

# Report on the

# Disability Access and Education Revolving Fund October 2020



State of California Gavin Newsom, Governor

**Government Operations Agency Yolanda Richardson, Secretary** 

**Department of General Services Jennifer Osborn, Director** 

Division of the State Architect Ida A. Clair, AIA, Acting State Architect

#### Background

Senate Bill 1186 (Steinberg, Chapter 383, Statutes of 2012) created Government Code Section 4467, which requires any applicant for a local business license or equivalent instrument to pay an additional fee to the local government that issued the business license or building permit. Local governments must submit a percentage of the fee revenue to the Division of the State Architect (State Architect) for deposit into the Disability Access and Education Revolving Fund (Fund).

Effective January 1, 2018 (Assembly Bill 1379, Thurmond, Chapter 667, Statutes of 2017), the fee amount increased from \$1 to \$4 and the fee revenue percentage changed from 70 percent directed to the local government and 30% to the Division of the State Architect (State Architect) for deposit into the Disability Access and Education Revolving Fund (Fund) to 90% for the local government and 10% for the Fund. Additionally, under the new statute, local governments that do not issue business licenses are now required to levy the fee on the sale of building permits.

Pursuant to Government Code Section 4465, the purpose of the Fund is to provide increased compliance with state and federal accessibility requirements by:

- Increasing the number of certified access specialists (CASp).
- Maintaining State Architect oversight of the CASp program.
- Expanding education and outreach efforts for compliance with accessibility requirements.

Government Code Section 4470(c) requires the State Architect to submit an annual report on the previous calendar year. The State Architect must report the total fees collected by each local government, the total fees transmitted to the Fund by local governments during the previous calendar year, and their distribution, including Fund resources spent on:

- Administrative services.
- Moderating (streamlining) certification and examination fees for the CASp program.
- Establishing and maintaining oversight of the CASp program.
- Developing and disseminating educational materials to facilitate compliance with state and federal access requirements.

Information provided in this report is based on accounting data that represents amounts collected by the state from local governments until March 10, 2020, and state billing information indicating amounts spent in each specific reporting category.

#### **Total Fees Transmitted to the Fund**

The State Architect received \$1,074,606.88 in payments from local governments in the 2019 calendar year. The difference between 2018 (\$818,678) and 2019 shows a 24% increase in revenue. As required by Assembly Bill 1379, up until December 31, 2017, an additional one-dollar fee was to be paid by any applicant for a local business license. As of January 1, 2018

(and until December 31, 2023), the fee increased to four dollars and is to be paid by any applicant for a local business license, or building permit if no license or other similar instrument is issued by the local jurisdiction. Increased funding was an anticipated result of the fee change, as implementation by the local governments increased.

It is the responsibility of the local governments to be informed of statutory requirements. Even so, the State Architect reached out to local governments that were still submitting funds at the one-dollar rate or not submitting funds at all to share information on the statute or find out the reason for not participating. The local governments still collecting the fee at the one-dollar rate shared that their rate changes occurred every two years and that the change from one dollar to four dollars would be happening in the near future. Others were still collecting fees at the one-dollar rate due to situations like late payments. Some local governments were not participating in the program because they either 1) did not collect a large amount of business licenses or building permits, or 2) the county in which they were located is the jurisdiction that sells the business licenses or building permits. There was an increased use of the four-dollar fee reporting form (DSA 797: Disability Access and Education Fund Annual Report) for 2019, which shows that more local governments have transitioned from the one-dollar fee.

#### **Use of Fund Resources**

The State Architect expended \$509,242 on the activities prescribed under Government Code Section 4465 in 2019. Information and the amounts and details on the usage are outlined below:

#### Administrative Services by the State Architect

The State Architect spent \$120,056 of Fund resources for administrative services in 2019. Primary administrative services included: operation of the fee collection system and related instruction, correspondence with local governments, review of information reported by local governments, form development and technical guidance.

• Explored Ways to Streamline the CASp Certification and Examination Fees
The State Architect spent \$1,440 of Fund resources to better streamline the CASp
certification process and examination fees in 2019. The State Architect continued its
efforts to find new means for administering the CASp examination that increase the ease
of scheduling the examination while reducing or maintaining the cost to take the
examination; and, to increase the frequency and expand the locations at which the
examinations are held. In 2019, State Architect staff time was spent in training on a new
learning management system that will replace the current outdated online database. The
learning management system will also offer distance learning with live webinars and will
contain training videos that will benefit CASps by reducing travel, thus minimizing travel
costs and the attendee's time away from work. Additionally, plans were made to
reassess the certification and examination fee structure.

