FINAL STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR PROPOSED BUILDING STANDARDS OF THE CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH REGARDING THE 2018 TRIENNIAL CODE ADOPTION CYCLE CALIFORNIA CODE OF REGULATIONS, TITLE 24, PART 2 CDPH 01/18

The Administrative Procedure Act requires that every agency shall maintain a file of each rulemaking that shall be deemed to be the record for that rulemaking proceeding. The rulemaking file shall include a Final Statement of Reasons. The Final Statement of Reasons shall be available to the public upon request when rulemaking action is being undertaken. The following are the reasons for proposing this particular rulemaking action:

UPDATES TO THE INITIAL STATEMENT OF REASONS:

The California Department of Public Health's (CDPH's) proposed public swimming pool regulations seek to amend specific signage requirements set forth in the existing minimum building and construction standards for public swimming pools in order to achieve greater alignment between the public swimming pool regulations and federal and state anti-discrimination laws.

During the adoption process for these proposed amendments to the public swimming pool regulations, a 45-day public comment period was held between September 7, 2018, and October 22, 2018. No public comments were received prior to the conclusion of this 45-day comment period. Therefore, CDPH did not make revisions to the proposed language.

MANDATE ON LOCAL AGENCIES OR SCHOOL DISTRICTS

CDPH has determined that the proposed regulatory action would not impose a mandate on local agencies or school districts.

OBJECTIONS OR RECOMMENDATIONS MADE REGARDING THE PROPOSED REGULATION(S).

No objections or recommendations regarding the proposed regulations were received during the 45-day public comment period.

DETERMINATION OF ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED AND EFFECT ON PRIVATE PERSONS

CDPH has determined that no alternatives considered would be (a) more effective in carrying out the purpose for which the regulation is proposed, (b) as effective and less burdensome to affected private persons than the adopted regulation, or (c) more cost effective to affected private persons and equally effective in implementing the statutory

policy or other provisions of law. This determination is based in part that on the conclusion that there are no alternative methods for coordinating signage requirements in the public swimming pool context that are similar in breadth and scope to the minimum building and construction standards for public swimming pools in the State of California.

REJECTED PROPOSED ALTERNATIVE THAT WOULD LESSEN THE ADVERSE ECONOMIC IMPACT ON SMALL BUSINESSES:

No alternatives were proposed for lessening the proposed regulatory action's adverse impact on small businesses. Additionally, because the proposed regulations' signage requirements will not apply to owners of existing public swimming pool sites, and because owners of new swimming pool sites would be required to purchase signage under existing regulations (and not just the proposed regulations), no adverse economic impact is anticipated.