

PUBLIC COMMENT on PROPOSED BUILDING STANDARDS

For Publication in Title 24, California Code of Regulations

See instructions for completing this form on Page 2.

Commenter Contact Information

Name:	_____	Date:	_____
Representing:	_____		
Mailing Address:	Number & Street:	_____	
	City:	State:	Zip Code:
	_____	_____	_____
Telephone #:	Email: _____		

Proposed Building Standard

Title 24 Part #: (select one)	_____	Section #:	_____
Proposing State Agency _____			
This comment is intended for review during: (select one)	<input type="radio"/> Code Advisory Committee <input type="radio"/> 45-Day Comment Period <input type="radio"/> 15-Day Comment Period <input type="radio"/> Commission Meeting		
Your recommendation based on the criteria of Health and Safety Code Section 18930(a) printed on the back of this form is: (select one)			
<input type="radio"/> Approve <input type="radio"/> Disapprove			
<input type="radio"/> Further Study Required <input type="radio"/> Approve as Amended			

In support of your recommendation above, provide the rationale based on the criteria of Health and Safety Code Section 18930(a) printed on the back of this form. If you recommend anything other than approve, cite the criteria in your comment. If you oppose a proposed building standard, offer a solution or alternative for the state agency to consider. Please use separate pages if your comment does not fit in this space.

Attachments?

☐ Check if you have attached additional pages. The number of pages attached is: _____

For CBSC Office Use Only Date Received: _____ Rulemaking Item #: _____

Instructions for completing this form

1. Use of this form is optional. It helps CBSC and other state proposing agencies to correctly administer your comments.
2. For matters to be considered at a public CBSC Code Advisory Committee (CAC) meeting, written comments should be received at least seven days before the scheduled meeting.
3. For matters subject to a 45-Day or 15-Day public comment period announced by a Notice of Proposed Action (NOPA), written comments **must be received** on or before the close of the comment period identified in the NOPA.
4. Separate comment forms are necessary for CAC and public comment periods.
5. Separate comment forms are necessary for each state agency proposal.
6. This form is available in fill-and-print format at the CBSC website, www.bsc.ca.gov, for you to complete and submit electronically. Or print a blank form and type or complete by hand. You may attach additional pages if necessary.
7. Submit comments to CBSC, 2525 Natomas Park Drive, Suite 130, Sacramento, CA 95833-2936, or by email to cbsc@dgs.ca.gov. Please do not fax comments.
8. Written and oral comments may also be provided at CBSC public meetings to consider the proposed building standards.

For assistance, call CBSC at (916) 263-0916 or email cbsc@dgs.ca.gov.

Building Standards Nine-Point Criteria. Health and Safety Code Section 18930(a) reads:

(a) Any building standard adopted or proposed by state agencies shall be submitted to, and approved or adopted by, the California Building Standards Commission prior to codification. Prior to submission to the commission, building standards shall be adopted in compliance with the procedures specified in Article 5 (commencing with Section 11346) of Chapter 3.5 of Part 1 of Division 3 of Title 2 of the Government Code. Building standards adopted by state agencies and submitted to the commission for approval shall be accompanied by an analysis written by the adopting agency or state agency that proposes the building standards which shall, to the satisfaction of the commission, justify the approval thereof in terms of the following criteria:

- (1) The proposed building standards do not conflict with, overlap, or duplicate other building standards.
- (2) The proposed building standard is within the parameters established by enabling legislation and is not expressly within the exclusive jurisdiction of another agency.
- (3) The public interest requires the adoption of the building standards. The public interest includes, but is not limited to, health and safety, resource efficiency, fire safety, seismic safety, building and building system performance, and consistency with environmental, public health, and accessibility statutes and regulations.
- (4) The proposed building standard is not unreasonable, arbitrary, unfair, or capricious, in whole or in part.
- (5) The cost to the public is reasonable, based on the overall benefit to be derived from the building standards.
- (6) The proposed building standard is not unnecessarily ambiguous or vague, in whole or in part.
- (7) The applicable national specifications, published standards, and model codes have been incorporated therein as provided in this part, where appropriate.
 - (A) If a national specification, published standard, or model code does not adequately address the goals of the state agency, a statement defining the inadequacy shall accompany the proposed building standard when submitted to the commission.
 - (B) If there is no national specification, published standard, or model code that is relevant to the proposed building standard, the state agency shall prepare a statement informing the commission and submit that statement with the proposed building standard.
- (8) The format of the proposed building standards is consistent with that adopted by the commission.
- (9) The proposed building standard, if it promotes fire and panic safety, as determined by the State Fire Marshal, has the written approval of the State Fire Marshal.



CALIFORNIA STRAW BUILDING ASSOCIATION

October 22, 2018

California Building Standards Commission
2525 Natomas Park Drive, Suite 130
Sacramento, CA 95833-2936

RE: Support of HCD adopting Appendix S – Strawbale Construction

Dear Members of the Building Standards Commission,

As a California licensed architect and strawbale building practitioner for over 20 years, and as Director of the California Straw Building Association (CASBA), I am writing to express my strong support for the Department of Housing and Community Development's proposed adoption of Appendix S – Strawbale Construction for the 2019 CRC. This follows its previous adoption of Appendix S for the 2016 CRC.

As the Director of CASBA, with over 200 members including strawbale design and building professionals and owners of strawbale homes, I have seen the tremendous value that Appendix S, as a statewide "strawbale code", brings to the better design and construction, and the simpler permit approvals for homes using this environmentally beneficial method of construction. Strawbale buildings use an annually renewable agricultural by-product that is highly insulating, non-toxic, and sequesters significant amounts of carbon to help stem California's and the world's current slide towards detrimental climate change.

Arkin Tilt Architects has designed over 50 strawbale buildings in the past 22 years. We see the importance of Appendix S as a practicing architects. Appendix S is a significantly more comprehensive code than the previously used and voluntary Guidelines for Straw-Bale Structures in the Health and Safety Code. It greatly assists design and building professionals, building officials, and building owners alike in all aspects of strawbale construction.

I strongly urge the Building Standards Commission to approve HCD's proposed adoption of the 2018 IRC Appendix S for the 2019 CRC.

Sincerely,

David Arkin, AIA - CA License # C22459
Arkin Tilt Architects
Director – California Straw Building Association (CASBA)
1101 8th St., Suite 180
Berkeley, CA 94710

CC: Stoyan Bumbalov, California Department of Housing and Community Development