

BEFORE THE
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE MATTER OF:
PARENTS ON BEHALF OF STUDENT

v.

LUCIA MAR UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT.
OAH CASE NUMBER 2021100057

ORDER FOLLOWING PREHEARING CONFERENCE
GRANTING JOINT REQUEST FOR CONTINUANCE

JANUARY 14, 2022

On January 14, 2022, Administrative Law Judge Chris Butchko, Office of Administrative Hearings held a telephonic prehearing conference. The Administrative Law Judge will be referred to as an ALJ. The prehearing conference will be referred to as a PHC. Melissa Cummins, Attorney at Law, appeared on behalf of Student. Sarah Garcia, Attorney at Law, appeared on behalf of Lucia Mar Unified School District. The PHC was recorded. Based upon discussion with the parties, the ALJ issues the following order:

HEARING DATES, TIMES, AND LOCATION

Upon the commencement of the PHC, the parties jointly moved to continue the prehearing conference and due process hearing because Student has been unable to review and execute the proposed settlement due to illness due to the Covid-19 pandemic. Student's counsel explained that counsel could not meet with the family due to illness and Student's parents speak only Spanish, requiring the presence and assistance of a family member as translator. The parties requested a brief delay in the hearing schedule in which Parents could recover from illness and would have an opportunity to meet with counsel to review the settlement agreement.

The motion was not filed three days before the PHC. However, good cause exists to consider the joint motion, as both counsel represented that the agreement had been finalized between counsel according to their authority and needed only to be executed by their clients.

A due process hearing must be conducted and a decision rendered within 45 days of receipt of the due process notice unless an extension is granted for good cause. (34 C.F.R. § 300.515(a) & (c) (2006); Ed. Code, §§ 56502, subd. (f), 56505, subd. (f)(3); Cal. Code Regs., tit. 1, § 1020.) As a result, continuances are disfavored. Good cause may include the unavailability of a party, counsel, or an essential witness due to death, illness or other excusable circumstances; substitution of an attorney when the substitution is required in the interests of justice; a party's excused inability to obtain essential testimony or other material evidence despite diligent efforts; or another significant, unanticipated change in the status of the case as a result of which the case is not ready for hearing. (Ed. Code, § 56505, subd. (f)(3); Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.1332(c).) OAH considers all relevant facts and circumstances, including the proximity of the hearing date; previous continuances or delays; the length of continuance requested; the

availability of other means to address the problem giving rise to the request; prejudice to a party or witness as a result of a continuance; the impact of granting a continuance on other pending hearings; whether trial counsel is engaged in another trial; whether the parties have stipulated to a continuance; whether the interests of justice are served by the continuance or imposing conditions on the continuance; and any other relevant fact or circumstance. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.1332(d).)

The parties agreed that a brief continuance was appropriate and would conserve the resources of the parties and the judicial system. Further, the parties represented that only a brief continuance would be necessary to allow Student's family to clear quarantine. Accordingly, the request for continuance is granted for good cause. All previously scheduled dates are vacated. The case shall proceed as follows:

Prehearing Conference: Friday, January 21, 2022, at 1:00 PM.

All other prehearing matters shall be addressed at the resumption of the prehearing conference on January 21, 2022. All prehearing motions must be filed three business days before the PHC.

Due Process Hearing: February 1 through 3, 2022.

The hearing shall begin at 9:30 AM on the first day of the hearing and at 9:00 AM on all other days, unless otherwise ordered. The hearing shall continue day to day at the discretion of the ALJ.

The parties shall immediately notify all potential witnesses of the hearing dates, and shall subpoena witnesses if necessary, to ensure that the witnesses will be available to testify. A witness will not be regarded as unavailable for purposes of showing "good

cause” to continue the hearing if the witness is not properly notified of the hearing date or properly subpoenaed.

SETTLEMENT

The parties shall immediately inform OAH in writing if they reach a settlement or otherwise resolve the dispute before the scheduled hearing. If the parties reach a settlement within five days of the scheduled start of the due process hearing, the parties shall also inform OAH of the settlement by telephone at (916) 263-0880.

If a full and final written settlement agreement is reached after 5:00 PM the day before the hearing, the parties shall leave a voicemail message regarding the settlement at (916) 274-6035. The parties should also leave contact information such as cellular phone numbers of each party or counsel for each party. At the same time, the parties should send the signature page of the signed agreement or a letter withdrawing the case to OAH at <https://www.applications.dgs.ca.gov/oah/oahsftweb>.

Dates for hearing will not be cancelled until the letter of withdrawal or signature page of the signed agreement has been received by OAH. If an agreement in principle is reached, the parties should plan to attend the scheduled hearing unless different arrangements have been agreed upon by the assigned ALJ. The assigned ALJ will check for messages before the hearing.

If the matter settles subject to board approval, in addition to a signed copy of the signature page of the settlement agreement, the parties shall provide the date of the next board meeting. The hearing dates will not be cancelled without this information.

FAILURE TO COMPLY

Failure to comply with this order may result in the exclusion of evidence or other sanctions.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Chris Butchko

Chris Butchko

Administrative Law Judge

Office of Administrative Hearings