjustments

New Construction / Modernization / Joint-Use	Regulation Section	Current Adjusted Grant Per Pupil Effective 1-1-13	Current Adjusted Grant Per Pupil Effective 1-1-14
Therapy/Multipurpose Room/Other (per square foot)	1859.72 1859.73.2 1859.77.3 1859.82 1859.125 1859.125.1	$159	$162
Toilet Facilities (per square foot)	1859.72 1859.73.2 1859.82 1859.125 1859.125.1	$287	$292
New Construction Only			
Parking Spaces	1859.76	$12,399	$12,615
General Site Grant (per acre for additional acreage being acquired)	1859.76	$15,846	$16,122
Project Assistance (for school district with less than 2,500 pupils)	1859.73.1	$5,884	$5,986
Modernization Only			
Two-stop Elevator	1859.83	$99,172	$100,898
Additional Stop	1859.83	$17,849	$18,160
Project Assistance (for school district with less than 2,500 pupils)	1859.78.2	$3,135	$3,190
Facility Hardship / Rehabilitation			
Current Replacement Cost - Other (per square foot)	1859.2	$317	$323
Current Replacement Cost - Toilets (per square foot)	1859.2	$572	$582
Interim Housing – Financial Hardship (per classroom)	1859.81	$32,680	$33,249
Charter School Facilities Program - Preliminary Apportionment Amounts			
Charter School Elementary	1859.163.1	$9,244	$9,405
Charter School Middle	1859.163.1	$9,786	$9,956
Charter School High	1859.163.1	$12,781	$13,003
Charter School Special Day Class - Severe	1859.163.1	$29,454	$29,966
Charter School Special Day Class - Non-Severe	1859.163.1	$19,696	$20,039

(Continued on Page Three)

ANNUAL ADJUSTMENT TO SCHOOL FACILITY PROGRAM GRANTS
January 2014

New School Adjustments (Regulation Section 1859.83)

Classrooms in Project	Elementary School Adjusted Grant Effective 1-1-13	Elementary School Adjusted Grant Effective 1-1-14	Middle School Adjusted Grant Effective 1-1-13	Middle School Adjusted Grant Effective 1-1-14	High School Adjusted Grant Effective 1-1-13	High School Adjusted Grant Effective 1-1-14	Alternative Education New School Effective 1-1-13	Alternative Education New School Effective 1-1-14
1	$264,460	$269,062	$1,114,044	$1,133,428	$2,423,123	$2,465,285	$718,508	$731,010
2	$623,137	$633,980	$1,249,578	$1,271,321	$2,520,645	$2,564,504	$871,730	$886,898
3	$935,530	$951,808	$1,388,420	$1,412,579	$3,115,685	$3,169,898	$1,523,891	$1,550,407
4	$1,185,117	$1,205,738	$1,540,486	$1,567,290	$3,644,604	$3,708,020	$1,714,451	$1,744,282
5	$1,391,725	$1,415,941	$1,699,162	$1,728,727	$4,013,198	$4,083,028	$1,905,013	$1,938,160
6	$1,687,595	$1,716,959	$1,859,494	$1,891,849	$4,381,790	$4,458,033	$2,095,575	$2,132,038
7	$1,986,766	$2,021,336	$2,019,821	$2,054,966	$4,750,381	$4,833,038	$2,286,133	$2,325,912
8	$2,216,516	$2,255,083	$2,195,029	$2,233,223	$5,034,679	$5,122,282	$2,486,214	$2,529,474
9	$2,216,516	$2,255,083	$2,380,150	$2,421,565	$5,262,773	$5,354,345	$2,692,841	$2,739,696
10	$2,606,594	$2,651,949	$2,566,926	$2,611,591	$5,489,223	$5,584,735	$2,899,467	$2,949,918
11	$2,606,594	$2,651,949	$2,753,701	$2,801,615	$5,717,316	$5,816,797	$3,701,281	$3,765,683
12	$2,743,784	$2,791,526			$5,925,581	$6,028,686	$3,907,906	$3,975,904
13					$6,130,536	$6,237,207	$4,114,535	$4,186,128
14					$6,335,495	$6,445,733	$4,321,162	$4,396,350
15					$6,542,109	$6,655,942	$4,527,787	$4,606,570
16					$6,747,062	$6,864,461	$4,734,414	$4,816,793
17					$6,953,674	$7,074,668	$4,941,041	$5,027,015
18					$7,158,631	$7,283,191	$5,147,669	$5,237,238
19					$7,363,588	$7,491,714	$5,354,295	$5,447,460
20					$7,570,197	$7,701,918	$5,560,921	$5,657,681
21					$7,775,158	$7,910,446	$5,767,697	$5,868,055
22					$7,980,114	$8,118,968	$5,974,325	$6,078,278
23							$6,180,952	$6,288,501
24							$6,387,578	$6,498,722
25							$6,594,202	$6,708,941
26							$6,800,834	$6,919,169
27							$7,007,459	$7,129,389

REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER
State Allocation Board Meeting, January 22, 2014

SCHOOL FACILITY PROGRAM UNFUNDED APPROVALS
(Lack of AB 55 Loans)

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To present to the State Allocation Board (Board) a list of School Facility Program (SFP) applications for unfunded approval.

DESCRIPTION

At the January 2013 Board meeting, the Board elected to provide an increase adjustment of 3.13 percent to SFP projects for calendar year 2013. The projects listed on the Attachment reflect the Board's action of the adoption of the 3.13 percent increase based on the Marshall & Swift Eight California Cities Index. In addition, the project applications represent SFP new construction and modernization projects in date order of a complete application being received by the Office of Public School Construction (OPSC).

AUTHORITY

SFP Regulation Section 1859.2 defines an Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans) as an information list of unfunded projects that was created due to the State's inability to provide interim financing from the Pooled Money Investment Account (AB 55 loans) to fund school construction projects as declared in the Department of Finance Budget Letter #33 issued on December 18, 2008.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.95 states:

"When the Board has no funds to apportion …, the Board will also accept and process applications for apportionment for purposes of developing an Unfunded List based on the date the application is ready for Apportionment, with the exception of New Construction funding applications that utilize eligibility generated by the Alternative Enrollment Projection.

An application for funding included on an Unfunded List is eligible for reimbursement subject to adjustments in the New Construction Grants amount pursuant to Section 1859.77."

BACKGROUND

At the March 11, 2009 SAB meeting, the Board requested that Staff continue to process applications to the Board for unfunded approval, up to the bonding authority. The Attachment reflects applications being presented for unfunded approval, including SFP modernization and new construction projects in date order of a complete application being received by the OPSC. The list also includes health and safety facility hardship applications for funding that have been processed and are ready for approval regardless of received date. The unfunded approval of the applications as reflected on the Attachment will not constitute a guarantee of future funding.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve the SFP applications as presented on the Attachment.

2. Provide that these unfunded approvals do not constitute a guarantee of future funding.

ATTACHMENT
UNFUNDED APPROVALS
(Lack of AB 55 Loans)
State Allocation Board Meeting, January 22, 2014

County	District	School Site	Application Number	Received Date	Grant Amount
LOS ANGELES	ACTON-AGUA DULCE UNIFIED	VASQUEZ HIGH	50/75309-00-001	8/29/2012	$14,319,518
SANTA CRUZ	SANTA CRUZ COUNTY OFC. OF EDUCATION	GREEN VALLEY COMMUNITY	50/10447-00-002	8/29/2012	$13,260,201
SAN BERNARDINO	SAN BERNARDINO CO. OFC. OF EDUCATION	BEAR VALLEY	50/10363-03-085	9/11/2012	$253,055
SAN BERNARDINO	SAN BERNARDINO CO. OFC. OF EDUCATION	EAST VALLEY COMMUNITY DAY	50/10363-04-037	9/11/2012	$1,416,852
CONTRA COSTA	PITTSBURG UNIFIED	MARINA ELEMENTARY	50/61788-00-010	9/18/2012	$871,536
				New Construction:	$30,121,162
				Total:	$30,121,162

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No: 50/75309-00-001
School District: Acton-Agua Dulce Unified
County: Los Angeles
School Name: Vasquez High

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project:	High School
K-6:	73
7-8:	
9-12:	566
Non-Severe:	
Severe:	9
Application Filing Basis:	District Wide
Number of Classrooms:	25
Master Acres:	41.2
Existing Acres:	31.85
Proposed Acres:	
Recommended Acres:	29.1
Facility Hardship (a):	No
Financial Hardship Requested:	No
Alternative Education School:	No
Addition to Existing Site:	Yes

ADJUSTED GRANT DATA

New Construction Grant		$	8,383,741.00
Project Assistance			5,884.00
Fire Detection Alarm			16,910.00
Sprinkler System			133,762.00
Prevailing Wage Monitoring			19,101.00
SDC Therapy Toilet	188 Sq. Ft.		53,204.00
SDC Therapy Other	744 Sq. Ft.		115,320.00
Service Site			4,804,022.00
Off-Site			536,572.00
Utilities			1,231,381.00
Total State Share (50%)			15,299,897.00
District Share (50%)			15,299,897.00
Total Project Cost		$	**30,599,794.00**

PROJECT FINANCING

State Share		
This Project	$	15,299,897.00
District Share		
Cash Contribution		14,319,518.00
Financial Hardship		980,379.00
Total Project Cost	$	**30,599,794.00**

HISTORY OF PROJECT COST AND APPORTIONMENT

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
New Construction/Add. Grant	**112-012**		$ 14,319,518.00	$ (14,319,518.00)	
New Construction/Add. Grant	**955-500**	**55**		14,319,518.00	$ 14,319,518.00
New Construction/Add. Grant	**119-501**	**1A**	980,379.00		
District Share					
Cash Contribution			14,319,518.00		
Financial Hardship	**047-501**	**47**	980,379.00		
Total			$ **30,599,794.00**	$ **0.00**	$ **14,319,518.00**

Funding Sources: Proposition 47 Bonds/2002-Nov.; Proposition 55 Bonds/2004-Mar.; Proposition 1A Bonds/1998-Nov
Pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009, this application has been approved and placed on the Unfunded List.
This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future State funding.

Assembly Bill 436 (Solorio), Chapter 378, Statutes of 2011, requires school districts to notify the Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) of School Facility Program projects with a public works contract awarded on or after January 1, 2012. Except for projects exempt pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.3(b)(3), the DIR is required to provide prevailing wage monitoring services for the projects.

The District shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

This project is a Use of Grants under Regulation Section 1859.77.3(b).

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No: 50/10447-00-002
School District: Santa Cruz County Office Of Education
County: Santa Cruz
School Name: Green Valley Community

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project:	High School
K-6:	
7-8:	27
9-12:	270
Non-Severe:	
Severe:	
Application Filing Basis:	District Wide
Number of Classrooms:	11
Master Acres:	8
Existing Acres:	
Proposed Acres:	1.57
Recommended Acres:	8
Facility Hardship (a):	No
Financial Hardship Requested:	Yes
Alternative Education School:	Yes
Addition to Existing Site:	No

ADJUSTED GRANT DATA

New Construction Grant		$	3,820,554.00
Project Assistance			5,884.00
Fire Detection Alarm			7,479.00
Sprinkler System			59,805.00
Prevailing Wage Monitoring			19,173.00
Multi-Level Constr.	11Crs.		458,466.00
Site Acquisition			681,978.00
Service Site			211,737.00
Off-Site			16,213.00
Utilities			34,388.00
Urban/Security/Impacted Site			2,372,809.00
Total State Share (50%)			7,688,486.00
District Share (50%)			7,688,486.00
Total Project Cost		$	**15,376,972.00**

PROJECT FINANCING

State Share		
This Project	$	7,688,486.00
District Share		
Cash Contribution		41,307.00
Financial Hardship		7,647,179.00
Total Project Cost	$	**15,376,972.00**

HISTORY OF PROJECT COST AND APPORTIONMENT

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
New Construction/Add. Grant	**112-012**		$ 6,650,754.00	$ (6,650,754.00)	
New Construction/Add. Grant	**955-500**	**55**		6,650,754.00	$ 6,650,754.00
New Construction/Add. Grant	**055-500**	**55**	1,017,542.00		
New Construction/Add. Grant	**057-500**	**1D**	20,190.00		
District Share					
Cash Contribution			41,307.00		
Financial Hardship	**112-012**		6,609,447.00	(6,609,447.00)	
Financial Hardship	**955-500**	**55**		6,609,447.00	6,609,447.00
Financial Hardship	**055-500**	**55**	1,017,542.00		
Financial Hardship	**057-500**	**1D**	20,190.00		
Total			$ **15,376,972.00**	$ **0.00**	$ **13,260,201.00**

Funding Source: Proposition 55 Bonds/2004-Mar.; Proposition 1D Bonds/2006.Nov.
Pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009, this application has been approved and placed on the Unfunded List.
This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future State funding.

Amounts shown for financial hardship assistance are subject to adjustment as a result of a review of the District's financial records pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.81(a) at the time of apportionment.

Assembly Bill 436 (Solorio), Chapter 378, Statutes of 2011, requires school districts to notify the Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) of School Facility Program projects with a public works contract awarded on or after January 1, 2012. Except for projects exempt pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.3(b)(3), the DIR is required to provide prevailing wage monitoring services for the projects.

The District shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The District qualifies for financial hardship pursuant to SFP Regulation Section 1859.81(c)(3). The District qualifies as a County Superintendent of Schools and has demonstrated it is financially unable to provide all or a part of the matching funds.

STATE ALLOCATION BOARD
APPROVAL ❖ ❖ ❖ January 22, 2014

SAB Meeting: **January 22, 2014** **New Construction - Separate Design**

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No: 50/10363-03-085 **County:** San Bernardino
School District: San Bernardino County Office Of Education **School Name:** Bear Valley
High School Attendance Area: Desert Mountain

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project:		High School	**Application Filing Basis:**	High School Attendance Area
Pupils Assigned	**K-6:**		**Number of Classrooms:**	2
	7-8:	27	**Existing Acres:**	
	9-12:	27	**Proposed Acres:**	
	Non-Severe:		**Facility Hardship (a):**	No
	Severe:		**Addition to Existing Site:**	No
Financial Hardship Requested:		Yes		

GRANT DATA

Estimated Total Grant			**Separate Design Grant**		
New Construction Grant	$	632,637.00	**Total Design (40% of Grant)**	$	253,055.00
Total State Share (50%)		632,637.00			
District Share (50%)		632,637.00	**Total Design**	$	**253,055.00**
Total Estimated Cost	$	1,265,274.00	**Total State Share (50%)**		126,527.50
			District Share (50%)		126,527.50
			District Cash Contribution	$	
			Financial Hardship	$	126,527.50

DESIGN PROJECT FINANCING

State Share		
New Construction Design Grant	$	126,527.50
District Share		
Cash Contribution		
Financial Hardship		126,527.50
Total Design Cost	$	**253,055.00**

HISTORY OF PROJECT COST AND APPORTIONMENT

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
New Construction Design Grant	**112-012**		$ 126,527.50	$ (126,527.50)	
New Construction Design Grant	**955-500**	**55**		126,527.50	$ 126,527.50
District Share					
Cash Contribution					
Financial Hardship	**112-012**		126,527.50	(126,527.50)	
Financial Hardship	**955-500**	**55**		126,527.50	126,527.50
Total			$ **253,055.00**	$ **0.00**	$ **253,055.00**

Funding Source: Proposition 55 Bonds/2004- Mar.
Pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009, this application has been approved and placed on the Unfunded List.
This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future State funding.

Amounts shown for financial hardship assistance are subject to adjustment as a result of a review of the District's financial records pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.81(a) at the time of apportionment.

Assembly Bill 436 (Solorio), Chapter 378, Statutes of 2011, requires school districts to notify the Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) of School Facility Program projects with a public works contract awarded on or after January 1, 2012. Except for projects exempt pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.3(b)(3), the DIR is required to provide prevailing wage monitoring services for the projects.

