BEFORE THE
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of: PARENT ON BEHALF OF STUDENT, v. SAN MARCOS UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT.	OAH Case No. 2018110140 ORDER FOLLOWING PREHEARING CONFERENCE

On January 28, 2019, a telephonic prehearing conference was held before Administrative Law Judge Linda Johnson, Office of Administrative Hearings. Cara Lucier, Attorney at Law, appeared on behalf of Student. Sarah Orloff, Attorney at Law, appeared on behalf of San Marcos Unified School District. The PHC was recorded.

Based upon discussion with the parties, the ALJ issues the following order:

1. Hearing Dates, Times, and Location. The shall take place on February 5, 6, and 7, 2019,[1] and continuing as needed at the discretion of the ALJ. The hearing shall take place at San Marcos Unified School District located at 255 Pico Avenue, Suite 250, San Marcos, California 92069. The hearing shall begin at 9:30 a.m. the first day of the hearing and at 9:00 a.m. all other days, unless otherwise ordered.

State and federal law require a local education agency to respond to a due process complaint within 10 days of receiving the complaint. (Ed. Code, § 56502, subd. (d)(2)(A); 20 U.S.C. § 1415(c)(2)(B)(i)(I); 34 C.F.R. § 300.508.); *M.C. v. Antelope Valley Unified Sch. Dist.* (9th Cir. 2017) 858 F.3d 1189, 1199-1200.) San Marcos filed its response to Student's complaint on November 8, 2018, which permits the hearing to go forward.

The named local educational agency was to provide a facility for the hearing that fully complies with the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (42 U.S.C. § 12101 et seq.), the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (29 U.S.C. § 794 et seq.), the Unruh Civil Rights Act (Civ. Code, § 51 et seq.), and all laws governing accessibility of government facilities to persons with

[1] On the first day of hearing, when the parties have their final witness lists, the ALJ will set the final hearing schedule. Student anticipates needing six days of hearing, however, Student's attorney will be in a hearing on OAH Case No. 2018080664 on February 12, 13, and 14, 2019; that case takes precedence over this one as the ALJ in that matter granted a brief continuance on the eve of hearing due to the illness of district's counsel that prevented that matter from commencing as scheduled.

disabilities. The named local educational agency failed to certify that it would provide a facility for this case that complied with the law. The local educational agency shall inform OAH within two days of the date of this order it is able to certify that it will provide a facility that complies with the law.

Prior to the beginning of the hearing, San Marcos shall assist the ALJ to ensure that the hearing room is configured into a courtroom setting, including (1) a table for Student and his representatives; (2) a table for San Marcos' legal representative and special education representative; (3) a table for the witness; and (4) a table for the ALJ, near an electrical outlet. San Marcos shall also make reasonable effort to ensure that drinking water and tissue are available to all parties, witnesses and the ALJ.

The parties shall immediately notify all potential witnesses of the hearing dates, and shall subpoena witnesses if necessary, to ensure that the witnesses will be available to testify. A witness will not be regarded as unavailable for purposes of showing "good cause" to continue the hearing if the witness is not properly notified of the hearing date or properly subpoenaed, as applicable.

2. Issues and Proposed Resolutions. The issues at the due process hearing, and the proposed resolutions, are listed below.

Issues[2]:

(1) Did San Marcos deny Student a free appropriate public education for the 2017-2018 and 2018-2019 school years and extended school year 2018 by failing to offer and provide Student an appropriate placement with sufficient supports and related services, specifically speech therapy, social skills, and aide support and appropriate instruction and support in the areas of reading, writing, and making inferences?

(2) Did San Marcos denied Student a FAPE from March 3, 2017, and continuing to the 2017-2018 and 2018- 2019 school years by failing to provide appropriate individualized education program goals in all areas of need?

(3) Did San Marcos deny Student a FAPE from November 2, 2016, and continuing to the 2017-2018 and 2018- 2019 school years by failing to provide appropriate inclusion supports, including social skills supports, counseling, and social facilitation?

[2] The issues have been rephrased and reorganized for clarity. The ALJ has authority to redefine a party's issues, so long as no substantive changes are made. (*J.W. v. Fresno Unified School Dist.* (9th Cir. 2010) 626 F.3d 431, 442-443.)

(4) Did San Marcos deny Student a FAPE for the 2017-2018 and 2018-2019 school years by failing to offer appropriate accommodations, specifically, personal schedule, rewards and incentives, and break opportunities and break areas.

(5) Did San Marcos deny Student a FAPE for the 2017-2018 school years by failing to implement his March 2, 2017 IEP?

(6) Did San Marcos deny Student a FAPE for the 2017-2018 school year by changing Student's placement outside of the IEP process.

