From:	DGS Website@DGS
To:	<u>CBSC@DGS</u>
Subject:	PUBLIC COMMENT on PROPOSED BUILDING STANDARDS - Gabriel J. Acero & Cairo Briceno - SEAOSC Seismology Chairs.
Date:	Tuesday, November 16, 2021 7:36:40 PM

Commenter Contact Information Name: Gabriel J. Acero & Cairo Briceno - SEAOSC Seismology Chairs. Date: 11/16/2021 12:00:00 AM Representing: SEAOC & SEAOSC SEISMOLOGY COMMITTEE Mailing Address Number and Street: 999 Town and Country Rd. City: Orange State: CA Zip Code: 92868 Telephone #: 17145672522 Email: gabriel.acero@aecom.com

Proposed Building Standard Title 24 Part #: Part 2 Section #: 2105a.2 Proposing State Agency: OSHPD. This comment is intended for review during: 15-Day Comment Period

Your recommendation based on the criteria of Health and Safety Code Section 18930(a): Approve as Amended

In support of your recommendation above, provide the rationale based on the criteria of Health and Safety Code Section 18930(a). If you recommend anything other than approve, cite the criteria in your comment. If you oppose a proposed building standard, offer a solution or alternative for the state agency to consider.

Exception: Subject to the approval of the enforcement agency, h(begin double strikeout)Higher(end double strikeout) (begin double underline)Where higher(end double underline)values of f'm (begin double underline)greater than 2000 psi or any f'm in Seismic Design Categories D, E or F (13.79 MPa) are(end double underline) (begin double strikeout)may be(end double strikeout) used in the design of reinforced grouted multi-wythe masonry and reinforced hollow-unit masonry. The approval shall be (begin double underline)they shall be(end double underline) based on prism test results in accordance with TMS 602 Article 1.4 B.3

JUSTIFICATION:

Numerical analysis using non-linear material and non linear geometry for Code based axial load ratios demonstrate a significant difference in the post yield response of walls with walls non-tested f'm=1500psi (where the expected compression strength, f'me, may have not increased over time for non tested CMU prisms as observed in past rehabilitation projects with an f'me=1500psi) versus the tested equivalent wall f'm=1500psi with an expected compression strength of f'me=2000psi. The response between the cases shows fast capacity drops for f'me=1500psi higher than 20% at 1.5%

drift levels. More detailed information is available upon request at the SEAOC and SEAOSC Seismology Committee.

9 Point Criteria Info: 18930(a) 1