

BEFORE THE
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of:

PARENT ON BEHALF OF STUDENT,

v.

LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL
DISTRICT.

OAH Case No. 2015090480

ORDER FOLLOWING PREHEARING
CONFERENCE; ORDER GRANTING
CONTINUANCE OF HEARING

On December 4, 2015, a telephonic prehearing conference was held before Administrative Law Judge Caroline A. Zuk, Office of Administrative Hearings. Parent appeared on behalf of Student. Christine Wood, Attorney at Law, appeared on behalf of Los Angeles Unified School District. William Zubia provided Spanish language translation services for the parent. The PHC was recorded.

Based upon discussion with the parties, the ALJ issues the following order:

1. Request for Continuance, Mediation Date, Hearing Dates, Times, and Location. During the prehearing conference, the parties stated that they would like to a continuance of this matter based upon unavailability, which is granted. A due process hearing must be conducted and a decision rendered within 45 days of receipt of the due process notice unless an extension is granted. (34 C.F.R. § 300.515(a) (2006); Ed. Code, §§ 56502, subd. (f), 56505, subd. (f)(3).) Speedy resolution of the due process hearing is mandated by law and continuance of the hearing may be granted only upon a showing of good cause. (Ed. Code, § 56505, subd. (f)(3).)

Mediation is now scheduled for January 5, 2016 at 1:30 p.m., at 333 South Beaudry Avenue, 17th Floor, Los Angeles, California 90017. The hearing shall take place on January 19, 20, and 21, 2016, and continuing day to day, Monday through Thursday, at the discretion of the ALJ. The hearing shall take place at the Office of Administrative Hearings, 15350 Sherman Way, Suite 300, Van Nuys, California 91406. The hearing shall begin at 9:30 a.m. the first day of the hearing and at 9:00 a.m. all other days, unless otherwise ordered.

The parties shall immediately notify all potential witnesses of the hearing dates, and shall subpoena witnesses if necessary, to ensure that the witnesses will be available to testify. A witness will not be regarded as unavailable for purposes of showing “good cause” to continue the hearing if the witness is not properly notified of the hearing date or properly subpoenaed, as applicable.

2. Issues and Proposed Resolutions. The issue at the due process hearing, and the proposed resolutions, are listed below.

Did District deny Student a free appropriate public education at the May 6, 2015 IEP team meeting by not finding him eligible for special education based on Student's learning difficulties?¹

Student's proposed resolutions are:

a) reconsideration of Student's eligibility for special education due to learning difficulties; and

b) specialized academic instruction in a small group, such as 180 minutes per week of pull-out resource specialist program services in English Language Arts, and 180 minutes per week of pull-out RSP services in Math.

3. Exhibits. Parent did not submit a prehearing conference statement prior to the prehearing conference. During the prehearing conference, the ALJ reviewed the requirement that each party has the right to receive from the other party to the hearing, at least five business days prior to the hearing, a copy of all documents that the parties intend to present at hearing. The ALJ also confirmed that parent should submit Student's proposed exhibits to District's Office of General Counsel, located at 333 South Beaudry Avenue, 20th Floor, Los Angeles, California 90017. Exhibits shall be pre-marked and placed in three-ring exhibit binders prior to the hearing. The parties shall use numbers to identify exhibits, but shall place the letter "S" or "D" in front of the exhibit to designate if it is a Student or District exhibit (for example, "S-5, S-6, or D-1, D-2"). Each exhibit shall be internally paginated by exhibit, or all of a party's exhibits shall be Bates-stamped. Each exhibit binder shall contain a detailed table of contents. The parties shall serve their evidence binders on each other in compliance with Education Code section 56505, subdivision (e)(7). At the hearing, each party shall supply an exhibit binder containing its exhibits for use by the ALJ, and a second exhibit binder for use by witnesses. The parties may not serve exhibits on OAH prior to the hearing. In the event of duplicate exhibits, the most legible version will be used.

Except for good cause shown, or unless used solely for rebuttal or impeachment, any exhibit not included in the exhibit lists and not previously exchanged shall not be admitted into evidence at the hearing unless it is supported by written declaration under penalty of perjury, and the ALJ rules that it is admissible.

¹ During the prehearing conference, the ALJ asked the parent to explain the alleged basis for Student's eligibility for special education, and provided examples of eligibility categories. Parent's response was that Student had difficulty learning, which was consistent with Student's single paragraph complaint, which alleges that Student, a third grader, has "struggled greatly" since prekindergarten.

4. Witnesses. During the prehearing conference, the ALJ reviewed the requirement that each party has the right to receive from the other party to the hearing, at least five business days prior to the hearing, a list of all witnesses that the parties intend to present at hearing. The ALJ also confirmed that parent should submit Student's proposed witness list to District's Office of General Counsel, located at 333 South Beaudry Avenue, 20th Floor, Los Angeles, California 90017. Each party is responsible for procuring the attendance at hearing of its own witnesses. Each party shall make witnesses under its control reasonably available. The parties shall schedule their witnesses to avoid delays in the hearing and to minimize or eliminate the need for calling witnesses out of order. Neither party shall be permitted to call any witnesses not disclosed in the party's prehearing conference statement except for good cause shown, supported by written declaration under penalty of perjury, and at the discretion of the ALJ.

