# master COMMISSION ACTION MATRIX – YELLOW BUILDING FIRE AND OTHER – STRUCTURAL DESIGN/LATERAL FORCES AD-HOC (BFO/SDLF) CODE ADVISORY COMMITTEE

## 2022 California Residential code, TITLE 24, PART 2.5 AGENCY: Office of the state fire Marshal, sfm 03/22

### LEGEND:

**CAC Actions:** Approve, Disapprove, Approve as Amended, Further Study Required

**Agency Responses:** Accept, Disagree, Withdraw

**CBSC Actions:** Approve, Disapprove, Approve as Amended, Further Study Required

**Matrix Paper Color** (for commission action only): GREEN = uncontested items, YELLOW = challenged items, SALMON = withdrawn, no action required

If using assistive technology, please adjust your settings to recognize underline, strikeout, italic and ellipsis.

* Model Code language appears upright
* Existing California amendments appear in *italic*
* Amended model code or new California amendments appear *underlined & italic*
* Repealed model code language appears ~~upright and in strikeout~~
* Repealed California amendments appear in *~~italic and strikeout~~*
* Ellipses ( ...) indicate existing text remains unchanged

### Chapter 3, Section R337.5 ROOFING

Amend sections listed below.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Item Number 3** | **Code Section** | **CAC Action** | **Agency Response** | **Public Comments** | **Annotations** | **CBSC Action** |
| SFM 03/22-3-1 | ***R337.5.1 General.*** | **Further Study** | **Accept** | 45-Day: A. Phillips, Asphalt Roofing Manufacturers Association (ARMA),  Approve as Amended, Criteria #6. Remove term.  45-Day: A. Phillips, ARMA, Approve as Amended, Criteria #6. Reword phrase. | Amend this section and add additional language related to roofing requirements for Fire Hazard Severity Zones.  **CAC: FS under Criteria #1, 6.** Recommended to delete the proposed amendment regarding “Exceptions 1 through 4 of Section R902.1 …”. Associated with SFM 02/22 Part 2, Items 7-2 and 11.  **45-Day:** Section R337.5.1. Commenter recommends removing the term “fire retardant” from the final sentence of Section R337.5.1.  **45-Day:** Section R337.5.1. Commenter recommends rewording last sentence in Section R337.5.1.  **No changes to ET, see SFM response in FSOR.** |  |

### Chapter 3 BUILDING PLANNING, SECTIONS R328.5 Energy ratings AND TABLE R328.5 MAXIMUM AGGREGATE RATINGS OF ESS (ITEM ADDED PER SFM ADDENDUM DATED FEBRUARY 13, 2023)

Amend existing section and add new table listed below.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Item Number 9** | **Code Section** | **CAC Action** | **Agency Response** | **Public Comments** | **Annotations** | **CBSC Action** |
| SFM 03/22-9-1 | **R328.5 Energy ratings.** | **Further Study** | **Disagree** | 45-Day: J. Costello, LA County Fire Department (LACoFD),  Disapprove, Criteria #1, 3, 4 | Amend this section to provide clear intent of the maximum threshold of kWh of ESS for each location on a property.  **CAC: FS under Criteria #6.** Action taken on Items 9-1 and 9-2 together even though the recommended revisions is in Item 9-2 only.  **45-Day:** The proposed allowances for Energy Storage Systems (ESS) in Group R-3/R-4 occupancies are a conflict with the intent and consistency for these same ESS units when located in occupancies other than Group R-3/R-4. There is insufficient substantiation provided for a “need” to increase the maximum allowable aggregate kWh-ratings of ESS for Group R-3/R-4 occupancies.  **No changes to ET, see SFM response in FSOR.** |  |
| SFM 03/22-9-2 | ***TABLE R328.5 MAXIMUM AGGREGATE RATINGS OF ESS.*** | **Further Study** | **Accept** | 45-Day: J. Costello, LACoFD,  Disapprove, Criteria #1, 3, 4 | Add new Table.  **CAC: FS under Criteria #6.** Recommended to revise Table R328.5 footnotes (the last sentence in the footnote a) to clarify the intent of the amendment. CAC Action associated with SFM 07/22 Part 9, Item 10-2.  **45-Day:** The proposed allowances for Energy Storage Systems (ESS) in Group R-3/R-4 occupancies are a conflict with the intent and consistency for these same ESS units when located in occupancies other than Group R-3/R-4. There is insufficient substantiation provided for a “need” to increase the maximum allowable aggregate kWh-ratings of ESS for Group R-3/R-4 occupancies.  **No changes to ET, see SFM response in FSOR.** |  |