BEFORE THE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

CASE NO. 2021120714

PARENT ON BEHALF OF STUDENT,

v.

ROCKLIN UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT.

DECISION

APRIL 27, 2022

On December 21, 2021, the Office of Administrative Hearings, called OAH, received a due process hearing request from Parent on behalf of Student, naming Rocklin Unified School District and Elk Grove Unified School District. OAH granted a continuance on January 31, 2022. On February 8, 2022, OAH dismissed Elk Grove Unified School District upon Student's request. Administrative Law Judge Cararea Lucier heard this matter by videoconference on February 15, 16, and 17, and March 8 and 9, 2022. Sheila Bayne, Constance Zarkowski and Robert Burgermeister, attorneys at law, represented Parent and Student. Parent attended all hearing days on Student's behalf. Tilman Heyer and Marcy Gutierrez, attorneys at law, represented Rocklin Unified School District. Crista Burke, Interim Director of Special Education, attended all hearing days on Rocklin's behalf.

The matter was continued to April 4, 2022, for written closing briefs. The record was closed and the matter submitted on April 4, 2022.

ISSUE

 Did Rocklin Unified School District deny Student a free appropriate public education, referred to as FAPE, by failing to follow Student's health plan incorporated by reference in the October 5, 2021, Individualized Education Program, referred to as IEP?

In this matter the parties used the terms "individualized health plan," "health care plan," and "health plan" interchangeably to describe Student's health plan dated October 5, 2021.

JURISDICTION

This hearing was held under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, its regulations, and California statutes and regulations. (20 U.S.C. § 1400 et seq.; 34 C.F.R.

§ 300.1 (2006) et seq.; Ed. Code, § 56000 et seq.; Cal. Code Regs., tit. 5, § 3000 et seq.) The main purposes of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, referred to as the IDEA, are to ensure:

- all children with disabilities have available to them a free appropriate public education that emphasizes special education and related services designed to meet their unique needs and prepare them for further education, employment and independent living, and
- the rights of children with disabilities and their parents are protected. (20 U.S.C. § 1400(d)(1); See Ed. Code, § 56000, subd. (a).)

The IDEA affords parents and local educational agencies the procedural protection of an impartial due process hearing with respect to any matter relating to the identification, assessment, or educational placement of the child, or the provision of a free appropriate public education to the child. (20 U.S.C. § 1415(b)(6) & (f); 34 C.F.R. § 300.511 (2006); Ed. Code, §§ 56501, 56502, 56505; Cal. Code Regs., tit. 5, § 3082.) The party requesting the hearing is limited to the issues alleged in the complaint, unless the other party consents, and has the burden of proof by a preponderance of the evidence. (20 U.S.C. § 1415(f)(3)(B); Ed. Code, § 56502, subd. (i); *Schaffer v. Weast* (2005) 546 U.S. 49, 57-58, 62 [126 S.Ct. 528, 163 L.Ed.2d 387]; and see 20 U.S.C. § 1415(i)(2)(C)(iii).) Student had the burden of proof in this matter. (*J.G. v. Department of Education* (9th Cir. 2019) 772 Fed.Appx. 567.) The factual statements in this Decision constitute the written findings of fact required by the IDEA and state law. (20 U.S.C. § 1415(h)(4); Ed. Code, § 56505, subd. (e)(5).)

Student was a 12-year-old boy who resided within Rocklin Unified School District with his mother from September 8, 2021, through December 23, 2021. Student

continued to be a student of Rocklin when he resided with foster parents from December 24, 2021, through the dates of this hearing. He qualified for special education and related services under the eligibility category of multiple disabilities.

ISSUE 1: DID ROCKLIN UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT DENY STUDENT A FAPE BY FAILING TO FOLLOW STUDENT'S HEALTH PLAN INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE IN THE OCTOBER 5, 2021 IEP?