The parties shall attempt to reach agreement on a witness list for the first day of hearing. District shall have witnesses available in case agreement on a witness list is not reached. Prior to the commencement of the due process hearing, the ALJ and the parties will discuss the length of time anticipated for direct and cross-examination of each witness and scheduling issues for individual witnesses, and the ALJ will finalize the witness schedule. Additionally, the parties shall be prepared at the end of each day of hearing to discuss the witnesses to be presented the next day and the time the testimony of each such witness is expected to take.

5. Scope of Witness Examination. Each witness shall be called to testify only once, except for rebuttal purposes, and all parties shall examine the witness on all issues when the witness is first called. After the first direct and cross-examinations, each party shall be limited in examining the witness to only those matters raised in the immediately preceding examination. The order in which the parties present their cases in chief shall be subordinate to the need for each witness to appear only once.

6. Telephonic Testimony. Whether a witness may appear by telephone is a matter within the discretion of the ALJ. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 5, § 3082, subd. (g).) Any party seeking to present a witness by telephone shall move in advance for leave to do so, unless the opposing party has stipulated that the witness may appear by telephone. The proponent of the witness shall provide the proposed witness with a complete set of exhibit binders from all parties, containing all of each party's exhibits, prior to the hearing; and shall ensure that the hearing room has sound equipment that allows everyone in the room to hear the witness, and the witness to hear objections and rulings. No witness will be heard by telephone unless all these requirements have been fulfilled. No party requested telephonic testimony and no witness will be heard by telephone unless all these requirements have been fulfilled.

7. Video Recording of Hearing. No party, witness or anyone else present may make any video recording of any part of the proceedings. Any person doing so shall be subject to sanctions.

8. Order of Presentation of Evidence. In an administrative proceeding, the burden of proof is ordinarily on the party requesting the hearing. (*Schaffer v. Weast* (2005) 546 U.S. 49, 56-62 [126 S.Ct. 528, 163 L.Ed.2d 387].) Here, Student is the petitioning party. Accordingly, Student shall present its case-in-chief, followed by District.

9. Motions. No pretrial motions are pending or contemplated. Any motion filed after this date shall be supported by a declaration under penalty of perjury establishing good cause as to why the motion was not made prior to or during the prehearing conference of December 4, 2015.

10. Compensatory Education/Reimbursement. Any party seeking reimbursement of expenditures shall present admissible evidence of these expenditures, or a stipulation to the amount of expenditures, as part of its case in chief. A party seeking compensatory education should provide evidence regarding the type, amount, duration, and need for any requested compensatory education.

11. Stipulations. Stipulations to pertinent facts, contentions or resolutions are encouraged. Any proposed stipulation shall be submitted to the assigned ALJ in written form.

12. Conduct of Counsel and Hearing Room Decorum. Counsel, all parties, and all witnesses shall conduct themselves in a professional and courteous manner at all times. Cellular phones, pagers, recorders, and other noisemaking electronic devices shall be shut off or set to vibrate during the hearing unless permission to the contrary is obtained from the ALJ.

13. Special Needs and Accommodations. A Spanish language interpreter is required. The parties did not request any other accommodations. A party or participant to this case, such as a witness, requiring reasonable accommodation to participate in the mediation or hearing may contact the assigned calendar staff, identified in the scheduling order, at (916) 263-0880, as soon as the need is known. Additional information concerning requests for reasonable accommodation is available on OAH's website at:
<http://www.dgs.ca.gov/oah/Home/Accommodations.aspx>

14. Hearing Closed To the Public.

15. Settlement. The parties are encouraged to continue working together to reach an agreement before the due process hearing. The parties shall inform OAH in writing immediately should they reach a settlement or otherwise resolve the dispute before the scheduled hearing. In addition, if a settlement is reached within five days of the scheduled start of the due process hearing, the parties shall also inform OAH of the settlement by telephone at (916) 263-0880.

IF A FULL AND FINAL WRITTEN SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT IS REACHED AFTER 5:00 P.M. THE DAY PRIOR TO HEARING, THE PARTIES SHALL LEAVE A

VOICEMAIL MESSAGE REGARDING THE SETTLEMENT AT (916) 274-6035. THE PARTIES SHOULD ALSO LEAVE CONTACT INFORMATION SUCH AS CELLULAR PHONE NUMBERS OF EACH PARTY OR COUNSEL FOR EACH PARTY. THE PARTIES SHOULD SIMULTANEOUSLY FAX THE SIGNATURE PAGE OF THE SIGNED AGREEMENT OR A LETTER WITHDRAWING THE CASE TO THE OAH AT THE FAXINATION LINE at 916-376-6319.

Dates for hearing will not be cancelled until the letter of withdrawal or signature page of the signed agreement has been received by OAH. If an agreement in principle is reached, the parties should plan to attend the scheduled hearing unless different arrangements have been agreed upon by the assigned ALJ. The assigned ALJ will check for messages the evening prior to the hearing or the morning of the hearing.

16. Failure to comply with this order may result in the exclusion of evidence or other sanctions.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATE: December 07, 2015

/s/

CAROLINE A. ZUK
Administrative Law Judge
Office of Administrative Hearings