From:
 DGS Website@DGS

 To:
 CBSC@DGS

 Subject:
 PUBLIC COMMENT on PROPOSED BUILDING STANDARDS - Charles Picard

 Date:
 Monday, October 18, 2021 12:27:30 PM

Commenter Contact Information Name: Charles Picard Date: 10/18/2021 12:00:00 AM Representing: Tesla, Inc. Mailing Address Number and Street: 3500 Deer Creek Rd. City: Palo Alto State: California Zip Code: 94022 Telephone #: 4012907970 Email: cpicard@tesla.com

Proposed Building Standard Title 24 Part #: Part 9 Section #: Chapter 12, Table 1207.6 Proposing State Agency: SFM This comment is intended for review during: 45-Day Comment Period

Your recommendation based on the criteria of Health and Safety Code Section 18930(a): Approve

In support of your recommendation above, provide the rationale based on the criteria of Health and Safety Code Section 18930(a). If you recommend anything other than approve, cite the criteria in your comment. If you oppose a proposed building standard, offer a solution or alternative for the state agency to consider.

Tesla supports the adoption of the model code language as proposed in the Initial Express Terms document. It should be noted that the ventilation requirements for specific BESS chemistries is related to normal operation, not under various failure modes or abuse cases. This section addresses "protection", not detection. Ventilation should only be required where the lack if it would result in a hazard. Detection of specific hazards or events, such as possible explosions due to the accumulation of cell vent gases, are already covered elsewhere (see 1206.6.3, also noted in this table) for each potential hazard. Additionally, the footnote "e" for Li-Ion chemistries does not imply an automatic exception for thermal runaway "detection". Again, this section relates to protection, and it is appropriate to allow a BMS to have this protection may not be the only means to achieve this.

9 Point Criteria Info: 18930(a) 1