The District shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The District qualifies for financial hardship pursuant to SFP Regulation Section 1859.81(c)(3). The District qualifies as a County Superintendent of Schools and has demonstrated it is financially unable to provide all or a part of the matching funds.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No: 50/10363-04-037 **County:** San Bernardino
School District: San Bernardino County Office Of Education **School Name:** East Valley Community Day
High School Attendance Area: East Valley

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project:	High School	**Application Filing Basis:**	High School Attendance Area
Pupils Assigned K-6:		**Number of Classrooms:**	10
7-8:		**Existing Acres:**	
9-12:	270	**Proposed Acres:**	
Non-Severe:		**Facility Hardship (a):**	No
Severe:		**Addition to Existing Site:**	No
Financial Hardship Requested:	Yes		

GRANT DATA

Estimated Total Grant		**Separate Design Grant**	
New Construction Grant	$ 3,542,130.00	**Total Design (40% of Grant)**	$ 1,416,852.00
Total State Share (50%)	3,542,130.00		
District Share (50%)	3,542,130.00	**Total Design**	**1,416,852.00**
Total Estimated Cost	$ 7,084,260.00	**Total State Share (50%)**	708,426.00
		District Share (50%)	708,426.00
		District Cash Contribution	
		Financial Hardship	$ 708,426.00

DESIGN PROJECT FINANCING

State Share	
New Construction Design Grant	$ 708,426.00
District Share	
Cash Contribution	
Financial Hardship	708,426.00
Total Design Cost	$ **1,416,852.00**

HISTORY OF PROJECT COST AND APPORTIONMENT

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
New Construction Design Grant	**112-012**		$ 708,426.00	$ (708,426.00)	
New Construction Design Grant	**955-500**	**55**		708,426.00	$ 708,426.00
District Share					
Cash Contribution					
Financial Hardship	**112-012**		708,426.00	(708,426.00)	
Financial Hardship	**955-500**	**55**		708,426.00	708,426.00
Total			$ **1,416,852.00**	$ **0.00**	$ **1,416,852.00**

Funding Source: Proposition 55 Bonds/2004-Mar.
Pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009, this application has been approved and placed on the Unfunded list.
This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future State funding.

Amounts shown for financial hardship assistance are subject to adjustment as a result of a review of the District's financial records pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.81(a) at the time of apportionment.

Assembly Bill 436 (Solorio), Chapter 378, Statutes of 2011, requires school districts to notify the Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) of School Facility Program projects with a public works contract awarded on or after January 1, 2012. Except for projects exempt pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.3(b)(3), the DIR is required to provide prevailing wage monitoring services for the projects.

The District shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The District qualifies for financial hardship pursuant to SFP Regulation Section 1859.81(c)(3). The District qualifies as a County Superintendent of Schools and has demonstrated it is financially unable to provide all or a part of the matching funds.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No: 50/61788-00-010
School District: Pittsburg Unified
County: Contra Costa
School Name: Marina Elementary

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project:	Elementary School
K-6:	8
7-8:	
9-12:	
Non-Severe:	
Severe:	
Application Filing Basis:	District Wide
Number of Classrooms:	1
Master Acres:	12.1
Existing Acres:	3.62
Proposed Acres:	0.28
Recommended Acres:	11.5
Facility Hardship (a):	No
Financial Hardship Requested:	No
Alternative Education School:	No
Addition to Existing Site:	Yes

ADJUSTED GRANT DATA

New Construction Grant	$	78,008.00
Fire Detection Alarm		88.00
Prevailing Wage Monitoring		1,088.00
Site Acquisition		782,991.00
Small Size Project		9,361.00
Total State Share (50%)		871,536.00
District Share (50%)		871,536.00
Total Project Cost	$	**1,743,072.00**

PROJECT FINANCING

State Share		
This Project	$	871,536.00
District Share		
Cash Contribution		871,536.00
Financial Hardship		
Total Project Cost	$	**1,743,072.00**

HISTORY OF PROJECT COST AND APPORTIONMENT

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
New Construction/Add. Grant	**112-012**		$ 871,536.00	$ (871,536.00)	
New Construction/Add. Grant	**955-500**	**55**		871,536.00	$ 871,536.00
District Share					
Cash Contribution			871,536.00		
Total			$ **1,743,072.00**	$ **0.00**	$ **871,536.00**

Funding Source: Proposition 55 Bonds/2004-Mar.
Pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009, this application has been approved and placed on the Unfunded List.
This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future State funding.

Assembly Bill 436 (Solorio), Chapter 378, Statutes of 2011, requires school districts to notify the Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) of School Facility Program projects with a public works contract awarded on or after January 1, 2012. Except for projects exempt pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.3(b)(3), the DIR is required to provide prevailing wage monitoring services for the projects.

The District shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No: 56/64733-00-017
School District: Los Angeles Unified
County: Los Angeles
School Name: Garfield (James A.) Senior High

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project:	High School
K-6:	
7-8:	
9-12:	432
Non-Severe:	
Severe:	
Application Filing Basis:	District Wide
Number of Classrooms:	16
Master Acres:	65.5
Existing Acres:	18.9
Proposed Acres:	
Recommended Acres:	60.3
Financial Hardship Requested:	No
Alternative Education School:	No
Addition to Existing Site:	Yes

ADJUSTED GRANT DATA

Overcrowding Relief Grant		**$** 5,667,408.00
Fire Detection Alarm		11,232.00
High Performance (6.16%)		599,112.00
Multi-Level Constr.	16Crs.	680,089.00
Service Site		1,961,584.00
Off-Site		42,707.00
Utilities		136,914.00
Urban/Security/Impacted Site		2,746,664.00
Total State Share (50%)		11,845,710.00
District Share (50%)		11,845,710.00
Total Project Cost		**$ 23,691,420.00**

PROJECT FINANCING

State Share	
This Project	**$** 11,845,710.00
District Share	
Cash Contribution	11,845,710.00
Financial Hardship	
Total Project Cost	**$ 23,691,420.00**

HISTORY OF PROJECT COST AND APPORTIONMENT

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
Overcrowding/Add. Grant	**057-531**	**1D**	**$** 11,159,977.00		
Overcrowding/Add. Grant	**957-531**	**1D**		**$** 86,621.00	**$** 86,621.00
High Performance	**057-700**	**1D**	599,112.00		
District Share					
Cash Contribution			11,759,089.00	86,621.00	
Total			**$ 23,518,178.00**	**$ 173,242.00**	**$ 86,621.00**

Funding Source: Proposition 1D Bonds/2006-Nov.
Errors and Omissions: Staff is requesting an adjustment to the previous approval of this project made at the May 22, 2013 SAB meeting, which inadvetently ommitted $86,621 in Service Site Development funding.
This project shall retain the SAB approval date of May 22, 2013.

Pursuant to Article 15, Section 1859.183, the District is required to remove from the eligible site and from classroom use all portables replaced in this project.

Assembly Bill 436 (Solorio), Chapter 378, Statutes of 2011, requires school districts to notify the Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) of School Facility Program projects with a public works contract awarded on or after January 1, 2012. Except for projects exempt pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.3(b)(3), the DIR is required to provide prevailing wage monitoring services for the projects.

The District shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No: 51/61788-00-001
School District: Pittsburg Unified
County: Contra Costa
School Name: Range Road Middle

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project:	Middle
K-6:	
7-8:	1034
9-12:	
Non-Severe:	9
Severe:	12
Application Filing Basis:	District Wide
Number of Classrooms:	39
Master Acres:	24.9
Existing Acres:	
Proposed Acres:	20
Recommended Acres:	23.1
Facility Hardship (a):	Yes
Financial Hardship Requested:	No
Alternative Education School:	No
Addition to Existing Site:	No

Core Facilities: Multi-Purpose/Kitchen, Library/Media Center, Admin.

ADJUSTED GRANT DATA

New Construction Grant		$	8,918,865.00
Fire Detection Alarm			15,354.00
Sprinkler System			186,281.00
Labor Compliance			61,297.00
SDC Therapy Toilet	80 Sq. Ft.		19,840.00
Site Acquisition			137,019.00
Service Site			1,646,210.00
Off-Site			403,262.00
Utilities			62,561.00
General Site			847,901.00
Total State Share (50%)			12,298,590.00
District Share (50%)			12,298,590.00
Total Project Cost		$	**24,597,180.00**

PROJECT FINANCING

State Share		
This Project	$	12,298,590.00
District Share		
Cash Contribution		12,298,590.00
Financial Hardship		
Total Project Cost	$	**24,597,180.00**

HISTORY OF PROJECT COST AND APPORTIONMENT

	Fund Code	Proposition		Previously Authorized		Authorized This Action		State Apportionment This Action
State Share								
New Construction/Add. Grant	**055-500**	**55**	$	12,399,936.00	$	(101,346.00)	$	(101,346.00)
District Share								
Cash Contribution				12,399,936.00		(101,346.00)		
Total			$	**24,799,872.00**	$	**(202,692.00)**	$	**(101,346.00)**

Funding Source: Proposition 55 Bonds/2004-March

Pursuant to the July 25, 2007 and August 25, 2010 Board Actions, the District was required to return to the State 100 percent of the appraised value of the gymnasium. The 2009 appraisal submitted by the District valued the gymnasium at $101,346. This apportionment is amended to return funds for the value of the gymnasium, which has been received by the State in the amount of $101,346.

Provide that the State portion of any and all project savings realized from the funding of this project must be returned to the State.

Assembly Bill 436 (Solorio), Chapter 378, Statutes of 2011, requires school districts to notify the Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) of School Facility Program projects with a public works contract awarded on or after January 1, 2012. Except for projects exempt pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.3(b)(3), the DIR is required to provide prevailing wage monitoring services for the projects.

The District has certified that it is enforcing a Labor Compliance Program (LCP). Please be advised that for public works contract(s) that are awarded prior to January 1, 2012, this project has been approved with funds that require a LCP pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.7.

REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER
State Allocation Board Meeting, January 22, 2014

SCHOOL FACILITY PROGRAM
SUBSTANTIAL PROGRESS REDUCTION TO COSTS INCURRED

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To present School Facility Program (SFP) project apportionments to be reduced to eligible costs incurred.

DESCRIPTION

The SFP projects listed on the Attachment are projects that received separate design or adjusted grant apportionments. The County Office of Education (COE) has not met the substantial progress requirement and has indicated that they will be unable to move forward with the SFP projects listed on the Attachment. The COE has requested that the apportionments be reduced to costs incurred to recognize eligible costs for the design phase.

AUTHORITY

Pursuant to Education Code Section 17076.10(b), sufficient evidence of substantial progress for the separate design and adjusted grant apportionments shall be due 18 months from the date any funds were released to the district. The SFP Regulation Section 1859.105 requires that when an apportionment is reduced to project costs incurred, all State funds not used to finance eligible expenditures are to be returned to the State Allocation Board (Board). The SFP Regulations also stipulate that interest earned on State funds, which is not used to finance eligible expenditures, is due to the Board.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Find that the COE is unable to meet the substantial progress requirements or indicated that they will be unable to move forward with the projects listed on the Attachment.
2. Reduce the State apportionments to costs incurred for projects listed on the Attachment.
3. Direct Staff to adjust the COE's baseline eligibility, according to the increases (identified in the "Pupils Returned to the District's Baseline" column) on the Attachment, once all funds due to the State have been received.

ATTACHMENT
SCHOOL FACILITY PROGRAM
SUBSTANTIAL PROGRESS REDUCTION TO COSTS INCURRED
State Allocation Board Meeting, January 22, 2014

	District/ County/ Application Number	Pupil Grant Adjustments: Original Pupils Assigned to Apportionment: Type of Pupils	Number of Pupils	Pupils Returned to the District's Baseline: Number of Pupils	Grant Adjustments: Original Apportionment	Interest	Amount of Eligible Expenditures	Reduction in Apportionment
Design Grant	Santa Clara County Office of Education Santa Clara 50/10439-00-012	Severe	36	36	$357,610	$21,859	$13,737	$365,732
	Santa Clara County Office of Education Santa Clara 50/10439-00-013	Severe	36	36	$357,610	$21,569	$21,639	$357,540
							TOTAL	$723,272

REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER
State Allocation Board Meeting, January 22, 2014

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

School District: …….LOS ANGELES UNIFIED County:……..……LOS ANGELES

Application Number:……..……...……56/64733-00-010 School Name. ……SOLANO AVENUE ELEMENTARY

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To present an Overcrowding Relief Grant (ORG) Program project for rescission.

DESCRIPTION

The ORG Program provides qualified school districts with the ability to replace portable classrooms with permanent classroom facilities. The unfunded approval for the District was approved by the State Allocation Board at the December 14, 2011 meeting.

The District submitted documentation stating it would not be moving forward with the project listed below, and requested that its unfunded approval for the project be rescinded.

Application No.	Number of Pupils	Board Approval Date	State Share Unfunded Amount	Bond Fund
56/64733-00-010	25	12-14-2011	$2,506,743	1D

AUTHORITY

See Attachment.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Rescind the ORG Program State Share amount of $ 2,506,743 [Bonds/2006-Nov.; 957-531] from $2,506,743 to $0.

2. Approve the reinstatement of 25 pupil grants assigned to the Approved Application to the District's ORG eligibility baseline.

ATTACHMENT

AUTHORITY

School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.107. Amending and Withdrawal of Applications.

A funding application that received an apportionment under Chapter 12 or Chapter 12.5 may not be rescinded and re-approved under the provisions of any amended law or administrative regulation unless specifically authorized by other applicable law.

A funding application, with the exception of funding applications identified in Subsection (a) below, that has received an approval pursuant to Section 1859.95, but has not received an apportionment, may receive an adjustment as allowed under Sections 1859.71, 1859.71.2(c), 1859.78.4(b) or 1859.78 at the time the apportionment is made. If the adjustment is a result of Sections 1859.71.2(c) or 1859.78.4(b), the district must submit an amended Form SAB 50-04. The amended application shall retain its OPSC processing date. No other adjustments may be made, including those resulting from changes to the regulations prior to final funding by the SAB. As an alternative, the application may be withdrawn and resubmitted for SAB approval under the provisions of any amended or new regulation that becomes effective prior to the apportionment for the project. The district must first request from the OPSC that the application be withdrawn and removed from the Unfunded List. The district may then resubmit the application to the OPSC under the provisions of the amended or new regulation once it is effective. The resubmitted application will receive a new processing date by the OPSC. School districts that have already received a site apportionment under Section 1859.81.1(a) may withdraw the application and file as an environmental hardship pursuant to Section 1859.75.1 without forfeiting their original site apportionment, provided that the new application does not exceed the amount already apportioned.

A funding application, with the exception of funding applications identified in Subsection (a) below, submitted to the OPSC that has not received an approval will receive funding under the provisions of the regulations that were in effect when the application was submitted to the OPSC and any funding adjustment authorized by Sections 1859.71.2(c) or 1859.78.4(b). If the funding adjustment is a result of Sections 1859.71.2(c) or 1859.78.4(b), the district must submit an amended Form SAB 50-04. The amended application shall retain its OPSC processing date.
At the option of the district, a funding application submitted to the OPSC that has not received an approval may be withdrawn and resubmitted for SAB approval under the provisions of any amended or new regulation once it is effective. The district must request that the application be withdrawn and removed from the OPSC workload list.
The resubmitted application will receive a new processing date by the OPSC.

At the option of the district, an Approved Application for Career Technical Education Facilities Project Funding submitted to OPSC prior to January 1, 2012 may be resubmitted for the purpose of requesting the funding as prescribed in Section 1859.71.6 or Section 1859.77.4, as applicable. To request that funding, the district must submit an amended Form SAB 50-10 at least 90 days prior to requesting an Apportionment pursuant to Section 1859.90.1 or 1859.90.2 or receiving an Apportionment pursuant to Section 1859.195. The amended application shall retain its original OPSC processing date.

(a) A district that submitted an Approved Application request for either a Modernization Adjusted Grant or a Separate Design Apportionment for a modernization project pursuant to Section 1859.81.1 that meets the criteria in (1) and (2) below must submit a new Form SAB 50-04 that meets the criteria in Subsections (b) or (c) no later than 60 calendar days after the effective date (September 16, 2002) of this Subsection:
(1) The Approved Application was received by the OPSC after April 29, 2002 but no later than the date this Subsection becomes effective (September 16, 2002).
(2) The Approved Application has not received an approval or has received an approval pursuant to Section 1859.95, but has not received an apportionment.
(b) The new Form SAB 50-04 identifies the same number of pupils assigned to the original project or a lesser amount that is not less than 37.5 percent of the pupils originally assigned to the project (round up). In this case, the district will be required to contribute additional funds to the project to meet the 40 percent district contribution required pursuant to Section 1859.79(b). If the project was approved as a financial hardship project under the provisions of Section 1859.81, the project shall maintain its financial hardship status, however, the district will be subject to a financial review pursuant to Section 1859.81(a) to determine if there are additional district funds available for the project.
(c) The new Form SAB 50-04 identifies a lesser number of pupils assigned to the project that does not exceed an amount determined by multiplying the pupils assigned to the original project by 37.5 percent (round down). In this case, the district

will not be required to contribute additional funds to the project to meet the 40 percent district contribution required pursuant to Section 1859.79(b).