#### Increased the Oversight of the CASp Program

The State Architect spent \$60,440 of Fund resources to increase oversight of the CASp program in 2019. Major items included providing technical guidance, investigating

suspected violations of program regulations, taking disciplinary action against a CASp, responding to occasional complaints filed against CASps and updating the online database.

#### Expanded Education and Outreach

The State Architect spent \$327,306 of Fund resources for education and outreach. The State Architect conducted outreach to business owners through partnerships with chambers of commerce, local governments, state legislators, the State Treasurer's Office, and the California Commission on Disability Access (CCDA).

The State Architect increased awareness of the CASp program to business and commercial property owners through social media, email announcements, and by providing local governments marketing materials about the CASp program. The State Architect also participated in events to educate business communities about the benefits of the CASp program.

The State Architect continued its outreach to CASps and CASp candidates through six sessions of the "CASp 101" training class. Additionally, the State Architect implemented a new website with a revitalized approach to providing information to the public. The State Architect created dedicated webpages for business owners, local governments and those who are or would like to become a CASp, providing the information pertinent to them and with clear instruction. The website launched in February 2019 and was used as a resource when local governments called or emailed with questions.

The State Architect hosts monthly CASp Code Discussion Group meetings where CASps, design professionals, and code enforcers discuss various aspects of the California Building Code and federal accessibility standards. The meetings promote uniformity in the knowledge and work practices of a CASp. Every meeting allows time for participants to ask questions about specific code interpretations or their CASp inspection services. The 2019 meeting discussion topics included Readily Achievable Barrier Removal, the Americans with Disabilities Act design standard and its application, state building code adoption cycles, and updates to the building code.

The State Architect committed up to \$75,000 in Fund resources for CCDA to hold a series of outreach events for restaurant owners and others in the hospitality industry to provide education on access compliance obligations to assist disabled patrons. CCDA planned three events, the first of which took place in 2018 and the remaining two in 2019.



Acting State Architect Ida A. Clair, AIA, LEED AP BD+C, CASp (center) at the CCDA outreach event on February 26, 2019



Senior Architect Debbie Wong, CASp (seated, third from left) at the CCDA outreach event on February 26, 2019

The State Architect completed its statutory requirements to develop and publish a model informational notice on compliance with the state and federal construction-related accessibility standards and resources for achieving compliance with those standards. As required by Assembly Bill 3002 (Grayson, Chapter 680, Statutes of 2018), the notice was to be translated into five languages and made available on the State Architect's website. Local governments must distribute the Assembly Bill 3002 notice to all applicants for a commercial building permit. The State Architect published the English version in early January 2019 and published the translated notices in March 2019. Local governments have the option to use the PDF file

version or reformat the Microsoft Word file version to supply to commercial building permit and business license applicants. The State Architect has not received feedback from the local governments on the impact of the model informational notice at this time.

#### **Total Fees Collected by Each Local Government**

As of the March 2020 deadline, 313 out of 474 local governments and counties that submitted fees to the State Architect in 2019 had also submitted an annual report. The local governments that submitted an annual report indicated they collected a total of \$5,569,165.10 for the 2019 calendar year.

- Appendix A lists the 2019 annual collection amounts, as reported by each local government that submitted fees to the State Architect by the deadline in the 2019 calendar year.
- Appendix B lists the local governments that reported their 2019 annual collection amounts but did not submit fees to the State Architect.
- Appendix C lists the local governments that submitted fees in the 2019 calendar year but did not report their annual collection amount.
- Appendix D lists the local governments that neither transmitted 2019 funds nor submitted an annual report before the March deadline.

The State Architect does not have any enforcement authority over local governments. Fee submittal and annual collection reporting are dependent on the local governments' execution of the law. Attempts are made to maintain contact with all 539 local governments in California, regardless of previous participation in fee submittal, in order to remind them of the current requirements and to alert them of statutory changes. In order to increase reporting, three notifications were sent to local governments reminding them of the annual report submittal deadline. The first notification was a letter sent on December 3, 2019 to all local governments for which contact information could be found. The second notification was January 28, 2020 and the third was issued one week from the deadline on February 24, 2020. The information is also posted to the State Architect's website. The efforts were successful, as we received 94 more on-time reports in 2019 (315 total on-time reports) than in the previous year (221 total on-time reports).

