

BEFORE THE
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE MATTER OF:
PARENTS ON BEHALF OF STUDENT,

v.

PLEASANTON UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT.

OAH CASE NUMBER 2021080878

ORDER DENYING MOTION TO COMPEL RESPONSE AS
MOOT

NOVEMBER 24, 2021

On August 27, 2021, Student filed her original Request for Due Process Hearing, called a complaint, in this matter. On September 22, 2021, Student filed a first amended complaint. OAH did not receive from Pleasanton any response to either the original or the first amended complaint. (See *M.C. v. Antelope Valley Unified Sch. Dist.* (9th Cir. 2017) 858 F.3d 1189, 1199-1200.)

On October 26, 2021, Student filed a second amended complaint. On November 16, 2021, Pleasanton filed a "Response to Amended Request for Due Process" that contained in its text the statement that Pleasanton was responding to the version of the complaint it received on October 26, 2021.

Notwithstanding the title of Pleasanton's November 16, 2021, filing, it appears from its contents to be a response to the second amended complaint, which was filed on October 26, 2021. Student's motion to compel a response is therefore moot. If this reading of the response is incorrect, Student may file another motion to compel a response.

ORDER

Student's motion to compel a response is denied as moot.

Charles Marson

Charles Marson

Administrative Law Judge

Office of Administrative Hearings