

BEFORE THE
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of:

PARENTS ON BEHALF OF STUDENT,

v.

DEL MAR UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT.

OAH CASE NO. 2012110472

ORDER FOLLOWING PRE-HEARING
CONFERENCE OF JANUARY 2, 2013;
GRANTING JOINT REQUEST TO
CONTINUE DUE PROCESS HEARING

On January 2, 2013, a telephonic prehearing conference (PHC) was held before Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) Paul H. Kamoroff, Office of Administrative Hearings (OAH). Mark Woodsmall, Attorney at Law, appeared on behalf of Parents on behalf of Student (Student). Justin R. Shinnefield, Attorney at Law, appeared on behalf of the Del Mar Union School District (District). The PHC was not recorded.

Prior to the PHC, Student and the District jointly requested a continuance of the due process hearing.

A due process hearing must be conducted and a decision rendered within 45 days of receipt of the due process notice unless an extension is granted for good cause. (34 C.F.R. § 300.515(a) & (c) (2006); Ed. Code, §§ 56502, subd. (f), 56505, subd. (f)(3); Cal. Code Regs., tit. 1, § 1020.) As a result, continuances are disfavored. Good cause may include the unavailability of a party, counsel, or an essential witness due to death, illness or other excusable circumstances; substitution of an attorney when the substitution is required in the interests of justice; a party's excused inability to obtain essential testimony or other material evidence despite diligent efforts; or another significant, unanticipated change in the status of the case as a result of which the case is not ready for hearing. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.1332(c).) OAH considers all relevant facts and circumstances, including the proximity of the hearing date; previous continuances or delays; the length of continuance requested; the availability of other means to address the problem giving rise to the request; prejudice to a party or witness as a result of a continuance; the impact of granting a continuance on other pending hearings; whether trial counsel is engaged in another trial; whether the parties have stipulated to a continuance; whether the interests of justice are served by the continuance; and any other relevant fact or circumstance. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.1332(d).)

OAH has reviewed the request for good cause and considered all relevant facts and circumstances. The request is:

☒ Granted. All dates for this matter are vacated. This matter will be set as follows:

Prehearing Conference: 2/11/2013, at 10:00 a.m.
Due Process Hearing: 2/20/2013; 2/21/2013; 2/22/2013, and continuing day to day, Monday through Thursday, as needed at the discretion of the Administrative Law Judge, unless otherwise ordered.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 02, 2013

/s/

PAUL H. KAMOROFF
Administrative Law Judge
Office of Administrative Hearings