

BEFORE THE
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Consolidated Matters of:

PARENT ON BEHALF OF STUDENT,

v.

LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL
DISTRICT,

OAH Case No. 2015020671 (Primary)

PARENT ON BEHALF OF STUDENT,

v.

LOA ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL
DISTRICT.

OAH Case No. 2014100174 (Secondary)

ORDER FOLLOWING PREHEARING
CONFERENCE

On May 29, 2015, a telephonic prehearing conference was held before Administrative Law Judge Alexa J. Hohensee, Office of Administrative Hearings. Tania L. Whiteleather, Attorney at Law, appeared on behalf of Student. Patrick J. Balucan, Attorney at Law, appeared on behalf of District. The PHC was recorded.

Based on discussion of the parties, the ALJ issues the following order:

1. Hearing Dates, Times, and Location. The hearing shall take place on June 9-11, 2015, and continuing day to day, Monday through Thursday as needed at the discretion of the ALJ. The hearing shall begin each day at 9:00 a.m. and end at 4:30 p.m., with the exception of the first day which will begin at 9:30 a.m., unless otherwise ordered.

The hearing shall take place at the offices of OAH located at 15350 Sherman Way, Suite 300, Van Nuys, California 91406. OAH offices comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (42 U.S.C. § 12101 et seq.), the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (29 U.S.C. § 794), the Unruh Civil Rights Act (Civ. Code, § 51 et seq.), and all laws governing accessibility of government facilities to persons with disabilities.

The parties shall immediately notify all potential witnesses of the hearing dates, and shall subpoena witnesses if necessary, to ensure that the witnesses will be available to testify. A witness will not be regarded as unavailable for purposes of showing good cause to

continue the hearing if the witness is not properly notified of the hearing date or properly subpoenaed, as applicable.

2. Issues and Proposed Resolutions. The issues for the due process hearing are as follows:

1. Did District fail to meet its “child find” obligation from February 9, 2013 through February 9, 2015 by not finding Student eligible for special education?

2. Did District deny Student a free appropriate public education by failing to timely conduct and review assessments in accordance with an assessment plan signed by Parent and returned to District on October 20, 2014?

As remedies, Student seeks an order: (1) that Student was denied a FAPE, (2) that District assess Student in the areas of psychoeducation, speech and language, occupational therapy, and educationally related mental health services, (3) for compensatory education, and (4) for other appropriate relief.

3. Exhibits. Exhibits shall be pre-marked and placed in three-ring exhibit binders prior to the hearing. The parties shall use numbers to identify exhibits in a way that makes clear the party offering it (such as “S1” or “D2”). Each exhibit shall be internally paginated, by exhibit, or all of a party’s exhibits shall be Bates-stamped. Each exhibit binder shall contain a detailed table of contents. Each party shall serve an exhibit binder containing its respective exhibits on the other party by 5:00 p.m. on June 2, 2015, in compliance with Education Code section 56505, subdivision (e)(7). At the hearing, each party shall supply an exhibit binder containing its exhibits for use by the ALJ, and a second exhibit binder for use by witnesses. The parties may not serve exhibits on OAH prior to the hearing. In the event of duplicate exhibits, the most legible version will be used.

The parties shall meet and confer by 5:00 p.m. on Wednesday, June 3, 2015, to eliminate duplicate exhibits from the exhibit binders. Duplicate exhibits and tabs should be removed from all binders prior to the first day of hearing. Exhibits do not need to be re-numbered after duplicates are removed. The parties are encouraged, if the total number of documents is not too large, to place the exhibits for both parties into one binder. Each exhibit shall consist of one document; separate documents may not be combined into one exhibit.

The parties shall exchange resumes or curriculum vitae for each witness who is expected to testify as to their professional credentials. Notwithstanding the requirements of Education Code section 56505, subd. (e)(7), the parties shall exchange resumes not later than 24 hours before the witness is scheduled to testify.