(7) Did San Marcos deny Student a FAPE from May 29, 2018, and continuing to the 2018-2019 school year by failing to provide the family with a complete copy of Student's educational records within five business days of parents' request on May 29, 2018?

(8) Did San Marcos deny Student a FAPE for the 2017-2018 and 2018-2019 school years by failing to initiate a request for a Due Process Hearing after Student's parents refused to consent to San Marcos' proposed IEP of February 23, 2018?

Proposed Resolutions:

(1) Student seeks an appropriate non-public school placement pursuant to his IEP, including transportation, speech, social skills instruction, and occupational therapy. Student seeks compensatory education via an intensive reading remediation program and speech and social skills. Student also seeks reimbursement for costs related to educational services and assessments incurred throughout the time period at issue, to date.

3. Exhibits. Exhibits shall be pre-marked and placed in three-ring exhibit binders prior to the hearing. The parties shall use numbers to identify exhibits, but shall place the letter "S" or "D" in front of the exhibit to designate if it is a Student or San Marcos exhibit (for example, "S-5, S-6," or "D-1, D-2"). Each exhibit shall be internally paginated by exhibit, or all of a party's exhibits shall be Bates-stamped. Each exhibit binder shall contain a detailed table of contents. The parties shall serve their evidence binders on each other in compliance with Education Code section 56505, subdivision (e)(7). At the hearing, each party shall supply an exhibit binder containing its exhibits for use by the ALJ, and a second exhibit binder for use by witnesses. The parties may not serve exhibits on OAH prior to the hearing. In the event of duplicate exhibits, the most legible version will be used.

If the parties intend to rely on IEPs, the parties shall attach all pages of the IEP(s), including copies of all actual signatures on the IEP(s) and any typewritten or handwritten notes or comments, if any, written on the IEP(s). Excerpts of an audio record of an IEP team

meeting, if any, should, in addition to the audio record offered, be transcribed and offered as documentary evidence. That portion of the audio record transcribed shall be provided to the opposing party along with the transcript to allow an opportunity to verify the accuracy of the transcription. Transcriptions need not be prepared by a certified court reporter.

San Marcos shall include in its exhibits, the school calendar(s) for each school year (including extended school year) at issue.

Except for good cause shown, or unless used solely for rebuttal or impeachment, any exhibit not included in the exhibit lists and not previously exchanged shall not be admitted into evidence at the hearing unless it is supported by written declaration under penalty of perjury, and the ALJ rules that it is admissible.

4. Witnesses. Each party is responsible for procuring the attendance at hearing of its own witnesses. Each party shall make witnesses under its control reasonably available. The parties shall schedule their witnesses to avoid delays in the hearing and to minimize or eliminate the need for calling witnesses out of order. Neither party shall be permitted to call any witnesses not disclosed in the party's prehearing conference statement except for good cause shown, supported by written declaration under penalty of perjury, and at the discretion of the ALJ.

The parties shall meet and confer by **5:00 p.m. on February 1, 2019**, regarding the schedule of witnesses for the hearing. The parties are to coordinate the availability and order of testimony of witnesses to ensure that there is a witness available to testify at all times during the hearing, and to ensure that the hearing is completed as scheduled. The parties shall discuss a time estimate for the length of each witness' direct and cross examination testimony, and identify those witnesses the party no longer intends to call.

On the first day of hearing, before the first witness testifies, the parties shall provide the ALJ with one detailed hour-by-hour schedule of all witnesses expected to testify at the hearing. The list shall also include an estimate of time for each party's direct and cross-examination. The ALJ has discretion to revise the witness schedule, as needed to maintain the flow and efficiency of the hearing. The parties shall be prepared at the end of each day of hearing to discuss the witnesses appearing on the next day and the time the testimony of each such witness is expected to take.

San Marcos shall also provide a meeting room for Student's representatives to be available a half hour prior to the start of the hearing, during hearing breaks, and during the lunch break.

The parties are encouraged to review and shorten their witness lists prior to the hearing, bearing in mind that evidence will be excluded if it is repetitive, cumulative, or insufficiently probative to justify the time it would take to hear.

5. Scope of Witness Examination. Each witness shall be called to testify only once, except for rebuttal purposes, and all parties shall examine the witness on all issues when the witness is first called. After the first direct and cross-examinations, each party shall be limited in examining the witness to only those matters raised in the immediately preceding examination. The order in which the parties present their cases in chief shall be subordinate to the need for each witness to appear only once.