The State Architect will continue to work with local governments in order to inform them of their requirements under Government Code Section 4467. In 2020, the local governments that did not submit fees for 2019 (Appendices B and D) will be notified on the statutory requirements and advised on how to proceed. Local governments that submitted an annual report late or not at all (Appendices C and D) will be made aware of the delinquency.

Additionally, the State Architect will continue to improve the reporting process for local governments to make it easier to submit the report. There are plans to convert the form to a web form (HTML), thereby allowing instant submittal online. The State Architect already allows

reports to be submitted by email, and with an electronic signature. The State Architect will continue to help local governments report on time by sending multiple reminders.

# SB 1186 COLLECTION RESULTS FOR 2019 ANNUAL FEE COLLECTION REPORTED BY PAYING JURISDICTION

Fee Status: Paid Report Status: Submitted

LOCAL GOVERNMENT (Organized by City, then County)	TOTAL FEE COLLECTION REPORTED
Adelanto	\$5,240.00
Agoura Hills	\$7,357.00
Alameda	\$33,413.00
Alhambra	\$27,664.00
Aliso Viejo	\$3,268.00
Alturas	\$991.00
American Canyon	\$1,432.00
Anaheim	\$83,352.00
Anderson	\$4,124.00
Angels Camp	\$2,100.00
Antioch	\$44,448.00
Apple Valley (Town)	\$11,508.00
Arcadia	\$25,388.00
Arcata	\$8,747.00
Arroyo Grande	\$7,998.00
Artesia	\$4,068.00
Atascadero	\$11,681.00
Auburn	\$8,318.00
Avalon	\$4,040.00
Avenal	\$612.00
Bakersfield	\$97,450.00
Baldwin Park	\$16,048.00
Barstow	\$6,552.00
Bell	\$4,532.00
Bellflower	\$18,374.00
Belmont	\$7,495.00
Benicia	\$10,350.00
Big Bear Lake	\$8,270.00
Biggs	\$460.00
Bishop	\$3,388.00
Blythe	\$2,820.00
Bradbury	\$832.00
Brawley	\$5,629.00

LOCAL GOVERNMENT (Organized by City, then County)	TOTAL FEE COLLECTION REPORTED
Brea	\$27,816.00
Brentwood	\$25,132.00
Buena Park	\$18,581.00
Calipatria	\$840.00
Calistoga	\$3,652.00
Canyon Lake	\$3,588.00
Capitola	\$5,048.00
Carlsbad	\$41,278.00
Carmel-By-The-Sea	\$8,776.00
Carpinteria	\$5,628.00
Carson	\$35,495.00
Cathedral City	\$1,043.00
Ceres	\$7,266.00
Chico	\$25,542.00
Chino Hills	\$14,000.00
Chowchilla	\$2,628.00
Citrus Heights	\$12,288.00
Claremont	\$12,216.00
Clearlake	\$2,252.00
Cloverdale	\$2,608.00
Colfax	\$1,808.00
Colma (Town)	\$1,036.00
Colusa	\$2,032.00
Commerce	\$9,356.56
Concord	\$36,994.98
Corcoran	\$51,992.00
Corning	\$2,552.00
Corona	\$38,993.01
Coronado	\$9,591.20
Corte Madera (Town)	\$21,964.00
Costa Mesa	\$46,624.00
Cotati	\$3,836.00
Covina	\$14,596.00
Culver City	\$489.00
Cypress	\$15,213.00
Daly City	\$4,789.51
Dana Point	\$524.00
Danville (Town)	\$13,524.00

LOCAL GOVERNMENT (Organized by City, then County)	TOTAL FEE COLLECTION REPORTED
Delano	\$5,520.00
Desert Hot Springs	\$7,178.40
Diamond Bar	\$8,896.00
Dixon	\$4,960.00
Dos Palos	\$832.00
Downey	\$22,252.00
Duarte	\$4,840.00
Dublin	\$18,020.00
Dunsmuir	\$1,040.00
East Palo Alto	\$4,968.00
El Cajon	\$27,951.20
El Cerrito	\$10,967.00
Elk Grove	\$12,920.00
Emeryville	\$15,552.00
Encinitas	\$18,460.00
Escondido	\$45,520.00
Eureka	\$14,796.00
Exeter	\$2,435.00
Farmersville	\$2,640.00
Folsom	\$35,244.00
Fontana	\$33,476.00
Fort Bragg	\$3,569.60
Fortuna	\$3,708.00
Foster City	\$7,816.00
Fountain Valley	\$17,499.00
Fresno	\$184,404.99
Fullerton	\$35,697.78
Galt	\$6,244.00
Garden Grove	\$62,327.00
Gilroy	\$13,304.00
Glendale	\$21,280.00
Glendora	\$16,006.95
Grass Valley	\$5,330.12
Guadalupe	\$2,022.00
Half Moon Bay	\$4,876.00
Hanford	\$27,710.84
Hawaiian Gardens	\$2,496.00
Hawthorne	\$23,954.00