Except for good cause shown, or unless used solely for rebuttal or impeachment, any exhibit not included in the exhibit lists and not previously exchanged shall not be admitted into evidence at the hearing unless it is supported by written declaration under penalty of

perjury, and the ALJ rules that it is admissible. Education Code section 56505, subd. (e)(8), affords each party the right to prohibit the introduction of any evidence at the hearing that has not been disclosed at least five days before the hearing.

4. Witnesses. Each party is responsible for procuring the attendance at hearing of its own witnesses. Each party shall make witnesses under its control reasonably available to the other party. The parties shall schedule their witnesses to avoid delays in the hearing and to minimize or eliminate the need for calling witnesses twice or out of order. Neither party shall be permitted to call any witnesses not disclosed in the party's prehearing conference statement, except for good cause shown, supported by written declaration under penalty of perjury, and at the discretion of the ALJ. Education Code section 56505, subd. (e)(8), affords each party the right to prohibit the introduction of any evidence at the hearing that has not been disclosed at least five days before the hearing.

The parties are ordered to meet and confer by 5:00 p.m. on Wednesday, June 3, 2015, as to the schedule of witnesses. The parties shall coordinate the availability and order of testimony of witnesses to ensure that there is a witness available to testify at all times during the hearing, and to ensure that the hearing is completed as scheduled. The parties shall prepare and present to the ALJ at the beginning of the hearing a joint, detailed schedule of witnesses the parties intend to call on each day of hearing, which schedule shall indicate the anticipated duration of each witness's direct, cross, re-direct and re-cross testimony.

No later than Monday, June 1, 2015, and preferably by Friday, May 29, 2015, District shall inform Student of any witnesses on Student's witness list who were, but no longer are, employees of District. For each of these former employees, District shall provide Student with current contact information for each of those former employees, including the last known mailing address and telephone number.

Student has identified 31 witnesses to be called at the hearing, and District has identified 19 witnesses. Some of the witnesses are listed by both parties. In light of the fact that three days have been scheduled for the hearing, examination of the number of witnesses proposed would make it difficult to complete the hearing as scheduled. Prior to the commencement of the due process hearing, the ALJ and the parties will discuss the length of time anticipated for examination of each witness and scheduling issues for individual witnesses, and the ALJ will finalize the witness schedule.

The parties are encouraged to review and shorten their witness lists prior to the hearing, bearing in mind that evidence will be excluded if it is repetitive, cumulative, or insufficiently probative to justify the time it would take to hear. The ALJ has discretion to limit the number of witnesses who testify and the time allowed for witnesses' testimony. Education Code, section 56505.1, subd. (h), empowers the ALJ presiding at a due process hearing to set a reasonable limit on the length of the hearing after consideration of all of the following: (i) issues to be heard, (ii) complexity of facts to be proven, (iii) ability of the parties or their representatives to present their respective cases, and (iv) estimates of the parties as to the time needed to present their respective cases.

5. Scope and Order of Witness Examination. After the first direct and cross-examinations, each party shall be limited in examining the witness to only those matters raised in the immediately preceding examination. The order in which the parties present their cases in chief shall be such that each witness need appear only once, so the parties shall be required to establish their cases in chief during the first appearance of a witness.

6. Telephonic Testimony. Whether a witness may appear by telephone is a matter within the discretion of the ALJ. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 5, § 3082, subd. (g).) Any party seeking to present a witness by telephone shall move in advance for leave to do so, unless the opposing party has stipulated that the witness may appear by telephone. The proponent of the witness shall provide the proposed witness with a complete physical set of exhibit binders from all parties, containing all of each party's exhibits, prior to the hearing; and shall ensure that the hearing room has sound equipment that allows everyone in the room to hear the witness, and the witness to hear objections and rulings. Electronic copies of the evidence binders will not be permitted. The witness must be on a clear telephone connection, and in a location that ensures the confidentiality of the proceedings. No witness will be heard by telephone unless all these requirements have been fulfilled.

