

BEFORE THE
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE MATTER OF:
PARENT ON BEHALF OF STUDENT,

v.

CENTINELA VALLEY UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT.

OAH CASE NUMBER 2024010756

ORDER FOLLOWING PREHEARING CONFERENCE
GRANTING REQUEST FOR CONTINUANCE AND
TAKING NO ACTION ON WITHDRAWN
MOTION TO AMEND COMPLAINT

APRIL 22, 2024

On April 22, 2024, Administrative Law Judge Kara Hatfield, Office of Administrative Hearings, held a telephonic prehearing conference. The Administrative Law Judge will be referred to as an ALJ. The prehearing conference will be referred to as a PHC.

Deanna Sweeney, Attorney at Law, appeared on behalf of Student. Laura Fields, Attorney at Law, appeared on behalf of Centinela Valley Union High School District,

called Centinela Valley. OAH recorded the PHC. Based upon discussion with the parties, the ALJ issues the following order:

NO ACTION REGARDING STUDENT'S MOTION TO AMEND COMPLAINT

On January 24, 2024, Student filed a Due Process Hearing Request, called a complaint, with OAH, naming Centinela Valley. On February 2, 2024, Centinela Valley filed a notice of insufficiency as to Student's original complaint. On February 5, 2024, OAH determined Student's original complaint was insufficient in its entirety.

Student filed a first amended complaint on February 20, 2024. On February 27, 2024, Centinela Valley filed a notice of insufficiency as to Student's first amended complaint. On February 28, 2024, OAH determined Student's first amended complaint was insufficient in part.

On March 13, 2024, as permitted by OAH's February 28, 2024 Order, Student filed a second amended complaint. On the same day, OAH issued a new scheduling order.

On April 15, 2024, Student filed a third amended complaint. Centinela Valley did not consent to nor oppose the filing of a third amended complaint. During the PHC on April 22, 2024, Student withdrew the third amended complaint. Therefore, OAH takes no action on Student's withdrawn motion to amend the complaint.

HEARING DATES, TIMES, AND LOCATION

On April 17, 2024, the parties jointly moved to continue the prehearing conference and due process hearing based on their desire to set a mediation on a date after the dates currently set for the due process hearing.

The motion was timely filed three days prior to the prehearing conference.

A due process hearing must be conducted and a decision rendered within 45 days of receipt of the due process notice unless an extension is granted for good cause. (34 C.F.R. § 300.515(a) & (c) (2006); Ed. Code, §§ 56502, subd. (f), 56505, subd. (f)(3); Cal. Code Regs., tit. 1, § 1020.) As a result, continuances are disfavored. Good cause may include the unavailability of a party, counsel, or an essential witness due to death, illness or other excusable circumstances; substitution of an attorney when the substitution is required in the interests of justice; a party's excused inability to obtain essential testimony or other material evidence despite diligent efforts; or another significant, unanticipated change in the status of the case as a result of which the case is not ready for hearing. (Ed. Code, § 56505, subd. (f)(3); Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.1332(c).) OAH considers all relevant facts and circumstances, including the proximity of the hearing date; previous continuances or delays; the length of continuance requested; the availability of other means to address the problem giving rise to the request; prejudice to a party or witness as a result of a continuance; the impact of granting a continuance on other pending hearings; whether trial counsel is engaged in another trial; whether the parties have stipulated to a continuance; whether the interests of justice are served by the continuance or imposing conditions on the continuance; and any other relevant fact or circumstance. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.1332(d).)

The request for continuance is granted for good cause, to afford the parties the opportunity to mediate. All previously scheduled dates are vacated. The case shall proceed as follows:

MEDIATION DATE is May 22, 2024, 9:30 AM to 12:30 PM.

MEDIATION will occur by telephone or videoconference.

PREHEARING CONFERENCE will be held on June 10, 2024, at 10:00 AM.

All other prehearing matters shall be addressed at the June 10, 2024 PHC. All prehearing motions must be filed three business days before the PHC.

DUE PROCESS HEARING will be held on June 18, 19, and 20, 2024.

The hearing will be conducted via telephone or videoconference and shall begin at 9:30 AM and end at 3:30 PM on all days, unless otherwise ordered. The hearing shall continue day to day at the discretion of the ALJ.

The parties shall immediately notify all potential witnesses of the hearing dates, and shall subpoena witnesses if necessary, to ensure that the witnesses will be available to testify. A witness will not be regarded as unavailable for purposes of showing "good cause" to continue the hearing if the witness is not properly notified of the hearing date or properly subpoenaed.

SETTLEMENT

The parties shall immediately inform OAH in writing if they reach a settlement or otherwise resolve the dispute before the scheduled hearing. If the parties reach a settlement within five days of the scheduled start of the due process hearing, the parties shall also inform OAH of the settlement by telephone at (916) 263-0880.

If a full and final written settlement agreement is reached after 5:00 PM the day before the hearing, the parties shall leave a voicemail message regarding the settlement at (916) 274-6035. The parties should also leave contact information such as cellular phone numbers of each party or counsel for each party. At the same time, the parties should send the signature page of the signed agreement or a letter withdrawing the case to OAH at <https://www.applications.dgs.ca.gov/oah/oahsftweb>.

Dates for hearing will not be cancelled until the letter of withdrawal or signature page of the signed agreement has been received by OAH. If an agreement in principle is reached, the parties should plan to attend the scheduled hearing unless different arrangements have been agreed upon by the assigned ALJ. The assigned ALJ will check for messages before the hearing.

If the matter settles subject to board approval, in addition to a signed copy of the signature page of the settlement agreement, the parties shall provide the date of the next board meeting. The hearing dates will not be cancelled without this information.

FAILURE TO COMPLY

Failure to comply with this order may result in the exclusion of evidence or other sanctions.

ORDER INCLUDES ALL RULINGS

This Order includes all rulings made at the PHC.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Kara Hatfield

Kara Hatfield

Administrative Law Judge

Office of Administrative Hearings