LOCAL GOVERNMENT (Organized by City, then County)	TOTAL FEE COLLECTION REPORTED
Hayward	\$11,274.00
Healdsburg	\$6,884.00
Hemet	\$25,288.00
Hermosa Beach	\$10,813.00
Hesperia	\$15,278.00
Highland	\$14,002.00
Hillsborough (Town)	\$6,884.00
Hollister	\$8,380.00
Hughson	\$2,224.00
Huntington Beach	\$79,104.00
Imperial	\$3,193.00
Imperial Beach	\$16,990.60
Indian Wells	\$5,401.00
Indio	\$28,385.00
Inglewood	\$42,863.94
Irwindale	\$6,701.00
Kerman	\$4,244.00
King City (City of King)	\$3,260.00
La Habra	\$12,739.00
La Habra Heights	\$283.00
La Palma	\$3,668.00
La Puente	\$5,936.00
La Quinta	\$17,032.00
La Verne	\$9,696.00
Lake Elsinore	\$11,220.00
Lake Forest	\$6,280.00
Lancaster	\$33,525.00
Lathrop	\$6,649.00
Lemon Grove	\$5,669.00
Lincoln	\$10,112.00
Lindsay	\$8,037.00
Live Oak	\$1,072.00
Livermore	\$33,090.00
Lodi	\$18,072.00
Loomis (Town)	\$2,704.00
Los Alamitos	\$8,877.00
Los Altos Hills (Town)	\$5,467.00
Los Angeles	\$670,009.00

LOCAL GOVERNMENT (Organized by City, then County)	TOTAL FEE COLLECTION REPORTED
Los Banos	\$7,768.00
Lynwood	\$11,673.00
Manhattan Beach	\$20,016.00
Manteca	\$14,853.00
Maricopa	\$204.00
Marina	\$6,655.98
Martinez	\$7,898.99
Marysville	\$4,188.00
Maywood	\$5,833.00
Mendota	\$2,332.00
Mill Valley	\$10,568.00
Milpitas	\$22,740.00
Mission Viejo	\$8,048.00
Modesto	\$53,047.90
Monrovia	\$12,892.00
Montclair	\$6,548.00
Montebello	\$11,781.00
Monterey Park	\$18,900.00
Morgan Hill	\$14,950.00
Mount Shasta	\$3,088.00
Napa	\$69,733.00
National City	\$14,215.00
Newman	\$2,728.00
Newport Beach	\$15,682.00
Novato	\$15,682.00
Ontario	\$53,042.00
Orange	\$84,748.00
Orinda	\$1,308.00
Orland	\$1,756.00
Pacific Grove	\$6,471.02
Palmdale	\$38,564.00
Palm Desert	\$43,561.65
Palm Springs	\$26,555.00
Palos Verdes Estates	\$4,264.00
Paradise (Town)	\$332.00
Paramount	\$10,316.00
Paso Robles	\$17,572.40
Patterson	\$4,728.00

LOCAL GOVERNMENT (Organized by City, then County)	TOTAL FEE COLLECTION REPORTED
Perris	\$12,506.00
Petaluma	\$21,075.00
Pico Rivera	\$9,014.98
Pinole	\$8,499.00
Pismo Beach	\$8,492.00
Pittsburg	\$16,768.70
Placerville	\$5,432.00
Pleasanton	\$47,657.80
Plymouth	\$528.00
Point Arena	\$444.00
Pomona	\$37,684.00
Port Hueneme	\$6,228.00
Porterville	\$21,290.00
Portola	\$732.00
Poway	\$8,408.00
Rancho Cucamonga	\$12,518.00
Rancho Mirage	\$11,884.00
Rancho Palos Verdes	\$8,874.00
Red Bluff	\$6,464.00
Redding	\$33,624.00
Redlands	\$20,010.00
Redondo Beach	\$33,038.00
Reedley	\$6,280.00
Ridgecrest	\$8,759.93
Rio Dell	\$1,008.00
Rio Vista	\$599.00
Ripon	\$4,852.00
Riverbank	\$3,712.00
Rocklin	\$17,760.00
Rohnert Park	\$34.00
Rolling Hills Estates	\$6,080.00
Roseville	\$37,065.00
Ross	\$1,872.00
Sacramento	\$94,575.60
Salinas	\$30,305.00
San Anselmo	\$4,984.00
San Bruno	\$12,733.00
San Buenaventura (City of Ventura)	\$53,472.00

