

BEFORE THE
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of:

PARENT ON BEHALF OF STUDENT,

v.

WILLIAM S. HART UNION HIGH
SCHOOL DISTRICT AND LOS ANGELES
COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION.

OAH Case No. 2015071062

CORRECTED ORDER DENYING
REQUEST FOR CONTINUANCE
WITHOUT PREJUDICE¹

On December 9, 2015, the parties filed a joint request seeking to continue the hearing date in this matter from December 22, 2015 to February 29- March 3, 2016. The parties delineated the request as an initial continuance and stated no reasons for the request. As discussed below, the motion is denied.

A due process hearing must be conducted and a decision rendered within 45 days of receipt of the due process notice unless an extension is granted for good cause. (34 C.F.R. § 300.515(a) & (c) (2006); Ed. Code, §§ 56502, subd. (f), 56505, subd. (f)(3); Cal. Code Regs., tit. 1, § 1020.) As a result, continuances are disfavored. Good cause may include the unavailability of a party, counsel, or an essential witness due to death, illness or other excusable circumstances; substitution of an attorney when the substitution is required in the interests of justice; a party's excused inability to obtain essential testimony or other material evidence despite diligent efforts; or another significant, unanticipated change in the status of the case as a result of which the case is not ready for hearing. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.1332(c).) OAH considers all relevant facts and circumstances, including the proximity of the hearing date; previous continuances or delays; the length of continuance requested; the availability of other means to address the problem giving rise to the request; prejudice to a party or witness as a result of a continuance; the impact of granting a continuance on other pending hearings; whether trial counsel is engaged in another trial; whether the parties have stipulated to a continuance; whether the interests of justice are served by the continuance; and any other relevant fact or circumstance. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.1332(d).)

OAH has reviewed the request for good cause and considered all relevant facts and circumstances. The request is:

¹ This Order was originally issued on December 9, 2015, and neglected to name all respondents in the caption. This corrected Order corrects that omission.

☒ Denied. All prehearing conference and hearing dates are confirmed and shall proceed as calendared. The matter has been pending since July 10, 2015, and was previously continued on August 27, 2015 to an October 23, 2015 prehearing conference with hearing dates in November. Given the age of the dispute, although the current hearing date was scheduled as a result of Student's October 22, 2015 amended complaint, this cannot be considered the initial continuance. Moreover, the parties do not state any reasons for seeking the continuance, and thus good cause has not been established. Parties may file another continuance request if they can establish good cause for a continuance.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATE: December 11, 2015

/s/

JUNE R. LEHRMAN
Presiding Administrative Law Judge
Office of Administrative Hearings