BEFORE THE
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE MATTER OF:

PARENT ON BEHALF OF STUDENT,

v.

GROSSMONT UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT.

OAH CASE NUMBER 2020030548

ORDER FOLLOWING PREHEARING CONFERENCE FOR HEARING BY VIDEOCONFERENCE

JULY 28, 2020

On July 27, 2020, Administrative Law Judge Charles Marson Office of Administrative Hearings, held a prehearing conference by videoconference. The Administrative Law Judge is called an ALJ. The Office of Administrative Hearings is called OAH. The prehearing conference is called a PHC.

Meagan M. Nunez, Attorney at Law, appeared on behalf of Student. Amy E. Rogers, Attorney at Law, appeared on behalf of the Grossmont Union High School District. The PHC was recorded. Based upon discussion with the parties, the ALJ issues the following order:

HEARING DATES, TIMES, AND LOCATION

DUE PROCESS HEARING

The hearing will take place on August 11, 12 and 13, 2020, and continue day to day at the discretion of the ALJ. The hearing shall begin at 9:30 a.m each day and generally end at 3:30 p.m., unless otherwise ordered. The parties anticipate it will take three days to complete the hearing. Additional hearing dates will be discussed with the ALJ during the hearing.

HEARING LOCATION

OAH is authorized to conduct due process hearings by videoconference or telephone. (5 C.C.R. § 3082, subd. (g).) Based on state and federal guidance to address safety procedures due to the novel coronavirus, COVID-19, OAH will conduct the due process hearing by videoconference using the Microsoft Teams application. Unless otherwise ordered, participants are required to appear by videoconference using a webcam and Microsoft Teams.

The parties shall immediately notify all potential witnesses of the hearing dates, and shall subpoena witnesses, if necessary, to ensure that the witnesses will appear to testify. A party's failure to notify or subpoena a witness to testify will not be considered good cause to continue the hearing.

ISSUES AND PROPOSED RESOLUTIONS

The ALJ has slightly altered the statement of some issues as allowed by the holdings in *J.W. v. Fresno Unified Sch. Dist*. (9th Cir. 2010) 626 F.3d 431, 442-443, and *Ford v. Long Beach Unified Sch. Dist*. (9th Cir. 2002) 291 F.3d 1086, 1090. (But see *M.C. v. Antelope*

Valley Union High Sch. Dist. (9th Cir. 2017) 858 F.3d 1189, 1196, fn. 2 [dictum].) No change in substance has been made. A party believing that an issue has been misstated or omitted shall promptly file a notice in writing stating its concern and citing relevant allegations in the complaint by page and lines. The issues at the due process hearing and proposed resolutions, as alleged in the complaint and clarified by the parties and the ALJ during the PHC are:

ISSUES

1. Did Grossmont deny Student a free appropriate public education during the 2018-2019 school year by:

a. failing to provide adequate services and supports; specifically, specialized academic instruction, social skills, concentration, emotional regulation and mental health;

b. failing to timely fulfill its child find obligation by failing to identify and evaluate her for special education needs;

c. failing to provide annual goals in all areas of need; specifically, concentration, emotional regulation, mathematics and social skills;

d. failing to provide a measurable annual goal in mathematics; and

e. failing to ensure that Student made adequate academic progress?

2. Did Grossmont deny Student a FAPE during the 2018-2019 school year by preventing Guardian from participating in the IEP process because it

a. failed to timely fulfill its child find obligations by failing to identify and evaluate her for special education needs; and

b. failed to conduct legally compliant assessments in the areas of psychoeducational status and educationally related mental health?

3. Did Grossmont deny Student a FAPE during the 2019-2020 school year and prevent Guardian from participating in the individualized education program process by:

a. failing to provide adequate services and supports, specifically in the areas of mental health, social-emotional status, organization; mental health, mathematics and specialized academic instruction; and

b. failing to assess her in all areas of suspected disability; namely, functional behavior?

PROPOSED RESOLUTIONS

The resolutions requested by Student include compensatory education in the areas of specialized academic instruction, mental health and social skills, and an independent educational evaluation in the area of educationally related mental health.

Grossmont filed its response to Student's first amended complaint on April 3, 2020. (*M.C. v. Antelope Valley Unified School Dist.* (9th Cir. 2017) 858 F.3d 1189, 1199-1200.)

