BEFORE THE
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE CONSOLIDATED MATTERS INVOLVING:

PARENT ON BEHALF OF STUDENT,

and

MURRIETA VALLEY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT,

OAH CASE NUMBER 2025051034

OAH CASE NUMBER 2025050648

ORDER DENYING STUDENT'S REQUESTS FOR RECONSIDERATION

JUNE 9, 2025

On June 2, 2025, the undersigned Administrative Law Judge issued an order Granting Murrieta Valley's Motion to Consolidate and Motion for Continuance. On June 3, 2025, Student filed a Request to Reconsider Motion to Consolidate. On June 4, 2025, Student filed a Request to Reconsider Motion to Consolidate. The two Requests appear to be identical. On June 6, 2025, Murrieta Valley filed an opposition arguing that Student had offered no new facts or law to support the request for reconsideration.

The Office of Administrative Hearings will generally reconsider a ruling upon a showing of new or different facts, circumstances, or law justifying reconsideration, when

the party seeks reconsideration within a reasonable period of time, generally within ten days. (See, e.g., Gov. Code, § 11521; Code Civ. Proc., § 1008.) The party seeking reconsideration may also be required to provide an explanation for its failure to previously provide the different facts, circumstances or law. (See *Baldwin v. Home Savings of America* (1997) 59 Cal.App.4th 1192, 1199-1200.)

Student offers no new facts, circumstances or law to support reconsideration. Student simply reiterates the arguments previously made in Student's Opposition to Motion to Consolidate and in oral argument at the prehearing conference. In the absence of any new facts, circumstances or law, the motion for reconsideration is denied.

ORDER

Student has not established the June 2, 2025 Order should be reconsidered. The motion for reconsideration is denied. All dates set in this matter are confirmed.

Ted Mann

Ted Mann
Administrative Law Judge
Office of Administrative Hearings