Student contends that Student's health care plan of October 5, 2021, was a key component of Student's IEP that Rocklin did not follow for 34 minutes on December 2, 2021. Student asserts that Student exhibited symptoms of a seizure for the entirety of the 34-minute period, and that Rocklin did not follow the steps outlined in Student's health care plan, thereby denying him a FAPE. In his closing brief Student argues that he is entitled to various remedies, including \$380,000.00 in funds for compensatory education, in addition to compensatory services and funding for private assessments.

Rocklin contends that Student may have had a brief seizure on December 2, 2021, but that Rocklin's staff implemented Student's health care plan with fidelity. Rocklin argues that it was not required to call 911. Although Student may have had a two to three-minute seizure, the health care plan specified that staff was required to call 911 in the event of a five-minute seizure, or because of other conditions that did not occur in this case. Rocklin also contends that Student did not suffer any lasting harm from the events, that Student did not meet his burden of proof with respect to remedies, and that Parent was, in Rocklin's view, an unreliable witness. A FAPE means special education and related services that are available to an eligible child that meets state educational standards at no charge to the parent or guardian. (20 U.S.C. § 1401(9); 34 C.F.R. § 300.17 (2006).) Parents and school personnel develop an IEP for an eligible student based upon state law and the IDEA. (20 U.S.C. §§ 1401(14), 1414(d)(1); and see Ed. Code, §§ 56031,56032, 56341, 56345, subd. (a) and 56363 subd. (a); 34 C.F.R. §300.501.)

In general, a child eligible for special education must be provided access to specialized instruction and related services which are individually designed to provide educational benefit through an IEP reasonably calculated to enable a child to make progress appropriate in light of the child's circumstances. (*Board of Education of the Hendrick Hudson Central School Dist. v. Rowley* (1982) 458 U.S. 176, 201-204; *Endrew F. v. Douglas County School Dist. RE-1* (2017) 580 U.S. ____ [137 S.Ct. 988, 1000].)

In California, related services include health and nursing services. (Ed. Code § 56363, subd. (b)(12).) Health and nursing related services include managing an individual's health problems on the school site. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 5, § 3051.12(a)(2).) Schools must provide appropriate accommodations for the safety and necessary physical care for individuals with disabilities, while simultaneously assuring the personal privacy and dignity of such individuals. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 5, § 3051.12(b)(3)(B).)

A school district violates the IDEA if it materially fails to implement a child's IEP. (20 U.S.C. § 1401(9).) A material failure occurs when there is more than a minor discrepancy between the services provided to a disabled child and those required by the IEP. (*Van Duyn v. Baker School Dist.* (9th Cir. 2007) 502 F.3d 811, 815, 822.) However, "[T]he materiality standard does not require that the child suffer demonstrable educational harm in order to prevail." (*Ibid.*)

On November 24, 2009, Student was born prematurely at 24 weeks gestation, weighing one pound seven ounces. He remained in the neonatal intensive care unit for the first 10 months of his life. Student was born with numerous disabilities and medical conditions.

At birth, Student suffered from a buildup of excess cerebrospinal fluid in his brain, resulting in a diagnosis of Congenital Hydrocephalus. Doctors surgically implanted a ventriculoperitoneal, referred to as VP, shunt that runs from his head, behind his ear, down his chest, into his small intestine to drain the excess fluid.

Student had Cerebral Palsy. He was partially paralyzed on the right side of his body, resulting in mobility difficulties. He wore AFO leg braces and a splint on his right hand and thumb. He could transition in and out of a wheelchair independently.

Student was visually impaired. The lens of his left eye was removed due to glaucoma. He was farsighted and could perceive light out of his left eye and objects out of his right eye. Student was nonverbal. He communicated using gestures, facial expressions, American Sign Language, and an augmentative and alternative communication, referred to as AAC, device.