(d) If a new Form SAB 50-04 is submitted under the provisions of subsection (b), the Architect of Record or Design Professional certification made on the original Form SAB 50-04 will be accepted as satisfying the requirements of the new ` Form SAB 50-04.

(e) Any Approved Application request that meets the requirements of Subsection (a) will be withdrawn 60 calendar days after the date Subsection (a) becomes effective (September 16, 2002) if the district does not submit a new Form SAB 50-04 conforming to either Subsections (b) or (c).

Any application for eligibility determination that has received an approval may be amended to comply with provisions of an amended or new regulation once it is effective. The amended application will receive a new processing date by the OPSC.

Any application for eligibility determination that has not received an approval may be amended at any time to conform to an amended or new regulation. The application shall retain its OPSC processing date.

Any application for new construction eligibility determination that has received an approval must be amended to conform to Regulation Section 1859.51(l) prior to submittal of Form SAB 50-04.

Any application for new construction eligibility determination that has not received an approval must be amended to conform to Form SAB 50-02 and Form SAB 50-03 prior to submittal of Form SAB 50-04.

Any application for modernization eligibility determination that has received an approval must be amended to conform to Regulation Section 1859.61(g) prior to submittal of Form SAB 50-04.

Any application for modernization eligibility determination that has not received an approval must be amended to conform to Form SAB 50-03 prior to submittal of Form SAB 50-04.

Districts that have received an approval of eligibility on a HSAA or Super HSAA are not required to re-establish eligibility under the provisions of Section 1859.41(a).

Districts that have requested eligibility determination on a HSAA or Super HSAA that have not received an approval must comply with the provisions of Section 1859.41(a) prior to submittal of Form SAB 50-04. The amended eligibility application shall retain its original OPSC processing date.

A district that has received an approval of its eligibility determination on a district-wide, HSAA or Super HSAA basis, but received no New Construction Grant(s), may re-file on another eligibility determination basis provided it withdraws all previously submitted Form SAB 50-04 requests for New Construction Grant(s), including those on the Unfunded List.

Note: Authority cited: Sections 17070.35 and 17078.72(l), Education Code.

Reference: Sections 17070.35, 17070.63, 17074.15, 17074.16 and 17074.56, Education Code.

REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER
State Allocation Board Meeting, January 22, 2014

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

School District: ……..…..……MONTEBELLO UNIFIED County:……..……LOS ANGELES

Application Number:……..……..…56/64808-00-006 School Name. ……MONTEBELLO INTERMEDIATE

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To present an Overcrowding Relief Grant (ORG) Program project for rescission.

DESCRIPTION

The ORG Program provides qualified school districts with the ability to replace portable classrooms with permanent classroom facilities. The unfunded approval for the District was approved by the State Allocation Board at the December 14, 2011 meeting.

The District submitted documentation stating it would not be moving forward with the project listed below, and requested that its unfunded approval for the project be rescinded.

Application No.	Number of Pupils	Board Approval Date	State Share Unfunded Amount	Bond Fund
56/64808-00-006	268	8-26-2009	$4,432,928	1D

AUTHORITY

See Attachment.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Rescind the ORG Program State Share amount of $4,427,394 [Bonds/2006-Nov.; 957-531] from $4,432,928 to $0.

2. Approve the reinstatement of 268 pupil grants assigned to the Approved Application to the District's ORG eligibility baseline.

ATTACHMENT

AUTHORITY

School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.107. Amending and Withdrawal of Applications.

A funding application that received an apportionment under Chapter 12 or Chapter 12.5 may not be rescinded and re-approved under the provisions of any amended law or administrative regulation unless specifically authorized by other applicable law.

A funding application, with the exception of funding applications identified in Subsection (a) below, that has received an approval pursuant to Section 1859.95, but has not received an apportionment, may receive an adjustment as allowed under Sections 1859.71, 1859.71.2(c), 1859.78.4(b) or 1859.78 at the time the apportionment is made. If the adjustment is a result of Sections 1859.71.2(c) or 1859.78.4(b), the district must submit an amended Form SAB 50-04. The amended application shall retain its OPSC processing date. No other adjustments may be made, including those resulting from changes to the regulations prior to final funding by the SAB. As an alternative, the application may be withdrawn and resubmitted for SAB approval under the provisions of any amended or new regulation that becomes effective prior to the apportionment for the project. The district must first request from the OPSC that the application be withdrawn and removed from the Unfunded List. The district may then resubmit the application to the OPSC under the provisions of the amended or new regulation once it is effective. The resubmitted application will receive a new processing date by the OPSC. School districts that have already received a site apportionment under Section 1859.81.1(a) may withdraw the application and file as an environmental hardship pursuant to Section 1859.75.1 without forfeiting their original site apportionment, provided that the new application does not exceed the amount already apportioned.

A funding application, with the exception of funding applications identified in Subsection (a) below, submitted to the OPSC that has not received an approval will receive funding under the provisions of the regulations that were in effect when the application was submitted to the OPSC and any funding adjustment authorized by Sections 1859.71.2(c) or 1859.78.4(b). If the funding adjustment is a result of Sections 1859.71.2(c) or 1859.78.4(b), the district must submit an amended Form SAB 50-04. The amended application shall retain its OPSC processing date.
At the option of the district, a funding application submitted to the OPSC that has not received an approval may be withdrawn and resubmitted for SAB approval under the provisions of any amended or new regulation once it is effective. The district must request that the application be withdrawn and removed from the OPSC workload list.
The resubmitted application will receive a new processing date by the OPSC.

At the option of the district, an Approved Application for Career Technical Education Facilities Project Funding submitted to OPSC prior to January 1, 2012 may be resubmitted for the purpose of requesting the funding as prescribed in Section 1859.71.6 or Section 1859.77.4, as applicable. To request that funding, the district must submit an amended Form SAB 50-10 at least 90 days prior to requesting an Apportionment pursuant to Section 1859.90.1 or 1859.90.2 or receiving an Apportionment pursuant to Section 1859.195. The amended application shall retain its original OPSC processing date.

(a) A district that submitted an Approved Application request for either a Modernization Adjusted Grant or a Separate Design Apportionment for a modernization project pursuant to Section 1859.81.1 that meets the criteria in (1) and (2) below must submit a new Form SAB 50-04 that meets the criteria in Subsections (b) or (c) no later than 60 calendar days after the effective date (September 16, 2002) of this Subsection:
(1) The Approved Application was received by the OPSC after April 29, 2002 but no later than the date this Subsection becomes effective (September 16, 2002).
(2) The Approved Application has not received an approval or has received an approval pursuant to Section 1859.95, but has not received an apportionment.
(b) The new Form SAB 50-04 identifies the same number of pupils assigned to the original project or a lesser amount that is not less than 37.5 percent of the pupils originally assigned to the project (round up). In this case, the district will be required to contribute additional funds to the project to meet the 40 percent district contribution required pursuant to Section 1859.79(b). If the project was approved as a financial hardship project under the provisions of Section 1859.81, the project shall maintain its financial hardship status, however, the district will be subject to a financial review pursuant to Section 1859.81(a) to determine if there are additional district funds available for the project.
(c) The new Form SAB 50-04 identifies a lesser number of pupils assigned to the project that does not exceed an amount determined by multiplying the pupils assigned to the original project by 37.5 percent (round down). In this case, the district

will not be required to contribute additional funds to the project to meet the 40 percent district contribution required pursuant to Section 1859.79(b).

(d) If a new Form SAB 50-04 is submitted under the provisions of subsection (b), the Architect of Record or Design Professional certification made on the original Form SAB 50-04 will be accepted as satisfying the requirements of the new ` Form SAB 50-04.

(e) Any Approved Application request that meets the requirements of Subsection (a) will be withdrawn 60 calendar days after the date Subsection (a) becomes effective (September 16, 2002) if the district does not submit a new Form SAB 50-04 conforming to either Subsections (b) or (c).

Any application for eligibility determination that has received an approval may be amended to comply with provisions of an amended or new regulation once it is effective. The amended application will receive a new processing date by the OPSC.

Any application for eligibility determination that has not received an approval may be amended at any time to conform to an amended or new regulation. The application shall retain its OPSC processing date.

Any application for new construction eligibility determination that has received an approval must be amended to conform to Regulation Section 1859.51(l) prior to submittal of Form SAB 50-04.

Any application for new construction eligibility determination that has not received an approval must be amended to conform to Form SAB 50-02 and Form SAB 50-03 prior to submittal of Form SAB 50-04.

Any application for modernization eligibility determination that has received an approval must be amended to conform to Regulation Section 1859.61(g) prior to submittal of Form SAB 50-04.

Any application for modernization eligibility determination that has not received an approval must be amended to conform to Form SAB 50-03 prior to submittal of Form SAB 50-04.

Districts that have received an approval of eligibility on a HSAA or Super HSAA are not required to re-establish eligibility under the provisions of Section 1859.41(a).

Districts that have requested eligibility determination on a HSAA or Super HSAA that have not received an approval must comply with the provisions of Section 1859.41(a) prior to submittal of Form SAB 50-04. The amended eligibility application shall retain its original OPSC processing date.

A district that has received an approval of its eligibility determination on a district-wide, HSAA or Super HSAA basis, but received no New Construction Grant(s), may re-file on another eligibility determination basis provided it withdraws all previously submitted Form SAB 50-04 requests for New Construction Grant(s), including those on the Unfunded List.

Note: Authority cited: Sections 17070.35 and 17078.72(l), Education Code.

Reference: Sections 17070.35, 17070.63, 17074.15, 17074.16 and 17074.56, Education Code.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……50/66761-00-002
County:……..……..……..Placer
Financial Hardship:……Yes
School District:……....Ackerman Elementary
School Name:……...Bowman Elementary
Date of Financial Hardship Status:……...February 9, 2005
Qualifying Financial Hardship Criteria: SFP Regulation 1859.81(c)(4).

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. This is a Financial Hardship project and has savings in the amount of $195,632 and interest earned of $9,359 due after three years. School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.103 states that "the State's portion of any savings declared by the district or determined by the OPSC by audit must be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant of that project … any interest earned on a financial hardship project not expended on eligible project expenditures will be treated as savings and will be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant for that project." The District has concurred with the findings and agrees to return the State funds in the amount of $204,991.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP New Construction	$ 1,714,202	$ (97,816)	$ 1,616,386
SFP Multi Level Construction	162,456	0	162,456
SFP Service Site	188,948	0	188,948
SFP Utilities	22,565	0	22,565
SFP Urban Security	642,891	0	642,891
SFP Financial Hardship	2,825,730	(97,816)	2,727,914
SFP Project Assistance	4,633	0	4,633
SFP Energy	85,710	0	85,710
SFP Fire Detection/Alarm	2,505	0	2,505
SFP Labor Compliance Program	20,637	0	20,637
Total State Apportionment	$ 5,670,277	$ (195,632)	$ 5,474,645
Financing			
State (SFP)	$ 2,844,547	$ (97,816)	$ 2,746,731
Financial Hardship (SFP)	2,825,730	(97,816)	2,727,914
Total Project Costs	$ 5,670,277	$ (195,632)	$ 5,474,645

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $195,632 in the total project cost from $5,670,277 to $5,474,645.
2. Approve a decrease of $97,816 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2004-Mar.; 055-500] from $2,844,547 to $2,746,731.
3. Approve a decrease of $97,816 in the Financial Hardship Contribution [Bonds/2004-Mar.; 055-500] from $2,825,730 to $2,727,914.
4. Require the District to return State funds in the amount of $195,632.
5. Require the District to return interest in the amount of $9,359.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……50/69872-00-002
County:……Shasta
Financial Hardship:……Yes
School District:……Bella Vista Elementary
School Name:……Bella Vista Elementary
Date of Financial Hardship Status:……June 11, 2003
Qualifying Financial Hardship Criteria: SFP Regulation 1859.81(c)(4).

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. This is a Financial Hardship project and has savings in the amount of $50,435 and interest earned of $1,228 due after three years. School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.103 states that "the State's portion of any savings declared by the district or determined by the OPSC by audit must be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant of that project … any interest earned on a financial hardship project not expended on eligible project expenditures will be treated as savings and will be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant for that project." The District concurred with the findings and has returned State funds in the amount of $51,663.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP New Construction	$ 497,189	$ (25,218)	$ 471,971
SFP Service Site	8,673	0	8,673
SFP Small School	59,663	0	59,663
SFP Financial Hardship	541,790	(25,217)	516,573
SFP Project Assistance	3,997	0	3,997
SFP Fire Dectection/Alarm	2,823	0	2,823
SFP Labor Compliance Program	9,158	0	9,158
Total State Apportionment	$ 1,123,293	$ (50,435)	$ 1,072,858
Financing			
State (SFP)	$ 581,503	$ (25,218)	$ 556,285
Financial Hardship (SFP)	541,790	(25,217)	516,573
District Contribution	39,713	0	39,713
Total Project Costs	$ 1,163,006	$ (50,435)	$ 1,112,571

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $50,435 in the total project cost from $1,163,006 to $1,112,571.
2. Approve a decrease of $25,218 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2002-Nov.; 047-500] from $581,503 to $556,285.
3. Approve a decrease of $25,217 in the Financial Hardship Contribution [Bonds/2002-Nov.; 047-500] from $541,790 to $516,573.
4. Recognize that the District has already returned State funds in the amount of $50,435.
5. Recognize that the District has already returned interest in the amount of $1,228.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……..50/67033-00-021 School District:…..……Corona-Norco Unified

County:……..……..……..Riverside School Name:…..……Bernice Jameson Todd Elementary

Financial Hardship:……...No

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. The District incurred additional eligible expenditures per SFP Regulation Section 1859.74 and 1859.106 and may receive an additional Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC) grant in the amount of $3,412. The District received $130,200 in excess funding for site acquisition and site other. The District has concurred with the findings and agrees to return the State funds in the amount of $63,393.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP New Construction	$ 7,474,925	$ 0	$ 7,474,925
SFP Multi Level Construction	775,776	0	775,776
SFP Site Acquisition	849,529	(62,596)	786,933
SFP Site Other	33,981	(2,503)	31,478
SFP Service Site	527,485	0	527,485
SFP General Site	638,056	0	638,056
SFP Offsite	36,517	0	36,517
SFP Utilities	52,760	0	52,760
SFP Site DTSC Fee	2,446	1,706	4,152
SFP Fire Detection/Alarm	9,250	0	9,250
SFP Fire Sprinklers	133,200	0	133,200
SFP Labor Compliance Program	49,521	0	49,521
Total State Apportionment	$ 10,583,446	$ (63,393)	$ 10,520,053
Financing			
District Contribution	$ 10,583,446	$ (63,395)	$ 10,520,051
State (SFP)	10,583,446	(63,393)	10,520,053
Total Project Costs	$ 21,166,892	$ (126,788)	$ 21,040,104

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $126,788 in the total project cost from $21,166,892 to $21,040,104.
2. Approve a decrease of $63,395 in the District Contribution from $10,583,446 to $10,520,051.
3. Approve a decrease of $63,393 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2004–Mar.; 055-500] from $10,583,446 to $10,520,053.
4. Require the District to return State funds in the amount of $63,393.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:…….50/64592-00-008

School District:…..……..Hawthorne Elementary

County:……..…….…….……Los Angeles

School Name:……..……Prairie Vista Middle

Financial Hardship:……..……..……..……Yes

Date of Financial Hardship Status:……...…..August 10, 2004

Qualifying Financial Hardship Criteria: SFP Regulation 1859.81(c)(3)

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close-out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. The District incurred additional eligible expenditures per SFP Regulation Section 1859.74 and 1859.106 and may receive an additional relocation assistance grant in the amount of $263,798. The District was over-apportioned for the Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC) grant in the amount of $9,316. This is a Financial Hardship project and has savings in the amount of $436,286. The District concurs with the findings and agrees to return the State funds in the amount of $181,804.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP New Construction	$ 7,209,939	$ (218,143)	$ 6,991,796
SFP Multi Level Construction	946,078	0	946,078
SFP Site Acquisition	2,110,000	0	2,110,000
SFP Site Relocation	182,850	131,899	314,749
SFP Site Other	84,400	0	84,400
SFP Serivce Site	1,730,495	0	1,730,495
SFP Offsite	95,339	0	95,339
SFP Utilities	20,346	0	20,346
SFP Urban Security	4,105,882	0	4,105,882
SFP Financial Hardship	16,690,968	(90,902)	16,600,066
SFP Site DTSC Fee	7,430	(4,658)	2,772
SFP Fire Detection/Alarm	49,144	0	49,144
SFP Fire Sprinklers	142,916	0	142,916
SFP Labor Compliance Program	71,892	0	71,892
Total State Apportionment	$ 33,447,679	$ (181,804)	$ 33,265,875
Financing			
State (SFP)	$ 16,756,711	$ (90,902)	$ 16,665,809
Financial Hardship (SFP)	16,690,968	(90,902)	16,600,066
District Contribution	65,742	0	65,742
Total Project Costs	$ 33,513,421	$ (181,804)	$ 33,331,617