LOCAL GOVERNMENT (Organized by City, then County)	TOTAL FEE COLLECTION REPORTED
San Carlos	\$16,343.00
San Dimas	\$8,980.00
San Fernando	\$8,982.00
San Jacinto	\$8,908.00
San Juan Capistrano	\$9,912.00
San Leandro	\$31,323.00
San Luis Obispo	\$32,592.00
San Marcos	\$23,738.00
San Marino	\$14,975.00
San Mateo	\$27,555.00
San Pablo	\$5,806.05
San Rafael	\$30,436.00
San Ramon	\$17,024.00
Sand City	\$1,616.00
Sanger	\$5,316.00
Santa Clara	\$46,322.00
Santa Clarita	\$5,877.44
Santa Fe Springs	\$16,364.00
Santa Maria	\$21,140.00
Santa Rosa	\$53,321.90
Santee	\$11,315.00
Saratoga	\$9,480.00
Sausalito	\$7,017.00
Scotts Valley	\$6,184.00
Selma	\$43,628.00
Shafter	\$3,660.00
Shasta Lake	\$1,564.00
Sierra Madre	\$6,769.00
Simi Valley	\$31,000.00
Soledad	\$2,042.12
Solvang	\$3,568.00
Sonoma	\$9,649.00
Sonora	\$3,300.00
South El Monte	\$10,028.00
South Lake Tahoe	\$13,388.00
South Pasadena	\$10,419.00
South San Francisco	\$18,773.00
St Helena	\$5,510.40

LOCAL GOVERNMENT (Organized by City, then County)	TOTAL FEE COLLECTION REPORTED
Sutter Creek	\$1,386.00
Taft	\$2,619.50
Tehachapi	\$4,120.00
Tehama	\$32.00
Thousand Oaks	\$43,351.40
Torrance	\$58,533.00
Tracy	\$21,006.00
Truckee	\$5,004.00
Tulare	\$20,732.00
Turlock	\$28,611.89
Twentynine Palms	\$3,812.00
Union City	\$19,473.00
Upland	\$22,841.00
Vallejo	\$24,564.00
Villa Park	\$2,048.00
Visalia	\$96,854.00
Vista	\$29,384.86
Walnut	\$7,183.00
Walnut Creek	\$34,953.00
Waterford	\$669.00
West Covina	\$19,069.97
West Hollywood	\$21,428.00
Westminster	\$22,780.00
Whittier	\$31,572.00
Wildomar	\$2,736.00
Willits	\$152.00
Winters	\$2,550.00
Woodlake	\$461.00
Woodland	\$13,404.00
Woodside	\$3,294.00
Yorba Linda	\$19,684.00
Yountville (Town)	\$2,108.00
Yuba City	\$17,566.00
Yreka	\$3,428.00
Yucca Valley (Town)	\$5,244.00
Amador County	\$5,248.00
Calaveras County	\$11,004.00
Colusa County	\$1,236.00

LOCAL GOVERNMENT (Organized by City, then County)	TOTAL FEE COLLECTION REPORTED
Contra Costa County	\$21,652.00
Del Norte County	\$2,119.00
El Dorado County	\$54,148.00
Imperial County	\$2,136.00
Lake County	\$7,060.00
Lassen County	\$1,828.00
Merced County	\$8,604.00
Modoc County	\$448.00
Nevada County	\$12,376.00
Sacramento County	\$54,203.00
San Benito County	\$848.00
Santa Barbara County	\$2,176.00
Santa Clara County	\$4,392.00
Stanislaus County	\$3,952.00
TOTAL	\$5,547,978.16