Student had a seizure disorder, Epilepsy, with a history of petit mal seizures. Student began having seizures at age two and suffered over 20 seizures in his life. At times, Student has had consecutive seizures, with each seizure lasting 30 seconds to one minute. Historically, Student's seizure symptoms have included shortness of breath, head moving to one side, and darting, widened eyes. Student took several medications for his seizure disorder, including Keppra, Topamax, and Onfi. Student attended school in Elk Grove Unified School District, located in Sacramento County, prior to moving to the Rocklin area. On May 27, 2021, Elk Grove held Student's annual IEP review meeting. Student qualified for special education and related services under the category of multiple disabilities. Elk Grove described Student as a happy and social young man with a goofy sense of humor. His favorite activity was dancing and watching music videos. His team noted, "He mostly likes to rock out to music!" Elk Grove's IEP included a health plan with instructions in case he had a seizure at school.

On or around September 8, 2021, Student and his mother moved to a residence within the boundaries of Rocklin Unified School District, within Placer County. On September 8, 2021, Rocklin developed an interim 30-day IEP and Student began attending sixth grade at Breen Elementary School. Rocklin placed Student in an independent living skills, referred to as ILS, special day class for fourth through sixth graders. The ILS class was located in a portable classroom, divided into two rooms and a restroom. The front room of the classroom was the main instructional area. The second room was used as a sensory break room, with the restroom at the back. The class had six students, one teacher, and two to three paraprofessional aides.

Brandi Flanagan taught the grade 4-6 ILS class at Breen Elementary. She was a credentialed teacher with 18 years' experience teaching special education, primarily in Maine and Massachusetts. Flanagan began working at Rocklin on September 30, 2021 and her first day in Student's classroom was October 5, 2021. On the witness stand, Flanagan presented as a caring educator who was concerned for Student. However, Flanagan was evasive and often dodged direct questions or gave vague non-answers.

When asked straightforward questions about Student's health care plan she became visibly nervous and uncomfortable. As such, Flanagan was not a highly credible witness and her testimony was given diminished weight.

On October 5, 2021, Rocklin convened a 30-day review IEP for Student. Jennifer Palmer, Principal of Breen Elementary, ran the IEP team meeting because Student's case manager, Flanagan, was not yet familiar with the protocols in Rocklin and in California. Rocklin updated Student's present levels of performance that had been previously developed at Elk Grove. The IEP team developed an IEP for Student with annual goals, placement at Breen Elementary, and related services. At the October 5, 2021 meeting, the team discussed Student's health needs and his health care plan. Nurse Julianne Gonzalez attended the meeting and developed his health care plan, with input from Parent. The parties agree that Student's individualized health plan, dated October 5, 2021, was incorporated by reference into Student's IEP of October 5, 2021. Parent consented to the October 5, 2021, IEP without limitation.

STUDENT'S HEALTH CARE PLAN

Student's health plan required the school to take specific actions to protect Student's health and safety at school. The health plan included general directions, as well as more specific protocols relating to seizures and Student's VP shunt.

The first instruction in the health care plan, which was bolded and in a larger font, stated: "If you notice any behavior that is unusual for the child notify the parents and nurse." Additionally, the plan included directives regarding toileting and ambulation.

Student was encouraged to walk, but a wheelchair was needed when he left the classroom. If Student became unresponsive, severely confused, or unconscious, the school staff was required to call 911.

If Student became nauseous, vomited, was unusually lethargic, or feverish, these were possible symptoms of a VP shunt failure. Additionally, headaches, double vision, bulging eyes, or redness along his shunt tract on his scalp, were all symptoms of a possible shunt failure. School staff was required to monitor Student for these symptoms. If he displayed any of these symptoms, school staff was required to call Parent immediately. In bolded, italicized text, the plan repeated the directive that if Student became unresponsive, severely confused, or unconscious, the school staff was required to call 911.

Student's seizures were a critical focus of the health plan and school staff's obligations to Student. If Student stopped what he was doing, had a blank stare, or fluttering eyelids, he was displaying symptoms of a possible absent (petit mal) seizure. Staff was required to stay with Student; observe and document the time and symptoms; call Student by his name and allow him to refocus and rest; and report the seizure activity to Parent. If Student became jerky, was shaking, or had a stiffening of all or parts of his body, he was showing symptoms of a possible tonic clonic (grand mal) seizure. For symptoms of grand mal seizures, staff was required to stay with Student without attempting to stop the seizure, and to protect him by easing him to the floor, loosening restrictive clothing, and removing any hard or sharp objects nearby.

