

**FINAL STATEMENT OF REASONS  
FOR  
PROPOSED BUILDING STANDARDS  
OF THE  
DIVISION OF THE STATE ARCHITECT (DSA-AC)  
  
REGARDING PROPOSED CHANGES TO  
THE CALIFORNIA EXISTING BUILDING CODE  
CALIFORNIA CODE OF REGULATIONS, TITLE 24, PART 10  
  
2016 CALIFORNIA EXISTING BUILDING CODE  
TRIENNIAL CODE CYCLE**

The Administrative Procedure Act requires that every agency shall maintain a file of each rulemaking that shall be deemed to be the record for that rulemaking proceeding. The rulemaking file shall include a final statement of reasons. The Final Statement of Reasons shall be available to the public upon request when rulemaking action is being undertaken. The following are the reasons for proposing this particular rulemaking action:

**UPDATES TO THE INITIAL STATEMENT OF REASONS**

The Division of the State Architect - Access Compliance (DSA-AC) is relying on the Initial Statement of Reasons regarding specific adoptions, amendments, or repeals to CCR, Title 24, Part 10.

**MANDATE ON LOCAL AGENCIES OR SCHOOL DISTRICTS**

The DSA-AC has determined that the proposed regulatory action WOULD NOT impose a new mandate on local agencies or school districts.

**OBJECTIONS OR RECOMMENDATIONS MADE REGARDING THE PROPOSED REGULATION(S)**

The DSA-AC did not receive any comments regarding the proposed regulations.

**DETERMINATION OF ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED AND EFFECT ON PRIVATE PERSONS**

The DSA-AC has determined that no reasonable alternative considered by DSA-AC or that has otherwise been identified and brought to the attention of DSA-AC would be more effective in carrying out the purpose for which the action is proposed, or would be as effective as and less burdensome to affected private persons than the proposed action, or would be more cost-effective to affected private persons and equally effective in implementing the statutory policy or other provisions of law.

**REJECTED PROPOSED ALTERNATIVE THAT WOULD LESSEN THE ADVERSE ECONOMIC IMPACT ON SMALL BUSINESSES**

The DSA-AC did not receive any reasonable proposals for alternatives that would lessen any adverse economic impact on small businesses. No adverse impact to small business due to these proposed changes is expected.