#### **APPENDIX B**

# SB 1186 COLLECTION RESULTS FOR 2019 ANNUAL FEE COLLECTION REPORTED BY JURISDICTION WITHOUT FEE SUBMITTAL (DELINQUENT)

Fee Status: Unpaid Report Status: Submitted

LOCAL GOVERNMENT (Organized by City, then County)	TOTAL FEE COLLECTION REPORTED
Laguna Beach	\$18,693.00
Parlier	\$2,493.94
TOTAL	\$21,186.94

#### **APPENDIX C**

# SB 1186 COLLECTION RESULTS FOR 2019 PAYING JURISDICTIONS WITHTOU ANNUAL REPORTS

Fee Status: Paid Report Status: None

### **LOCAL GOVERNMENT**

# (Organized by City, then County)

Albany	Ferndale	Menlo Park	San Bernardino
Arvin	Fowler	Merced	San Clemente
Atherton (Town)	Fremont	Millbrae	San Diego
Atwater `	Gardena	Monte Sereno	San Gabriel
Banning	Goleta	Monterey	San Joaquin
Beaumont	Gonzales	Moorpark	San Jose
Bell Gardens	Grand Terrace	Moraga	San Juan Bautista
Berkeley	Grover Beach	Moreno Valley	Santa Ana
Beverly Hills	Hercules	Morrow Bay	Santa Barbara
Brisbane	Hidden Hills	Mountain View	Santa Cruz
Burbank	Huron	Murrieta	Santa Monica
Burlingame	Irvine	Needles	Santa Paula
Calexico	Jackson	Nevada City	Seal Beach
California City	Jurupa Valley	Newark	South Gate
Calimesa	Kingsburg	Norco	Stanton
Camarillo	La Canada Flintridge	Norwalk	Suisun City
Campbell	La Mesa	Oakdale	Sunnyvale
Cerritos	La Mirada	Oakland	Susanville
City/Cnty of San Francisco	Lafayette	Oakley	Temecula
Clayton	Laguna Hills	Oceanside	Temple City
Clovis	Laguna Niguel	Ojai	Tiburon (Town)
Coachella	Lakewood	Oroville	Tulelake
Colton	Lawndale	Oxnard	Ukiah
Crescent City	Lemoore	Pacifica	Vacaville
Cupertino	Livingston	Palo Alto	Vernon
Davis	Loma Linda	Pasadena	Victorville
Del Mar	Lomita	Piedmont	Watsonville
Del Rey Oaks	Lompoc	Placentia	West Sacramento
Dinuba	Long Beach	Pleasant Hill	Wheatland
Eastvale	Los Altos	Rancho Cordova	Williams
El Monte	Los Gatos (Town)	Rancho Santa mar	Windsor (Town)
El Segundo	Loyalton	Redwood City	Yucaipa
Escalon	Madera	Richmond	
Fairfax	Mammoth Lakes	Riverside	

### **COUNTIES**

Rosemead

Orange	San Luis Obispo	Trinity
Placer	Santa Cruz	Tuolumne
Riverside	Shasta	Ventura
San Bernardino	Sierra	Yolo
San Diego	Solano	
San Joaquin	Sutter	
	Placer Riverside San Bernardino San Diego	Placer Santa Cruz Riverside Shasta San Bernardino Sierra San Diego Solano

Menifee

Fairfield

#### APPENDIX D

# SB 1186 COLLECTION RESULTS FOR 2019 JURISDICTIONS WITHOUT ANNUAL REPORTS AND WITHOUT FEE SUBMITTAL (DELINQUENT)

Fee Status: Unpaid Report Status: None

#### LOCAL GOVERNMENTS

Amador Firebaugh Orange Cove

Azusa Fort Jones Portola Valley (Town)

Belvedere Greenfield Gridley Rialto

Blue Lake Gustine Rolling Hills Seaside

Signal Hill Buellton Holtville **Huntington Park** Stockton Calabasas Trinidad Chino Industry Tustin Chula Vista lone Wasco Isleton Coalinga Compton Laguna Woods Weed

Cudahy Lakeport Westlake Village
Dorris Larkspur Westmorland
El Centro Malibu Willows

Etna McFarland Fillmore Montague

#### **COUNTIES**

Alpine County Mendocino County **Butte County** Monterey County Fresno County **Plumas County** Glenn County San Mateo County **Humboldt County** Siskiyou County Inyo County Sonoma County Kern County Tehama County Kings County **Tulare County** Mariposa County Yuba County