School staff was required to call for another staff member to follow five steps if Student showed signs of any type of seizure. First, school staff was required to note the time the seizure started and ended, using a seizure log. Next, the school was required to notify the nurse and parents. Then, the school staff was required to reference a document called "First Aid for Seizures" for guidance while waiting for help. This document described common signs of a seizure such as blank staring, chewing, fumbling, wandering, shaking, and confused speech. The document advised school staff to time the seizure, speak calmly, explain the situation to others, block hazards, and avoid holding or grabbing the child.

Finally, the health plan required the school to call 911 if the seizure symptoms lasted longer than five minutes, or if Student was injured, hit his head, had difficulty breathing or turned blue, if the seizure happened in water, or if additional seizures happened before Student woke up. Student's health care plan did not require school staff to diagnose or confirm seizures. Rather, school staff was required to be familiar with symptoms of potential seizures and to respond as described in the health care plan.

Student's health care plan also included a health history, which provided the school staff with additional information and context for Student's health needs at school. Student had a history of petit mal seizures, with the most recent seizure two months prior in August of 2021. When Student had a petit mal seizure, he might stare blankly, stop what he was doing, and flutter his eyelids.

MEDICAL INCIDENT ON DECEMBER 2, 2021

At around 1:00 p.m. on December 2, 2021, Student was sitting on the toilet in the restroom of his classroom when he lost control of his extremities. He had previously requested to use the restroom by pointing to a symbol on his communication board. His classroom teacher, Brandi Flanagan, assisted him to the classroom restroom and found that he had a wet pull up. Student sat on the toilet while she changed his lower clothes. Flanagan attempted to stand Student up to pull up his undergarment and

clothing. Student initially held on to the handicapped bar in the restroom, then let go and could not bear his own weight. Flanagan maintained Student's balance and supported his weight. She cued him to stand but he did not. Flanagan lowered Student back down to the toilet. Student did not respond to her verbal prompts. Flanagan was concerned as his behavior was atypical. Student continued to be unresponsive for approximately two minutes.

Flanagan was concerned for Student but did not follow Student's health care plan as required at approximately 1:02 p.m. on December 2, 2021. Student's health care plan stated in large bolded letters: "If you notice any behavior that is unusual for the child notify the parents and nurse." Flanagan observed what she believed to be markedly unusual behaviors but did not call his parents or a nurse. Student's health care plan said several times that if Student became unresponsive staff should call 911. Student was unresponsive for approximately two minutes, but Flanagan did not call 911. Student's health care plan stated that if Student stopped what he is doing, that is a sign he was having a petit mal seizure. Student stopped holding on to the restroom bar and lost control of his body. Furthermore, Flanagan did not start timing the seizure symptoms. The restroom had no clock, Flanagan was not wearing a watch, and did not have her phone with her.

Flanagan called out from the restroom for a classroom aide to assist her with Student, but the aides did not hear her. Flanagan was unsure if Student was having a seizure. At the time of the incident, Flanagan had known Student for around 8 weeks. His behaviors were not typical for him, but he was a child with multiple, complex medical needs. Flanagan lifted Student off the toilet and placed Student on a standard classroom chair located in the restroom. Maintaining visual contact on Student, Flanagan called for help from classroom aide Kate Aguilar.

Student's eyelids fluttered as he sat in the classroom chair. He made unusual vocalizations that sounded like a moan. Student turned his head to the right, and his eyes went all the way to the right. Flanagan waved her hand close to his face and he blinked. He reached for her hand. His leg was shaking. Flanagan was concerned he might be having a seizure.