EXHIBITS

The parties shall upload their exhibits electronically into the Caselines system according to the instructions provided.

The parties shall serve their exhibits on each other in compliance with Education Code section 56505, subdivision (e)(7). Timely uploading of electronic hearing exhibits five business days before the first day of hearing constitutes the timely exchange of evidence on other parties in compliance with Education Code section 56505, subdivision (e)(7).

Except for good cause shown, or unless used solely for rebuttal or impeachment, any exhibit not timely exchanged as required by Education Code section 56505, subdivision (e)(7) shall not be admitted into evidence at the hearing unless the ALJ rules that it is admissible.

PAPER COPIES OF EXHIBITS

The paper copies of exhibits shall be pre-marked and bound or placed in three-ring binders. The exhibits shall contain a detailed table of contents which clearly identifies exhibits and the document page numbers.

EXHIBIT COVER PAGES AND PAGE NUMBERS

Each exhibit must be identified by a letter and number. Each exhibit shall have a cover sheet that identifies that letter and number in the middle of the page. Student must use the letter S before the number to identify Student's exhibits. Grossmont must use the letter D before the number to identify Grossmont's exhibits. For example, S-1 means Student's exhibit 1 and D-1 means Grossmont's exhibit 1. Parties may either number each page within each exhibit or number each page in the binder consecutively beginning with the cover page before exhibit one as page 1, through the last page of the last exhibit. For example, if there are 100 total pages in the binder the first cover page in front of the first page of the first exhibit is page 1 and the last page of the last exhibit in the binder is 100.

CONTENT

Each exhibit must consist of one document. Separate documents may not be combined into one exhibit. Emails or letters may be consolidated into one exhibit if they are part of a single chain of messages. Exhibits should be in chronological order.

Parties should include resumes or curriculum vitae for each witness who is expected to testify as an expert.

Grossmont's exhibits must include a copy of the school calendar for each school year in question.

ELECTRONIC COPIES OF EXHIBITS

Electronic copies of exhibits filed with OAH shall be filed by 5:00 p.m. five business days before the first day of hearing. OAH will issue a separate Order Setting Procedures for Filing Exhibits Electronically that includes instructions for how to file exhibits electronically.

WITNESSES

The parties shall serve their lists of witnesses on each other in compliance with Education Code section 56505. No party shall be permitted to call any witnesses not timely disclosed except for good cause shown, supported by written declaration under penalty of perjury, and at the discretion of the ALJ.

Parties are responsible for ensuring that all participants are familiar with and follow the Instructions for Joining the Online Due Process Hearing provided with this Order. Participants who have not previously used the MS Teams platform may also find informative videos available like the one at this link from Microsoft: https://support.office.com/en-us/article/join-a-teams-meeting-078e9868-f1aa-4414-8bb9-ee88e9236ee4.

The parties are responsible for ensuring that their witnesses join the virtual hearing room at the designated date and time to testify. Witnesses are required to join the due process hearing by computer and appear by videoconference unless they do

not have the necessary computer equipment. In that situation, the ALJ may permit the witnesses to appear by audio only or by telephone. "Audio only" means using a computer without webcam. Witnesses' ability to attend the hearing by videoconference shall be discussed at the start of the hearing.

Parties are responsible for producing their own witnesses unless otherwise ordered by the ALJ. Parties shall make witnesses under their control reasonably available. The parties must schedule their witnesses to avoid delays in the hearing and to minimize or eliminate the need for calling witnesses out of order. Neither party will be permitted to call any witnesses not timely disclosed except for good cause shown, and at the discretion of the ALJ.

The ALJ has discretion to limit the number of witnesses who testify and the time allowed for witnesses' testimony. Education Code, section 56505.1, subd. (h), empowers the ALJ to set a reasonable limit on the length of the hearing.

MEET AND CONFER

The parties are encouraged to review and shorten their witness and exhibit lists before the hearing. Evidence may be excluded if it is repetitive, cumulative, or insufficiently probative to justify the time it would take to hear.

The parties are ordered to meet and confer no later than August 6, 2020, to discuss scheduling witnesses and how much time each witness will take. The parties shall discuss any issues pertaining to the parties, counsel and witnesses appearing by videoconference for hearing and electronically viewing exhibits during the hearing. The parties should attempt to eliminate duplicate exhibits from their hearing exhibits. The parties shall discuss whether they can produce a written stipulation as to pertinent facts,

contentions, resolutions and authenticity and admissibility of documents to shorten the length of time needed for the hearing.