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $181,804 in the total project cost from $33,513,421 to $33,331,617.
2. Approve a decrease of $90,902 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2002-Nov.; 047-500] from $16,756,711 to $16,665,809.
3. Approve a decrease of $90,902 in the Financial Hardship Contribution [Bonds/2002-Nov.; 047-500] from $16,690,968 to $16,600,066.
4. Require the District to return State funds in the amount of $181,804.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:…….50/65730-00-004
School District:…..……..……..Le Grand Union High
County:……..……..Merced
School Name:…….....Le Grand High
Financial Hardship:……...No

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close-out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. The District incurred additional eligible expenditures per SFP Regulation Sections 1859.74 and 1859.106 and may receive an additional Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC) grant in the amount of $6,836. The District has concurred with these findings and is eligible for an additional apportionment in the amount of $3,418.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP New Construction	$ 243,691	$ 0	$ 243,691
SFP Site Acquisition	100,000	0	100,000
SFP Site Other	25,000	0	25,000
SFP Small School	29,243	0	29,243
SFP Site DTSC Fee	2,679	3,418	6,097
SFP Fire Detection/Alarm	864	0	864
SFP Labor Compliance Program	8,000	0	8,000
SFP Excessive Hazmat Removal	58	0	58
Total State Apportionment	$ 409,535	$ 3,418	$ 412,953
Financing			
District Contribution	$ 409,535	$ 3,418	$ 412,953
State (SFP)	409,535	3,418	412,953
Total Project Costs	$ 819,070	$ 6,836	$ 825,906

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve an increase of $6,836 in the total project cost from $819,070 to $825,906.
2. Approve an increase of $3,418 in the District contribution from $409,535 to $412,953.
3. Approve an increase of $3,418 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2002-Nov.; 047-500] from $409,535 to $412,953.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:…….50/64733-00-053 School District:…..……...Los Angeles Unified

County:…….….Los Angeles School Name:…..……...Southeast Area Learning Center

Financial Hardship:……...No

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close-out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. The District incurred additional eligible expenditures per SFP Regulation Sections 1859.74 and 1859.106 and may receive additional site acquisition, relocation assistance, and site other apportionments in the amount of $876,462. The District was over-apportioned for Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC) fees in the amount of $295. The District has concurred with the findings and is eligible for an unfunded approval in the amount of $876,167.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP New Construction	$ 11,461,780	$ 0	$ 11,461,780
SFP Multi Level Construction	1,129,075	0	1,129,075
SFP Site Acquisition	6,232,500	648,833	6,881,333
SFP Site Relocation	1,982,612	201,676	2,184,288
SFP Site Other	249,300	25,953	275,253
SFP Service Site	2,769,712	0	2,769,712
SFP Offsite	334,946	0	334,946
SFP Utilities	107,055	0	107,055
SFP Urban Security	5,730,890	0	5,730,890
SFP Site DTSC Fee	2,900	(295)	2,605
SFP Fire Detection/Alarm	50,860	0	50,860
SFP Fire Sprinklers	187,302	0	187,302
SFP Labor Compliance Program	100,441	0	100,441
Total State Apportionment	$ 30,339,373	$ 876,167	$ 31,215,540
Financing			
District Contribution	$ 30,339,373	$ 876,170	$ 31,215,543
State (SFP)	30,339,373	876,167	31,215,540
Total Project Costs	$ 60,678,746	$ 1,752,337	$ 62,431,083

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve an increase of $1,752,337 in the total project cost from $60,678,746 to $62,431,083.
2. Approve an increase of $876,170 in the District contribution from $30,339,373 to $31,215,543.
3. Approve an increase of $876,167 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/1998-Nov.; 919-500] from $30,339,373 to $31,215,540.
4. Approve an unfunded approval in the amount of $876,167.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……50/66647-00-031
School District:…..……Placentia-Yorba Linda Unified
County:……...Orange
School Name:…..……Yorba Linda High
Financial Hardship:……...No

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close-out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. The District incurred additional eligible expenditures per SFP Regulation Sections 1859.74 and 1859.106 and may receive an additional Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC) grant in the amount of $17,423. The District has concurred with the findings and is eligible for an unfunded approval in the amount of $8,711.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP New Construction	$ 9,588,699	$ 0	$ 9,588,699
SFP Site Acquisition	35,715,750	0	35,715,750
SFP Site Other	1,428,630	0	1,428,630
SFP Service Site	3,830,115	0	3,830,115
SFP General Site	1,051,063	0	1,051,063
SFP Offsite	1,421,940	0	1,421,940
SFP Utilities	49,271	0	49,271
SFP Site DTSC Fee	5,162	8,711	13,873
SFP Fire Detection/Alarm	20,259	0	20,259
SFP Fire Sprinklers	156,351	0	156,351
SFP Labor Compliance Program	76,694	0	76,694
Total State Apportionment	$ 53,343,934	$ 8,711	$ 53,352,645
Financing			
District Contribution	$ 53,343,934	$ 8,712	$ 53,352,646
State (SFP)	53,343,934	8,711	53,352,645
Total Project Costs	$ 106,687,868	$ 17,423	$ 106,705,291

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve an increase of $17,423 in the total project cost from $106,687,868 to $106,705,291.
2. Approve an increase of $8,712 in the District contribution from $53,343,934 to $53,352,646.
3. Approve an increase of $8,711 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2004-Mar.; 955-500] from $53,343,934 to $53,352,645.
4. Approve an unfunded approval in the amount of $8,711.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……...50/10330-98-025 School District:…..…Riverside County Office of Education

County:……..……Riverside School Name:…..……..……..Alvord Learning Center

Financial Hardship:……Yes Date of Financial Hardship Status:……..June 20, 2006

Qualifying Financial Hardship Criteria: SFP Regulation 1859.81(c)(3)

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the County Office of Education (COE) has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. This is a Financial Hardship project and has savings in the amount of $168,310. School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.103 states that "the State's portion of any savings declared by the district or determined by the OPSC by audit must be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant of that project … any interest earned on a financial hardship project not expended on eligible project expenditures will be treated as savings and will be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant for that project." The COE concurs with the findings and agrees to return the State funds in the amount of $168,310.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP New Construction	$ 433,188	$ (84,155)	$ 349,033
SFP SDC Therapy	66,825	0	66,825
SFP Small School	51,983	0	51,983
SFP Financal Hardship	569,668	(84,155)	485,513
SFP SDC Therapy Toilet	57,288	0	57,288
SFP Fire Detection/Alarm	1,518	0	1,518
SFP Fire Sprinklers	10,350	0	10,350
SFP Labor Compliance Program	9,938	0	9,938
Total State Apportionment	$ 1,200,758	$ (168,310)	$ 1,032,448
Financing			
State (SFP)	$ 631,090	$ (84,155)	$ 546,935
Financial Hardship (SFP)	569,668	(84,155)	485,513
District Contribution	61,422	0	61,422
Total Project Costs	$ 1,262,180	$ (168,310)	$ 1,093,870

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $168,310 in the total project cost from $1,262,180 to $1,093,870.
2. Approve a decrease of $84,155 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2004-Mar.; 055-500] from $631,090 to $546,935.
3. Approve a decrease of $84,155 in the Financial Hardship Contribution [Bonds/2004-Mar.; 055-500] from $569,668 to $485,513.
4. Require the District to return State funds in the amount of $168,310.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……50/10330-98-031 School District:……..Riverside County Office of Education

County:……..……..……..Riverside School Name:…..……..……Jurupa School

Financial Hardship……..Yes Date of Financial Hardship Status……..……..August 20, 2008

Qualifying Financial Hardship Criteria: SFP Regulation 1859.81(c) (3)

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the County Office of Education (COE) has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to closeout this completed project. This is a Financial Hardship project and has savings in the amount of $8,110. School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.103 states that "the State's portion of any savings declared by the district or determined by the OPSC by audit must be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant of that project…any interest earned on a financial hardship project not expended on eligible project expenditures will be treated as savings and will be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant for that project". The COE agreed with the findings and has returned State funds in the amount of $8,110.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP New Construction	$ 216,594	$ (4,055)	$ 212,539
SFP SDC Therpay	32,400	-	32,400
SFP Small School	25,991	-	25,991
SFP Financial Hardship	329,755	(4,055)	325,700
SFP SDC Therpay Toilet	40,672	-	40,672
SFP Fire Detection/Alarm	800	-	800
SFP Fire Sprinklers	5,298	-	5,298
SFP Labor Compliance Program	8,000	-	8,000
Total State Apportionment	$ 659,510	$ (8,110)	$ 651,400
Financing			
Financial Hardship	$ 329,755	$ (4,055)	$ 325,700
State (SFP)	329,755	(4,055)	325,700
Total Project Costs	$ 659,510	$ (8,110)	$ 651,400

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $8,110 in the total project cost from $659,510 to $651,400.
2. Approve a decrease of $4,055 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2004-Mar.; 055-500] from $329,755 to $325,700
3. Approve a decrease of $4,055 in the Financial Hardship Contribution from $329,755 to $325,700.
4. Recognize that the COE has already returned State funds in the amount of $8,110.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……..50/10330-99-005 School District:……..Riverside County Office of Education

County:……..……..……..……..Riverside School Name:…..……..……San Jacinto Community

Financial Hardship:……Yes Date of Financial Hardship Status:……..……August 20, 2008

Qualifying Financial Hardship Criteria: SFP Regulation 1859.81(c)(3)

To recommend accounting revisions to close out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the County Office of Education (COE) has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. This is a Financial Hardship project and has savings in the amount of $474,801. School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.103 states that "the State's portion of any savings declared by the district or determined by the OPSC by audit must be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant of that project…any interest earned on a financial hardship project not expended on eligible project expenditures will be treated as savings and will be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant for that project". The COE has agreed to return the State funds in the amount of $474,801.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP New Construction	$ 2,354,373	$ (237,400)	$ 2,116,973
SFP Service Site	275,350	-	275,350
SFP General Site	148,110	-	148,110
SFP Offsite	109,445	-	109,445
SFP Utilities	53,805	-	53,805
SFP New School	1,118,703	-	1,118,703
SFP Financial Hardship	3,532,644	(237,401)	3,295,243
SFP Site DTSC Fees	10,136	-	10,136
SFP Fire Detection/Alarm	4,347	-	4,347
SFP Fire Sprinklers	33,453	-	33,453
SFP Labor Compliance Program	23,674	-	23,674
Total State Apportionment	$ 7,664,040	$ (474,801)	$ 7,189,239
Financing			
Financial Hardship	$ 3,532,644	$ (237,401)	$ 3,295,243
State (SFP)	4,131,396	(237,400)	3,893,996
Total Project Costs	$ 7,664,040	$ (474,801)	$ 7,189,239

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $474,801 in the total project cost from $7,664,040 to $7,189,239.
2. Approve a decrease of $237,400 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2004-Mar.; 055-500] from $4,131,396 to $3,893,996.
3. Approve a decrease of $237,401 in the Financial Hardship Contribution from $3,532,644 to $3,295,243.
4. Require the COE to return State funds in the amount of $474,801.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……..50/67876-00-004 School District:……..San Bernardino City Unified

County:……..……San Bernardino School Name:……..……..Rodriguez Prep Academy

Financial Hardship:……..……Yes Date of Financial Hardship Status:……..…December 8, 1999

Qualifying Financial Hardship Criteria: SFP Regulation 1859.81(c) (3)

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. The District incurred additional eligible expenditures per SFP Regulations 1859.74 and 1859.106 and may receive additional site acquisition and site other grants. The District received $30,474 for DTSC fees and reported $24,308 in eligible expenditures; therefore, the total grant must be decreased by a total of $6,166. The District has concurred with these findings and is eligible for an unfunded approval in the amount of $55,987.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP New Construction	$ 5,858,725	$ -	$ 5,858,725
SFP Site Acquistion	1,503,850	56,798	1,560,648
SFP Site Relocation	95,337	-	95,337
SFP Site Other	60,154	2,272	62,426
SFP Service Site	585,831	-	585,831
SFP General Site	520,947	-	520,947
SFP Offsite	590,700	-	590,700
SFP Utilities	40,193	-	40,193
SFP Financial Hardship	471,600	-	471,600
SFP DTSC Fee	15,237	(3,083)	12,154
SFP Fire Detection/Alarm	7,250	-	7,250
SFP Labor Compliance Program	40,656	-	40,656
Total State Apportionment	$ 9,790,480	$ 55,987	$ 9,846,467
Financing			
District Contribution	$ 8,847,280	$ 55,988	$ 8,903,268
State (SFP)	9,790,480	55,987	9,846,467
Total Project Costs	$ 18,637,760	$ 111,975	$ 18,749,735

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve an increase of $111,975 in the total project cost from $18,637,760 to 18,749,735.
2. Approve an increase of $55,988 in the District contribution from $8,847,280 to $8,903,268.
3. Approve an increase of $55,987 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2004-Mar.; 955-500] from $9,790,480 to $9,846,467.
4. Approve an unfunded approval in the amount of $55,987.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……..50/67876-00-045
School District:…..……..San Bernardino City Unified
County:……..……San Bernardino
School Name:…..……Highland-Pacific Elementary
Financial Hardship:……Yes
Date of Financial Hardship Status:……..May 13, 2008
Qualifying Financial Hardship Criteria: SFP Regulation 1859.81(c)(1)

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. This is a Financial Hardship project and has savings in the amount of $55,256. School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.103 states that "the State's portion of any savings declared by the district or determined by the OPSC by audit must be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant of that project … any interest earned on a financial hardship project not expended on eligible project expenditures will be treated as savings and will be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant for that project." The District has concurred with the findings and agrees to return the State funds in the amount of $55,256.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP New Construction	$ 670,518	$ (27,628)	$ 642,890
SFP SDC Therapy	265,073	0	265,073
SFP Service Site	67,360	0	67,360
SFP Utilities	49,111	0	49,111
SFP Small School	80,462	0	80,462
SFP Financal Hardship	1,217,931	(27,628)	1,190,303
SFP SDC Therapy Toilet	59,136	0	59,136
SFP Fire Detection/Alarm	3,353	0	3,353
SFP Fire Sprinklers	6,414	0	6,414
SFP Labor Compliance Program	16,504	0	16,504
Total State Apportionment	$ 2,435,862	$ (55,256)	$ 2,380,606
Financing			
State (SFP)	$ 1,217,931	$ (27,628)	$ 1,190,303
Financial Hardship (SFP)	1,217,931	(27,628)	1,190,303
Total Project Costs	$ 2,435,862	$ (55,256)	$ 2,380,606

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $55,256 in the total project cost from $2,435,862 to $2,380,606.
2. Approve a decrease of $27,628 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2006-Nov.; 057-500] from $1,217,931 to $1,190,303.
3. Approve a decrease of $27,628 in the Financial Hardship Contribution [Bonds/2006-Nov.; 057-500] from $1,217,931 to $1,190,303.
4. Require the District to return State funds in the amount of $55,256.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……....50/67876-00-046 School District:…..……..San Bernardino City Unified

County:…….……..……San Bernardino School Name:…..…….……...Hunt Elementary

Financial Hardship:……Yes Date of Financial Hardship Status:……..May 13, 2008

Qualifying Financial Hardship Criteria: SFP Regulation 1859.81(c)(1)