Aguilar arrived and observed Student sitting in the blue plastic classroom chair visibly in distress with a tense body. She agreed his behavior was not normal, and nothing she had observed of him previously. Student occasionally made eye contact, but his legs continued to shake slightly, even as they were bent in a seating position. Student had an unusual look on his face, with his jaws clenched, eyes wide open, and head moving to the right side.

Approximately four minutes had elapsed from the time Student lost control of his extremities. Student displayed every symptom of a seizure listed in his health care plan. He had unusual behavior. His eyelids fluttered. He stared to the right with wide eyes. Student's legs were shaking. His body was tense. Flanagan and Aguilar did not follow the Health Plan as required at approximately 1:04 p.m. on December 2, 2021. Additionally, school staff failed to time his symptoms and call the nurse.

Flanagan finished dressing Student and asked him if he would like to go back to the classroom. Student looked at the door and stood up, which Flanagan interpreted as "yes." Flanagan put one arm around his waist to support him on the side he is paralyzed, and holding his hand with her other hand, ambulated him back to the primary classroom. She settled him into a bean bag chair and placed his iPad in his lap.

Sitting in the bean bag chair, Student began turning his head and eyes to the side and clenching his jaw. This was unusual for him. Student made a hand gesture,

which Flanagan interpreted as an adaptation of the American Sign Language sign for "help." Student scrolled through the iPad, but did not stay on an application very long, which was unusual behavior for him. Student made several coughing sounds, so Flanagan assessed him to determine if he was choking. She decided he was not.

At around 1:08 p.m., Aguilar called the front office to explain the situation and their concerns and was told staff would contact the nurse and Parent. Amy Miller, front office clerk at Breen Elementary, called district lead nurse, Health Services Supervisor Melissa Locketz. Locketz said she would come as soon as possible but she was not nearby, so they should call 911 unless Student's health plan stated otherwise. Miller also called Parent. Parent was having lunch with Student's father but said she would come right away to pick him up and would be there in 10 to 15 minutes.

At this point, Student had been displaying symptoms of seizure activity for eight minutes. Student's health care plan required school staff to call 911 if seizure activity lasted over five minutes. Flanagan did not call 911 because he was breathing, communicating, and accessing his iPad. In the course of the eight minutes he had ambulated across the room with support and made gestures she believed to be communication. For these reasons, she was unsure if he was having a seizure and she wanted guidance from a health professional.

At around 1:10 p.m., Julianne Gonzalez, Licensed Vocational Nurse for Rocklin, was at Sunset Ranch Elementary School when she received a phone call from Joy Gladden, the assistant to the Principal of Breen Elementary. Gonzalez had around 10 years' experience as a Licensed Vocational Nurse, referred to as LVN. She had significant experience and training related to seizures. At the time of the hearing, Gonzalez no longer worked for Rocklin. She resigned from Rocklin on December 17,

2021, because she did not agree with the district's protocols relating to COVID-19. Gonzalez was compelled to testify in the hearing pursuant to a subpoena. Her demeanor was hostile and at times sarcastic. Nonetheless, she appeared to be candid in her responses and confident and careful in her professional opinions. She did not appear to have been coached as a witness. As such, her testimony to percipient facts was highly credible and given significant weight, although she was clear that she was not familiar with Student or his baseline behaviors.

Gladden told Gonzalez that staff at Breen suspected Student may have had a seizure. They were afraid and needed someone to tell them what was going on. Student was new to Breen Elementary. They understood his behavior was not baseline, but they were unsure about whether he was having a seizure.

Gonzalez left Sunset Ranch for Breen. On route, Gonzalez called her supervisor, Melissa Locketz, at 1:12 p.m. Locketz is a registered nurse, referred to as RN. Locketz told Gonzalez to call 911 unless Student's health plan stated otherwise. Gonzalez did not call 911.

