

## PUBLIC COMMENT on PROPOSED BUILDING STANDARDS

For Publication in Title 24, California Code of Regulations

See instructions for completing this form on Page 2.

### Commenter Contact Information

Name:	_____	Date:	_____
Representing:	_____		
Mailing Address:	Number & Street:	_____	
	City:	State:	Zip Code:
	_____	_____	_____
Telephone #:	Email: _____		

### Proposed Building Standard

Title 24 Part #: (select one)	_____	Section #:	_____
Proposing State Agency _____			
This comment is intended for review during: (select one)	<input type="radio"/> Code Advisory Committee <input type="radio"/> 45-Day Comment Period <input type="radio"/> 15-Day Comment Period <input type="radio"/> Commission Meeting		
Your recommendation based on the criteria of Health and Safety Code Section 18930(a) printed on the back of this form is: (select one) <input type="radio"/> Approve <input type="radio"/> Disapprove <input type="radio"/> Further Study Required <input type="radio"/> Approve as Amended			

**In support of your recommendation above, provide the rationale based on the criteria of Health and Safety Code Section 18930(a) printed on the back of this form. If you recommend anything other than approve, cite the criteria in your comment. If you oppose a proposed building standard, offer a solution or alternative for the state agency to consider. Please use separate pages if your comment does not fit in this space.**

### Attachments?

☐ Check if you have attached additional pages. The number of pages attached is: \_\_\_\_\_

**For CBSC Office Use Only** Date Received: \_\_\_\_\_ Rulemaking Item #: \_\_\_\_\_

## Instructions for completing this form

1. Use of this form is optional. It helps CBSC and other state proposing agencies to correctly administer your comments.
2. For matters to be considered at a public CBSC Code Advisory Committee (CAC) meeting, written comments should be received at least seven days before the scheduled meeting.
3. For matters subject to a 45-Day or 15-Day public comment period announced by a Notice of Proposed Action (NOPA), written comments **must be received** on or before the close of the comment period identified in the NOPA.
4. Separate comment forms are necessary for CAC and public comment periods.
5. Separate comment forms are necessary for each state agency proposal.
6. This form is available in fill-and-print format at the CBSC website, [www.bsc.ca.gov](http://www.bsc.ca.gov), for you to complete and submit electronically. Or print a blank form and type or complete by hand. You may attach additional pages if necessary.
7. Submit comments to CBSC, 2525 Natomas Park Drive, Suite 130, Sacramento, CA 95833-2936, or by email to [cbsc@dgs.ca.gov](mailto:cbsc@dgs.ca.gov). Please do not fax comments.
8. Written and oral comments may also be provided at CBSC public meetings to consider the proposed building standards.

***For assistance, call CBSC at (916) 263-0916 or email [cbsc@dgs.ca.gov](mailto:cbsc@dgs.ca.gov).***

## **Building Standards Nine-Point Criteria. Health and Safety Code Section 18930(a) reads:**

(a) Any building standard adopted or proposed by state agencies shall be submitted to, and approved or adopted by, the California Building Standards Commission prior to codification. Prior to submission to the commission, building standards shall be adopted in compliance with the procedures specified in Article 5 (commencing with Section 11346) of Chapter 3.5 of Part 1 of Division 3 of Title 2 of the Government Code. Building standards adopted by state agencies and submitted to the commission for approval shall be accompanied by an analysis written by the adopting agency or state agency that proposes the building standards which shall, to the satisfaction of the commission, justify the approval thereof in terms of the following criteria:

- (1) The proposed building standards do not conflict with, overlap, or duplicate other building standards.
- (2) The proposed building standard is within the parameters established by enabling legislation and is not expressly within the exclusive jurisdiction of another agency.
- (3) The public interest requires the adoption of the building standards. The public interest includes, but is not limited to, health and safety, resource efficiency, fire safety, seismic safety, building and building system performance, and consistency with environmental, public health, and accessibility statutes and regulations.
- (4) The proposed building standard is not unreasonable, arbitrary, unfair, or capricious, in whole or in part.
- (5) The cost to the public is reasonable, based on the overall benefit to be derived from the building standards.
- (6) The proposed building standard is not unnecessarily ambiguous or vague, in whole or in part.
- (7) The applicable national specifications, published standards, and model codes have been incorporated therein as provided in this part, where appropriate.
  - (A) If a national specification, published standard, or model code does not adequately address the goals of the state agency, a statement defining the inadequacy shall accompany the proposed building standard when submitted to the commission.
  - (B) If there is no national specification, published standard, or model code that is relevant to the proposed building standard, the state agency shall prepare a statement informing the commission and submit that statement with the proposed building standard.
- (8) The format of the proposed building standards is consistent with that adopted by the commission.
- (9) The proposed building standard, if it promotes fire and panic safety, as determined by the State Fire Marshal, has the written approval of the State Fire Marshal.

CAPITOL OFFICE  
STATE CAPITOL  
ROOM 2059  
SACRAMENTO, CA 95814  
TEL (916) 651-4009  
FAX (916) 651-4909

DISTRICT OFFICE  
1515 CLAY STREET  
SUITE 2202  
OAKLAND, CA 94612  
TEL (510) 286-1333  
FAX (510) 286-3885

SENATOR.SKINNER@SENATE.CA.GOV

# California State Senate

SENATOR  
NANCY SKINNER  
MAJORITY WHIP  
NINTH SENATE DISTRICT



CHAIR  
PUBLIC SAFETY  
BUDGET & FISCAL REVIEW  
SUBCOMMITTEE 5:  
PUBLIC SAFETY & LABOR

COMMITTEES  
ENERGY, UTILITIES &  
COMMUNICATIONS  
ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY  
TRANSPORTATION & HOUSING

Friday, October 19, 2018

California Building Standards Commission  
2525 Natomas Park Drive, Suite 130  
Sacramento, CA 95833-2936

## **RE: Support for OSFM Proposed changes CRC-Item 4, CBC-Item 17 & CCR: Flammability Standards for Building Insulation Materials**

Dear Members of the California Building Standards Commission:

I am writing to voice my support for the California State Fire Marshal's proposal to modify the California Building Code to allow the use of polystyrene insulation without flame retardants where it does not present a fire safety risk.

In 2013, when I was a member of the State Assembly, I authored AB127, a bill which would allow consumers a choice in selecting fire-safe building insulation without subjecting occupants, firefighters and communities to the risks of toxic flame retardants. My goal was to help make California's buildings green and healthy for people and the environment. Governor Brown agreed with me, and signed AB127 into law in October of 2013.

The law called for the Office of the State Fire Marshal to review building flammability standards and determine which standards could only be met by adding flame retardants. Human exposure to these chemicals has proven association with neurological and reproductive impairment, hormone disruption, and increased cancer risk. Due to these negative health impacts, the state has an obligation to adopt policies and practices to eliminate or at least seriously restrict these chemicals' use.

The Fire Marshal commissioned Oklahoma State University to carry out fire testing. The results, released in September of 2017, showed no substantial fire safety difference between below-grade polystyrene insulation with or without flame retardants. Further, the report included recommended modifications to the California building code allowing the use of non-flame-retarded polystyrene insulation below-grade. The language from Oklahoma State is the basis for the Fire Marshal's proposal under consideration.

I urge you to support these code changes to ensure that building owners and contractors do not unnecessarily use harmful flame retardants.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Nancy Skinner".

Senator Nancy Skinner  
9th Senate District

CC: Chief Dennis Mathisen, Office of the State Fire Marshal