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. This is a Financial Hardship project and has savings in the amount of $80,274. School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.103 states that "the State's portion of any savings declared by the district or determined by the OPSC by audit must be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant of that project … any interest earned on a financial hardship project not expended on eligible project expenditures will be treated as savings and will be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant for that project." The District has concurred with the findings and agrees to return the State funds in the amount of $80,274.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP New Construction	$ 670,518	$ (40,137)	$ 630,381
SFP SDC Therapy	265,073	0	265,073
SFP Service Site	61,157	0	61,157
SFP Utilities	75,460	0	75,460
SFP Small School	80,462	0	80,462
SFP Financal Hardship	1,238,127	(40,137)	1,197,990
SFP SDC Therapy Toilet	59,136	0	59,136
SFP Fire Detection/Alarm	3,353	0	3,353
SFP Fire Sprinklers	6,414	0	6,414
SFP Labor Compliance Program	16,554	0	16,554
Total State Apportionment	$ 2,476,254	$ (80,274)	$ 2,395,980
Financing			
State (SFP)	$ 1,238,127	$ (40,137)	$ 1,197,990
Financial Hardship (SFP)	1,238,127	(40,137)	1,197,990
Total Project Costs	$ 2,476,254	$ (80,274)	$ 2,395,980

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $80,274 in the total project cost from $2,476,254 to $2,395,980.
2. Approve a decrease of $40,137 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2006-Nov.; 057-500] from $1,238,127 to $1,197,990.
3. Approve a decrease of $40,137 in the Financial Hardship Contribution [Bonds2006-Nov.; 057-500] from $1,238,127 to $1,197,990.
4. Require the District to return State funds in the amount of $80,274.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……50/67876-00-048
School District:…..……..San Bernardino City Unified
County:…….……..……San Bernardino
School Name:…..……..North Verdemont Elementary
Financial Hardship:……Yes
Date of Financial Hardship Status:……..May 13, 2008
Qualifying Financial Hardship Criteria: SFP Regulation 1859.81(c)(1)

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. This is a Financial Hardship project and has savings in the amount of $41,053. School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.103 states that "the State's portion of any savings declared by the district or determined by the OPSC by audit must be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant of that project … any interest earned on a financial hardship project not expended on eligible project expenditures will be treated as savings and will be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant for that project." The District has concurred with the findings and agrees to return the State funds in the amount of $41,053.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP New Construction	$ 670,518	$ (20,527)	$ 649,991
SFP SDC Therapy	265,073	0	265,073
SFP Service Site	100,226	0	100,226
SFP Utilities	31,468	0	31,468
SFP Small School	80,462	0	80,462
SFP Financal Hardship	1,233,192	(20,526)	1,212,666
SFP SDC Therapy Toilet	59,136	0	59,136
SFP Fire Detection/Alarm	3,353	0	3,353
SFP Fire Sprinklers	6,414	0	6,414
SFP Labor Compliance Program	16,542	0	16,542
Total State Apportionment	$ 2,466,384	$ (41,053)	$ 2,425,331
Financing			
State (SFP)	$ 1,233,192	$ (20,527)	$ 1,212,665
Financial Hardship (SFP)	1,233,192	(20,526)	1,212,666
Total Project Costs	$ 2,466,384	$ (41,053)	$ 2,425,331

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $41,053 in the total project cost from $2,466,384 to $2,425,331.
2. Approve a decrease of $20,527 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2006-Nov.; 057-500] from $1,233,192 to $1,212,665.
3. Approve a decrease of $20,526 in the Financial Hardship Contribution [Bonds/2006-Nov.; 057-500] from $1,233,192 to $1,212,666.
4. Require the District to return State funds in the amount of $41,053.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……..50/67876-00-050 School District:…..……..San Bernardino City Unified

County:…….……..……San Bernardino School Name:…..……...Roosevelt Elementary

Financial Hardship:……Yes Date of Financial Hardship Status:……..May 13, 2008

Qualifying Financial Hardship Criteria: SFP Regulation 1859.81(c)(1)

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. This is a Financial Hardship project and has savings in the amount of $25,936. School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.103 states that "the State's portion of any savings declared by the district or determined by the OPSC by audit must be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant of that project … any interest earned on a financial hardship project not expended on eligible project expenditures will be treated as savings and will be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant for that project." The District has concurred with the findings and agrees to return the State funds in the amount of $25,936.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP New Construction	$ 670,518	$ (12,968)	$ 657,550
SFP SDC Therapy	265,073	0	265,073
SFP Service Site	37,104	0	37,104
SFP Utilities	154,592	0	154,592
SFP Small School	80,462	0	80,462
SFP Financal Hardship	1,293,344	(12,968)	1,280,376
SFP SDC Therapy Toilet	59,136	0	59,136
SFP Fire Detection/Alarm	3,353	0	3,353
SFP Fire Sprinklers	6,414	0	6,414
SFP Labor Compliance Program	16,692	0	16,692
Total State Apportionment	$ 2,586,688	$ (25,936)	$ 2,560,752
Financing			
State (SFP)	$ 1,293,344	$ (12,968)	$ 1,280,376
Financial Hardship (SFP)	1,293,344	(12,968)	1,280,376
Total Project Costs	$ 2,586,688	$ (25,936)	$ 2,560,752

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $25,936 in the total project cost from $2,586,688 to $2,560,752.
2. Approve a decrease of $12,968 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2006-Nov.; 057-500] from $1,293,344 to $1,280,376.
3. Approve a decrease of $12,968 in the Financial Hardship Contribution [Bonds/2006-Nov.; 057-500] from $1,293,344 to $1,280,376.
4. Require the District to return State funds in the amount of $25,936.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……..50/67876-00-057 School District:…..……..San Bernardino City Unified

County:……..……..……San Bernardino School Name:…..…….....Bradley Elementary

Financial Hardship:……Yes Date of Financial Hardship Status:……..May 13, 2008

Qualifying Financial Hardship Criteria: SFP Regulation 1859.81(c)(1)

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. This is a Financial Hardship project and has savings in the amount of $3,782. School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.103 states that "the State's portion of any savings declared by the district or determined by the OPSC by audit must be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant of that project … any interest earned on a financial hardship project not expended on eligible project expenditures will be treated as savings and will be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant for that project." The District has concurred with the findings and agrees to return the State funds in the amount of $3,782.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP New Construction	$ 670,518	$ (1,891)	$ 668,627
SFP SDC Therapy	177,920	0	177,920
SFP Service Site	68,334	0	68,334
SFP Utilities	63,643	0	63,643
SFP Small School	80,462	0	80,462
SFP Financal Hardship	1,104,232	(1,891)	1,102,341
SFP SDC Therapy Toilet	20,480	0	20,480
SFP Fire Detection/Alarm	2,575	0	2,575
SFP Fire Sprinklers	4,080	0	4,080
SFP Labor Compliance Program	16,220	0	16,220
Total State Apportionment	$ 2,208,464	$ (3,782)	$ 2,204,682
Financing			
State (SFP)	$ 1,104,232	$ (1,891)	$ 1,102,341
Financial Hardship (SFP)	1,104,232	(1,891)	1,102,341
Total Project Costs	$ 2,208,464	$ (3,782)	$ 2,204,682

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $3,782 in the total project cost from $2,208,464 to $2,204,682.
2. Approve a decrease of $1,891 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2006-Nov.; 057-500] from $1,104,232 to $1,102,341.
3. Approve a decrease of $1,891 in the Financial Hardship Contribution [Bonds/2006-Nov.; 057-500] from $1,104,232 to $1,102,341.
4. Require the District to return State funds in the amount of $3,782.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……..50/67876-00-058 School District:…..……..San Bernardino City Unified

County:…….……..……San Bernardino School Name:…..……....Cypress Elementary

Financial Hardship:……Yes Date of Financial Hardship Status:……..May 13, 2008

Qualifying Financial Hardship Criteria: SFP Regulation 1859.81(c)(1)

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. This is a Financial Hardship project and has savings in the amount of $12,559. School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.103 states that "the State's portion of any savings declared by the district or determined by the OPSC by audit must be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant of that project … any interest earned on a financial hardship project not expended on eligible project expenditures will be treated as savings and will be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant for that project." The District has concurred with the findings and agrees to return the State funds in the amount of $12,559.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP New Construction	$ 670,518	$ (6,280)	$ 664,238
SFP SDC Therapy	177,920	0	177,920
SFP Service Site	36,785	0	36,785
SFP Utilities	95,200	0	95,200
SFP Small School	80,462	0	80,462
SFP Financal Hardship	1,104,240	(6,279)	1,097,961
SFP SDC Therapy Toilet	20,480	0	20,480
SFP Fire Detection/Alarm	2,575	0	2,575
SFP Fire Sprinklers	4,080	0	4,080
SFP Labor Compliance Program	16,220	0	16,220
Total State Apportionment	$ 2,208,480	$ (12,559)	$ 2,195,921
Financing			
State (SFP)	$ 1,104,240	$ (6,280)	$ 1,097,960
Financial Hardship (SFP)	1,104,240	(6,279)	1,097,961
Total Project Costs	$ 2,208,480	$ (12,559)	$ 2,195,921

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $12,559 in the total project cost from $2,208,480 to $2,195,921.
2. Approve a decrease of $6,280 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2006-Nov.; 057-500] from $1,104,240 to $1,097,960.
3. Approve a decrease of $6,279 in the Financial Hardship Contribution [Bonds/2006-Nov.; 057-500] from $1,104,240 to $1,097,961.
4. Require the District to return State funds in the amount of $12,559.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……..50/67876-00-059 School District:…..……..San Bernardino City Unified

County:…….……..……San Bernardino School Name:…..…….……..Hillside Elementary

Financial Hardship:……Yes Date of Financial Hardship Status:……..May 13, 2008

Qualifying Financial Hardship Criteria: SFP Regulation 1859.81(c)(1)

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. This is a Financial Hardship project and has savings in the amount of $28,291. School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.103 states that "the State's portion of any savings declared by the district or determined by the OPSC by audit must be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant of that project … any interest earned on a financial hardship project not expended on eligible project expenditures will be treated as savings and will be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant for that project." The District has concurred with the findings and agrees to return the State funds in the amount of $28,291.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP New Construction	$ 670,518	$ (14,146)	$ 656,372
SFP SDC Therapy	265,073	0	265,073
SFP Service Site	71,985	0	71,985
SFP Utilities	60,220	0	60,220
SFP Small School	80,462	0	80,462
SFP Financal Hardship	1,233,704	(14,145)	1,219,559
SFP SDC Therapy Toilet	59,136	0	59,136
SFP Fire Detection/Alarm	3,353	0	3,353
SFP Fire Sprinklers	6,414	0	6,414
SFP Labor Compliance Program	16,543	0	16,543
Total State Apportionment	$ 2,467,408	$ (28,291)	$ 2,439,117
Financing			
State (SFP)	$ 1,233,704	$ (14,146)	$ 1,219,558
Financial Hardship (SFP)	1,233,704	(14,145)	1,219,559
Total Project Costs	$ 2,467,408	$ (28,291)	$ 2,439,117

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $28,291 in the total project cost from $2,467,408 to $2,439,117.
2. Approve a decrease of $14,146 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2006-Nov.; 057-500] from $1,233,704 to $1,219,558.
3. Approve a decrease of $14,145 in the Financial Hardship Contribution [Bonds/2006-Nov.; 057-500] from $1,233,704 to $1,219,559.
4. Require the District to return State funds in the amount of $28,291.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……....50/67876-00-063
School District:…..……..San Bernardino City Unified
County:……..……..……San Bernardino
School Name:…..……....Rio Vista Elementary
Financial Hardship:……Yes
Date of Financial Hardship Status:……..May 13, 2008
Qualifying Financial Hardship Criteria: SFP Regulation 1859.81(c)(1)

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. This is a Financial Hardship project and has savings in the amount of $95,044. School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.103 states that "the State's portion of any savings declared by the district or determined by the OPSC by audit must be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant of that project … any interest earned on a financial hardship project not expended on eligible project expenditures will be treated as savings and will be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant for that project." The District has concurred with the findings and agrees to return the State funds in the amount of $95,044.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP New Construction	$ 670,518	$ (47,522)	$ 622,996
SFP SDC Therapy	265,073	0	265,073
SFP Service Site	85,133	0	85,133
SFP Offsite	3,230	0	3,230
SFP Utilities	109,161	0	109,161
SFP Small School	80,462	0	80,462
SFP Financal Hardship	1,299,186	(47,522)	1,251,664
SFP SDC Therapy Toilet	59,136	0	59,136
SFP Fire Detection/Alarm	3,353	0	3,353
SFP Fire Sprinklers	6,414	0	6,414
SFP Labor Compliance Program	16,706	0	16,706
Total State Apportionment	$ 2,598,372	$ (95,044)	$ 2,503,328
Financing			
State (SFP)	$ 1,299,186	$ (47,522)	$ 1,251,664
Financial Hardship (SFP)	1,299,186	(47,522)	1,251,664
Total Project Costs	$ 2,598,372	$ (95,044)	$ 2,503,328

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $95,044 in the total project cost from $2,598,372 to $2,503,328.
2. Approve a decrease of $47,522 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2006-Nov.; 057-500] from $1,299,186 to $1,251,664.
3. Approve a decrease of $47,522 in the Financial Hardship Contribution [Bonds/2006-Nov.; 057-500] from $1,299,186 to $1,251,664.
4. Require the District to return State funds in the amount of $95,044.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……..50/68338-01-004 School District:…..……San Diego Unified

County:……..……...……San Diego School Name:…….…Ellen Browning Scripps Elementary

Financial Hardship:……..……...…No

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close-out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. A review of expenditures reported by the District indicates that per SFP Regulation Sections 1859.74 and 1859.106 the District was over-funded for the Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC) grant in the amount of $1,500. The District has concurred with the findings and agrees to return the State funds in the amount of $750.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP New Construction	$ 1,945,800	$ 0	$ 1,945,800
SFP Site Acquisition	1,312,500	0	1,312,500
SFP Site Other	52,500	0	52,500
SFP Site DTSC Fee	750	(750)	0
Total State Apportionment	$ 3,311,550	$ (750)	$ 3,310,800
Financing			
State (SFP)	$ 3,311,550	$ (750)	$ 3,310,800
District Contribution (SFP)	3,311,550	(750)	3,310,800
Total Project Costs	$ 6,623,100	$ (1,500)	$ 6,621,600

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $1,500 in the total project cost from $6,623,100 to $6,621,600.
2. Approve a decrease of $750 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2004-Mar.; 055-500] from $3,311,550 to $3,310,800.
3. Approve a decrease of $750 in the District Contribution from $3,311,550 to $3,310,800.
4. Require the District to return State funds in the amount of $750.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……..53/68338-02-002 School District:…..……San Diego Unified

County:……..……...……San Diego School Name:…..……Golden Hill Elementary

Financial Hardship:……..……...…No

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close-out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. A review of expenditures reported by the District indicates that per SFP Regulation Sections 1859.74 and 1859.106 the District is eligible for additional Department of Toxic Substances Control grant funding and was not eligible for Labor Compliance Program funding it received. A net decrease in funding in the amount of $117,288 is required. The District has concurred with the findings and agrees to return the State funds in the amount of $58,644.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP New Construction	$ 7,045,704	$ 0	$ 7,045,704
SFP Multi Level Construction	775,776	0	775,776
SFP Site Acquisition	3,945,633	0	3,945,633
SFP Site Relocation	685,072	0	685,072
SFP Site Other	157,825	0	157,825
SFP Service Site	484,328	0	484,328
SFP General Site	527,734	0	527,734
SFP Offsite	82,599	0	82,599
SFP Utilities	45,058	0	45,058
SFP Urban Security	3,455,721	0	3,455,721
SFP Site DTSC Fee	1,250	3,051	4,301
SFP Fire Detection/Alarm	14,904	0	14,904
SFP Fire Sprinklers	114,696	0	114,696
SFP Labor Compliance Program	61,695	(61,695)	0
Total State Apportionment	$ 17,397,995	$ (58,644)	$ 17,339,351
Financing			
State (SFP)	$ 17,397,995	$ (58,644)	$ 17,339,351
District Contribution (SFP)	17,397,995	(58,644)	17,339,351
Total Project Costs	$ 34,795,990	$ (117,288)	$ 34,678,702

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $117,288 in the total project cost from $34,795,990 to $34,678,702.
2. Approve a decrease of $58,644 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2002-Nov.; 047-600] from $17,397,995 to $17,339,351.
3. Approve a decrease of $58,644 in the District Contribution from $17,397,995 to $17,339,351.
4. Require the District to return State funds in the amount of $58,644.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number: 50/75242-00-025
School District: ..... Val Verde Unified
County: ..... Riverside
School Name: ..... May Ranch Elementary
Financial Hardship: ..... No

