

BEFORE THE
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE MATTER OF:
PARENTS ON BEHALF OF STUDENT,

v.

FOLSOM-CORDOVA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT.

OAH CASE NUMBER 2026061341

ORDER DENYING MOTION TO COMPEL DISTRICT'S
RESPONSE TO DUE PROCESS COMPLAINT AS MOOT

JULY 24, 2026

On June 26, 2026, Parents, on behalf of Student, filed a request for due process hearing, also called a complaint, with the Office of Administrative Hearings, called OAH, naming Folsom-Cordova Unified School District as respondent. On July 20, 2026, Student filed a motion to compel the district to file a response to Student's due process request. On July 21, 2026, Folsom-Cordova filed a response to Student's due process request.

The IDEA and California special education laws require a school district to file a response to a due process hearing request within 10 days of receipt of the complaint if

the school district did not previously send Parents a prior written notice. (20 U.S.C. § 1415(c)(2)(B); 34 C.F.R. § 300.508 (e); Ed. Code § 56502(d))

Student's motion to compel Folsom-Cordova's response to the complaint is moot, as Folsom-Cordova's response to the complaint was filed with OAH, and appears to have been served on Student's attorney via email on July 21, 2026.

Additionally, Student offered no credible evidence of prejudice due to the district's late response filing. The general rule in both federal and state forums is that purely technical violations of procedures, resulting in no prejudice, are not grounds for relief. (See, 34 C.F.R. § 300.513(a)(2), [prohibiting IDEA hearing decisions to be based on solely technical violations of the IDEA.]) All other relief sought in the motion to compel is denied.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Penelope Pahl

Penelope S. Pahl

Administrative Law Judge

Office of Administrative Hearings