BEFORE THE
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matters of: TORRANCE UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT, v. PARENT ON BEHALF OF STUDENT 1,	OAH CASE NO. 2013030530
TORRANCE UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT, v. PARENT ON BEHALF OF STUDENT 2.	OAH CASE NO. 2013010162 NOTICE OF NO ACTION AND ORDER TO STOP FILING DOCUMENTS

The Office of Administrative Hearings (OAH) issued a decision in OAH Case No. 2013030530 on September 6, 2013. In this decision, Student was informed of the right to appeal the decision to a court. On September 9, 2013, Student filed a "Notice of Appeal and/or Request that the Judgment be Corrected or Cancelled." On September 12, 2013, OAH issued a notice of no action and once again advised Student of his right to appeal to a court.

On March 11, 2013, OAH issued a decision in OAH Case No. 2013010162. On April 3, 2013, Student filed a "Notice of Discrimination and Violations under the ADA, 504 [sic], and Unruh Act." On April 18, 2013, OAH issued a notice of no action which informed Student that once OAH closed the case, it no longer had jurisdiction to act. On July 30, 2013, Student filed two documents in this matter: "Complaint about a California Judge, Court Commissioner or Referee" and "Declaration of Disabled Minor Child with Autism and Notice of Complaint of Discrimination by OAH ALJ Carla Garrett on 3/11/2013." While the second document was captioned, "Before the Commission of Judicial Performance for the State of California," it purported to be directed to OAH along with the Office of Judicial Performance. On July 31, 2013, OAH issued a notice of no action that again informed Student that OAH has no jurisdiction once it issued a decision.

On October 9, 2013, Student filed a document captioned, "Notice to Proceed" concerning both of these cases. The document is in the form of a letter directed to Torrance Unified School District. Once again, OAH will take no further action.

Student's repetitive filings in these matters has required OAH to process the filings and to repeatedly issue notices of no action based on OAH's lack of jurisdiction. Processing and responding to Student's repeated, unmeritorious filings is an undue burden and a waste of administrative resources. Accordingly, Student is ordered to cease filing further documents that are of the same or similar nature to those that are the subject of OAH's notices of no action in these two matters.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 11, 2013

/s/

JUDITH A. KOPEC
Division Presiding Administrative Law Judge
Office of Administrative Hearings