At round 1:12 p.m., Moriah Warfield, health aide at Breen Elementary, entered Student's classroom. In her role as a health aide, Warfield helped when students had minor injuries or were ill, although she was generally not in classrooms. She was not a nurse. Warfield was CPR certified, and at the time of the hearing was attending college to obtain a degree in health administration. At the hearing, Warfield testified with great candor and earnestness as to her observation of events and was honest that she had limited familiarity with Student. Warfield did not have much contact with Student prior to December 2, 2021. She might recognize him if she saw him on campus but was not familiar with his behavior or communication. Warfield's testimony as to the actions the adults took in the classroom was highly credible and is given significant weight.

Student continued to sit in the bean bag chair with an iPad in his lap. He occasionally touched the screen. Student was rocking, with a clenched jaw, and tried to hold the hands of staff. Warfield did not perceive the situation as alarming. To her, Student did not appear injured and his rocking did not seem uncontrolled.

Warfield asked Aguilar for Student's health care plan so they could determine what to follow for his care. Aguilar looked multiple places in the classroom and found some papers, but not Student's health care plan of October 5, 2021.

At 1:15 p.m., Warfield contacted nurse Julianne Gonzalez by phone. Gonzalez suggested they call Parent. Warfield sat with Student while Flanagan tried to call Parent. Neither Flanagan nor main office assistant Joy Gladden could reach Parent. Warfield and Flanagan thought Student might be having symptoms of a seizure, but they were unsure and wanted advice from someone with more medical expertise.

At 1:18 p.m., Student continued to sit in a bean bag chair, alert and shaking, rocking back and forth. He was shivering as if he was cold. He put down his iPad and gestured, which staff interpreted as the sign "help." Warfield put her hands on his to keep him calm. Gonzalez entered the classroom and assessed the situation. Gonzalez was not familiar with Student. She did not know his baseline behavior or communication, or whether his current behavior was normal for him. Gonzalez did not perceive Student as having a seizure at that time. In her estimation, Student had motor control because he was holding an iPad and gesturing with his hands. She saw his rocking as a controlled motion more akin to a self-stimulatory behavior, referred to as a stim, than a convulsion. She believed he was trying to communicate, which showed

intact cognitive functioning. However, Gonzalez was not sure of the seizure protocol for Student and wanted to check his health care plan. Around 30 minutes after Student began displaying symptoms of seizures, Gonzalez found a digital copy of Student's health plan using a classroom Chromebook.

At 1:29 p.m., Melissa Locketz, lead nurse, arrived at Student's classroom. Locketz observed Student as alert, with a strong pulse and unlabored breathing. Locketz asked if school staff had called 911, and they said they had not.

At around 1:34 p.m., Parent arrived at Student's classroom. Parent was upset. Warfield met Parent outside the classroom and explained they felt Student had a seizure. Parent asked Warfield to get out of the way and made her way through into the classroom. Student was sitting in the bean bag chair. At that point, school staff perceived Student as alert, although less animated than usual. Parent perceived Student as clearly having a seizure. His eyes were bulging and darting and his head was in a locked position. His teeth clenched. He was unresponsive when Parent said his name.

Parent asked school staff if they understood he had a seizure, and why they had not called 911. Locketz asked if she wanted them to call 911. Parent said no, that she would take it from there. Parent believes that Flanagan tried to stop her from leaving the classroom, asking again if they should call 911. Parent told Flanagan to get out of her way or it would be a bigger problem for them. Parent removed Student from the classroom and took him to her car where Student's father was waiting.

At 2:21 p.m., Student arrived at the Emergency Department of Kaiser Permanente in Roseville, California. At hearing, the parties spent significant time disputing whether Parent called 911, and whether he arrived at the hospital via ambulance. These facts are not relevant to the sole legal issue in this due process hearing, which is whether Rocklin

implemented Student's health care plan. However, Student's condition at the hospital is relevant to his condition that day. Hospital records confirm that Student was having a flurry of seizures on December 2, 2021.

When Student arrived at the Emergency Department, he was unresponsive, with weak but diffuse seizures on the right side of his body. The Emergency Department physicians diagnosed Student with Status Epilepticus, which is a seizure lasting longer than five minutes.