6. Telephonic Testimony. Whether a witness may appear by telephone is a matter within the discretion of the ALJ. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 5, § 3082, subd. (g).) Any party seeking to present a witness by telephone shall move in advance for leave to do so, unless the opposing party has stipulated that the witness may appear by telephone. The proponent of the witness shall provide the proposed witness with a complete set of exhibit binders from all parties, containing all of each party's exhibits, prior to the hearing; and shall ensure that the hearing room has sound equipment that allows everyone in the room to hear the witness, and the witness to hear objections and rulings. No witness will be heard by telephone unless all these requirements have been fulfilled.

7. Electronic Recording of Hearing.

a. Audio Recording. The parties' motion to make an audio recording of the hearing for personal use only is granted; both Student and San Marcos may audio record the hearing subject to the following conditions: (1) no part of the recording shall be published or disseminated for any purpose other than to assist in the presentation of the party's case; (2) that OAH's recording is the only official recording; (3) that the recording will be turned on and off at the same time as the ALJ's recording, to avoid recording conversations while off the record; and (4) that operation of the party's recording mechanism will not be allowed to delay the hearing.

b. Video Recording. No party, witness or anyone else present may make any video recording of any part of the proceedings. Any person doing so shall be subject to sanctions.

8. Order of Presentation of Evidence. In an administrative proceeding, the burden of proof is ordinarily on the party requesting the hearing. (*Schaffer v. Weast* (2005) 546 U.S. 49, 56-62 [126 S.Ct. 528, 163 L.Ed.2d 387].) Here, Student is the petitioning party. Accordingly, Student shall present his case-in-chief, followed by San Marcos.

9. Motions. No pretrial motions are pending or contemplated. Any motion filed after this date shall be supported by a declaration under penalty of perjury establishing good cause as to why the motion was not made three business days prior to PHC of January 28, 2019.

10. Compensatory Education/Reimbursement. Any party seeking reimbursement of expenditures shall present admissible evidence of these expenditures, or a stipulation to the amount of expenditures, as part of its case in chief. A party seeking compensatory

education should provide evidence regarding the type, amount, duration, and need for any requested compensatory education.

11. Stipulations. Stipulations to pertinent facts, contentions or resolutions are encouraged. Any proposed stipulation shall be submitted to the assigned ALJ in writing.

12. Conduct of Counsel and Hearing Room Decorum. Counsel, all parties, and all witnesses shall conduct themselves in a professional and courteous manner at all times. Cellular phones, pagers, recorders, and other noisemaking electronic devices shall be shut off or set to vibrate during the hearing unless permission to the contrary is obtained from the ALJ.

13. Special Needs and Accommodations. A party or participant to this case, such as a witness, requiring reasonable accommodation to participate in the mediation or hearing may contact the assigned calendar staff, identified in the scheduling order, at (916) 263-0880, as soon as the need is known. Additional information concerning requests for reasonable accommodation is available on OAH's website at https://www.dgs.ca.gov/oah/Home/Accessibility.aspx.

14. Hearing Open to the Public. At the request of Student, the hearing will be open to the public.

15. Settlement. The parties are encouraged to continue working together to reach an agreement before the due process hearing. The parties shall inform OAH in writing immediately should they reach a settlement or otherwise resolve the dispute before the scheduled hearing. In addition, if a settlement is reached within five days of the scheduled start of the due process hearing, the parties shall also inform OAH of the settlement by telephone at (916) 263-0880.

IF A FULL AND FINAL WRITTEN SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT IS REACHED AFTER 5:00 P.M. THE DAY PRIOR TO HEARING, THE PARTIES SHALL LEAVE A VOICEMAIL MESSAGE REGARDING THE SETTLEMENT AT (916) 274-6035. THE PARTIES SHOULD ALSO LEAVE CONTACT INFORMATION SUCH AS CELLULAR PHONE NUMBERS OF EACH PARTY OR COUNSEL FOR EACH PARTY. THE PARTIES SHOULD SIMULTANEOUSLY EMAIL THE SIGNATURE PAGE OF THE SIGNED AGREEMENT OR A LETTER WITHDRAWING THE CASE TO OAH AT https://www.applications.dgs.ca.gov/oah/oahsftweb.

Dates for hearing will not be cancelled until the letter of withdrawal or signature page of the signed agreement has been received by OAH. If an agreement in principle is reached, the parties should plan to attend the scheduled hearing unless different arrangements have been agreed upon by the assigned ALJ. The assigned ALJ will check for messages the evening prior to the hearing or the morning of the hearing.

16. Failure to comply with this order may result in the exclusion of evidence or other sanctions.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATE: January 28, 2019

DocuSigned by:
Linda Johnson
5092A92341544B9...

LINDA JOHNSON
Administrative Law Judge
Office of Administrative Hearings