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close-out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. The District received $16,906 for Site Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC) Fee and reported $7,051 in eligible expenditures. Therefore, the grant must be decreased by a total of $9,855. The District's portion of the adjustment is $4,928. The District concurred with the findings and has agreed to return the State funds in the amount of $4,928.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP New Construction	$ 7,085,295	$ 0	$ 7,085,295
SFP Site Acquisition	3,305,000	0	3,305,000
SFP Site Other	132,200	0	132,200
SFP Service Site	1,786,688	0	1,786,688
SFP General Site	597,519	0	597,519
SFP Offsite	769,767	0	769,767
SFP Utilities	60,768	0	60,768
SFP Site DTSC Fee	8,453	(4,928)	3,525
SFP Fire Detection/Alarm	9,030	0	9,030
SFP Fire Sprinklers	126,730	0	126,730
SFP Labor Compliance Program	52,830	0	52,830
Total State Apportionment	$ 13,934,280	$ (4,928)	$ 13,929,352
Financing			
District Contribution	$ 13,934,280	$ (4,927)	$ 13,929,353
State (SFP)	13,934,280	(4,928)	13,929,352
Total Project Costs	$ 27,868,560	$ (9,855)	$ 27,858,705

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $9,855 in the total project cost from $27,868,560 to $27,858,705.
2. Approve a decrease of $4,927 in the District Contribution from $13,934,280 to $13,929,353.
3. Approve a decrease of $4,928 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2004-Mar.; 055-500] from $13,934,280 to $13,929,352.
4. Require the District to return State funds in the amount of $4,928.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……55/66159-00-001 School District:…..……...Salinas Union High
County:……..……..……...Monterey School Name:…….....Everett Alvarez High
Financial Hardship:……...No

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. This is a Career Technical Educational Facilities Program (CTEFP) new construction project and has savings in the amount of $530,610. School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.199 states that "an applicant district may not retain any savings realized by a CTEFP project." The District has concurred with the findings and agrees to return the State funds in the amount of $265,305.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP New Construction	$ 960,401	$ (264,358)	$ 696,043
SFP Service Site	42,675	-	42,675
SFP CTE Equipment	58,947	(948)	57,999
Total State Apportionment	$ 1,062,022	$ (265,305)	$ 796,717
Financing			
District Contribution	$ 1,062,022	$ (265,305)	$ 796,717
State (SFP)	1,062,022	(265,305)	796,717
Total Project Costs	$ 2,124,044	$ (530,610)	$ 1,593,434

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $530,610 in the total project cost from $2,124,044 to $1,593,434.
2. Approve a decrease of $265,305 in the District Contribution from $1,062,022 to $796,717.
3. Approve a decrease of $265,305 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2006-Nov.; 057-600] from $1,062,022 to $796,717.
4. Require the District to return State funds in the amount of $265,305.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……57/65359-00-001
County:……Marin
Financial Hardship:……Yes
School District:……....Lagunitas Elementary
School Name:……..Lagunitas Elementary
Date of Financial Hardship Status:……...February 26, 2004
Qualifying Financial Hardship Criteria: SFP Regulation 1859.81(c)(4).

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. This is a Financial Hardship project and has savings in the amount of $157,137 and interest earned of $12,284 due after three years. School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.103 states that "the State's portion of any savings declared by the district or determined by the OPSC by audit must be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant of that project … any interest earned on a financial hardship project not expended on eligible project expenditures will be treated as savings and will be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant for that project." The District concurred with the findings and has returned State funds in the amount of $169,421.

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP Modernization	$ 256,202	$ (94,282)	$ 161,920
SFP Fire Code Compliance	26,480	0	26,480
SFP Financial Hardship	570,521	(62,855)	507,666
SFP Project Assistance	2,204	0	2,204
SFP Fire Detection/Alarm	35,375	0	35,375
SFP 50 Year Old Modernization	626,459	0	626,459
SFP Labor Compliance Program	15,148	0	15,148
Total State Apportionment	$ 1,532,389	$ (157,137)	$ 1,375,252
Financing			
State (SFP)	$ 961,868	$ (94,282)	$ 867,586
Financial Hardship (SFP)	570,521	(62,855)	507,666
District Contribution	70,724	0	70,724
Total Project Costs	$ 1,603,113	$ (157,137)	$ 1,445,976

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $157,137 in the total project cost from $1,603,113 to $1,445,976.
2. Approve a decrease of $94,282 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2004-Mar.; 055-570] from $961,868 to $867,586.
3. Approve a decrease of $62,855 in the Financial Hardship Contribution [Bonds/2004-Mar.; 055-570] from $570,521 to $507,666.
4. Recognize the District has returned State funds in the amount of $157,137.
5. Recognize the District has returned interest in the amount of $12,284.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……57/65359-00-002
County:……Marin
Financial Hardship:……Yes
School District:……...Lagunitas Elementary
School Name:……San Geronimo Valley Elementary
Date of Financial Hardship Status:……...February 26, 2004
Qualifying Financial Hardship Criteria: SFP Regulation 1859.81(c)(4).

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. This is a Financial Hardship project and has savings in the amount of $107,064 and interest earned of $8,361 due after three years. School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.103 states that "the State's portion of any savings declared by the district or determined by the OPSC by audit must be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant of that project … any interest earned on a financial hardship project not expended on eligible project expenditures will be treated as savings and will be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant for that project." The District concurred with the findings and has returned State funds in the amount of $115,425.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP Modernization	$ 417,440	$ (64,238)	$ 353,202
SFP Small School	16,698	0	16,698
SFP Fire Code Compliance	12,523	0	12,523
SFP Financial Hardship	276,913	(42,826)	234,087
SFP Project Assistance	2,204	0	2,204
SFP Fire Dectection/Alarm	19,520	0	19,520
SFP Labor Compliance Program	9,600	0	9,600
Total State Apportionment	$ 754,898	$ (107,064)	$ 647,834
Financing			
State (SFP)	$ 477,985	$ (64,238)	$ 413,747
Financial Hardship (SFP)	276,913	(42,826)	234,087
District Contribution	41,744	0	41,744
Total Project Costs	$ 796,642	$ (107,064)	$ 689,578

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $107,064 in the total project cost from $796,642 to $689,578.
2. Approve a decrease of $64,238 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2004-Mar.; 055-570] from $477,985 to $413,747.
3. Approve a decrease of $42,826 in the Financial Hardship Contribution [Bonds/2004-Mar.; 055-570] from $276,913 to $234,087.
4. Recognize the District has returned State funds in the amount of $107,064.
5. Recognize the District has returned interest in the amount of $8,361.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……...57/67819-00-001 School District:…..……Ontario-Montclair

County:…….……..……San Bernardino School Name:…..……..……..Arroyo Elementary

Financial Hardship:……Yes Date of Financial Hardship Status:…….February 16, 2006

Qualifying Financial Hardship Criteria: SFP Regulation 1859.81(c)(2)

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. This is a Financial Hardship project and has savings in the amount of $120,013. School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.103 states that "the State's portion of any savings declared by the district or determined by the OPSC by audit must be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant of that project … any interest earned on a financial hardship project not expended on eligible project expenditures will be treated as savings and will be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant for that project." The District has concurred with the findings and agrees to return the State funds in the amount of $120,013.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP Modernization	$ 1,820,370	$ (72,008)	$ 1,748,362
SFP Fire Code Compliance	54,611	0	54,611
SFP Financial Hardship	1,288,148	(48,005)	1,240,143
SFP Fire Detection/Alarm	57,241	0	57,241
Total State Apportionment	$ 3,220,370	$ (120,013)	$ 3,100,357
Financing			
State (SFP)	$ 1,932,222	$ (72,008)	$ 1,860,214
Financial Hardship (SFP)	1,288,148	(48,005)	1,240,143
Total Project Costs	$ 3,220,370	$ (120,013)	$ 3,100,357

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $120,013 in the total project cost from $3,220,370 to $3,100,357.
2. Approve a decrease of $72,008 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2006-Nov.; 057-570] from $1,932,222 to $1,860,214.
3. Approve a decrease of $48,005 in the Financial Hardship Contribution [Bonds/2006-Nov.; 057-570] from $1,288,148 to $1,240,143.
4. Require the District to return State funds in the amount of $120,013.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:…….57/67819-00-019 School District:……..…Ontario-Montclair

County:…….…...…San Bernardino School Name:……..……Vineyard Elementary

Financial Hardship:……..……...……Yes Date of Financial Hardship Status……..August 16, 2005

Qualifying Financial Hardship Criteria: SFP Regulation Section 1859.81(c)(4)

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. This is a Financial Hardship project and has savings in the amount of $8,694. SFP Regulation Section 1859.103 states that "the State's portion of any savings declared by the district or determined by the OPSC by audit must be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant of that project … any interest earned on a financial hardship project not expended on eligible project expenditures will be treated as savings and will be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant for that project." The District has concurred with the findings and agrees to return the State funds in the amount of $8,694.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP Modernization	$ 1,668,094	$ (5,217)	$ 1,662,877
SFP Fire Code Compliance	50,043	0	50,043
SFP Financial Hardship	1,180,848	(3,477)	1,177,371
SFP Fire Detection/Alarm	53,136	0	53,136
Total State Apportionment	$ 2,952,121	$ (8,694)	$ 2,943,427
Financing			
State (SFP)	$ 1,771,273	$ (5,217)	$ 1,766,056
Financial Hardship (SFP)	1,180,848	(3,477)	1,177,371
Total Project Costs	$ 2,952,121	$ (8,694)	$ 2,943,427

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $8,694 in the total project cost from $2,952,121 to $2,943,427.
2. Approve a decrease of $5,217 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2006–Nov.; 057-570] from $1,771,273 to $1,766,056.
3. Approve a decrease of $3,477 in the Financial Hardship Contribution from $1,180,848 to $1,177,371.
4. Require the District to return State funds in the amount of $8,694.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number: 57/10439-00-003
School District:…..Santa Clara County Office of Education
County: .....Santa Clara
School Name: ..... Foundry
Financial Hardship..... Yes
Date of Financial Hardship Status:.....June 9, 2005
Qualifying Financial Hardship Criteria: SFP Regulation 1859.81(c)(3).

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close-out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the County Office of Education (COE) has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. This is a Financial Hardship project and has savings in the amount of $3,650. School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.103 states that "the State's portion of any savings declared by the district or determined by the OPSC by audit must be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant of that project … any interest earned on a financial hardship project not expended on eligible project expenditures will be treated as savings and will be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant for that project." The COE has concurred with the findings and agrees to return the State funds in the amount of $3,650.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP Modernization	$ 224,508	$ (2,190)	$ 222,318
SFP Small School	26,941	0	26,941
SFP Urban Security	52,119	0	52,119
SFP Fire Code Compliance	6,735	0	6,735
SFP Financial Hardship	218,454	(1,460)	216,994
SFP Project Assistance	2,584	0	2,584
SFP Fire Detection/Alarm	5,194	0	5,194
SFP Labor Compliance Program	9,600	0	9,600
Total State Apportionment	$ 546,135	$ (3,650)	$ 542,485
Financing			
State (SFP)	$ 327,681	$ (2,190)	$ 325,491
Financial Hardship (SFP)	218,454	(1,460)	216,994
Total Project Costs	$ 546,135	$ (3,650)	$ 542,485

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $3,650 in the total project cost from $546,135 to $542,485.
2. Approve a decrease of $1,460 in the Financial Hardship Contribution [Bonds/2004-Mar.; 055-570] from $218,454 to $216,994.
3. Approve a decrease of $2,190 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2004-Mar.; 055-570] from $327,681 to $325,491.
4. Require the District to return State funds in the amount of $3,650.

REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER
State Allocation Board Meeting, January 22, 2014

SURPLUS SITE SALE PROCEEDS

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To present Brea Olinda Unified School District's (District) request to deposit proceeds from the sale of a school site property (Olinda Elementary School Site), which was purchased with local funds, into the school district's General Fund.

DESCRIPTION

The District is requesting State Allocation Board approval for the transfer of surplus real property sale proceeds into its General Fund for a one-time purpose as listed in Attachment A. The District requests this approval under the authority of Education Code (EC) Section 17463.7.

AUTHORITY

See Attachment B.

BACKGROUND

EC Section 17463.7 eliminates the ten-year lockout from School Facility Program New Construction funding imposed under EC Section 17462 if the surplus property was purchased with local funds. EC Section 17463.7 authorizes the use of surplus real estate sale proceeds for one-time general fund purposes as long as the costs are not related to a school district's on-going operations. Pursuant to California Code of Regulations, Title 2, Section 1700, "One-time Expenditures" means costs paid by the general fund of a school district that are nonrecurring in nature and do not commit the school district to incur costs in the future, and are exclusive of Ongoing Expenditures.

Under EC Section 17463.7, school districts are ineligible for hardship funding under the Deferred Maintenance Program for a period of five years after the proceeds are deposited into the General Fund. In addition, a school district's financial hardship assistance shall be reduced by an amount equal to that of a school district's one-time expenditure(s). A school district must certify the following:

- that it has no major deferred maintenance needs;
- that the site sale does not violate local bond restrictions; and
- that the real property is not suitable to meet projected school construction needs for the next ten years.

The school district must also present a plan to its local governing board regarding the one-time expenditures and explain why these expenditures will not result in on-going fiscal obligations for the school district.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve the transfer of surplus real estate sale proceeds for the District as indicated on Attachment A.

2. Accept District's governing board certifications as outlined in the narrative.

ATTACHMENT A

SURPLUS SITE SALE PROCEEDS
State Allocation Board Meeting, January 22, 2014

The table shown below provides a breakdown of sale proceeds for the District:

Brea Olinda Unified School District

Sale proceeds	$4,500,000
General Fund purpose	Less 1,290,000
Remaining Balance to Special Reserve for Capital Outlay Fund (Fund 40)	$3,210,000

The proceeds in the general fund will be used for the following: to maintain the State minimum three percent reserve in 2013-14, and comply with local bargaining agreement provisions.

ATTACHMENT B

Authority

California Education Code Section 17463.7:

(a) Notwithstanding any other law, a school district may deposit the proceeds from the sale of surplus real property, together with any personal property located on the property, purchased entirely with local funds, into the general fund of the school district and may use the proceeds for any one-time general fund purpose. If the purchase of the property was made using the proceeds of a local general obligation bond or revenue derived from developer fees, the amount of the proceeds of the transaction that may be deposited into the general fund of the school district may not exceed the percentage computed by the difference between the purchase price of the property and the proceeds from the transaction, divided by the amount of the proceeds of the transaction. For purposes of this section, proceeds of the transaction means either of the following, as appropriate:

(1) The amount realized from the sale of property after reasonable expenses related to the sale.

(2) For a transaction that does not result in a lump-sum payment of the proceeds of the transaction, the proceeds of the transaction shall be calculated as the net present value of the future cashflow generated by the transaction.

(b) The State Allocation Board shall reduce an apportionment of hardship assistance awarded to the particular school district pursuant to Article 8 (commencing with Section 17075.10) by an amount equal to the amount of the sale of surplus real property used for a one-time expenditure of the school district pursuant to this section.

(c) If the school district exercises the authority granted pursuant to this section, the district is ineligible for hardship funding from the State School Deferred Maintenance Fund under Section 17587 for five years after the date proceeds are deposited into the general fund pursuant to this section.

(d) Before a school district exercises the authority granted pursuant to this section, the governing board of the school district shall first submit to the State Allocation Board documents certifying the following:

(1) The school district has no major deferred maintenance requirements not covered by existing capital outlay resources.

(2) The sale of real property pursuant to this section does not violate the provisions of a local bond act.

(3) The real property is not suitable to meet projected school construction needs for the next 10 years.

(e) Before the school district exercises the authority granted pursuant to this section, the governing board of the school district at a regularly scheduled meeting shall present a plan for expending one-time resources pursuant to this section. The plan shall identify the source and use of the funds and describe the reasons why the expenditure will not result in ongoing fiscal obligations for the school district.

(f) The Office of Public School Construction shall submit an interim and a final report to the State Allocation Board and the budget, education policy, and fiscal committees of the Legislature that identifies the school districts that have exercised the authority granted by this section, the amount of proceeds involved, and the purposes for which those proceeds were used. The interim report shall be submitted by January 1, 2011, and the final report by January 1, 2015.

(g) This section shall remain in effect only until January 1, 2016 and as of that date is repealed, unless a later enacted statute, that is enacted before January 1, 2016, deletes or extends that date.