Student had poor respiration and was unresponsive on an oxygen face mask. He was drooling, with clenched teeth. Neurologically, he was unresponsive and had no limb movement in response to stimulation. His blood pressure, pulse, and temperature were all elevated due to the flurry of seizures he was experiencing.

The Emergency Department doctors treated Student with oxygen, Keppra, and Ativan. After a few hours in the Emergency Department, Student's seizures subsided. His temperature and pulse also subsided as his seizures remitted. Parent felt Student was back to baseline. Student left the emergency room with Parent at 6:44 p.m., tired, but no longer having seizures.

In the afternoon and days following the medical incident of December 2, 2021, Flanagan drafted a google document explaining the events and the timing. Warfield, Gonzalez, Locketz, and Miller all edited the google document to add their recollections.

Rocklin failed to follow Student's health care plan on December 2, 2021. Classroom teacher Brandi Flanagan was responsible for implementing Student's health care plan. However, on December 2, 2021, Flanagan did not reference Student's health care plan because the plan was not readily available. Flanagan's testimony to the

contrary is not credible. Similarly, Aguilar's testimony that classroom staff referenced a written copy of the health care plan was not credible as she appeared coached, and upon examination appeared to understand that she had been caught telling a mistruth. The incident notes from December 2, 2021, as well as credible testimony from Gonzalez and Warfield, are reliable proof that staff could not find the paper copy of Student's health plan in the classroom.

Rocklin staff did not recognize the symptoms of Student's seizure activity even though he exhibited all the signs described in his health care plan. For the 34-minute period of Student's medical incident on December 2, 2021, Rocklin staff was concerned, alarmed, and attentive to Student. However, school staff failed to time his seizures or contact Parent and the nurse in a timely manner. Furthermore, Rocklin failed to have a copy of Student's health plan readily available, which resulted in staff failing to identify his seizure symptoms and take the requisite steps, including calling 911 after five minutes.

Rocklin's failure to follow Student's health care plan, incorporated by reference within the October 5, 2021 IEP, amounted to a significant failure to implement Student's IEP. (See Van Duyn v. Baker School Dist. (9th Cir. 2007) 502 F.3d 811, 815, 822.) By not following the health plan, Rocklin failed to implement the health and nursing services in Student's IEP and did not adequately manage his health problems on the school site. (Ed. Code § 56363, subd. (b)(12); Cal. Code Regs., tit. 5, § 3051.12(a)(2).) Rocklin failed to protect Student's safety and dignity at school by allowing Student to suffer from a flurry of seizures over a 34-minute at school while failing to implement the steps required by his health plan. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 5, § 3051.12(b)(3)(B).)

CONCLUSIONS AND PREVAILING PARTY

Pursuant to California Education Code section 56507, subdivision (d), the hearing decision must indicate the extent to which each party has prevailed on each issue heard and decided.

ISSUE 1:

Rocklin Unified School District denied Student a FAPE by failing to follow Student's health plan incorporated by reference in the October 5, 2021, IEP.

Student prevailed on the legal issue in this matter.

REMEDY

Under federal and state law, courts have broad equitable powers to remedy the failure of a school district to provide FAPE to a disabled child. (20 U.S.C. § 1415(i)(1)(C)(iii); Ed. Code, § 56505, subd. (g); *see School Committee of the Town of Burlington, Massachusetts v. Dept. of Education* (1985) 471 U.S. 359, 369 [105 S.Ct. 1996, 85 L.Ed.2d 385] (*Burlington*).) This broad equitable authority extends to an Administrative Law Judge who hears and decides a special education administrative due process matter. (*Forest Grove School Dist. v. T.A.* (2009) 557 U.S. 230, 244, fn. 11 [129 S.Ct. 2484, 174 L.Ed.2d 168].)

