BEFORE THE
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE MATTER OF:

PARENTS ON BEHALF OF STUDENT,

v.

PALO ALTO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT.

OAH CASE NUMBER 2024060681

NOTICE OF NO ACTION ON STUDENT'S THIRD MOTION FOR STAY PUT AND NOTICE OF NO ACTION ON PALO ALTO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT'S MOTION TO CONTINUE

AUGUST 08, 2024

On July 25, 2024, Student filed a motion to order Palo Alto Unified School District to implement Student's last, agreed upon IEP. Student also replied to Palo Alto Unified's reply to Student's opposition to Palo Alto Unified's motion to continue. On July 30, 2024, Palo Alto Unified filed opposition to Student's motion, supported by the declaration of its attorney, Matthew J. Tamel.

PALO ALTO UNIFIED'S MOTION TO CONTINUE


Student's opposition to motion to continue concerns Palo Alto Unified's motion, which was filed on July 24, 2024. Palo Alto Unified's motion to continue was discussed and ruled upon at the July 29, 2024 prehearing conference. The motion to continue is no longer pending and, therefore, no action is taken regarding Student's opposition.

STUDENT'S THIRD MOTION TO STAY PUT

On June 19, 2024, Student filed a motion to stay put, relying upon Student's June 29, 2023 IEP. On June 27, 2024, Administrative Law Judge Tiffany Gilmartin issued an Order denying Student's motion.

On June 28, 2024, Student filed a Motion for Reconsideration of the Denial of Student's Motion for Stay Put. On June 28, 2024, ALJ Gilmartin issued an Order granting reconsideration. Upon reconsideration. ALJ again denied Student's motion to stay put.

Student's July 25, 2024 motion, for an order directing Palo Alto Unified to place Student in the last agreed upon and implemented IEP's placement, is Student's third motion for stay put. The stay put request has already been ruled upon and, therefore, OAH takes no action on this third request.



Clifford H. Woosley
Administrative Law Judge
Office of Administrative Hearings