ATTACHMENT B

Authority

California Code of Regulations, Title 2, Section 1700:
For the purpose of the provisions of Education Code Section 17462, the terms set forth below shall have the following meanings, subject to the provisions of the Act:

"One-time Expenditures" means costs paid by the general funds of a school district that are nonrecurring in nature and do not commit the school district to incur costs in the future, and are exclusive of Ongoing Expenditures.

"Ongoing Expenditures" means costs paid by the general or special funds of a school district in support of employee salaries, benefits and other costs that are associated with ongoing and sustained operations and services except, if approved by the State Allocation Board, a single and one-time payment reducing a district's existing unfunded liability for postemployment benefits other than pensions will be considered a one-time expenditure and not an ongoing expenditure if the following conditions are also met: (1) the unfunded liability was incurred prior to January 1, 2007, (2) the unfunded liability has been determined using actuarial measurement methods as defined in Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement 45, and (3) the payment is consistent with any plan made by the district according to Assembly Bill 1802, Chapter 79, Section 43(a)(6)(A), Statutes of 2006 (Committee on Budget), as amended by Senate Bill 1131, Chapter 371, Statutes of 2006 (Committee on Budget and Fiscal Review), or a similar plan adopted by the district's governing board.

REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER
State Allocation Board Meeting, January 22, 2014

SCHOOL FACILITY PROGRAM
SUBSTANTIAL PROGRESS TIME EXTENSION

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To present the County Office of Education's (COE's) and District's request for a time extension to show substantial progress for School Facility Program (SFP) projects.

DESCRIPTION

The SFP regulations require that COE's and Districts which receive a design grant approval must demonstrate substantial progress has been made on the project within 18 months of receiving the fund release. Evidence of substantial progress can be demonstrated by showing any of the following:

1. Submittal of an approved New Construction or Modernization Adjusted Grant funding application.
2. Submittal of a district certification that the final building plans for the project have been submitted to and accepted by the Division of the State Architect (DSA) for review and approval.
3. Submittal of an approved separate site funding application pursuant to Section 1859.81.1(a) or an approved environmental hardship funding application pursuant to Section 1859.75.1.
4. Submittal to the Office of Public School Construction of evidence satisfactory to the State Allocation Board (SAB) detailing the reason(s) that plans have not been completed and accepted by the DSA.

AUTHORITY

Pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.105 (c)(4), sufficient evidence of substantial progress shall include other evidence satisfactory to the SAB detailing the reason(s) that plans have not been completed and accepted by the DSA. If the SAB determines that substantial progress has been made, the SAB shall condition its finding of substantial progress upon the COE's and District's commitment to complete and submit an Approved Adjusted Grant application within 18 months from the date of the SAB's determination of substantial progress.

STAFF COMMENTS

The Attachment represents a project application in which the COE and District received design grant apportionments and subsequent fund releases. The COE and District submitted an acceptable explanation of why a substantial progress extension is required. Staff recommends approval of the requests for additional time to submit evidence that substantial progress has been met on the design grants.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve the COE's and District's request for a determination of substantial progress with regard to the SFP applications, as indicated on the Attachment.
2. Failure to comply with either requirement as indicated on the Attachment will result in the projects apportionments being reduced to costs incurred or rescinded.

ATTACHMENT

Substantial Progress Time Extension
SCHOOL FACILITY PROGRAM
State Allocation Board Meeting, January 22, 2014

	Project Number(s)	***County Office of Education / District / County / School Name(s)***	***Summary of District Narrative***	***Staff Recommendation(s)***
Design Grant	50/10488-00-033	Solano County Office of Education Solano Sem Yeto Continuation High	The project received a Design Grant apportionment on June 27, 2012. The County Office of Education (COE) was delayed in proceeding with their design plans due to the District's concern with the closed school site that was originally considered, the 40-year-lease term required to obtain the site and finally their decision to dispose of the site. The COE is in search of a new site and has entered into discussions with another governmental agency. The COE will submit design documents to the DSA once negotiations for the site have been solidified. Therefore, the COE is requesting an 18-month extension to demonstrate substantial progress.	1. Require the COE to submit acceptable plans to the DSA by January 16, 2015. 2. Require the COE to submit a complete and approvable Adjusted Grant funding application to the OPSC by July 16, 2015. If both conditions are not met by July 16, 2015, the OPSC will proceed to rescind or reduce this project to costs incurred.
Design Grant	57/65821-00-002	Planada Elementary Merced Planada Elementary	The project received a Design Grant apportionment on June 27, 2012. The District was delayed in proceeding with their design plans due to unprecedented upper level management turnover and the loss of institutional knowledge of those personnel that commenced with the modernization process. The master planning efforts were further delayed due to conformance of the common core requirements of the new Local Control Funding Formula model and uncertainties of utilizing and leveraging Prop 39 monies. The District has completed the facilities master plan, has commenced the design phase and anticipates the architect will submit plans to DSA by April 2014. Therefore, the District is requesting an 18-month extension to demonstrate substantial progress.	1. Require the District to submit acceptable plans to the DSA by January 16, 2015. 2. Require the District to submit a complete and approvable Adjusted Grant funding application to the OPSC by July 16, 2015. If both conditions are not met by July 16, 2015, the OPSC will proceed to rescind or reduce this project to costs incurred.

Facility Hardship / Rehabilitation Program

REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER
State Allocation Board Meeting, January 22, 2014

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA	
School District:…….. NAPA VALLEY UNIFIED	County:……NAPA
Application Number:……. 58/66266-00-001	School Name:……...VINTAGE HIGH
Total District Enrollment:…… 18,326	Project Grade Level:……... 9-12
Financial Hardship:……... NO	

PURPOSE OF REPORT

Type of Request	State Allocation Board (Board) approval for placement on the Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans) for a School Facility Program (SFP) Facility Hardship Seismic Mitigation Program (SMP) rehabilitation project.
Total Project Cost	$ 1,082,429
Cost to the State	$ 541,215

DESCRIPTION

Description of Health and Safety Threat	Buildings D, F, G, H, and I at Vintage High School are Most Vulnerable Category 2 Building types. These five buildings were originally built in 1971. In November 2012, a structural evaluation was performed on the buildings which identified critical deficiencies in the building's roofs and wall anchorage systems. The Division of the State Architect (DSA) has concurred with the presence of the threat of a local or global collapse of the facility in the event of seismic activity, as outlined in the Eligibility Evaluation Report which was filed by the District's structural engineer.
Scope of Project	The scope of the project includes retrofit work and the seismic rehabilitation of the buildings.
Status of School Site	The buildings are currently occupied, and mitigation work has begun. The construction contract was awarded on May 28, 2013.

QUALIFYING CRITERIA

Government/State Level Concurrence	DSA has approved Buildings D, F, G, H, and I for SMP eligibility, has evaluated and approved the seismic mitigation work, and has issued plan approval.
Site Visit Completed by Staff	Staff has accepted reports by the industry specialist and DSA approval in lieu of a site visit.
Staff Supports the District's Request	Yes

(Continued on Page Two)

AUTHORITY

See Attachment A.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve the District's request for placement on the Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans) for SMP funding for Buildings D, F, G, H, and I on the Vintage High School site, pursuant to the SFP Regulation Section 1859.82, as provided on Attachment B.

2. Provide that the State portion of any and all savings which may be realized from the funding of this SMP project must be returned to the State.

ATTACHMENT A

AUTHORITY
(As of July 1, 2013)

Education Code (EC) Section 17075.10(a) states:

> A school district may apply for hardship assistance in cases of extraordinary circumstances. Extraordinary circumstances may include, but are not limited to, the need to repair, reconstruct, or replace the most vulnerable school facilities that are identified as a Category 2 building, as defined in the report submitted pursuant to Section 17317, determined by the department to pose an unacceptable risk of injury to its occupants in the event of a seismic event.

EC Section 17075.10(b)(2) states:

> Funds for the purpose of seismic mitigation work or facility replacement pursuant to this section shall be allocated by the board on a 50-percent state share basis from funds reserved for that purpose in any bond approved by the voters after January 1, 2006. If the board determines that the seismic mitigation work of a school building would require funding that is greater than 50 percent of the funds required to construct a new facility, the school district shall be eligible for funding to construct a new facility under this chapter.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82 states, "A district is eligible for facility hardship funding to replace or construct new classrooms and related facilities if the district demonstrates there is an unmet need for pupil housing or the condition of the facilities, or the lack of facilities, is a threat to the health and safety of the pupils."

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82(a)(1) provides for Facility Hardship grant funding when, "The facilities are needed to ensure the health and safety of the pupils if the district can demonstrate to the satisfaction of the Board that the health and safety of the pupils is at risk. Factors to be considered by the Board shall include…seismic mitigation of the Most Vulnerable Category 2 Buildings as verified by the DSA…."

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82(a)(1)(A) states:

> If the request is for replacement facilities, a cost/benefit analysis must be prepared by the district and submitted to the [Office of Public School Construction] OPSC that indicates the total costs to remain in the classroom or related facility and mitigate the problem is at least 50 percent of the Current Replacement Cost of the classroom or related facility. The cost/benefit analysis may include applicable site development costs as outlined in Section 1859.76. If the cost to remain in the classroom or related facility is less than 50 percent of the Current Replacement Cost, the district may qualify for a Modernization Excessive Cost Hardship Grant for rehabilitation costs pursuant to Section 1859.83 (e) or a grant not to exceed 50 percent of the cost estimate that has been reviewed and approved by the OPSC and approved by the board for seismic rehabilitation.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82(a)(1)(B) states:

> If the request is for replacement facilities that included structural and/or seismic deficiencies, the cost/benefit analysis must also include a report from a licensed design professional identifying the minimum work necessary to obtain DSA approval. The report must contain a detailed cost estimate of the repairs. The report and cost estimate shall be subject to review by the OPSC for conformance with the Saylor Current Construction Cost Publication and, at the OPSC's discretion, the DSA. For seismic deficiencies of the Most Vulnerable Category 2 Buildings, the report and the cost estimate for the minimum work necessary must be reviewed by the DSA.

ATTACHMENT A

AUTHORITY (cont.)

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82(a)(1)(C) states:

The seismic mitigation projects must meet all of the following requirements:

1. The construction contract was executed on or after May 20, 2006;
2. The project funding provided shall be for the minimum work necessary to obtain DSA approval;
3. The building is designed for occupancy by students and staff; and
4. The DSA concurs with a report by a structural engineer, which identifies structural deficiencies that pose an unacceptable risk of injury to its occupants in a seismic event. If the unacceptable risk of injury is due to the presence of faulting, liquefaction or landslide, these hazards must be documented by a geologic hazards report prepared by an engineering geologist in accordance with California Building Code, Part 2, Chapter 18, section 1803A and with the concurrence of the California Geological Survey.

The structural engineer's report shall conform to the guidelines prepared by the DSA, in accordance with Education Code Section 17310.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No:	58/66266-00-001	**County:**	Napa
School District:	Napa Valley Unified	**School Name:**	Vintage High

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project:	High School	**Application Filing Basis:**	Site Specific
Pupils Assigned Under 50 Yrs: K-6:			
7-8:			
9-12:			
Non-Severe:			
Severe:			
Financial Hardship Requested:	No	**Recommended Acres:**	104.6
Alternative Education School:	No	**Existing Acres:**	49.3

ADJUSTED GRANT DATA

Seismic Rehabilitation	$	541,214.00
Prevailing Wage Monitoring		677.00
Total State Share (50%)		541,891.00
District Share (50%)		541,891.00
Total Project Cost	$	**1,083,782.00**

PROJECT FINANCING

State Share		
This Project	$	541,891.00
District Share		
Cash Contribution		541,891.00
Financial Hardship		
Total Project Cost	$	**1,083,782.00**

HISTORY OF PROJECT COST AND APPORTIONMENT

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
New Construction/Additional Grant	**957-505**	**1D**	$	$ 541,891.00	$ 541,891.00
District Share					
Cash Contribution				541,891.00	
Total			$	$ **1,083,782.00**	$ **541,891.00**

Funding Source: Proposition 1D Bonds/2006-Nov.
Pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009, this application has been approved and placed on the Unfunded List.
This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future State funding.

Assembly Bill 436 (Solorio), Chapter 378, Statutes of 2011, requires school districts to notify the Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) of School Facility Program projects with a public works contract awarded on or after January 1, 2012. Except for projects exempt pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.3(b)(3), the DIR is required to provide prevailing wage monitoring services for the projects.

Provide that the State portion of any and all project savings realized from the funding of a Seismic Mitigation Program project must be returned to the State.

The District shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER
State Allocation Board Meeting, January 22, 2014

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA	
School District:……..NAPA VALLEY UNIFIED	County:……....NAPA
Application Number:……. 58/66266-00-002	School Name:……BEL AIRE ELEMENTARY
Total District Enrollment:……18,326	Project Grade Level:……K-5
Financial Hardship:……....NO	

PURPOSE OF REPORT

Type of Request	State Allocation Board (Board) approval for placement on the Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans) for a School Facility Program (SFP) Facility Hardship Seismic Mitigation Program (SMP) rehabilitation project.
Total Project Cost	$ 818,042
Cost to the State	$ 409,021

DESCRIPTION

Description of Health and Safety Threat	The Multipurpose (Library) Building, Administration Building, and Classroom Buildings 1 and 2 at Bel Aire Elementary School are Most Vulnerable Category 2 Building types. The buildings were originally built in 1957 and 1959. In November 2012, a structural evaluation was performed on the buildings which identified critical deficiencies in the buildings' roofs and wall anchorage systems. The Division of the State Architect (DSA) has concurred with the presence of the threat of a local or global collapse of the facilities in the event of seismic activity, as outlined in the Eligibility Evaluation Report which was filed by the District's structural engineer.
Scope of Project	The scope of the project includes retrofit work and the seismic rehabilitation of the buildings.
Status of School Site	The buildings are currently occupied and mitigation work has begun. The construction contract was awarded on May 23, 2013.

QUALIFYING CRITERIA

Government/State Level Concurrence	DSA has approved the Multipurpose (Library) Building, Administration Building, and Classroom Buildings 1 and 2 for SMP eligibility, has evaluated and approved the planned seismic mitigation work, and has issued plan approval.
Site Visit Completed by Staff	Staff has accepted reports by the industry specialist and DSA approval in lieu of a site visit.

(Continued on Page Two)

QUALIFYING CRITERIA (cont.)

Staff Supports the District's Request Yes

AUTHORITY

See Attachment A.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve the District's request for placement on the Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans) for SMP funding for the Multipurpose (Library) Building, Administration Building and Classroom Buildings 1 and 2 on the Bel Aire Elementary School site, pursuant to the SFP Regulation Section 1859.82, as provided on Attachment B.

2. Provide that the State portion of any and all savings which may be realized from the funding of this SMP project must be returned to the State.

ATTACHMENT A

AUTHORITY
(As of July 1, 2013)

Education Code (EC) Section 17075.10(a) states:

A school district may apply for hardship assistance in cases of extraordinary circumstances. Extraordinary circumstances may include, but are not limited to, the need to repair, reconstruct, or replace the most vulnerable school facilities that are identified as a Category 2 building, as defined in the report submitted pursuant to Section 17317, determined by the department to pose an unacceptable risk of injury to its occupants in the event of a seismic event.

EC Section 17075.10(b)(2) states:

Funds for the purpose of seismic mitigation work or facility replacement pursuant to this section shall be allocated by the board on a 50-percent state share basis from funds reserved for that purpose in any bond approved by the voters after January 1, 2006. If the board determines that the seismic mitigation work of a school building would require funding that is greater than 50 percent of the funds required to construct a new facility, the school district shall be eligible for funding to construct a new facility under this chapter.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82 states, "A district is eligible for facility hardship funding to replace or construct new classrooms and related facilities if the district demonstrates there is an unmet need for pupil housing or the condition of the facilities, or the lack of facilities, is a threat to the health and safety of the pupils."

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82(a)(1) provides for Facility Hardship grant funding when, "The facilities are needed to ensure the health and safety of the pupils if the district can demonstrate to the satisfaction of the Board that the health and safety of the pupils is at risk. Factors to be considered by the Board shall include…seismic mitigation of the Most Vulnerable Category 2 Buildings as verified by the DSA…."