In remedying a FAPE denial, the student is entitled to relief that is appropriate in light of the purposes of the IDEA. (20 U.S.C. § 1415(i)(2)(C)(iii); 34 C.F.R. § 300.516(c)(3) (2006).) The purpose of the IDEA is to provide students with disabilities a free appropriate public education which emphasizes special education and related services

to meet their unique needs. (*Burlington, supra,* 471 U.S. 359, 374.) Appropriate relief means relief designed to ensure that the student is appropriately educated within the meaning of the IDEA. (*Student W. v. Puyallup School Dist.* (9th Cir. 1994) 31 F.3d 1489, 1497 (*Puyallup*).) The award must be fact-specific and be reasonably calculated to provide the educational benefits that likely would have accrued from special education services the school district should have supplied in the first place. (*Reid ex rel. Reid v. District of Columbia* (D.C. Cir. 2005) 401 F.3d 516, 524.)

A school district may be ordered to provide compensatory education or additional services to a student who has been denied a FAPE. (Park v. Anaheim Union High Sch. Dist. (9th Cir. 2006) 464 F.3d 1025, 1033.) Compensatory education is a prospective award of educational services designed to catch-up the student to where he should have been absent the denial of a FAPE. (Brennan v. Regional School Dist. No. 1 (D.Conn. 2008) 531 F.Supp.2d 245, 265; Orange Unified School Dist. v. C.K. (C.D. Cal. June 4, 2012, No. SACV 11–1253 JVS(MLGx)) 2012 WL 2478389, *12.) An award of compensatory education need not provide a day-for-day compensation. (Puyallup, supra, 31 F.3d 1489, 1496-1497.) The conduct of both parties must be reviewed and considered to determine whether equitable relief is appropriate. (Id. at p. 1496.)

Student is entitled to compensatory education for Rocklin's failure to follow Student's health plan incorporated by reference in the October 5, 2021, IEP, which denied him a FAPE. Student did not provide any evidence regarding compensatory education. However, administrative law judges have broad equitable powers to fashion an appropriate remedy. From December 3, 2021, to December 17, 2021, Student did not attend school because Parent did not trust the school to follow his health plan or keep him safe at school. Student missed 11 days of school following the medical incident and is entitled to compensatory education for those days. Pursuant to his IEP

Accessibility Modified

Page 20 of 22

of October 5, 2021, Student was entitled to 330 minutes per day of specialized academic instruction. Student is awarded 60 hours of compensatory education for the 3630 minutes of education he missed in the days after Rocklin failed to implement his health plan.

Additionally, staff training is ordered because the facts strongly support a finding that Rocklin staff was not sufficiently trained in identifying seizure symptoms, having health plans readily available, and implementing health plans as required under the IDEA. (See Park v. Anaheim Union High Sch. Dist. (9th Cir. 2006) 464 F.3d 1025, 1033: in an appropriate case, training of district staff may be ordered.)

ORDER

- Within 30 days of being notified of Parent's selection, Rocklin shall contract with a certified non-public agency of Parent's choice to provide 60 hours of compensatory education to be used in any educationallyrelated area of Parent's choice. Parent may choose more than one certified non-public agency to provide the compensatory education. Any compensatory education services not used by December 31, 2023, shall be forfeited by Student.
- 2. Within 90 school days of this decision, Rocklin shall provide 6 hours of training to its staff on the topics of seizures, seizure symptoms, how to respond if seizure symptoms are suspected, and individualized health care plans. The training shall be presented to all Rocklin teachers, paraprofessional classroom aides, and health technicians. The training shall be presented by health care professional(s) with expertise in seizures, and attorneys or administrators with knowledge of the laws regarding

individualized health care plans. The trainers shall not be current employees of Rocklin, or attorneys who represented Rocklin in this matter.

3. All other requests for relief are denied.

RIGHT TO APPEAL THIS DECISION

This is a final administrative decision, and all parties are bound by it. Pursuant to Education Code section 56505, subdivision (k), any party may appeal this Decision to a court of competent jurisdiction within 90 days of receipt.

/s/

Cararea Lucier Administrative Law Judge Office of Administrative Hearings