As part of the meet and confer process, Grossmont shall inform Student which proposed witnesses are district employees. Grossmont shall also identify the witnesses on Student's list that require a subpoena. Grossmont may agree to accept service for witnesses under the party's control or may provide Student with the witnesses' most recent contact information.

PARTICIPANT INFORMATION FORM

All parties have an ongoing obligation to ensure that OAH has current information on the Participant Information Form filed with OAH, and shall file an updated Form as necessary. The Participant Information Form is not served upon the opposing party. The ongoing obligation to ensure that the Participant Information Form filed with OAH is current does not change the parties' statutory obligation to timely disclose their witnesses to the other party(s) at least five business days prior to hearing. The parties must file an updated Participant Information Form to inform OAH of any changes, additions, or deletions, including the required information no later than 5:00 p.m. at least two business days before the first day of hearing.

Any contact information provided shall be used for the limited purpose of issuing subpoenas or for contacting the witness(es) for purposes of attending the hearing and shall not be disclosed to any other person(s).

EVIDENCE PRESENTATION

In an administrative proceeding, the burden of proof is ordinarily on the party requesting the hearing. (*Schaffer v. Weast* (2005) 546 U.S. 49, 62.) In this case Student

requested the hearing and bears the burden of proof. Student's case-in-chief shall be presented first, followed by Grossmont's, unless otherwise ordered.

WITNESS LISTS

The parties must provide a joint proposed witness list to the ALJ on the first day of hearing. The list should include the first and last name of each witness, which party(s) are calling the witness to testify, and include dates and time estimates of each witness's expected testimony. In the event agreement cannot be reached, each party shall prepare a separate written list. Grossmont must have witnesses available in case there is no agreement.

At the beginning of the hearing, the ALJ and the parties will discuss the length of time anticipated for each witness and scheduling issues for individual witnesses. The ALJ will finalize the witness schedule. Parties must be prepared at the end of each day to discuss the witnesses to be presented the next day and the estimated time for the testimony each witness.

SCOPE OF WITNESS EXAMINATION

Each witness will be called to testify only once, except for rebuttal purposes. All parties must examine each witness on all issues when the witness is first called. Requiring a witness to appear only once takes priority over the order in which parties present their cases in chief.

AUDIO ONLY OR TELEPHONIC TESTIMONY

Whether a witness may appear by audio only or telephone instead of videoconference is a matter within the discretion of the ALJ. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 5,

§ 3082, subd. (g).) Any party seeking to present a witness by audio only or telephone shall request permission to do so before the hearing. Any party seeking to present a witness who cannot access exhibits electronically must inform the ALJ at the beginning of the hearing. At present neither party anticipates calling such a witness.

ELECTRONIC RECORDINGS

AUDIO RECORDING

A party may request permission to make an audio recording of the hearing. Permission to make an audio recording is subject to the ALJ's discretion and based upon certain conditions. The conditions are:

- the OAH recording is the only official recording;
- participants shall not use Teams to record the hearing;
- the parties shall turn their recording devices on and off at the same time that the ALJ is on and off the record to avoid recording conversations while off the record;
- operation of a party's recording mechanism will not be allowed to delay the hearing;
- a party's recording may not be given, provided or played to a witness or potential witness;
- the recording is subject to the same confidentiality as the due process hearing;
- use of the chat function in the videoconference is prohibited and shall not be part of the official record; and
- participants shall not take screenshots of the videoconference, or share or publish any portion of the videoconference or audio recording.

The parties' requests to make audio recordings of the hearing are granted subject to the above conditions.

VIDEO RECORDING

No party, witness or anyone else may make any video recording of any part of the proceedings or stream live through any means.

RECORDINGS AS EXHIBITS

At present neither party proposes to introduce all or part of a recording of an individualized education program team meeting. The ALJ has discretion to admit or exclude such evidence in the form of a recording. Any request to submit a recording shall be considered at the time of hearing. The ALJ may grant or deny the request, or grant the request subject to certain conditions. The party offering the recording must establish that all or a part of the recording is relevant. The ALJ may request a transcript of the portion of the recording requested or have the party offering the recording to provide an exact identifiable portion of the recording the party seeks to admit. The identities of any person speaking on the portion of the tape being introduced must be established. An ALJ may allow the opposing party to offer additional portions of a recording to establish the context, or to rebut, the recording.