7. Timely Disclosure of Witnesses/Exhibits. Education Code section 56505, subdivision (e)(7), provides for disclosure of witnesses and exhibits "at least" five business days prior to the hearing. Education Code section 56505, subd. (e)(8), affords each party the right to prohibit the introduction of any evidence at the hearing that has not been disclosed at least five days before the hearing.

8. Order of Presentation of Evidence. If a witness is to be called by more than one party, each party shall complete its direct questioning of that witness the first time the witness is called. If a party's witness is called first by an opposing party, direct questioning will be completed at the same time as cross-examination.

9. Motions. District moved to dismiss Student's claims under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act, Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act and California civil rights laws. Student's counsel represented that these claims were brought for the purpose of exhausting administrative remedies. OAH jurisdiction extends to determining if a student with a disability has received a FAPE under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (20 U.S.C. § 1400 et seq.) OAH does not have jurisdiction over claims raised under other statutes. Accordingly, the motion to dismiss those claims is granted. .

At present no other prehearing motions are pending or contemplated. Any motion filed after this date shall be supported by a declaration under penalty of perjury establishing good cause why the motion was not made prior to or during this PHC.

10. Compensatory Education and Reimbursement. Any party seeking reimbursement of expenditures shall present admissible evidence of these expenditures, or a stipulation to the amount of expenditures, as part of its case in chief. A party seeking

compensatory education should provide evidence regarding the type, amount, duration, and need for any requested compensatory education.

11. Stipulations. Stipulations to pertinent facts, contentions or resolutions are encouraged. Any proposed stipulation shall be submitted to the assigned ALJ in written form.

12. Conduct of Counsel and Hearing Room Decorum. Counsel, all parties, and all witnesses shall conduct themselves in a professional and courteous manner at all times. Cellular phones, pagers, recorders, and other noisemaking electronic devices shall be shut off or set to vibrate during the hearing unless permission to the contrary is obtained from the ALJ.

13. Special Needs and Accommodations. At present neither party anticipates the need for special accommodation for any witness or party, or for the services of an interpreter. A party or participant to this case, such as a witness, requiring reasonable accommodation to participate in the hearing may contact the assigned calendar clerk at (916) 263-0880, the OAH ADA Coordinator at OAHADA@dgs.ca.gov or 916-263-0880 as soon as the need is made known. Additional information concerning requests for reasonable accommodation is available on OAH's website at <http://www.dgs.ca.gov/oah/Home/Accommodations.aspx>.

14. Hearing Closed To the Public. The hearing will be closed to the public.

15. Settlement. The parties are encouraged to continue their attempts to reach an agreement before the due process hearing. The parties shall inform OAH in writing immediately should they reach a settlement or otherwise resolve the dispute before the scheduled hearing. If a settlement is reached five days or fewer than five days before the due process hearing is scheduled to begin, the parties shall, in addition, immediately inform OAH of that fact by telephone at (916) 263-0880. IF A FULL AND FINAL SETTLEMENT IS REACHED AFTER 5:00 P.M. THE DAY PRIOR TO HEARING, THE PARTIES SHALL LEAVE A VOICEMAIL MESSAGE REGARDING THE SETTLEMENT AT (916) 274-6035, AND SHALL ALSO LEAVE CELLULAR PHONE NUMBERS OF EACH PARTY OR COUNSEL FOR EACH PARTY.

Dates for hearing will not be vacated until OAH receives a letter of withdrawal, or those portions of the signed agreement withdrawing the case, with signatures. If an agreement in principle is reached, the parties should plan to attend the scheduled hearing unless different arrangements have been agreed upon by the assigned ALJ. The assigned ALJ will check for messages the evening prior to the hearing or the morning of the hearing.

If the matter settles subject to board approval, in addition to a signed copy of the signature page of the settlement agreement as noted above, the parties shall submit a request for a status conference and provide the date of the next board meeting. The hearing dates will not be cancelled without this information.

16. Failure to comply with this order may result in the exclusion of evidence or other sanctions.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATE: May 29, 2015

/s/

ALEXA J. HOHENSEE

Administrative Law Judge

Office of Administrative Hearings