

**FINAL STATEMENT OF REASONS
FOR PROPOSED BUILDING STANDARDS OF THE
OFFICE OF STATEWIDE HEALTH PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
REGARDING THE 2019 CALIFORNIA MECHANICAL CODE
CALIFORNIA CODE OF REGULATIONS, TITLE 24, PART 4**

(OSHDP 04/18)

The Administrative Procedure Act requires that every agency shall maintain a file of each rulemaking that shall be deemed to be the record for that rulemaking proceeding. The rulemaking file shall include a Final Statement of Reasons. The Final Statement of Reasons shall be available to the public upon request when rulemaking action is being undertaken. The following are the reasons for proposing this particular rulemaking action:

UPDATES TO THE INITIAL STATEMENT OF REASONS:

The Office of Statewide Health Planning and Development (OSHDP) finds that no revisions have been made which would warrant a change to the initial statement of reasons for the proposed actions associated with this rulemaking.

MANDATE ON LOCAL AGENCIES OR SCHOOL DISTRICTS

Pursuant to Government Code Section 11346.9(a)(2), if the determination as to whether the proposed action would impose a mandate, the agency shall state whether the mandate is reimbursable pursuant to Part 7 of Division 4. If the agency finds that the mandate is not reimbursable, it shall state the reasons for the finding(s).

The Office of Statewide Health Planning and Development has determined that the proposed regulatory action would not impose a mandate on local agencies or school districts.

If the determination as to whether the proposed regulation would impose a local mandate, the agency shall state whether the mandate is reimbursable pursuant to Government Code, Part 7 (commencing with Section 17500) of Division 4. If the agency finds that the mandate is not reimbursable, it shall state the reasons for the findings.

The Office of Statewide Health Planning and Development finds that the mandate is not reimbursable.

The mandate does not affect agencies or districts.

OBJECTIONS OR RECOMMENDATIONS MADE REGARDING THE PROPOSED REGULATION(S).

OSHDP RECEIVED NO COMMENTS DURING THE 45-DAY COMMENT PERIOD FOR TITLE 24, PART 4.

DETERMINATION OF ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED AND EFFECT ON PRIVATE PERSONS

OSHPD has determined that no alternative would be more effective in carrying out the purpose for which the regulation is proposed or would be as effective and less burdensome to affected private persons than the adopted regulation. The proposed regulations are technical modifications that will provide clarification and consistency within the code. The proposed regulations will not have a cost impact to private persons.

REJECTED PROPOSED ALTERNATIVE THAT WOULD LESSEN THE ADVERSE ECONOMIC IMPACT ON SMALL BUSINESSES:

OSHPD has determined that the proposed regulations will not have an adverse economic impact on small businesses. The proposed regulations are editorial and minor technical modifications that will provide clarification and consistency within the code.