COMPENSATORY EDUCATION OR REIMBURSEMENT

Any party seeking reimbursement for expenditures shall present admissible evidence of these expenditures, or a stipulation to the amount of the expenditures. A party seeking compensatory education should provide evidence regarding the type, amount, duration, and need for any requested compensatory education. Documents

offered as evidence to support a request for reimbursement must be separated by vendor.

MOTIONS

No prehearing motions are pending or contemplated. Any motion that was not filed three business days before the PHC, shall be supported by a declaration under penalty of perjury establishing good cause why the motion was not timely filed.

STIPULATIONS

Stipulations to pertinent facts, contentions, resolutions and authenticity and admissibility of documents are encouraged. Any proposed stipulation shall be submitted to the assigned ALJ in writing prior to the start of the hearing.

CONDUCT OF COUNSEL AND HEARING ROOM DECORUM

All participants to the videoconference should observe the same decorum as in an in-person due process hearing. Counsel, all parties, and all witnesses must conduct themselves in a professional and courteous manner at all times. Cellular phones, telephones and computer notifications must be shut off or set to silent during the hearing unless the ALJ grants permission otherwise. Counsel, parties, and witnesses shall appear from a private location, unless otherwise ordered. Participants should take reasonable efforts to minimize background noise and maintain adequate room lighting. Use of virtual background images is at the discretion of the ALJ. Witnesses are prohibited from reading exhibits, documents, notes, text messages, telephones or computers while testifying, unless permitted to do so by the ALJ. Parties, counsel and witnesses are prohibited from passing notes to, exchanging electronic messages with, or signaling the witness in any way while the witness is testifying.

LANGUAGE INTERPRETERS AND REASONABLE ACCOMMODATIONS

A party or participant to this case, such as a witness, requiring reasonable accommodation to participate in a mediation or hearing may contact OAH at (916) 263-0880, or send an email to OAHADA@dgs.ca.gov as soon as the need is known. The e-mail should have "Request for Accommodation" in the Subject Line. Additional information concerning requests for reasonable accommodation is available on OAH's website at: https://www.dgs.ca.gov/OAH/Services/Page-Content/Office-of-Administrative-Hearings-Services-List-Folder/Accommodations-at-OAH.

HEARING CLOSED TO THE PUBLIC

The hearing is closed to the public. Special Education hearings are closed to the public unless Student requests the hearing to be open to the public.

SETTLEMENT

The parties are encouraged to continue working together to reach an agreement before the due process hearing. The parties shall inform OAH in writing immediately if they reach a settlement or otherwise resolve the dispute before the scheduled hearing. In addition, if a settlement is reached within five days of the scheduled start of the due process hearing, the parties shall also inform OAH of the settlement by telephone at (916) 263-0880. At the same time, the parties should send the signature page of the signed agreement or a letter withdrawing the case to OAH at https://www.applications.dgs.ca.gov/oah/oahsftweb.

If a full and final written settlement agreement is reached after 4:00 PM the day prior to hearing, the parties shall leave a voicemail message regarding the settlement at

(916) 274-6035. The parties should also leave contact information such as cellular phone numbers of each party or counsel for each party.

Dates for hearing will not be cancelled until the letter of withdrawal or signature page of the signed agreement has been received and processed by OAH. If an agreement in principle is reached, the parties should plan to attend the scheduled hearing unless different arrangements have been agreed upon by the assigned ALJ. The assigned ALJ will check for messages before the hearing.

If the matter is settled subject to board approval, in addition to a signed copy of the signature page of the settlement agreement, the parties shall provide the date of the next board meeting. The hearing dates will not be cancelled without this information.

SCOPE OF THIS ORDER FOLLOWING PREHEARING CONFERENCE

This order includes all rulings made at the prehearing conference. Discussion and conversation not referenced in this order are not binding and are not to be relied upon by the parties. The parties should be aware that, at hearing, the ALJ has discretion to reconsider any part of this order and to manage the hearing.

FAILURE TO COMPLY

The failure to comply with this Order may result in the exclusion of evidence or other sanctions.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DocuSigned by:
Charles Marson
22945BBAD50448E...

Charles Marson

Administrative Law Judge

Office of Administrative Hearings