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82(a)(1)(A) states:

If the request is for replacement facilities, a cost/benefit analysis must be prepared by the district and submitted to the [Office of Public School Construction] OPSC that indicates the total costs to remain in the classroom or related facility and mitigate the problem is at least 50 percent of the Current Replacement Cost of the classroom or related facility. The cost/benefit analysis may include applicable site development costs as outlined in Section 1859.76. If the cost to remain in the classroom or related facility is less than 50 percent of the Current Replacement Cost, the district may qualify for a Modernization Excessive Cost Hardship Grant for rehabilitation costs pursuant to Section 1859.83 (e) or a grant not to exceed 50 percent of the cost estimate that has been reviewed and approved by the OPSC and approved by the board for seismic rehabilitation.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82(a)(1)(B) states:

If the request is for replacement facilities that included structural and/or seismic deficiencies, the cost/benefit analysis must also include a report from a licensed design professional identifying the minimum work necessary to obtain DSA approval. The report must contain a detailed cost estimate of the repairs. The report and cost estimate shall be subject to review by the OPSC for conformance with the Saylor Current Construction Cost Publication and, at the OPSC's discretion, the DSA. For seismic deficiencies of the Most Vulnerable Category 2 Buildings, the report and the cost estimate for the minimum work necessary must be reviewed by the DSA.

ATTACHMENT A

AUTHORITY (cont.)

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82(a)(1)(C) states:

The seismic mitigation projects must meet all of the following requirements:

1. The construction contract was executed on or after May 20, 2006;
2. The project funding provided shall be for the minimum work necessary to obtain DSA approval;
3. The building is designed for occupancy by students and staff; and
4. The DSA concurs with a report by a structural engineer, which identifies structural deficiencies that pose an unacceptable risk of injury to its occupants in a seismic event. If the unacceptable risk of injury is due to the presence of faulting, liquefaction or landslide, these hazards must be documented by a geologic hazards report prepared by an engineering geologist in accordance with California Building Code, Part 2, Chapter 18, section 1803A and with the concurrence of the California Geological Survey.

The structural engineer's report shall conform to the guidelines prepared by the DSA, in accordance with Education Code Section 17310.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No:	58/66266-00-002	**County:**	Napa
School District:	Napa Valley Unified	**School Name:**	Bel Aire Park Elementary

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project:	High School	**Application Filing Basis:**	Site Specific
Pupils Assigned Under 50 Yrs: K-6:			
7-8:			
9-12:			
Non-Severe:			
Severe:			
Financial Hardship Requested:	No	**Recommended Acres:**	10.2
Alternative Education School:	No	**Existing Acres:**	9.9

ADJUSTED GRANT DATA

Seismic Rehabilitation	$	409,021.00
Prevailing Wage Monitoring		511.00
Total State Share (50%)		409,532.00
District Share (50%)		409,532.00
Total Project Cost	$	**819,064.00**

PROJECT FINANCING

State Share		
This Project	$	409,532.00
District Share		
Cash Contribution		409,532.00
Financial Hardship		
Total Project Cost	$	**819,064.00**

HISTORY OF PROJECT COST AND APPORTIONMENT

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
New Construction/Additional Grant	**957-505**	**1D**	$	$ 409,532.00	$ 409,532.00
District Share					
Cash Contribution				409,532.00	
Total			$	$ **819,064.00**	$ **409,532.00**

Funding Source: Proposition 1D Bonds/2006-Nov.
Pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009, this application has been approved and placed on the Unfunded List.
This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future State funding.

Assembly Bill 436 (Solorio), Chapter 378, Statutes of 2011, requires school districts to notify the Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) of School Facility Program projects with a public works contract awarded on or after January 1, 2012. Except for projects exempt pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.3(b)(3), the DIR is required to provide prevailing wage monitoring services for the projects.

Provide that the State portion of any and all project savings realized from the funding of a Seismic Mitigation Program project must be returned to the State.

The District shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER
State Allocation Board Meeting, January 22, 2014

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA	
School District: …….....GROSSMONT UNION HIGH	County:…….……..……....SAN DIEGO
Application Number:…….…… 51/68130-13-001	School Name:……..…....EL CAJON VALLEY HIGH
Total District Enrollment:…….……...22,965	Project Grade Level:……...…….….....9-12
Financial Hardship:……..……..……..……NO	

PURPOSE OF REPORT

Type of Request	State Allocation Board (Board) approval for placement on the Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans) for a School Facility Program (SFP) Facility Hardship Seismic Mitigation Program (SMP) Replacement project.
Total Project Cost	$ 6,847,404
Cost to the State	$ 3,423,702

DESCRIPTION

Description of Health and Safety Threat	Both the Boys' Locker Room building and the Girls' Locker Room building at El Cajon Valley High are of the Most Vulnerable Category 2 building type. Both buildings were originally constructed in 1954, and house shower and locker facilities as well as coaches' offices, a weight room, and other facilities that support a separate gymnasium building. In April 2012, structural evaluations were performed on the Boys' and Girls' Locker Room buildings, identifying critical deficiencies in both building's roof diaphragms, shearwalls, and concrete wall designs. The Division of the State Architect (DSA) has concurred with the presence of the threat for both structures of a local or global collapse of the facility in the event of seismic activity, as outlined in the Eligibility Evaluation Reports which were filed by the District's structural engineer.
Scope of Project	The scope of the project includes the demolition and replacement of the Boys' Locker Room building and the Girls' Locker Room building.
Status of School Site	Both buildings are currently occupied and mitigation work has not yet begun.

QUALIFYING CRITERIA

Government/State Level Concurrence	DSA has approved the Boys' Locker Room building and the Girls' Locker Room building for SMP eligibility, has evaluated and approved the planned seismic mitigation work, and has issued plan approval.
Site Visit Completed by Staff	Staff has accepted reports by the industry specialist and DSA approvals in lieu of a site visit.
Staff Supports the District's Request	Yes

(Continued on Page Two)

AUTHORITY

See Attachment A.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve the District's request for placement on the Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans) for SMP Replacement funding for the Boys' Locker Room building and the Girls' Locker Room building on the El Cajon Valley High site, pursuant to the SFP Regulation Section 1859.82, as provided on Attachment B.

2. Reduce the District's modernization eligibility by 169 pupil grants for grades 9-12, three pupil grants for Non-Severe, and four pupil grants for Severe as a result of square footage demolished and replaced pursuant to SFP Regulation Section 1859.61(l) and SFP Regulation Section 1859.82.

3. Provide that the State portion of any and all savings which may be realized from the funding of this SMP Replacement project must be returned to the State.

ATTACHMENT A

AUTHORITY
(As of July 1, 2013)

Education Code (EC) Section 17075.10(a) states:

> A school district may apply for hardship assistance in cases of extraordinary circumstances. Extraordinary circumstances may include, but are not limited to, the need to repair, reconstruct, or replace the most vulnerable school facilities that are identified as a Category 2 building, as defined in the report submitted pursuant to Section 17317, determined by the department to pose an unacceptable risk of injury to its occupants in the event of a seismic event.

EC Section 17075.10(b)(2) states:

> Funds for the purpose of seismic mitigation work or facility replacement pursuant to this section shall be allocated by the board on a 50-percent state share basis from funds reserved for that purpose in any bond approved by the voters after January 1, 2006. If the board determines that the seismic mitigation work of a school building would require funding that is greater than 50 percent of the funds required to construct a new facility, the school district shall be eligible for funding to construct a new facility under this chapter.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.61 (l) states that "The baseline eligibility for modernization as provided in Section 1859.60 for a specific site will be adjusted …as a result of classrooms demolished and replaced pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.82."

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82 states, "A district is eligible for facility hardship funding to replace or construct new classrooms and related facilities if the district demonstrates there is an unmet need for pupil housing or the condition of the facilities, or the lack of facilities, is a threat to the health and safety of the pupils."

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82(a)(1) provides for Facility Hardship grant funding when, "The facilities are needed to ensure the health and safety of the pupils if the district can demonstrate to the satisfaction of the Board that the health and safety of the pupils is at risk. Factors to be considered by the Board shall include…seismic mitigation of the Most Vulnerable Category 2 Buildings as verified by the DSA…."

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82(a)(1)(A) states:

> If the request is for replacement facilities, a cost/benefit analysis must be prepared by the district and submitted to the [Office of Public School Construction] OPSC that indicates the total costs to remain in the classroom or related facility and mitigate the problem is at least 50 percent of the Current Replacement Cost of the classroom or related facility. The cost/benefit analysis may include applicable site development costs as outlined in Section 1859.76.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82(a)(1)(B) states:

> If the request is for replacement facilities that included structural and/or seismic deficiencies, the cost/benefit analysis must also include a report from a licensed design professional identifying the minimum work necessary to obtain DSA approval. The report must contain a detailed cost estimate of the repairs. The report and cost estimate shall be subject to review by the OPSC for conformance with the Saylor Current Construction Cost Publication and, at the OPSC's discretion, the DSA. For seismic deficiencies of the Most Vulnerable Category 2 Buildings, the report and the cost estimate for the minimum work necessary must be reviewed by the DSA.

ATTACHMENT A

AUTHORITY (cont.)

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82(a)(1)(C) states:

The seismic mitigation projects must meet all of the following requirements:

1. The construction contract was executed on or after May 20, 2006;
2. The project funding provided shall be for the minimum work necessary to obtain DSA approval;
3. The building is designed for occupancy by students and staff; and
4. The DSA concurs with a report by a structural engineer, which identifies structural deficiencies that pose an unacceptable risk of injury to its occupants in a seismic event. If the unacceptable risk of injury is due to the presence of faulting, liquefaction or landslide, these hazards must be documented by a geologic hazards report prepared by an engineering geologist in accordance with California Building Code, Part 2, Chapter 18, section 1803A and with the concurrence of the California Geological Survey.

The structural engineer's report shall conform to the guidelines prepared by the DSA, in accordance with Education Code Section 17310.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No: 51/68130-13-001
School District: Grossmont Union High School District
County: San Diego
School Name: El Cajon Valley High

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project:	High School
K-6:	
7-8:	
9-12:	
Non-Severe:	
Severe:	
Application Filing Basis:	District Wide
Number of Classrooms:	1
Master Acres:	
Existing Acres:	36.8
Proposed Acres:	
Recommended Acres:	49.6
Facility Hardship (a):	Yes
Financial Hardship Requested:	No
Alternative Education School:	No
Addition to Existing Site:	No

ADJUSTED GRANT DATA

Prevailing Wage Monitoring		$	4,274.00
Service Site			237,120.00
Fac. Hardship Toilets	6701 Sq. Ft.		1,923,187.00
Fac. Hardship Other	7919 Sq. Ft.		1,259,121.00
Total State Share (50%)			3,423,702.00
District Share (50%)			3,423,702.00
Total Project Cost		$	**6,847,404.00**

PROJECT FINANCING

State Share		
This Project	$	3,423,702.00
District Share		
Cash Contribution		3,423,702.00
Financial Hardship		
Total Project Cost	$	**6,847,404.00**

HISTORY OF PROJECT COST AND APPORTIONMENT

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
New Construction/Add. Grant	**957-505**	**1D**		$ 3,423,702.00	$ 3,423,702.00
District Share					
Cash Contribution				3,423,702.00	
Total			$	$ **6,847,404.00**	$ **3,423,702.00**

Funding Source: Proposition 1D Bonds/2006-Nov.
Pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009, this application has been approved and placed on the Unfunded List.
This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future State funding.

Assembly Bill 436 (Solorio), Chapter 378, Statutes of 2011, requires school districts to notify the Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) of School Facility Program projects with a public works contract awarded on or after January 1, 2012. Except for projects exempt pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.3(b)(3), the DIR is required to provide prevailing wage monitoring services for the projects.

The District shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

Provide that the State portion of any and all project savings realized from the funding of this facility hardship/new construction project will be returned to the State.

REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER
State Allocation Board Meeting, January 22, 2014

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA	
School District: …….ST. HELENA UNIFIED	County:…….....NAPA
Application Number:…….…. 51/66290-00-001	School Name:……....ST. HELENA SENIOR HIGH
Total District Enrollment:……..…….……1,295	Project Grade Level:……...…….……...9-12
Financial Hardship:……..…….....……..……NO	

PURPOSE OF REPORT

Type of Request	State Allocation Board (Board) conceptual approval for a School Facility Program (SFP) Facility Hardship replacement project.
Estimated Total Project Cost	$ 4,513,161
Estimated Cost to the State	$ 2,256,581

DESCRIPTION

Description of Health and Safety Threat	The Performing Arts Building at St. Helena High School in St. Helena, California was originally constructed in 1952 and has a roof structure composed of a series of glu-laminated arched beams connected at the top with steel plates and bolts. The arches extend from the sides of the buildings and are anchored with steel collars to reinforced concrete abutments at the ends. According to a structural engineer's report prepared by Fellows Structural Engineering, the exposed portions of the arches are delaminating where they meet the steel collars, to the point that they are starting to buckle in some locations. The structural engineer's analysis indicates that the arches are overstressed by approximately 160 percent. At the recommendation of the structural engineer, the facilities are no longer being used, and temporary shoring towers have been placed inside the auditorium to prevent the roof from collapsing. The Division of the State Architect (DSA) has concurred with this recommendation, and states that "the health and safety of the pupils are at risk."
Scope of Project	The proposed project scope includes the demolition and replacement of the Performing Arts Building.
Status of School Site	The Performing Arts Building has been vacated, and all performing arts classes are temporarily using a small performance classroom.

QUALIFYING CRITERIA

Government/State Level Concurrence	DSA has provided a letter of concurrence with the structural engineer's report.
Site Visit Completed by Staff	Staff has accepted the report by the industry specialist and DSA's concurrence in lieu of a site visit.

(Continued on Page Two)

QUALIFYING CRITERIA (cont.)

Staff Supports the District's Request Yes

AUTHORITY

See Attachment.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Provide that the potential grant amount will be based on adjusted square footage calculation not to exceed 10,546 square feet of "other" facilities and applicable site development.

2. Provide that the District must file an Approved Application for funding within 18 months of this approval, pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.82(c).

3. Provide that this conceptual approval does not guarantee a commitment for funding by the Board. Any possible future funding will be subject to all governing laws and regulations, and the availability of funds.

4. Provide that the District's modernization eligibility will be adjusted as a result of one classroom demolished and replaced in the Performing Arts Building pursuant to SFP Regulation Section 1859.61(l) and SFP Regulation Section 1859.82 upon receipt of an Unfunded Approval by the Board.

5. Provide that the State portion of any and all savings which may be realized from the funding of this facility hardship project must be returned to the State.

ATTACHMENT

AUTHORITY
(As of July 1, 2013)

Education Code (EC) Section 17075.10(a) states:

> A school district may apply for hardship assistance in cases of extraordinary circumstances. Extraordinary circumstances may include, but are not limited to, the need to repair, reconstruct, or replace the most vulnerable school facilities that are identified as a Category 2 building, as defined in the report submitted pursuant to Section 17317, determined by the department to pose an unacceptable risk of injury to its occupants in the event of a seismic event.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.61(l) provides adjustments to the Modernization Baseline Eligibility as a result of classrooms demolished and replaced pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.82.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82 states, "A district is eligible for facility hardship funding to replace or construct new classrooms and related facilities if the district demonstrates there is an unmet need for pupil housing or the condition of the facilities, or the lack of facilities, is a threat to the health and safety of the pupils."

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82(a)(1) provides for Facility Hardship grant funding when, "The facilities are needed to ensure the health and safety of the pupils if the district can demonstrate to the satisfaction of the Board that the health and safety of the pupils is at risk. Factors to be considered by the Board shall include… structural deficiencies required by the DSA to be repaired…."

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82(a)(1)(A) states:

> If the request is for replacement facilities, a cost/benefit analysis must be prepared by the district and submitted to the [Office of Public School Construction] OPSC that indicates the total costs to remain in the classroom or related facility and mitigate the problem is at least 50 percent of the Current Replacement Cost of the classroom or related facility. The cost/benefit analysis may include applicable site development costs as outlined in Section 1859.76. If the cost to remain in the classroom or related facility is less than 50 percent of the Current Replacement Cost, the district may qualify for a Modernization Excessive Cost Hardship Grant for rehabilitation costs pursuant to Section 1859.83 (e) or a grant not to exceed 50 percent of the cost estimate that has been reviewed and approved by the OPSC and approved by the board for seismic rehabilitation.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82(a)(1)(B) states:

> If the request is for replacement facilities that included structural and/or seismic deficiencies, the cost/benefit analysis must also include a report from a licensed design professional identifying the minimum work necessary to obtain DSA approval. The report must contain a detailed cost estimate of the repairs. The report and cost estimate shall be subject to review by the OPSC for conformance with the Saylor Current Construction Cost Publication and, at the OPSC's discretion, the DSA.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82(c) states, "A district may request a determination of eligibility for facility hardship funding in advance of project funding."